ND-RL	71 461 551F1E	SUF Ble GRO D	ERSON ED(U) IUND M	IC FLO ARNY J S	N OVER BALLIS AHU JU	CYLII TIC RE N 86 E	IDRICA SEARCI BRL-TR	AFTE 1 LAB -2742	RBOD I E ABERDE SB I - AD	S NITH EN PRO -F300 F/G a	1 BRSE 1 VING 793 28/4	1/ NL	1	<u>.</u>
			-	•	-						-			
					Bet									2
-														
		1								<u>.</u>				



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

HD + 3rc 793 (12 AD

AD-A171 461



US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND

TECHNICAL REPORT BRL-TR-2742

SUPERSONIC FLOW OVER CYLINDRICAL AFTERBODIES WITH BASE BLEED

Jubaraj Sahu

June 1986



86 7 28

153

DILC FILE COPV

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

		RE	PORT	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form OMB Exp (Approved No 0704-0188 Date Jun 30, 1986
a REPORT S	ECURITY CLASS	SIFICATION	1		16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		A	
a SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N AUTHO	RITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY O	F REPOP	रा	
b DECLASSIF	ICATION / DOV	VNGRADIN	IG SCHEDU	LE	Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.				
PERFORMIN		TION REPO	ORT NUMBE	R(S)	5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
Technic	al Report	BRL-T	R-2742						
	PERFORMING	ORGANIZA	ATION	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
Laboratory			SLCBR-LF						
c. ADDRESS	(City, State, an	d ZIP Code	e)		76 ADDRESS (Ci	ty, State, and ZIP	Code)		
berdeen l	Proving Gr	round,	Marylan	d 21005-5066					
A NAME OF	FUNDING / SPO	ONSORING		Bb OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFIC	ATION N	UMBER
ORGANIZA	ATION			(If applicable)					
c. ADDRESS (City, State, and	d ZIP Code	·····		10 SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBE	RS		
	,	,	-			PROJECT	TASK		WORK UNIT
					RDT&F	11161102	Δι	-43	
1 TITLE (Inci UPERSONI (2. PERSONAL	lude Security (C FLOW OVE LAUTHOR(S)	Classificatio	ndrical	AFTERBODIES WI	TH BASE BLEE	D			
UPERSONIC UPERSONIC 12 PERSONAL AHU, JUB/ 13a TYPE OF Final	LUDE Security C C FLOW OVE LAUTHOR(S) ARAJ REPORT	Classificatio	NDRICAL 35 TIME CO FROM	AFTERBODIES WI	TH BASE BLEE	D RT (Year, Month, 1986	Day)	15 PAGE	COUNT 32
UPERSONI(22 PERSONAL AHU, JUB/ 13a TYPE OF Final 16 SUPPLEME	LUDE Security C C FLOW OVE LAUTHOR(S) ARAJ REPORT ENTARY NOTA	Classificatio	NDR I CAL 36 TIME CI FROM	AFTERBODIES WI	TH BASE BLEE	D RT (Year, Month, 1986	Day)	15 PAGE	COUNT 32
UPERSONIC 2 PERSONAL AHU, JUB/ 3a TYPE OF Final 6 SUPPLEME	LAUTHOR(S) ARAJ REPORT ENTARY NOTA	Classification ER CYLI TION CODES	NDR I CAL	AFTERBODIES WI	TH BASE BLEE 14 DATE OF REPO June	DRT (Year, Month, 1986 se if necessary an	Day)	15 PAGE	COUNT 32 ck number)
1 TITLE (Inc. UPERSONAL 2 PERSONAL AHU, JUB/ 3a TYPE OF Final 6 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD	C FLOW OVE L AUTHOR(S) ARAJ REPORT ENTARY NOTA COSATI	Classificatio	NDRICAL 36 TIME CO FROM	AFTERBODIES WI	TH BASE BLEE	D RT (Year, Month, 1986 se if necessary an Base Bleed	Dəy) d identif	15 PAGE	COUNT 32 ck number)
UPERSONIC UPERSONAL AHU, JUB/ I3a TYPE OF Final I6 SUPPLEME I7 FIELD UI	C FLOW OVE LAUTHOR(S) ARAJ REPORT ENTARY NOTA COSATI GROUP	Classificatio	NDRICAL	AFTERBODIES WI OVERED TO TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS Base Flow Supersonic Flo Cylindrical Af	TH BASE BLEE 14 DATE OF REPC June (Continue on rever W terbodies	D DRT (Year, Month, 1986 se if necessary an Base Bleed Navier-Stol Base Drag	Day) didentif kes	15 PAGE	COUNT 32 ck number)
11 TITLE (Inc. SUPERSONAL SAHU, JUB/ 13a TYPE OF Final 16 SUPPLEME 17 FIELD UI 19 ABSTRACI apability and used to ave been bodies. If rise in ba njection	Iude Security C C FLOW OVE LAUTHOR(S) ARAJ REPORT ENTARY NOTA COSATI GROUP 01 01 (Continue on al afterboy to compu- to show th obtained Numerical ase pressu rates and	CODES SUB-C reverse if odies h ute the ne effe for a result ure or d compa	NDRICAL BB TIME CL FROM FROM SROUP ave bee base f ct of m project s show reducti rison w	AFTERBODIES WI OVERED TOTO Base Flow Supersonic Flo Cylindrical Af and identify by block n made using a low with base b bass injection o ile and a missi the effect of b on in base drag ith experimenta	TH BASE BLEE 14 DATE OF REPO June (Continue on rever W terbodies number) Compu thin-layer N leed at supe n the base p le configura ase bleed on has been cl l data shows	D DRT (Year, Month, 1986 se if necessary an Base Bleed Navier-Stol Base Drag tations of avier-Stokes rsonic speed ressure or l tion having the near way early predice generally of	<i>Day)</i> d (dentification kes s base ds has base of cylir ake fil cted fil good a	fy by blo sonic e flow s been drag. ndrica low fi for va agreem	flow over tw code. The developed Solutions l after- eld. The rious mass ent.
TITLE (Inc. UPERSONIC 2 PERSONAL AHU, JUB/ 3a TYPE OF Final 6 SUPPLEME 7 FIELD 01 9 ABSTRACI 2 ABSTRACI 9 ABST	IUDN / AVAILAB SIFIED/UNLIMIT F RESPONSIBIL	CODES TION CODES SUB-C SUB-C SUB-C SUB-C CODES SUB-C SUB	ABSTRACT SAME AS	AFTERBODIES WI	TH BASE BLEE 14 DATE OF REPC June (Continue on rever W terbodies number) Compu thin-layer N leed at supe n the base p le configura ase bleed on has been cl l data shows 21 ABSTRACT SE UNCLASSI 225 TELEPHONE	D DRT (Year, Month, 1986 Se if necessary an Base Bleed Navier-Stol Base Drag tations of avier-Stokes rsonic speed ressure or 1 tion having the near was early predic generally of Security CLASSIFIC FIED (Include Area Cod	Day) d identif kes supers s base ds has base of cylin ake fl cted f good a	ty by blo sonic e flow been drica low fi for va agreem	flow over tw code. The developed Solutions l after- eld. The rious mass ent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	v
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUE	3
III.	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	5
IV.	RESULTS	7
۷.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	9
	REFERENCES	21
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	23



ز به ب

Aceca	sion For	
i an s	63. A 1	V
0213	TAB	
- Ulann	$e_{\mathcal{U}}$	· · · .
J	11 0 111	بوبسم عرابي
Pv . Pv .		
h í A zat	•	· · · ·
	A.V.	
Dist		
A1		



Page

iii

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Schematic Illustration of Base Flow with Base Bleed	10
2	Base Pressure vs Mass Flow Rate of Injection	10
3	Adapted Grid in the Base Region, M_{∞} = 2.5	11
4	Velocity Vectors in the Base Region, $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = 0	12
5	Velocity Vectors in the Base Region, $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = .01	13
6	Velocity Vectors in the Base Region, M_{∞} = 2.5, α = 0, I = .02	14
7a	Longitudinal Pressure Distribution, $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = 0	15
7b	Longitudinal Pressure Distribution, $M_{\infty} \approx 2.5$, $\alpha \approx 0$, I = .01	16
7c	Longitudinal Pressure Distribution, $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = .02	17
8	Base Drag vs Mass Injection Parameter, $M_{\infty} = 1.7$, $\alpha = 0$	18
9	Base Drag vs Mass Injection Parameter, $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$	18
10	Adapted Grid in the Base Region for RARDE Case, $M_{\infty} = 1.88$, $\alpha = 0$	19
11	Base Pressure vs Mass Injection Parameter, $M_{\infty} = 1.88$, $\alpha = 0$	20
12	Base Pressure vs Mass Injection Parameter, M_{∞} = 2.48, α = 0	20

۷

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important aerodynamic performance characteristics for shell is the total drag. The total drag for projectiles can be divided into three components: (1) pressure drag (excluding the base), (2) viscous (skin friction) drag, and (3) base drag. The base drag is a major contributor to the total drag and can be as much as 50-60% of the total drag. It is, thus, important to minimize this part of the drag.

An effective means of reducing the base drag is by base bleed. In this method, a relatively small quantity of low velocity gas is injected into the dead air region immediately behind the base. This changes the structure of the flow field in the base region (See Figure 1) which results in an increase in the base pressure or reduction in the base drag. As mass flow rate of gas injected into the base region is increased from zero, the base pressure first increases (See Figure 2) until a maximum is reached. With further increase in mass injection, the base pressure falls to a minimum and then rises again. The 'base bleed' region is the first part of this curve up to the maximum which corresponds to very small rates of gas injection (of the order of a few percent).

The drag reduction due to base bleed at supersonic speeds is of practical importance. The concept of mass injection at the base has received considerable interest in the past and an excellent review is reported by Murthy et al.¹ As reported in Reference 1, there is a lack of detailed experimental measurements of the base flow phenomena with or without mass injection. Supersonic flow over cylindrical afterbodies with gas injection has been experimentally studied by Bowman and Clayden.² Their results showed the effect of mass injection on the base pressure for a range of Mach numbers between 1.5 For low Mach numbers (M \approx 1.5), an increase in base pressure was and 3.0. obtained with increasing mass flow rate of gas injection. For higher Mach numbers, the typical pattern of the effect of gas injection on the base pressure shown in Figure 2 was obtained. The effect of heating the injected gas results in a further but relatively small increase in base pressure or decrease in base drag.³ More recently, Schilling⁴ performed an experimental study to investigate base bleed effect on cylindrical and boattailed afterbodies where primary emphasis was to assess the effect of the tail fins on the

- 3. Clayden, W.A. and Bowman, J.E., "Cylindrical Afterbodies at $M_{\infty} = 2$ with Hot Gas Ejection," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 6, No. 12, December 1968, pp. 2429-2431.
- 4. Schilling, H. "Experimental Investigation on the Base-Bleed-Effect for Body-Tail-Combinations," Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Ballistics, Amsterdam, Holland, 1984.

^{1.} Murthy, S.N.B., (Ed.), Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics: Aerodynamics of Base Combustion," Vol. 40, AIAA, New York, 1976.

^{2.} Bowman, J.E. and Clayden, W.A., "Cylindrical Afterbodies in Supersonic Flow with Gas Ejection," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 5, No. 8, August 1967, pp. 1524-1525.

effectiveness of base bleed. These experiments showed a strong interaction between the fins and the base region flow and the negative effect it has on the base drag reduction. Questions regarding the difference between hot gas injection and cold gas injection, the effect of base bleed on stability characteristics, the effect of spin on the base bleed effect and base combustion still remain to be answered. Very few semi-empirical methods are available to predict the reduction in base drag due to base bleed. One such method has been developed by Hellgren⁵ in Sweden. An attempt has been made in this method to take into account the variation of burning conditions in the base bleed motor. Incertainty in the base drag coefficient and also in the effect of spin on the burning base bleed propellant exists in this method. application of sophisticated computational techniques to the practical base bleed problem is in its infancy. Limited computational work has been reported by Sullins et al⁶ which dealt with the numerical computation of base region flow with parallel gas injection using two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. Recently, a new numerical capability has been developed by Sahu et al⁷ to compute the base region flow using Azimuthal-Invariant thin-layer Navier-Stokes equations. Since the entire projectile flow field was computed, it was possible to obtain the total drag at transonic speeds. An attempt was also made to include the base injection at transonic speeds using crude base boundary conditions although the primary interest of the base bleed concept is in the supersonic speed regime.

This paper describes a computational investigation of the effect of centered base bleed on the base region flow field and on the base pressure at supersonic speeds using basically the same numerical procedure of Reference 7. A new interactive base bleed boundary condition procedure has been developed to determine the bleed exit boundary conditions. The resulting numerical capability is used to compute supersonic flow over two axisymmetric cylindrical afterbodies with gas injection and the computed results are compared to experiment. This is a first step towards obtaining a capability to predict the effect of base bleed (including combustion in the base region) on the aerodynamics of Army projectiles.

のためではないで、「ないないです」「ないないないです」「ないないないです」」というでは、1995年にあった。「ないないないです」」というないです。 「たいではないです」

^{5.} Hellgren, R.V., "Range Calculation for Base Bleed Propellants," Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Ballistics, Orlando, Florida, 1981.

^{6.} Sullins, G.A., Anderson, J.D., and Drummond, J.P., "Numerical Investigation of Supersonic Base Flow with Parallel Injection," AIAA Paper No. 82-1001, June 1982.

^{7.} Sahu, J., Nietubicz, C.J., and Steger, J.L., "Navier-Stokes Computations of Projectile Base Flow with and without Base Injection," US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, ARBRL-TR-02532, November 1983. (AD A135738) (Also see AIAA Journal, Vol. 23, No. 9, September 1985, pp. 1348-1355)

II. COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUE

The Azimuthal Invariant (or Generalized Axisymmetric) thin-layer Navier-Stokes equations for general spatial coordinates ξ , n, ζ can be written as:⁸

 $\partial_{\tau}\hat{q} + \partial_{\xi}\hat{E} + \partial_{\zeta}\hat{G} + \hat{H} = Re^{-1}\partial_{\zeta}\hat{S}$ (1)

where

 $\xi = \xi(x,y,z,t)$ is the longitudinal coordinate n = n(y,z,t) is the circumferential coordinate $\zeta = \zeta(x,y,z,t)$ is the near normal coordinate

 τ = t is the time

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{J}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ \rho v \\ \rho w \\ e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{J}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \rho U \\ \rho u U + \xi_{\mathbf{x}} p \\ \rho v U + \xi_{\mathbf{y}} p \\ \rho w U + \xi_{\mathbf{z}} p \\ (e+p)U - \xi_{\mathbf{t}} p \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{G}} = \mathbf{J}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \rho W \\ \rho u W + \zeta_{\mathbf{x}} p \\ \rho w W + \zeta_{\mathbf{y}} p \\ \rho w W + \zeta_{\mathbf{z}} p \\ (e+p)W - \zeta_{\mathbf{t}} p \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\hat{H} = J^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \rho V[R_{\xi}(U-\xi_{t}) + R_{\zeta}(W-\zeta_{t})] \\ -\rho VR(V-n_{t}) - p/R) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

^{8.} Nietubicz, C.J., Pulliam, T.H., and Steger, J.L., "Numerical Solution of the Azimuthal-Invariant Navier-Stokes Equations," US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, ARBLR-TR-02227, March 1980. (AD A085716) (Also see AIAA Journal, Vol. 18, No. 12, December 1980, pp. 1411-1412)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mu(\zeta_{x}^{2} + \zeta_{y}^{2} + \zeta_{z}^{2})u_{\zeta} + (\mu/3)(\zeta_{x}u_{\zeta} + \zeta_{y}v_{\zeta} + \zeta_{z}w_{\zeta})\zeta_{x} \\ \mu(\zeta_{x}^{2} + \zeta_{y}^{2} + \zeta_{z}^{2})v_{\zeta} + (\mu/3)(\zeta_{x}u_{\zeta} + \zeta_{y}v_{\zeta} + \zeta_{z}w_{\zeta})\zeta_{y} \\ \mu(\zeta_{x}^{2} + \zeta_{y}^{2} + \zeta_{z}^{2})w_{\zeta} + (\mu/3)(\zeta_{x}u_{\zeta} + \zeta_{y}v_{\zeta} + \zeta_{z}w_{\zeta})\zeta_{z} \\ \{(\zeta_{x}^{2} + \zeta_{y}^{2} + \zeta_{z}^{2})[(\mu/2)(u^{2} + v^{2} + w^{2})_{\zeta} + \kappa Pr^{-1}(\gamma-1)^{-1}(a^{2})_{\zeta}] \\ + (\mu/3)(\zeta_{x}u + \zeta_{y}v + \zeta_{z}w)(\zeta_{x}y_{\zeta} + \zeta_{y}v_{\zeta} + \zeta_{z}w_{\zeta})\}$$

The velocities

S =

$$U = \xi_{t} + \xi_{x}u + \xi_{y}v + \xi_{z}w$$

$$V = n_{t} + n_{x}u + n_{y}v + n_{z}w$$

$$W = \zeta_{t} + \zeta_{x}u + \zeta_{y}v + \zeta_{z}w$$
(2)

represent the contravariant velocity components.

The Cartesian velocity components (u, v, w) are nondimensionalized with respect to a_{∞} (free stream speed of sound). The density (ρ) is referenced to ρ_{∞} and total energy (e) to $\rho_{\infty}a_{\infty}^2$. The local pressure is determined using the equation of state,

$$P = (Y - 1)[e - 0.5\rho(u^{2} + V^{2} + w^{2})]$$
(3)

where γ is the ratio of specific heats.

In Equation (1), axisymmetric flow assumptions have been made which result in the source term, \hat{H} . The details of how this is obtained can be found in Reference (8) and are not discussed here. Equation (1) contains only two spatial derivatives. However, it retains all three momentum equations and allows a degree of generality over the standard axisymmetric equations. In particular, the circumferential velocity is not assumed to be zero thus allowing computations for spinning projectiles to be accomplished. This is especially important to study the effect of spin on the base bleed effect.

The numerical algorithm used is the Beam-Warming fully implicit, approximately factored finite difference scheme. The algorithm can be first or second order accurate in time and second or fourth order accurate in space. Since the interest is only in the steady-state solution, Equation (1) is solved in an asymptotic fashion and first order accurate time differencing is used. The spatial accuracy is fourth order. Details of the algorithm are included in References 9-11.

To suppress high frequency components that appear in regions containing severe pressure gradients e.g., shocks or stagnation points, artificial dissipation terms are added. In the present application, a switching dissipation model is used which is a blend of second and fourth order dissipation terms. This is similiar to the model used by Pulliam¹² and uses a fourth order dissipation in smooth regions and switches to a second order dissipation in regions containing high pressure or density gradients. Incorporation of this dissipation model has resulted in the improvement of the quality of the results and has made the code more robust.

The numerical code used computes the full flow field over a projectile or a missile including the base region using a unique flow field segmentation procedure. An important advantage of this procedure lies in the preservation of the sharp corner at the base. The details of these can be found in Reference 7. For the computation of turbulent base flows, the two-layer algebraic Baldwin-Lomax turbulence model¹³ is used. Higher order two-equation or more sophisticated turbulence models need to be considered for such flows in future.

III. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The no slip boundary condition for viscous flow is enforced by setting the contravariant velocities to zero i.e., U = V = W = 0 on the body surface. Inviscid boundary conditions are used at the base for the case of no gas injection. The pressure on the body surface is obtained by solving a combined momentum equation and density is extrapolated. First order extrapolation is used for both pressure and density at the base. Along the computational cut, the flow variables above and below are averaged to determine the conditions on

- 9. Steger, J.L., "Implicit Finite Difference Simulation of Flow About Arbitrary Geometries with Application to Airfoils," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 16, No. 4, July 1978, pp. 679-686.
- 10. Pulliam, T.H. and Steger, J.L., "On Implicit Finite-Difference Simulations of Three-Dimensional Flow," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 18, No. 2, February 1980. pp. 159-167.
- 11. Beam, R. and Warming, R.F., "An Implicit Factored Scheme for the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations," AIAA Paper No. 77-645, June 1977.
- 12. Pulliam, T.H., "Artificial Dissipation Models for the Euler Equations," AIAA Paper No. 85-0438, January 1985.
- 13. Baldwin, B.S. and Lomax, H., "Thin-Layer Approximation and Algebraic Model for Separated Turbulent Flows," AIAA Paper No. 78-257, 1978.

the cut. A symmetry boundary condition is imposed on the centerline of the wake region with first order extrapolation for density and pressure. Free stream conditions are imposed on the outer boundary while extrapolated values of the variables are used at the downstream boundary.

For the base bleed case, boundary conditions along the base where gas is injected need to be modified. Here, a small amount of mass is injected into the near wake behind the center part of the base. The amount of gas injection is usually defined in terms of a mass injection parameter,

$$I = \frac{m_j}{\rho_{\infty} u_{\infty} A_b}$$

where \bar{m}_j is the mass flow at the bleed exit and A_b is the area at the base. At the bleed exit, the flow is subsonic and three quantities need to be specified. Total temperature is used at the exit along with an extrapolated value of static pressure. The static pressure at the exit is set equal to local pressure which is a characteristic of subsonic flows. Such extrapolated static pressure boundary conditions have been used in other numerical computations.¹⁴ ¹⁵ In addition, the value of total pressure at the exit is needed to define all the boundary conditions. This is determined iteratively to satisfy the required mass injection parameter in the following manner. Knowing the total temperature (To_j) and mass injection parameter (I),

- (a) assume total pressure, Po_i
- (b) obtain P_j by extrapolation
- (c) calculate Mach number, M_j using $Po_j/P_j = (1 + .2M_j^2)^{3\cdot 5}$
- (d) compute f_i using $To_i/T_i = 1 + .2M_i^2$
- (e) obtain the velocity $u_j = M_j a_j = M_j \sqrt{\gamma RT_j}$
- (f) obtain the density ρ_i from the equation state, $P_j = \rho_i RT_i$
- (g) compute I = $\rho_i u_i A_i / \rho_{\infty} u_{\infty} A_b$

^{14.} Shrewsbury, G.D., "Analysis of Circulation Control Airfoils Using an Implicit Navier-Stokes Solver," AIAA Paper NO. 85-0171, January 1985.

^{15.} Brummond, J.P., "Numerical Study of a Ramjet Dump Combustor Flow Field," AIAA Paper No. 83-0421, January 1983.

If the value of this I is not the desired value, then we go back to step (a) and continue the iteration process. This iteration procedure is repeated at each time step. The total pressure and the total temperature are assumed to be constant across the bleed exit.

IV. RESULTS

Computations of the flow field for two cylindrical afterbodies with base bleed have been made at supersonic speed and at zero angle of attack. The first set of computed results correspond in part to the experimental investigation on the base bleed effect by Schilling⁴ whereas the second set of results are compared to the experimental measurements by Bowman et al² at the Royal Armament and Development Establishment (RARDE), England.

The experimental investigation by Schilling⁴ was conducted at the Trisonic Wind Tunnel at the Deutsche Forschungs- und Versuchsanstalt für Luftund Raumfahrt e. V. Köln (DFVLR) in West Germany for a missile configuration. A computational grid for this case showing the cylindrical afterbody region is shown in Figure 3. The full grid consisted of 156 points in the streamwise direction and 60 points in the normal direction. The grid has been adapted to the free shear layer as the solutions developed. The same grid was used for calculations with and without base bleed. The minimum grid spacing near the wall and in the free shear layer is .00002 caliber. Numerical computations were made at $M_m = 1.7$ and 2.5 at zero angle of attack.

Figure 4 shows the velocity vectors in the base region for the case of no gas injection whereas Figures 5 and 6 show the velocity vectors in the base region with gas injection for $M_{\infty} = 2.5$. In Figure 4, the recirculatory flow

field in the near wake is clearly evident. The flow expands at the base and the reattachment point is about .75 caliber downstream of the base. The effect of gas injection at the base is shown in Figures 5 and 6 for mass injection rates I = .01 and .02, respectively. As shown in Figure 5 for I = .01, the separation bubble (for the no bleed case) has been displaced downstream and the size of the separation bubble has also been reduced. The flow field in the near wake has changed considerably. There are two stagnation points, X/D \approx 15 and the other at X/D \approx 15.4. This is a typical flow field one at pattern with base bleed at a low injection rate. As I is increased to .02. more mass is injected into the near wake and strongly affects the base region As seen in Figure 6, the separation bubble that was displaced downflow. stream somewhat at I = .01 has now been eliminated and the beginning of a small separation region near the base is seen. For this injection rate, the Mach number at the bleed exit approaches sonic value. Increasing the mass injection rate further gives Mach number unity at the bleed exit and results in the separation region in the near wake which resembles that of a jet effect.

Figure 7 shows the pressure distribution as a function of the longitudinal position over the cylindrical afterbody and along the upper shear layer in the wake region. The strong expansion at the base corner and the recompression waves downstream of the base corner are clearly seen for the zero base bleed case (I = 0). The pressure distribution for the base bleed case is presented in this figure for I = .01 and I = .02. As I increased to .01 from zero, the expansions at the base and the recompression downstream of the base are both weakened. Increasing I further has the effect of weakening the waves even more.

The effect of mass injection on the base pressure and hence on base drag is of primary interest. Figure 8 shows the base drag as a function of the mass injection parameter for $M_{\infty} = 1.7$ and $\alpha = 0$. I = 0 corresponds to the case

of no base bleed. As I is increased, the base pressure increases in this case and thus, base drag is reduced. This is true for both I = .01 and I = .02. The computed base drag is compared to the experimental data and as can be seen, the computed results are overpredicted by about 15%. The trend of base drag reduction seen experimentally however, has been clearly predicted by the computation. Additionally, the Mach number at the bleed exit is less than unity for all the mass injection rates considered here. The results for M_{∞} =

2.5 is shown in Figure 9. Here the computed base drag is compared to experiment and excellent agreement is obtained for the zero bleed condition (I = 0). As the mass flow rate is increased to .01, base pressure increases and base drag is reduced. Comparison between the computation and the experiment again indicates 10-15% disagreement. The Mach number at the bleed exit (M_j) is

approaching unity. As I is increased further to .02, M_{j} has reached the sonic

condition and stays sonic as I is increased further. Here the trend reverses and a small increase in base drag is found. For I = .025, the computed base drag is in good agreement with the experiment.

Supersonic flow for another cylindrical afterbody with base bleed has been computed. Experimental measurements for this case have been made by Bowman et al² at RARDE, England. The model configuration was a projectile consisting of a two caliber ogive nose and a five caliber cylindrical afterbody. The bleed exit diameter was equal to 0.4 base diameter. Computations for this case have been made at two supersonic speeds. $M_{\infty} = 1.88$ and $M_{\infty} = 2.48$ at zero angle of attack. The computational grid used in this case for $M_{\infty} = 1.88$ is shown in Figure 10. The grid is adapted to the free shear layer in the wake and clustering of grid points is made near the base bleed exit. The number of grid points in the streamwise direction is 130 and 40 points are used in the normal direction. The qualitative results obtained in the base region with base bleed are similar to that discussed earlier. The effect of gas injection on the base pressure is discussed next.

Figures 11 and 12 show the ratio of base pressure to free stream static pressure as a function of the mass injection parameter, I for $M_{\infty} = 1.88$ and 2.48, respectively. As shown in Figure 11 for $M_{\infty} = 1.88$, base pressure increases as I is increased from zero. The agreement between the computed result and the experiment is very good. As I = .02 is approached, the base pressure ceases to rise according to the experimental data. Figure 12 shows the base pressure with and without base bleed between the computation and the experiment. As I is increased from zero to .01, both experiment and the computation show an increase in the base pressure and reasonably good agreement is obtained. Further increase in I clearly shows a large decrease in the base pressure as is seen in the base pressure although the trend is in

agreement with the experimental data. Also, the flow was assumed to be subsonic at the bleed exit in the computation; however, it is not clear what the exit condition was in the experiment. The comparison of the results for this case must be viewed in that light.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

A computational study has been made for base region flow over two cylindrical afterbodies with base bleed at supersonic speeds. An iterative boundary condition procedure was developed for the base bleed effect and was used to show the effect of base bleed on base pressure or base drag. The thinlayer form of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations was solved using a time-dependent implicit numerical algorithm. Numerical results show the qualitative effect of the mass injection on the near wake flow field. The expansions at the base and the recompression downstream of the base are weakened by the gas injection. For low supersonic Mach number \simeq 1.75, base pressure rises smoothly as injected mass flow rate is increased. For the higher Mach number \simeq 2.5, increase in base pressure or decrease in base drag is obtained at lower mass flow rates (I = .01). Further computational investigation is needed to include the effect of hot gas injection at the base. Future work will be directed towards obtaining a predictive capability to compute projectile base region flow with combustion for practical applications.



Figure 1. Schematic Illustration of Base Flow with Base Bleed



Figure 2. Base Pressure vs Mass Flow Rate of Injection



Figure 3. Adapted Grid in the Base Region, $\rm M_{\rm so}$ = 2.5



で、シュート・シート

AND RECEIPTING PROPERTY REPORTS REPORTS FOR

Figure 4. Velocity Vectors in the Base Region $M_{m} = 2.5$, $\alpha = D_{s} I = 0$



Figure 5. Velocity Vectors in the Base Region $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = .01



Figure 6. Velocity Vectors in the Base Region $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = .02





the test and a test of the second



K S A A A

1222220

AND PERSONAL PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY PROPERTY

Figure 7b. Longitudinal Pressure Distribution, $M_{\infty} = 2.5$, $\alpha = 0$, I = .01













The Difference of the State of



A SALAN SALA PARAMAN

1222-22

PERSONAL AND DESCRIPTION









Figure 12. Base Pressure vs Mass Injection Parameter, M_{∞} = 2.48, α = 0

REFERENCES

- 1. Murthy, S.N.B., (Ed.), "Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics: Aerodynamics of Base Combustion," Vol. 40, AIAA, New York, 1976.
- Bowman, J.E. and Clayden, W.A., "Cylindrical Afterbodies in Supersonic Flow with Gas Ejection," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 5, No. 8, August 1967, pp. 1524-1525.
- 3. Clayden, W.A. and Bowman, J.E. "Cylindrical Afterbodies at $M_{\infty} = 2$ with Hot Gas Ejection," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 6, No. 12, December 1968, pp. 2429-2431.
- 4. Schilling, H., "Experimental Investigation on the Base-Bleed-Effect for Body-Tail-Combinations," Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Ballistics, Amsterdam, Holland, 1984.
- 5. Hellgren, R.V., "Range Calculation for Base bleed Propellants," Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Ballistics, Orlando, Florida, 1981.
- 6. Sullins, G.A., Anderson, J.D., and Drummond, J.P., "Numerical Investigation of Supersonic Base Flow with Parallel Injection," AIAA Paper No. 82-1001, June 1982.
- 7. Sahu, J., Nietubicz, C.J., and Steger, J.L., "Navier-Stokes Computations of Projectile Base Flow with and without Base Injection," US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, ARBRL-TR-02532, November 1983. (AD A135738) (Also see <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 23, No. 9, September 1985, pjp. 1348-1355)
- Nietubicz, C.J., Pulliam, T.H., and Steger, J.L., "Numerical Solution of the Azimuthal-Invariant Navier-Stokes Equations," US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, ARBRL-TR-02227, March 1980. (AD A085716) (Also see <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 18, No. 12, December 1980, pp. 1411-1412)
- 9. Steger, J.L., "Implicit Finite Difference Simulation of Flow About Arbitrary Geometries with Application to Airfoils," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 16, No. 4, July 1978, pp. 679-686.
- Pulliam, T.H. and Steger, J.L., "On Implicit Finite-Difference Simulations of Three-Dimensional Flow," <u>AIAA Journal</u>, Vol. 18, No. 2, February 1980, pp. 159-167.
- 11. Beam, R. and Warming, R.F., "An Implicit Factored Scheme for the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations," AIAA Paper No. 77-645, June 1977.
- 12. Pulliam, T.H., "Artificial Dissipation Models for the Euler Equations," AIAA Paper No. 85-0438, January 1985.

REFERENCES (Continued)

- 13. Baldwin, B.S. and Lomax, H., "Thin-Layer Approximation and Algebraic Model for Separated Turbulent Flows," AIAA Paper No. 78-257, 1978.
- 14. Shrewsbury, G.D., "Analysis of Circulation Control Airfoils Using an Implicit Navier-Stokes Solver," AIAA Paper No. 85-0171, January 1985.
- 15. Drummond, J.P., "Numerical Study of a Ramjet Dump Combustor Flow Field," AIAA Paper No. 83-0421, January 1983.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies Organization

- 12 Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
 - 1 HQDA DAMA-ART-M Washington, DC 20310
 - 1 Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
 - 6 Commander Armament RD&E Center US Army AMCCOM ATTN: SMCAR-TDC SMCAR-TSS SMCAR-LCA-F Mr. D. Mertz Mr. A. Loeb Mr. H. Hudgins Mr. E. Friedman Dover, NJ 07801-5001
- 1 Commander US Army Armament, Munitions & and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L Rock Island, IL 61299
- 1 Director Benet Weapons Laboratory Armament RD&E Center US Army AMCCOM ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189
- 1 Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: AMSAV-E 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, M0 63120

No. of Copies Or

ies <u>Organization</u>

- 1 Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Command Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 1 Commander US Army Communications -Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-ED Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
- 1 Commander ERADCOM Technical Library ATTN: DELSD-L (Reports Section) Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301
- 3 Commander US Army Missile Command Research, Development & Engineering Center ATTN: AMSMI-RD Dr. B. Walker Mr. R. Deep Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 1 Director US Army Missile & Space Intelligence Center ATTN: AIAMS-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 1 Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL Warren, MI 48397-5500
- 1 Director US Army TR/DOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002
- 1 Commander US Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies Organization

No. of

Copies Organization

- 1 Commander US Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-604 Washington, D. C. 20360
- 2 Commander US Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. T. Clare, Code DK20 Dr. F. Moore Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000
- 1 Commander US Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. U. Jettmar Silver Spring, MD 20902-5000
- 1 Commander US Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 3431, Tech Lib China Lake, CA 93555
- 1 Commander US Army Development and Employment Agency ATTN: MODE-TED-SAB Fort Lewis, WA 98433
- 1 Director NASA Langley Research Center ATTN: NS-185, Tech Lib Langley Station Hampton, VA 23365
- 4 Director NASA Ames Research Center ATTN: MS-202-1, Dr. T. Pulliam Dr. J. Steger MS-227-8, Dr. L. Schiff Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 2 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905
- 1 AFWL/SUL Kirtland AFB, NM 87117

- 1 Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLODL Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
- 2 Director Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Division No. 1331, Dr. F. Blottner P.O. Box 580 Albuguergue, NM 87184
- 1 AEDC Calspan Field Services ATTN: MS 600 (Dr. John Benek) AAFS, TN 37389
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University ATTN: Dr. Clark H. Lewis Department of Aerospace & Ocean Engineering Blacksburg, VA 24061
- University of California, Davis
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 ATTN: Prof. H.A. Dwyer Davis, CA 95616
- Pennsylvania State University Department of Aerospace Engineering ATTN: Dr. G. S. Dulikravich University Park, PA 16802
- University of Florida Dept. of Engineering Sciences College of Engineering ATTN: Prof. C. C. Hsu Gainesville, FL 32611
- 1 University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering ATTN: Prof. W. L. Chow Urbana, IL 61801

S. S. Caller & March Sameras

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

Organization

- 10 Central Intelligence Agency Office of Central Reference Dissemination Branch Room GE-47 HQS Washington, DC 20502
- University of Maryland Department of Aerospace Engr. ATTN: Dr. J. D. Anderson, Jr. College Park, MD 20742
- University of Notre Dame Department of Aeronautical and Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Prof. T. J. Mueller Notre Dame, IN 46556
- 1 University of Texas Department of Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics ATTN: Dr. D. S. Dolling Austin, Texas 78712-1055

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen

Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-TO-F

Cdr, CRDC, AMCCOM ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A SMCCR-MU SMCCR-SPS-IL

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Report Number_____ Date of Report_____

2. Date Report Received

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)_____

4. How specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)_____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

Name

CURRENT ADDRESS Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

	Name				
OLD ADDRESS	Organization				
	Address				

City, State, Zip

(Remove this sheet along the perforation, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

<u> </u>			
2			
د. د			
	FOLD HERE		
Director			NO POSTACE
U.S. Army Ballistic Research	Laboratory		NECESSARY
			IF MAILED
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T			TN THE
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	21005-5066	• •• •• •	IN THE UNITED STATES
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	21005-5066		IN THE UNITED STATES
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$200	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC	IN THE UNITED STATES
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPS	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC	IN THE UNITED STATES
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPS	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC	IN THE UNITED STATES
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPA	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC	IN THE UNITED STATES
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300 Direct	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPS	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC ARTMENT OF THE ARMY	
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300 Direct U.S. A	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPA FORTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPA	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC RTMENT OF THE ARMY	
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$300 Direct U.S. A ATTN: Aberde	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPA TO TAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPA SLCBR-DD-T SLCBR-DD-T Seen Proving Ground, MI	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC ARTMENT OF THE ARMY Laboratory 21005-9989	
ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE. \$200 Direct U.S. A ATTN: Aberde	21005-5066 BUSINESS REF FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 120 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEFA TORY Ballistic Research SLCBR-DD-T een Proving Ground, MD	PLY MAIL D62 WASHINGTON, DC RTMENT OF THE ARMY Laboratory 21005-9989	

FOLD HERE

ĥ

