







• • •

an alerado

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESS Technical Report E-86-6 2. D-4	ION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
AQUATIC BIOTA ASSOCIATED WITH CHANNEL STABI-	Final report
LIZATION STRUCTURES AND ABANDONED CHANNELS IN THE MIDDLE MISSOURI RIVER	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(*) Gary J. Atchison, Roger W. Bachmann, John G. Nickum, James B. Barnum, Mark B. Sandheinric	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Iowa State University Iowa Cooperative Fishery Research Unit 124 Science II, Ames, Iowa 50011	EWQOS Work Unit VA
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY	July 1986
US Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling)	Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Statio Environmental Laboratory	n Unclassified
PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-06	31 IS. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 diff	erent Irom Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if diff s. supplementary notes Available from National Technical Informatio	erent from Report) n Service, 5285 Port Royal Road,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 diff SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Informatio Springfield, Virginia 22161.	erent from Report) n Service, 5285 Port Royal Road,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abelract entered in Block 20, if diff supplementary notes Available from National Technical Informatio Springfield, Virginia 22161. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block Aquatic animals Channels (Hydraulic engineering)	erent from Report) n Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, number) Missouri River Water quality number) ected from main-stem habitats on nd 678 during 1983. Sampling was and benthic macroinvertebrate
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if diff SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Informatio Springfield, Virginia 22161. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block Aquatic animals Channels (Hydraulic engineering) Fishes ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	n Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, number) Missouri River Water quality number) ected from main-stem habitats on nd 678 during 1983. Sampling was and benthic macroinvertebrate and abandoned channel habitats. uniform, except for some small
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if difference of the state of the s	n Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, number) Missouri River Water quality number) ected from main-stem habitats on nd 678 during 1983. Sampling was and benthic macroinvertebrate and abandoned channel habitats. uniform, except for some small
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 diff SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Informatio Springfield, Virginia 22161. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block Aquatic animals Channels (Hydraulic engineering) Fishes ADSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	n Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, number) Missouri River Water quality number) ected from main-stem habitats on nd 678 during 1983. Sampling was and benthic macroinvertebrate and abandoned channel habitats. uniform, except for some small n the abandoned channels and those

and a subscription of the second

2220000 Albhold 20 - 202000-31

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(Then Date Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued).

in the main river, indicating a well-mixed system.

Thirty-nine fish species comprised the juvenile and adult catch. The revetted bank samples were dominated by larger species, .uch as the blue sucker and flathead catfish. The dike field had a similar assemblage of larger species with blue sucker, channel catfish, flathead catfish, and goldeye predominating. - The dike fields also provided habitat for a wide variety of minnows. The abandoned channels yielded the greatest species richness and overall greatest numbers of fish.

The giverall abundance of fish larvae in the abandoned channels was much higher than in the main channel and the catch was dominated by sunfishes and gizzard shad. The main channel habitats were found to be of importance for freshwater drum, carp suckers, and common carp. Peak times of larval fish abundance occurred between early June and mid-August.

There were differences in the densities and taxonomic composition of the benthic invertebrate communities in the different habitats. The abandoned channel habitats were characterized by fine sediment particles, high benthos densities, and lower number of taxa than found on the rock substrate of the dikes and revetments. The dike pool habitats were characterized by high current velocities, a diversity of sediment types, and low benthic diversity. The dikes and revetments were similar in having large rock substrates and high current velocities. Attached forms such as *Hydra* were important as were other invertebrates commonly associated with coarse substrates (caddisflies, stoneflies, and clinging mayflies).

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Winn Date Enterned)

PREFACE

This work is part of the Environmental and Water Quality Operational Studies (EWQOS) Program sponsored by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), and is being managed by the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) Environmental Laboratory (EL) under EWQOS Work Unit VA, Environmental Impact of Selected Channel Alignment and Bank Revetment Alternatives in Waterways. The OCE Technical Monitors for EWQOS were Mr. Earl E. Eiker, Dr. John Bushman, and Mr. James L. Gottesman.

The basic objective of the EWQOS Program is to provide new or improved technology for the planning, design, construction, and operation of Corps of Engineers projects in an effort to solve selected environmental problems. This report presents results of a study of physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of the Missouri River and associated revetted banks, dike fields, and abandoned channels of the Iowa-Nebraska border north of Omaha, Nebraska. Fieldwork was conducted in the summer and fall of 1983 by the Iowa Cooperative Fisheries Research Unit under Intra-Army Order No. WESRF 83-139 dated 11 January 1983. The order was modified with Exchange Order No. 1 dated 31 March 1983 and change order No. 2 dated 5 December 1983.

The report was prepared by Drs. Gary J. Atchison, Roger W. Bachmann, John G. Nickum, James B. Barnum, and Mr. Mark B. Sandheinrich. The project was administered at WES by Dr. C. H. Pennington, EL.

Field and laboratory work was coordinated by Dr. Barnum and Mr. Sandheinrich, and conducted by the following graduate students in the Department of Animal Ecology, Iowa State University: Messrs. Fredrick Barrows, Kenneth Kortge, John Olson, John Ringle, Thomas Robertson, Burt Shephard, and Roger Vancil. Mr. Adam Leff provided particular support and assistance to all phases of the larval fish subproject. Mr. Kortge provided special expertise in midge identification and assisted in formatting this report. Additional field assistance was provided by Messrs. Larry Sanders and Mike Potter, EL. The report was edited by Ms. Jamie W. Leach of the WES Information Products Division.

Program Manager at WES for EWQOS was Dr. Jerome L. Mahloch. Chief of EL was Dr. John Harrison.

Director of WES during publication of this report was COL Allen F. Grum, USA. Technical Director was Dr. Robert W. Whalin.

This report should be cited as follows:

Atchison, G. J., et al. 1986. "Aquatic Biota Associated With Channel Stabilization Structures and Abandoned Channels in the Middle Missouri River," Technical Report E-86-6, prepared by Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, for the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

2

CONTENTS

											Page
PREFACE		•		•	٠				•	•	1
PART I: INTRODUCTION	•	•		•	•					•	4
Background				•	•		•			•	4
Objectives	·	•	•••	•	•	·	•	٠	•	•	4
PART II: LITERATURE REVIEW	•	•	• •	•	·	•	·	•	•	·	6
Channel Modifications											6
Water Quality											7 8
Fish											10
PART III: STUDY AREA											12
General Description	•	•	• •	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	12
Sampling Sites	•	·	• •	•	·	•	•	•	٠	•	13
PART IV: SAMPLING METHODS	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	18
Physical-Chemical Measurements							•				18
Fish											19
Larval Fish	•	•	• •	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	20
Benthic Macroinvertebrates	•	·	• •	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	21
PART V: RESULTS	•	•	•••			•	•	•	•	•	23
Water Quality				•		•			•		23
Fish											24
Larval Fish	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
Benthic Macroinvertebrates	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	36
PART VI: DISCUSSION	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	40
Water Quality				•							40
Fish				•			•	•			40
Larval Fish											42
Benthic Macroinvertebrates	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	45
PART VII: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
REFERENCES	•	•		•	•	•		•		•	49
TABLES 1-26			; ``	:0							
			1								



AQUATIC BIOTA ASSOCIATED WITH CHANNEL STABILIZATION STRUCTURES AND ABANDONED CHANNELS IN THE MIDDLE MISSOURI RIVER

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Background

1. This study was designed to assess the water quality and biota of dike, revetted bank, and abandoned channel habitats on a segment of the Missouri River bordered by Iowa and Nebraska. Methodologies used were developed during earlier phases of the Environmental and Water Quality Operational Studies (EWQOS) Program managed by the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES).

2. The Missouri River below Sioux City, Iowa, has a narrow, single, smooth channel with a series of gentle bends and a well-stabilized bank (Hallberg, Harbough, and Witinok 1979). Dikes built perpendicular to the flow cut off side channels, contract channel width, and prevent banks on the inside of the channel from eroding. Revetments, constructed on the outside of the river bend parallel to the flow, maintain channel alignment and stabilize banks. Abandoned channels are essentially lentic habitats that maintain a connection, at least during high river discharge, with the main channel. Although abandoned channels are not very numerous, most of the river shoreline supports either dike fields or revetments. Thus, the Missouri River is greatly modified by control structures from Sioux City, Iowa, to its confluence with the Mississippi River.

Objectives

3. A review of pertinent literature demonstrates that relatively little is known of the impacts of these channel modifications on river water quality or biota. The specific objectives of this study were to

describe water quality and fish and benthic maroinvertebrate populations associated with dike, revetment, and abandoned channel habitats along the Missouri River bordered by Iowa and Nebraska. In addition, larval fish populations were sampled in these habitats and in the river midchannel.

El Lucia

0.00000000

PART II: LITERATURE REVIEW

4. The Missouri River has undergone many man-maue changes since Lewis and Clark explored its waters in 1804. These alterations have resulted in modifications of the river's chemical, physical, and biological characteristics. The purpose of this review is to describe the historical changes in the river channel and review studies of the water quality, macroinvertebrate fauna, and fish communities in the channelized and unchannelized river.

Channel Modifications

5. Physical modification of the channel began as early as 1832 with the removal of snags to facilitate steamboat travel up the Missouri River (Burke and Robinson 1979). In 1912, Congress authorized the Army Corps of Engineers to stabilize the river banks and provide a navigation channel that was 1.8 m deep and 61 m wide from Kansas City to the mouth. The River and Harbor Act of 1945 extended the navigation channel upstream to Sioux City, Iowa, and increased the depth and width of the channel to 2.7 and 91.4 m, respectively.

6. The formation and maintenance of the navigation channel have been accomplished by building dikes and revetments that concentrate the river flow, and force it to scour out a deep channel. Both stabilization structures are built with boulders and crushed rock fill.

7. Six large multipurpose dams were constructed on the upper Missouri River from 1940-1964 as part of the Pick-Sloan plan. These dams and their associated reservoirs store water for flood control, power production, irrigation, and navigation. The river is unencumbered from Gavins Point Dam at Yankton, South Dakota, to its mouth 1,290 km downstream. Only 143 km of the river remain unchannelized below Fort Randall Dam (Kallemeyn and Novotny 1977).

8. River channelization and construction of dams have resulted in a shorter, narrower channel with reduced fluctuations in flow rates compared to the premodified river (Funk and Robinson 1974; Hallberg,

Harbough, and Witinok 1979). For the Iowa-Nebraska portion of the Missouri River, Hallberg, Harbough, and Witinok (1979) reported the following changes between 1923 and 1976: 9-percent (29 km) decrease in river length; 80-percent (25,000 ha) decrease in channel area; 66-percent (12,200 ha) decrease in water area; 99.9-percent (4,700 ha) decrease in island area; and 99.7-percent (8,100 ha) decrease in sandbar area.

9. Prior to impoundment, flooding typically occurred twice a year in the river valley. Spring flooding resulted from snowmelt runoff from the plains, whereas a "June rise" was associated with melting snow in the mountains and rain in the prairie states (Russell 1965). Impoundments now moderate the flow and contain the river within its banks to a great extent (Hallberg, Harbough, and Witinok 1979).

Water Quality

10. There are few detailed studies of the Missouri River's physical and chemical parameters. Most information has been gathered incidental to the study of the aquatic biota.

11. Turbidity was considered a major factor influencing water quality and river biota prior to construction of the main-stem impoundments. Berner (1951) reported turbidity values commonly greater than 3,000 ppm (using a US Geological Survey turbidity rod) in the lower Missouri River. The recorded average annual turbidity recorded at Kansas City ranged from between 1,300 and 3,200 ppm between 1918 and 1952 (Neel, Nicholson, and Hirsch 1963, methods not described). After the main-stem reservoirs were completed, Neel, Nicholson, and Hirsch (1963) found that average annual turbidities declined 65 percent. Todd and Bender (1932) reported turbidity values ranging from 21 to 525 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) for river mile 532 from 1971 to 1977. Values were generally higher in May than in July or October. Kallemeyn and Novotny (1977) reported turbidity levels ranging from 16 to 24 Jackson Turbidity Units (JTU) for main channel stations between river miles 709 and 704.

12. Berner (1951) found that dissolved oxygen varied inversely

with the amount of suspended organic material and decreased to less than 3.5 mg/ ℓ in some areas. Dissolved oxygen concentrations below impoundments do not generally drop below 5 mg/ ℓ (Todd and Bender 1982). Mainstem impoundments also modify other characteristics by serving as mixing basins which delay normal seasonal trends and buffer extreme physical and chemical values.

Fish

13. Most studies of fish in the Missouri River have concentrated on population estimates and various aspects of species' life history characteristics and biology (Claflin 1963; Johnson 1963; Cvancara 1964; Langemeier 1965; Morris 1965; Russell 1965; Swedberg 1965; Beal 1967; Zweiacker 1967; Held 1969, Cross and Huggins 1975; Helms 1975; Hesse, Wallace, and Lehman 1978; Modde and Schmulbach 1973; Cada and Hergenrader 1980; Hesse, Bliss, and Zuerlien 1982; Hesse and Newcomb 1982; Rosen, Hales, and Unkenholz 1982). In the first comprehensive study of fish in the Missouri River, 60 species were observed in the channelized river from the mouth to the Iowa border (Fisher 1962). Pflieger (1971) reported 63 species in the Missouri Basin.

14. Unchannelized portions of the river have higher fish densities than channelized sections (Schmulbach, Gould, and Groen 1975). Numerous backwater habitats occur in these sections and comprise a total aquatic surface area per linear kilometre three times greater than an equal distance of channelized river (Morris et al. 1968).

15. The backwaters and marshes are important spawning and nursery sites for many riverine species, although these sites make up only 15 percent of the surface area of the unchannelized Missouri River (Kozel and Schmulbach 1976; Kallemeyn and Novotny 1977). Persons (1979) reported that at least 15 species spawned in backwater areas and found the catch of fish larvae in tow nets from backwaters to be more than ten times greater than that found in the main channel drift reported in other studies.

16. Channelization and the loss of habitat variability has

resulted in decreased species diversity and productivity (Funk and Robinson 1974). Fish are more abundant in the unchannelized reaches than in channelized reaches of the river (Schmulbach, Gould, and Groen 1975). Groen and Schmulbach (1978) found higher catch, harvest rates, angler-hours/kilometre, number fish caught/kilometre, and weight harvested, and larger average size of creeled fish in the unchannelized than the channelized river. Morris (1969) and Morris, Morris, and Witt (1972) estimated that twice as many flathead catfish occur per kilometre in unchannelized versus channelized river.

17. The reduction of suitable fish habitat by navigation and stabilization projects has probably contributed significantly to the declining catch and changes in composition of the catch of the commercial fishery when compared to prechannelized periods. Funk and Robinson (1974) reported that the annual commerical harvest declined 80 percent between 1947 and 1963, from 204,100 kg to 40,800 kg. Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) and buffalo (Ictiobus bubalus and I. cyprinellus) dominated the catch prior to 1900, but carp (Cyprinus carpio) now predominate in the catch, making up 50 to 80 percent of the total (Whitley and Campbell 1973). Blue catfish (Ictalusus furcatus), pallid sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus), paddlefish (Polydon spathula), centrarchids, and sauger (Stizostedion canadense) are seldom taken (Funk and Robinson 1974).

18. Species composition of the fish communities differs between altered and unaltered habitats. Fish in the channelized sections are associated with notched revetments, notched spur dikes, and notched wing dike habitats (Kallemeyn and Novotny 1977). River shiner (Notropis blennius), emerald shiner (Notropis atherinoides), red shiner (Notropis lutrensis), and sand shiner (Notropis stramineus) are common in the channelized reaches. Bigmouth shiner (Notropis dorsalis) and plains minnow (Hybognathus placitus) are found in addition to these cyprinids in the unchannelized sections (Berner 1951; Schmulbach, Gould, and Groen 1975). Of the larger species, carp, channel catfish, and river carpsucker (Carpiodes carpio) predominate in the channelized river (Kallemeyn and Novotny 1977; Groen and Schmulbach 1978), but sauger, channel catfish,

and white bass (Morone chryops) are prevalent in the catch from the unchannelized sections (Groen and Schmulbach 1978). Burress, Kreiger, and Pennington (1982) collected 26 species in nine habitats of the modified and unmodified river. Carp, white sucker (Catostomus commersoni), yellow perch (Perca flavescens), and river carpsucker comprise two thirds of the catch.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

19. Previous studies of the macroinvertebrate biota in the Missouri River have primarily made comparisons from the various habitats of the channelized and unchannelized river. These comparisons have found variations in species composition, diversity, and benthic standing crop between habitats.

20. The sediment dwelling benthic community in the channelized and unchannelized river is dominated by chironomids and oligochaetes (Russell 1965; Morris et al. 1968; McMahon, Wolf, and Diggins 1972; Burress, Kreiger, and Pennington 1982). Though the main channel has the least invertebrate density and diversity of any habitat within the river, the benthic biomass and diversity of the main channel are higher in unchannelized portions than in channelized portions of the river (McMahon, Wolf, and Diggins 1972; Morris et al. 1968). Wolf, McMahon, and Diggins (1972) found that the main channel habitats of seminatural areas (below main-stem impoundments but above Sioux City, Iowa, so not channelized) had three times the density of organisms of channel habitats in the channelized river. Russell (1965) estimated the standing crop of invertebrates from habitats in the channelized river to be 0.50 kg/ha, compared with 1.18 kg/ha for habitats in the unchannelized sections.

21. Highest densities of benthic invertebrates occur in areas with mud or mud/fine sand substrate and extensive backwaters (Burress, Kreiger, and Pennington 1982). Wolf, McMahon, and Diggins (1972) reported that cattail marshes had the highest densities of invertebrates of any habitats sampled, containing up to 18 times more organisms than the main channel of the channelized river. Volesky (1969) estimated

that 50 percent or more of the benthic standing crop of the Missouri River originated in the cattail marshes, though the marshes only comprise 15 percent of the river's surface area.

22. There is little similarity between the species composition of the sediment dwelling benthic community versus that of the drift community (Russell 1965; Morris et al. 1968; Namminga 1969; Modde and Schmulbach 1973; Nord and Schmulbach 1973). The species composition of the drift, however, is similar to that of the attached communities (Morris et al. 1968; Modde and Schmulbach 1973). Trichoptera, Ephemeroptera, and Diptera dominate the drift and attached (epibenthic) communities (Modde and Schmulbach 1973; Nord and Schmulbach 1973; Burress, Kreiger, and Pennington 1982). Unchannelized sections of the Missouri River support higher standing crops of attached macroinvertebrates than the channelized sections (Morris et al. 1968; McMahon, Wolf, and Diggins 1972; Nord and Schmulbach 1973). Species density and composition seem to be influenced by current velocity. Nord and Schmulbach (1973) found that Hester-Dendy samplers in "slow water" had greater species diversity but lower density than "fast water" samplers. Based upon these Hester-Dendy samples, Hydropsyche (Trichoptera) dominated the attached community in swift current, but Neureclepsis (Trichoptera) was predominant in slower water. Burress, Kreiger, and Pennington (1982) reported oligochaetes were most common at current velocities of 11 to 30 cm/sec in the upper Missouri River. The average numbers of dipterans, trichopterans, and ephemeropterans in this study tended to increase as current velocities increased to 70 cm/sec.

PART III: STUDY AREA

General Description

23. The Missouri River originates at Three Forks, Montana, at the confluence of the Gallatin, Jefferson, and Madison Rivers. The river flows 4,058 km through seven states to its junction with the Mississippi River above St. Louis, Missouri. The Missouri Basin drains approximately 1,354,564 km² of central North America, about one sixth of the continental United States (Slizeski, Andersen, and Dorough 1982).

24. The name "Missouri" is a native American word meaning "muddy water" (Kirby and Abbott 1929). The Missouri River is highly turbid as a result of the soft clay, sandstone, and shale in the runoff from the erodible badlands that enters the river via the Yellowstone River in North Dakota (Neel, Nicholson, and Hirsch 1963). Runoff from irrigated farmlands in the Dakotas, Nebraska, and Iowa also adds to the silt load in the river.

25. The large watershed area and the steep slope of the river result in high discharge rates and a rapid current. The average discharge below Sioux City, Iowa, ranges from 800 m³/sec at Omaha, Nebraska, to 1,530 m³/sec at Hermann, Missouri. Mean main channel current velocities range from 1.1 m/sec at Hermann, Missouri, to 1.8 m/sec at Omaha, Nebraska (Burke and Robinson 1979).

26. The riverbed in the main channel is composed of gravel and sand with relatively little organic matter (Russell 1965). Reduced current along channel margins and the downstream side of dikes and in the backwaters results in the accumulation of suspended silt and organic material in these areas.

27. The alluvial nature of the river basin, in addition to the swift current, resulted in a constant shifting of the channel and a continuous deposition and resuspension of sediment within the channel. Prior to channelization "the river followed a meandering course of bends and reaches impeded by soft and shifting bars, shoals, snags, and debris, which frequently caused the formation of two or more

shallow channels" (Army Corps of Engineers 1946, in Berner 1951).

Sampling Sites

28. This study was conducted on the Missouri River between river miles 661 and 678 (Figure 1). Two dike fields were chosen for study (Figure 2), one between river miles 676.5 and 678 on the right bank (DF1) and the other between river miles 670 and 673 on the left bank (DF2). DF1 consisted of 10 stonefill dikes and associated pools with the field about 1.6 km long. DF2 consisted of 19 stonefill dikes along 3.5 km of river. Samples were taken from two dikes and four dike pools (slack water area between adjacent dikes) in each dike field (Figure 2). A single transect was established on each dike structure to be sampled and four transects were designated in each pool. The dikes extended into the river variable distances due to the extensive filling in with sediment around them; the range was 4 to 10 m into the water and all had portions extending above the surface of the water. The stone fill was composed of large rock ranging in size from about 5 to 50 cm. The dike pools were quite variable in size, depth, and water velocity. Current velocity ranged from almost standing water to the velocity of the open channel water, with mean velocities for the dike fields ranging from 0.2 to 1.3 m/sec. Based upon the maximum depths at which benthic macroinvertebrates were collected by dredge, pools in DF1 reached 3 to 4 m and in DF2 reached 5 to 10 m. Sediments were composed primarily of sand with mud occasionally occurring in the shallows and occasionally gravel in the deepest areas.

29. Two revetted banks were studied with RV1 extending about 2.3 km along the left bank across from DF1 and RV2 extending about 3.5 km along the right bank across from DF2 (Figure 2). Four transects (two on the upstream face and two on the downstream face) were sampled on each of these stone fill pile revetments. Rocks ranged in size from about 25 to 100 cm. Mean current velocity measured during the sampling trips ranged from about 1.5 to 2.9 m/sec along these revetments. Depths ranged from 1.5 to 3.4 m based on soundings taken during electrofishing.





30. Four transects were used to collect adult and juvenile fish and invertebrates in two abandoned channels, one near river mile 671 (AC1, Figure 2b) and the other near river mile 661 (AC2, Figure 3b). Original plans called for sampling an abandoned channel near river mile 663 instead of AC1. However, the outlet channel connecting it to the river became too shallow to navigate, thus the new site was chosen. The larval fish sampling, however, was continued at this site and the site is coded as AC (Figure 3a). AC1 and AC2 were shallow habitats (0.5 to 3.0 m deep based upon benthos sampling) with sediments composed mostly of mud and with no measurable current velocity.

31. Transects were identified alphabetically and positioned at intervals no greater than 305 m. Stations were located along the transects at 7.6-m intervals starting at the shoreline and were identified numerically starting with number one next to the shoreline. In abandoned channels where transects extended from one shore to another, station numbering started at the left shoreline facing downstream. Invertebrates and nonlarval tish were sampled during three periods, 3 June to 7 June, 8 August to 12 August, and 6 October to 9 October 1984.

32. Three main channel habitats (locations) were chosen for larval fish sampling: revetted bank (RV), midchannel (MC), and dike field (DF). Two sampling sites (stations) were chosen for each of these locations: one site near river mile 672 and the other near river mile 671 (Figure 2b). In addition to the main channel locations, an abandoned channel (AC) near river mile 663 was studied. As per other locations, two sampling sites were chosen for study in the abandoned channel (Figure 3a).



1202223

S. Startes

نې بر بر a. Location of abandoned channel near mile 663 (code AC) used for larval fish tows (dashed lines) only



b. Location of transects in the Soldier's Bend abandoned channel near river mile 661 (code AC2)

Figure 3. Abandoned channel study areas

PART IV: SAMPLING METHODS

Physical-Chemical Measurements

33. Water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, and redox potential were measured at two stations in each habitat using a Hydrolab in situ water analysis system. Profiles consisting of readings at the surface, mid-depth, and just above the bottom were taken at each station where depth exceeded 0.9 m; otherwise, only surface measurements were taken. The instruments were calibrated prior to sampling efforts, and measurements were made in all habitats on the same day, once immediately after dawn, and again just prior to dusk. This sampling procedure was carried out twice during each collecting period, on the first and last days. Clarity was measured with a Secchi disk at each of the two stations in each habitat where water quality variables were measured. Measurements were to the nearest 0.076 m. Turbidity samples were collected at each of the two stations in each habitat where water quality variables were measured. The samples were immediately chilled, and after they were returned to the shore, measurements were made of surface and near bottom samples to the nearest 1 NTU with a Hach Turbidimeter (Model 2100A).

34. Current velocity and direction were measured at each of the two stations in each habitat where water quality variables were measured using an Endeco ducted impeller current meter. Profiles (surface, middepth, and just above the bottom) were taken at each station where the depth exceeded 0.9 m. Direction of flow was given in compass degrees. The current meter was calibrated prior to sampling efforts.

35. Visual classification of grain size was conducted on sediments taken in conjunction with benthic macroinvertebrate samples from each habitat. Visual classification of sediments included the following: gravel, coarse sand, medium sand, fine sand, mud and fine sand, mud and coarse sand, silt, mud, mud and silt, mud and clay, clay, and clay and fine sand.

36. Fish were collected by electrofishing, hoop netting, and seining. All three habitats (RV, DF, and AC) were sampled during each sample period by all three techniques except the revetted bank habitats which were too deep and the current too great for seining.

37. Electrofishing was carried out using a pulsed direct current (DC) boat-mounted boom shocker. Output voltage varied between 336 and 504 V; the output amperage was maintained at about 8.2 amps. When sampling the revetted bank and dike field transects, the boat was allowed to drift downstream at about the speed of the current. Four transects were established at each site and these were held constant for all sample periods. With three habitats, two sites per habitat, four transects per site and three sample periods, a total of 72 electrofishing samples were taken during this study.

38. Hoop nets with 0.9-m-diam and 25-mm-square mesh netting were fished at eight stations per site, two sites per habitat. Nets were set at each station for two consecutive 24-hr periods and checked and emptied after each period. On the occasions where nets could not be retrieved, new nets were reset. Therefore, 288–24-hr hoop net sets were completed in this study. The standard unit of effort for hoop netting was one 24-hr set.

39. Seining was accomplished with 4.6-m-long, 1.2-m-deep common sense seines with 3.2-mm-square measure mesh. Dike field and abandoned channel habitats were sampled. A standard effort was a 15.2-m haul of the net. Hauls in the dike field sites were made with the current and varied in width due to the variable depths as one moved out from the shoreline. A total of 96 seine hauls were made in this study.

40. Fish collected from each hoop net or each electrofishing run were placed in separate bags and taken to shore for processing. Each fish was identified, and weight (grams) and total length (millimetres) were recorded. Fish collected by seining were placed into separate gallon containers for each haul and preserved in 10-percent buffered Formalin. Two weeks after collection these fish were rinsed in water for

48 hr and then stored in 45-percent isopropanol. Each fish was identified, weighed (grams), and total length (millimetres) recorded. Reference collections were made for each species collected.

Larval Fish

41. Sampling was conducted over a 4-month period from the week of 17 April to the week of 14 August 1983. Samples were collected weekly (during the middle of the week) with the exception of the week of 1 July when silted-in boat ramps prevented the sampling crew from getting on the river. A total of 17 weeks of sampling were conducted and a total of 270 samples taken.

42. Two samples (replications) were taken at each sampling station on each date. Revetment sites were sampled as close to the shore as possible. Mid-channel sites were taken approximately halfway between opposite banks. Dike field sites were sampled shoreward from the point where the dike caused the current to be reduced. The abandoned channel sites were sampled approximately 25 m from shore, but due to low water levels on some sampling dates, this distance was changed.

43. Samples were collected using a 0.5-m conical plankton net with 0.5-mm mesh, with a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) collecting tube attached to the end. The collecting gear consisted of an iron beam attached horizontally to the bow of the boat, with about 1 m extending past either side of the boat. The net was mounted on a circular yoke and a 2-m beam that hinged with the horizontal crossbar. This allowed the net to be quickly lowered to its sampling position and raised when needed. In the lowered position the net was at a sampling depth of 0.55 m and was far enough away from the boat so as not to be influenced by the wake. A General Oceanics Model 2030 flow meter was used to estimate the volume of water filtered during each tow. All tows were taken in a downstream direction with a 5-min duration at speeds approximately 20 cm/sec faster than the current. After each tow the contents of the

sampling tube were rinsed into 250-ml Nalgene plastic bottles and preserved immediately in 10-percent Formalin.

44. Samples containing little detritus were separated and sorted using a white enamel sorting pan. When samples contained large amounts of detritus, the contents were stained with rose bengal (which stains animal tissue bright pink) and viewed under a dissecting microscope to help in separating fish from detritus. After separation, larvae were counted and identified to the lowest possible taxon using existing literature accounts and keys (Auer 1982; Holland and Huston 1983). Developmental stage (prolarvae versus postlarvae) and length were also recorded. After analysis, larval fish specimens were kept to form a reference collection.

45. A large part of the data given in this report is of two forms: relative frequency and catch per unit effort (CPE). Relative frequency is the percent of the total catch, while CPE is a measure of density (No./100 m³ water sampled). CPE for individual species or habitat type is the mean of densities for each sampling date. All species composition data are for larvae and juveniles combined.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

46. A petite ponar grap sampler (15.2 cm by 15.2 cm) was used to sample benchic invertebrates in sediments in the abandoned channels and dike pools. Grab samples of the bottom sediments were taken during each sampling period from a single station at each dike pool transect and from four stations at each abandoned channel transect. Revetted banks and dikes were sampled using rock removal techniques. Stones were removed to a depth of 27 cm with the aid of a 0.5-m^2 quadrat with attached mesh bag (0.5-mm mesh opening). Samples were taken at a single station on the upstream and downstream faces on tow dikes in each dike field and from four stations at each revetted bank during each sampling period.

47. Benthic samples were sieved in the field through 0.5-mm mesh sieves and preserved in 10-percent buffered formalin in the field. In the laboratory samples were transferred to 70-percent ethanol and rose

bengal solution for a minimum of 48 hr prior to sorting. Circline magnifying lamps (3× power) were employed in sample sorting. A reference collection of all taxa was maintained and identification was to the lowest practical taxon (genus and species when possible). <u>as subserved</u> reconctants

48. Oligochaetes and midges were mounted in a 1:1 mixture of CMCP-9 and CMC-AF on microscope slides and identified under magnification to 1000 Å. All other invertebrates were identified with the aid of a steromicroscope to 100 Å.

Water Quality

49. Average values for water temperatures, dissolved oxygen, pH, redox potential, turbidity, specific conductance, Secchi depth, and current speeds for the various sites, depths, and months are presented in Table 1. The data confirm previous observations on the Missouri River. First, the water is always turbid as shown by turbidity measurements, most of which are greater than 15 NTU and by the low Secchi disk readings with none of the averages greater than 0.39 m. Second, the Missouri River has high current speeds. In August we found average velocities of 2.23 m/sec and 2.86 m/sec for the two revetted bank stations. Lesser velocities were found in the more protected dike fields. The abandoned channels had no measurable currents. The conclusion drawn is that the dike fields and revetted bank sites were part of a well-mixed system as shown by the almost uniform values for average temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, redox potential, specific conductance, and turbidity. The abandoned channels were similar to the main river, but had some small differences. In June and August the specific conductance values were slightly lower than those in the other two habitats, indicating a difference in dissolved solids content. There was also some trend toward vertical chemical stratification, as shown by the dissolved oxygen measurements at site AC1 during August. The shallower site at AC2 did not show these low values.

50. Statistical comparisons were made between sites in the same habitats, among habitats for the same months, and among months for the same habitats using the general linear models (GLM) procedure on the Statistical Analysis System (SAS). A few of the differences in water chemistry between averages for sites in the same habitat were statistically significant; however, they are not considered to be of any biological significance. In general, there were a few significant differences for parameters measured in the abandoned channels compared with those in the dike fields and revetted banks, but again these were not considered

to be of any biological significance. Lastly, many of the parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, and specific conductance showed significant seasonal changes in one or more habitats. These are largely to be expected.

51. Differences were noted in the bottom substrates in the four habitats. In the lentic abandoned channels 81 percent of the samples were mud and 13 percent were mud and clay. Coarse sand with mud made up another 4 percent and 1 percent were silt. In the dike pools where currents were greater, coarser substrates were more important. Fine sand dominated in 60 percent of the samples. Coarse sand made up 18 percent, mud with fine sand 5 percent, silt 5 percent, and mud 4 percent, and gravel, clay, clay with fine sand, and mud with silt each were most important in 1 percent of the samples. The dike samples and revetments were dominated by large rocks with various amounts of fine sediments between and underneath.

52. The differing combinations of current velocities and substrate types in the four habitats studied provided a basis for biological differences between them. The low values for dissolved oxygen in some of the subsurface samples from the abandoned channel site AC1 in August may also have had some effect, though other water quality measures were generally similar.

Fish

Evaluation of sampling methods

53. The Missouri River is a difficult system to sample for fish. High current velocities, differences in substrate, and variability in channel morphometry altered the catch efficiency of the sampling methods among the three habitat types. Active sampling methods (seining and electrofishing) were especially susceptible to physical variability among sites. This made the validity of statistical comparisons of CPE for these methods biologically questionable. Passive sampling methods (hoop netting) were probably less susceptible to these extrinsic factors.

54. Seining was the least effective of the three methods for

quantitative fish sampling but did provide information on smaller fish species. Water depth and current prevented sampling of revetted banks. Dike field sites could not be seined with the entire 4.6-m length of seine because water depth increased rapidly a short, but variable distance from the bank. Often only a 2-m length of seine -ould be used. Differences in substrate types within the dike field also altered fish sampling effort. Sand provided a firm substrate in sampling areas immediately behind wing dams, but soft, silty sediment hindered movement and seining speed in the downstream sections of the pool. The seine could only be fully and effectively used in the abandoned channels. Though lengths of seine hauls were consistent in the dike fields and abandoned channels, sampling effort was different within and between the two habitats. Therefore, only qualitative comparisons in species numbers and relative fish abundance between habitats could be made.

55. Problems with consistent effort in electrofishing were different, but also limited quantitative analysis of catch data. The efficiency of electrofishing the revetted banks was low due to great current velocity, variable water depth, and lag time for the fish to surface after stunning. Several paddlefish (*Polydon spathula*) were observed while electrofishing the revetted banks, but observers were unable to capture any due to their large size and the fast current. The distance electrofished was constant at about 460 m, but the time required to electrofish these areas varied due to current velocity. The average time spent on each sampling run of the revetted banks was 3 min (ranging from 2.25 to 3.7 min). Depth averaged between 2 and 3 m, but ranged from 1.5 to 3.4 m along the revetments.

56. Electrofishing efficiency was also limited in the dike field sites by the current and short distance between wing dams. Swift current prevented complete and thorough sampling close to the bank and dike. Distance covered was determined by the length of the dike pool, about 180 m. Time required to sample each pool varied between 1.5 and 4.25 min (mean = 2.8 min).

57. Abandoned channel sites were effectively electrofished. Lack of current allowed rapid retrieval of most of the stunned fish that rose

to the water's surface. Depths averaged about 1 m (ranging from 0.5 to 2 m) and time electrofished averaged 4 min (ranging from 3 to 4.5 min).

58. Hoop netting was the best sampling method in all habitats. A consistent effort (24-hr set) was used in each habitat, although the efficiency of hoop nets probably varied from site to site and between placements within a site. Hoop nets were selective for larger fish and did not sample most species and size ranges sampled by seines. <u>Composition of the catch</u>

59. The 28 species of fish collected by seining from the dike field and abandoned channel sites were dominated by species from the families Cyprinidae and Centrarchidae (Table 2). A total of 873 fish (21 species) were captured in the dike field sites, and 829 fish (20 species) in the abandoned channel sites. Forty-eight seine hauls were made in each habitat during the three sampling periods.

60. Cyprinids made up 87 percent of the total number of fish captured with seines in the dike field (Table 2). The most abundant species were sand shiners (33 percent of total catch), emerald shiners (26 percent), red shiners (13 percent), and fathead minnows (9 percent) (scientific names for all fish species sampled are listed in Tables 2-4). The most abundant species outside the family Cyprinidae was gizzard shad, comprising only 7 percent of the total catch. These species were not evenly represented over the three sample periods. Sand shiners were the most numerous in June samples, fathead minnows in August, and red shiners in October (Table 2). Emerald shiners were most abundant in the samples from August and October.

61. Approximately 60 percent of the seine catch in the abandoned channel sites were centrarchids and 31 percent were cyprinids (Table 2). Junvenile bluegill comprised 42 percent of the catch followed by white crappie (15 percent), red shiners (13 percent), and emerald shiners (10 percent). All of the red shiners were caught in June, and all of the gizzard shad (5 percent of the total catch) were caught in August. Most of the emerald shiners and sand shiners (5 percent of the catch) were caught in June, and most of the bluegill and white crappies were caught in August. The October catch was very low, comprising only

6.6 percent of the total number of fish collected from the abandoned channel with seining.

Sections,

62. Most of the noncyprinid fish caught by seining in the dike fields and abandoned channels were juveniles. Judging from size, many of the cyprinids were also young-of-the-year (Tables 3 and 4).

63. A total of 625 fish, representing 22 species, were collected during 72 electrofishing runs; 24 runs in each of the 3 habitats (Table 5). Of the 78 fish captured in the dike fields, goldeye (24 percent), gizzard shad (18 percent), river carpsucker (13 percent), flathead catfish (13 percent), and carp (12 percent) were most abundant. A total of 12 species were represented in the dike field samples. No major seasonal trends were apparent.

64. Electrofishing yielded 197 fish of 15 species from the revetted bank sites (Table 5). The catch was dominated by six species: flathead catfish (26 percent), carp (14 percent), goldeye (14 percent), blue sucker (11 percent), gizzard shad (11 percent), and river carpsucker (9 percent). Most of the flathead catfish were caught in August, and most of the gizzard shad and carp in October.

65. The abandoned channel sites yielded the greatest number of fish of all habitats sampled with electrofishing: 350 fish representing 17 species. Gizzard shad were most abundant (46 percent of the catch) with 88 percent of them captured in October. Carp (15 percent), river carpsucker (12 percent), and bigmouth buffalo (10 percent) were also relatively abundant. Most of the carp were caught in August. Tables 6. 7, and 8 provide details on fish numbers, length, and weight at each site sampled with electrofishing gear.

66. A total of 821 fish, representing 22 species, were caught in 288 hoop net sets of 24 hr each (96 in each of 3 habitats) (Table 9). The collections from the dike field sites were dominated by blue suckers (41 percent of the total of 164 fish) and channel catfish (26 percent). The blue suckers increased in abundance through the sampling periods with 69 percent coming from the October collections. Most of the channel catfish were captured in June. A total of 14 species were caught in hoop nets set in the dike fields.

67. Blue suckers also dominated the hoop net catch from the revetted bank sites. Two hundred sixty-six fish were caught (16 species) and blue suckers comprised 58 percent of the total. Flathead catfish and shortnose gar were also abundant. The blue suckers were well represented in the catch from each site and each sampling period, but their numbers peaked in October. Flathead catfish were most abundant in August, and the shortnose gar were most plentiful in October.

68. Hoop net sets in the abandoned channels yielded 391 fish of 16 species. The six most abundant fish in the catch were white crappie (27 percent), river carpsucker (20 percent), black bullhead (12 percent), black crappie (11 percent), bigmouth buffalo (7 percent), and gizzard shad (7 percent). All of these species were most abundant in June samples, although white crappie were well represented in both summer periods. See Tables 10, 11, and 12 for details on fish numbers, length, and weight at each site sampled with hoop nets.

69. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) of hoop net CPE was made for the following: between sites within the same habitat (Table 13), among habitats for the same month (Table 14), and among sample periods for the same habitat (Table 15). Hoop net CPE was defined as the number of fish captured per 24-hr net-set. The GLM procedure of SAS was used. Decisions to reject null hypotheses were made at the 0.05 level.

70. For each species, catches from the two sites within the revetted bank habitat were statistically the same (Table 13). The same is true for the sites within the dike field habitat, except for goldeye in June when all 12 fish came from DF1. Many site-to-site differences were seen in the abandoned channel habitat, mostly in the June samples. More river carpsucker, bigmouth buffalo, white crappie, and black crappie were caught in AC1 than AC2 in June. More shortnose gar and black bullhead were caught in AC2 than AC1 in June. All of the smallmouth buffalo in August, and the gizzard shad and black bullhead in October came from AC2, and all of the river carpsucker in October came from AC1.

71. Significant differences in site-to-site totals within habitats were also found. In June, there were site-to-site differences in each habitat. In August the two revetted bank sites were different,

and in October the two dike field sites yielded different catches.

72. Few consistent differences in species composition and abundance were found between habitat types (Table 14). However, as expected, blue sucker, channel catfish, and flathead catfish were most abundant in fast waters of the revetted banks and dike fields, and were seldom found in the abandoned channels. River carpsucker, black bullhead, bluegill, white crappie, and black crappie primarily inhabited the abandoned channel sites.

73. Seasonal changes did not statistically affect the composition of the catch within a habitat (Table 15). As with the analysis of differences between habitats, high site variability weakened any statistical comparisons of CPE within a habitat between months. In the abandoned channel habitat, more fish were caught in June than in August and October combined, yet ANOVA detected no significant difference because the site AC1 yield was 69.3 percent of the June catch. The only biologically and statistically significant seasonal effect in the abandoned channel was that more blue gill were caught in June than in August or October. In the dike field habitat the catch of channel catfish was significantly greater in June than later sampling periods. No seasonal trends were evident with any species collected in revetted bank habitats.

Larval Fish

Ichthyoplankton composition

74. During this study a total of 5,302 specimens were collected.* Larvae of the postlarval developmental stage were the most common type collected, while juveniles were the least common type collected (Table 17). Sixteen taxonomic groups were identified. Of these groups, nine were identified to species, and six were identified to the genus level. The remaining taxonomic group was identified to the family level

^{*} Table 16 shows the distribution of sampling effort for the entire sampling period.

(Cyprinidae) and included all cyprinids except common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). In this group at least seven species could tentatively be recognized but not positively identified.

75. The total catch was dominated by three species (or species complexes): gizzard shad (Dorosoma cepedianum), sunfish (Lepomis spp.), and freshwater drum (Aplodinotus grunniens). These three categories together made up 72.6 percent of the total catch. Representatives of the subfamily Ictiobinae (mainly carpsuckers, Carpiodes spp.), common carp, and other cyprinids were also fairly abundant, making up 20.4 percent of the total catch (Table 18). The remaining taxa were found in low numbers, with each species making up less than 1 percent of the total catch. Seasonal CPE for the total catch is given in Figure 4. Location differences

76. The main differences between the locations, or habitat types, was the high relative abundance of larvae found in the abandoned channel as compared to the three main channel locations. More than half of all fish were collected in the abandoned channel, and total CPE was found to be twice that of any other location (Table 19). For the majority of sampling dates, mean CPE for the abandoned channel was much higher than the main channel CPE (Figure 5).



Figure 4. Mean seasonal CPE for all locations


Figure 5. Mean seasonal CPE for the abandoned channel and main channel

77. Comparisons of main channel samples indicated that the revetment sites had the highest relative abundance of larvae, followed by the dike field sites, with mid-channel sites lowest (Table 19). The revetment sites provided more than twice the total CPE of either the dike field sites or mid-channel sites. Figure 6 compares the seasonal CPE for the three main channel locations.

78. The number of taxa collected at each location did not differ greatly between locations, with the exception of the mid-channel sites, which had about half the number of taxa as the other locations (Tables 20 and 21). However, the species that were present in the midchannel were more evenly distributed in numbers or abundance (as shown by the diversity index) than the revetment sites or the abandoned channel (Table 19).

79. The abandoned channel had the lowest diversity index due to the relatively high numbers of gizzard shad and sunfish species. These two categories made up 95 percent of all fish caught in the abandoned channel.

80. The main channel locations (RV, MC, and DF) had a more even distribution of species than the abandoned channel, but were still



Figure 6. Mean seasonal CPE, comparing the main channel locations

dominated by three taxa: freshwater drum, carpsuckers, and common carp. These species made up more than 75 percent of the catch from each location.

81. Differences in the abundance of species between habitat types were evident for only a small number of species (Table 21). The biggest difference was found to be between the abandoned channel and the main channel locations. Sunfish species and gizzard shad were found almost exclusively in the abandoned channel (99.0 percent and 95.7 percent of these species, respectively, were caught in the abandoned channel). The dominant main channel species mentioned earlier were almost entirely lacking from the abandoned channel.

82. In the main river channel, walleye and sauger (*Stizostedion* spp.) and freshwater drum were found in greater proportions (78.2 percent and 75.1 percent, respectively) in the revetment locations than in either the mid-channel or dike field locations. All other species caught in the main channel were much more evenly distributed between locations. There were few discernible differences other than the trend (mentioned earlier) of revetments having the highest abundance of larvae, with dike fields and mid-channel sites having fewer larvae.

Site differences

83. Differences between the two stations or sampling sites for each habitat (AC, RV, MC, and DF) were relatively small for most species. However, there appears to be a difference between the two revetment sites with respect to the abundance of freshwater drum (*Aplodinotus grunniens*) and carpsucker species (Ictiobinae) as both were approximately twice as abundant in revetment E than they were in revetment A (Table 21). Temporal occurrence

84. Figure 4 showed the seasonal CPE for all locations combined. A majority of the larvae were collected between 2 June and 11 August, with three peaks of abundance during this time. However, when seasonal CPE is broken down into abandoned channel sites and all main channel sites combined, a clearer picture of the temporal distribution is obtained. In the main channel most larvae (>90 percent) were collected from early June through the last week in July, with two abundance peaks occurring on 16 June and 30 June. In the abandoned channel most larvae (>90 percent) were collected from early June through mid-August, with three peaks of abundance on 16 June, 14 July, and 29 July (Figure 5).

85. The differences in temporal occurrence of larvae for the three main channel habitat types are shown in Figure 6. All three habitats show two abundance peaks, which occur around mid-June and late June to early July. A majority of larvae for all three habitats were collected between 2 June and 21 July.

86. The temporal occurrence of each individual taxon is given in Table 18. Seasonal CPE was determined for the six most abundant taxa (excluding "other cyprinids"). Predominantly main channel species (freshwater drum, common carp, walleye/sauger, and carpsuckers) showed single abundance peaks. Walleye/sauger bred the earliest (late May), and were followed by carpsuckers (early June), and finally common carp and freshwater drum, both of which had their peak abundance in late June (Figure 7). The predominantly abandoned channel species, gizzard shad and sunfishes, showed two peaks of abundance. Gizzard shad bred between early June and late July, while sunfishes bred between mid-July and mid-August (Figure 8).





Figure 8. Mean seasonal CPE for selected abandoned channel species (CPE from AC catch only)

Size distribution

87. Size distribution for the six most abundant taxa is given in Table 22. Several taxa showed an uneven (skewed) size distribution for the locations at which they were collected; 98 percent of the freshwater drum were of the size classes 0-5 mm and 5-10 mm. Carpsuckers showed an even more skewed distribution with 97 percent of the specimens belonging to the 5- to 10-mm size class.

88. Two taxa showed size differences between locations. Common carp collected in the mid-channel sites had a majority (59 percent) of its distribution in the juvenile size class 20 mm and up, while dike field and revetment sites were dominated by 5- to 10-mm larvae (91 percent of total). Cyprinids other than common carp showed a similar disparity between locations. In the main channel, 99 percent of the specimens were of the size classes 0-5 mm and 5-10 mm, while in the abandoned channel only 22 percent of the larvae were in these same two size classes. In addition, the size class in the abandoned channel that contained the most fish was the 20-mm and up juvenile class.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

89. A total of 85 aquatic invertebrate taxa were identified among the four different habitats sampled during the three sampling periods. The average numbers of organisms per square metre for each taxon for each habitat, location, and month are presented in Table 23. To summarize the most important groups, those taxa whose average densities exceeded 100 organisms/m² for each habitat are listed in Table 24 while Table 25 lists the five most abundant taxa at each location for each monthly sampling period. The results of an analysis of variance test of total invertebrate densities in each location and month are presented in Table 26.

90. The abandoned channel habitats were lentic in character with no measurable currents and had fine sediments consisting mostly of mud and mud with clay. The highest densities of organisms were found in this habitat throughout the period of the study. The shallower site, AC2, consistently had higher densities of organisms than the deeper site. This might be related to the lower dissolved oxygen values sometimes found at site AC1. While only 43 different taxa were found, this habitat had the greatest number (11) of taxa with densities of $100/m^2$ or greater. It also had the greatest taxonomic stability over time. There were only 9 different taxa in the list of the five most frequent taxa found in the two locations over the three sampling periods (Table 25). The maximum possible number would be 30 (5 × 2 × 3) different taxa. Oligochaetes and midges were most important in this habitat.

91. The dike pool habitats had the greatest diversity of sediment types with fine sands and coarse sands being most important. There were also samples with silt, mud, gravel, clay with fine sand, and clay. There were also high current velocities measured in the dike pools. June averages in DF1 and DF2 were 0.85 and 1.30 m/sec. In August they were 0.60 and 0.38 m/sec and in October 0.20 and 0.48 m/sec. Since the water was moving in a swirling motion in the dike pools, the current would not be uniform across the bottom sediments. This would be a factor in developing the variety of sediment types found in this habitat.

Total densities of organisms were always lower than those found in the abandoned channels but usually were not significantly different from those found in the other habitats. This was the only habitat in which samples were taken that contained no organisms. In DF1, 10 of the 48 samples were barren of organisms while in DF2 9 of 48 had no invertebrates present. Like the abandoned channels, there were only 43 different taxa identified; however, there was only one taxon with an average density exceeding $100/m^2$. None of the other habitats had so few abundant taxa.

92. The two most abundant sediment types in the dike pool samples were fine sand with 57 samples and coarse sand with 17. The number of samples containing the most abundant taxa, the Tubificidae, was 29 in fine sand and 10 in coarse sand. The samples with no organisms were 16 in fine sand and 4 in coarse sand. These ratios are not different than would be expected on the basis of a random distribution between the two sediment types. Thus, there is no evidence that the differences in the size of sand sediments are important in determining differences in species distribution among the samples in this habitat. There was somewhat less stability in taxonomic composition over time with 14 different taxa ranked in the five most abundant ones in the two locations over the three sampling periods.

93. The dike samples were taken by removing the large rocks from the surfaces of the dikes. There were also fine sediments present between and underneath the rocks that contributed organisms to the samples. No current velocities were taken specifically at the dike faces; however, the current readings in the adjacent dike pools would indicate the generally high velocities found in these habitats with averages ranging from 0.2 to 1.3 m/sec. Total numbers of organisms found in these habitats were also lower than those found in the abandoned channels but were comparable to those found in the dike pools and revetments. There were no consistent differences between densities found on the upstream (DFA) and downstream (DFB) faces of the dikes.

94. There was a high degree of taxonomic diversity in this habitat with 75 different taxa found. There were also 9 taxa with average

densities greater than $100/m^2$, making this habitat second only to the abandoned channels in this measure. Stability as indicated by the number of different taxa in the five most frequent taxa for each location for each sampling period was low with a high number of 17. Some of the most important invertebrate groups include *Hydra* which had a peak in June, Hydropsychidae immatures, *Stenonema*, and *Potamyia*.

95. The revetments had a substrate similar to the dikes with large rocks and some finer sediments in the cracks between them. Water velocities were greatest in this environment. For June the averages for RV1 and RV2 were 1.59 and 1.55 m/sec, respectively. In August they were 2.32 and 2.86, respectively. Only the RV2 average is available for October and it was 1.45 m/sec. The total organism densities in the revetments were always lower than those in the abandoned channels and were generally similar to those in the other habitats. Diversity was high with 64 different taxa found; 5 taxa had average densities exceeding $100/m^2$. Fifteen different taxa were found in the list of five most abundant taxa for the two locations and three sampling periods showing less taxonomic stability than the abandoned channels. The bloom of Hydra made this the most abundant taxa. Other important taxa include Dero digitata, Stenonema, Potamyia, and Isonychia.

96. There were a number of differences in the taxa found in the different habitats. Of the dipterans, Chironomus, Coelotanypus, Procladius, Tanypus, Ceratopogonidae, and Chaoborus were found predominantly in the abandoned channel habitats. On the other hand, Chironomidae pupae, Nanocladius, Orthocladius, Tanytarsus, members of the Thienemannimyia group, and Thienemiella were found almost exclusively in the large rock structures of the dikes and revetments. The midge, Robackia, was found almost entirely in the dike pools. Members of the Trichoptera were found almost entirely in the large rock habitats as were the members of the Plecoptera. The Ephemeroptera were also mostly found in the dikes and revetments with the exception of representatives of the genera Caenis and Hexagenia that were found in the habitats with softer sediments as well. Most of the Oligochaetes were most abundant in the fine sediments of the abandoned channels; however, Diro digitata

was generally found in all habitats while the Tubificidae were often quite abundant in all habitats. The flatworm *Dugesia* sp. became important in the rock substrates in October while *Hydra* sp. had a peak of abundance in those same habitats in June. Other taxa had densities so low that it is not possible to generalize on their distributions.

Water Quality

97. In general the major water quality problem in this portion of the river is the high level of suspended particulate materials as indicated by high turbidity measurements and low Secchi disk measurements. Some low oxygen values were measured at the bottom in the deeper abandoned channel; however, this is to be expected in a eutrophic standing water body. Except for some small differences between some measurements made in the abandoned channels and those in the main river, the water quality measurements were rather uniform, indicating a well-mixed system.

Fish

98. Relatively little fishery research has been carried out on the Iowa/Nebraska portion of the Missouri River. Schmulbach, Gould, and Groen (1975) caught 44 species of fish along the Missouri River between Sioux City, Iowa, and Rulo, Nebraska. Kallemeyn and Novotny (1977) collected 39 species from sites between river miles 704 and 709 below Sioux City, Iowa. Hesse, Bliss, and Zuerlein (1982) found a total of 59 species of fish in the river between river miles 532 and 645. We found a total of 39 species. Sampling methodologies, however, greatly varied from study to study, as did sampling effort, making comparisons of results difficult.

99. One species that showed up in our June seine samples that was not reported in these previous studies was the rainbow smelt, *Omerus mordax* (Table 2). These were juveniles. Larval smelt were also collected (Table 18). Likely, these had their origin in the upstream impoundments. Burress, Kreiger, and Pennington (1982) also caught larvae rainbow smelt.

100. The channelized portion of the Missouri River is a harsh environment for fish sampling as well as fish habitation. This is especially true along the revetted banks. Although extremely high current

velocity (Table 1) and lack of fish cover seem to be the rule, we caught more fish (both numbers of individuals and number of species) electrofishing and hoop netting these areas than in the more diverse and protected dike pool habitat. The revetted bank samples were dominated by larger species, such as blue sucker and flathead catfish, that are adapted to open, rapid flowing water.

101. The dike field had a similar assemblage of larger species with blue sucker, channel catfish, flathead catfish, and goldeye predominating. The dike fields also provided habitat for a wide variety of minnows. Emerald shiners, sand shiners, and fathead minnows dominated the seine samples. Gizzard shad were also well represented. Because of the large number of dikes along the river, the dike pools are probably very important habitats for the production of fish more adapted to slower currents, species that probably used to be plentiful around sandbars.

102. Previous studies (Schmulbach, Gould, and Groen 1975; Kallemeyn and Novotny 1977; Hesse, Bliss, and Zuerlein 1982) found channel catfish of more importance in the catch than in this study. These other investigations, however, used hoop nets baited with cheese, thus attracting channel catfish; ours were unbaited. The high relative abundance of blue suckers found along the revetments and in the dike fields was also in contrast to these previous studies. None reported large numbers of this species and Schmulbach, Gould, and Groen (1975) listed it as uncommon. Kallemeyn and Novotny (1977) did find that blue suckers preferred habitats with swift currents. Seventy-five percent of the blue suckers that they caught were in the revetment habitat.

103. The abandoned channels yielded the greatest species richness and overall greatest numbers of fish. These sites were very productive areas for gizzard shad, minnows, and sunfish. They are probably the most productive sites that we studied, but there are so few of these habitats remaining along the river that their current overall relative importance to the fishery is debatable. The abandoned channel habitat is vulnerable to drainage and complete separation from the main channel. One of our original abandoned channel sites had to be eliminated from

the study, and a second substituted in its place because of low water levels and inaccessibility by boat from the river.

104. Gear selectivity and efficiency differences were a major confounding factor in evaluating fish communities during this study. For example, had we used a follow-up boat in electrofishing the revetted banks, we could easily have doubled the catch and may have increased the number of species sampled. The same may be true of the dike field electrofishing. This would have increased the efficiency of our sampling, but still would have provided difficulty in statistically comparing catches from site to site. Active fishing gear will not give consistent effort for evaluation of CPE data in habitats such as these. The habitat and site differences preclude uniform effort. This situation will probably always plague large river fishery research.

105. One aspect of gear selectivity that warrants further study is the method of setting hoop nets along the revetted banks and perhaps the dike fields. How important is the distance of the set from the bank? We feel that the catch of gar versus blue sucker along the revetments is dependent upon this placement. Blue suckers were caught in deeper sets and gar were more likely to be caught in nets set closer to the bank.

106. Only hoop net data could be statistically analyzed. Effort was similar and all habitats were sampled. However, variation in species numbers and fish abundance between sites within habitats resulted in few significant trends among habitats.

Larval Fish

107. The overall abundance of fish larvae in abandoned channels was much higher than larvae abundance in the main channel. This disparity between backwater (abandoned channel) sites and main channel sites has been shown by other researchers (Persons 1979; Conner, Pennington and Bosley 1983). Sunfishes and gizzard shad used the abandoned channel almost to the exclusion of the other habitat types. On the lower Mississippi River, Conner, Pennington, and Bosley (1983) found that shad and

sunfishes made up 99 percent of the catch in their abandoned channel site. However, Persons (1979) found suckers to be the most abundant species in Missouri River open backwater ponds, followed by sunfishes, freshwater drum, and common carp. Gizzard shad ranked only sixth in abundance.

108. The main channel habitats, while not supporting the same densities of larvae as the abandoned channels, were found to be of importance for several species. Freshwater drum, carpsuckers, and common carp dominated the ichthyoplankton community in all main channel sites. These results are consistent with the findings of Hergenrader et al. (1982), with the exception that other cyprinids, during some years of their study, were more abundant than common carp.

109. Of the three main channel locations, the revetment site supported the highest abundance of larvae. There is some evidence that revetments may provide breeding and/or nursery substrate for walleye and sauger. More than 75 percent of these two species were collected in revetment sites. Balon (1975), in his work on fish reproductive guilds, reported that both walleye and sauger are lithophils. The rock and gravel from the revetments may provide preferred spawning substrate for these species.

110. Another species, the freshwater drum, was found in higher proportions at the revetment sites. This may not be due to breeding behavior, but to some physical characteristic of the eggs. Freshwater drum is a pelagic spawner with buoyant eggs that float until the time of hatching (Pflieger 1975). It is possible that drum eggs were concentrated along the revetments by river currents, resulting in higher larval fish densities.

111. Dike fields were also an important habitat for larval fish, having a higher abundance of larvae than the mid-channel sites. The small pools formed by the dikes may provide habitat for species that require slower water velocities when spawning.

112. It seems likely that certain revetments or dike fields provide better spawning and nursery habitats than others. In this study, it was found that the two revetment sites had differing ichthyoplankton compositions. Carpsuckers and freshwater drum were both found in greater proportions at revetment E than they were at revetment A. This difference could be due to many factors. Some of the probable factors are differences in spawning substrate and current speed, and proximity to a better food supply (drift from the abandoned channel).

113. It is apparent that there is less habitat diversity in the main channel today than there was before revetments and dikes were installed. However, these structures do provide valuable habitat for the fish species presently found in the river.

114. Peak times of larval fish abundance occurred between early June and mid-August. Fishes in the abandoned channel have a somewhat longer spawning season than those in the main channel, but seem more ephemeral than those in the main channel. Peak abundances in the abandoned channels occur during a short time period and are of large magnitude (Figure 5), suggesting a more "explosive" spawning behavior. In the main channel, larval fish abundance is more evenly spread among the sampling dates, suggesting a more even and continuous spawning season.

115. Larval fish size classes were not evenly represented in the collections. Several taxa showed a skewed size distribution. A majority of the freshwater drum and carpsuckers belonged to the two smallest size classes (<10 mm). Larger larvae were almost entirely lacking from the samples. This unevenness might be due to differences in larval behavior at various stages of development. Larvae of the larger size classes may occupy greater depths (below the depth sampled) in the water column due to increased mobility or differences in body density as yolk material is absorbed. Both common carp and other cyprinids showed size differences between locations or habitat types.

116. The smaller larvae were found in locations where the juveniles were low in abundance, while juveniles were common in areas where smaller larvae were lacking. These observations might also be due to differences in the behavior of larvae and juveniles. As the larvae mature into juveniles and gain additional mobility, there might be a tendency for them to move to more preferred habitat: mid-channel

waters in the case of common carp, and shallower backwaters with little current in the case of other cyprinids.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

117. The benthic invertebrate communities represented in this study were similar to those found by other researchers (e.g. Russell 1965; Morris et al. 1968; McMahon, Wolf, and Diggins 1972; Burress, Krieger, and Pennington 1982). We also found that there were differences in the densities and taxonomic composition of the communities in the different habitats. As others have found, the abandoned channel habitats were lakelike with no dominant currents and had fine sediment particles, high benthos densities, but lower numbers of taxa than found on the rock substrates of the dikes and revetments. We also found that midges and oligochaetes were most important, though we did not find the same dominance of *Chaoborus* as Beckett et al. (1983) found in similar habitats on the lower Mississippi River.

118. The dike pool habitats were characterized by high current velocities and a greater diversity of sediment types than found in the other habitats studied. We found mostly fine and coarse sands but these areas did not have quite the same diversity of sediment types that Beckett et al. (1983) found in similar habitats on the lower Mississippi. We did find, as they did, that there was a low diversity of organisms in this habitat. This was also the only habitat where we had samples that had no organisms at all. Presumably the combination of the higher current velocities and the more unstable sand substrates produces an environment that is less favorable for benthic organisms. In common with the lower Mississippi River studies, we also found that oligochaete worms dominated this habitat.

119. The dikes and revetments were similar in having large rock substrates and high current velocities. The main difference was higher currents at the revetments than at the dike faces. Attached forms such as *Hydra* were important as were other invertebrates commonly associated with coarse substrates such as caddisflies, stoneflies, and clinging

maytlies. The softer sediments between and underneath the larger rocks presumably were important for the worms and midges also found in these habitats. Both of these habitats had the highest numbers of taxa found in comparison with the sediment substrates, though the densities were less than those found in the abandoned channels. This is consistent with the findings of Burress, Krieger, and Pennington (1982) on the Missouri River in North Dakota. On the other hand, Mathis et al. (1981) tound that the dike structures on the lower Mississippi River had higher organism densities than did the abandoned channels. In another study on the lower Mississippi, Mathis, Bingham, and Sanders (1982) found orgamism densities on dike structures on the order of $100,000/m^2$. These are much higher than our samples which ranged from about 1,000 to 4,000 organisms/m². There may be differences in basic primary productivity between these stretches of river or perhaps the combination of high current and high turbidity found in the Missouri River is unfavorable for the development of dike structure organisms.

PART VII: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

120. Conclusions of this study are as follows:

- a. Water quality was uniform except for some differences between the abandoned channels and the main river, indicating a well-mixed system.
- <u>b</u>. Fish catch along revetted banks was dominated by blue sucker and flathead catfish and by blue sucker, channel catfish, flathead catfish, and goldeye in dike fields. The dike fields also provided habitat for a variety of minnows. Greatest species richness and numbers of fish were obtained from the abandoned channels.
- <u>c</u>. Catch of larval fish was greatest in the abandoned channels and was dominated by sunfishes and gizzard shad. Main channel habitats were important for freshwater drum, carp suckers, and common carp larvae. Peak abundance of fish larvae occurred between early June and mid-August.
- d. Abandoned channel habitats were characterized by fine sediment particles, high invertebrate densities, and lower number of taxa than on the rock substrates of dikes and revetments. Dike pool habitats were characterized by high current velocities, diverse sediment types, and low invertebrate densities. Dikes and revetments were similar in having large rock substrates, high current velocities, and a diversity of invertebrates commonly associated with coarse substrates such as caddisflies, stoneflies, and clinging mayflies.

121. The following recommendations were formulated from the results of this study:

- a. Abandoned channels are an important fish habitat, especially as spawning and nursery areas. These habitats should be protected and, where possible, enhanced as they currently form habitat critical to the Middle Missouri River.
- b. Future work on adult and juvenile fish might focus on the development of an appropriate monitoring approach. Methods currently available for big river fishing studies should be evaluated so that an effective sampling program can be developed.
- c. Future larval fish research might include comparison of modified river bank (revetments and dike fields) with natural, unmodified river banks. A more comprehensive study of which species of fish utilize revetments and dike fields for spawning is also needed. A larger

number of sampling stations, sampling at additional depths, and night sampling would provide the data required to completely assess the relative importance of each habitat type.

1444

REFERENCES

Auer, N. A. ed. 1982. "Identification of Larval Fishes of the Great Lakes Basin with Emphasis on the Lake Michigan Drainage," Special Pub. 82-3, Great Lake Fishery Commission, Ann Arbor, Mich.

Balon, E. K. 1975. "Reproductive Guilds of Fishes: A Proposal and Definition," Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Vol 32, pp 821-864.

Beal, C. D. 1967. "Life History Information of the Blue Sucker, Cycleptus elongatus (Lesuer) in the Missouri River," M. A. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion, p 136.

Beckett, D. C., Bingham, C. R., Sanders, L. G., Mathis, D. B., and McLemore, E. M. 1983. "Benthic Macroinvertebrates of Selected Aquatic Habitats of the Lower Mississippi River," Technical Report E-83-10, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Berner L. M. 1951. "Limnology of the Lower Missouri River," <u>Ecology</u>, Vol. 32, pp. 1-12.

Burke, T. D., and Robinson, J. W. 1979. "River Structure Modifications to Provide Habitat Diversity," Presented at: The Mitigation Symposium: A National Workshop on Mitigating Losses of Fish and Wildlife Habitats, Fort Collins, Colo.

Burress, R. M., Krieger, D. A., and Pennington, C. H. 1982. "Aquatic Biota of Bank Stabilization Structures on the Missouri River, North Dakota," Technical Report E-82-6, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Cada, G. F., and Hergenrader, G. L. 1980. "Natural Mortality Rates of Freshwater Drum Larvae in the Missouri River," Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, Vol 109, pp 479-483.

Claflin, T. 1963. "Age and Growth of the Goldeye, *Hiodon alosoides* (Rafinesque), in the Missouri River," M. A. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion.

Conner, J. V., Pennington, C. H., and Bosley, T. R. 1983. "Larval Fish of Selected Aquatic Habitats on the Lower Mississippi River," Technical Report E-83-4, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Cross, F. B., and Huggins, D. G. 1975. "Skipjack Herring, Alosa chrysochloris in the Missouri River Basin," Copeia, Vol 2, pp 382-385.

Cvancara, V. A. 1964. "Age and Growth of the Northern Redhorse, *Moxo-stoma macrolepidotum* (Lesuer) in the Missouri River," M. A. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion.

Fisher, H. J. 1962. "Some Fishes of the Lower Missouri River," American Midland Naturalist, Vol 68, pp 424-429. Funk, J. L., and Robinson, J. W. 1974. "Changes in the Channel of the Lower Missouri River and Effects on Fish and Wildlife," Missouri Department of Conservation, Aquatic Series 11.

Groen, C. L., and Schmulbach, J. C. 1978. "The Sport Fishery of the Unchannelized and Channelized Middle Missouri River," <u>Transactions of the</u> <u>American Fisheries Society</u>, Vol 107, pp 412-418.

Hallberg, G. R., Harbough, J. M., and Witinok, P. M. 1979. "Changes in the Channel Areas of the Missouri River in Iowa from 1879 to 1976," Iowa Geological Survey Special Report Series No. 1, p 32.

Held, J. 1969. "Some Early Summer Foods of the Shovelnose Sturgeon in the Missouri River," <u>Transactions of the American Fisheries Society</u>, Vol 98, pp 514-517.

Helms, D. R. 1975. "Age and Growth of Shovelnose Sturgeon, Scaphirhynchus platorynchus (Rafinesque), in the Mississippi River, <u>Proceedings</u> of the Iowa Academy of Science, Vol 81, pp 73-75.

Hergenrader, G. L., Harrow, L. G., King, R. G., Cadd, G. F., and Schlesinger, A. B. 1982. "Larval Fishes in the Missouri River and the Effects of Entrainment," <u>The Middle Missouri River</u>, Hesse, L. W., Hergenrader, G. L., Lewis, H. S., Reetz, S. D., and Schlesinger, A. E., eds., The Missouri River Study Group, Norfolk, Nebr., Biology with Special References to Power Station Effects, Missouri, pp 185-225.

Hesse, L. W., and Newcomb, B. A. 1982. "On Estimating the Abundance of Fish in the Upper Channelized Missouri River," <u>North American Journal</u> Fisheries Management, Vol 2, pp 80-83.

Hesse, L. W., Bliss, Q. P., and Zuerlein, G. J. 1982. "Some Aspects of the Ecology of Adult Fishes in the Channelized Missouri River with Special Reference to the Effects of Two Nuclear Power Generating Stations," The Middle Missouri River, Hesse, L. W., Hergenrader, G. L., Lewis, H. S., Reetz, S. D., and Schlesinger, A. E., eds., The Missouri River Study Group, Norfolk, Nebr., pp 225-276.

Hesse, L. W., Wallace, C. R., and Leman, L. 1978. "Fishes of the Channelized Missouri River: Age-growth, Length-frequency, Length-weight, Coefficient of Condition, Catch Curves and Mortality of 25 Species of Channelized Missouri River Fishes," Nebraska Technical Series No. 4, Nebraska Game and Parks.

Holland, L. E., and Huston, M. L. 1983. "A Compilation of Available Literature on the Larvae of Fishes Common to the Upper Mississippi River," US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Fishery Research Laboratory, LaCrosse, Wis.

Johnson, D. H. 1963. "The Food Habits of the Goldeye, *Hiodon alosoides*, of the Missouri River and Lewis and Clark Reservoir, South Dakota," M. A. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion.

Kallemeyn, L. W., and Novotny, J. F. 1977. "Fish and Food Organisms in Various Habitats of the Missouri River in South Dakota, Nebraska, and Towa," FWS/OBS-77/25, OBS National Stream Alteration Team, Columbia, Mo. Kirby, M. E., and Abbott, H. C. 1929. "A Profile of the 1880 Channel of the Missouri River," <u>Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science</u>, Vol 13, pp 34-37.

Kozel, D. J., and Schmulbach, J. C. 1976. "Utilization of Marsh and Sandbar Habitats by Fishes in the Unchannelized Missouri River," <u>Pro-</u> ceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science, Vol 55, p 177.

Langemeier, R. N. 1965. "Effects of Channelization on the Limnology of the Missouri River, Nebraska, with Emphasis on Food Habits and Growth of the Flathead Catfish," M. A. Thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia.

Mathis, D. B., Bingham, C. R., and Sanders, L. G. 1982. "Assessment of Implanted Substrate Samplers for Macroinvertebrates Inhabiting Stone Dikes of the Lower Mississippi RIver," Technical Report E-82-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

Mathis, D. B., Cobb, S. P., Sanders, L. G., Magoun, A. D., and Bingham, C. R. 1981. "Aquatic Habitat Studies on the Lower Mississippi River, River Mile 480 to 530," Technical Report E-80-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

McMahon, J., Wolf, J., and Diggins, M. 1972. "Chironomidae, Ephemeroptera, and Trichoptera in the Benthos of Unchannelized and Channelized Portions of the Missouri River," <u>Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy</u> of Science, Vol 51, pp 168-181.

Modde, T. C., and Schmulbach, J. C. 1973. "Seasonal Changes in the Drift and Benthic Macroinvertebrates in the Unchannelized Missouri River in South Dakota," <u>Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science</u>, Vol 52, pp 118-126.

Morris, J., Morris, L., and Witt, A. 1972. "The Fishes of Nebraska," Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, Lincoln, Nebr.

Morris, L. A. 1965. "Age and Growth of the River Carpsucker, Carpiodes carpio, in the Missouri River," <u>American Midland Naturalist</u>, Vol 73, pp 423-429.

. 1969. "Flathead Catfish Investigations in the Missouri River," Project No. F4R14, Job No. 23, Nebraska Game and Parks Commission, Lincoln, Nebr.

Morris, L. A., Langemeier, R. N., Russell, T. R., and Witt, A., Jr. 1968. "Effects of Main Stem Impoundments and Channelization Upon the Limnology of the Missouri River, Nebraska," <u>Transactions of the American</u> Fisheries Society, Vol 97, pp 380-388.

Namminga, H. 1969. "An Investigation of the Macroscopic Drift Fauna of the Missouri River," M. A. Thesis, Unversity of South Dakota, Vermillion.

Neel, J. K., Nicholson, H. P., and Hirsch, A. 1963. "Main Stem Reservoir Effects of Water Quality in the Central Missouri River 1952-1957," US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Region VI, Water Supply and Pollution Control, Kansas City, Mo.

Nord, A. E., and Schmulbach, J. C. 1973. "A Comparison of the Macroinvertebrate Attached Communities in the Unstabilized and Stabilized Missouri River," Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science, Vol 52, pp 127-139.

Salara and and a second

Persons, W. W. 1979. "The Use of Open and Closed Ponds of the Missouri River, Iowa, as Spawning and Nursery Areas," M. S. Thesis, Iowa State University, Ames.

Pflieger, W. L. 1971. "A Distributional Study of Missouri Fishes," University of Kansas Museum of Natural History Publication 20, pp 225-570.

. 1975. "The Fishes of Missouri," Missouri Department of Conservation, Jefferson City, Mo.

Rosen, R. A., Hales, D. C., and Unkenholz, D. G. 1982. "Biology and Exploitation of Paddlefish in the Missouri River Below Gavins Point Dam," Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, Vol 111, pp 216-222.

Russell, T. R. 1965. "Age, Growth, and Food Habits of the Channel Catfish in Unchanneled and Channeled Portions of the Missouri River, Nebraska, with Notes on Limnological Observations," M. A. Thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia.

Schmulbach, J. C., Gould, G., and Groen, C. L. 1975. "Relative Abundance and Distribution of Fishes in the Missouri River Gavins Point Dam to Rulo, Nebraska," <u>Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science</u>, Vol 54, pp 194-222.

Slizeski, J. L., Andersen, J. L., and Dorough, W. G. 1982. "Hydrologic Setting, System Operation, Present and Future Stresses," <u>The Middle</u> <u>Missouri River</u>, Hesse, L. W., Hergenrader, G. L., Lewis, H. S., Reetz, S. D., and Schlesinger, A. E., eds., The Missouri River Study Group, Norfolk, Nebr., pp 15-38.

Swedberg, D. V. 1965. "Age and Rate of Growth of Freshwater Drum, Lewis and Clark Lake, Missouri River," <u>Proceedings of the South Dakota</u> Academy of Science, Vol 44, pp 160-168.

Todd, R. D., and Bender, J. F. 1982. "Water Quality Characteristics of the Missouri River Near Fort Calhoun and Cooper Nuclear Stations," The Middle Missouri River, Hesse, L. W., Hergenrader, G. L., Lewis, H. S., Reetz, S. D., and Schlesinger, A. E., eds., The Missouri River Study Group, Norfolk, Nebr., pp 39-68.

Volesky, D. F. 1969. "A Comparison of the Macrobenthos from Selected Habitats in Cattail Marshes of the Missouri River," M. A. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion.

Whitley, J. R., and Campbell, R. S. 1973. "Some Aspects of Water Quality and Biology of the Missouri River," Transactions, Missouri Academy of Science, Vol 7, pp 60-72. Wolf, J., McMahon, J., and Diggins, M. 1972. "Comparisons of Benthic Organisms in Semi-natural and Channelized Portions of the Missouri River," <u>Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science</u>, Vol 51, pp 160-167.

Zweiacker, P. L. 1967. "Aspects of the Life History of the Shovelnose Sturgeon, *Scaphirhynchus platorynchus* (Rafinesque) in the Missouri River," M. A. Thesis, University of South Dakota, Vermillion.

5151273 5151273

Mean Values for Water Quality Parameters Measured at the Surface (SS),

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1001			-depth (M	1D), a	nd Near	the Bol	tom (BS)		
(c) (mg/1) (mv) (NTU) (umho/cm) (m) (m/sec) June 1983 5:te ACI SS 22.0 11.3 8.3 304 21.5 996 0.34 BS 20.6 8.7 8.2 297 998 001 Site ACI SS 17.8 9.5 8.2 293 1000 Site DFI SS 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 Site DFI SS 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 Site DFI SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1038 0.27 1.30 BS 16.0 9.6 8.4 275 1003 0.26 1.59 MD 16.0 9.6 8.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.59 MD 16.0 9.7 8.4 295 1107 1.55 1.55 Site ACI SS 28.7 7.7 <			Iemp		pН		Turb	•		
June 1983 Site AC1 SS 22.0 11.3 8.3 304 21.5 996 0.34 HD 21.5 10.3 8.3 297 998 Site AC2 5S 23.4 10.0 8.2 300 17.0 1161 0.30 Site AC2 5S 23.4 10.0 8.2 300 17.0 1161 0.30 Site DF1 SS 17.8 9.9 8.4 294 1155 1013 0.28 0.85 MD 17.8 9.5 8.3 275 1000 Site DF2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1038 0.27 1.30 HD 16.0 9.6 8.4 275 17.0 1033 Site RV1 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.59 BS 18.0 9.6 8.4 275 17.0 1033 Site RV1 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 115.5 1060 BS 18.0 9.6 8.4 275 1050 BS 18.0 9.4 8.3 272 1117 August 1983 Site RV2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 27.3 8.9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1983 Site AC1 SS 28.5 7.5 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 MD 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 Site RV2 SS 17.3 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.3 7.7 8.8 1.1 74 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site AC2 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 206 17.7 788 0.33 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 200 16.7 788 0.33 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 200 16.7 788 0.33 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 200 17.7 788 Site RV1 SS 16.3 6	5100		(c)				(NTU)		(m)	(m/sec)
Site AC1 SS 22.0 11.3 8.3 304 21.5 996 0.34 MD 21.5 10.3 8.3 297 998 Site AC2 SS 23.4 10.0 8.2 209 1001 Site DF1 SS 17.8 9.9 8.4 294 15.5 1013 0.23 0.85 MD 17.8 9.5 8.3 275 1000 0.26 1.59 Site DF2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1038 0.27 1.30 BS 18.0 9.6 8.4 275 1000 1.59 1.55 1.59 BS 18.0 10.2 8.2 350 1050 1.55 Site RV1 SS 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 BS 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 1.57 August 1983 Site AC1 SS 28.5 7.7<			, ,		_					
MD 21.5 10.3 8.3 297 998 BS 20.6 8.7 8.2 299 1001 Site AC2 S5 23.4 10.0 3.2 200 17.0 1161 0.30 Site DF1 S5 17.8 9.9 8.4 294 15.5 1013 0.28 0.85 BS 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 161 0.28 0.85 Site DF2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1033 0.27 1.30 BS 18.0 9.6 8.4 275 1033 0.26 1.59 MD 16.0 9.6 8.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.55 Site RV1 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 7 August 1983	June 1983									
BS 20.6 8.7 8.2 299 1001 Site AC2 SS 23.4 10.0 8.2 300 17.0 1161 0.30 Site DF1 SS 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 .28 0.85 Site DF2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1033 .27 1.30 BS 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 16.5 1033 .27 1.30 BS 18.0 9.6 8.4 275 1033 .27 1.59 MD 18.0 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 BS 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117	Site AC1						21.5		0.34	
Site AC2 Site D2 23.4 10.0 8.2 300 17.0 1161 0.30 Site D1 S5 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 B5 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 Site DF2 S5 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1038 0.27 1.30 B5 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 10.03 0.27 1.30 B5 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 10.03 0.26 1.59 MD 18.0 9.9 8.2 350 1050 0 0.26 1.59 MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 August 1963 5 28.1 4.2 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 MD 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1963 S 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7										
Site DF1 SS 17.8 9.9 8.4 294 15.5 1013 0.28 0.85 ND 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1.30 1000 1000 1.30 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1.30 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 150 1100 150 1100 150 1100							17 0		0.30	
ND 17.8 9.5 8.2 273 1000 BS 17.8 9.5 8.3 275 1000 Site DF2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1033 0.27 1.30 BS 16.0 9.6 8.4 275 1033										0.85
BS 17.8 9.5 8.3 275 1008	Site DFI						15.5		0.20	0.05
Site DF2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 293 16.5 1033 0.27 1.30 MD 16.0 9.6 8.4 275 1033 Site RVI SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.59 MD 16.0 9.9 8.2 350 1050 0.26 1.55 Site RVZ SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 117 August 1983 - 8.4 275 1117 100 17.3 805 0.28 BS 27.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 0.27 155 Site AC1 SS 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 805 0.28 BS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
MD 16.0 9.6 8.4 275 1033 Site RVI SS 17.8 9.7 6.4 295 17.0 1033 Site RVI SS 17.8 9.7 6.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.59 BS 18.0 10.2 8.2 350 1082 0.26 1.55 Site RVZ SS 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 August 1983 T.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1983 Site ACI SS 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 Site ACI SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 854 0.27 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 6.54 0.38	Site DE2						16.5		0.27	1.30
BS 18.0 9.6 8.4 275 17.0 1033 Site RVI SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.59 MD 18.0 9.9 8.2 350 1050 1050 Site RV2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 1117 August 1983 1117 805 0.28 1.55 Site AC1 SS 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 Site AC2 SS 27.5 6.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site AC2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.0 172 854 Site AC2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 953 0.39 2.23 BS 27.3	Site Diz									
Site RV1 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 295 16.5 1069 0.26 1.59 BS 18.0 10.2 8.2 350 1050 1050 Site RV2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 1117 1117 August 1983 9.4 8.4 275 1117 1117 1117 August 1983 517.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 1117 1117 August 1983 517.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 1117 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.4 193 810 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 BS 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 BS							17.0			
BS 18.0 10.2 8.2 350 1050 Site RV2 SS 17.8 9.4 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 1117 August 1983 T.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1983 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 Site AC2 S2 7.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 53 53 0.39 2.23 BS 27.2 7.4 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.3 7.7 8.1	Site RV1						16.5	1069	0.26	1.59
Site RV2 SS 17.8 9.7 8.4 296 22.0 1082 0.26 1.55 MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 1117 August 1983 5 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1983 5 28.5 7.5 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 MD 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 852 0.36 0.60 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 516 6.7 851 0.36 0.60 Site AC2 SS 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 854 0.36 0.38 BS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.2 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 53 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 174 853 <td< td=""><td></td><td>MD</td><td>18.0</td><td>9.9</td><td>8.2</td><td>350</td><td></td><td>1050</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		MD	18.0	9.9	8.2	350		1050		
MD 17.8 9.4 8.3 272 1117 August 1983 5 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1983 Site AC1 SS 28.5 7.5 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 Site AC1 SS 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 16.2 854 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 16.4 854 515 Site RV1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 Site RV2 SS 27.3 7.2 </td <td></td> <td>BS</td> <td>18.0</td> <td></td> <td>8.2</td> <td>350</td> <td></td> <td>1050</td> <td></td> <td></td>		BS	18.0		8.2	350		1050		
BS 17.8 9.4 8.4 275 1117 August 1963 Site AC1 SS 28.5 7.5 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 MD 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 810 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site AC2 SS 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 854 852 0.36 0.38 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 196 17.7 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.3 7.4 8.1 196 17.7 853 0.39	Site RV2	SS					22.0		0.26	1.55
August 1983 Site ACI SS 28.5 7.5 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 MD 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 810 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 16.2 854 0.38 0.38 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.2 7.3 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.3 7.2 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 <td></td>										
Site AC1 SS 28.5 7.5 7.7 190 17.3 805 0.28 MD 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 BS 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 854 0.36 0.38 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 BS 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 16.4 854 53 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 190 853 0.38 2.86 M			17.8	9.4	8.4	275		1117		
MD 28.1 4.2 7.4 193 810 BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 853 853 854 853 854 853 854 853 853 853 853 853 853 854 853 853 854 853 853 853 854 853 <td< td=""><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.05</td><td>0 00</td><td></td></td<>	2							0.05	0 00	
BS 28.1 4.2 7.4 194 19.7 811 Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 16.2 854	Site ACI						17.3		0.28	
Site AC2 SS 27.5 8.4 7.8 212 24.8 854 0.27 Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 MD 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 854 854 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 MD 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 854 854 854 854 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 194 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.7 8.1 174 16.4 854 854 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 16.4 854 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.3 7.3 8.1 190 7.0 853 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 7.0 853 0.35 3.3 1.90 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>10 7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							10 7			
Site DF1 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.3 852 0.36 0.60 BS 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 854 854 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 MD 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 853 854 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 194 853 936 936 936 BS 27.2 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 53 54 53									0 27	
ND 27.3 6.9 8.0 172 854 BS 27.3 6.8 6.0 172 16.2 854 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 MD 27.2 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 853 0.39 2.23 BS 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 0.38 0.20 Site RV2 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.34 Site AC1 SS 15.3 7.9 7.9										0.60
BS 27.3 6.8 8.0 172 16.2 854 Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 MD 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 854	SILE DET						10.5		0.30	0.00
Site DF2 SS 27.1 7.7 8.1 196 19.3 852 0.36 0.38 MD 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 853 853 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 16.4 854 853 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.2 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 853 853 853 Site RV2 SS 27.3 7.3 8.1 190 17.0 853 853 853 Site AC1 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 853 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 515 Site AC2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>16.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							16.2			
MD 27.2 7.4 8.1 194 853 BS 27.2 7.3 8.1 193 20.3 854 Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.2 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 <t< td=""><td>Site DF2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.36</td><td>0.38</td></t<>	Site DF2								0.36	0.38
Site RV1 SS 27.3 7.7 8.1 174 15.9 853 0.39 2.23 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 853 853 2.23 BS 27.3 7.2 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 853 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 3 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 3 2.86 October 1983 Site AC1 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 Site AC2 SS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 3 3 0.21 3 3 0.20 3 0.21 3 3 0.20 3 0.21 3 3 3 3 3 120 16.0 7										
MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 174 853 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 853 2.86 October 1983 5 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 3.3 October 1983 5 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 515 515.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 515 516.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 8.1 8.1 189 790 515 516.3 6.5 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD <td< td=""><td></td><td>BS</td><td>27.2</td><td>7.3</td><td>8.1</td><td>193</td><td>20.3</td><td>854</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		BS	27.2	7.3	8.1	193	20.3	854		
BS 27.3 7.2 8.1 174 16.4 854 Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 853 2.86 MD 27.3 7.3 8.1 190 17.0 853 October 1983	Site RV1	SS	27.3			174	15.9		0.39	2.23
Site RV2 SS 27.2 7.8 8.1 196 17.7 852 0.38 2.86 MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 853 853 Soctober 1983 5 5.1 5.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 760 760 BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site AC2 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 3.1 8.1 189 790 790 790 790 790 788 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 206 16.7 768 0.33 0.48 <		MD								
MD 27.3 7.4 8.0 190 853 BS 27.3 7.3 8.1 190 17.0 853 October 1983 51te AC1 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 760 BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 3.1 8.1 189 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789 789										
BS 27.3 7.3 8.1 190 17.0 853 October 1983 Site AC1 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site AC2 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 8.1 8.1 189 790 93 0.48 90 <td< td=""><td>Site RV2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17.7</td><td></td><td>0.38</td><td>2.86</td></td<>	Site RV2						17.7		0.38	2.86
October 1983 Site AC1 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 8.1 8.1 189 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 790 789 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							17.0			
Site AC1 SS 15.2 9.1 8.0 197 11.1 758 0.36 MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 760 BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 3.1 8.1 189 790 790 790 790 790 789 <	0		27.3	1.3	8.1	190	17.0	653		
MD 15.4 8.2 8.0 201 760 BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 8.1 8.1 189 790 790 789 <			15 2	9 1	8.0	197	11 1	758	0.36	
BS 15.3 7.9 7.9 202 20.5 760 Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 8.1 8.1 189 790 790 789 0.33 0.48 Site DF2 SS 16.3 8.2 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 8.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 789 Site DF2 SS 16.3 6.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 BS 16.2 8.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 BS 16.3 8.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 8.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45	JIC ACI									
Site AC2 SS 14.3 9.7 8.3 172 20.5 738 0.21 Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 8.1 8.1 189 790 790 790 Site DF2 SS 16.3 8.5 8.1 188 17.2 790 Site DF2 SS 16.3 8.5 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 789 Site RV1 SS 16.3 6.6 8.1 206 16.7 768 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 6.4 8.1 205 789 7							20.5			
Site DF1 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 200 16.0 788 0.34 0.20 MD 16.2 3.1 8.1 189 790 790 790 Site DF2 SS 16.3 8.5 8.1 188 17.2 790 Site DF2 SS 16.3 8.5 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 8.2 8.1 202 789 789 789 Site DF2 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 202 789 789 BS 16.2 8.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 789 Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 205 789 789 BS 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 789 BS 16.3 8.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 8.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD <t< td=""><td>Site AC2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.21</td><td></td></t<>	Site AC2								0.21	
BS 16.2 8.1 8.1 188 17.2 790 Site DF2 SS 16.3 £.5 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 202 789 789 0.33 0.48 Site DF2 SS 16.2 6.2 8.1 202 789 0.33 0.48 BS 16.2 6.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 0.33 0.48 Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 206 16.7 768 0.33 MD 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 0.33 0.48 Site RV2 SS 16.3 8.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 6.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788 0.32 1.45		SS		8.3	8.1	200	16.0	788	0.34	0.20
Site DF2 SS 16.3 £.5 8.1 208 17.3 789 0.33 0.48 MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 202 789 789 789 BS 16.2 6.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 789 789 Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 206 16.7 788 0.33 MD 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 789 789 Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 789 BS 16.3 8.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 6.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788 1.45		MD	16.2	8.1	8.1	189		790		
MD 16.3 6.2 8.1 202 789 BS 16.2 6.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 206 16.7 768 0.33 MD 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 BS 16.3 3.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 8.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788		BS								
BS 16.2 6.2 8.1 201 16.6 789 Site RVI SS 16.3 6.6 8.1 206 16.7 768 0.33 MD 16.3 6.4 8.1 205 789 BS 16.3 6.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 6.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788	Site DF2						17.3		0.33	0.48
Site RV1 SS 16.3 8.6 8.1 206 16.7 788 0.33 MD 16.3 8.4 8.1 205 789 BS 16.3 8.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 8.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788										
MD 16.3 6.4 8.1 205 789 BS 16.3 3.3 3.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 3.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788									0 27	
BS 16.3 8.3 8.1 204 17.7 789 Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 8.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788	Site RV1						16.7		دد.ں	
Site RV2 SS 16.2 6.6 6.1 206 17.4 788 0.32 1.45 MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788							17 7			
MD 16.2 8.5 8.1 206 13.0 788	S1+A 5177								0.32	1.45
	DICE RVZ									

.

. .

Number of Each Fish Species Collected by Seining During Three

Sample Periods at Three Locations, Missouri River

Between River Mile 661 and 678 in 1983

		DIJ	se Fie	pld
Common Name	Scientific Name	Jun	Aug	Oct
Gizzard shad	Dorosoma cepedianum	0 51 6	51	9
Rainbow smelt	Osmerus mordax	80	0	0
Central stoneroller	Campostoma anomalum	0	Ч	1
Carp	Cyprius carpio	0	г	0
Speckled chub	Hybopsis aestivalis	2	0	0
Silver chub	Hybopsis storeriana	0	4	16
Shiner	Notropis spp.	m	7	J
Emerald shiner		38	06	95
River shiner	Notropis blennius	0	0	9
Red shiner	Motropis lutrensis	21	0	92
Spotfin shiner	Notropis spilopterus	0	0	0
Bigmouth shiner		0	0	12
Sand shiner	. ب	210	38 3	37
Fathead minnow	Pimephales promelas	S	72	4
River carpsucker	Carpiodes carpio	0	37	0
River redhorse	Moxostoma carinatum	0	0	0
Golden redhorse	Moxostoma erythrurum	0	4	0
Channel catfish	Ictalurus punctatus	0	0	-
White bass	Morone chrysops	1	4	0
Green sunfish		0	m	0
Orangespotted sunfish	Lepomis humilis	0	0	0
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	Ð	0	0	0
White crappie	Pomoxis annularis	0	1	0
Black crapris	Pomoxis nigromaculatus	0	0	0
Yellow j tch	Perca flavescens	0	Э	0
Sauger	Stizostedion canadense	0	0	1
Walleye	Stizostedion vitreum	0	0	0
Freshwater drum	Aplodinotus grunniens	0	1	0
		288	314	271

Abbandoned ChannelJun Aug000000000000111111111111111231801122323213211

Number, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight (g), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods by Serving Dí.

Ket	Fie	lds.	17	the	MIN	sourr	- H	1.40	,

Species	Variable	Site 1	June Site 2	Total		August Site 2	Total		ortober Dite 2	Tota
-		0	0	0	14	37	51	ĩ	3	Ð
Sizzard shad	Number Maan Lanuth	-	-	•	14	<u>.</u>	÷ 9	94	9Î	9.
	Mean Length std. Dev.			-	18	11	14	10	10	9
	Mean Weight	-	-	_	4.0	2.2	2.6	7.5	0.1	ь.
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	4.0	1.4		4	1.7	<i>.</i>
							,		,	ŋ
Rainbow smelt	Number	5 59	0	э 69	6 -		2	0 -	Ç	
	Mean Length Std. Dev.	59	-	69			-	-		-
	Mean Weight	1.6	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	std. Dev.	0.5	-	÷.5		+	•	-	-	-
			_			0		U.	1	1
Jentral stoneroller	Number Mean Length	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 44	0	1 44	-	45	45
	Std. Dev.	-		_				-		
	Mean Weight	-		-	0.9		. 9	-	1.0	1.
	Std. Dev.	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			-			0	,	0	c,	c.
Гагр	Number Noon Longth	0	0	0	1 51	0 -	1 51	-	-	-
	Mean Length	-	-	-	51	-	-		-	-
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	-	-	-	2.0	-	2.0		-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		2	<u>^</u>		0	0	0	0	o	0
Speckled shub	Number	2	0	2 36	0 -	0	-	-	-	-
	Mean Length	36 0.7		36 0.7	-	-	-	-	_	_
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	0.4		0.4	-		_	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	0.4	-	0.1		-	-	-	-	-
Silver chub	Number	0	0	0	0	4	4	15 65	1 54	16 65
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	38 6	38 6	9	-	9
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	0.5	0.5	2.5	1.0	2
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.		-	-	-	0.2	0.2	1.2	-	1
							7	0	0	0
Shiner sp.	Number	1	2	3	6	1 21	32	-	-	0
	Mean Length	36	34 2	34 2	34 3		6	-	_	-
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	6.3	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	۰.1	0.1	0.1	-	6.1	-	-	-
										(, C
Emerald shiner	Number	11 49	27 52	38 51	40 36	50 43	90 40	29 58	66 50	(j) (j)
	Mean Length Std. Dev.	47	8	8	5 20	12	10	9	11	11
	Mean Weight	1.0	1.1	1.1	č.4	0.6	0.6	í.5	1.5	
	Std. Dev.	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.7	U.8	
			<u>,</u>			0	0			
River shiner	Number	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	4 53	2 51	52
	Mean Length	-	-	-		-	_	2	5	
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	1.2	1
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-			-	0.2	0.1	-
										<i>.</i>
Red shiner	Number	0 -	21 41	21 41	÷	4 -	2	ia ii	54 59	
	Mean Length Std. Dev.	-	-41	۲. ج			-	13	11	1.
	Mean Weight	-	0.9	ñ.9	-				,	
	Std. Dev.	-	ř.o	í. 5	-		-	() i	19	
Eigenste stiter	Number Numr Lorath	0 •	0 -	-	-		_	4,	4 40	1. 4
	Mean Length Std. Dev.	-	-	-			-	,	4.	
	sta, pers Mean Weight	-	-	-			-			
	Std. Dett.	-	-	-		-	-	4		
									:	
	1	145	65	.:	::	. •	17			
and courses								.14	2 ·	1 :
and Contrast	Menn Length	15	5.2			5 ⁶ -	51 10	41	4	
atit i ital							11 	e A	•	1

at aned

•

Ô

		• •		. conceade	4)					
species	Variable	5ite l	June Site 2	Total		August Site 2	Total	Site 1	October Site 2	Total
Fathead minnow	Number	3	2	5	28	44	72	2	2	4
	Mean Length	34	32	4د	35	32	33	43	45	44
	Std. Tev.		4		7	6	7	10	4	
	Mean Weight	. 4	4	.4	0.5	č.4	i.4	0.8	0.8	.s
	std. Sev.	-	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.Z	0.2	0.5	5.2	2.3 2.3
River carpsucker	Number	2	j	0	14	23	37	0	5	2
•	Mean Length	-	-	-	47	46	47	-	-	-
	Std. Sev.	-			13	10	10	-		
	Hean Weight	-	-	-	1.3	1.4	1.3	-		-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	. 9	3.8	0.8	-		-
Golden redhorse	Numbe:	5	e	5	4	0	4	0	Ů	Û
	Mean Length	-		-	46		45	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-		-	4	-	4	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-		-	1.0		1.0	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-		-	0.3	-		•	-	-
lmannel catfish	Number	0	э	ũ	0	с	0	0	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-		-	-	73	73
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	Mean Weight	-	-		-	-	-	-	3.2	5.2
	std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White bass	Nimper	J	1	1	0	4	4	0	o	0
	Mean Length	-	126	126	-	58	58	-	-	-
	Std. Lev.	-			-	7	7	-	-	
	Mean Weight	-	21	21	-	2.2	2.2	-	-	-
	Std. Lev.	-	-		-	0.7	0.7	•	-	-
Green sunfish	Number	0	0	0	z	1	3	0	٥	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	36	55	43	-		-
	Std. Dev.	-		-	5	-	11	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	0.8	2.5	1.4	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	.4	-	1.0	-	-	•
White crappie	Number	0	ð	0	1	0	1	0	0	U.
	Mean Length	-	-	-	51	-	51	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	1.2	-	1.2	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sauger	Number	o	0	0	0	0	0	o	1	1
	Mean Lergth	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	123	123
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.1	11.1
	Std. Dev.	ç	0	Û	0	0	0	C	0	J.
Freshwater drum	Number	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	53	53	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean weight	-	-		-	1.5	1.5	-	•	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

118

288

170

Total Number

122

192

314

:27

144

Dable 3 (Concluded)

271

	of Fish C	aught Dur	ing Thre	e Sample	Periods 1	by Seini	ng			
	Abar	ndoned Cha	nnels Al	ung the	Missouri	River				
- –			June			August			October	
Species	Variable	Site 1	Site 2	Total		Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total
Sizzard shad	Number	0	0	0	J	42	42	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	38	38	-	•	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	12	12	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	0.8	0.8	-	•	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	0.9	0.9	-	-	•
Загр	Number	U	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Nean Length	-	-	-	-	122	122	-	262	262
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	32	32	-	233	ذذ : -
	Murch a	0	,		0			0	1	1
Shine: sp-	Number	-	1 29	1 29	-	11 24	11 2 4	-	28	28
	Mean Length Std. Dev.	-		-	-	10	10	-		-
	Mean Weight		0.1	0.1	-	0.2	0.2	-	0.1	0.1
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.2	-	-	-
Emerald shine:	Number	64	5	69	4	5	9	0	3	3
nori ante antenio.	Nean Length	44	46	44	25	31	28	-	37	37
	Std. Dev.	7	12	- j	2	2	4	-	7	7
	Mean Weight	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.4	-	0.4	0.4
	Std. Dev.	θ.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	-	0.2	0.2
Red shiner	Number	88	20	108	0	0	0	0	0	o
	Mean Length	44	45	44	-	-	-	-	•	-
	Std. Dev.	8	9	8	-	-	-	-	-	•
	Mean Weight	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	•	-
	Std. Dev.	0.6	0.7	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
potfin shiner	Number	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Iev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mear Weight Std. Dev.	-	0.5	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sand shiner	Number	22	9	31	3	5	8	0	0	ņ
Janua Silahes	Mean Length	32	31	32	27	31	30	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	5	7	5	7	7	7	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.3	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	-	-	-
Fatrend minnow	Number	1	1	2	1	8	9	0	0	0
	Mean Length	29	59	44	19	24	24	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	21	-	6	6	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	0.2	2.4	1.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	1.6	-	0.1	0.1	-	-	•
River carpsucker	Number	0	0	o	0	4	4	0	С	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	75	75	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	11	11	-		-
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	•	-	-	•	6.3 2.9	6.3 2.9	-	-	-
	-									
Eiter rednorse	Number	0	0	O	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	157	-	157	-		
	Std. Dev. Main Mainlt	•	-	-			40	-	-	-
	Mean Weight Std. tev.	-	-	-	40	-	40	-	-	-
las salesse	Nume en	6	0	J	0	1	1	5	Ú	6
 Jen reductive 	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	41	41		-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
	Mean Weight	-		-		0.6	0.6		-	-
	313. <u>5</u> 09.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Xiite Lass	Number	<i>C</i> .		G	3	3	6	0	с	ι,
	Mean Length	-	-	-	47	ъž	54	•	-	-
									-	-
	5•1. Jet.	-	-	-	6	2	9	•	-	
	3*1. Dev. Mean Weign*	-	-	-	6 1.3	2.5	9 1.9	-	-	-

Number, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight Ig), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods by Seining

Table 4

Sec. 3

ALLER SCHOOL CONNERS SUPPLY

ALLOCAL POSTOR

5

("ontinued)

rapie - (concidued)	Table 4	(Concluded)
---------------------	---------	-------------

î.

CCCCCCCC

a little between the

			June	X		August			october	
sbestes	Variable	Site i	Site 2	lotal	Site I	5ite 2	Istil	Site 1	Site 2	lotal
Steen sunfish	Number	0	3	3	0	2	2	0	3	ذ
	Mean Length	-	63	5 0	-	70	7.0	-	54	84
	Std. Dev.	-	8	õ	-	10	10	-	16	16
	Mean Weight	-	4.0	4.6	-	6.0	6.0	-	9.5	9.5
	Std. Dev.	-	2.1	2.1	-	2.7	2.7	-	4.0	4.8
liangespotted summism	Humber	1	1	2	0	5	5	ē.	1	1
	Mean Length	39	57	4 ci	-	66	00	-	76	7.5
	Std. Dev.	-	-	13	-	7	7	•	•	-
	Mean Weight	0.7	2.9	1.8	-	5.0	5.0	-	v.2	6.2
	Std. Dev.	-	-	1.6	-	1.8	1.8	-	-	-
Bliegill	Number	35	4	39	108	121	229	5	24	29
	Mean Length	48	47	48	25	28	27	44	48	47
	Std. Dev.	11	22	12	5	8	7	5	9	9
	Mean Weight	2.1	2.4	2.1	C.2	0.4	0.3	1.0	1.6	1.5
	Std. Dev.	4.3	3.4	4.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.8
Largemonth bass	Number	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	3	3
	Mean Length	159	-	159	51	78	<u>6</u> 9	-	72	72
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	46	36	-	1	7
	Mean Weight	54	-	54	1.5	12.4	8.8	-	4.0	4.6
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	15.9	12.9	-	1.6	1.0
White crappie	Number	1	1	2	4	108	112	4	9	13
	Mean Length	235	129	132	61	57	58	121	39	69
	Std. Dev.	-	-	75	13	19	19	60	41	43
	Mean Weight	161	22	91	2.5	3.3	3.د	34	14	20
	Std. Dev.	-	-	98	1.6	11.4	11.2	35	29	31
Black crappie	Number	0	0	0	2	2	4	0	0	0
	Mean Length	•	-	-	109	66	36	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	74	5	49	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	30	3.4	16.9		-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	•	-	40	0.4	27.9	-	-	•
Yellow perch	Number	0	0	0	0	1	1	¢.	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	50	50	-	: 79	179
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	•	-	-	-		~
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	1.1	1.1	-	56.3	50.3
Walleye	Number	0	1	1	1	0	1	١.	¢	0
	Mean Length	-	28	28	105	-	105	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	0.1	0.1	δ	-	ô	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freshvater drum	Number	0	0	0	0	15	15			Ű.
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	52	52	-	•	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	•	1.3	1.3	-		-
	std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	2.4	4	-		
	Tital Number	263	47	310	128	536	41.4	9	45	: f

Number of Each Fish Species Collected by Electrofishing During Three

Sample Periods at Three Locations, Missouri River

Between River Mile 661 and 678 in 1983

		Dik	Dike Field	ld	h.	tteà	Бацк	Abando	bard (Abandoned Cilannel
Common Name	Scientific Hame	Jun	Aug	Oct	Jun	àng	0ct	Jun	ànả	Oct
Shortnose gar	Lepisosteus platostomus	0	0	0	0	1	-	0	٦	, m
Gizzard shad	Dorosoma cepedianum	9	-1	٢	4	0	17	17	2	141
Goldeye	Hiodon alosoides	10	'n	9	12	9	6	Ч	0	0
Carp	Cyprius carpio	0	ω	1	М	9	19	2	48	4
River carpsucker	Carpiodes carpio	1	ŝ	4	7	I	б	18	18	9
Quillback	Carpiodes cyprinus	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Blue sucker	Cycleptus elongatus	1	2	1	5	б	00	0	0	0
Smallmouth buffalo	Ictiobus bubalus	m	0	0	٦	٦	1	0	2	4
Bıgmouth buffalo	Ictiobus cyprinellus	0	0	0	2	0	4	15	4	17
Shorthead redhorse	Moxostoma macrolepidotum	0	0	2	4	2	9	0	0	0
Black bullhead	Ictalurus melas	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	4	0
Channel catfish	Ictalurus punctatus	0	0	0	0	2	Э	0	0	0
Flathead catfish	Pylodictis olivaris	2	٢	Ч	7	45	0	0	0	0
White bass	Morone chrysops	0	0	-1	0	0	-	0	0	4
Green sunfish	Lepomis cyanellus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	¢	2
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	2	10
Largemouth bass	Micropterus salmoides	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	(n)
White crappie	Pomoxis annularis	0	0	Г	0	0	0	0	ന	m
Yellow perch	Perca flavescens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Sauger	Stizostedion canadense	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	-	0
Walleye	Stizostedion vitreum	0	0	٦	0	0	0	-1	J	0
Sauger x walleye hybrid		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freshwater drum	Aplodinotus grunniens	2	0	Ч	0	0	Ч	1	0	2
		26	26	25	45	73	79	65	ຮີ	200

Number, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight (g), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods by Electrofishing Dike Fields in the Missouri River

					·	_				
			June			August			October	
species	Variable	Site 1		Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total
Jizzard shad	Number	2	0	,	0	,	1	1		7
Sizzara Shad	Mean Length	6 238	-	6	-	1 196		172	о 184	
	std. Dev.	238		238	-	196	196	1/2		163
		149	-	75 149	-	70	- 0		5	62 70
	Mean Weight		-		-	-	-0	48	ê2	78 103
	Std. Dev.	101	-	161	-	-	-	-	117	103
loldeye	Number	10	0	10	2	1	3	2	4	ó
	Mean Length	313	-	313	378	359	371	350	348	:52
	Std. Dev.	34	-	34	12	-	14	30	11	17
	Mean Weight	254	-	254	520	405	482	415	379	391
	Std. Dev.	62	-	62	85	-	39	92	22	45
2140	Number	0	υ	0	,	7	0	0	,	1
Carp	Mean Length	-	-	-	1 367	463	8 451	-	1 525	525
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	567			-	222	525
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	900	28 1239	42 1240	-	2100	2100
	std. Cev.			-	900	296	307	-	2100	2100
	51d. Jev.	-	-	-	-	290	307	•	-	-
River carpsucker	Number	0	1	1	1	4	5	2	2	4
	Mean Length	-	375	375	320	324	323	367	342	350
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	39	34	-	28	24
	Mean Weight	-	610	610	400	425	432	472	490	481
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	139	121	293	156	192
Diversity of the second	M	0						<u>,</u>		
Blue sucker	Number Mean Length	0 -	1 711	1 711	1 635	1 652	2 644	0	1 565	1 565
	Std. Dev.	-		· · · ·		0.52	-	_		
	Mean Weight	-	3000	3000	2450	2400	2425	-	1800	1800
	Std. Dev.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smallmouth buffalo	Number	2	1	3	0	0	e	0	0	0
	Mean Length	358	480	398	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	86	-	93	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	765	1360	963	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	516	-	501	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shorthead redhorse	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
shor mean reality se	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	394	250	322
	Std. Dev.	_	-	-	_	-	-	574	2.50	102
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	790	162	476
	Std. Dev.	-	-	•	-	-	-		- 102	444
			_							
Flathead catfish	Number	0	2	2	3	4	7	1	0	1
	Mean Length	-	441	441	269	246	256	326	-	326
	Std. Dev.	-	110	110	58	105	62	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	990	990	215	218	216	310	-	310
	Std. Dev.	-	778	778	165	270	213	•	-	-
white bass	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	93	-	93
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Sauger	Number	Û	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	182	182
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	39	39
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walleye	Number	0	0	Û	0	0	0	0	1	1
· · ·	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	160	160
	std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-		-	-	-	28	28
	Std. Dev.	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
, ·		,				<u>,</u>	-	_		-
Cauger X Walleye	Number Maar Jacath	6 -	1	1		0	0	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	318	318	-	-	-	•	-	-
	Std. Dev. Moor Moi Nit	-	245	245		-				-
	Mean Weight Std. Lev.		245	745		-		-	-	
	a san 250 s			-	-	-	-		-	

Continued.

Table 6 (Concluded)

.

			June			August			October	
Species	Variable	Site 1		Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Intal
Freshwater drum	Number	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	ċ	1
	Mean Length	262	-	262	-	-	-	125	-	125
	Std. Dev.	165	-	165	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	320	-	320	-	-	-	16	-	10
	Std. Dev.	411	-	411	-	-	-	-	-	•
	Total Number	20	6	26	8	18	26	9	17	20

Number, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight (g), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods by Electrofishing Along Revetted Banks on the Missouri River

			June			August			October	
Species	Variable -	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site l	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total
Shortnose gar	Number	0	0	0	1	e	1	0	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	507	-	507	-	44 u	440
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	80 -	-	6.10 •	-	51	10ء
	sta, bev.	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
Gizzard shad	Number	1	3	4	υ	0	0	4	13	17
	Mean Length	185	228	217	-	-		300	309	307
	Std. Dev.	-	78	67	-	-	-	8	65	57
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	53	118 118	102 102	-	-	-	271 31	353 181	334 162
Goldeye	N					_				
Golde Ve	Number Mean Length	4 342	8 325	12 331	3 357	3	6 329	5	4 365	9 355
	Std. Dev.	16	24	23	24	300 50	47	346 15	21	19
	Mear. Weight	298	262	274	413	305	359	357	464	404
	Std. Dev.	45	54	52	127	143	135	38	124	96
Carp	Number	1	2	3	1	5	б	4	15	19
	Mean Length	502	432	455	505	384	414	454	399	411
	Std. Dev.	-	21	43	-	117	128	91	61	69
	Mean Weight	1600	1225	1350	2300	1084	1287	1496	898	1024
	Std. Dev.	-	191	255	-	643	760	971	430	603
River carpsucker	Number	1	6	7	1	0	1	2	7	9
	Mean Length	412	360	366	509	-	509	372	323	334
	Std. Dev.	-	44	43	-	-	-	8	64	60
	Mean Weight	710	578	597	1850	-	1850	558	451	475
	std. Dev.	-	171	163	-	-	-	46	220	197
yullback	Number	0	0	0	0	0	e	0	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	385	385
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	565	505
Plue sucker	Number	3	2	5	2	7	9	6	2	8
	Mean Length	632	634	633	460	657	5 16	561	543	572
	Std. Dev.	110	4	78	28	96	115	95	65	38
	Mean Weight	2280	2145	2226	89ù	2913	2463	1839	1472	1748
	Std. Dev.	1320	290	94÷	184	1130	1326	1500	953	1529
Smallrouth Buffalo	Number	1	0	;	:	0	1	0	1	1
	Mean Length	456	-	456	400	-	420	-	350	360
	Std. Dev.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	1520	-	1520	920 -	-	920	-	715	715 -
			_							
Bigmouth biffalo	humber Mean Length	0	2 460	2 460	0	0 -	0	0	4	4
	otd. Iev.	-	460	46.1	-	-	-	-	490 34	490 34
	Mean Weight	-	1700	1766	-	-	-	-	1988	1938
	st 1. Det.	-	495	995	-	-	-	-	4.,4	4 4
Substantial survers	Number	1	3	4	2	0	2	3	3	ь
	Mean Length	409	355	376	400	-	4 0	341	510	3.16
	Std. Iev.	-	23	32	25	-	25	59	55	۰ <i>۵</i>
	Mean Weight	55) ¹	497	542	725	-	725	517	5 E 1	449
	Std. fev.	-	74	110	103	-	163	315	178	.42
Landel (and Lon	11.~1.~ <u>1</u>	i i	Ĵ	5	12	2	2	ć	6	Ū.
	Mean length	-	-	-	-	244	244	-	-	-
	t 1. jev. Mean Weight		-	-	-	97 136	97	-	-	-
	3ti Lev.	-	-	-	-	130 136	158 138	-	-	-
Flattest attion	1. j~2.61	2	5	7	: "	30	45	ē		(-
	Mean Lengto	574	_ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	5 S	14 1 14 1	317 2.541	45	-	9 -	-
	of L. Lett.	1.	•	., i	- 4		55	-		-
	Mercus Weil pri		. : 7	3-4	1.1.1	54 A.	.93	-	-	-
	still leve	56	14.4	1943	:74	•		-		

Containe 1.

tin		June			AL FLST			ost diet		
	Variable	ait- 1		Total	Site 1	site L	Total	oste 1	site 2	15th)
n. 114 23-5	Dumbe:		Q	Э	÷	.1	ć.	0	1	:
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-		-	-	1.2	
	sti Iev.		-	-	-	•	~	-	-	
	Mean Weilght	-	-	-	-		-	-	يا آند	24
	Stil Tevi	•	·	-	-	-		-	-	
Sa.det	Murber	÷.	0	J	ŋ	6	¢	1	1	
	Hean Length		-	-	-	-	-	490	536	51
	std. revi	-	-	-	•	~	-	-	-	3
	Melan Welight	-	-	-	-	-	-	975	1.20	1.94
	Std. Cev.	•	•	-	-	-		-	-	45.
Ereştikater dilm	Number	Э	e	0	Э	0	2	c	1	
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	355	5 د
	Std. Levi	-	•		-	•		-	-	
	Hean Weight	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	500	63
	Std. Lev.	-	-	-	-	-		•	-	
	Total Number	14	÷1	4 5	20	47	7 م		54	7

•

Number, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight (g), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods by Electrofishing in Abandoned Channels Along the Missouri River

alm seconding beeze differences and longer controls

Spagiac	11.5.1.5.1.5	e'	June	T-+-1		August	T 1		October	.
Species _	Variable	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site I	Site 2	lotal	Site 1	Site 2	Total
Shortnose gar	Number	0	0	0	1	o	1	3	0	3
	Mean Length	-	-	-	487	-	487	363	-	363
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 3	-	43
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	365	-	365	199	-	199
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	72	-	72
lizzard shad	Number	9	8	17	0	2	2	133	8	141
	Mean Length	257	217	238	-	161	161	140	218	:38
	Std. Dev.	93	96	94	-	68	68	20	21	20
	Mean Weight	205	133	171	-	55	55	27	15	26
	5td. Dev.	169	163	165	-	57	57	21	7	21
Goldeye	Number	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
, cracie	Mean Length	305	-	305	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	,05	_		_	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	200	-	200	-		-	_	-	-
	Std. Dev.	200	-	200	-		-	-	-	-
Carp	Number	2	0	2	23	25	48	4	0	4
	Mean Length	358	-	358	394	307	349	284	-	284
	Std. Dev.	11	-	11	94	83	98	119	-	119
	Mean Weight	555	-	555	919	519	711	422	-	422
	Std. Dev.	78	-	78	593	438	551	532	-	532
hvar carneuckar	Number	18	0		16	-	10	,	<u>,</u>	
River carpsucker		266	-	18 266	16	2 283	18	6	0	6 260
	Mean Length		-		286		286	260	-	
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	53 269	-	53 269	54	37 305	52	78 264	-	78 264
	Std. Dev.	186	_	186	32 4 177	106	322 168	172	-	172
	Jtd. Dev.	100		100	1//	108	100	172	-	1/2
Smallmouth buffalo	Number	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	0	4
	Mean Length	-	-	-	270	-	270	182	-	182
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	120	-	120	101	-	101
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	366	-	366	157	-	157
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	394	-	394	256	-	256
Bigmouth buffalo	Number	14	1	15	2	2	4	17	o	17
bigiouti. Duilaio	Mean Length	375	160	361	202	312	257	17 407	-	407
	Std. Dev.	68		86	28	141	104		-	- 88
	Mean Weight	915	75	859	185	628	406	1266	-	1266
	Std. Dev.	399	-	441	127	668	469	653	-	653
Black bullhead	Number	0	6	ć	0	4	4	e	0	ç
	Mean Length	-	222	222	-	228	228	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	26	26	-	13	13	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	176	176	-	192	192	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	69	69	-	39	39	-	-	•
White bass	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Mean : ngth	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86	86
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-		ĩ	1
									•	•
Green sunfish	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	74	74
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	6
	Std. Dev.	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Blung:11	Number	2	0	2	1	1	2	7	د	1.
	Mean Length	146	-	146	145	162	24	147	103	1.54
	Std. Dev.	6	-	6			. ,	. 9	48	
	Mean Weight	70		70	65	20	42	71	32	e g
	Std. Dev.	21	-	21	-	-	32	36	39	41
Cargemonth bass	Numb er	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Mean Length	295	-	295	-	-	-	3*s ¹ .	160	197
	()) -									
	Std. Sev.	0	-	0	-	-	-	ŝ Ĉ.	•	120
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight Std. Lev.	0 31.2 4	-	0 312 4	-	-	-	30. 679 255	47	120 562 461

(Continued)

	June				August October					
Species	Variable	Site 1	*	Total		Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site Z	Total
White crappie	Number	0	٥	0	1	2	3	3	0	3
	Mean Length	-	-	-	269	194	219	229	-	229
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	7	44	38	-	38
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	280	105	163	189	-	189
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	14	102	104	-	104
Yellow perch	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	•	134	-	134
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	•	-	24	-	24
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	
Sauger	Number	0	o	0	1	0	1	0	0	
	Mean Length	-	-	-	270	-	270	-	-	
	Std. Lev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	145	-	145	•	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Walleye	Number	1	o	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mean Length	186	-	186	-	-	-	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weicht	50	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Freshwater drum	Number	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	
	Mean Length	-	150	150	-	-	-	216	-	∠1
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	176	-	17
	Mean Weight	-	35	35	-	-	-	252	-	25
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	351	-	35
	Total Number	49	16	65	47	38	85	182	18	20

Table 8 (Concluded)

9

J
Number of Each Fish Species Collected by Hoop Netting During

Three Sample Periods at Three Locations, Missouri River

Butween River Mile 661 and 678 in 1983

		Dike	Dike Field	ld	wevet		Bank	Abando	p pr	Abandered Channel
Common Nume	Scientific Name	Jun	Aug	Oct	Jun		Öct	Jun	àug	Oct
ShoveInose sturgeon	Scaphirhynchus platorynchus	4	-	2	12 1			-1	0	0
Longnose gar	Lepisosteus osseus	0	0	Ч	0		m	0	0	0
Shortnose gar	Lepisosteus platostomus	0	m	0	0	2	19	4	~	1
Gizzara shad	Dorosoma cepedianum	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	S	9
Goldeye	H10don alosoides	12	1	0	1	2	м	0	0	0
Carp	Cyprinus carpio	0	en ا	0	0	~	0	2	2	2
River carpsucker	Carpiodes carpio	0	0	0	ۍ	0	0	46	20	11
Elue sucker	Cycleptus elongatus	4	17	46	46	34	75	0	0	0
Smallmouth buffalo	Ictiobus bubalus	0	т	0	0	0	1	2	7	0
Bigmouth buffalo	Ictiobus cyprinellus	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	m
Shorthead redhorse	Noxostoma macrolepidotum	0	0	m	0	2	4	-	0	0
Elack bullhead	Ictalurus melas	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	12	ნ
Channei catfish	Ictalurus punctatus	30	٢	و	-1	4	6	2	m	2
Flathead catfish	Pylodictis olivaris	0	ნ	4	2	24	4	0	0	0
White bass	Morone chrysops	0	0	0	0	г	-1	0	0	0
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus	0	٦	С	0	0	0	19	4	0
Smallmouth bass	Micropterus dolomieui	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Largemouth bass	Micropterus salmoides	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ч
White crappie	Pomoxis annularis	0	4	ы	0	-1	0	49	26	30
Black crappie	Pomoxis nigromaculatus	0	0	0	0	0	1	34	ഹ	4
Walleye	Stizostedion vitreum	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Freshwater drum	Aplodinotus grunniens	п	0	0	0	4	ŗ	0	м	0
		52	49	63	67	77	122	225	<u>97</u>	69

Table 10	10
----------	----

Number, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight (g), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods with Hoop Nets Set in Dike Fields in the Missouri River

		Set in Di	ke Field	s in the	Missouri	River				
	•		June			August			Cotober	
Species	Variable	Site 1		Total		Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total
Shovelnose sturgeon	Number	2	2	4	1	0	1	1		2
···· ···	Mean Length	676	555	616	632	-	632	537	599	568
	Std. Dev.	47	61	83	-	-	-	•	-	44
	Mean Weight	740	400	570	690		690	260	6 10	435
	Std. Dev.	99	226	24.5	-	•	-	•	-	247
Longnose gar	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ð	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	•		437
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	- 179
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Chartman ar	Number	0	o	0	2	1	3	Ũ	0	0
Shortnose gar	Mean Length	-	-	-	595	573	588	•		-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	35	-	28	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	845	700	797	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	262	-	203	-	-	-
Goldeye	Number	12	C	12	1	0	1	0	0	Û
	Mean Length	329	-	329	340	-	340	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	30	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	283	-	283	315	-	315	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	77	-	77	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carp	Number	0	0	0	Ö	3	3	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	499	499	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	50	50	-	-	-
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-		-	1600 550	1600 550	-	-	-
	bra. brii						,,,,			
Blue sucker	Number	2	2	4	9	8	17	36	10	46
	Mean Length	382	390	386	455	551	500	607	587	602
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	66 368	4 422	38 395	133 589	49 1439	111 989	66 2321	67 1970	66 2444
	Std. Dev.	180	74	117	393	390	578	768	718	763
				•				<u>^</u>		
Smallmouth buffalo	Number Mean Length	0	0	0	0	3 442	3 442	0	0	0
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	37	37	-		-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	1253	1253	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	•	-	387	387	-	-	-
Shorthead redhorse	Number	0	0	0	0	o	0	1	2	3
	Mean Length	-	-	•	-	-	-	220	229	226
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	20	15
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	115	143 30	134 26
	Std. Dev.	•	-	-	-			-	30	20
Channel catfish	Number	13	17	30	5	2	7	3	3	6
	Mean Length	332	312	320	318	374	334	255	363	309
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	106 387	68 262	85 316	75 320	58 455	71 359	69 134	95 399	95 267
	Std. Dev.	448	232	342	317	262	288	114	306	252
Flathead tatfish	Number	0	o	0	3	6	9	3	1	4
11000033 .301130	Mean Length	-	-	-	360	460	426	426	310	397
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	18	106	98	70	•	82
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	443	1108	837	1000	280	820
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	21	558	553	541	-	570
Bluegill	Number	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	164	-	164	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	85	-	85	-	-	:
White crappie	Number	0	0	0	3	1	4	1	0	1
	Mean Length Std. Dev.	-	-	-	182 42	238	196 44	185	-	185
	Mean Weight		-	-	83	185	109	65	-	65
	Std. Cev.		-	-	67	•	74	-	-	-

(Continued)

Table 10 Concluded

			June			August			October	
Species	Variable	Site 1	Site 2	Total	site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Iotal
Walleye	Number	:	ð	1	U	0	0	0	2	0
	Mean Length	437	-	437	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
	Mean Weight	595	-	595	-	-	-			-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freshwater drum	Number	1	с	1	ć	2	-	4		
	Mean Length	3:4	-	314	-	-	-	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Mean Weight	350	•	350	-	-	-			-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	•		-	-	-	-	-
	Total Number	31	21	52	25	24	49	44	10	63

2

のよう £

	Table 11
Numbe	, Mean Length (mm), Mean Weight (g), and Standard Deviation
ں f	Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods with Hoop Nets
-	Set Along Revetted Banks on the Missouri River

and the second second

100.000

1 A STATE A STATE AND A STATE A STATE A STATE A

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			June	_		August			October	
Species	Variable	Site l	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total
Shovelnose sturgeon	Number	1	11	12	1	0	1	1	0	1
,	Mean Length	563	639	634	640	-	640	582	-	58
	Std. Dev.	-	119	115	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weight	435	591	578	620	-	620	390	-	390
	Std. Dev.	-	459	440	-	-	-	-	•	
Longnose gar	number	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	•	653	-	65. 21
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	217 975	-	97
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-		-	-	964	-	96
Shortnose gar	Number	0	0	0	0	2	2	15	4	14
Shorthose gar	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	594	594	486	469	48
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	114	114	63	68	6
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	732	732	388	394	38
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	435	435	157	172	15
Goldeye	Number	0	1	1	1	1	z	2	1	
-	Mean Length	-	358	358	322	338	330	351	335	34
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	11	8	-	1
	Mean Weight	•	440	440	250	335	292	400	365	38
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	60	14	-	2
Carp	Number	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	470	470	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	1450	1450	-	-	
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
River carpsucker	Number	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	
arter carpsdeact	Mean Length	-	379	379	-	-	-	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weight	-	646	646	-	-	-	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	
Blue sucker	Number	23	23	46	11	23	34	29	46	7
	Mean Length	592	544	568	602	612	609	618	611 70	61 6
	Std. Dev.	101	111 1316	108 1489	54 2059	81 2197	73 2153	51 2471	2436	244
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	1662 881	791	846	642	1147	1004	780	1039	94
Smallmouth buffalo	Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Smallmouth Bullato	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	465	-	4.0
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	1480	-	148
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Shorthead redhorse	Number	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	3	
	Mean Length	-	-	-	340	319	330	350	264	28
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	270	15	-	73 254	7 30
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	-	-	4 60 -	- 270	365 134	465	233	21
Channel antform	tiumbar	1	0	1	2	2	4	3	6	
Channel catfish	Number Mean Length	612	-	612	294	289	291	293	273	21
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	40	78	51	60	62	5
	Mean Weight	2500	-	2500	180	208	194	207	158	17
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	71	159	102	117	136	12
Flathead catfish	Number	0	2	2	17	7	24	3	1	
	Mean Length	-	426	426	353	456	383	356	365	35
	Std. Dev.	•	52	52	43	102	79	14	-	1
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	-	805 325	805 325	439 174	1144 775	644 534	438 13	490	45
White hare		0	0	0	n	1	1	1	0	
White bass	Number Mean Length	-	-	-	-	245	245	167	-	16
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	215	215	62	-	6

(Continued)

		••			•					
			June			August			October	
species	Variable	Site 1	5ite 2	lotal	Site 1	Site 2	lotal	Site 1	Site 2	fotal
White crappie	Number	ç	c	υ	ι	1	1	Ū.	Ċ.	ti.
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	230	230	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	155	155	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	•	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
Black crappie	Number	o	0	0	0	0	0	1	(i	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	-	250
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	275		. 75
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Walleye	Number	0	o	0	0	1	1	o	0	0
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	490	490	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	1040	1040	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freshwater drum	Number	0	0	0	3	1	4	1	0	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	207	204	206	150	-	150
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	12	-	10	-		-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	103	105	104	42	-	42
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	25	-	21	-	-	-
	Total Number	25	42	67	36	41	77	61	61	122

Table11 (Concluded)

	Set n A	bandoned	Channel Is	Along t	he Missour	River				
			June			August			October	
Species	Variable	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total	site l	Site 2	Total
Shavelnase sturgeon	Number	:	υ	1	Ú	б	D	0	o	0
	Mean Length	515	-	515	•	•	:	-	•	-
	std. Dev.	-	-	-			-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	320 -	-	320 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shutthose gar	Number	0	4	4	o	2	2	1	Ĵ.	1
anar meac ger	Mean Length		696	590	-	578	£13	362	-	262
	StJ. Dev.	-	57	87	-	04	- 54	-	-	. •
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.		55 253	655 258	-	632 272	682 272	155 -	-	:'∘
		12	4	16	1	4	Ę	0	5	
Gidzaid shad	Number Mean Length	12 306	259	294	_ 30	248	40	-	143	:4:
	Std. Dev.	40	82	54		32	29	-	11	11
	Mean Weight	296	194	250	155	152	:53	-	. 4	.`4
	Std. Dev.	109	174	126	-	30	1 ذ	•	Ľ.	L
Carp	Number	1	1	2	3	4	7	1	1	
	Mean Length	407	335	371	441	252	333 10o	:30	. e ⁶	- 34)
	Std. Dev.	- 795	497	51 646	3 1007	42 229	100 502	- 92	515	. 04
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	- 195	4,9,	211	61	88	423	-	-	់តែថ
River carpsucker	Number	39	7	4 6	13	7	20	11		::
	Mean Length	311	285	307	346	283	324	304	-	164
	Std. Dev.	49	88	56	44	90	09	47	-	47
	Mean Wei ght Std. Dev.	387 176	367 280	38 4 192	503 181	345 267	447 222	623 264	-	€25 264
		2	O	2	o	7	7	0	ů	Û
Smallmouth buffalo	Number Mean Length	262	-	262	-	274	274	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	67	-	67	-	109	109	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	282	-	282	-	435	485	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	202	-	202	-	513	513	-	-	-
Ligmouth buffalo	Number	19	3	22	2	0	2	3 311	0	-
	Mean Length	334 102	160 10	311 112	499 58	-	499 58	75	-	311 75
	Std. Dev. Mean Weight	685	72	602	1975	-	:975	528	-	5.0
	Std. Dev.	755	16	732	•01	-	601	315	-	315
Succentered reducese	Number	1	ũ	1	9	0	0	ũ	Û	C
	Mean Length	243	-	243	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	- 140	-	- 146	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean Weight Std. Dev.	140	-	145	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black bullnead	Number	2	25	27	8	4	12	e	9	9
	Mean Length	215	202	203	115	24	224	•	.22	
	Std. Dev.	58	41	41	10	$1 \mathrm{t}_{2}$:7	-	33	33
	Mean Weight	176	143	145	:20	165	149	-	129	129
	Std. Dev.	149	46	55	34	ŕα	53	-	39	3 Q
Channel catfish	Number Near Length	2 278	C -	2 278	2 250	1 جز ج	3 217	: 	ند ح	
	std Dev.	ەند ئۆ		32	- 4		44	1.		
	tteat Weight	163	-	165		10.0	23	+ 2	-	1.2
	Std. Iev.	¢, ¢,	-	5.9	4,	-	4.	15		1.1
Blacgill	Number	4	10	19	e.	4	4			
	Meas, Leogra.	: 4	174	:79	-	:41	145	-		-
			-	5 A						
	ltd. Dev. Mean Weight	23 74	3	28 53	•		2	-	-	

Number, Mean Length imm), Mean Weight (q), and Standard Deviation of Fish Caught During Three Sample Periods with Houp Nets Set in Abandoned Channels Along the Missouri River

Table 12

Sec. 1

continued,

			June			August			October	
Species	Variable	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	Site 2	Total	Site 1	51† · 2	Total
Smallmouth buffalo	Number	O	0	о	0	1	1	0	0	C
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	254	254	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	245	245	-	-	-
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Largemouth bass	Number	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	1	1
	Mean Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	32
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	360	360
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	
White crappie	Number	39	10	49	11	15	26	16	14	3
	Mean Length	209	210	209	163	186	173	202	196	199
	Std. Dev.	43	53	44	14	30	26	31	27	29
	Mean Weight	120	133	123	55	89	75	110	107	10
	Std. Dev.	98	93	96	16	77	61	77	59	6
Black crappie	Number	30	4	34	4	1	5	2	2	
	Mean Length	198	212	200	159	180	163	158	202	18
	Std. Dev.	32	15	31	25	-	24	1	56	4
	Mean Weight	101	122	104	62	90	68	53	ć 2	5
	Std. Dev.	49	21	46	39	-	36	4	11	
Freshwater drum	Number	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	
	Mean Length	-	-	-	327	-	327	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	10	-	10	-	-	
	Mean Weight	-	-	-	458	-	458	-	-	
	Std. Dev.	-	-	-	38	-	38	-	-	
	Total Number	157	68	265	47	50	97	36	33	6

1999 - Addition - Borning - Borning

Tablell2 (Concluded)

Table	13
-------	----

Results of ANOVA on Hoop Netting Data Testing for Differences Between Sites in the Same Habitat During Three Sample Periods at Three Locations, Missouri River Between River Miles 661 and 678 in 1983 (N=8; n = no significant difference; s = significant difference at P < 0.05; - means none collected)

		June			August			Octobe	er
Species	DF	RV	AC	DF	RV	AC	DF	RV	A
Shovelnose sturgeon	n	n	n	n	n	-	n	n	-
Longnose gar	-	-	-	-	-	-	n	n	-
Shortnose gar	-	-	S	n	n	n	-	n	n
Gizzard shad	-	-	n	-	-	n	-	-	s
Goldeye	S	n	-	n	n	-	-	n	-
Ca rp	-	-	n	n	n	n	-	-	n
River carpsucker	-	-	S	-	-	n	-	-	s
Blue sucker	n	n	-	n	n	-	n	n	-
Smallmouth buffalo	-	-	n	п	-	S	-	n	-
Bigmouth buffalo	-	-	s	-	-	n	-	-	n
Shorthead redhorse	-	-	n	-	n	-	n	n	-
Black bullhead	-	-	s	-	-	n	-	-	s
Channel catfish	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Flathead catfish	-	-	-	n	n	-	n	n	-
White bass	-	-	-	-	n	-	-	n	-
Bluegill	-	-	n	n	-	n	-	-	-
Smallmouth bass	-	-	-	-	-	n	~	-	-
Largemouth bass	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n
White crappie	-	-	s	-	n	n	n	-	n
Black crappie	-	-	s	-	-	n	-	n	n
Walleye	n	-	-	-	n	-	-	-	-
Freshwater drum	n	-	-	-	n	n	-	n	-
Site total	s	s	s	n	s	n	s	n	r

Table	14
-------	----

Results of ANOVA on Hoop Netting Data Testing for Differences Among Habitats for the Same Month at Three Locations, Missouri River Between River Miles <u>661 and 678 in 1983</u> (Shared letters for locations mean no significant differences, P > 0.05; - means none collected; N=8)

		June		·	August	·····		Octobe	r
Species	DF	RV	AC	DF	RV	AC	DF	RV	A
Shovelnose sturgeon	а	а	а	а	а	а	a	а	а
Longnose gar	-	-	-	-	-	-	а	а	a
Shortnose gar	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	a
Gizzard shad	а	а	а	а	a	a	a	а	a
Goldeye	а	а	а	ab	b	а	а	b	a
Carp	-	-	-	а	а	а	-	-	-
River carpsucker	а	а	а	а	а	ь	а	а	a
Blue sucker	а	Ъ	а	ab	b	а	а	а	a
Smallmouth buffalo	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	a
Bigmouth buffalo	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а
Shorthead redhorse	а	а	а	а	ь	а	а	а	а
Black bullhead	а	а	а	а	а	ь	٤	а	а
Channel catfish	а	Ъ	ь	а	а	а	а	а	a
Flathead catfish	а	а	а	ab	ь	а	а	а	a
White bass	-	-	-	а	а	а	а	а	а
Bluegill	а	а	ь	а	а	а	-	-	-
Smallmouth bass	-	-	-	а	а	а	-	~	-
Largemouth bass	-	-	-	-	-	-	а	а	а
White crappie	а	а	а	а	а	b	а	а	b
Black crappie	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	b
Walleye	а	а	а	а	а	а	-	-	-
Freshwater drum	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а
Location total	а	а	а	а	b	ь	a	а	а

Results of ANOVA on Hoop Netting Data Testing for Differences Among Sample Periods for the Same Habitat Sampled Along the Missouri River Between River Miles 661 and 678 in 1983 (Shared letters for dates mean no significant differences, P>0.05; - means none collected)

		oandoned Channels			Dike Fields		R	evette Banks	
Species	June	Aug.	Oct.	June	Aug.	<u>Oct.</u>	June	Aug.	<u>Oct</u> .
Shovelnose sturgeon	а	а	a	а	ь	ab	a	а	а
Longnose gar	-	-	-	а	а	а	а	а	а
Shortnose gar	a	а	a	а	ъ	а	а	а	а
Gizzard shad	а	а	а	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goldeye	-	-	-	а	а	а	а	а	а
Carp	а	b	а	а	а	а	а	а	а
River carpsucker	а	а	a	-	-	-	а	а	a
Blue sucker	-	-	-	а	а	а	а	а	а
Smallmouth buffalo	а	а	а	а	a	а	а	а	а
Bigmouth buffalo	а	а	а	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shorthead redhorse	а	а	а	а	а	ь	а	а	а
Black bullhead	а	а	а	-	-	-	-	-	-
Channel catfish	а	а	а	а	Ъ	ь	а	а	а
Flathead catfish	-	-	-	а	а	а	а	а	а
White bass	-	-	-	-	-	-	а	а	а
Bluegill	а	b	Ъ	а	а	а	-	-	-
Smallmouth bass	а	а	а	-	-	-	-	-	-
Largemouth bass	а	а	а	-	-	-	-	-	-
White crappie	а	а	a	а	а	а	а	а	a
Black crappie	а	а	а	-	-	-	а	а	а
Walleye	-	-	-	а	a	а	-	-	-
Freshwater drum	a	а	a	а	а	а	а	а	а
Date totals	a	а	a	а	а	а	а	ab	Ь

Distribution of Sampling Effort* During Larval Fish Collection in 1983

IMIF <u>M01 G01 G01 G01 G01 K01 4.20 XX XX </u>		Abandoned	d Channel (ACl)	Revetted Bank (RV2)	Mid-Channel (MC2)	Dike Field (DF2)
	DATE	<u>401</u>	<u>(:01</u>			
	1, 20	XX	XX			
	4/28	XX	XX			
	5/04	XX	XX			
	5/11	XX	XX			
	5/18	XX	XX			
	5/26	XX	XX			
	6/02	ХХ				
	6/06	XX	XX			
XX	6/16	XX	XX			
XX	6/22	XX	XX			
XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	6/30	XX	XX			
XX	7/06					
XX	7/14	XX	XX			
XX	7/21	XX	XX			
XX	7/29	XX	XX			
XX	8/04	XX	XX			
XX XX XX XX XX XX XX XX	8/11	XX	XX			
	8/18	ХХ	XX			

X's denote a single larval tow (net push); A01, C01, E01, and K01 are larval fish stations (see Figures 2 and 3). *

Breakdown of the Number and Types of Larval

Fish Collected, April - August 1983

Specimen Type	Number	<u></u>	Ratio
Total Fish Collected	5302	100.0	
Damaged Fish	213	4.0	Non-damaged:Damaged
Non-damaged	5089	96.0	24:1
Larvae	4749	93.3	L:J = 14:1
Juveníles	340	6.7	
Prolarvae	1332	26.2	Pro:Post = 1:2.8
Postlarvae	3757	73.8	

Summary of Abundance and Composition of Larvae and Juveniles

for the Four Sampling Locations (Abandoned Channel, Revetment, Mid-channel, Dike Field)

		Total Catch/Effort	% of all	% Occurrence	Temporal	Peak
Taxon	Specimens	(No. /100m ³)	Specimens	in Samples	Occurrence	Abundance
Dorosoma cepedianum		21.0	29.8	25.2	5/18-8/11	6/16,7/14
Osmerus mordax		<0.1	.04	.74	4/20-6/16	
Notropis atherinoides*		0.4	.72	3.3	4/20-8/4	
1		<0.1	.06	. 74	5/18-6/16	
Pimephales promelas*		<0.1	.19	3.0	6/22-8/14	
		3.8	5.1	18.5	6/16-7/21	6/30
s N		1.3	2.3	20.7	6/9-8/18	
Moxostoma spp.		<0.1	.08	1.1	6/16	
mersoni		<0.1	.02	.37	6/16	
		0.2	.28	5.6	5/11-6/16	
		7.7	13.0	51.1	5/11-8/4	6/9
Ictiobus spp.		0.3	.47	8.1	5/11-7/14	
Carpiodes spy.		6.6	11.1	30.0	6/2-8/4	
bin		0.8	1.3	13.0	5/11-7/21	1
Ictaluris punctatus**		<0.1	.02	.37	5/4	
Morone spp.**		<0.1	.02	.37	7/14	
aris		<0.1	.15	3.0	5/26-6/16+	
		13.6	23.6	13.0	6/30-8/18	7/14
ens		0.1	.25	4.1	5/11-6/22	1
		0.5	1.0	8.1	4/28-6/16	5/18
Etheostoma nigrum		0.1	.25	4.8	5/26-6/22	
		<0.1	.02	.37	6/9	
Aplodínotus grunniens		13.5	19.2	25.6	6/16-8/18	6/30

Only juveniles identified; larvae probably present but not identified. Found as juveniles only. This temporal occurrence for larvae only; one juvenile was found as late as 8/18.

* * *

Summary of Abundance and Composition of Larvae and Juveniles for the

Four Sampling Locations (Abandoned Channel, Revetment, Mid-channel, Dike Field)

. .

I

Diversity Index	.54	.63	.71	.71
Dominant Species and % Between Sites	Lepomis 99.0 D. cepedianum 95.7	<u>Stizostedion</u> 78.2 <u>A. grunniens</u> 75.1	None over 75%	None over 75%
% of all Specimens (main channel)		59.7	14.0	26.3
% of all Specimens (all sites)	$\frac{54.5}{24.4}$ 30.1	24.8 9.0 15.8	5.8 3.0 2.8	$\frac{10.9}{6.5}$
Tutal Catch/Effort (Nu./100m ³)	156.5	70.8	15.6	27.9
No. of Taxa Present	10 13	13 13	۲ ۲	16 13
Avg. Vol. of Water Sampled(m ³)	33.8 36.5	36.6 35.9	35.7 36.0	36.4 38.1
Transect	C C C	RV A E	MC A C	DF K

Species Composition by Sampling Site (Species listed in descending order of relative frequency)

us grunniens

cepedianum

elongatus

ABANDONED CHANNEL A	ABANDONED CHANNEL C	REVETMENT A	REVETMENT E
Dorosoma cepedianum	Dorosoma cepedianum	Aplodinotus grunniens	Aplodinotus grunnie
Lepomis spp.	Lepomis spp.	Carpiodes spp.	Carpiodes spp.
Notropis atheriniodes	Other cyprinid	Cyprinus carpio	Cyprinus carpio
Other cyprinid	Notropis atherinoides	Other cyprinid	Stizostedion spp.
Perca flavescens	Aplodinotus grunniens	Stizostedijn spp.	Other cvprinid
Cyprinus carpio	Pimephales promelas	Dorosoma cepedianum	Dorosoma cepedianum
Pomoxis annularis	Pomoxis annularis	Lepomis spp.	Ictiobus spp.
Notropis stramineus	Perca flavescens	Ictiobus spp.	Lepomis spp.
Pimephales promelas	Cyprinus carpio	Cycleptus elongatus	Cycleptus elongatus
Ictiobus spp.	Notropis stramineus	Perca flavescens	Moxostoma spp.
	Ictiobus spp.	Etheostoma nigrum	Etheostoma nigrum
	Stizostedion spp.	Pimephales promelas	Perca flavescens
	Etheostoma nigrum	Osmerus mordax	Etheostoma spp.
MID-CHANNEL A	MID-CHANNEL C	DIKE FIELD A	DIKE FIFLD K
Aplodinotus grunniens	Aplodinotus grunniens	Aplodinotus grunniens	Aplodinotus grunnie
Cyprinus carpio	Cyprinus carpio	Cyprinus carpio	Cyprinus carpio
Carpiodes spp.	Carpiodes spp.	Carpiodes spp.	Carpivdes spp.
Other cyprinid	Other cyprinid	Dorosoma cepedianum	Dorosoma cepedianum
Cycleptus elongatus	lctiobus spp.	Other cyprinid	Other cyprinid

us grunniens Etheostoma nigrum Pomoxis annularis Pimephales promelas cepedianum Cycleptus elongatus Perca flavescens Stizostedion spp. carpio ·dds Uther cyprinid [ctiobus spp. .epomis spp.

Cycleptus elongatus

Ictiobus spp.

Dorosoma cepedianum Etheostoma nigrum

<u>Ictiobus</u> spp. Dorosoma cepedianum

Stizostedion spp.

Etheostoma nigrum Perca flavescens

Pomoxis annularis Catostomus commersoni

Moxostoma spp. Morone spp.

lctaluris punctatus

Osmerus mordax

Species Composition by Sampling Site (2° s represent the proportion of each species found at a given site) Table 21

(13.3) (18.7) (16.0) (18.8) (18.6) (<1.0) (10.0) (10.7) (<1.0) (12.5) (15.4) 7.3) (4.5) (%) DF-K **c**1 5 n 46 0 0 (100.0) (100.0) (10.4) (12.4) (20.0) (17.1) (12.5) (0.03) (27.8) (15.4) (25.0) (20.0)29.4) (23.1) (1.7) ((0.1))(%) DF-A c١ 6 8 27 0 (<1.0) (17.2) (15.4) (1.7) (2.1) (6.3) (8.0) (6.1) (%) MC-C **=**1 46 43 5 36 52 0 C 0 00 0 (<1.0) (17.5) (13.3)(1.4 (5.8) (4.0) (5.9) (5.7) (6.3) (%) MC-A ۲I 40 LOCATION 47 64 0 0 0 0 0000 0 (46.1) (23.1) (100.0) (<1.0) (24.3)(16.5) (0.27) (20.0) (26.7) (28.3) (28.0) (28.0) (27.2) (37.1) (<1.0) (15.4)(%) RV-E 510 94 61 ۲ 65 20 9 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 (20.7) (<1.0) (50.0) (10.0) (22.4) (26.7) (12.8) (16.0) (12.5) (14.3) (<1.0)
(15.4)
(36.4)
(15.4)</pre> (25.1) (%) RV-A 256 ۲I 0 0 1 4 4 9 25 88 0 2 20 00 0 s 2 (24.3) (50.0) (66.7) (70.0) (1.1) (21.5) (<1.0) (51.2) (15.4) (20.0) (0.4) (1.8) (7.8) (5.9) (%) AC-C 858 640 د ا 0 00 6 0 0 00 ~ (33.3) (10.0) (<1.0) (71.4) (12.4) (25.0) (47.8) (23.0) (20.0) (<1.0) (0.4) 3 AC-A 653 597 c١ σ 0000 00 0 P. flavescens Stizostedion spp Ictiobus Carpiodes ? Ictiobinae mordax atherinoides* D. cepedianum 0. mordax N. atherinoides' N. stramineus* C. carpio Other cyprinid <u>Moxostoma</u> spp. <u>C. commersoni</u> <u>C. elongatus</u> Ictiobinae punctatus** . nigrum Etheostoma P. annularis I. punctatus Morone spp. Lepomis spp. A. grunniens TAXON ы. ...

Only juveniles identified; larvae probably present but not identified.

** Found only as juveniles.

*

				S	IZE CL	ASS*				
	0-		5.1	-10	10.1		15.1		20.	1 & Up
LOCATION & SPECIES	n	<u>%</u>	<u>n</u>	%	n	%	n	<u>%</u>	<u>n</u>	%
AC										
D. cepedianum	11	<1.0	498	36.9	457	33.9	299	22.2	84	6.2
A. grunniens	26	86.6	4	13.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lepomís spp.	42	3.5	802	67.7	296	25.0	43	3.6	1	<1.0
C. carpio				~					-	+
Cyprinidae**	0	0.0	18	22.2	18	22.2	13	16.0	32	39.5
Ictiobinae				~					-	
RV										
D. cepedianum	0	0.0	8	34.9	13	56.5	2	8.7	0	0.0
A. grunniens	479	61.6	294	37.8	3	<1.0	0	0.0	!	<1.0
Lepomis spp.				~					-	
C. carpio	5	4.0	119	94.4	0	0.0	1	<1.0	1	<1.0
Cyprinidae**	35	74.5	11	23.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.1
Ictiobinae	0	0.0	271	98.9	I	<1.0	0	0.0	2	<1.0
1C										
D. cepedianum									_	
A. grunniens	21	18.1	79	68.1	15	12.9	1	<1.0	0	0.0
Lepomis spp.					-~				-	
C. carpio	Э	9.9	27	29.7	0	0.0	1	1.1	54	59.3
Cyprinidae**									-	
Ictiobinae	1	1.2	72	86.7	4	4.8	4	4.8	2	2.4
DF										
D. cepedianum	0	0.0	6	14.6	19	46.3	13	31.7	3	7.3
A. grunniens	51	58.6	34	39.1	2	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Lepomis spp.									-	
C. carpio	4	8.7	37	80.4	1	2.2	0	0.0	4	8.7
Cyprinidae**	20	71.4	8	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Ictiobinae	1	<1.0	310	98.7	1	<1.0	1	<1.0	1	<1.0

Table 22 Distribution of Size for Selected Species

* Size class given as total length in mm.
 ** Excluding <u>Cyprinus carpio</u>.

-

Frequency of Occurrence (F). Mean Density (X), and Standard Error of the Mean (SE), for the Invertebrates Collected

0 = Ortohe diff = LSampling Periods in Each Mabitat in Each Sampling Period.

		SE		Ì		SEDIMENTS (No. /m ²)	No. /m						ROCK SURFACES		ROC	ROCK SURFACES		(No./m ²)	n_)				
		AC1			AC 2			DF1			DF2			DFA	-	DFB	8		RVI	-		RV2	
		N=16			N=16			N=16	9		9 I = N			7=N		7=V	4		7=N	7:		7=V	
TAXA	بعد 	×	З'	••• j	×	3 	ш .	X	SE	' L	×	<u>SE</u>	ш	IXI	3		<u>X</u> SE	μ. 		<u>x</u> SE	<u>ند </u>	1×1	SE
DIPTERA							·																
Chironomidae Chironomidae P.	1 1 4 0	т	'n		m	m					M. M. M.	ოო ო	4	32 8 9		444	68 16 52	46 7 17	4 - 4 20 0	51 4 1 23	4 I C	32 32	40 3 26
Chironomus	41 F	458 138 525	193 47 273	<u>663</u>	97 38 178	40 16 101				1	m	m	-	7	7	4 1		4 ~	ł			1 1 2	1
<u>Coe lot anypus</u>	л А.44 Л36	16 38 199	9 16 73	10 15 12	138 291 1141		-	Ś	Ś				-	-									
Cricotopus	5 Г А О	32	11	1 5 2	52 58 5	28 6		m m	m m				4	87 1 2	212	m	136 (1 1	6; 1	4 143 1 1 2 2	-	2 4	163	29
Cryptochironomus	J A 7 0 13	5 4 191	20 61	⊶ ∞ ∞	35 35 70	3 12 25		61 11	10 6 5	7	14	6	3 I	15	3 FI	- 24	- 4 8	1 0 4		9	9 F	~ 4	
Dicrotendipus	г ч о								m				N N N	4° M V	m (2 4	- 0 0	1 5 18	1 ^m 1	7 7	2 1	4 1	004	1~~
Glyptotendipes	ر م 1	I	11		m	m							2	۳	2	2	-1 12	2	-	4	4	-	-
Hydrobaenus	ΓΨO		i										5	ñ	5	4	æ	4	е м	14 1	10 2	ମ	(7)
<u>Larsia</u>	J A 2	14	6	, ,	ŝ	ŝ														9 1			
									(continued)	ued)												(Sheet	(Sheet 1 of

¢ î

DE.

1222222

2.42.42.42

(continued)	
73	
Table	

	i				SEDIMENTS		(No./m ²	; ;							RO	CK SUI	ROCK SURFACES (No./m ²	(No.	́е,				
		AC 1			AC2			DF1			DF2			DFA		D	DFB		RVI			kv2	
		N=16			N=16	~		N=16	. •		N=16			N=4	<u> </u>	z	V=4		7=N			7=7	
TAXA	ъ. ;	×	SE	ر الد ا	'×	St	(L4)	' x :	SE	(سک	1×1	SE	ш	1×1	ы С	<u>ш</u>	X SE	الما 	·×:	SE	بينا ا	'×'	SE
Nanocladius	F V O	-				-							4 m m	88 13 5	54 8 6 6	ω 4 m. ⊓	125 43 24 16 70 23	× ×	1 105	47 4	st ⊶ w	111 1 27	42 1 17
<u>Natarsia</u>	- ¥ 0									8	œ	و	6 4	11 2	~ ~	-	1 1	м 	ŝ	m			
<u>Orthocladius</u>	л А 1 0	e	3								Ś	ъ	4 – w	97 30 30	35 35 21	∞ –: α	242 134 4 4 52 16		4 252 2 2 3 22	112 1 8	4 - 4	202 1 19	40 1 1
Parachironomus 2	L A O			- 7	ωm	4 0							- n n	- ~ m	5 3 1	2 2	40	1.2	- T	10	~	m	2
Paracladopelma	ΓV						4	24	14		m	m	n 1	~ ~			12 ¹ 2 1 1	~	-	-	2	m	2
Paratanytarsus	7 F 5	ωm	36	-	m	m		ΜΜ	۳m				5 M	5	n 1	4	18	е п 	2 15 1 1 1 3	10 1 3	m	23	æ
Polypedilum	1 1	а 42	34 3	5	œ	وب	4.0	4 Ο α	27 6	۳ 	æ	4	ω4 ⊣	5 47 9	6 I 3	4 4 %	24 8 139 28 15 9	4 N M	13 7 8	ኯዹዺ		16 13 12	040
Procladius	J 5 A 10 0 12	16 64 62	7 20 12	2 2 S	54 197 30	12 60 17																	
<u>Rheot anyt arsus</u>	л ч о							m	m				- - - 0	116	1	1 2 2	1 2 1 2 1		1 4 2 3	4 0	3 5	o 4∎	т п
Robackia	r e o						~ 4 2	35 14	16 7	11 m	စ္က	∽ 4	-		~			-	m	m		-	-
Tanypus	J 12 A 15 0 5	312 697 22	112 129 10	12 16	1811 1211 70	496 191 27	5	27	22		1	11											
•									(continued)	(pai					•						(St	(Sheet 2	of 9)

(continued)

アンション

15.55

	1		1						ł			T T			+			F		1	F		
		ALI			ACZ			DF1			DF2			DFA		9	DFB		Rv I			RV 2	
		N=16			N=16			N=16			N=16		Z	7=V	_	z	7=N		7=V			7=V	
TAXA	<u>[</u> 1,	Х	SE SE	Es:	'×ı	SE	<u>س</u>	×	SE	<u>ل</u> ىم	X :	SE	(ب ید)	×	SE	Les :	X SE	نين 	' ×:	ខ្លួ	íu,	×	SE
		r I	m		<u>м</u> м	с с		m	Ċ		m	e	~ 4 m	4 15 41	۳. 4 س	044	3 2 2 2 2 36 14	<u>+</u>	4 - 4 0 - 0	0	4 11 4	L 2 4	2 1 6
Thienenannimyia ₁ A A													4	26	6		(1		· .n	13	ه ا
<u>Thienemanniella</u> A O	(ri	co.	و										m - 4 -	68 58 58	51 8 8	4 ~ 4	52 35 7 6 45 13		2 61 2 12 2 12	10 21	4 2 2	37 23 23	28 13
Ceratopogonidae 1 A O	121	113 307 149	35 31 111 40	11 ~ 6	113 81 46	35 56 15	0 1 4	8 m 4	10mm	m	60	4		. ~		~ ~	6 6	m 7 5		~	<u>_</u>		
Chaoboridae Chaoborus J A	44 16 12	19 1646 773	10 418 213	12 2	8 129 261	6 42 66	-	m	m						f	,	Ì						-
Empididae Enpididae P. 1 A			-										-	-	-						+	Í	
Hemerodromia J A O									{				- 0			, m		, I I		- m		e -	
Simuliidae A A O										-		m					1						'
Enhemeroptera Baetidae Baetidae Imm. J A				1	m	m							~	14	13		20 20 3 3				_		
Bacti <u>s</u> J A													440	21 1 4 28	01 4 4 1	440	48 20 16 8 5 3	0 7 4	40 13 13	13	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	20 48 2	96 - 36 -

Table 23 (continued)

			-	1	SEDIM	ENTS ()	T، /m²	ible 2.	SEDIMENTS (No./m ²) (continued)	inued)		-			ROCK	SURFA	ROCK SURFACES (No. /m.	, m/ . o	-			
		AC 1			AC2			DFI			DF2	 	DFA	 		DFB		~	RV1	-	RV2	2
		N=16			N=16			N=16			N=16		7=V			7=N		z	7=V		7=V	4
TAXA	[14]	×	SE	(LL) ¹	'X'	SE	ы	X	SE	[11]	1×1	SE	Ж	3 .	(بنا 	×	ЗE	ы	.x م	SE F		SE
Caenidae <u>Brachycercus</u>	- -						4	14	٢		, m		2 4	3			m	1	2		-	1
Caenis	Ч Ч Ч Ч	105	53	<u>م</u>	19	ნ	-	m	3	2	ω	 0	1 1 4 434 2 6		- 4 m	1 334 19	1 72 11	- 4 m	1 102 2 11	1 28 4	1 1 1 4 152 3 14	1 1 1 966 4
Ephemeridae <u>Hexagenia</u>	0 Y Q	49 27	16 10	1	'n	ы	1 5	2 4 3	23 33	2	14	ġ,	4 52 1 3	31 31	4 (1) (-)		103 4			26 3 1		
Heptageniidae Heptageniidae Imm.	F V O										m	m	3 112 4 91 3 18	64 22 13	444	1	165 51 25	~			m	
Anepeorus	n e 0												6	و	~	26	23	~	12	ω	1	
Sept apenda													4 22	σ	N	45 1	37 1	4 -	38 17 1 1	+	4 55	19
	- 40			-	m	m				-	2	<u>،</u>	4 206 4 198 4 36	48 54 15	4411	591 145 109	198 64 85	4 4 4 01 01	191 7. 76 2 157 9	72 27 93	4 168 4 83 4 83	33.23
	· .	ļ											4 16 1 4	Q 4	 	12	~ ~ I	401			21	
	ي به در								_								1					
			1					(co	(continued)	(p		4					1				(Sheet 4 of	4 of

î.

	AL	ALI	-		WC DET	-		UFI			DF2		ā	DFA		DFB			RVI			KV.2	
	-Z	N=16		<i>.</i> .	;=16			N=16			N=16		Ÿ.	N=4		7=X			17 11 22			-	
TAXA	<u>ц</u>	×	SE	iu)	.×.	SE SE	٤	' x !	SE	ا ا .سا	×.	SE	Eu.	X SE	دد. دار	×	ചാ	24	×	 	<i>ند</i>	;-:	ы П
<u>Paraleptophlebia</u> A O										1	ñ	m			N	(*)	5						
Siphlonuridae Isonychia A							-	m	m				44 -	228 188 35 16 3 33 3	444	436 80	297 35 1	4 -	164	109 1	440	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	123
Hemiptera Corixidae Imm. J A O	2 1 2	ц ^{с, ю}		<u>م</u> ب م	41 38 41	16 16 16								1	8				-	-	 →	-	' -
oboxata Coenagrionidae <u>Ischnura</u> A A			+	-	m	m																	
<u>Yehilennia</u> A O				-	5								-		, , ,	-	-	~ ~	~ -				
Corduliidae <u>Neurocordulia</u> J A													-						-	-		ł	
								m	m			+	-				-	-	-	-			
Libellulidae <u> </u>						+							m	m									
PLECOPTERA Plecoptera Imm. J A		1	+							-	m	m	-	5	2	m	5	ļ					

فرديديديكم

ő

				SEDIME	NTS (N	Tabl.	= 23 (r	Table 23 (continued) ./m2)	ued)			_		æ	ock si	IRFACE	s S	5 2 2 2	~				
	AC1		:	AC2	AC2	 ;	DF1	1	 	DF2	 		DFA			FB		DFB RVI	 	; ; !	R	RV 2 -	
	N=16			N=16			91=N			N=16	ç		7= N		x .	7=N		7=N	7		ž	7=V	
TAXA	Ē	SE	[14]	X	SE	[11]	'×:	SE	ш	ix!	SE SE	ш	×	SE	εų į	×	SE	E X	ļ	ы В	ЕX	i	SE
Perlidae <u>Acroneurla</u> J A													12	12 1	1 3	32 1	11	5	23 1	15	m	œ	4
Perlodidae <u>Isoperla</u> J A						<u> </u>									-	m		-	-	-			
TRICHOPTERA Hydropsychidae Imm. A						ļ				16	16 5	440	72 606	45 297		46 506 4	4 00 4 00		25 1 26		3 28 4 325	1	13
U Cheumatopsyche ^J O												~ ~ ~ ~ ~	13 13 13 13	26 8 11 12 17		1	3 45 8 45			12			2 4 7 4
Hvdropsyche J A						ļ			m	27	22	1 4 4 M	121 8 10	8 1 9	0 ~ 0	1	57 3 1	~ - ~		45	4		33
Potamvia J A O			ļ						ļ			440	109 386 80	61 224 63			72 254 1	4 177 3 9 4 22		131 3 8	4 59 4 382 4 20		26 258 12
Hydroptilidae <u>Hydroptila</u> J A															-	-		-	- m	m			ł
Ochrotrichia J A A										m	m	м ц м	4 2 16	1 2 7	4 5 1	1 2 91	1 1 44	3 1	10	4	4 2	2 1 27	
Leptoceridae J <u>Ceraclea</u> A A												٦ 	5	2			,				1 2	мч	2 1
I									-					Ť			1			Ĭ	(Sheet 6 of 9)	6 01	6

212220

(continued)

スピー

SEDIMENTS (No. 2.1 (continued)

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					-							1111.	; ; ;						MO - / m	_			
N°16 N°16 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>ACI</th><th></th><th></th><th>AC 2</th><th></th><th></th><th>DF1</th><th></th><th></th><th>DF2</th><th></th><th>DF/</th><th>_</th><th></th><th>DFB</th><th></th><th></th><th>I.</th><th></th><th>RV</th><th></th></th<>			ACI			AC 2			DF1			DF2		DF/	_		DFB			I.		RV	
F X SE F X SE <th></th> <th></th> <th>N=16</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>N≈16</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>N=16</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>N=16</th> <th></th> <th>7=N</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>7=N</th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th>7=</th> <th></th> <th>7 = N</th> <th></th>			N=16			N≈16			N=16			N=16		7=N			7=N		-	7=		7 = N	
Withdate 1<		ן ניי :	x	SE		X	ЗE	(L4 ¹⁾	:×:		ш						' X]	S E					SE
Oplate 1 3 3 11 6 2 7 5 4 10 4 14 15 1 1 3 3 11 6 2 7 5 4 10 4 14 15 1 1 3 3 3 11 6 2 7 5 4 10 4 14 10 2 4 11 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>m</td> <td>m</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>			m	m												 		-	1		-		1
13 1 3 1 5 5 4 1	lycentropidae <u>Neureclipsis</u> J A				_							- m		1	1	Ļ	1	5.5	4 4	10	+		0 4 5
J I J I	N-INSECTS									\uparrow			+	1	1	1-	1	;	7		+		
0 3 27 22 5 4 3 1 ae - - - - 2 4 3 1 - - - - - - - - 1 1 1 - - - - - 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td>e</td><td>m</td><td>٦</td><td>5</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>~</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		1	e	m	٦	5	2							1	1				~	1			
Ide 0 2 5 4 11 5 5 4 11 1 <td>∀ 0</td> <td>m</td> <td>27</td> <td>22</td> <td>2</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>m</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	∀ 0	m	27	22	2	5	4									2	4	m				1	1
lidae 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 3 2 13 9 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 3 2 13 9 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 3 2 13 9 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	lae	~	Ś	4	о т 4	5 11	4° N										-	-					
Ilidae 0 1 <td>idae 15</td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>~~~~</td> <td>0.4</td> <td></td> <td>~~~</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	idae 15												+		-	~~~~	0.4		~~~				
11 + + 1 +										-+			-†-				-					ļ	1
lidae Ja J J 3 A 1 3 O 1 3 Iidae J 3								. <u></u>						+			+ +		3	+			ı
	lidae 1a	-	~										<u> </u>			 		-				+	'
, А О 1 3		•	,	- †							1	ļ											
	listicolidae J A	-	ſ	r									 								+		
		-		2						1			-								-		

(continued)

Į

c

Ð

.

			ខ	9		5 7	35	18				1			of 9)
1	2	4		0 886	- 0	51 4		55 1			-		Ì		ю
1	RV2	7=V	E X	4 3040		2 1	4 102	4 5			+				(Sheet
•			sp 	1 2 1		55 94	59			2		4			
-;		4		9 291 3 22 1 1			86	2 661	• • •	m	m	4 -			
, E	RV1	7=N	E ×	2 359 2 359 1 1	-	4 69 3 106	4	4 882		5	Г				
(No.	DFB		SE	<u>ა</u> ო	3 S		2	6	-1 m 5	14		<u>σ</u> Γ	++	-1	
KFACES	'æ	4	ł	3331 2509 3 3	m m		164 137	596 559	1 16	15	10	6		1	
ik sur	•3 	7=2	н	2 333	~ 1		4 16	3 55		7 7	-	44		-1	
ROG			с ЗЕ	713 457 1		σ	27	6	N	-		(n)			ļ
	DFA	サーバ		1936 1713 611 457 1 1		10	73	17	2	г	ļ	m	-		
	IG	ž	с. Сц	6 1 1 1 3 1 3		~	4	е		-	ļ	I	-		Ì
			ы 0	4 19			~	19	т т Т	8 78 3		4	4 m	4	+
	DF2	N=16	1940 -	22			11	24	۱6 I6	11 162 3	m	Ś	5 m	ۍ ا	
	a	N		2 12			5	2	1	1 2 2	_	2	2	5	
nued			ينا 	•					т.		m		6		1
Tuble 23 (continued			SE	Ŷ		- m	, m	277		6 37		13			
ກີ. ອ	DF1	N=16	×	. co		ιŋ.	m	283	'n	6 49	m	19	14	œ	
			٤	, N		-	-	3	~	4	-	5	m	-	
	<u>}</u>		SE	******	145 6	3 36 36	158	144	24 4 3	89 27 5	ωm	14 14 14	16 16 28 134	იი იი ი	°
SEDIMENTS	AC 2	V =] 6	×.	1	11 205	425 140		476	62 S M	393 81 5	i io m I	22 16	51 538 538	ູ້ວິດ	0
5		•		,	n		1		1 m 8	11 1		40-	4 0 4 6	104	r
			بي) 	•			32								+
			म ७		9. 9	9.90 19.00	452	4 637		NGr	- 4	- 69 r		1	-
	ACI	N=16	×		16 49	11 302 253	2271	1041	5 5 178	95 315 5	ŝ	2"	1 n 0 4	0.0	
			ĹL.		- 14	m 2 0	16	1 4 1 4		12 13	~	4-	~ ~		
				· · • • •	- < 5	- 40		e o	540		- st St roo				5
			2A (A	iyDROLDA Hydridae <u>Hydru</u>	S EMATOL A	oLIGOCHAETA itanchiuta soverbyi	digitata	the line		L. Gerrix	claparedianus <u>.</u> A	L. hoffmensteri	ststeersts	i. profunctola	

(continued)

•

				بعا				4	\sim				4	4	4	
	•				•		•		-				+			ļ
				ы S			-	ъ.	44				59	52	76	
		ΚVΙ	† ≓ 2	×			2	3,	23				75	78	138	Ì
	m7.0			ដែរ			2	~	2				6	4	4	1
				ы сл	5		m	2 0	, ,			_	60	114	134	
110 6 5		DFB	7=N	×	5		9	2 00					11	163	308	
90 6 K	-			2.	-		m		-				m	m	4	
L.	·			ម្ល	; ,		4	m	-+				28	26	80	-
	-	DFA	7=V	×		I	60	m					53	54	17	
	•	-	7.	նու			. m	-					4	4	m	
_	*			63	!	Ì	Q,	0					62	393	177	
		UF 2	91=N	×.		ļ	60	σ						928		
~		-		t.			2	_	4				S	14		
ude d)	-			ند.					+					_		_
ronc l				SЕ		1	ŝ	4	•			ļ	73	296	1634	
6) F7	7-	1-1	N=16	×	; 	Ì	5	ŝ					129	430	1849	
Table 23 (concluded)				(Li		1	1	2					٢	6	15 1	
SEDIMENTS (N. 7m -)	 			л С	e	+	11	72	-+ *			-	36	1302	22	
NEMIO	1		ç		~	-			1							
SEL		ALZ	N = 16	×			24	231	Ď,				3385	9701	9093	
	•			ы.			6	10					11	16		
				ЭE	~	;	47	258	700	m		ţ	142	973	<u>564</u>	
	1.1.4	41.1	N=16	X	ś		150	1074 2414		ŝ			503	3590	3501	
				Į.					i					۰. م		
				-	- 4	: =	н Г	A 13		-	ę i	0		A 16	1 0	
				AXA	💷 <u>udekemianus</u>	Pirsina	osborni			"ubificidae +⇔s			∵ubificidae-cs			

N 4

დდ

1

SE

X

RV2 %≐4

5 26 91

12 49 103

4

ω

m

19

28 28

-

- -

- -

m 4 - -60 114 134 12 ----163 308 1 16 22 - m 4 - -26 26 8 1 22 54 17 30 1 -**4** M 7 7 ----393 477 **4** M 928 983 ч м 14 ~ ~ 296 1634 u m 430 1849 ഗന 9 - -1302 31 9701 9093 70 16 16 ഹഹ 973 564 Ξ " 3590 3501 **4** w A 16 0 15 ~ ~ n e c 7 40 c Elanorbidae Planorbula PELECYPODA Sohaeridae Sphaerium TRICLADIDA PULMONATA Physidae <u>Physa</u>

(Sheet 9 of 9) ~ Q

ω

N

12

22

m

21

21

-

- + 0

<u>े कधाव</u>

~ C

Flanariiche Dugesia

52 40

89 65

4 0

35

4

14

15

 \sim

53

110

- 4

-1 12





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST COMMIN

Lists of Taxa Sampled in the Four Habitats Whose Average

Densities Over Time and Locations Exceeded 100

Organisms Per Square Meter

TAXA

NUMBER PER SQUARE METRE

ABANDONED CHANNELS

Tubificidae-cs	4962
Dero digitata	1032
Pirsina osborni	752
Tanypus sp.	687
Chaoborus sp.	506
Coelotanypus sp.	304
Chironomus sp.	235
Branchiura sowerbyi	189
Limnodrilus cervix	149
Ceratopogonidae	135
Limnodrilus maumeensis	112

DIKE POOLS

Tubificidae-cs

736

DIKE SURFACES

Hydra sp.	980
Hydropsychidae Imm.	219
Stenonema sp.	214
Potamyia sp.	185
Dero digitata	141
Caenis sp.	132
Isonychia	130
Heptageniidae Imm.	112
Tubificidae-cs	111

REVETMENTS

Hydra sp.	567
Dero digitata	189
Stenonema sp.	124
Potamyia sp.	111
Isonychia	107

The Five Most Abundant Taxa Found at Each Location for Each

Monthly Sampling Period and Their Densities

in Organisms Per Square Metre

LOCATION	JUNE		AUGUST		OCTOBER	
	TAXA	DENSITY	TAXA	DENSITY	<u>raxa</u>	DENSITY
AC1	Dero digitata	2271	Tubificidae-cs	3590	Tubificidae-cs	3501
	Tubificidae-cs	503	Chaoborus sp.	1846	Pirsina osborni	2414
	Chironomus sp.	458	Dero digitata	1163	Dero digitata	1041
	Tanypus sp.	312	Pirsina osborni	1047	Chaoborus sp.	773
	Pirsina osborni	180	Tanypus sp.	697	Chironomus sp.	525
AC2	Tubificidae-cs	3385	Tubificidae-cs	9701	Tubificidae-cs	9093
	Tanypus sp.	1811	Tanypus sp.	1211	Coelotanypus sp.	1141
	Dero digitata	705	Branchiura sowerbyi	425	Limnodrilus maumeensis	
	Limnodrilus cervix	393	Dero digitata	536	Dero digitata	476
	Pirsına osborni	245	Coelotanypus sp.	291	Pirsina osborni	366
DF1	Tubificidae-cs	129	Tubificidae-cs	430	Tubificidae-cs	1849
	Polypedilum sp.	49	Limnodrilus cervix	49	Dero digitata	283
	Tanypus sp.	27	Robackia sp.	35	Robackia sp.	14
	Paracladopelma sp.	24	Hexagenia sp.	24	Ceratopogonidae	14
	Cryptochironomus sp.	19	Branchycercus sp.	14	Cryptochironomus sp.	11
DF2	Tubificidae-cs	97	Tubificidae-cs	928	Tubificidae-cs	983
	Hydropsyche sp.	27	Limnodrilus cervix	162	Dero digitata	24
	Cryptochironomus sp.	14	Robackia sp.	30	Pirsina osborni	19
	Dero digitata	11	Hydropsychidae Imm.	16	Ilyodrilus templetoni	16
	Limnodrilus cervix	11	Hydra sp.	22	Robackia sp.	8
DFA	Hydra sp.	1936	Hydra sp.	611	Dugesia sp.	110
	Isonychia	228	Caenis sp.	434	Tanytarsus sp.	41
	Stenonema sp.	206	Hydropsyche sp.	506	Thienemannimyla group	68
	Hydropsyche sp.	121	Potamyia sp.	386	Potamyia sp.	80
	Heptageniidae Imm.	111	Stenonema sp.	198	Hydropsychidae Imm.	56
DFB	Hydra sp.	3331	Hydropsychidae Imm.	506	Dero digitata	596
	Stenonema sp.	591	Potamyia sp.	405	Tubificidae-cs	308
	Isonychia	436	Caenis sp.	334	Stenonema sp.	109
	Heptageniidae Imm.	278	Tubificidae-cs	183	Ochrotrichia sp.	91 70
	Orthocladius sp.	242	Neureclipsis sp.	159	Nanocladius sp.	70
RV1	Hydra sp.	359	Caenis sp.	102	Dero digitata	882
	Orthocladius sp.	252	Tubificidae-cs	78	Stenonema sp.	157
	Heptageniidae 1mm.	206	Stenonema sp.	76	Tubificidae-cs	138
	Stenonema sp.	191	Branchiura sowerbyi	68	Branchiura sowerbyi	106
	Potamyia sp.	177	Hydropsychidae Imm.	26	Pirsina osborni	53
RV2	Hydra sp.	3040	Potamyia sp.	382	Tubificidae-cs	103
	Isonychia	459	Hydropsychidae Imm.	325	Dugesia sp.	85
	Heptageniidae Imm.	347	Caenis sp.	152	Stenonema sp.	70
	Orthocladius sp.	202	Dugesia sp.	89	Hydropsychidae Imm.	35 55
	Stenonema sp.	168	Neureclipsis sp.	71	Dero digitata	55

-1222222

Analysis of Variance Statistics for the Effects of Sampling

(7,72 d.f.) on Invertebrate Group Mean Densities

(Organsims per Square Metre) and Duncan's

Multiple Range Test of Significance.

Groups with the Same Letter are not

Significantly Different

MONTH	F	P	N	MEAN	LOCATION	GROUP
JUNE	9.09	0.0001	16	7214	AC2	A
			4	5848	DFB	А
			16	4176	AC1	AB
			4	4003	RV2	AB
			4	3476	DFA	ABC
			4	2070	RV1	BC
			16	328	DF1	С
			16	248	DF2	С
AUGUST	25.82	0.0001	16	13331	AC2	A
			16	9682	AC1	A
			4	2774	DFA	В
			4	2152	DFB	В
			4	1394	RV2	В
			16	1192	DF2	В
			16	613	DF1	В
			4	466	RV1	В
OCTOBER	11.76	0.0001	16	12624	AC2	A
			16	9585	AC1	Α
			16	2177	DF1	В
			4	1746	RV1	В
			4	1719	DFB	В
			16	1058	DF2	B
			4	691	RV2	В
			4	676	DFA	В

