



1 4 1 T T A

. ....

826 AD-A171

Ξ

# **GRAPHICAL INTERFACES FOR SIMULATION**

J. D. Hollan, E. L. Hutchins, T. P. McCandless, M. Rosenstein, and L. Weitzman

May 1986

ICS Report 8603

FILE COPY 110



86

9

16

027

# **GRAPHICAL INTERFACES FOR SIMULATION**

J. D. Hollan, E. L. Hutchins, T. P. McCandless, M. Rosenstein, and L. Weitzman

May 1986

ICS Report 8603

NPRDC-UCSD Intelligent Systems Group Institute for Cognitive Science University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California 92093

To be published in W. B. Rouse (Ed.), Advances in man-machine systems (Vol. Greenwich, CT: Jai Press.



This research was sponsored by the Personnel and Training Research Programs, Psychological Sciences Division, Office of Naval Research, under Contract No. N00014-85-C-0133, Contract Authority Identification Number, NR 667-541. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the sponsoring agencies. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. Requests for reprints should be sent to James D. Hollan, NPRDC-UCSD Intelligent Systems Group; Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015; University of California, San Diego; La Jolla, CA 92093.

Copyright © 1986 by James D. Hollan, Edwin L. Hutchins, Timothy P. McCandless, Mark Rosenstein, Louis Weitzman

The disconent her been opproved for public release and allo do distribution is unlimited.

Unclassi SECURITY CLAS		F THIS PAGE			<u> </u>	1171 82	6
			REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE		
1a. REPORT SE	CURITY CLASS	IFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS	·····	•
Unclas	sified			1			•
2a. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
26. DECLASSIF	ICATION / DOW	NGRADING SCHED	JLE		for public tion unlimi		
4. PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZAT	ION REPORT NUMB	ER(S)			REPORT NUMBER(S	)
. ICS 860	3						
6a. NAME OF	PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION	
Institut	e for Cogi	nitive Scienc	(If applicable)	Personnel &	S Training	Research Pro	grams
		<u>ifornia, San</u>	liego			rch (Code l	
6c. ADDRESS (	City, State, an	d ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and Zil	P Code)	
C-015					Quincy Stre		
La Jolla	, CA 9209	93		Arlington,	VA 22217-	5000	
8a. NAME OF		NSORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT I	DENTIFICATION NU	MBER
ORGANIZA			(If applicable)	N00014-85	5-C-0133		
8c. ADDRESS (	City, State, and	ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF I	UNDING NUMBE	IRS	
				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
				61153N	RR04206	RR04206-0A	
11. TITLE (Incl	ude Security C	lassification)	<u> </u>	011350	1	L.1.1.04200-0A	
•		faces for Sim	ulation				
12. PERSONAL		Edwin L. Hu	tchins, Timothy	P. McCandle	ss. Mark Ro	senstein. Lo	uis Weitzmar
13a. TYPE OF Techn	REPORT	13b. TIME ( FROM		14. DATE OF REPO			
		NON To be pu	ublished in W. B	a second s	). Advances	in man-mach	ine
		-	T: Jai Press.				
17.	COSATI	CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS	(Continue on revers	e if necessary an	nd identify by bloc	k number)
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP		terfaces; sin	-		
05	08			isted instruc		-	-
				tor: knowled			,

The dynamic graphical display of the state of complex systems has immense potential value for mediating the development of richer understandings of process as well as for providing more effective mechanisms of interaction. For the past few years we have been involved in the development of a set of software tools to assist in the construction of interfaces to simulations and real-time systems. These tools have been used extensively in the development of an interactive inspectable simulation-based instructional system, Steamer. (Hollan, Hutchins, Weitzman, 1984). Underlying our efforts are three interrelated research activities: formulating a theory of interface design, understanding the effectiveness of interactive graphical representational systems, and implementing systems based on these developing theoretical notions. The dialectic between these activities has been very valuable for us as cognitive scientists and as system builders. In this chapter, we survey some of the presuppositions of our approach to interface design, describe the tools we have built to assist in the construction of graphical interfaces, and discuss the conclusions we have drawn from our experiences in graphical interface design.

20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF		21. ABSTRACT SEC Unclassif	URITY CLASSIFICA	TION
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVI Dr. Michael G. Shaf		225. TELEPHONE (1 (202) 696-		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL ONR 1142PT
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR	83 APR edition may be used un		SECURITY C	LASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE
	All other editions are of	osolete.	Unclas	sified

# Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
UNDERLYING PRESUPPOSITIONS	4
TOOLS FOR CONSTRUCTING GRAPHICAL INTERFACES	7
Simulation Environment	7
Model Control	8
Graphics Editor	9
Icon Editor	12
Knowledge-Base Editors	15
Knowledge Editor and Grapher	15
Lesson Editor	19
Behavior Editor	21
Designer	22
CONCLUSIONS	25
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	26
REFERENCES	27

Access	tion For	
NTIS		
DTIC 1		
Unaan		
Justi	rt.ution	1
		1
By		$\left\{ \right.$
Distr	ibution/	-
Avai	Inbility Codes	
	Aveil and/or	
Dist	Special	
		ļ
		ł
A-1		

`



# **Graphical Interfaces for Simulation**

JAMES D. HOLLAN, EDWIN L. HUTCHINS, TIMOTHY P. McCANDLESS, MARK ROSENSTEIN, and LOUIS WEITZMAN

## **INTRODUCTION**

In order to illustrate the type of graphical interface with which we are concerned, we begin by briefly describing Steamer, a system which employs an interactive graphical interface to a steam propulsion plant simulation. Steamer is a research project involved with evaluating the potential training applications of techniques from the new disciplines of artificial intelligence and cognitive science. While the project addresses a host of research issues ranging from how people understand complex dynamic systems to how AI software and hardware advances might be applied to training, it is focused around the construction of a computer-based system to assist in propulsion engineering instruction. The goal of the project is not only to build a training system with tutorial and explanation facilities but also to construct a set of software tools to assist in the implementation of simulation-based training systems and graphical interfaces.

Steamer currently consists of a graphical interface to a mathematical model of a steam propulsion plant. The interface allows a user to select from a library of propulsion plant views and interact with a selected view to change the state of the underlying simulation model. The evolution of plant states can be observed by graphical changes in the view on a color display. Views depict aspects of the propulsion system at various levels of detail. They vary from collections of gauges and indicators typically found in a real plant to schematic diagrams specifically designed to depict models similar to those experts seem to employ in reasoning about the operation of the propulsion plant. The potential for increased instructional effectiveness derives from representations with the ability to show global views of systems that are physically dispersed in the actual plant and thus difficult to see as a total system, to show simplified versions of systems designed to be easier to understand or to provide better models for reasoning about the plant, to look "inside" systems or components and see flows or other internal characteristics, and to make available indicators that depict aspects of the operation of a system not normally available but that are useful in developing an understanding of a system.

Figures 1 through 4 are black and white renditions of views a user of Steamer would see on a color graphics screen. State information is depicted by color, by animation, and by analog, digital, and textual changes. For example, operational status of a pump or valve is indicated by color (red for off; green for on); flow rates in pipes are dynamically shown by use of animation techniques; dials and graphs reflect plant parameters. The iconic representation serves both to provide state information and as a mechanism for changing state of an underlying simulation. By pointing to a component with a mouse-controlled cursor, a user can change its state by clicking on it. For example, clicking on a pump will toggle its state. Similarly one can vary the level of a tank, change the value of a dial, or position a throttle. Of course, the nature of the underlying simulation and the goals of the interface designer determine which variables and thus which components can be manipulated by a user. The important

2 HOLLAN, HUTCHINS, McCANDLESS, ROSENSTEIN, & WEITZMAN

point here is that the interface functions as a two-way communication device: depicting and allowing changes of state.

A high-level view of the Main Engine Lube Oil System is depicted in Figure 1. One can watch the state of the lube oil system and its responses to changes made to the propulsion system. The flows in the system are dynamically depicted by animation within pipes; the connectivity of the system is shown. The states of the lube oil service pumps (LOSP1A-C) are indicated by color changes. In Figure 1 the attached pump LOSP1C is operating, LOSP1B is off, and LOSP1A is operating at low speed (colors in the figure are represented by differing gray shades). A series of pressure sensors is shown at the lower right of the view, along with digital and analog representations of lube oil pressure. These sensors monitor pressure at the bearing most distant from the pumps and will automatically control the state of the service pumps if pressure drops below specified levels. The valve at the top left is the lube oil unloader valve and the column above it indicates how far it is open. As pressure in the system rises above a set threshold, the unloader valve opens and unloads lube oil back into the sump, preventing



FIGURE 1. Main Engine Lube Oil. The lube oil system is distributed throughout the propulsion plant. This view provides a global view of its operation. Like most figures in this chapter, it is a black and white rendition of a view normally presented on a color screen. Dithering techniques have been used to map from colors to stipple patterns. The boxes shown within pipes are used on the color screen to provide animation of flows.

THROTTLEBOARD



FIGURE 2. Throttle Board. This view shows the following major system parameters: superheater outlet pressure, main steam drum pressure, main condensate vacuum, astern pressure, exhaust trunk temperature, high pressure first stage turbine pressure, main lube oil pressure, and allows for control and monitoring of the ahead and astern throttles.

overpressurization. There are two controller boxes and a switch next to lube oil service pumps 1A and 1B. By touching the switch the system can be put in manual mode, and the controller boxes for the pumps can be touched and operated to change pump speed to high (H), low (L), or stop (S). As the controller is operated, the associated pump will change state and the ramifications of that change will be continuously reflected in other portions of the view.

Figure 2 shows a Throttle Board view that allows the user to control the Ahead and Astern throttle and monitor a number of important system parameters. Figures 3 and 4 depict portions of the Feed System. Figure 3 shows the states of the two boilers (1A and 1B), the level of the deaerating feed tank (DFT, a water storage tank), the states of the six pumps involved in the system, and the large number of valves used to control and direct the feed water to the boilers, as well as key system parameters.

The same type of graphical interface can be provided for a real-time simulation. For example, Figure 5 contains a view we designed to monitor the dynamic state of one of the computers on our local area network. It shows the number of users running various programs and continuously graphs interrupts, system calls, cpu idle time, characters in and out, users on the system, and processes waiting to be run.



FIGURE 3. Main Feed System. Shows the topology and major components of the feedwater system.

These examples are intended to illustrate the type of interactive graphical interfaces with which we are concerned. The key notion is that the interface serves as a communication device to allow a user to see and manipulate the state of an underlying simulation or real-time system. In the Steamer application we have been concerned with using this form of interface in training. It should be clear that it is just as applicable for monitoring or controlling a system. Our primary concerns here are to discuss why we think this form of interactive graphical interface is powerful and describe a set of tools we have implemented to facilitate interface implementation.

# UNDERLYING PRESUPPOSITIONS

A common way of describing the class of interfaces discussed above is as *direct manipulation* interfaces (Shneiderman, 1982). In our view, most of the treatments of direct manipulation interfaces focus at the wrong level of analysis. The naive notion seems to be that the key properties are bit-mapped displays and pointing devices. One of our presuppositions is that interfaces are representational systems designed for communication, and just as in the analysis of any other representational system, it is



# MAKE-UP & EXCESS FEED

FIGURE 4. Make-up and Excess Feed. This is another portion of the feed system designed to show control relationships between tank levels and states of associated valves and pumps.

essential to understand the cognitive task that the system is attempting to support. It is an egregious error to suppose that one can discuss interface design outside of the cognitive contexts of the task domain in which an interface is embedded. The *directness* associated with an interface comes from how directly the interface supports the user's cognitive task. Graphical displays and pointing devices are media of support but do not in themselves guarantee any directness. Directness results when the interface language closely matches the way in which a user thinks of a task. Directness is thus not a property of interfaces but involves the relationship between the task a user has in mind and the way in which the task can be accomplished via the interface.

An interface provides a language for the user to communicate with a system and for the system to communicate with a user. A key notion for us is the relationship between the meaning of an expression in the interface language and what the user wants to say. We have termed this relationship *Semantic Distance* (Hutchins, Hollan, & Norman, 1986). The extent that an interface language allows one to say what one wants to say without circumlocutions is the measure of its semantic directness. Another aspect of directness is the relationship between the meanings of expressions in the interface language and their physical forms. We call this *Articulatory Distance* (Hutchins, Hollan, & Norman, 1986). Nonarbitrary relationships between meaning and the way it is physically expressed provide this form of directness. A nonarbitrary graphical relationship between icons and what they depict is an example of articulatory directness. Similarly, on the input side of an interface language, interfaces that allow one to make statements about position by pointing provide examples of articulatory directness.



FIGURE 5. Unix Display. A view designed primarily to illustrate the connection of a graphical view to a real-time system. It provides a summary of the state of an operating system running on one of the computers on our local area network.

Thus, one of the important underlying presuppositions of our approach is to view an interface as a representational language and to place primary importance on the cognitive task that a user is attempting to accomplish. Another fundamental presupposition of our approach is the view that graphical forms of representation provide powerful ways of bringing abstract things into the realm of the perceptually knowable. We think there are important cognitive properties that make graphical representations effective. These properties derive from the types of processing activities people are especially good at: detecting patterns, constructing mental models or simulations of the world which support causal reasoning, and manipulating the world by actions on it or on representations of it.

Rumelhart, Smolensky, McClelland, and Hinton (1986) have argued that one of the effective problem-solving strategies people employ involves the creation of artifacts, physical representations that can be manipulated to get answers to questions. They suggest that the underlying strategy is to make "problems conform to problems we are good at solving" and argue that:

We are especially good at pattern matching. We seem to be able to quickly "settle" on an interpretation of an input pattern. This is an ability central to perceiving, remembering, and comprehending. Our ability to pattern match is probably not something which sets humans apart from other animals, but is probably *the* essential component to most cognitive behavior.

We are good at modeling our world. That is, we are good at anticipating the new state of affairs resulting from our actions or from an event that we might observe. This ability to

build up expectations by "internalizing" our experiences is probably crucial to the survival of all organisms in which learning plays a key role.

We are good at manipulating our environment. This is another version of man-the-tooluser, and we believe that this is perhaps the crucial skill which allows us to think logically, do mathematics and science, and in general to build culture. Especially important here is our ability to manipulate the environment so that it comes to represent something. This is what sets human intellectual accomplishments apart from other animals. (Rumelhart et al., 1986, pp. 44-45)

If these are indeed the types of activities that people are especially good at, there are clear implications for why graphical interfaces may have important cognitive properties. They provide a physical representational system that permits us to make abstractions perceptually available and thus allow the use of our powerful pattern-matching abilities. They make possible the depiction of models of the world that are similar to the mental models or simulations people seem to use to reason about the world. These models can depict physical state information, causal connections, and are runnable, permitting a user to see the effects that result from changes of state. Finally, graphical interfaces provide the potential of directly manipulable representations of systems. These factors comprise another set of presuppositions underlying our approach to graphical interface design and also provide motivation for our interest in simulation-based systems.

## TOOLS FOR CONSTRUCTING GRAPHICAL INTERFACES

There is a need for software tools to assist in the creation of graphical interfaces. Here we describe a set of tools we have been evolving to facilitate interface design. First we describe a general simulation environment, which consists of a *Model Controller* and a *Graphics Editor*. This is the core of the system we are developing. It permits one to build interactive graphical interfaces to simulation models or real-time systems. The Graphics Editor makes available a set of icons, facilities for modifying characteristics of icons (e.g., size, shape, color, and placement), and the ability to associate icons with model variables so that the icons reflect the values of the variables and so that one can interact with the icons to change the values of their associated variables. The Model Controller allows one to run simulation models, observe the model's state via graphical views constructed with the Graphics Editor, and interact with the views to change the state of a simulation model.

We also will describe a number of related tools we are developing to support the construction of interfaces. Chief among them is an *Icon Editor*, which makes possible the construction of new icons without requiring a user to operate at the level of code writing. In addition we discuss a series of *Knowledge-Base Editors* for the specification of domain knowledge. We have implemented a *Behavior Editor* to explore the incorporation of simulation knowledge into icons and are in the process of developing a *Lesson Editor* to explore the incorporation of domain knowledge into graphical views so that they can explain themselves, pose problems to students, and monitor their answers. *Designer*, an interactive visual design consultant for users of the Graphics Editor, makes available graphical design knowledge during the process of constructing and critiquing graphical views. Underlying a number of these knowledge-base editors is a frame-based representational language. We will also briefly describe it and a graphical interface to it.

### Simulation Environment

The Simulation Environment we have designed consists of a set of activities to allow users to build, observe, and manipulate views of a simulation model or real-time process. In our work we have used this facility to build interfaces to mathematical simulations such as the steam propulsion simulation

8 HOLLAN, HUTCHINS, MCCANDLESS, ROSENSTEIN, & WEITZMAN

used in Steamer, real-time systems, and Parallel Distributed Processing (PDP) models learning to recognize patterns in the operation of underlying systems. A system consists of a process and a set of user defined views connected to that process.

One interacts with a simulation system via its associated views. A view is a graphical collection of icons representing a portion of a simulation. We have designed a *Model Controller* to allow users to manipulate views, observe the effects of the manipulations, and control the underlying simulation model. Using this controller, a user selects two views. One is typically a view used to control global aspects of a system, while the other is used to manipulate and observe subsystems. In Steamer, for example, the global view contains the throttles for the ship and important status information and the other view can be alternated between any of the approximately one hundred views available.

Each simulation environment activity, such as editing or running a simulation model, is supported by a screen configuration which provides a set of functions and menus to help a user accomplish the tasks associated with the activity. Integrated into the current simulation environment are the Model Control and Graphics Editor activities as well as a related set of activities discussed below.

#### Model Control

The Model Control activity provides facilities for changing systems, models, and views. It also allows modification of the behavior of a model, such as the rate it runs in the case of a simulation, or the rate an interface is sampled in the case of a real-time system. Figure 6 shows a typical configuration for the Model Controller. The status line near the bottom of the screen maintains current state



FIGURE 6. *Model Controller*. The screen configuration of the black and white display during operation of a simulation. It provides functions for controlling the running of a simulation, switching between graphical views, and selecting other activities. Typically used while viewing and interacting with a view on the color screen.

information. In this case the Steamer system has been selected and its associated model is running with the Make Up and Excess Feed view displayed on the color screen. The right half of the middle section of the screen shows a Steamer control view. At the bottom of that view is the ahead throttle, and immediately above that are important data, such as the ship's speed, engine rpm, and the fact that the ship is currently operating with one boiler. Above this information are other indicators of the global state of the propulsion system. Across the top of the screen are menus of operations available for controlling the model and views. The right most menu choice allows changing activities.

The status line allows a standard interface to a set of general control functions. The way to view the status line is as a display of attribute value pairs that describe the system at a given time. The attributes explicitly displayed are the current system, model, subsystem, and view. An asterisk is used to indicate a value that has been modified. Whether a model is running or not is shown in square brackets.

#### **Graphics Editor**

The Graphics Editor originated from our work on Steamer (Hollan, Hutchins, & Weitzman, 1984) and the requirement to implement a large number of dynamic views of a propulsion system. We needed a tool which would allow instructors, who were knowledgeable about a domain but computer naive, to create graphical interfaces. The resulting Graphics Editor has been used to create more than a hundred views for Steamer and has been designed to allow its easy extension into other domains. The editor provides a user with a set of icons that can be arrayed on a graphics screen to create a view of an underlying simulation or real-time system. It provides functions commonly available in computer-aided design systems. One can save and restore views from files, mark the elements of a view (individually, by type, within an area, etc.), and edit those marked elements (moving, copying, deleting, changing color, size, etc.). A grid facility is provided to assist in accurately positioning icons within a view.

The multipaned menu interface to the editor activity is shown in Figure 7. The status line in the lower portion of the screen provides control facilities similar to those in the Model Control activity. In constructing a view, a user chooses icons from the menu of icons and positions them on the color screen. Figure 8 depicts a subset of the available icons. Typically a process of incremental refinement of the view takes place in which icons are moved around, reshaped, colored, and given labels and appropriate units.

An important characteristic of the Graphics Editor is the way in which it supports the association of icons to underlying variables in a mathematical model or real-time system. We call this process *tapping*. There are two types of taps. A *probe* tap associates a variable with an icon so that the icon reflects the current state of that variable. A *set* tap also associates a variable with an icon but in a way that allows one to change the value of the variable by interacting with the icon. Figure 9 illustrates the tapping mechanism and a simple math model. On the left are the variables in a simple math model and on the right are the icons to which they have been tapped. The toggle switch turns this model on and off. Each tick of the clock indicates that one unit of time has passed in the simulation. While the model is running, the value sets its variable. This means that when one interacts with the column above the valve, it sets the value of its variable, %-VALVE-OPEN, to reflect the valve's degree of openness. The pipe, on the other hand, probes its value, PIPE-SPEED. This means that on each tick of the clock, the pipe reflects the value of PIPE-SPEED by changes in flow rate. When one interacts to change the state of the valve, the effect propagates via the math model and affects pipe flow. Also included in the simulation are the clock and toggle switch themselves. The clock probes its value, CLOCK-STATE, while the toggle switch sets its value, MODEL-RUNNING.

To set up the tappings of the pipe, a user of the graphics editor would mark the pipe icon, then click on Tap. This generates a pop-up menu (shown in Figure 10) for specifying the tapping parameters. Here the tap probe has been associated with the variable PIPE-SPEED. This will cause the pipe to probe that variable when run. Similarly, values of other tapping parameters are provided. The variable

	Create J Sniect Bave V/Jaw	Attribute Flevor Kill List Probe Recrde	Draw	interact initialize Configure	Activity Summy
	Highlight Clasr	Delete Undelete Probe	Move Capy	Tap Name Color	Draw
	Mark All	Default	Edit Shaqe	Label Picture	Bizo
	Tapped	Draw		Macalanaous	Points
	Untapped Type	Describe	Rotate Reflect	inter View	Disgone T Squan
	Find	Impect			
	Mark	Edit Marked Icons			G-14
	Circle	Graph	Centrifug		Bar Bwitch
- 1	Rectangle Lozence	Multi Plot Gr	aph Rotary		Knife Switch Rotary Switc
	Triangle	_	A <del>lr</del> Ej		Toggie Switc
	Trapezoid Diseond	Diel Golumo	Y Str	almer	Stop Valve
	Heimgon	Tank	Duples I	itrainer /	Inglestop Vah
	Octagon	Digital Bar	impulei Orii		Check Velve Refef Valve
		Force Ber			Balety Valve
	Line Spiine	Bar	le Ja		S Way Valv
	Polygon	Bignel Flame	Circuit   Funité		4 Way Value
	Text		Fu	10	
	Berner	Pipe	Giec		Other
	Icons (stem: All		n Engine Lube C		

FIGURE 7. Graphics Editor. Screen configuration of the black and white display for editing a graphical view.

%-VALVE-OPEN would be entered as the tap set for the column associated with the valve so that it would be set in the model when a user interacted with it. Notice the tap mapping line for the toggle switch in Figure 11. The mapping mechanism allows the designer to map the icon's value from one scale to another. Selecting *logical* would map the "on" state to true and the "off" state to nil. Another choice is binary, which maps the "on" state to 1 and the "off" state to 0. All icons that depict a state or change a state of a math model variable are tapped in this manner.

An associated facility to aid the tapping process is the model augment. There are occasions when a math model insufficiently represents aspects of a simulation that a designer might wish to display. An augment allows one to enhance the simulation model where it is inadequate, to conveniently write more complex tapping code, and to provide stand-alone simulations for views. For example, the Steamer math model does not represent flow in pipes. Since we think that flow is important in understanding causality in a steam system, we often add a model augment to a view so the iconic representations of pipes depict flow rates. A model augment also allows the builder to derive values from existing variables. In the simple model augment shown in Figure 9 for the pipe and valve system, we wanted to relate pipe flow to the state of the valve: the more open the valve, the more flow. The model sets the pipe speed to be the openness of the valve divided by 100.

In designing and implementing the editor we have capitalized on the flexible object-oriented Flavors System of Zetalisp. What is created as a result of the view construction process is a Lisp program that contains a number of dynamic entities capable of responding to messages and of providing graphical support to an interactive instructional system. For example, consider a dial. Many of the properties of the dial actually come from simpler objects which can be used in a variety of icons. Figure 12 shows some of the component pieces of a dial: RECTANGULAR, CONTINUOUS, and TAP mixins. For any icon that displays continuous values, we mix in the object called CONTINUOUS. This object provides



# **ICON SAMPLER**

FIGURE 8. Icon Sampler. A subset of the graphical icons available for use with the graphics editor.

a place to hold the icon's value and the minimum and maximum values the icon can display. The CONTINUOUS mixin also provides commands, or messages any instance of a dial icon can be sent. CONSTRAIN-VALUE is an example message. It provides a way of constraining a number to be between a minimum and maximum value. Figure 13 shows the complex structure of a dial, including its instance variables and the messages that it can handle. Of course, a user of the Graphics Editor does not need to think in terms of these implementation details but need only be concerned with critiquing visual characteristics of the dial and tapping it into the appropriate variable.

By having knowledge stored locally in icons, the Editor and Model Controller do not need to know about how the dial does its work. Since the editor does not need to know, neither does the view builder. Icons such as dials or pipes understand other basic messages like SHOW which, when received, cause the receiving icon to show the value provided in the message. If we send a dial the message to show the value 7, it reflects that value by positioning its needle. On the other hand, if we send a pipe the same message, it shows this value as flow. In neither case did we explicitly need to know how the icon worked, only that they understood the message sent. These messages make possible a very powerful generic interface ability which has been exceedingly useful in the development of the simulation environment.





FIGURE 9. Tapping Mechanism. A depiction of the relationships between variables in a simple mathematical model and a set of icons. Set taps allow the value of a variable to be changed by interacting with an icon. Probe taps cause icons to reflect the value of associated variables. At the bottom of the figure is a simple model augment used with this view.

# **Icon Editor**

While the Graphics Editor allows one to construct views from an existing set of icons, the *Icon Editor* allows users to construct new icons which can dynamically display and modify the state of a simulation. A user of the Icon Editor specifies both the icon's appearance and behavior. This specification determines the graphical states for the icon. For example, we have seen the appearance of a dial icon in a number of views. The behavior of the dial icon, like a real gauge, comes from the ability to position its needle. For the icons of the Graphics Editor these specifications were made by directly writing Lisp code. Experience with the Graphics Editor has demonstrated that incremental specification and refinement of the appearance of a view is most easily effected through the graphical manipulation of its components, the icons. The Icon Editor mimics this facility by allowing the specification of an icon's

Graphic	s Edit	or		
PIPE Icon Gentinuous Tat; Max Value: 1.0 Min Value: -1.0 Tap Mapping: UNMAPPED	Greate Select Bave View	Attribute Flavor Kill List Probe Plaurde	Draw Initia	Activity
Tap Probe: PIPE-SPEED Tap Set: NL	Highlight Claar	Delete Undelete Probe	Move EE Copy New Colo	Sizw
	Mark All Tapped Untapped	Default List Draw	Edit Labi Shape Pictu Macela Potate	re neous <u>Points</u> Diagonal
	Type Find Misc Mark	Describe inspect <u>Edit Marked Icons</u>	Reflect Inter V	New T Square
	Circle Rectangle Lozenge	Graph Multi Plot Gra	• • • • • •	Knife Switch Plotery Switch
	Triangie Trapezoid Diamond Hemgon	Dial Column Tank	Air Ejector Y Strainer Duples Strainer	Taggie Switch Stop Valve Anglestop Valve
	Octagon	Digital Bar Force Bar Bar		Check Valve Railef Valve Safety Valve Regulator Valve
	Spine Polygon Text	Ngnai Fitume	Bedg Bedg Ghout Breeker Fuelble Link Fuel	J Way Valve
ون	Benner Icons	Pipe	Biscult	Other
System: Steamer System* Model: Steamer Model [stopped] Sub Syste		View: Tap	ping Mechanium*	
Tap narked icons.				
npat				UCSO

FIGURE 10. Tapping an Icon. Clicking on the tap menu item pops up a window in which probe and set variables can be specified as well as constraints and mappings of their values.

appearance through graphical manipulation of the components of the icon and specification of its behavior through a critiquing process. This permits the development of a hierarchy of graphical primitives for use in the appearance of icons and a set of graphical behaviors for icons. Thus, the Icon Editor is a tool for the construction of icons without explicit programming.

A number of the Steamer icons can be thought of as combinations of existing icons. The digital bar icon in Figure 14 consists of a bar icon, a banner icon and a rectangle icon. To allow positioning these components, the same graphical techniques available in the Graphics Editor, such as marking and moving, are also available in the Icon Editor. The top of Figure 15 shows a flame icon. In a number of views, flickering of this icon is used to depict the flicker of an actual flame. To construct the icon with the Icon Editor, four lines were incrementally added. The Icon Editor thus makes possible the incremental design of new icons from basic components.

When a user builds a view in the Graphics Editor, the system is writing code. This level of activity is entirely invisible to the user. When constructing a view, the user has no feeling of coding, of describing the procedure the computer will follow to reconstruct and run the view. Similarly, with the Icon Editor we don't want a person constructing an icon to feel that they are writing code. Thus, the Icon Editor must make available an appropriate toolkit of behaviors with semantically direct representation for each behavior.

It is unlikely that there is one general scheme to allow builders of icons access to primitive graphical behaviors. In the digital bar case, the component icons do all the work. The builder specifies the behavior of the digital bar by constraining its tap to be the taps for the bar and banner. In addition, the designer of the digital bar icon must decide which attributes to make available to the user of the icon. In the Icon Editor a pane of attributes for each component is displayed. The user can constrain two attributes to be identical, in a manner similar to the way taps were constrained, or place an attribute in

Graphic		Attribute Pie Kill U	war Tapa lat Draw rdar Hardooj		Activity Stmeny
State Colors: ALL HIGH-LOW-OFF <b>ON-OFF</b> SECURED-WAI Tap Mapping: BINARY LOGICAL SECURED-WARMUP-OPER Tap Probe: MODEL-RUNNING Tap Set: MODEL-RUNNING			Move Capy Edit Buspe	Elap Name Color Label Picture	Draw Stow
	Type Find Mac Mark	Describe Inspect	Rotate Reflect	Mecelanecu Inter View	Diagonal T Square
	Circle Rectangle Lozenge Triangle	Grap Multi Plot	Graph Rot	Fugal Pump My Pump Ejector	Bar Switch Knifs Switch Rotary Switch Taggie Switch
	Trapezoid Diamond Heinigun Octagon	Dia) Cohin Teni Digitai	in Y: k Dupli Imp	Brainer III Strainer Lieu Trap Drifice	Stop Valve Anglestop Valv Check Valve Relief Valve
	Line Spline Polygon	Force : Bar Bigni Filam	al Girou s Fut	Sedg It Breaker ible Link	Balety Valve Regulator Valv 3 Way Valve 4 Way Valve
up System: Resiner System" Model: Resiner Madel (stopped) Sub Syst	Text Barner Icons	Ppe View: 1		Fuee Necult	Other
es herhed teens.					UCSI

FIGURE 11. Mapping a Tap. The tapping mechanism allows the mapping of state colors and variable types. Here a mapping has been made between a logical variable and the on-off state.

the color or miscellaneous menus for use in the Graphics Editor. For the digital bar, the bar's color and the banner's text color would be placed in the color menu. Since bar, rectangle, and banner have labels, the icon builder would not put any of the label attributes of the components in the menu. This prevents the user of the icon from accidentally placing labels in incorrect positions. The Icon Editor also provides color and miscellaneous buttons, to allow the builder to check the appearance of the menus as they are built.

The flame icon provides a more interesting case, one in which behavior is synthesized at the level of the new icon. The flickering action of flames is implemented using animation. Rotating the colors of the four lines which comprise a flame creates the flickering effect. A better effect is produced by maintaining a fixed color for one of the lines. At the bottom of Figure 15 are the three possible animation states. When rotation repeats in real time, the flame appears to be flickering. Underlying the animation is a complex negotiation between the icon and the graphics device, but the builder needs only to specify the three rotation colors.

Figure 16 shows an experimental configuration for the Icon Editor in which a flame is being designed. Near the bottom of the figure is the status line showing the current icon (flame). Across the middle of the screen is a pop-up menu an icon user would see in the Graphics Editor for specifying color. The topmost pane allows a icon builder to examine other Graphics Editor menus created from the construction of an icon.

We are in the process of implementing additional behaviors for the Icon Editor. These include allowing an icon to move along a trajectory and to vary the hue of its color to reflect the value of a tapped variable. The initial goal for the Icon Editor is to be able to reimplement the Steamer icons using the Icon Editor. We are using this reimplimentation to assist us in identifying a set of primitive components sufficiently rich to permit specification of the diverse set of behaviors exemplified in the



# Internal Icon Structure - Dial

FIGURE 12. Components of a Dial Icon. Some of the mixins which make up a dial icon. Each mixin contributes instance variables (top half of boxes) and messages (bottom half of boxes).

Steamer icons and as a method for exploring interface techniques for making the primitive behaviors available to a user.

#### **Knowledge-Base Editors**

We are in the process of designing and implementing a set of editors to assist an instructional designer or other simulation interface builder in specifying knowledge about a system. A data base of knowledge is required to integrate information about both the domain and the purposes of particular interfaces. This knowledge can be employed to allow simulation views to be able to describe themselves, run scenarios of simulation activities, pose questions to be answered by interacting with a view or collection of views, and to perform other forms of instructional activities. To facilitate the specification of the data base of knowledge we have designed four additional editors: a Knowledge Editor with a graphical interface, a Lesson Editor, a Behavior Editor, and a Graphical Design Editor.

#### Knowledge Editor and Grapher

We are using a frame-based knowledge representation facility originally designed by Bruce Roberts of BBN, Cambridge, Massachusetts. It essentially builds a class structure on top of Flavors to provide frame-based representational facilities. The underlying language is called *MSG*, for its flavor enhancing 16 HOLLAN, HUTCHINS, MCCANDLESS, ROSENSTEIN, & WEITZMAN

DIAL

all Instance variables (43) ARC-END (DIAL) ARC-START (DIAL) DIAGRAM (BASIC-ICON) DX (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) DY (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) FACE-COLOR (GAGE-MIXIN) FRACTIONAL-CHANGE-TO-SHOW (CONTINUOUS-MIXIN) FRAME (PICTURE-MIXIN) INVERSE-MATRIX (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) LABEL-COLOR (GAGE-HIXIN) LABEL-COLOR (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) LABEL-FONT (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) LABEL-ORIENTATION (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) LABEL-POSITION (GAGE-MIXIN) LABEL-POSITION (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) LABEL-STRING (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) LOCATIONS (DISPLAY-MIXIN) MATRIX (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) MAX-VALUE (CONTINUOUS-MIXIN) MIN-VALUE (CONTINUOUS-MIXIN) MEDLE-COLOR (DIAL) DUTLINE-COLOR (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) RADIUS (DIAL) RANGE (CONTINUOUS-MIXIN) REDLINE-VALUE (DIAL) TAP-MAP (TAP-MIXIN) TAP-MAPPING (TAP-MIXIN) TAP-PROBE (TAP-MIXIN) TAP-READING (TAP-MIXIN) TAP-SET (TAP-MIXIN) TIC-LABELS-COLOR (GAGE-MIXIN) TIC-LABELS-FONT (GAGE-MIXIN) TICS (GAGE-MIXIN) UNITS-COLOR (GAGE-MIXIN) UNITS-FONT (GAGE-MIXIN) UNITS-STRING (GAGE-MIXIN) VELUE (CONTINUOUS-MIXIN) XC (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) XL (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) XR (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) YE (RECTANGULAR-HIXIN) YC (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN) YT (RECTANGULAR-MIXIN)

all handlers (70) SHIDGLE primary deemon ICON: DISPLAY-MIXIN :RSPECT-RATIO primery daemon ICON: SOUARE-ASPECT-RATIO-HIXIN EORDER primary daemon ICON:RECIANGULAR-MIXIN BOUNDING-RECTANGLE primary deenon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN :CHENSE-FLAVOR primery deemon ICON: SASIC-ICON :CLAIN notype or ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN CLAIN-RECTANGLE primery deenon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN COMPUTE-MAPPING primery deenon ICON:TAP-MIXIN :CONFUIE-PROBE primary deemon ICON:TAP-MIXIN :CONFUIE-SET primary deemon ICON:TAP-MIXIN :CONFUTE-TRP primary daemon ICON:TRP-MIXIM CONSTRAIN-VALUE primary daemon ICON:CONTINUOUS-MIXIN :DISPLAY-PICTURE primary daemon ICON:PICTURE-MIXIN :DRAW combined daemon ICON:DIAL :DRAW-LASEL primary deemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIKIN DRAW-MEEDLE primary daemon ICON:DIAL :DRAW-TIC-LABELS primary daemon ICON:DIAL :DRAW-TICS primary daemon ICON:DIAL :DRAW-UNITS primery daemon ICON:DIAL :DRAW-VALUE primery daemon ICON:DIAL :EDIT-FOSTIION primary daenon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN :ERAII combined daenon ICON:DIAL :ERREE-LABEL primary daemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN EXTENDED-BOUNDING-RECTANGLE primary daemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-HIN :GET-ALL-INSTANCE-VARIABLES primary daemon ICON:BASIC-ICON :GET-COMPONENT-FLAVORS primary daemon ICON:BREIC-ICON :HIGHLIGHT combined daemon ICON:DIAL :HIGHLIGHT-MOMENTARILY primary daemon ICON:DISPLAY-MIXIN HIGHLIGHT-POINTS primery deenon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN INIT combined deenon ICON:DIAL :INVERSE-PROBE primery daemon ICON:TEP-MIXIN IINVERSE-SHOW primary daemon ICON:CONTINUOUS-MIXIN :LASEL-POSITION-AUX primary deemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-HIXIN :LATCH primary deemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN :LEGAL-LABEL-POSITIONS primery deemon ICON:NO-CENTER-LABEL-MIXIN :LOCATION primery deemon ICON:DISPLAY-MIXIN :MAKI-FLIST primery deemon ICON:BASIC-ICON :MODIFY-MIX primery deemon ICON:BASIC-ICON :MOVE-RELATIVE primery deemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN :NORMALIZE primery diemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIN PROEE primary daemon ICON:TAP-MIXIN :PROEE? primary daeson ICON:TEP-MIXIN READING primary daemon ICON:TEP-MIXIN PROSE primary daemon ICON:TEP-MIXIN IRDEE Primery demon ICON:TAP-NIXIN :READING primery demon ICON:TAP-NIXIN :READING primery demon ICON:TAP-NIXIN :READING primer demon ICON:DIAL REFLECT combined deemon ICON:DIAL REARE-FORM primary daemon ICON:BRSIC-ICON :SET primary daemon ICON:TAP-MIXIN :SET-SOUNDING-RECTANGLE primary daemon ICON:RECTANGULAR-MIXIM :SET-LOCATION primery demon ICON: DISPLAY-MIXIN :SET-TAP-MAPPING combined deemon ICON: DIAL SET-TAP-PROBE combined daemon ICON: DIAL ISET-JAP-SET combined daemon ICON: DIAL :SEI? primary daemon ICON:TAP-DIXIN :SETUP combined daenon ICON: DIAL SETUP-COLOR primary daemon ICOM:SETUP-MIXIM SETUP-COLOR-VALUES primary daemon ICOM:DIAL SETUP-LASEL combined daenon ICON: DIAL SETUP-MISCELLANEOUS primary daemon ICON:SETUP-MIXIN SETUP-HISCELLANEOUS-VALUES primary deemon ICON:DIAL SETUP-MOVE combined deemon ICON:DIAL SETUP-PICTURE prinery daenon ICON:PICTURE-MIXIM SETUP-POSITION condined daenon ICON:DIAL SETUP-ROTATION combined daemon ICON: DIAL SETUP-TAP combined deemon ICON: DIAL ISCIUTINF combined deemon ICON:DIAL ISHOW combined deemon ICON:DIAL ISHOW-MEK-VALUE? primary deemon ICON:CONTINUOUS-MIXIN ITAPFED? primary deemon ICON:TAP-MIXIN ITHINGS-TO-SAVE combined append ICON:DIAL ITO-SHOW primary deemon ICON:DIAL IZOOM combined deemon ICON:DIAL

FIGURE 13. Dial Icon Details. A listing of the 43 instance variables and 70 messages actually contributed to a dial icon by the full set of its mixins.

GRAPHICAL INTERFACES 17







FIGURE 15. Flame Icon. The top portion of the figure shows the complete icon. At the bottom is an animation sequence.

capability. Here we present an overview of its representational capabilities and our implementation of a graphical interface to it. The MSG language provides the facility to define classes of objects. Each class defines local attributes that distinguish it from the other classes. It is the instances of these classes that we use to represent the objects in a world being modeled. In the hierarchy of the class structure, a class may have any number of abstractions or superior classes. A given class will inherit

Greate Name	Type Group	Behavior Mapping	Color Li Misc Paar		initialize	Activity
Instance Variables Com		icon Comman	ds			Simenv
Fiane Color First Animation ( Ling3:Outline Col Second Animation Col (nerd Animation Col (nerd:Outline Color (nerd:Outline Color (nerd:Outline Color	or HanHenu Colars or ibleck	Highlight Cheer All Tapped Untapped Type Find	Delete Undelete Probe Default List Draw Describe Inspect	Mova Copy Edit Bhaps Potate Reflect	Tap Neme Color Label Picture Miscelaneou Inter View	Draw Show Size a Points Diagonal T Square
nstance Variables		Minc Mark	Edit Marked Ico	20		G+14
Instance Variables		Mark				
Instance Variables			Edit Marked Icc Grapi		ffugal Pump	G+1d Ber Switch Knife Switch
FLAME Icon Colors:		Mark Circia	Grapi	nCentr	<u> </u>	Bar Switch Knife Switch Flotary Switc
Flame Loon Colors: Flame Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK D	Graph ARK-GRAY LIG	Centr	WHITE	Bar Switch Knife Switch Flotary Switc
Flame Loon Colors: Flame Color: RED		AGENTA BLACK D	Graph ARK-GRAY LIG	Centr	WHITE	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Toggle Switc
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Grad ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG	Centr	WHITE	Bar Switch Knife Switch Flotary Switc Toggie Switc Btop Valve Anglestop Val
Flame Loon Colors: Flame Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Grapi ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG bort EI	Centr HT-GRAY	WHITE	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Toggie Switc Btop Valve Anglestop Valv Check Valve
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Grad ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG	Centr HT-GRAY	WHITE	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Toggle Switc
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Gradi ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG bort EI	Centr HT-GRAY	WHITE	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Togge Switc Btop Valve Anglestop Valve Anglestop Valve Balety Valve Balety Valve Barlety Valve
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Grapi ARK-GRAY LIG bort II Fore I Bar	Centr HT-GRAY HT-GRAY	WHITE WHITE WHITE Setg Sedg	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Toggie Switc Btop Valve Anglestop Valv Check Valve Ballety Valve Ballety Valve Regulator Val 3 Way Valve
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Grapi ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG bort EI bort Firme I	Centr HT-GRAY HT-GRAY HT-GRAY	WHITE WHITE Setg Bedy At Breaker	Bar Switch Knife Switci Rotary Switci Toggie Switci Btop Valve Anglestop Valv Ralief Valve Balety Valve Barlety Valve
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA	Grapi ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG bort T Generation Force t der	Centr HT-GRAY HT-GRAY HT-GRAY	WHITE WHITE WHITE Setg Sedg	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Toggie Switc Btop Valve Anglestop Valv Check Valve Ballety Valve Ballety Valve Regulator Val 3 Way Valve
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK DA AGENTA BLACK DA AGENTA BLACK DA AGENTA BLACK DA	Grapi ARK-GRAY LIG ARK-GRAY LIG bort T Generation Force t der	Gantr HT-GRAY HT-GRAY HT-GRAY HT-GRAY	WHITE WHITE WHITE Setg Setg Setg At Breaker able Link	Bar Switch Knife Switch Rotary Switc Toggie Switc Btop Valve Anglestop Valv Check Valve Ballety Valve Ballety Valve Regulator Val 3 Way Valve
Flame Teon Salars: Flame Color: RED Label Color: RED	GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M GREEN YELLOW BLUE CYAN M	AGENTA BLACK D/ AGENTA BLACK D/ AGENTA BLACK DA	Grapi ARK-GRAY LIG bort EI Fara I Bar Bar Ban	Gantr HT-GRAY HT-GRAY HT-GRAY HT-GRAY	WHITE WHITE Setg Setg At Breaker shis Link Fuse	Bar Switch Knife Switch Toggie Switc Stop Valve Anglestop Valv Glesck Valve Ballety Valve Ballety Valve Ballety Valve Bargdator Valv 3 Way Valve

FIGURE 16. Icon Editor. Screen configuration of the Icon Editor.

all of the attributes of its abstractions. By defining additional attributes at the local level, a class can be made more specific. The inheritance mechanism allows the inheritance of roles and slots. A role is the semantic organization of a set of attributes, while a slot provides an actual placeholder for an attribute. In addition, the system provides a *co-reference* facility, the ability to reference the same attribute of a class using multiple descriptions.

When a new class is defined, an instance of a meta-class is created that will hold all pertinent information about the class. This includes how to create a new class instance, where to store these instances, and how to manipulate them. When a new class is defined, a new flavor is also defined. The name of this new flavor is the same as the name of the class being created. When instances of a class are created, an instance of the associated flavor is made. The instance variables of a class provide the typical *role* and *slot* descriptors of a frame-based representational language. A role consists of a role name and a list of slots which make up the role. A slot provides a name and the potential of specifying restrictions and default values. In addition, the language provides for subroles to further refine roles. Figure 17 shows an example of the MSG representation of a two port fluid device.

To access the value of a slot of an instance, a path to that slot's value is constructed. For example, the class of fuel-oil-service-pump has an instance called *fosp-alpha* and a slot called *inlet-valve*. To return the fosp-alpha's inlet-valve you would construct the path: (*the fosp-alpha inlet-valve*). This would return the object that is fosp-alpha's inlet-valve. If this value happens to be another object, one can access a slot of the new object by adding onto the path. If the inlet-valve has a slot called *inlet you* could expand the path to (*the fosp-alpha inlet-valve inlet*), which would return the inlet of the fosp-alpha's inlet-valve.

An important feature of the MSG language is co-reference, the ability to reference the same class element by different descriptors. Paths and synonyms play an important role in providing this facility. When a synonym is defined, a co-reference is built and used instead of the actual object. The



FIGURE 17. MSG Representation of a Two Port Fluid Device. This results from pointing to 2-port-fluid-device and asking for a description of the class.

co-reference includes the actual object and the multiple paths to access the object. The data structure of a synonym is a list of synonym pairs. Each member of a pair defines a path to a slot which is synonymous with the slot found at the end of the path of the other member of the pair. For example, the synonym ((inlet-valve inlet) (suction)) states that the inlet of the inlet-valve is the same as the suction slot.

KE-GRAPHER is a tool to create, maintain, and inspect MSG objects using a graphic representation of the knowledge class hierarchy. It incorporates a graphing facility to display the class hierarchy with the nodes of the graph becoming mouse sensitive. Examples are shown in Figures 17 and 18. The window is divided into four parts: the title, the main graphing area which presents the class hierarchy, a margin choice area to select top level commands, and a keyboard input pane to change the objects to be graphed. The starting nodes of graphs are called *roots*. After a user types in an expression that will evaluate to the name of a class or a list of classes, the window will reset the roots and regraph the window. If the item evaluated is not the name of a class but the name of a flavor, then that flavor and those that depend on it will be graphed. One can pan around the graph, zoom its size larger or smaller by changing font sizes, hardcopy the graph, and save or load a class file from disk. Each node of the graph is mouse sensitive and via various button clicks one can describe, create, move, or edit a class. Similar facilities are provided for manipulating instances and flavors.

## Lesson Editor

The Lesson Editor activity provides interface builders the ability to add instructional sequences to a simulation. In the current version of the Simulation Environment, the Lesson Editor Screen appears as in Figure 19. It maintains the full range of Model Control facilities while supplying additional



FIGURE 18. Graphical Interface for MSG and Flavors System. A graph of the dependencies between classes. Individual nodes in the graph are mouse sensitive. Clicking on an item gives a menu of actions that can be performed on it.

functions for creating and editing lesson sequences. These sequences are made up of sets of actions each of which are tied to some behavior within the running of a simulation. Each action can either display text or affect the state of the simulation in some way.

The Lesson Display Window shows a partial script of feedback lesson segments. This script could be used to show a feedback relationship in the Make Up and Excess Feed System. The first segment indicates an action to set the variable DFT (the level of a tank) to 825.0. The next segment is a condition which waits until the DFT is below 850. When that level is achieved, a set of icons is highlighted and text beginning with "When the DFT..." will be presented to the student. The highlighted icons consist of the components in a feedback loop which attempts to maintain the DFT between 895 and 1105. These script segments are added to the lesson by choosing a command in the Segments pane. The commands allow the user to present text to the student, highlight a set of icons of current importance, pause the presentation until a salient event occurs, set an icon to a value, and execute an arbitrary function. Much of this specification is done graphically. For example, setting the level of the DFT in this script was accomplished by pointing to the appropriate level in the iconic depiction (the DFT tank in Figure 4). Each segment can be edited, deleted, undeleted, or moved within a lesson. Lessons can be saved, read in from files, and performed to see the exact sequence that will be presented to the student.

We currently are in the process of expanding the Lesson Editor so that a user can employ knowledge which has been provided about simulation objects and views to construct instructional descriptions of components and behaviors within the simulation. We are also designing instructional systems which will gather information about a student's knowledge of a domain by monitoring interactions with the simulation. This system will pose questions to students, which are to be answered by interacting with graphical views.



FIGURE 19. Lesson Editor. Screen configuration for control of lesson editing activity. The result of interacting with a graphical view to specify a portion of a lesson sequence is also shown.

### Behavior Editor

One obstacle to a domain expert's ability to use the Graphics Editor to construct an interface for a domain is the requirement of an existing mathematical model of the domain. Even if a model is available it is unlikely that a domain expert, without significant additional effort, will have sufficient understanding of the simulation model to be able to tap icons into it. The Behavior Editor is an initial exploration of a tool that would eliminate the need for an underlying simulation model. The icons in the Graphics Editor know how to "appear" in order to represent the status of variables to which they are tapped, but the behavior of the system is defined by a simulation model. The Behavior Editor is composed of icons that know aspects of both the behavior and the appearance of the objects they represent. The behavior of the system emerges from the interactions of the icons with each other. The ability to incorporate the behaviors required in a simulation is facilitated by the object-oriented implementation of icons.

To make intelligent icons capable of generating a simulation, it is necessary to add components with domain and simulation knowledge. We have built a number of icons that "know" the rudiments of fluid dynamics and understand about connections to other icons. These include, for example, tanks and pipes that know about pressure and flow, so they can be used in fluid systems. Figure 20 depicts a very simple system constructed in exactly the same manner as with the Graphics Editor, but involving behaviorally "smart" icons that can be connected together. These icons have mechanisms for recognizing when connections should be made and thus the topology of the view is automatically generated.

An excellent example of the flexibility engendered by icons with connectivity knowledge is the Super Sensor. This icon is a dial that asks icons it connects to what variables can be monitored and which variable to measure by default. In Figure 20 notice that a Super Sensor is connected to the left

#### 22 HOLLAN, HUTCHINS, McCANDLESS, ROSENSTEIN, & WEITZMAN



FIGURE 20. Behavior Editor View. Three points in time in the operation of a simple tank and pipe view constructed with the Behavior Editor. A Super-Sensor dial has been connected to one tank and automatically reads the level of the tank.

tank to monitor its level. Sensors know how to monitor appropriate aspects of the objects to which they are connected. Users can manipulate these aspects by clicking on the *Miscellaneous* menu item on the Behavior Editor screen (Figure 21). The sensor has defaulted to measure value, which is highlighted. The sensor can be changed to measure fluid exchanged by clicking on that menu item. When users interact with the tanks, setting their levels, we are actually setting the initial conditions of the simulation. On the Behavior Editor screen (Figure 21), they can then click on run, and the simulation will run until equilibrium. It is important to notice a fundamental difference between the Behavior Editor and the Graphics Editor. In the Graphics Editor, the designer would need a mathematical model of the tanks and pipes and then it would be necessary to find the appropriate variables to tap the icons into. Here, the icons themselves perform the required computations for the simulation.

#### Designer

and the second

Designer evolved out of our development experiences with Steamer. We found that instructors using the Graphics Editor sometimes created views that violated simple graphic design rules. They also had difficulty maintaining stylistic conventions across sets of views. Designer is a general tool for assisting with the design process. It functions as an interactive visual design consultant for users of the Graphics Editor and is intended to aid developers by providing graphic expertise during the construction and



FIGURE 21. Behavior Editor. Screen configuration of the Behavior Editor during the process of connecting a super sensor to a tank.

critiquing of graphical views. This expertise includes graphic design principles as well as standards of presentation. The underlying motivation is to improve the quality of the views by making them more consistent and visually more effective. In addition to merely describing design alternatives, the system allows exploration of the *design space* by explaining the advantages and disadvantages of alternative design solutions. Through interactive dialogue and constructive examples, the system tutors users of the Graphics Editor in principles of graphic design.

Designer consists of three interrelated processes, an Analyzer, a Critiquer, and a Synthesizer, coupled to a domain dependent knowledge base. This knowledge base consists of design elements and relationships, techniques for their identification, and sets of constraints used in distinguishing good design from bad. The Analyzer first parses the design based on the elements and relationships of the given domain. The Critiquer uses this information to indicate where the current design fails to conform with the principles of good design or predetermined guidelines. Finally, based on searches of the design space, the Synthesizer suggests alternative modifications to the current state of the design. The separation of these three processes from the knowledge base provides independence and modularity to the system.

Domain-based design constraints are the basis of the critiquing process. Constraints within Designer consist of both basic graphic design principles important in the construction of two-dimensional views and sets of view standards that are adopted for particular domains. The combination of principles and standards create a context or *Style* in which the design critique and subsequent modifications take place. By modifying the style within which a critique is made, one can ultimately affect the form of the final design. It thus becomes possible to request multiple critiques, each based on a different style. This is an especially powerful paradigm for designs that may need to be presented in different media, each with different constraints that need to be considered. For example, a style appropriate for a high-resolution color display may be inappropriate for a black and white hardcopy presentation.

An initial implementation of Designer has been completed. A functioning Analyzer and Critiquer used on existing Steamer views have provided useful feedback. It is very encouraging that even in views that we had thought were carefully crafted, the system has been able to note inconsistencies and suggest improvements. Progress has been made in identifying the basic elements, relationships, and principles of two-dimensional graphic design and incorporating them into Designer's processes. The Analyzer first evaluates design elements in terms of their size, shape, color, and location and then identifies relationships between them from information provided in the knowledge base. These relationships include similarity, proximity, grouping, and repetition. As new relationships are identified, they can easily augment the analysis process. Various techniques exist to interactively inspect the elements and relationships identified within the design.

The Critiquer locates examples and violations of the design constraints provided in the current style and creates a critique. These comments include descriptions and justifications based on the graphic constraints from which they were derived. Since the Critiquer works within the context of a current style, there are facilities to help define graphic constraints and maintain styles. A preliminary graphic constraint language allows the creation of new constraints, while a style editor has been developed to create, maintain, and switch between styles.

Figure 22 shows Designer's top-level user interface. The multipaned interface provides access to existing Graphics Editor functions and new Designer functions through scrolling command panes (upper right collection of panes). Access to the domain knowledge is provided in a mouse sensitive graphing pane (upper left pane). Comments of constraint violations are displayed in a scrolling pane (lower left pane), while descriptions of the violations can be displayed in the lisp interaction pane (lower left pane). In Designer, the status line, consistent throughout the simulation environment, includes the current style in which the analyses take place.



FIGURE 22. Designer. Screen configuration of Designer.

## CONCLUSIONS

in the second

Interface design is currently very much more of an art than a science. There is a tremendous need for better theories of interface design and for more powerful tools to assist in their design and implementation. Currently there is virtually no theory of interface design. We do not even understand what contributes to the effectiveness of the most successful interfaces. We are in a state similar to when bridges were built by copying existing bridges without knowing in advance what would result from even the most minor variation. We need a more principled base for the design of interfaces, one that characterizes the dimensions of the space of interfaces. Such a theoretical characterization is the only way to be able to understand and intelligently make the myriad of tradeoff decisions inherent in interface design. Hopefully it is clear from this chapter that we think a theory needs to be erected from an understanding of interfaces as representational languages and based on an appreciation of the cognitive tasks that people are employing such representational systems to solve.

One of the factors that influences the development of a theory of interface design is that computerbased interfaces enable new forms of representational languages that are different in fundamental ways from more traditional representational systems. While most representational languages are *static*, these interfaces make possible *dynamic* languages. For example, when we use natural languages or mathematical notation to represent our knowledge about the world, the representational system itself is fundamentally static. The "action" comes from our interpretation of it. Compare this with a interactive graphical interface to a simulation. The representational system *itself* now can "behave," both in terms of reflecting state and in allowing us to directly manipulate it. We still are involved in a process of interpretation but it now concerns behaving entities. The interface becomes a kind of dynamic world in which we can think of and interact with objects as if they were the things themselves. Elsewhere we discuss this very different metaphor for interface design (Hutchins, Hollan, & Norman, 1986). The point here is that this is a novel form of representational system and one which we know very little about.

One of the appeals of these new forms of representational systems are the parallels that exist between them and the forms of representation we employ in perception. When one interacts with the world, the world changes and those differences are reflected in our perception. Interactive interfaces provide a similar form of behavior: we pick up, move, or otherwise modify some object, and the associated object in the simulation world changes. As we discussed earlier, this form of representation allows us to employ a number of very effective strategies and to do what we are particularly good at doing: detecting patterns, constructing mental models or simulations of the world that support causal reasoning, and manipulating the world by actions on it or on representations of it. The ability to bring an increasing portion of a dynamic world into the realm of the perceptually knowable is surely one of the major appeals of these new forms of interfaces. It is clear from our experiences developing Steamer that there is much power from interfaces that provide a form of *conceptual fidelity*. By this we mean interfaces that have characteristics similar to those normally attributed to people's mental models. These include the depiction of state, topology, hierarchical embedding, and the ability to run the models to make predictions about the consequences of changes.

Another conclusion we have reached about graphical interfaces derives from their ability to serve as filters of information. In most of the applications we have built there is an underlying simulation or real-time system. The collection of graphical views that comprise an interface to an underlying system can be conceived of as being a set of filters of information. The designer of a view filters information by selecting what and how to display it. Of particular interest to us has been the ways filtering can be employed to support the development of particular mental models. For example, a message-passing abstraction of a system can be given a graphical instantiation and thus serve to highlight characteristics not normally available and provide an effective way of thinking about the system. Often in Steamer we have found it advantageous to filter quantitative information and present it qualitatively. One of the very real problems in understanding dynamic systems like propulsion plants is their complexity. A major step in the understanding of process is the isolation of meaningful units to think about and attend to. Much of instruction and the development of expertise is dependent on isolating such units and

#### 26 HOLLAN, HUTCHINS, McCANDLESS, ROSENSTEIN, & WEITZMAN

developing a language that permits talking and reasoning about them. If one looks at the language an expert uses in explaining or predicting a system's behavior, one often sees a restatement of quantitative events in qualitative terms. The filtering of quantitative information into qualitative terms may be an extremely effective means of supporting these types of qualitative explanations and of providing information in forms that encourage development of the mental models needed in reasoning about physical systems.

In order to build effective interfaces, better tools are required. A major portion of this chapter has been devoted to descriptions of a set of tools we have built to aid in the implementation of interactive graphical interfaces. The goals of our efforts have been to simplify the implementation of interfaces and to make it possible for interface designers to operate at a higher level of abstraction than that normally provided. The object-based graphics editor allows a designer to operate at the level of graphical objects which have been specifically designed for particular domains. It also provides the ability to easily associate these iconic depictions with an underlying simulation or real-time system. The model controller complements the graphics editor by providing an integrated set of facilities for controlling the running of simulation models and interacting with views constructed with the editor. The icon editor increases the generality of this simulation environment by allowing users to construct icons with behaviors that are particularly tailored to the demands of new domains. It thus provides a mechanism for extending the vocabulary of a graphical representational language. We have coupled these tools with a series of knowledge-base editors to allow the incorporation of a wide variety of knowledge. The behavior editor permits the specification of simulation knowledge within graphical icons themselves. The lesson editor makes it possible to build instructional interactions and to make views capable of explaining themselves and their constituents. Designer brings graphical design knowledge to users of the graphics editor. To further augment and support the development of this growing set of tools, a general frame-based representational language and graphical interface to it are also available to the interface designer.

All of these software tools have been implemented using the object-based programming techniques of Flavors. There are a number of reasons that an object-oriented paradigm is particularly advantageous for supporting the development of graphical interfaces to simulations and real-time systems. One primary reason is the natural mapping possible between the objects that a simulation models and their graphical representation in an interface. Conceptually this makes possible a natural way of dividing up the simulation world as seen via a graphical interface. Also of principle importance are the relationships that can be made between object-based representations and mental models. The fact that objects store state information, are made of simpler parts, and communicate with and share information with other objects are obvious parallels between the two. These relationships facilitate building interfaces that have some of the characteristics normally attributed to peoples' mental models. In addition, they provide a number of programming features which have proven to be of considerable value. These include nice modularity, the ability to inherit instance variables and messages and thus to easily share common structure and functionality, and ready extensibility by the addition of new messages.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is being conducted as part of the research activities of the NPRDC-UCSD Intelligent Systems Group in the Institute for Cognitive Science at the University of California, San Diego. It has been supported by the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center as part of an Office of Naval Technology's Program on Future Technologies for Training. Support has also been provided by contract N00014-85-C-0133, NR 667-541 with the Personnel and Training Research Programs of the Office of Naval Research.

We would like to express our appreciation to David Owen for commenting on a draft of this chapter and to Kathy Farrelly and Barbara Morris for editorial assistance. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of any government agency.

# REFERENCES

- Hollan, J. D., Hutchins, E. L., & Weitzman, L. (1984). Steamer: An interactive inspectable simulation-based training system. Al Magazine, 5 (2), 15-27.
- Hutchins, E. L., Hollan, J. D., & Norman, D. A. (1986). Direct manipulation interfaces. In D. A. Norman & S. Draper (Eds.), User centered system design: New perspectives on human-computer interaction. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Rumelhart, D. E., Smolensky, P., McClelland, J. L., & Hinton, G. E. (1986). Schemata and sequential thought processes in PDP models. In J. L. McClelland, D. E. Rumelhart, & the PDP Research Group, Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of cognition. Vol. 2: Psychological and biological models. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Books.
- Shneiderman, B. (1982). The future of interactive systems and the emergence of direct manipulation. Behavior and Information Technology, 1, 237-256.

## **ICS Technical Report List**

The following is a list of publications by people in the Institute for Cognitive Science. For reprints, write or call:

Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015 University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 (619) 452-6771

8301. David Zipser. The Representation of Location. May 1983.

1222 E. 1. 2

- 8302. Jeffrey Elman and Jay McClelland. Speech Perception as a Cognitive Process: The Interactive Activation Model. April 1983. Also published in N. Lass (Ed.), Speech and language: Volume 10, New York: Academic Press, 1983.
- 8303. Ron Williams. Unit Activation Rules for Cognitive Networks. November 1983.
- 8304. David Zipser. The Representation of Maps. November 1983.
- 8305. The HMI Project. User Centered System Design: Part I, Papers for the CHI '83 Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems. November 1983. Also published in A. Janda (Ed.), Proceedings of the CHI '83 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. New York: ACM, 1983.
- 8306. Paul Smolensky. Harmony Theory: A Mathematical Framework for Stochastic Parallel Processing. December 1983. Also published in Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI-83, Washington DC, 1983.
- 8401. Stephen W. Draper and Donald A. Norman. Software Engineering for User Interfaces. January 1984. Also published in Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Software Engineering, Orlando, FL, 1984.
- 8402. The UCSD HMI Project. User Centered System Design: Part II, Collected Papers. March 1984. Also published individually as follows: Norman, D.A. (1984), Stages and levels in human-machine interaction, International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, 21, 365-375; Draper, S.W., The nature of expertise in UNIX; Owen, D., Users in the real world; O'Malley, C., Draper, S.W., & Riley, M., Constructive interaction: A method for studying user-computer-user interaction; Smolensky, P., Monty, M.L., & Conway, E., Formalizing task descriptions for command specification and documentation; Bannon, L.J., & O'Malley, C., Problems in evaluation of human-computer interfaces: A case study; Riley, M., & O'Malley, C., Planning nets: A framework for analyzing user-computer interactions; all published in B. Shackel (Ed.), INTERACT '84, First Conference on Human-Computer Interaction, Amsterdam: North-Holland,

1984; Norman, D.A., & Draper, S.W., Software engineering for user interfaces, Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Software Engineering, Orlando, FL, 1984.

- 8403. Steven L. Greenspan and Eric M. Segal. Reference Comprehension: A Topic-Comment Analysis of Sentence-Picture Verification. April 1984. Also published in Cognitive Psychology, 16, 556-606, 1984.
- 8404. Paul Smolensky and Mary S. Riley. Harmony Theory: Problem Solving, Parallel Cognitive Models, and Thermal Physics. April 1984. The first two papers are published in Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, Boulder, CO, 1984.
- 8405. David Zipser. A Computational Model of Hippocampus Place-Fields. April 1984.
- 8406. Michael C. Mozer. Inductive Information Retrieval Using Parallel Distributed Computation. May 1984.
- 8407. David E. Rumelhart and David Zipser. Feature Discovery by Competitive Learning. July 1984. Also published in Cognitive Science, 9, 75-112, 1985.
- 8408. David Zipser. A Theoretical Model of Hippocampal Learning During Classical Conditioning. December 1984.
- 8501. Ronald J. Williams. Feature Discovery Through Error-Correction Learning. May 1985.
- 8502. Ronald J. Williams. Inference of Spatial Relations by Self-Organizing Networks. May 1985.
- 8503. Edwin L. Hutchins, James D. Hollan, and Donald A. Norman. Direct Manipulation Interfaces. May 1985. Also published in D. A. Norman & S. W. Draper (Eds.), User Centered System Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction, 1986, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 8504. Mary S. Riley. User Understanding. May 1985. Also published in D. A. Norman & S. W. Draper (Eds.), User Centered System Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction, 1986, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 8505. Liam J. Bannon. Extending the Design Boundaries of Human-Computer Interaction. May 1985.

- 8506. David E. Rumelhart, Geoffrey E. Hinton, and Ronald J. Williams. Learning Internal Representations by Error Propagation. September 1985. Also published in D. E. Rumelhart, J. L. McClelland, & the PDP Research Group, Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition: Vol. 1. Foundations, 1986, Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books/MIT Press.
- 8507. David E. Rumelhart and James L. McClelland. On Learning the Past Tense of English Verbs. October 1985. Also published in J. L. McClelland, D. E. Rumelhart, & the PDP Research Group, Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition: Vol. 2. Psychological and Biological Models, 1986, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Books.

- 8601. David Navon and Jeff Miller. The Role of Outcome Conflict in Dual-Task Interference. January 1986.
- 8602. David E. Rumelhart and James L. McClelland. PDP Models and General Issues in Cognitive Science. April 1986. Also published in D. E. Rumelhart, J. L. McClelland, & the PDP Research Group, Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition. Vol. 1: Foundations, 1986, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press/Bradford Books.
- 8603. James D. Hollan, Edwin L. Hutchins, Timothy P. McCandless, Mark Rosenstein, and Louis Weitzman. Graphical Interfaces for Simulation. May 1986. To be published in W. B. Rouse (Ed.), Advances in Man-Machine Systems (Vol. 3). Greenwich, CT: Jai Press.

#### Earlier Reports by People in the Cognitive Science Lab

The following is a list of publications by people in the Cognitive Science Lab and the Institute for Cognitive Science. For reprints, write or call:

Institute for Cognitive Science, C-015 University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 (619) 452-6771

- ONR-8001. Donald R. Gentner, Jonathan Grudin, and Eileen Conway. Finger Movements in Transcription Typing. May 1980.
- ONR-8002. James L. McClelland and David E. Rumelhart. An Interactive Activation Model of the Effect of Context in Perception: Part I. May 1980. Also published in Psychological Review, 88,5, pp. 375-401, 1981.
- ONR-8003. David E. Rumelhart and James L. McClelland. An Interactive Activation Model of the Effect of Context in Perception: Part II. July 1980. Also published in Psychological Review, 89, 1, pp. 60-94, 1982.
- ONR-8004. Donald A. Norman. Errors in Human Performance. August 1980.
- ONR-8005. David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman. Analogical Processes in Learning. September 1980. Also published in J. R. Anderson (Ed.), Cognitive skills and their acquisition. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 1981.
- ONR-8006. Donald A. Norman and Tim Shallice. Attention to Action: Willed and Automatic Control of Behavior. December 1980.
- ONR-8101. David E. Rumelhart. Understanding Understanding. January 1981.
- ONR-8102. David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman. Simulating a Skilled Typist: A Study of Skilled Cognitive-Motor Performance. May 1981. Also published in Cognitive Science, 6, pp. 1-36, 1982.
- ONR-8103. Donald R. Gentner. Skilled Finger Movements in Typing. July 1981.
- ONR-8104. Michael I. Jordan. The Timing of Endpoints in Movement. November 1981.
- ONR-8105. Gary Perlman. Two Papers in Cognitive Engineering: The Design of an Interface to a Programming System and MENUNIX: A Menu-Based Interface to UNIX (User Manual). November 1981. Also published in Proceedings of the 1982 USENIX Conference, San Diego, CA, 1982.
- ONR-8106. Donald A. Norman and Diane Fisher. Why Alphabetic Keyboards Are Not Easy to Use: Keyboard Layout Doesn't Much Matter. November 1981. Also published in Human Factors, 24, pp. 509-515, 1982.
- ONR-8107. Donald R. Gentner. Evidence Against a Central Control Model of Timing in Typing. December 1981. Also published in Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 8, pp. 793-810, 1982.

- ONR-8201. Jonathan T. Grudin and Serge Larochelle. Digraph Frequency Effects in Skilled Typing. February 1982.
- ONR-8202. Jonathan T. Grudin. Central Control of Timing in Skilled Typing. February 1982.
- ONR-8203. Amy Geoffroy and Donald A. Norman. Ease of Tapping the Fingers in a Sequence Depends on the Mental Encoding. March 1982.
- ONR-8204. LNR Research Group. Studies of Typing from the LNR Research Group: The role of context, differences in skill level, errors, hand movements, and a computer simulation. May 1982. Also published in W. E. Cooper (Ed.), Cognitive aspects of skilled typewriting. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- ONR-8205. Donald A. Norman. Five Papers on Human-Machine Interaction. May 1982. Also published individually as follows: Some observations on mental models, in D. Gentner and A. Stevens (Eds.), Mental models, Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 1983; A psychologist views human processing: Human errors and other phenomena suggest processing mechanisms, in Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vancouver, 1981; Steps toward a cognitive engineering: Design rules based on analyses of human error, in Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems, Gaithersburg, MD, 1982; The trouble with UNIX, in Datamation, 27,12, November 1981, pp. 139-150; The trouble with networks, in Datamation, January 1982, pp. 188-192.
- ONR-8206. Naomi Miyake. Constructive Interaction. June 1982.

- ONR-8207. Donald R. Gentner. The Development of Typewriting Skill. September 1982. Also published as Acquisition of typewriting skill, in Acta Psychologica, 54, pp. 233-248, 1983.
- ONR-8208. Gary Perlman. Natural Artificial Languages: Low-Level Processes. December 1982. Also published in The International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, 20, pp. 373-419, 1984.
- ONR-8301. Michael C. Mozer. Letter Migration in Word Perception. April 1983. Also published in Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 9, 4, pp. 531-546, 1983.
- ONR-8302. David E. Rumelhart and Donald A. Norman. Representation in Memory. June 1983. To appear in R. C. Atkinson, G. Lindzey, & R. D. Luce (Eds.), Handbook of experimental psychology. New York: Wiley (in press).

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Personnel Analysis Division, 5C360, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20330 AF/NPXA

Air Force Human Resources Lab Brooks AFB, TX 78235 AFHRL/MPD

Life Sciences Directorate Bolling Air Force Base Washington, DC 20332 MFOSR,

Human Factors Laboratory NAVTRAEQUIPCEN Dr. Robert Ahlers Orlando, FL 32813 Code N711

Navy Personnel RúD Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Dr. Ed Aiken

Dr. John Allen Department of Fsycholo<del>sy</del> George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfar, VA 22030

Dr. William E. Alley

Brooks AFB, TX 78235 AFHRL/MOT

HQ, AFHRL (AFSC) Brooks AFB, TX 78235 Dr. Earl A. Alluisi

Department of Psychology Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. John R. Anderson

5057 Beruyn Road College Park, MD 20740 Dr. Nancy Anderson

Dr. John Annett University of Warwick Department of Psychology Coventry CV4 7AJ ENGLAND

Technical Director, ARI 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

special Assistant for Projects, 5D800, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20350 OASN (MERA)

Dr. Alan Baddeley Medical Research Council Applied Psychology Unit 15 Chaucer Road Cambridge CB2 2EF ENGLAND

Dr. Patricia Baggett University of Colorado Department of Psychology Box 345 Boulder, CO 80309 Dr. Meryl S. Baker Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Lee Roy Beach Dept. of Psychology (NI-25) University of Mashington Seattle, WA 98195

Capt. J. Jean Belanger Training Development Division Canadian Forces Training System CFTSHQ, CFB Trenton Astra, Ontario, KOK CANADA

Department of Computer Science University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208 Dr. Gautam Biswas

Dr. John Black College, Columbia Univ. 525 West 121st Street New York, NY 10027

Code N711 Naval Training Systems Center Orlando, FL 32813 Dr. Arthur S. Blaiwes

Distribution List [NCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Susan Carey Harvard Graduate School of Cambridge, MA 02138 337 Gutman Library Education Appian Way

Dr. Deborah A. Boehm-Davis Department of Psychology George Mason University 400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. Pat Carpenter Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Psychology Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Department of Psychology George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. C. Alan Boneau

Dr. Gordon H. Bower Department of Psychology

Stanford University Stanford, CA 94306

NTSC Code 10 Orlando, FL 32751

Dr. Richard Braby

Dr. Robert Breaux Code N-095R

Orlando, FL 32813 Dr. John S. Brown

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN

Washington, DC 20370 Dr. Robert Carroll OP 01B7

Office of the Chief of Naval Operations OP-01B LCDR Robert Carter Pentagon

20350-2000 Washington, DC

Dr. Fred Chang Navy Personnel RúD Center Code 51 San Diego, CA 92152-6800

XEROX Palo Alto Research

Palo Alto, CA 94304

3333 Coyote Road

Center

Dr. Bruce Buchanan

Dr. Alphonse Chapanis Baltimore, MD 21204 **B415 Beilona Lane** Buxton Towers Suite 210

Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Psychology Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. Davida Charney Schenley Park

Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Patricia A. Butler NIE Mail Stop 1806 1200 19th St., NW Washington, DC 20208

Computer Science Department Providence, RI 02912 Dr. Eugene Charniak Brown University

Center for Research into Practice 1718 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20009

Joanne Capper

Carnegie Mellon University Department of Psychology Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Jaime Carbonell

Pentagon Washington, DC 20350-2000 Dr. Paul R. Chatelier OUSDRE

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Michelene Chi Learning R & D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, FA 15213 Dr. William Clancey Stanford University Knowledge Systems Laboratory 701 Welch Road, Bldg. C Palo Alto, CA 94304

Director, Manpower Support and Readiness Frogram Center for Naval Analysis 2000 North Beauregard Street Alexandria, VA 22311 Dr. Michael Cole University of California at San Diego Labbratory of Comparative Human Cognition - D003A La Jolla, CA 92093

La Jolla, CA 92093 Dr. Allan M. Collins Bolt Beranek & Neuman, Inc.

Bolt Beranek & Newman, 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. John J. Collins Director, Field Research Office, Orlando NPRDC Liaison Officer NTSC Orlando, FL 32813 Dr. Stanley Collyer Office of Naval Technology Code 222 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Dr. Lynn A. Cooper Learning RéD Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213 LT Judy Crookshanks Chief of Naval Operations OP-112G5 Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Kenneth B. Cross Anacapa Sciences, Inc. P.O. Drawer Q Santa Barbara, CA 93102 Capt. Stephen Cross (Ph.D.) Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, OM 45433

CAPT P. Michael Curran Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Code 12 Arilagton, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Cary Czichon Mail Station 3407 Teras Instruments AI Lab P.O. Box 407 Tervisville, TX 75067

Bryan Dallman AfhrL/LRT Lowry AFB, CO 80230 LT John Deaton ONR Code 125 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Mr. Paul DiRenzo Commandant of the Marine Corps Code LEC-4 Mashington, DC 20380 Dr. R. K. Dismukes Associate Director for Life Sciences Associate Director for Life Sciences Bolling AFB Washington, DC 20332

Dr. Emanuel Donchin University of Illinois Department of Psychology Champaign, IL 61820

Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station, Bldg 5 Alexandria, VA 22314 Attn: TC (12 Copies)

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Thomas M. Duffy Communications Design Center Carnegie-Mellon University Schenley Park Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Edward E. Eddowes CNATRA N301 Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX 78419 Dr. Jeffrey Elman University of California, San Diego Department of Linguistics, C-008 La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Richard Elster Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Nary (Manpower) OASN (MERA) Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20350-1000

ERIC Facility-Acquisitions 4833 Rugby Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr. K. Anders Ericsson University of Colorado Department of Psychology Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Edward Esty Boartement of Education, OERI Room 117D 1200 19th St., NW Mashington, DC 20208

Dr. Bestrice J. Farr Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Dr. Marshall J. Farr 2520 North Vernon Street Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. Pat Federico Code 511 NPRDC San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Paul Feltowich Southern Illinois University School of Mediacine Education Department P.O. Box 3926 Springfield, TL 62708

Mr. Wallace Feurzeig Educational Technology Bolt Bezanek & Nevman 10 Moulton St. Cambridge, MA 02238

Dr. Craig I. Fields ARPA 1400 Wilson Blyd.

Arlington, VA 22209 J. D. Fletcher 9931 Corsica Street Vienna VA 22180

Dr. Linda Flower Carnegi<del>e Me</del>llon University Department of English Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Barbara A. Fox University of Colorado Department of Linguistics Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Carl H. Frederiksen McGill University 3700 McTavish Street Montreal, Quebec H3A 1Y2 CANADA

Dr. John R. Frederiksen Bolt Beranek & Neuman 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138

Julie A. Gadoden Information Technology Applications Division Admiralty Research Establishment Portsdown, Portsmouth PO6 4AA 1 . m . t

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

0000000

Dr. Michael Genesereth Stanford University Computer Science Department Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Dedre Gentner University of Illinois Department of Psychology 603 E. Daniel St. Champaign, IL 61820 Dr. Don Gentner Center for Human Information Processing University of California La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Robert Glaser Learning Research & Development Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Marvin D. Glock 13 Stone Hall Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853 Dr. Sam Glucksberg Department of Psychology Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08540 Dr. Joseph Goguen Computer Science Laboratory SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Sherrie Gott AFHRL/MODJ Brooks AFB, TX 78235 Dr. Wayne Gray Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Bert Green Johns Kopkins University Depattment of Psychology Charles & 34th Street Baltimore, MD 21218

Dr. James G. Greeno University of Californía Berkeley, CA 94720

H. William Greenup Education Advisor (E031) Education Center, MCDEC Quantico, VA 22134 Donald Haccard

Donald Haggard Fort Knox Field Unit Army Research Institute Steele Hall Ft. Knox, KY 40121

Dr. Henry M. Halff Halff Resources, Inc. 4918 33rd Road, North Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. Ronald K. Hambleton Prof. of Education & Psychology University of Massachusetts Hills House Amherst, MA 01003

Dr. Bruce Hamill The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Laurel, MD 20107

Dr. Bruce W. Hamill Johns Ropkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, HD 20707 Janice Har Chief office of the Chief offica Vaval Operations OP-11HD Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20350-2000

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Prof. John R. Hayes Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Psychology Schenley Park Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. Barbara Rayes-Roth Department of Computer Science Stanford University Stanford, CA 95305

Dr. Frederick Hayes-Roth Teknowledge 525 University Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dr. Joan I. Heller 505 Haddon Road Oakland, CA 94606 Dr. Steven A. Hillyard

Department of Neurosciences University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 Dr. Geoffrey Hinton Carnegie-Mellon University Computer Science Department Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. Jim Hollan Intelligent Systems Group Institute for Cognitive Science (C-015) UCSD

La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Melissa Holland Army Research Institute for the Beavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Keith Holyoak University of Michigan Human Performance Center 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. James Howard Dept. of Paychology Human Performance Laboratory Catholic University of America Washington, DC 20064

Dr. Lloyd Humphreys Dr. Lloyd Humphreys University of Illinois Department of Psychology 603 East Daniel Street Champaign, IL 61820

Champaign, IL 61820 Dr. Earl Hunt Department of Psychology University of Washington

Seattle, WA 98105

Dr. Ed Hutchins Intelligent Systems Group Institute for Cognitive Science (C-015)

UCSD La Jolla, CA 92093 Dr. Zachary Jacobson Bureau of Management Consulting 365 Lauriter Avenue West Ottara, Ontario XIA 055 OttaNDA

COL Denn**is W. Jarvi** Commander AFHRL

Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5601

Dr. Robín Jeffries Hewlett-Packard Laboratories P.O. Box 10490 Palo Alto, CA 94303-0971

Margaret Jerome c/o Dr. Peter Chandler 83, The Drive Hove Sussex UNITED KINGDOM

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Joseph E. Johnson Assistant Dean for Graduate Studies College of Science and Mathematics Uniwersity of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208

CDR Tom Jones ONR Code 125 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Dr. Marcel Just Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Psychology Schenley Pak 15213 Pittsburgh, Pa 15213

Dr. Milton S. Katz Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Dr. Dennis Kibler University of California Department of Information and Computer Science Irvine, CA 92717 Dr. David Kieras University of Michigan Technical Communication College of Engineering 1223 E. Engineering Building Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. Feter Kincaid Training Analysis & Evaluation Group Department of the Navy Orlando, FL 32813 Dr. Walter Kintsch Department of Fsychology University of Colorado Campus Box 345 Boulder, CO 80302

Dr. Mazie Knerr Program Manager Training Research Division HumRRO 1100 S. Mashington Alexandria, VA 22314 Dr. Janet L. Kolodher Georgia Institute of Technology School of Information & Computer Science Atlanta, 63 30332 Dr. Sylvan Kornblum University of Michigan Mental Realth Research Institute 205 Washtensv Place Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. Stephen Kosslyn Harvard University 1236 William James Hall 33 Kirkland St. Cambridge, MA 02138 Dr. David H. Krantz 2 Washington Square Village Apt. # 15J New York, NY 10012

Dr. David R. Lambert Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 4117 271 Catalina Boulevard San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Pat Langley University of California Department of Information and Computer Science Irvine, CA 92717 Dr. Marcy Lansman University of North Carolina The L. L. Thurstone Lab. Davie Hall 013A Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Dr. Jill Larkin Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Psychology Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Robert Lawler Information Sciences, FRL GTE Laboratories, Inc. 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, MA 02254

Dr. Paul E. Lehner PAR Technology Corp. 7926 Jones Branch Drive Suite 170 McLean, VA 22102

Dr. Thomas Leonard University of Wisconsin Department of Statistics 1210 Wast Dayton Street Madison, WI 53705 Dr. Alan M. Lesgold Learning R&D Center University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260 Dr. Alan Leshner Deputy Division Director Behavioral and Neural Sciences National Science Foundation 1800 G Street Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Jim Levin University of California Laboratory for Comparative Ruman Cognition D003A

La Jolla, CA 92093 Dr. Clayton Lewis

University of Colorado Department of Computer Science Campus Box 430 Boulder, CO 80309

Library Naval War Coll<del>oge</del> Newport, RI 02940 Library Naval Training Systems Center Orlando, FL 32813

Science and Technology Division Library of Congress Washington, DC 20540

Dr. Frederic M. Lord Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541

Princeton, NJ 08541 Dr. Don Lyon P. O. Box 44 Higley, AZ 85236 Dr. William L. Maloy Chief of Naval Education and Training Navel Air Station

Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL 32508 Dr. Evans Mandes Department of Psycholo

Department of Psychology George Mason University 400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030 Dr Sandra P. Marahal)

Dr. Sandra P. Marshall Dept. of Psychology San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182 Dr. Manton M. Matthews Department of Computer Science University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. Richard E. Mayer Department of Psychology University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106 Dr. Kathi**e**en McKeown Columbia University Department of Computer Science New York, NY 10027

Dr. Gail McKoon CAS/Psychology Northwestern University 1859 Sheridan Road Kreege 0230 Evanston, IL 60201

#### ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Joe McLachlan Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Development, and Studies Assistant for MPT Research, Dr. James McMichael 0187

Washington, DC 20370 Dr. Barbara Means

Research Organization 1100 South Washington Alexandria, VA 22314 Human Resources

Dr. Douglas L. Medin Department of Psychology University of Illinois 603 E. Daniel Street Champaign, IL 61820

S. Department of Education Washington, DC 20208 Dr. Arthur Melmed Brown 724

Dr. Jose Mestre Department of Physics Hasbrouck Laboratory University of Messachusetts Amherst, MA 01003

800 N. Quincy Arlington, VA 22217-5000 of Naval Research Dr. Al Meyrowitz Office of Naval 1 Code 1133

Dr. James R. Miller 9430 Research Blvd.

Capt. Robert Milne (Ph.D.) Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 Echelon Building #1, Suite 231 Austin, TX 78759

Dr. Andrew R. Molnar Scientific and Engineering Personnel and Education National Science Foundation Mashington, DC 20550

NPRDC Code 13 San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Dr. William Montague

Xerox PARC 3333 Coyote Hill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Dr. Tom Moran

Headquarters, Marine Corps Code MPI-20 Washington, DC 20380

Laboratories - USC 1845 S. Elena Ave., 4th Floor Redondo Beach, CA 90277 Behavioral Technology Dr. Allen Munro

Assistant for Evaluation, Analysis, and MIS, NMPC N-6C

Washington, DC 20370

Sper. Asst. for Research, Experi-mental & Academic Programs, NTTC (Code 016) Millington, TN 38054 NAS Memphis (75)

Assistant for Long Range Requirements, CNO Executive Panel NOO ĉ

Assistant for Planning MANTRAPERS 2000 North Beauregard Street Alexandria, VA 22311

Washington, DC 20370 OP 01B6

Assistant for MPT Research, Development and Studies OP 0187 Washington, DC 20370

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Head -- Manpower, Personnel, Training, & Reserve Team, 5A578, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20350 OP 914D

Assistant for Personnel Logistics Planning, 5D772, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20350 OP 987H

Leadership Management Education and Training Project Officer, Naval Medical Command Code 05C

Mr. William S. Neale Washington, DC 20372 HQ ATC/TTA

Randolph AFB, TX 78150

Dr. Mary Jo Nissen University of Minnesota N218 Elliott Hall Minneapolis, MN 55455

Director, Training Laboratory, NPRDC (Code 05) San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Director, Manpower and Personnel Laboratory,

NPRDC (Code 06) San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Director, Human Factors & Organizational Systems Lab, San Diego, CA 92152-6800 NPRDC (Code 07)

San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Library, NPRDC Code P201L

Commanding Officer, Naval Research Laboratory Code 2627

Washington, DC 20390

Dr. Harry F. O'Neil, Jr. University of Southern California School of Education -- WPH 801 Dept. of Educational Psychology and Technology Los Angeles, CA 90089-0031

Learning R & D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. Stellan Ohlsson

Director, Technology Programs, Office of Naval Research Code 12

800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Director, Research Programs, Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research, 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Code 1133

Code 1142EP 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Office of Naval Research,

Office of Naval Research,

800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 (6 Copies) Code 1142PT

000 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000 Office of Naval Research, Code 11R

Office of Naval Research,

Code 125 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Bollan, Nutchins] NR 667-541

Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters, OMR Code 00MC 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Judith Orasanu Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Jesse Orlansky Institute for Defense Analyses 1801 N. Beauregard St. Alexandria, VA 22311

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139 Prof. Seymour Papert 20C-109

CDR R. T. Parlette Chief of Naval Operations OP-112G

Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Robert F. Pasnak Department of Psychology George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfar, VA 22030

Dr. Douglas Pearse Downsview, Ontario Box 2000 DCIEM

CANADA

University of Chicago Graduate School of Business 1101 E. 58th St. Dr. Wancy Pennington Chicago, IL 60637 Military Assistant for Training and Personnel Technology, OUSD (R & E) Rocm 3D129, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-3080

LCDR Frank C. Petho, MSC, USN CNATRA Code N36, Bidg. 1 NNSS N

Dr. Tjeerd Plomp Twente University of Technology Department of Education Corpus Christi, TX 78419

P.O. Box 217 7500 AE ENSCHEDE THE NETHERLANDS

Dr. Martha Polson Department of Psychology Campus Box 346 University of Colorado Boulder, CO 80309

University of Colorado Department of Psychology Boulder, CO 80309 Dr. Peter Polson

Dr. Steven E. Poltrock ğ

Austin, TX 78759-6509 9430 Research Blvd. Echelon Bldg #1

University of Pittsburgh Decision Systems Laboratory 1360 Scaife Hall Pittsburgh, PA 15261 Dr. Harry E. Pople

Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333 Dr. Joseph Psotka ATTN: PERI-IC

Department of Psychology Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213 Dr. Lynne Reder Schenley Park

Physics Department University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 Dr. Fred Reif

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Rollan, Hutchins] MR 667-541

Dr. Lauren Resnick Learning R & D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Grumman Aerospace Corp. Bethpage, NY 11714 Mail Stop C04-14 Dr. Gil Ricard

Dr. Mary S. Riley Program in Cognitive Science Center for Human Information Processing University of California La Jolla, CA 92093

William Rizzo Code 712 NAVTRAEQUIPCEN Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Linds G. Roberts

Science, Education, and Transportation Program Office of Technology Assessment Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20510

1055 Thomas Jefferson St., NW Washington, DC 20007 American Institutes for Research Dr. Andrew M. Rose

Dr. Ernst Z. Rothkopf AffT Bell Laboratories Room 2D-456 600 Mountain Avenue Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Search Technology, Inc. 25-b Technology Park/Atlanta Norcross, GA 30092 **Ur. William B. Rouse** 

Center for Buman Information Processing Univ. of California La Jolla, CA 92093 Dr. David Rumelhart

Dr. Fumiko Samejima Department of Psychology University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN 37916

6271 Variel Avenue Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Dr. Michael J. Samet Perceptronics, Inc

Dr. James F. Sanford Department of Psychology George Mason University 4400 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030

Yale University Computer Science Department P.O. Box 2158 New Haven, CT 06520 Dr. Roger Schank

Learning R&D Center University of Pittsburgh 3939 O'Hara Street Pittsburgh, PA 15260 Dr. Walter Schneider

Department of Psychology Wesleyan University Middletown, CT 06475 Dr. Marc Sebrechts

Dr. Robert J. Seidel US Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333

Resident Representative, Office of Naval Research, UCSD

La Jolla, CA 92093-0001 University of California, San Diego

Dr. Sylvia A. S. Shafto Macional Institute of Education 1200 19th Street Mail Stop 1806 Washington, DC 20208

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Kazuo Shiqemasu 7-9-24 Kugenuma-Kaigan Fujusawa 251 Dr. Ben Shneiderman Dept. of Computer Science University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742 Dr. Ted Shortliffe Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Lee Shulman Stanford University 1040 Cathcart Way Stanford, CA 94305 Dr. Herbert A. Simon Department of Psychology Carnegie Hellon University Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Pa 15213 LTCOL Robert Simpson Defense Advanced Research Projects Administration 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Zita M Simutis Instructional Technology Systems Area

ARI 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko Manpower Research and Advisory Services Smithsonian Institution Solt Morth Fitt Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Derek Sleeman Stanford University School of Education Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Edward E. Smith Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MÅ 02138 Dr. Alfred F. Smode Sentor Scientist Gode 07a Naval Training Systems Center Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Richard E. Snow Department of Psychology Stanford University Stanford, CA 94306 Dr. Elliot Soloway Yale University Computer Science Department P.O. Box 2158 New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Richard Sorensen Navy Personnel RúD Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Kathryn T. Spoehr Brown University Department of Psychology Providence, RI 02912 James J. Staszewski Research Associate Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Paychology Schenley Park Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Frederick Steinheiser CIA-ORD 612 Ames Washington, DC 20505

Dr. Robert Sternberg Department of Psychology Yale University

Box 11A, Yale Station Wew Haven, CT 06520 Dr. Albert Stevens Boit Beranek & Neuman, Inc. 10 Moulton St. Cambridge, MA 02238

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Hutchins] NR 667-541

Dr. Paul J. Sticha Senior Staff Scientist Training Research Division HumR0 . Washington 1100 S. Washington Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Thomas Sticht Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. John Tangney AFOSR/NL Bolling AFB, DC 20332

Dr. Martin M. Taylor DCIEM Box 2000 Downsview, Ontario CANADA Dr. Perry W. Thorndyke FMC Corporation Central Engineering Labs 1185 Colaman Avenue, Box 580 Santa Clara, CA 95052

Major Jack Thorpe DARPA 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209 Dr. Douglas Towne Behavioral Technology Labs 1845 S. Elena Ave. Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Dr. James Tweeddale Technical Director Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Paul Twohig Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 Headquarters, U. S. Marine Corps Code MPI-20 Washington, DC 20380

Dr. David Vale Assessment Systems Corp. 2233 University Avenue Suite 310 St. Paul, MN 55114

Dr. Kurt Van Lehn Department of Psychology Carnegie-Mellon University Schenley Park Dr. Beth Warren Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02138

Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Norman M. Weinberger University of California Center for the Neurobiology of Learning and Memory

of Learning and Memory Irvine, CA 92717

Roger Weissinger-Baylon Department of Administrative Sciences Naval Fostgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940

Monterey, CA 93940 Dr. Donald Weitzman

MITRE 1820 Dolley Madison Blvd. MacLean, VA 22102 Dr. Keith T. Wescourt FMC Corporation Contral Engineering Labs 1185 Coleman Ave., Box 580 Santa Clara, CA 95052

Dr. Douglas Wetzel Code 12

Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Barbara White Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc. 10 Moulton Street Cambridge, MA 02238

Distribution List [UCSD/Norman, Hollan, Butchins] NR 667-541

LCDR Cory deGroot Whitehead Chief of Naval Operations OP-11261 Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Christopher Wickens Department of Psychology University of Illinois Champaign, IL 61820 Dr. Michael Williams IntelliCorp 1975 El Camino Real West Mountain View, CA 94040-2216

A. E. Winterbauer Research Associate Electronics Division Denver Research Institute University Park Denver, CO 80208-0454

Dr. Robert A. Wisher U.S. Arry Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Martin F. Wiskoff Mavy Personnel R & D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Frank Withrow U. S. Office of Education 400 Maryland Ave. SW Washington, DC 20202 Mr. John H. Wolfe Navy Personnel R&D Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800 Dr. Wallace Wulfeck, III Navy Personnel RéD Center San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Joe Yasatuke Afhrl/LRT Lowry Afb, CO 80230

ALL CON

Mr. Carl York System Development Foundation 181 Lytton Avenue Suite 210 Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dr. Joseph L. Young Memory & Cognitive Processes National Science Foundation Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Steven Zornetzer Office of Naval Research Code 1140 800 M. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5000

ONR DISTRIBUTION LIST

