

AD-A171 614

COPY



ti .

**COMPUTER VISION LABORATORY** 

**CENTER FOR AUTOMATION RESEARCH** 



CAR-TR-173 CS-TR-1601

DAAK70-83-K-0018 January 1986

#### SUBPIXEL REGISTRATION

Eliahu Wasserstrom\* Center for Automation Research University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742

#### ABSTRACT

A method of subpixel image registration is proposed that employes a model of the correlation surface in the vicinity of the registration point.



The support of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the U.S. Army Night Vision and Electro-Optics Laboratory under Contract DAAK70-83-K-0018 (DARPA Order 3206) is gratefully acknowledged, as is the help of Sandra German in preparing this paper.

\*Permanent Address: Dept. of Aeronautical Engineering, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, ISRAEL

6-9-+5-051

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

# 1. Introduction

Registration (or matching or correlation) is the process of determining the geometric transformation which is required in order to conform a small image with a large image; see [1]. The simplest transformation is pure translation, where the matching determines the translational offset of the small image in the large one. For each possible offset one defines an object function, whose extremum (maximum or minimum depending on the function) defines the desired matching. For this area (or template) correlation, in contrast to feature-space correlation, the object function, e.g., cross-correlation or sum of absolute differences, directly utilizes the pixel (gray level) values of the two images. The object function is defined only for a discrete grid of offsets between the two images, whereas the true offset of the registration may lie somewhere in between these grid points. If the geometric distortion between the two images is sufficiently small, subpixel accuracy in the registration can be achieved.

In order to achieve subpixel registration some form of interpolation must be used. A straightforward method is to fit some analytic surface to the object function samples in the vicinity of the extremum, and then evaluate analytically the location of the extremum of that surface; see [2, 3]. A similar method, applied by the Canadian Center for Remote Sensing, is to compute the registration point as a weighted sum of neighboring object functions; see [4], where other methods, such as Fourier techniques, are also mentioned.

The problem with these methods is that they do not employ a model of the true surface of the object function in the neighborhood of the registration point. The actual surface there can be non-analytic (cusped) and asymmetric with respect to the extremum point. In what follows we suggest that better subpixel accuracy in the registration can be achieved if a good model of the correlation surface is employed.

## 2. The Method

Our main idea, then, is to achieve subpixel accuracy by correlating a small grid of samples of the object function, in the neighborhood of the registration point, with a model of the correlation surface which incorporates its (possible) non-analytic and asymmetric nature. The steps for determining the subpixel registration point are as follows:

(1.) First, the approximate (pixel) registration point, P, is found by the usual method: The object function between the small image and the large image is calculated at the grid points. Then the point P where this function achieves its (global) extremal value is found.

(2.) Next, a model for the correlation surface around P is constructed as follows: A patch from the large image centered at P and of the size of the small image is created. Then the object function between the patch and the large image (the "auto-object function") is calculated on a small grid (say,  $5 \times 5$  or  $7 \times 7$ ) around P. The model surface is passed through these ponts and is approximated by bilinear or spline interpolation between them. Note that the extremum of the model surface is precisely at P. (3.) Finally, the subpixel registration point Q is found by correlating a small discrete sample (say  $3 \times 3$  or  $5 \times 5$  around P) of the object function calculated in (1), with the continuous model surface defined in (2). The mismatch between the grid and the surface defines the offset Q, the subpixel registration point, and P.

If there exists radiometric (gray level) distortion between the small and large images, then the correlations in (1) and (3) are carried out after normalization with respect to the mean and variance values.

## 3. An Example

In order to demonstrate the method and its performance, we solve below a simple one-dimensional example. The "exact" picture is assumed to be

$$f(x) = \sin(mx) \quad 0 \le x \le \pi \tag{1}$$

where m is some integer. The large image consists of N + 1 pixels centered at

$$x_i = i\hbar = i \frac{\Pi}{N}, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., N$$
 (2)

with gray levels

$$f_{i} = \int_{x_{i} - \frac{h}{2}}^{x_{i} + \frac{h}{2}} \sin(mx) dx = \frac{1}{m} \left[ \cos mh \left( i - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \cos mh \left( i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$$
(3)  
=  $h \sin(mx_{i}) \operatorname{sinc} \left( \frac{mh}{2} \right)$   
3

The small image is created by sampling the following continuous function:

$$g(x) = \sin (m + \delta m) (x + \delta x) + \epsilon(x)$$
  

$$\cong \sin (mx + m \ \delta x + x \ \delta m) + \epsilon(x)$$
(4)

where  $\delta m$  introduces a geometric distortion, and  $\delta x$  introduces a subpixel shift between the two images.  $\epsilon(x)$  is the noise. At the registration point,  $x = x_{reg}$ , we require that, without noise,  $f(x_{reg}) = g(x_{reg})$ , i.e.,  $x_{reg} \delta m + m \delta x = 0$  and therefore

$$g(x) = \sin (m + \delta m) (x - \frac{\delta m}{m} x_{reg}) + \epsilon(x)$$
(5)

The small image consists of  $2j_w + 1$  pixels centered at

$$x_j = (j_0 + \delta j + j)h$$
,  $j = -j_w, \dots, j_w$  (6)

where

$$x_{\rm reg} = (j_0 + \delta j) h \tag{7}$$

is the center of the small image. Hence, the gray levels of the pixels of the small image are

$$g_{j} = \int_{x_{j} - \frac{h}{2}}^{x_{j} + \frac{h}{2}} g\left(x\right) dx = -\frac{1}{m + \delta m} \cos\left(m + \delta m\right) \left(x - \frac{\delta m}{m} x_{\text{reg}}\right) \left| \begin{array}{c} x_{2} + \frac{h}{2} \\ x_{j} - \frac{h}{2} \end{array} \right|$$
$$= \frac{1}{m + \delta m} \left[ \cos\left(m + \delta m\right) \left(x_{j} - \frac{h}{2} - \frac{\delta m}{m} x_{\text{reg}}\right) - \cos\left(m + \delta m\right) \left(x_{j} + \frac{h}{2} - \frac{\delta m}{m} x_{\text{reg}}\right) \right] + \epsilon_{j}$$
(8)

Once the gray levels of the large  $(f_i)$ , and small  $(g_j)$  images are given, we can calculate the cross-object functions defined as follows:

$$h_{jj}^{(1)}(k) = \frac{1}{n_w} \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} |f_{k+j} - g_j||$$

$$h_{jg}^{(2)}(k) = \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} f_{k+j} |g_j| \left( \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} f_{k+j}^2 \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} g_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
(9)

where  $n_w = 2j_w + 1$ ,  $j_w < k < N - j_w$ .

Next we create the auto-object function as follows: Assume that the crossobject function defined in Eq. (9) assumes its global extremal value (minimum for  $h_{fg}^{(1)}$  and maximum for  $h_{fg}^{(2)}$ ) at the grid point k = k. Then the auto-object functions are defined as follows:

$$h_{ff}^{(1)}(k) = \frac{1}{n_w} \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} |f_{k+j} - f_{k^*+j}|$$

$$h_{ff}^{(2)}(k) = \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} f_{k+j} |f_{k^*+j}| \left( \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} f_{k+j}^2 \sum_{j=-j_w}^{j_w} f_{k^*+j} \right)^{1/2}$$
(10)

where  $n_w$  and the range of k are defined above, and the limits of summation are as in Eq. (9). Clearly  $h_{ff}$  assumes its extremal value precisely at  $k = k^*$ , where  $h_{ff}^{(1)}(k^*) = 0$  and  $h_{ff}^{(2)}(k^*) = 1$ .

The subpixel registration can now be found by the two methods described in Sections 1 and 2 above:

## Method 1

Select a set of grid points (say 3 or 5) around  $k = k^*$ , where  $h_{fg}$  assumes its global extremal value. Fit an analytic function (say, quadratic or quartic)

through these points. Finally, calculate analytically the point  $x = \xi_{reg}$  where the derivative of the analytic function vanishes. The point  $x = \xi_{reg}$  is the subpixel registration point, and the fractional error due to the first method is

$$\delta_1 = \frac{|\xi_{\text{reg}} - x_{\text{reg}}|}{h} \tag{11}$$

# Method 2

S.S.S.S.S.S.

Here the registration point is found by correlating the cross-object function  $h_{fg}(k)$ , Eq. (9), with the auto-object function  $h_{ff}(k)$ , Eq. (10), around the grid point  $k = k^*$ . We first continue the discrete function  $h_{ff}(k)$  to a continuous function of s whose origin is located at  $k = k^*$ , i.e.,

$$s = (k - k^{\uparrow}) h \tag{12}$$

where h is the pixel size defined in Eq. (2). The continuous function  $h_{ff}(s)$  coincides with  $h_{ff}(k)$  at he grid points, and is interpolated (say, linearly) between these points.

Next we construct a continuous object function H(s) between  $\hat{h}_{ff}(s)$  and  $h_{fg}(k)$  defined as follows:

$$H^{(1)}(s) = \frac{1}{n_{h}} \sum_{j=-j_{h}}^{j_{h}} |h_{fg}^{(1)}(k^{*} + j) - h^{(1)}(s + jh)||$$

$$H^{(2)}(s) = \sum_{j=-j_{h}}^{j_{h}} h_{fg}^{(2)}(k^{*} + j) \tilde{h}^{(2)}(s + jh)/D$$

$$D = \left\{ \sum_{j=-j_{h}}^{j_{h}} (h_{fg}^{(2)}(k^{*} + j))^{2} \sum_{j=-j_{h}}^{j_{h}} (\tilde{h}^{(2)}(s + jh))^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$$
(13)

where  $j_h = 1$  or 2, and  $n_j = 2j_h + 1$ .

The registration point is at  $s = s^*$  where H(s) assumes its extremal value (minimum for  $H^{(1)}(s^*)$  and maximum for  $H^{(2)}(s^*)$ ). Since H(s) is not given in closed form,  $s^*$  cannot be found analytically, but has to be evaluated numerically (say, by Newton's method). In the coordinate system of the large image, the subpixel registration point is at  $x = \eta_{reg}$ , where

$$\eta_{\rm reg} = k^* h - s^* \tag{14}$$

and the fractional error due to the second method is

$$\delta_2 = \frac{\mid \eta_{\text{reg}} - x_{\text{reg}} \mid}{h} \tag{15}$$

#### References

- A. Rosenfeld and A.C. Kak, Digital Picture Processing, Ch. 9, 2nd ed., Academic Press (1982).
- "ERSYS Registration Subsystem Level C Requirements", AgRISTARS Report SR-I1-00203, Contract NAS9-14350, JSC No. 17620, September 24, 1981.
- "ERSYS Registration Subsystem Detailed Design Specification", AgRIS-TARS Report SR-II-04154, Contract NAS9-14350, JSC No. 16946, September 25, 1981.
- R.H. Wolfe, Jr., and R.D. Juday, "Inter-Image-Matching": A Tutorial Presented to the Working Group on Image Registration and Rectification, November 18, 1981.

	DEDODT DOCI	MENTATION DAG
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE		APA
UNCLASSIFIED		1 N A

AD-	A171	6	14	
UMENTATION	AGE			-

	REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAG	E			
18. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS						
UNCLASSIFIED		N/A	N/A			
2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
IV/A		distribution unlimited				
26. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHED	in te		.on uniiili	leu		
A PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM	BER(S)	5. MONITORING OF	GANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(S)	1	
CAR-TR-173	CAR-TR-173					
CS-TR-1601		N/A				
6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
University of Maryland	(If applicable)	Army Night	Vision a	nd Electro	o-Optics	
University of Maryland	N/A	Laboratory				
6. AND RESS (CH - State and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City. State and 7.1P Code)				
Center for Automation Res	earch	Fort Belvo	Dir, VA	22060		
College park, MD 20742						
R. NAME OF FUNDING PROMEORING	AP OFFICE EXHIBIT	A PROCUREMENT	NSTRUMENT	NTIELCATION NU	MRER	
ANIZATION Defense Advance	a (If applicable)	S. PHOCOREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDI	ATTRICATION NO		
Research Projects Agency	TPTO	DAAK70-83-	K-0018			
Be ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUI	NDING NOS		1	
		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT	
1400 Wilson Blvd.		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
Arlington, VA 22209						
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)						
Subpixel Registration		1				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						
Eliahu Wasserstrom	OVERED	The DATE OF BEES	DT (V. H. D.	Trease		
The charles 1 135. TIME C	Ta DATE OF REPOR	100C	15. PAGE CO	JUNI		
16 SUPECTIONAL LIGTATION		January	1986	9		
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS	Continue on reverse if n	cessary and identif	y by block number)	1	
FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.		the second of th				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and	identify by block number	er)				
J						
A method of subpixel	. image regis	tration is p	proposed t	hat employ	ys	
a model of the correlatio	on surface in	the vicinit	y of the	registrat	ion	
point.						
1						
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRAC	ат. 	21. ABSTRACT SEC	JRITY CLASSIFIC	ATION		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🛛 SAME AS RPT. 🗆 DTIC USERS 🗆		UNCLASSIFIED				
228 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL						
		(Include Area Co	de)	ZZC. UPP C.I. SYME		
DD FORM 1473, 83 APR	EDITION OF 1 JAN 73	S OBSOLETE	UNCT	ACCTETED		
			_UNCL	ASSIFIED	N OF THIS BACK	
			JECONT	- CLASSIFICATIO	I OF THIS PAGE	