



12.2.2.2.2.1

and the second

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-86-K-0043

TECHNICAL REPORT No. 11

Multiplicative Stochastic Processes Involving the Time-Derivative of a Markov Process

by

Henk F. Arnoldus and Thomas F. George

Prepared for Publication

in

649

AD-A170

فستشتر فالمشارك

Journal of Mathematical Physics

Departments of Chemistry and Physics State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14260



July 1986

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

ITTLE FILE COPY

	PACE	•	·· <i>A</i> / <u></u>	#17/	01.	49	
					í (i)		
		REPORT DOCUME	NTATION PAGE				
Unclassified	TION		16. RESTRICTIVE MA	ARKINGS			
24. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AU	THORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/A	VAILABILITY	F REPO	DAT	
Th DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA			Approved for	r public r	eleas	se; dist	ribut nlimi
	28. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE						
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(\$)					
UBUFFALO/DC/86	5/TR-11						
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGAN Depts. Chemistry & Ph State University of N	IZATION IVSICS	<pre>bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)</pre>	78. NAME OF MONIT	ORING ORGAN	IZATIO)N	
6c ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Cod	de)	1	76. ADDRESS (City, S	itate and ZIP Con	de j		
Fronczak Hall, Amhers	st Campus	5	Chemistry	Program			
Buffalo, New York 142	260		800 N. Qui Arlington	ncy Street Virginia	t 2221	7	
B. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORIA	NG	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT I	STRUMENT ID	ENTIFI	CATION NU	MBEA
Office of Naval Resear	rch	(IT applicable)	Con	tract NOO	014-8	6-K-0043	3
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Co	de i		10 SOURCE OF FUN	DING NOS.			
Chemistry Program	` .		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.		TASK NO.	wor
Arlington, Virginia 22	2217	_ .					
Multiplicative Sto	chastic	Processes Involv	ing the Time-C	Derivative	of a	Markov	Proc
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	Henk F	. Arnoldus and T	homas F. Georg	e			
13 TYPE OF REPORT	136. TIME C	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPOR	T (Yr., Mo., Dey	· 1	15. PAGE CO	DUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	FROM	fo					+
Departured for public	ication	in Journal of Ma	thomatical Phy	veice			
		TH SUBJECT TERMS (C			tifu hu h	lock number	
FIELD GROUP SU	B GA.	STOCHASTIC PRO	CESSES		RIVAT RESPO		
		MARKOV PROCESS		PHASE-L	OCKED	RADIAT	ION
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse)	f necessary an	d identify by block number	**				
	functio	nal of the deriv	ative $\dot{\phi}(t)$ of	a Markov	proce	ess ¢(t)	and
The characteristic				1	iffer	rential	equat
The characteristic the related multiplic	ative pr	ocess ơ(t), which	obeys the sto	ochastic d		jinal ch	aract
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \dot{\phi}(t)B)\sigma($	ative pr t), have	ocess ʊ(t), which been studied.	obeys the sto Exact equation	ns for the	marg		
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma($ istic functional and	ative pr t), have the marg	ocess ơ(t), which been studied. inal average of	obeys the state $Exact$ equation $\sigma(t)$ are derived	ocnastic d ns for the ved. The	marg first	t equation	on is
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma($ istic functional and applied to obtain a se	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq	ocess ơ(t), which been studied. inal average of uations for the	obeys the standard beys the state equation $\sigma(t)$ are derivitized marginal moment	ochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$	marg first) in	t equation terms o	on is f the
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma($ istic functional and applied to obtain a so prescribed properties	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of ¢(t)	ocess o(t), which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr	b obeys the standard equation $\sigma(t)$ are derivon marginal momentated by an example.	ocnastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how	marg first) in these	t equation terms o e equation	on is f the ons
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma($ istic functional and applied to obtain a supprescribed properties can be solved, and it	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of ø(t) is show	ocess o(t), which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha	obeys the state $f(t)$ beys the state equation $\sigma(t)$ are derived an equation marginal momentated by an exact $\phi(t)$ is delived by the state $f(t)$ of the state $\phi(t)$ of the state $\phi(t$	ocnastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla	marg first) in these ted,	t equation terms o e equation with a	on is f the ons smoot
The characteristic the related multiplication $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma(t)$ istic functional and applied to obtain a supprescribed properties can be solved, and it background. The equa	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of ø(t) is show tion of	ocess $\sigma(t)$, which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha motion for the m	obeys the state $\sigma(t)$ are derived and $\sigma(t)$ are derived and $\sigma(t)$ and $\sigma($	bochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla ge of $\sigma(t)$	marg first) in these ted, is s	t equation terms on e equation with a solved f	on is f the ons smoot or va
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma($ istic functional and applied to obtain a so prescribed properties can be solved, and it background. The equa cases, and it is show	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of ¢(t) is show tion of n how cl	ocess o(t), which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha motion for the m osed-form analvt	obeys the state Exact equation $\sigma(t)$ are derive marginal moment ated by an exact t $\phi(t)$ is deleted marginal average cical expression	bochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla ge of $\sigma(t)$ ons for th	marg first) in these ted, is s e ave	t equation terms on e equation with a solved f erage <o< td=""><td>on is f the ons smoot or va (t)></td></o<>	on is f the ons smoot or va (t)>
The characteristic the related multiplication $(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma(t)$ istic functional and applied to obtain a supplied to obtain a supplied to obtain a supprescribed properties can be solved, and it background. The equa cases, and it is show	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of $\phi(t)$ is show tion of n how cl	ocess $\sigma(t)$, which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha motion for the m osed-form analyt	obeys the state equation $\sigma(t)$ are derived an example of the state o	bochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla ge of $\sigma(t)$ ons for th	marg first) in these ted, is s e ave	t equations of equ	on is f the ons smoot or va (t)> btair
The characteristic the related multiplic $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma($ istic functional and applied to obtain a suprescribed properties can be solved, and it background. The equa cases, and it is show 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED Ξ S/	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of $\phi(t)$ is show tion of n how cl OF ABSTRA	ocess $\sigma(t)$, which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha motion for the m osed-form analyt ct SC otic USERS []	obeys the sto Exact equation $\sigma(t)$ are derive marginal momenta ated by an exact $\phi(t)$ is delive arginal average cical expression 21 ABSTRACT SECU	bochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla ge of $\sigma(t)$ ons for th URITY CLASSIF ed	marg first) in these ted, is s e ave	terms o e equation with a solved f erage <o be o</o 	on is f the ons smoot or va (t)> btair
The characteristic the related multiplication $i\sigma(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma(t)$ istic functional and applied to obtain a supplied to obtain a supplied to obtain a supprescribed properties can be solved, and it background. The equa cases, and it is show 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED \mathbb{Z} supplies the support of the su	ative pr t), have the marg et of eq of $\phi(t)$ is show tion of n how cl OF ABSTRA	ocess $\sigma(t)$, which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha motion for the m osed-form analyt ct Stotic USERS []	obeys the sto Exact equation $\sigma(t)$ are derive marginal momentated by an exact ated by an exact at $\phi(t)$ is delive arginal average cical expression 21 ABSTRACT SECU Unclassifie	bochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla ge of $\sigma(t)$ ons for th URITY CLASSIF ed	marg first) in these ted, is s e ave 'CATIO	terms o e equations with a solved f erage <o be o</o 	on is f the ons smoot or va (t)> btair Bot
The characteristic the related multiplication $(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma(t)$ istic functional and applied to obtain a supprescribed properties can be solved, and it background. The equa cases, and it is show 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED S SA 222. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIX Dr. David 1	ative protection of the marg et of eq of $\phi(t)$ is show tion of n how cl of ABSTRA AME AS APT VIOUAL Nelson	ocess $\sigma(t)$, which been studied. inal average of uations for the . It is illustr n in general tha motion for the m osed-form analyt ct Stotic USERS []	obeys the sto Exact equation σ(t) are derive marginal moment ated by an exact t Φ(t) is del arginal average cical expression 21 ABSTRACT SECU Unclassifie (Include Area Co (202) 696-4	bochastic d ns for the ved. The nts of $\phi(t)$ ample how ta-correla ge of $\sigma(t)$ ons for th UNITY CLASSIF ed	marg first) in these ted, is s e ave 'CATIO	terms o e equation with a solved f erage <o be o</o 	on is f the ons smoot or va (t)> btair BOL

Journal of Mathematical Physics, in press

MULTIPLICATIVE STOCHASTIC PROCESSES INVOLVING THE TIME-DERIVATIVE OF A MARKOV PROCESS

Henk F. Arnoldus and Thomas F. George Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry 239 Fronczak Hall State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14260

ABSTRACT

The characteristic functional of the derivative $\phi(t)$ of a Markov process $\phi(t)$ and the related multiplicative process $\dot{\phi}(t)$, which obeys the stochastic differential equation $i\dot{\phi}(t) = (A + \phi(t)B)\dot{\phi}(t)$, have been studied. Exact equations for the marginal characteristic functional and the marginal average of $\sigma(t)$ are derived. The first equation is applied to obtain a set of equations for the marginal moments of $\dot{\phi}(t)$ in terms of the prescribed properties of $\phi(t)$. It is illustrated by an example how these equations can be solved, and it is shown in general that $\dot{\phi}(t)$ is delta-correlated, with a smooth background. The equation of motion for the marginal average of $\sigma(t)$ is solved for various cases, and it is shown how closed-form analytical expresssions for the average $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ can be obtained.

Accesio	n For				
NTIS DTIC	CRA&I TAB	5			
Urianno Justific	bun <mark>ced</mark> ation				
By Dist ibi	ution /				
Avaitability Codes					
Dist	Avail a Spe	eial			
A-1					

PACS: 2.50, 5.40

The equation of motion for the density operator of an atom in a finitebandwidth laser field or the equation for the regression of the atomic dipole correlations assumes the general form^{1,2}

$$i \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = (A + \phi(t)B)\sigma$$
, (1.1)

where A and B are linear operators in Liouville space, which act on the Liouville vector $\sigma(t)$. Here $\phi(t)$ represents the laser phase, which is considered to be a real-valued stochastic process. The fluctutating phase broadens the laser line, but the atom responds to the instantaneous frequency shift $\phi(t)$, which is the time-derivative of the laser phase.³ The process $\phi(t)$ is again a stochastic process, and via Eq. (1.1) the state of the atom or the correlation functions $\sigma(t)$ become stochastic quantities. The issue in quantum optics is then to solve the multiplicative stochastic differential equation (1.1) for the average $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$. The first solution was obtained by Fox, 4 who assumed the process $\phi(t)$ to be Gaussian white noise, which corresponds to a diffusive Gaussian phase $\phi(t)$ (the Wiener-Lévy process). This result was generalized to a Gaussian process $\phi(t)$ with a finite correlation time and an exponentially decaying correlation function 5-7 (the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process), and to a process $\phi(t)$, which is again diffusive, but not Gaussian^{8,9} (the independent-increment process).</sup> Furthermore, Eq. (1.1) can be solved for $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ if we have $\phi(t)$ as a Markov random-jump process, which models a multimode laser. 14,15

In these examples the solvability of the problem relies on the Gaussian property of $\phi(t)$, or hinges on the prescribed stochastics of $\phi(t)$. This implies that the process $\phi(t)$ is actually considered to be the driving

process. For a single-mode laser in general, however, the phase fluctuations $\phi(t)$ are specified rather than the derivative $\dot{\phi}(t)$ of this process. A prime example would be the atomic response to phase-locked radiation, ¹⁶ as it is generated for instance by some ring lasers.¹⁷ In this paper we shall develop a general method to solve Eq. (1.1) for the case that $\phi(t)$ is a given Markov process. The formal theory will be exemplified by a specific choice for $\phi(t)$, which models phase-locked radiation. Furthermore, we shall study the time-derivative of $\phi(t)$ itself and extract the stochastics of $\dot{\phi}(t)$ from the properties of $\phi(t)$.

II. THE STOCHASTICS OF $\phi(t)$

Let us define the phase $\phi(t)$ as a homogeneous Markov process.¹⁸ Then its stoch stics is fixed by the probability distribution $P(\phi,t)$ and the conditional probability distribution $P_{\tau}(\phi_2|\phi_1)$ ($\tau \ge 0$), which has the significance of the probability density for the occurrence of $\phi(t+\tau) = \phi_2$ if $\phi(t) = \phi_1$. For a homogeneous process this is independent of t by definition. The higher-order statistics is now determined by the Markov property.¹⁹ From the obvious relation

$$\int d\phi' P_{t-t_0}(\phi|\phi')P(\phi',t_0) = P(\phi,t), \quad t \ge t_0$$
(2.1)

it follows that it is sufficient to prescribe the probability distribution $P(\phi,t)$ for a single time-point t_0 only. The time-evolution towards $t > t_0$ can then be found from Eq. (2.1) and $P_{t-t_0}(\phi | \phi')$.

The conditional probability distribution obeys the Master equation¹⁸

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} P_{\tau}(\phi_3 | \phi_1) = \int d\phi_2 \{ W(\phi_3 | \phi_2) - a(\phi_2) \delta(\phi_3 - \phi_2) \} P_{\tau}(\phi_2 | \phi_1)$$
(2.2)

with $W(\phi'|\phi) \ge 0$ as the transition rate of the process from ϕ to ϕ' and

$$\mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\phi}' \ W(\boldsymbol{\phi}' | \boldsymbol{\phi}) \ , \tag{2.3}$$

which is the loss-rate of ϕ , independent of the final value ϕ' . The initial condition for Eq. (2.2) reads

$$P_{0}(\phi_{3}|\phi_{1}) = \delta(\phi_{3}-\phi_{1}) , \qquad (2.4)$$

so a given $W(\phi^{\dagger}|\phi)$ determines $P_{\tau}(\phi_{3}|\phi_{1})$ for every $\tau \ge 0$. Hence the stochastics of a homogeneous Markov process $\phi(t)$ is fixed as soon as $P(\phi, t_{0})$ and $W(\phi^{\dagger}|\phi)$ are prescribed. These functions will from now on be assumed to be given.

III. THE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONAL

STATES RELATES STATES SAMA

A convenient way to represent the stochastic properties of a stochastic process is by means of its characteristic functional.^{9,20} Since we are concerned with the process $\phi(t)$, we define

$$Z_{t}[k] = \langle \exp(-i \int_{0}^{t} ds \phi(s)k(s)) \rangle , \quad t \ge t_{0} , \quad (3.1)$$

which is a functional of the test function k(t). Here the angle brackets denote an average over the stochastic process $\phi(t)$ or $\dot{\phi}(t)$, whatever is prescribed. A general method to evaluate $Z_t[k]$ for the case where $\dot{\phi}(t)$ is a homogeneous Markov process has been given by van Kampen.²¹

Knowledge of the characteristic functional $Z_t[k]$ determines completely the stochastics of $\phi(t)$, which can be seen as follows. Choose k(s) as the sequence of δ -functions

$$k(s) = -\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \delta(s-t_{\ell})k_{\ell}, \quad t_{\ell} > t_{0}, \quad (3.2)$$

and take $t = \infty$ in (3.1). Then we find

$$Z_{\infty}[k] = \langle \exp(ik_{n}\phi(t_{n}) + \ldots + ik_{1}\phi(t_{1})) \rangle , \qquad (3.3)$$

which is the moment-generating function of $\phi(t)$. If we write $z_n(k_n, t_n; \dots; k_1, t_1)$ for $Z_{\infty}[k]$, then we can obtain the moments of $\psi(t) \equiv \phi(t)$ according to

$$\langle \psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle = (-i)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial k_n} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} z_n(k_n, t_n; \dots; k_1, t_1) | k_n = \dots = k_1 = 0$$

(3.4)

and the probability distributions by

$$\overline{P}_{n}(\psi_{n},t_{n}; \dots;\psi_{1},t_{1}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n}} \int dk_{n} \dots dk_{1}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi_{n}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} \psi_{1}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} \psi_{1} \psi_{1}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}^{n}} \psi_{1}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N$$

(3.5)

where we have introduced P in order to distinguish from the probability distributions for $\phi(t)$ itself.

IV. THE MARGINAL AVERAGE

A. GENERAL

The exponential in Eq. (3.1) is a functional of both k(t) and $\phi(t)$, so it depends on the values of $\dot{\phi}(t)$ in the complete interval $[t_0,t]$. After the average has been taken it will be only a functional of k(t). The general attempt to evaluate averages of a functional is to derive an equation for the average. For subsequently solving this equation for functionals which involve Markov processes, this scheme is most conveniently carried out by an intermediate introduction of Burshtein's marginal averages.²² Since in our problem the stochastics of $\phi(t)$ is assumed to be given, the appropriate marginal characteristic functional, which is related to $Z_t[k]$, should be defined as

$$Q_{t}[\phi_{0},k] = \langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_{0}) \exp(-i \int_{t_{0}}^{t} ds \phi(s)k(s)) \rangle , \qquad (4.1)$$

for $t \ge t_0$. The initial value is then

CARE PERSONA SAMANAN SAMANAN SAMANAN SAMANAN KANAGAM

and the second

$$Q_{t_0}[\phi_0, k] = \langle \delta(\phi(t_0) - \phi_0) \rangle = P(\phi_0, t_0) , \qquad (4.2)$$

and $Z_t[k]$ follows from $Q_t[\phi_0,k]$ according to

$$Z_{t}[k] = \int d\phi_{0} Q_{t}[\phi_{0},k] \qquad (4.3)$$

For t = t₀ we find with Eq. (4.2) $Z_{t_0}[k] = \int d\phi_0 P(\phi_0, t_0) = 1$, in agreement with Eq. (3.1).

In order to derive an equation for the time-evolution of $Q_t[\phi_0,k]$, we first increase t by a small amount $\Delta t > 0$. This gives

$$Q_{t+\Delta t}[\phi_{0},k] = \langle \delta(\phi(t+\Delta t)-\phi_{0}) \exp\{-i(\phi(t+\Delta t)-\phi(t))k(t)\}$$

$$+ \exp(-i\int_{0}^{t} ds \phi(s)k(s)) \rangle .$$

Subsequently, we expand the exponential functional of $\phi(s)$ in a series, and we take the average in (4.4) term by term. Hereafter, we apply the Master equation (2.2) for $P_{t+\Delta t}(\phi|\phi_0)$ and take the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. This yields an equation for the marginal average, and explicitly we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_{t}[\phi_{0}, \mathbf{k}] = \int d\phi \{ W(\phi_{0} | \phi) - \mathbf{a}(\phi) \delta(\phi_{0} - \phi) \}$$

$$-i(\phi_{0} - \phi) \mathbf{k}(t)$$

$$\times \mathbf{e} \qquad Q_{t}[\phi, \mathbf{k}] \qquad (4.5)$$

The Markov process $\phi(t)$ is characterized by $P(\phi_0, t_0)$ and $W(\phi_0|\phi)$, which respectively determine the initial value and the time-evolution of $Q_t[\phi_0, k]$.

For a specific choice of $W(\phi_0 | \phi)$, we have to solve Eq. (4.5), after which the characteristic functional $Z_{+}[k]$ can be obtained from Eq. (4.3).

Notice the resemblance between the result (4.5) and the Master equation (2.2). If we multiply Eq. (2.2) by $P(\phi_1, t_0)$, take $\tau = t - t_0$ and apply the relation (2.1), we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(\phi_0, t) = \int d\phi \{ W(\phi_0 | \phi) - a(\phi) \delta(\phi_0 - \phi) \} P(\phi, t) , \qquad (4.6)$$

which is the Master equation for $P(\phi_0, t)$. This equation is identical to Eq. (4.5), including the initial condition (4.2), if we set $k(t) \equiv 0$. On the other hand, it follows from Eq. (4.1) that $Q_t[\phi_0, k] = \langle \delta(\phi(t) - \phi_0) \rangle = P(\phi_0, t)$ if we take k(t) = 0, so that in this case Eq. (4.5) should indeed reduce to Eq. (4.6).

B. INDEPENDENT INCREMENTS

In order to display the usefulness and applicability of the marginalfunctional approach, we consider an example. Let us specify the transition rate by

$$W(\phi_0|\phi) = \gamma W(\phi_0 - \phi) , \qquad \gamma > 0 , \qquad (4.7)$$

where the function $w(\eta)$ is normalized as

$$\int d\eta w(\eta) = 1$$
 (4.8)

The stochastic process $\phi(t)$ will be defined on the real axis, with $-\infty < \phi < \infty$. The assertion (4.7) states that the probability for a transition $\phi \neq \phi_0$ depends only on the phase difference $\phi_0 = \phi$, and from Eq. (2.3) we find that $a(\phi) = \gamma$, so that the total loss-rate for ϕ is independent of ϕ . This is a diffusion process, and it is commonly referred to as the independentincrement process. As an initial condition for the probability

distribution, we take

$$P(\phi, t_0) = \delta(\phi) \quad . \tag{4.9}$$

Comparison of the Master equations for $P_{\tau}(\phi|\phi^{\dagger})$ and $P(\phi,t)$ then shows that the probability distribution and the conditional probability distribution are related according to

$$P_{t-t_0}(\phi | \phi_0) = P(\phi - \phi_0, t) .$$
 (4.10)

The Master equation (4.6) for $P(\phi,t)$ can be solved by Fourier transformation with respect to ϕ . If we write

$$\hat{P}(\rho,t) = \langle e^{i\rho\phi(t)} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi \ e^{i\rho\phi} P(\phi,t) , \qquad (4.11)$$

which has $\hat{P}(\rho, t_0) = 1$ as the initial condition, then the solution of Eq. (4.6) is immediately seen to be

$$\gamma(\hat{w}(\rho)-1)(t-t_0)$$

 $\hat{P}(\rho,t) = e$, $t \ge t_0$, (4.12)

in terms of the Fourier transform $\hat{w}(\rho)$ of $w(\phi)$. Note that $\hat{w}(0) = 1$, as a result of the normalization (4.7). Along the very same lines we can solve Eq. (4.5) for the Fourier transform $\hat{Q}_{t}[\rho,k]$. We obtain

$$\hat{Q}_{t}[\rho,k] = \exp(-\gamma \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi \ (1-e^{i\phi(\rho-k(s))})w(\phi)) , \qquad (4.13)$$

after which the characteristic functional follows from

$$Z_{+}[k] = \hat{Q}_{+}[0,k]$$
, (4.14)

which yields the familiar result $.^8$

V. THE MARGINAL MOMENTS

A. GENERAL

If we take k(s) as the sequence of delta functions (3.2) in the definition (4.1) of the marginal characteristic functional, it assumes the form

$$Q_{t}[\phi_{0},k] = \langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_{0})exp(i\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}k_{\ell}\psi(t_{\ell})\Theta(t-t_{\ell}))\rangle, \qquad (5.1)$$

with $\psi(t) = \dot{\phi}(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ the unit-step function. Just as we can find the moments $\langle \psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle$ of $\psi(t)$ from $Z_{\infty}[k]$, we can obtain the marginal moments $\langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)\psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle$ from $Q_t[\phi_0,k]$. Obviously the integral over ϕ_0 of the marginal moments yields the moments. The characteristic functional $Z_t[k]$ becomes independent of t if $t > t_2$ for all ℓ , but $Q_t[\phi_0,k]$ remains time-dependent. This is due to the appearance of $\delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)$. Furthermore, the time t is a dynamical variable in Eq. (4.5), so that care should be exercised in the time-ordering. The marginal moments follow from $Q_t[\phi_0,k]$ by differentiation, according to

$$\langle \delta(\phi(t) - \phi_0)\psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle \otimes (t - t_n) \dots \otimes (t - t_1) = (-i)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial k_n} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} Q_t [\phi_0, k] |_{k_n} = \dots = k_1 = 0^{\circ} (5.2)$$

Equation (4.5) for $Q_t[\phi_0, k]$ implies an equation for the marginal moments. First, we note that

$$\exp\{-i(\phi_0 - \phi)k(t)\}Q_t[\phi, k] = \\ \langle \delta(\phi(t) - \phi)\exp(i\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} k_{\ell}\{(\phi_0 - \phi)\delta(t - t_{\ell}) + \psi(t_{\ell})\Theta(t - t_{\ell})\}) \rangle .$$
 (5.3)

After substituting this expression in the right-hand side of Eq. (4.5), differentiating with respect to k_n , ..., k_1 , setting $k_n = \ldots = k_1 = 0$ and integrating over time, we obtain

$$\langle \delta(\phi(t) - \phi_0) \psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle \Theta(t - t_n) \dots \Theta(t - t_1) = \int d\phi \{ W(\phi_0 | \phi) - a(\phi) \delta(\phi_0 - \phi) \}$$

$$x \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \langle \delta(\phi(t') - \phi) \{ (\phi_0 - \phi) \delta(t' - t_n) + \psi(t_n) \Theta(t' - t_n) \}$$

$$\dots \{ (\phi_0 - \phi) \delta(t' - t_1) + \psi(t_1) \Theta(t' - t_1) \} \rangle .$$

$$(5.4)$$

When we set $t > t_{\ell}$ for all ℓ , we have a Master-like equation for $\langle \delta(\phi(t) - \phi_0) \psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle$, and the lower-order marginal moments $\langle \delta(\phi(t) - \phi_0) \psi(t_m) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle$ with m < n appear as inhomogenous terms. Hence, Eq. (5.4) should be solved successively for n = 1, n = 2, ... We note that Eq. (5.4) provides an explicit expression for $\langle \psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle$ in terms of the lower-order marginal moments after an integration over ϕ_0 . Indeed, from the property

$$\int d\phi_0 \{ W(\phi_0 | \phi) - a(\phi) \delta(\phi_0 - \phi) \} = 0 , \qquad (5.5)$$

the term with $\langle \delta(\phi(t')-\phi)\psi(t_n) \dots \psi(t_1) \rangle$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (5.4) vanishes after an integration over ϕ_0 .

B. LOWEST ORDERS

Ŀ

In order to exhibit clearly the structure of the equation for the marginal moments, we consider the cases n = 1 and n = 2 in some more detail. After a slight rearrangement, Eq. (5.4) for n = 1 can be written as

$$\langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)\psi(t_1)\rangle = \int d\phi \ \{W(\phi_0|\phi) - a(\phi)\delta(\phi_0-\phi)\}$$

$$\times \ \{(\phi_0-\phi)P(\phi,t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{t} dt' < \delta(\phi(t')-\phi)\psi(t_1)\rangle\},$$
(5.6)

for $t \ge t_1$. This integral equation in time is equivalent to the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} < \delta(\phi(t) - \phi_0) \psi(t_1) > = \int d\phi \{ W(\phi_0 | \phi) - a(\phi) \delta(\phi_0 - \phi) \}$$

$$\times < \delta(\phi(t) - \phi) \psi(t_1) > , \qquad (5.7)$$

together with the initial condition

スレイビレルショ

f

7

$$\langle \delta(\phi(t_1)-\phi_0)\psi(t_1)\rangle = \int d\phi \ \{W(\phi_0|\phi) - a(\phi)\delta(\phi_0-\phi)\}(\phi_0-\phi)P(\phi,t_1) \quad . \quad (5.8)$$

The equation for the first marginal average $\langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)\psi(t_1) \rangle$ is identical to the Master equation (2.2), but with a different initial value.

Integration of (5.8) over ϕ_{Ω} yields

$$\langle \psi(t_1) \rangle = \int d\phi \int d\phi_0 \ W(\phi_0 | \phi)(\phi_0 - \phi) P(\phi, t_1) , \qquad (5.9)$$

which expresses explicitly the average of $\langle \psi(t_1) \rangle$ in the given functions $W(\phi_0 | \phi)$ and $P(\phi, t_1)$. With the aid of the Master equation, we can cast (5.9) in the form

$$\langle \psi(t_1) \rangle = \int d\phi \ \phi \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} P(\phi, t_1)$$
$$= \frac{d}{dt_1} \langle \phi(t_1) \rangle , \qquad (5.10)$$

as it should be.

The solution of Eq. (5.6) for $\langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)\psi(t_1) \rangle$ provides the input for the explicit expression for the two-time correlation function, which becomes

$$\langle \psi(t_{2})\psi(t_{1}) \rangle = \int d\phi \int d\phi_{0} \{ W(\phi_{0} | \phi) - a(\phi)\delta(\phi_{0} - \phi) \} \\ \times \{ (\phi_{0} - \phi)^{2}\delta(t_{2} - t_{1})P(\phi, t_{2}) \\ + (\phi_{0} - \phi)(\langle \delta(\phi(t_{2}) - \phi)\psi(t_{1}) \rangle \Theta(t_{2} - t_{1}) \\ + \langle \delta(\phi(t_{1}) - \phi)\psi(t_{2}) \rangle \Theta(t_{1} - t_{2}) \} \} .$$
 (5.11)

C. RANDOM JUMPS

Equation (5.11) for instance might seem awkward, but it is really straightforward in its application. Let us illustrate this with an example. Consider the random-jump process $\phi(t)$, defined as a stationary process with transition rate

$$W(\phi | \phi') = \gamma P(\phi) , \gamma > 0 , \qquad (5.12)$$

in terms of an arbitrary probability distribution $P(\phi)$. Eq. (5.12) is equivalent to the statement that the probability for a transition $\phi' \rightarrow \phi$ is independent of the initial value ${\phi'}^{13}$. From Eq. (5.9) we immediately derive

$$\langle \psi(t_1) \rangle = 0$$
, (5.13)

which is, in view of (5.10), necessary for a stationary process. From (2.3) we obtain $a(\phi) = \gamma$, and the solution of Eq. (5.7), with initial value (5.8), is readily found to be

$$<\delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)\psi(t_1)> = \gamma P(\phi_0)(\phi_0-b_1) e$$
, $t \ge t_1$. (5.14)

Here we have introduced the moments of $P(\phi)$ as

$$b_{n} = \int d\phi \ \phi^{n} P(\phi) \quad , \qquad (5.15)$$

which are parameters of the process $\phi(t)$. Solution (5.14) can be substituted into Eq. (5.11), which gives the correlation function

$$\langle \psi(t_1)\psi(t_2) \rangle = \gamma(b_2 - b_1^2) \{ 2\delta(t_1 - t_2) - \gamma e^{-\gamma t_2 - t_1} \}$$
, (5.16)

for all t_1 , t_2 . From (5.15) it follows that

$$b_2 - b_1^2 \ge 0$$
, (5.17)

so that for $t_1 \neq t_2$ the correlation (5.16) is negative. For $t_1 = t_2$ the δ -function dominates the negative term, so that $\langle \psi(t_1)^2 \rangle$ is positive, as it should be.

VI. THE MULTIPLICATIVE PROCESS

しょうがいがくがら

So far we have considered the stochastics of $\phi(t)$ itself, and its characteristic functional. In this section we shall generalize the method, in order to solve the multiplicative equation (1.1). To this end we write the formal solution of (1.1) for the stochastic vector $\sigma(t)$ as

$$\sigma(t) = e \qquad T \exp[-i \int_{0}^{t} ds \phi(s)\tilde{B}(s)]\sigma(t_{0}) , \qquad (6.1)$$

where T is the time-ordering operator and $\tilde{B}(t)$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{\tilde{B}}(t) = \mathbf{e} \qquad \mathbf{B} \mathbf{e} \qquad . \tag{6.2}$$

In close analogy to the definition of $Q_t[\phi_0,k]$ in Eq. (4.1), we now introduce the marginal average of $\sigma(t)$ by

$$\zeta(\phi_{0},t) = \langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_{0})\sigma(t) \rangle . \qquad (6.3)$$

Then we substitute the expression (6.1) for $\sigma(t)$ and replace t by t + Δt , which gives a similar formula as Eq. (4.4). That this can also be done for the time-ordered exponential is sometimes referred to as the semi-group property of the evolution operator. Along the same lines that led to Eq. (4.5) we now find

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \zeta(\phi_0, t) = A\zeta(\phi_0, t)$$

+ $i\int d\phi \{W(\phi_0 | \phi) - a(\phi)\delta(\phi_0 - \phi)\}e^{-i(\phi_0 - \phi)B} \zeta(\phi, t) , \qquad (6.4)$

or equivalently

CARAMAN DISTING AND STATES DISTING STRATES

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - A + ia(\phi_0)) \zeta(\phi_0, t) = i \int d\phi W(\phi_0 | \phi) e^{-i(\phi_0 - \phi)B} \zeta(\phi, t) . \quad (6.5)$$

Notice that the operator B appears in the exponential, rather than $\tilde{B}(t)$, as could be expected by analogy with the characteristic functional. For a given stochastic process $\phi(t)$, e.g., a given $W(\phi|\phi')$ and $P(\phi,t_0)$, we have to solve Eq. (6.4) with the initial condition

$$\zeta(\phi_0, t_0) = \langle \delta(\phi(t_0) - \phi_0) \sigma(t_0) \rangle , \qquad (6.6)$$

after which <o(t)> follows from

$$\langle \sigma(t) \rangle = \int d\phi_0 \zeta(\phi_0, t) \quad . \tag{6.7}$$

For a given non-stochastic state $\sigma(t_0)$, the initial condition reduces to

$$\zeta(\phi_0, t_0) = P(\phi_0, t_0) \sigma(t_0) , \qquad (6.8)$$

which differs from (6.6) by the fact that there are no initial correlations. This means that the process $\sigma(t)$ has no memory to times smaller than t_0 , and consequently its evolution for $t \ge t_0$ is completely determined by its initial state $\sigma(t_0)$. It was emphasized by Arnoldus and Nienhuis¹³ that the common choice $\zeta(\phi_0, t_0) = P(\phi_0, t_0) < \sigma(t_0) >$ is merely an approximation which only holds for small correlation times of $\phi(t)$.

VII. SOLUTIONS

A. INDEPENDENT INCREMENTS

Equation (6.5) for the marginal average of $\sigma(t)$ can be solved for the independent-increment process with the same procedure as in Section IV, where we obtained the characteristic functional. If we adopt the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\zeta}(\rho,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi \ e^{i\rho\phi} \ \zeta(\phi,t) = \langle e^{i\rho\phi(t)}\sigma(t) \rangle , \qquad (7.1)$$

where the second equality follows after application of Eq. (6.3), then $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ can be found from

$$\langle \sigma(t) \rangle = \hat{\zeta}(0,t)$$
 (7.2)

With the technique of Section IV we can find $\hat{\zeta}(\rho,t)$, and if we differentiate the result with respect to time, we find

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\zeta}(\rho,t) = (A - i\hat{W}(\rho))\hat{\zeta}(\rho,t) , \qquad (7.3)$$

with

$$\widehat{W}(\rho) = \gamma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta \, (1 - e^{i\eta(\rho - B)}) \, w(\eta) \quad . \tag{7.4}$$

The operator $\hat{W}(\rho)$ accounts for the phase fluctuations. If we set $\rho = 0$ in Eq. (7.3), we achieve the equation for $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$, with solution

$$-i(A-i\hat{W}(0))(t-t_0)$$

< $\sigma(t) > = e$ < $\sigma(t_0) > ,$ (7.5)

for $t \ge t_0$. We note that $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ can be expressed in terms of $\langle \sigma(t_0) \rangle$ for this process, so that there are no initial correlations for the diffusion process. The process $\phi(t)$ has no memory, and with the results of Section V it can be shown that $\dot{\phi}(t)$ is indeed delta-correlated. This means that $\langle \dot{\phi}(t_n) \dots \dot{\phi}(t_1) \rangle$ for all n contains only δ -functions, which implies the factorization in (7.5).

A special case arises if we take

$$\gamma w(\eta) = \gamma \, \delta(\eta) + \lambda \, \delta''(\eta) , \lambda > 0 , \qquad (7.6)$$

where the primes on the δ -function denote differentiation with respect to its argument. It is easy to check that this process is the Wiener-Lévy process, or the phase-diffusion process. If we substitute (7.6) into (4.12), we find that P(ϕ ,t) is Gaussian, and obviously this is the only Gaussian limit of the diffusion process. The operator $\hat{W}(\rho)$ in (7.4) reduces to

$$\widehat{\mathbf{W}}(\rho) = \lambda(\rho - \mathbf{B})^2 , \qquad (7.7)$$

and the equation for $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ becomes

$$i\frac{d}{dt} \langle \sigma(t) \rangle = (A - i\lambda B^2) \langle \sigma(t) \rangle$$
, (7.8)

which is the result of Fox^4 .

B. ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS

The diffusion process has no memory and is essentially non-stationary. The initial distribution $P(\phi, t_0) = \delta(\phi)$ diffuses over the whole ϕ -axis, $-\infty < \phi < \infty$. The inclusion of a finite memory-time can stabilize this process. Let us define the transition probability as

$$W(\phi_0 | \phi) - a(\phi)\delta(\phi_0^{-}\phi) = \lambda \delta''(\phi_0^{-}\phi) + \gamma \phi \delta'(\phi_0^{-}\phi) , \qquad \lambda > 0 , \gamma > 0 .$$
(7.9)

Then the Master equation (4.6) for $P(\phi,t)$ becomes the Fokker-Planck equation¹⁸

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(\phi, t) = \left(\lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \phi\right) P(\phi, t) , \qquad (7.10)$$

which has the solution for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$P(\phi) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\phi^2/2\sigma^2}, \qquad \sigma^2 = \lambda/\gamma . \qquad (7.11)$$

This $P(\phi)$, together with $W(\phi_0 | \phi)$ from (7.9), defines a stationary Gaussian Markov process, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. In the limit $\gamma \neq 0$ and λ finite (so $\sigma^2 \neq \infty$), the process $\phi(t)$ reduces to the Wiener-Lévy process from the previous paragraph. From (7.11) we see that $\phi(t)$ is centered around $\phi =$ 0. The distribution is Gaussian with a variance σ^2 around the average $\phi =$ 0. The preference for $\phi = 0$ expresses that this process can be considered as a model for phase-locked radiation.

With the specific choice (7.9) for the transition rate, the Master equation (6.5) assumes the form of a second-order partial differential equation. We obtain

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - A + i\lambda B^2) \zeta(\phi, t) = i\gamma \{\sigma^2(2iB + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + (iB + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi})\phi\}\zeta(\phi, t) .$$
(7.12)

In the limit $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\lambda = \gamma \sigma^2$ finite, we recover (the Fourier inverse of) Eq. (7.3) with $\hat{W}(\rho)$ from Eq. (7.7).

In order to obtain a solution of Eq. (7.12), we start with a Fourier transform with respect to ϕ . The transformed equation then reads

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - A + i\lambda B^2)\hat{\zeta}(\rho, t) = -i\gamma \{\sigma^2(\rho-2B)\rho + (\rho-B)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\}\hat{\zeta}(\rho, t) , \qquad (7.13)$$

which is still a partial differential equation. Since we are interested in $\hat{\zeta}(0,t) = \langle \sigma(t) \rangle$, the obvious approach²³ would be a Taylor expansion around $\rho = 0$. This yields however a cumbersome inhomogeneous four-term recurrence relation for the Taylor coefficients. This can be avoided by the transformation¹⁵

 $\hat{\zeta}(\rho,t) = \hat{P}(\rho) \hat{g}(\rho,t)$, (7.14)

which defines $\hat{g}(\rho,t)$. The Fourier transform of the probability distribution is explicitly

$$\hat{P}(\rho) = \langle e^{i\rho\phi(t)} \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \rho^2}$$
, (7.15)

and in particular we have $\hat{P}(0) = 1$. The equation for $\hat{g}(\rho,t)$ becomes

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - A + i\lambda B^2)\hat{g}(\rho, t) = -i\gamma\{\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - B(\sigma^2 \rho + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho})\}\hat{g}(\rho, t) , \qquad (7.16)$$

and it has to be solved for

$$\hat{g}(0,t) = \langle \sigma(t) \rangle$$
 (7.17)

Let us define the Taylor coefficients $\pi_n(t)$ by the expansion

$$\hat{g}(\rho,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\rho)^n}{n!} \pi_n(t) ,$$
 (7.18)

which can be inverted as

$$\pi_{n}(t) = \langle \sigma(t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial i\rho} \right)^{n} e^{i\rho\phi(t) - \frac{1}{2}(i\rho\sigma)^{2}} \rangle_{\rho=0} \qquad (7.19)$$

Substitution of (7.18) into (7.16) then gives the equation for the Taylor coefficients

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathbf{A} + i\lambda \mathbf{B}^{2} + i\gamma \mathbf{n})\pi_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \gamma \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}\sigma^{2}\pi_{\mathbf{n}-1}(t) - \pi_{\mathbf{n}+1}(t)) , \qquad (7.20)$$

which has to be solved for

$$\pi_{0}(t) = \langle \sigma(t) \rangle \quad . \tag{7.21}$$

Equation (7.20) looks like a homogeneous three-term recurrence relation, but it will be shown below that the time-derivative $\partial/\partial t$ gives rise to an inhomogeneous contribution. Notice that for n = 0 Eq.(7.20) reduces to a two-term relation between $\pi_0(t)$ and $\pi_1(t)$ only.

Eq. (7.20) is most easily solved in the Laplace domain. If we introduce

$$\tilde{\pi}_{n}(\omega) = \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} dt \, e \, \pi_{n}(t) , \qquad (7.22)$$

then (7.20) attains the form

$$(\omega - \mathbf{A} + i\lambda \mathbf{B}^2 + i\gamma \mathbf{n})\tilde{\pi}(\omega) - \gamma \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{n}\sigma^2\tilde{\pi}_{n-1}(\omega) - \tilde{\pi}_{n+1}(\omega)) = i\pi_n(\mathbf{t}_0) \quad . \quad (7.23)$$

Here the initial values $\pi_n(t_0)$ for n = 0, 1, 2, ... appear as inhomogeneous terms. The set $\pi_n(t_0)$ for all n represents the initial correlations of $\sigma(t)$ on $t = t_0$, and they connect the time-evolution of $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ for $t > t_0$ to its recent past.¹³ In other words, Eq. (7.23) relates the set $\pi_n(t)$ for $t > t_0$ to the initial set $\pi_n(t_0)$.

Equation (7.23) can be solved for an arbitrary initial set $\pi_n(t_0)$ by standard techniques,²⁴ but the solution is not transparent. In order to elucidate the structure of the solution, we assume a non-stochastic initial state $\sigma(t_0)$. From Eq. (7.1) we then find at t = t_0

$$\hat{\zeta}(\rho, t_0) = \hat{P}(\rho) \sigma(t_0) , \qquad (7.24)$$

and from Eq. (7.14) we obtain

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(\rho, \mathbf{t}_0) = \sigma(\mathbf{t}_0) \quad . \tag{7.25}$$

Hence at $t = t_0$ the vector $\hat{g}(\rho, t_0)$ is independent of ρ , and therefore the expansion coefficients are simply

$$\pi_{n}(t_{0}) = \delta_{n,0}\sigma(t_{0}) \quad . \tag{7.26}$$

Then only the recurrence relation for n = 0 is inhomogeneous, and the solution of (7.23) for $\tilde{\pi}_{0}(\omega) = \langle \tilde{\sigma}(\omega) \rangle$ is readily found to be

$$\langle \tilde{\sigma}(\omega) \rangle = \frac{i}{\omega - A + i\lambda B^2 + \tilde{R}(\omega)} \sigma(t_0)$$
 (7.27)

The effect of the finite correlation time, e.g., the deviation from the Wiener-Lévy limit, is accounted for by the operator

$$\mathbf{R}(\omega) = \gamma \mathbf{B} \frac{1\sigma^2}{\omega - \mathbf{A} + i\lambda \mathbf{B}^2 + 1i\gamma + \gamma \mathbf{B}} \frac{2\sigma^2}{\omega - \mathbf{A} + i\lambda \mathbf{B}^2 + 2i\gamma + \gamma \mathbf{B}} \frac{3\sigma^2}{\gamma \mathbf{B}} \gamma \mathbf{B}$$
(7.28)

which indeed vanishes for $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, λ finite. In this limit, Eq. (7.27) is the Laplace transform of Eq. (7.8).

The explicit expression (7.27) provides the exact solution for : ituations where the initial state is non-stochastic and for cases where the solution is independent of the initial state. As an example from quantum optics, we mention that Eq. (7.27) with $\sigma(t_0) = 1$, A = 0 and B = 1represents the laser spectral profile. Another example pertains to the long-time behavior of the solution $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$. If there is any damping in the system, which might be caused by the stochastic fluctutations itself, then the solution for $t \gg t_0$ will become independent of the initial state. If we indicate by $\overline{\sigma}$ the solution $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $\overline{\sigma}$ obviously obeys the equation

$$(\mathbf{A} - i\lambda \mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{\hat{K}}(0)) \ \overline{\sigma} = 0$$
 (7.29)

For the problem of atomic fluorescence in a strong laser field, this is the equation for the atomic steady-state density matrix, which determines the fluorescence yield. There, the solution $\overline{\sigma}$ of Eq. (7.29) is unique.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Solving a multiplicative stochastic process $\sigma(t)$ for its average is rarely feasible by analytical methods. This is mainly due to the finite

correlation time of the driving process $\phi(t)$, which prohibits the factorization of the average of a product into the product of the averages. Averages of a functional of $\phi(t)$ might factorize if the process is deltacorrelated. For Markov processes, however, we can simulate a δ -correlation by the introduction of the marginal average $\zeta(\phi_0,t) = \langle \delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)\sigma(t) \rangle$. The combination of the multiplication by $\delta(\phi(t)-\phi_0)$ and the Markov property of the probability distributions of $\phi(t)$ then gives rise to a factorizationlike result for the formal expression for the average. Along the same lines as in a factorization assumption, we can now derive exact equations for $\zeta(\phi_0,t)$. In this paper we have studied Eq. (1.1), where we considered the stochastics of $\phi(t)$ to be given. The equation of motion for the marginal average turned out to be Eq. (6.4). The applicability of this equation was illustrated by some examples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE-8519053, the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), United States Air Force, under Contract F49620-86-C-0009, and the Office of Naval Research. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

REFERENCES

Ē

ŀ

1.	G. S. Agarwal, Phys. Rev. A <u>18</u> , 1490 (1978).
2.	A. T. George and S. N. Dixit, Phys. Rev. A 23, 2580 (1981).
3.	H. F. Arnoldus and G. Nienhuis, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. (1986), in
	press.
4.	R. F. Fox, J. Math. Phys. <u>13</u> , 1196 (1972).
5.	S. N. Dixit, P. Zoller and P. Lambropoulos, Phys. Rev. A 21, 1289
	(1980).
6.	P. Zoller, G. Alber and R. Salvador, Phys. Rev. A 24, 398 (1981).
7.	R. I. Jackson and S. Swain, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. <u>15</u> , 4375 (1982).
8.	H. F. Arnoldus and G. Nienhuis, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. <u>16</u> , 2325
	(1983).
9.	K. Wódkiewicz and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. A <u>31</u> , 2314 (1985).
10.	A. Brissaud and U. Frisch, J. Quant. Spectr. Rad. Trans. 11, 1767
	(1971).
11.	A. Brissaud and U. Frisch, J. Math. Phys. <u>15</u> , 524 (1974).
12.	V. E. Shapiro and V. M. Loginov, Physica <u>91A</u> , 563 (1978).
13.	H. F. Arnoldus and G. Nienhuis, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. <u>19</u> , 1629 (1986).
14.	B. W. Shore, J. Phys. Soc. Am. B <u>1</u> , 183 (1984).
15.	K. Wódkiewicz, B. W. Shore and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. A <u>30</u> , 2390
	(1984).
16.	P. Zoller and J. Coc r, Phys. Rev. A <u>28</u> , 2310 (1983).
17.	J. D. Cresser, W. H. Louisell, P. Meystre, W. Schleich and M. O. Scully,
	Phys. Rev. A <u>25</u> , 2214 (1982).
18.	N. G. van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (North-

Holland, Amsterdam, 1981).

- B. L. Stratonovich, <u>Topics in the Theory of Random Noise</u> (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1963).
- 20. R. F. Fox, Phys. Rev. A 33, 467 (1986).

- 21. N. G. van Kampen, Phys. Lett. 76A, 104 (1980).
- 22. A. Burshtein, Sov. Phys. JETP 21, 567 (1965).
- 23. J. J. Yeh and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. A 24, 888 (1981).
- 24. H. Risken, <u>The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and</u> <u>Applications</u> (Springer, Berlin), in press.

DL/413/83/01 GEN/413-2

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No.		No.
	Coples		Lopies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 413 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 5042 Crane, Indiana 47522	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 2770	1
Defense Technical Information Cente Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	r 12	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1911	1 2
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. David L. Nelson Chemistry Division Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1

المدائلة وتسادي المدومية والتدوي فالمع فبالمع فبالمع

DL/413/83/01 056/413-2

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. G. A. Somorjai Department of Chemistry University of California Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. J. Murday Naval Research Laboratory Surface Chemistry Division (6170) 455 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. J. B. Hudson Materials Division Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Theodore E. Madey Surface Chemistry Section Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. J. E. Demuth IBM Corporation Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Dr. M. G. Lagally Department of Metallurgical and Mining Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Dr. R. P. Van Duyne Chemistry Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60637

Dr. J. M. White Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. D. E. Harrison Department of Physics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 Dr. W. Kohn Department of Physics University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California 92037

Dr. R. L. Park Director, Center of Materials Research University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. W. T. Peria Electrical Engineering Department University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. Keith H. Johnson Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. S. Sibener Department of Chemistry James Franck Institute 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637

Dr. Arnold Green Quantum Surface Dynamics Branch Code 3817 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555

Dr. A. Wold Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Dr. S. L. Bernasek Department of Chemistry Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Dr. P. Lund Department of Chemistry Howard University Washington, D.C. 20059

DL/413/83/01 056/413-2

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. F. Carter Code 6132 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. Richard Colton Code 6112 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. Dan Pierce National Bureau of Standards Optical Physics Division Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. R. Stanley Williams Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. R. P. Messmer Materials Characterization Lab. General Electric Company Schenectady, New York 22217

Dr. Robert Gomer Department of Chemistry James Franck Institute 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637

Dr. Ronald Lee R301 Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dr. Paul Schoen Code 5570 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. John T. Yates Department of Chemistry University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260 Dr. Richard Greene Code 5230 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. L. Kesmodel Department of Physics Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47403

Dr. K. C. Janda California Institute of Technology Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Pasadena, California 91125

Dr. E. A. Irene Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Northc Carolina 27514

Dr. Adam Heller Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry Southampton University Southampton **S**09 5NH Hampshire, England

Dr. John W. Wilkins Cornell University Laboratory of Atomic and Solid State Physics Ithaca, New York 14853

Dr. Richard Smardzewski Code 6130 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375

Dr. H. Tachikawa Chemistry Department Jackson State University Jackson, Mississippi 39217

DL/413/83/01 056/413-2

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. R. G. Wallis Department of Physics University of California Irvine, California 92664

Dr. D. Ramaker Chemistry Department George Washington University Washington, D.C. 20052

Dr. J. C. Hemminger Chemistry Department University of California Irvine, California 92717

Dr. T. F. George Chemistry Department University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627

Dr. G. Rubloff IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Dr. Horia Metiu Chemistry Department University of California Santa Barbara, California 93106

Dr. W. Goddard Division of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125

Dr. J. T. Keiser Department of Chemistry University of Richmond Richmond, Virginia 23173 Dr. R. W. Plummer Department of Physics University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 41106

Dr. N. Winograd Department of Chemistry Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Dr. Roald Hoffmann Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14853

Dr. A. Steckl Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, NewYork 12181

Dr. G. H. Morrison Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14853

Dr. P. Hansma Physics Department University of California Santa Barbara, California 93106

Dr. J. Baldeschwieler California Institute of Technology Division of Chemistry Pasadena, California 91125

0L/413/83/01 056/413-2

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. J. E. Jensen Hughes Research Laboratory 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265

Constant Burney States and the second provide

Dr. J. H. Weaver Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. W. Goddard Division of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125

Dr. A. Reisman Microelectronics Center of North Carolina Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709

Dr. M. Grunze Laboratory for Surface Science and Technology University of Maine Orono, Maine 04469

Dr. J. Butler Naval Research Laboratory Code 6115 Washington D.C. 20375

Dr. L. Interante Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Irvin Heard Chemistry and Physics Department Lincoln University Lincoln University, Pennsylvania 19352

Dr. K.J. Klaubunde Department of Chemistry Kansas State University Manhattan, Kansas 66506 Dr. W. Knauer Hughes Research Laboratory 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265

Dr. C. B. Harris Department of Chemistry University of California Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. F. Kutzler Department of Chemistry Box 5055 Tennessee Technological University Cookesville, Tennessee 38501

Dr. D. DiLella Chemistry Department George Washington University Washington D.C. 20052

Dr. R. Reeves Chemistry Department Renssaeler Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181

