



# AD-A172 135

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

			REPORT DOCUM	IENTATION P	AGE		
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
Unclassified 28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				for out	ecoment here t dig relaate at mon te sudate	a sabt w	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) N00014-86-K-0428-40			5. MONITORING C	DRGANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(S	>	
64 NAME OF PER	FORMING O	RGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MO	NITORING ORGAN		
60. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION University of Illinois (if applicable) Urbana, IL 61801			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Chemistry Division				
6c. ADORESS (City 1209 W. C Urbana, I	Californi			76. ADDRESS (Cr) Arlington 22217-50	<b>7, State, and ZIPC</b> n, Virginia 00	ode)	
Ba. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)			9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-86-K-0428				
Sc. ADDRESS (City	, State, and a	ZIP Code)			UNDING NUMBER		
				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
	nilumines inescence UTHOR(S)	scence of Org e from a Seco	ganic Peroxides: ondary Perester		ular Electro	on-Exchange	
		Schuster, Gan	ry B.; Van Gompe	I LOGOTH			
12. TYOE OF PER	1909	125 TIME C			DT (Vass Massh /		COUNT
13a. TYPE OF REF Technical	1	13b. TIME C FROM 1/2		14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14	RT (Year, Month, I	Day) 15. PAGE	
	1	ON	OVERED 36 TO 9/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS (	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14	e if necessary and	l identify by blo	4 ck number)
Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTA 17.	1 ARY NOTATH COSATI C	ON ODES	OVERED 36 TO 9/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS (	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14	e if necessary and	l identify by blo	4 ck number)
Technical 16. SUPPLEMENT/ 17. FIELD	1 ARY NOTATH COSATI C GROUP	ON ODES SUB-GROUP	OVERED 36 TO 9/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS (	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14	e if necessary and	l identify by blo	4 ck number)
Technical 16. SUPPLEMENT 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT (C The 1-pheneti unaided molecular of the F	COSATI C GROUP Continue on r reaction hyl hydr eye. Th r electro irefly,	ON SUB-GROUP everse if necessary n of an amin- operoxide re is reaction on exchange, is proposed	OVERED 36 TO <u>9/86</u> 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chemil	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14 Continue on reverse uminescence, bumber) cylic acid ch mission that a secondary the analogous a for light g	e // necessary and peroxide, 1 loride (Count is easily of perester in process in generation.	d identify by blo Light, chem marin 343) detected wi ntermediate the biolum Attempts t	4 tk number) ical with th the . Intra- inescence o carry out
Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTA 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT (C The 1-pheneti unaided molecular of the F this read	COSATI C GROUP ontinue on r reaction hyl hydr eye. Th r electr irefly, ction un	ON SUB-GROUP everse if necessary n of an amin- operoxide re is reaction on exchange, is proposed	OVERED 36 TO 9/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chemil and identify by block is occoumarin carbox sults in light e proceeds through modeled after to as the mechanism	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14 Continue on reverse uminescence, bumber) cylic acid ch mission that a secondary the analogous a for light g	e // necessary and peroxide, 1 loride (Count is easily of perester in process in generation.	A identify by bloc light, chem harin 343) detected wintermediate the biolum Attempts t were not su	4 tk number) ical with th the . Intra- inescence o carry out
Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTA 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT (Co The 1-pheneti unaided molecular of the F this read 20. DISTRIBUTIO	COSATI C GROUP ontinue on reaction hyl hydr eye. Th r electro irefly, ction un	ON SUB-GROUP everse if necessary n of an amino operoxide re is reaction on exchange, is proposed der conditio	OVERED 36 TO 9/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chemil and identify by block is occoumarin carbox sults in light e proceeds through modeled after the as the mechanism ns where the cou	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14 Continue on reverse uminescence, bumber) cylic acid ch mission that a secondary the analogous a for light g imarin acid i	e // necessary and peroxide, 1 loride (Coum is easily of perester in process in eneration. s recycled of CURITY CLASSIFIC	d identify by blo Light, chem marin 343) detected wintermediate the biolum Attempts t were not su	th number) ical with th the . Intra- inescence o carry out ccessful CCESTE
Technical 16. SUPPLEMENTA 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT (Co The 1-phenetil unaided moleculat of the F this read 20. DISTRIBUTIO CB UNCLASSIF 222. NAME OF F	COSATI C GROUP ontinue on reaction hyl hydr eye. Th r electro irefly, ction un	ON SUB-GROUP everse if necessary n of an aminoperoxide re is reaction on exchange, is proposed der conditio LITY OF ABSTRACT ED SAME AS INDIVIDUAL	OVERED 36 TO 9/86 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Chemil and identify by block is occoumarin carbox sults in light e proceeds through modeled after the as the mechanism ns where the cou	14. DATE OF REPO 86/9/14 Continue on reverse uminescence, bumber) sylic acid ch mission that a secondary the analogous a for light g imarin acid i	e // necessary and peroxide, 1 loride (Count is easily of perester in process in eneration. s recycled of CURITY CLASSIFIC ified	ATION	th number) ical with th the . Intra- inescence o carry out ccessful ELECTE SEP 1 9 1986

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-86-K-0428

R&T Code 413a001---01

Technical Report No. 40

Chemiluminescence of Organic Peroxides: Intramolecular Electron-Exchange Luminescence from a Secondary Perester

by

Gary B. Schuster and Joseph Van Gompel

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Organic Chemistry

QUALITY 1

86

Acce	ssion For					
NTIS	GRA&I					
DTIC	DTIC TAB					
Unannounced						
Just	ification					
By						
Distr	ibution/					
	lability C	0.000				
	Avail and					
Dist	Special	01.				
	1					
-						
A-/						

19

052

University of Illinois Department of Chemistry Urbana, IL

September 14, 1986

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is limited.

## CHEMILUMINESCENCE OF ORGANIC PEROXIDES:

## INTRAMOLECULAR ELECTRON-EXCHANGE LUMINESCENCE FROM A SECONDARY

## PERESTER

Contraction of the local distance of the loc

A STATE AND A ST

Ð

Joseph Van Gompel and Gary B. Schuster\*

Department of Chemistry Roger Adams Laboratory University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801 <u>Abstract</u>: The reaction of an aminocoumarin carboxylic acid chloride (Coumarin 343) with 1-phenethyl hydroperoxide results in light emission that is easily detected with the unaided eye. This reaction proceeds through a secondary perester intermediate. Intramolecular electron exchange, modeled after the analogous process in the bioluminescence of the Firefly, is proposed as the mechanism for light generation. Attempts to carry out this reaction under conditions where the coumarin acid is recycled were not successful.

Efficient chemical generation of light is an important goal that has been only partly realized with the discovery<sup>1</sup> and development<sup>2</sup> of oxalate ester based chemiluminescent reactions. Numerous other light producing reactions are known, but these generally suffer low efficiencies or the use of unstable, sometimes treacherous, reagents.

On the other hand, Nature has developed several bioluminescent reactions that are both efficient and apparently convenient to use. Among the most familiar of these is that of the North American Firefly<sup>3</sup>. The mechanism of this reaction has been studied in great detail and is now known to involve the formation of a four-membered ring peroxide. A key to understanding the high efficiency (ca. 90%) of the firefly reaction was the realization that an intramolecular version of the mechanism we identified as chemically initiated electron-exchange luminescence (CIEEL)<sup>4</sup> could account for the high yield and the predominance of singlet excited states produced.<sup>5</sup>

The success of the intramolecular CIEEL reaction depends on the simultaneous operation of several difficult-to-achieve conditions. First, a high-energy content functional group (a peroxide almost without exception) must be prepared. Second, this functional group must be linked to a fragment (ACT) that is capable of facile electron donation to the peroxide oxygen-oxygen bond. Third, the electron transfer must initiate a reaction that ultimately raises the potential of the transferred electron so that when back electron transfer (ion annihilation) occurs, sufficient energy is released to form an excited singlet state of the product. Fourth, the excited state formed must fluoresce

-1-

with high efficiency. Finally, if the process is to have more than academic value, then it should operate in a cyclic manner in which some readily available reagent is consumed as light is produced.

Despite the impressive array of conditions mounted in opposition, our discovery that secondary peresters can generate light by the CIEEL process  $^{6,7}$ , Eq. 1, provided some hope that we could uncover a reaction that would satisfy all of these requirements. This hope is based on the assumption that it is possible to prepare a perester from an easily oxidized, fluorescent carboxylic acid and a secondary hydroperoxide. Operation of the intramolecular CIEEL reaction would then convert the hydroperoxide (a consumable reagent) to a ketone and, after emission of light from the excited state, regenerate the carboxylic acid. These reactions are shown schematically in Eqs. 2 and 3.



#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

A key to the successful development of an efficient intramolecular CIEEL reaction as described above is the proper choice of the "activated" carboxylic acid. We chose to examine

1H,5H,11H-[1]benzopyrano[6,7,8-ij]quinolizin-10-carboxylic acid-11-one-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-11-oxo (<u>1</u>) an aminocoumarin laser dye available from Eastman Kodak under the tradename Coumarin 343. This compound fluoresces

An In Internetication

CONSTRAINTS

-2-

with high efficiency under a wide range of conditions, and a recent report by Jones and coworkers<sup>8</sup> indicates that coumarins of this general structure are easily and reversibly oxidized to their radical cations. We expected that <u>1</u> could be converted to a perester under a variety of conditions that would preserve the amino-coumarin functional group.

Despite the commercial availability of <u>1</u>, its synthesis has not been reported in the open literature. Its high cost as a laser dye makes its purchase prohibitive. Thus we developed the synthesis outlined in Scheme I. Each of the steps described has precedent in the literature. The overall yield of <u>1</u> from m-methoxyaniline is 22%. The details for preparation of <u>1</u> are presented in the Experimental Section.

Scheme I



Conversion of  $\underline{1}$  to a perester requires its prior activation so that the dehydration can be carried out under mild conditions. The first method we chose to activate  $\underline{1}$  was conversion to the acid chloride  $\underline{5}$  by reaction with oxalyl chloride, Eq. 4. Acid chloride  $\underline{5}$  is a stable, moisture-sensitive, orange solid.

Treatment of a chloroform solution of 5 ( ca.  $10^{-4}$  <u>M</u>) at room temperature with 1-phenethyl hydroperoxide<sup>9</sup> (<u>6</u>) gives acetophenone (65-80%, depending on conditions), regenerates acid <u>1</u>, and produces chemiluminescence that is visible to the unaided eye even in a dimly-lit room, Eq.5. The chemiluminescence

-3-

emission spectrum from this reaction is identical with the fluorescence of  $\underline{1}$ under these conditions. This observation identifies the emitting excited state as  $\underline{1^*}$ . We presume that this is the initially formed excited state as well (i.e. no energy transfer from a preceding excited state to 1).



The time dependent behavior of chemiluminescent reaction of 5 with hydroperoxide 6 is quite simple. After a period of rapid intensity growth due to thermal equilibration and mixing, the light intensity decreases following a first-order rate law. The half-life of this decline is essentially independent of the concentration of 5 and of 6. We interpret this behavior to be indicative of the rapid combination of the acid chloride with the hydroperoxide to form secondary perester 7, followed by the slower unimolecular conversion of 7 to 1, acetophenone, and light, Eq.6. Some confirmation of this assertion comes from the observation that reaction of 5 with tert-butyl hydroperoxide (which cannot be converted to a ketone) does not generate a significant yield of chemiluminescent light.



-4-

The quantum efficiency for light generation from the reaction of acid chloride 5 with phenethyl hydroperoxide was determined by comparison with the chemiluminescence of luminol. The yield of light from the acid chloride reaction is disappointingly low. Measurement of the total integrated (over wavelength and time) chemiluminescence yield shows that it has about 5% of the efficiency of luminol<sup>10</sup>. Evidently, some intermediate is being diverted from the light producing route to one that also gives acetophenone but reforms the acid in its ground electronic state. At the present time we do not know which step in the sequence is responsible for the low yield of excited states.

We briefly investigated the effect of the structure of the hydroperoxide on the yield of light from the reaction of 5. The results are summarized in Table 1. Fundamentally, the highest light yield is obtained with those secondary hydroperoxides that have the weakest  $\alpha$ -carbon-hydrogen bond.

And Section in

It is still conceivable, despite the low chemiluminescent yield, that acid <u>1</u> could be an important chemiluminescent reagent if it were activated perpetually. Thus, even though each turn through the cycle giving secondary perester would give only a few photons, many turns could still give a high light yield (based on the amount of <u>1</u> present at the start). We investigated several routes for continual reactivation of acid <u>1</u> under chemiluminescent conditions.

A common and often successful strategy for the formation of esters from acids and alcohols utilizes dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) as a dehydrating agent <sup>11</sup>. This reagent also finds widespread use in the synthesis of peresters and diacylperoxides<sup>12</sup>. It was hoped that DCC would activate acid <u>1</u> in the presence of a secondary hydroperoxide and thereby perpetuate the chemiluminescent reaction passing through perester 7.

-5-

Chemiluminescence is observed when a solution of acid <u>1</u>, hydroperoxide <u>6</u>, and DCC is prepared in chloroform at 90° C. The emission spectrum is exactly the same as that obtained from reaction of the acid chloride, but the kinetic behavior of the reaction is different. The light intensity rises rapidly as in the reaction between <u>5</u> and <u>6</u>, but the rate of decay is dependent on the concentrations of both DCC and the hydroperoxide. At low hydroperoxide concentrations (0.01-0.05 M), the rate of light intensity decay increases with increasing DCC concentration, whereas at high hydroperoxide concentrations (0.1, 0.2 M), the rate of decay is essentially independent of initial DCC concentrations. In addition, the light yields from these solutions increase with increasing hydroperoxide concentration, but are largely independent of initial DCC concentrations. In all cases, acid <u>1</u> is consumed as is evidenced by a blue shift in the optical absorption spectrum from 445 nm (characteristic of acid 1) to 375 nm as the reaction proceeds.

If acid  $\underline{1}$  were being recycled through the chemiluminescent reaction initiated by DCC, then the total light yield could be much higher than it is in the direct reaction of acid chloride  $\underline{5}$  with the hydroperoxide. Direct comparison of these two procedures under a wide range of temperature, solvent, and concentration conditions (Table 2) reveals that the light yield from the DCC mediated reaction of  $\underline{1}$  is never more than ca. twice that of the direct reaction of  $\underline{5}$ . Thus if the hoped for recycling is operating, its efficiency is so low that it barely overcomes the irreversible destruction of  $\underline{1}$  that occurs under these conditions. We did not identify the ultimate fate of  $\underline{1}$  in this reaction.

Another reagent useful for the in-situ activation is 1,1-dichloromethylmethyl ether  $(DCE)^{13}$ , which converts acids to acid chlorides. We studied the reactions of DCE with acid <u>1</u> and hydroperoxide <u>6</u> under a range of conditions.

-6-

When DCE is added to a chloroform solution of  $\underline{1}$ , only slow conversion to  $\underline{5}$  is observed by IR spectroscopy. However, the rate of this reaction is greatly accelerated by inclusion of the non-nucleophilic base 2,6-lutidine. When hydroperoxide  $\underline{6}$  is added to the solution of  $\underline{5}$  formed from reaction of  $\underline{1}$  with excess DCE and lutidine, a burst of chemiluminescence is observed that rapidly decays to a much lower but longer-lived level. The total light intensity obtained by this procedure is approximately the same as that from the reaction of an equivalent amount of independently prepared  $\underline{5}$ . Thus it appears that neither DCE nor lutidine interferes in the formation of  $\underline{7}$  or its chemiluminescent reaction.

Attempts to cycle <u>1</u> through the chemiluminescent reaction path with DCE were not successful. The total light yield from this reaction is no greater than from reactions under comparable conditions with preformed acid chloride <u>5</u>. The reason for this is apparent from the examination of the reaction products. The two major coumarin-containing products obtained are the phenethyl ester, <u>8</u>, and the methyl coumarin acid carbonic anhydride. These products reasonably result from reaction of the acid <u>1</u> or acid chloride <u>5</u> with the products of reaction of DCE and hydroperoxide <u>6</u>, Eq. 7. Clearly, once the ester or anhydride is formed, acid <u>1</u> is removed from the reaction cycle and perpetuation of the chemiluminescent reaction is stopped. It was shown independently that phenethyl alcohol is formed from the reaction of <u>6</u> with DCE, Eq. 7. The bimolecular rate constant for reaction of <u>6</u> with DCE is nearly the same as that for formation of <u>5</u>, but the much higher concentration of the hydroperoxide under the chemiluminescent conditions insures that little recycling will occur.

-7 -



#### CONCLUSIONS

One objective of this investigation was the attempt to mimic the intramolecular CIEEL reaction believed to be responsible for light production in bioluminescence. This has been accomplished. The chemiluminescence observed in the reaction of 5 with 6 can be neatly explained within this context. The aminocoumarin functions as the electron donor, and the perester as the electron acceptor. Unfortunately, the yield of excited states formed in this reaction is not nearly as high as in the biological model. The reason for this is not at all clear and will be revealed only through additional experimentation.

A second objective of this investigation was to cycle the carboxylic acid repeatedly through the chemiluminescent process. This was not accomplished. Competing reactions of the acid with DCC and of the hydroperoxide with DCE short-circuited the efficient reuse of the acid. This problem might be overcome if a carboxylic acid with higher reactivity toward DCE were used. Attempts to find an acid with this property which also gives a greater chemiluminescence yield are underway.

-8-

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

<u>General</u>. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Farrand Mark I spectrofluorometer. All chemiluminescence data were obtained with standard photon-counting techniques. Light emission was detected by an EMI 9813B or 9816B photomultiplier tube. Spectral resolution was accomplished by using a Jarrel-Ash 0.25 M monochromator. Chemiluminescence cell temperatures were regulated to within 0.1° C by an electrically heated jacket. Chloroform (Burdick and Jackson Distilled in Glass) was distilled from  $P_2O_5$  before use. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (Aldrich) was distilled under reduced pressure. All other materials were used as received.

8-Methoxyjulolidine.<sup>14</sup> 3-Methoxyaniline (0.10 mol, 11 mL, 12.3 g), 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (160 mL, 1.5 mol, 235 g) and anhydrous sodium carbonate (0.4 mol, 42.7 g) were combined in a 500 mL 3-neck round-bottomed flask equipped with an overhead mechanical stirrer, thermometer, and a pressure-equalizing addition funnel packed with 10 g of 4A molecular sieves. The top of the addition funnel was fitted with a condensor. The mixture was warmed to 70° C for 1 h, 100° C for 2 h, and then heated at reflux for 11 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy. When the conversion of the aromatic resonances (6.2, 7.0 ppm) to two doublets (6.15. 6.75 ppm) and the growth of the multiplets (1.95, 2.65, and 3.05 ppm) representing the alkyl sidechains ceased, the reaction was stopped. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and 150 mL of concentrated HCl and 50 mL of water was slowly added. Upon dissolution of all solids, the phases were separated and the organic layer was washed with 10% HCl to remove remaining product. This washing was added to the aqueous phase, which was washed with ether to remove 1-bromo-3-chloropropane. The aqueous phase was made basic with 50% aqueous sodium hydroxide and extracted with ether until the organic phase was no longer colored. The ethereal solution was dried over  $MgSO_{II}$  and the

-9-

solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting brown oil was distilled (0.5-1 mm, 110°-114° C) yielding 13 g (64%) of a viscous yellow oil which turned red on exposure to air: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz): 61.94 (m, 4H); 2.68 (m, 4 H); 3.08 (m, 4H); 3.75 (s, 3H); 6.14(d, 1H, J=8); 6.72(d, 1H, J=8). IR (neat film): cm<sup>-1</sup> 1615, 1502, 1318, 1140, 1070, 780. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{13}H_{17}$  NO: C, 76.81; H, 8.43; N, 6.89. Found: C, 76.54; H, 8.17; N, 6.82.

8-Hydroxyjulolidine 2. 8-Methoxyjulolidine (10 g, 50 mmol) was dissolved in a solution consisting of 50 mL of 47% HI, 80 mL of conc HC1, and 200 mL of  $H_2O$ . This solution was heated at reflux and the progress of the reaction was followed by TLC on silica gel using 10% ethyl acetate in benzene as eluant. After 15 h another 50 mL portion of conc HCl was added to the reaction. The reflux was stopped when starting material was no longer present by TLC (about 60 h). The solution was cooled in an ice bath and neutralized to pH 6 first using 50% NaOH and then phosphate buffer (6.9 g NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>h</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.4 g Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>h</sub> in 100 mL of  $H_2$ 0). The product was extracted with methylene chloride, the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent was removed at aspirator pressure. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the brown solid (7.98 g, 86%) showed a small singlet at 3.70 ppm, presumably due to remaining methoxy compound, so the crude product was taken up in methylene chloride and extracted into 10% NaOH until the aqueous phase remained colorless. The organic phase was then acidified, dried, extracted, and the solvent removed as above. This process yields 6.24 g (67%) of a tan solid: m.p.  $126^{\circ}-130^{\circ}$  C<sup>15</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 MHz ): 6 1.95 (m, 4H); 2.70(m, 4H); 3.01(m, 4H); 4.3(br s, 1H, dissappears 0<sub>2</sub>0); 6.05(d, 1H, J=8); 6.65(d, 1H, J=8).

<u>9-Formy1-8-hydroxy-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-1H,5H-benzo(ij) quinolizine.</u> 3.<sup>16</sup> 8-Hydroxyjulolidine (5.0 g, 26.4 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of dry DMF and the resulting solution was added dropwise to a cold solution of  $POCl_3$  (29.1 mmol, 4.46 g, 2.66 mL) in 10 mL of DMF which had been stirring for 15 min. After 30

-10-

min at room temperature, the solution was heated over a steam bath ( $N_2$  atmosphere) for an additional 30 min and was then cooled to room temperature. A 25 mL portion of water was added with stirring and a blue-green solid formed slowly. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water, and dried in a vacuum dessicator overnight. The solid was dissolved in benzene / 5% ethyl acetate and filtered through a ca. 3 cm bed of silica gel. The product was collected and solvent was removed, leaving 4.87 g (87%) of a yellowish oil which solidified on standing: mp 71.5-73° C (lit. 70-72° C.) Recrystalization from hexane gives glistening yellow prisms, m.p. 73-74°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$ 1.92 (m, 4H); 2.66(t, 4H, J-6); 3.27 (m, 4H); 6.84(s, 1H); 9.37(s, 1H); 11.8(s, 1H).

<u>1H,5H,11H-[1]Benzopyrano[6,7,8-ij]quinolizin-10-carboxylic</u> <u>acid-11-one-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-11-oxo, methyl ester (Coumarin 343 methyl ester)</u> <u>4</u>. Attempts to prepare the desired carboxylic acid by reaction of <u>3</u> with malonic acid in the presence of pyridine as described in the literature<sup>17</sup> yielded only starting material. The following modified procedure was found to be successful.

Dimethyl malonate (2.74 g, 2.4 mL, 20.7 mmol),  $\underline{3}$  (3.0 g, 13.8 mmol), and piperidine (3.53 g, 4.10 mL, 41.5 mmol, distilled from KOH prior to use) were dissolved in a solution of 50 mL of acetonitrile and 100 mL of benzene. A blue fluorescence was visible immediately. The solution was heated at reflux for 3 h and then slowly distilled. Methanol and water produced by the condensation reaction distilled first as an azeotrope, then benzene was added and the acetonitrile was removed by azeotropic distillation. The remaining solution was cooled to RT and yellow crystals formed (3.07 g, 75.0%). The crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with pentane, and dried: m.p. 230-232° C (sealed

-11-

tube); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz):  $\delta$ 1.97(m, 4H) 2.75(t, 2H, J=6); 2.86(t, 2H, J=6); 3.32(m, 4H), 3.90(s, 3H), 6.92 (s, 1H); 8.34(s, 1H). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{17}H_{17}NO_4$ : C, 68.22; H, 5.72; N, 4.68. Found: C, 68.47; H, 5.73; N, 4.82.

1H,5H,11H-[1]Benzopyrano[6,7,8-ij]quinolizin-10-carboxylic acid-11-one-2,3,6,7-tetrahydro-11-oxo (Coumarin 343) 1. A portion (2.0 g, 6.7 mmol) of Coumarin ester 4 was dissolved in 100 mL of conc HCl and kept at RT overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath and 40-50 mL of conc NH<sub>h</sub>OH was added slowly with stirring. The orange solid that precipitated was removed by filtration and washed with water. The filtrate was treated with additional NH<sub>h</sub>OH and the resulting precipitate was suspended with the first crop in 150 mL of water. NaOH (10\$) was added dropwise to the suspension until no more solid went into solution (about 5 mL). The orange solution was filtered and slowly reacidified with 10\$ HCL. The precipitated carboxylic acid was filtered on a large Buchner funnel, washed with water, air dried for 1 h and finally dried under vacuum (crude yield 1.63 g, 85\$). Recrystalization from acetonitrile/DMSO gave fine orange needles: m.p. 253° C (sealed tube) with decomposition. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDC1<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz): 2.00(m, 4H); 62.79(t, 2H, J=6); 2.88(t, 2H, J=6); 3.40(m, 4H) 7.00(s, 1H); 8.48(s, 1H); 12.5(s, 1H, dissappears with D<sub>2</sub>O). UV-VIS (ethanol)  $A_{max}$  445 nm (Lit. 446 nm)<sup>18</sup>; MS m/e 285, 257 (-CO), 256 (-CH), 241 (-CO<sub>2</sub>), 240 (-CO<sub>2</sub>H), 213 (-CO, CO<sub>2</sub>), 212 (-CO,  $CO_2H$ ), 184 (- $CO_2H$ ,  $C_2O_2$ ). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{16}H_{15}NO_4$ : C, 67.36; H, 5.30; N, 4.91. Found: C, 67.03; H, 5.35; N, 4.88.

<u>Coumarin 343 acid chloride 5</u>. An oven-dried, 100 mL pear-shaped flask with a sidearm and a condensor set for reflux was charged with 100 mg of acid <u>1</u> (0.35 mmol) and 15 mL of warm, dry CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The reaction mixture was kept under a nitrogen atmosphere. Oxalyl chloride (0.150 mL, 225 mg, 1.75 mmol) was added by syringe through the sidearm followed by 15  $\mu$ L of DMF. Gas evolution ensued for about 5 min during which time the solution darkened from orange to red.

-12-

After 20 min, the solution was heated and 50 mL of dry cyclohexane was added slowly while maintaining reflux. The solution was cooled to RT and stored overnight. Cooling for several hours in an ice bath gave fine red needles. The solvent was decanted under an atmosphere of dry N<sub>2</sub>, and the crystals were collected and dried under vacuum: 81.9 mg (77\$), m.p. 209-211° C (sealed tube) with decomposition. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup> 1774.7, 1524, 1312, 1624, 1586, 1174. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{16}H_{14}NO_{3}Cl$ : C, 63.27; H, 4.65; N, 4.61; Cl, 11.67. Found: C 63.40; H, 4.62; N, 4.74; Cl, 11.70.

<u>Chemiluminescence of acid chloride 5</u>. A 60 µL portion of a stock solution of 5 (7 x 10<sup>-3</sup>  $\underline{M}$ ) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> was injected into an oven-dried Pyrex cuvette equipped with a Teflon stopcock. To this solution was added 2.8 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 120 µL of a 0.1  $\underline{M}$  CHCl<sub>3</sub> stock solution of hydroperoxide <u>6</u>. The final concentrations of <u>5</u> and <u>6</u> were 1.4 x 10<sup>-4</sup>  $\underline{M}$  and 4.0 x 10<sup>-3</sup>  $\underline{M}$ , respectively. The stopcock was closed, and the cuvette was shaken once and placed in a cell holder which was pre-warmed to 66°C. The chemiluminescent decay was recorded in 128 registers each with a 20 s dwell time. A first-order rate constant for decay of the chemiluminescent emission of 1.9 x 10<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was obtained by a linear least squares analysis of this data. The total chemiluminescent intensity (arbitrary units) was determined by integration of the area under the decay curve and subtraction of a small background level.

<u>Chemiluminescence from 1 with DCC</u>. To a Pyrex cuvette equipped with a Teflon stopcock was added 2.7 mL of a 6.6 X  $10^{-3}$  <u>M</u> CHCl<sub>3</sub> stock solution of acid <u>1</u>, 0.3 mL of a 1.00 <u>M</u> stock solution of DCC, and 21 µL (0.150 mmol) of <u>6</u>. The final concentrations were: <u>1</u>, 5.9 X  $10^{-4}$  <u>M</u>; <u>6</u>, 5 X  $10^{-2}$  <u>M</u>; DCC, 0.1 <u>M</u>. The cuvette was placed in a cell holder which had been prewarmed to 90°C. Data were recorded in 128 registers with 20 s dwell times each. The total integrated intensity and observed first order decay rate were calculated as described above.

-13-

<u>Chemiluminescenece from 1 with DCE</u>. Method 1. A 250 µL portion of a 0.01 <u>M</u> stock solution of <u>1</u> in  $CHCl_3$  was added to a Pyrex cuvette equipped with a Teflon stopcock. Next, 27 µL of DCE (34.2 mg, 0.3 mmol) and 150 µL of a 0.1 <u>M</u> stock solution of 2,6-lutidine in  $CHCl_3$  and 300 µL of a 0.1 <u>M</u> stock solution of <u>6</u> in  $CHCl_3$  was added. The chemiluminescent emission was recorded as described above.

Method 2. A 30  $\mu$ L portion of a 0.01 <u>M</u> stock solution of <u>1</u> in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 68  $\mu$ L (86.4 mg, 0.75 mmol) of DCE, 3.2  $\mu$ L (2.9 mg, 0.028 mmol) of lutidine was kept at 60° C for 45 min. Then 1.4 mL of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 2.1  $\mu$ L (2.0 mg, 0.015 mmol) of <u>6</u> were added to the cuvette. The chemiluminescent emission was recorded as described above.

1 24.000

Quantum yields. The luminol reaction was performed as described by Lee<sup>10</sup>. A 1.5 X  $10^{-5}$  <u>M</u> solution of luminol was prepared in 0.1 <u>M</u> K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (pH = 11.6). A 150 µL portion of the luminol solution was injected into a 1 mm pathlength cell (to minimize self-absorption) and purged with oxygen for 10 min. The cell was placed in the photon counter and the chemiluminescence was recorded under standard conditions described. The total integrated emission intensity was calculated as above and averaged over several runs. The chemiluminescence emission intensity from luminol was compared with that of a solution of acid chloride 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT: This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research.

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES:**

- 1. Chandross, E. A.; Sonntag. F.I. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 1964, 86, 3179.
- 2. Rauhut. M. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1969, 2, 80.
- 3. (a) Shimomura, O. <u>Photochem. Photobiol</u>. 1983, <u>38</u>, 773, and references cited therein. (b) Hart, R. C.; Cormier, M. J. <u>Photochem. Photobiol</u>. 1979, <u>29</u>, 209, and references cited therein. (c) White, E. H.; Steinmetz. M. G.; Miano, J. D.; Wildes, P. D.; Morland, R. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 1980, <u>102</u>, 3199. (d) White, E. H.; Suzuki, N.; Miano, J. D. <u>J. Org. Chem</u>. 1978, <u>43</u>, 2366.
- 4. Schuster, G. B.; Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 366.
- 5. Koo, J-Y.; Schmidt. S. P.; Schuster, G. B. <u>Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci.</u> <u>USA.</u> 1979, <u>75</u>, 30.
- 6. Dixon, B.; Schuster, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3116.
- 7. Dixon, B.; Schuster, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3068.
- 8. Jones, G., II; Griffin, S. F.; Choi, C-Y.; Bergmark, W. R. <u>J. Org.</u> Chem. 1984, <u>49</u>, 2705.
- 9. Tanaka, K.; Imamura, J. Chem. Lett. 1974, 1347.
- 10. Lee, J. ONR Contract N00014-83-C-0614, June-September, 1983.
- (a) Williams, A.; Ibrahim, I. T. <u>Chem. Rev. 1981, 81, 589.</u> (b) Neises, B.; Steglich, W. <u>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 522.</u> (c) Holmberg, K.; Hansen, B. <u>Acta Chemica Scandinavia B. 1979, 33,</u> 410. (d) Vowinkel, E. <u>Chem. Ber</u>. 1967, 100, 16.
- 12. Greene, F. D.; Kazan, J. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 2168.
- 13. (a) Reiche, A.; Gross, H.; Hoft, E. <u>Chem. Ber. 1960, 93, 88.</u> (b) Gross, H.; Reiche, A. <u>Chem Ber. 1961, 94, 538.</u> (c) Gross, H.; Reiche, A. <u>Chem Ber. 1959, 92, 83.</u> (d) Poduska, K.; Gross, H. <u>Chem</u> <u>Ber. 1961, 94, 527.</u> (e) Heslinga, L.; Katerberg, G. J.; Arens, J. F. <u>Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. 1957, 76, 969.</u>
- 14. Katayama, H.; Eilchi, A.; Kaneko, K. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 1982, 19, 92.
- 15. (a) Reynolds, G. A. U. S. Patent 4,005,092 (C.L. 260-287P; C07D 491/A), Jan. 25, 1977. (b) Valenta, Z.; Deslongchamps, P,; Ellison, R. A.; Weisner, K. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 1964, <u>86</u>, 2533.
- 16. Specht, D. P.; Martic, P. A.; Farid, S. <u>Tetrahedron</u>. 1982, <u>38</u>, 1203.

- 17. (a) Stuart, C. M. J. Chem. Soc. 1886, 49, 365. (b) Kurien, P. N.; Pandya, K. C.; Surange, V. R. J. Ind. Chem. Soc. 1934, 11, 823. (c) Kahn, A. A.; Kurien, P. N.; Pandya, K. C. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 1934-5, 1-A, 440. (d) Michael, A.; Weiner, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1936, 58, 680.
- 18. Reynolds, G. A.; Drexhage, K. H. Opt. Commun. 1975, 13, 222.
- 19. Williams, H. R.; Mosher, H. S. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 1954, <u>76</u>, 2984, 2987.
- 20. Swern, K.; Clements, A. H.; Luang, T. M. Anal. Chem. 1969, 41, 412.

## TABLE 1

Second Sec.

にいいたいので

南京大学でい

Street.

CALLANS!

Reaction of Acid Chloride 5 with Hydroperoxides.

Hydroperox ide <sup>a</sup>	Light yield <sup>b</sup>		
PhCH(CH <sub>3</sub> )OOH	100		
сн <sub>3</sub> (сн <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> оон <sup>19</sup>	2.0		
сн <sub>3</sub> сн(оон)сн <sub>2</sub> сн <sub>3</sub> <sup>19</sup>	1.7		
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> COOH <sup>20</sup>	<0.1		

a)Reactions were carried out in chloroform solution at room temperature.

b)Relative to 1-phenethyl hydroperoxide  $\underline{6}$  which is set at 100.

[DCC]	[PhCH(CH <sub>3</sub> )OOH]	[HOeT]	[PYRIDINE]	LIGHT YIELD C
0.1	0.1			44,093
0.1	0.1	1x10 <sup>-3</sup>		55,517
0.1	0.1	1x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1x10 <sup>-3</sup>	57,325
0.1	0.1	5x10 <sup>-4</sup>	1x10 <sup>-3</sup>	83,541
0.1	0.1		1x10 <sup>-3</sup>	78,276
0.1	0.1		3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	78,665
0.1	0.1		3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	86,464
0.1	0.1	1x10 <sup>-4</sup>		83,060
0.1	0.1	5x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	95,809
0.1	0.1	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	104,978 <sup>d</sup>
0.1	0.1	1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	81,226
0.1	0.1	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>		83,005
0.1	0.1	1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	73,563
0.1	0.01	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	58,913
0,1	0.2	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	89,852
0.01	0.1	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	75,913
0.01	0.01	4x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	38,897

Effect of TsOH and Pyridine Concentrations on the Light Yield in the Reaction of Hydroperoxide <u>6</u> with Acid <u>1</u> and DCC.<sup>a,b</sup>

a) Acid 1,  $5 \times 10^{-4} M$ , chloroform solution, 57 °C.

- b) Reaction of acid chloride,  $5, 5 \times 10^{-4}$  M, with hydroperoxide 6 (0.01 M) in chloroform solution at 60 °C gives 36,362 counts.
- c) Light yield in arbitrary units.

d) This chemiluminescence quantum yield is approximately twice that obtained from the direct reaction of 5.

TABLE 2

## DL/1113/86/2

1. 2 . 3.

# TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No.		No.
<u>-</u>	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 1113 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	2	Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 50C Crane, Indiana 47522-5050	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko, Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93401	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 high quality	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 2770	1 9
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. H. Singerman Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1911	1 2
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1

## DL/1113/86/2

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 051A

Dr. M. A. El-Sayed Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. E. R. Bernstein Department of Chemistry Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado 80521

Dr. J. R. MacDonald Chemistry Division Naval Research Laboratory Code 6110 Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. G. B. Schuster Chemistry Department University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801

Dr. J.B. Halpern Department of Chemistry Howard University Washington, D.C. 20059

Dr. M. S. Wrighton Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. A. Paul Schaap Department of Chemistry Wayne State University Detroit, Michigan 49207

Dr. W.E. Moerner I.B.M. Corporation Almaden Research Center 650 Harry Rd. San Jose, California 95120-6099

Dr. A.B.P. Lever Department of Chemistry York University Downsview, Ontario CANADA M3J1P3

Dr. John Cooper Code 6173 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000 Dr. George E. Walrafen Department of Chemistry Howard University Washington, D.C. 20059

Dr. Joe Brandelik AFWAL/AADO-1 Wright Patterson AFB Fairborn, Ohio 45433

Dr. Carmen Ortiz Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas Serrano 121 Madrid 6, SPAIN

Dr. John J. Wright Physics Department University of New Hampshire Durham, New Hampshire 03824

Dr. Kent R. Wilson Chemistry Department University of California La Jolla, California 92093

Dr. G. A. Crosby Chemistry Department Washington State University Pullman, Washington 99164

Dr. Theodore Pavlopoulos NOSC Code 521 San Diego, California 91232

