ND-R1	7 0 628 551F1ED	A T Tho Mar Rda	WO-EQU -PHASI INA DI -TR-1	JATION ED FLO EL REY 15694-	TURBU N NITH Ca g 002 DN	LENCE VARI. C YEI	MODEL . (U) et a 6-42	FOR A R AND L. 31	DISPE D ASSO DEC 83	RSED CIATE: F/G	5 20/4	1/ NL	1



1002/2224 P35529264 B55526254

22.22.22

1

• • •

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS TORS A

AD-A170 628



DNA-TR-86-42

A TWO-EQUATION TURBULENCE MODEL FOR A DISPERSED TWO-PHASED FLOW WITH VARIABLE DENSITY FLUID AND CONSTANT DENSITY PARTICLES

Gordon C. K. Yeh Said E. Elghobashi R & D Associates P. O. Box 9695 Marina del Rey, CA 90295-2095

31 December 1985

Technical Report

CONTRACT No. DNA 001-85-C-0022

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

THIS WORK WAS SPONSORED BY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY UNDER RDT&E RMSS CODE B310085466 P99QMXDB00099 H2590D.



038 i

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE		. <u> </u>	:		
	REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PA	AGE		
14. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIV	E MARKINGS		· · · · · · · · · · · ·
UNCLASSIFIED	······································				
2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTIO	N/AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
20. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHE		Approved	for publi	c release	e;
N/A since Unclassified		aistribut	10n 15 UN	limitea.	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NU	MBER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUMB	ER(S)
RDA-TR-135604-002		DNA-TR-86	-42		
6. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATION	
	(If applicable)	Director			
R & J Associates	L	Defense N	uclear Ag	ency	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		75. ADDRESS (C	ity, State and ZIP	Code)	
P.O. Box 9695	2005	Vashingto	n, DC 20	305-1000	
Marina del Rey, CA 90295-	2095	I			
8. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICATIO	N NUMBER
ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)	DNA 001-8	5-C-0022		
Bc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMB	ERS	
		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.
]		62715H	P990MXD	В	DH008670
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)					
A TWO-EQUATION TURBULENCE	MODEL FOR A	DISPERSED	TUO-PHAS	ED FLOW V	NITH
VARIABLE DENSITY FLUID AN	D CONSTANT DE	CNSITY PAR	TICLES		<u> </u>
Yeh, Gordon C.K.: Elghoba	shi. Said E.				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT	COVERED	14. DATE OF REF	PORT (Year Mont	h Davi 15. PAG	GE COUNT
Technical FROM 85	<u>0101</u> то <u>851231</u>	851231		48	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	w the Defense	Nucloar	Aconcu un	dor	
RDT&F RMSS Code B31008546	6 P990MXDE00(99 H2590D	Agency un	uer	
	19 SUBJECT TERMS				
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Dispersed Ty	o-Phase F	Low		(num der)
20 4	Turbulence (Closure Mo	del		
10 3	κ-ε Model			····	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block nu	mber)		• • .	
A two+equation turbulen	ce model has	been deve	Loped for	predict:	ing a dis-
persed two-phase flow w	ith variable	density i	lula and	constant	density
kipetic energy and its	dissipation a	be the co	he fluid	They h:	ave been
derived rigorously from	the momentur	equation	s of the	carrier d	fluid in
the two-phase flow. Cl	osure of the	time+mean	equation	s is achi	ieved by
modeling the turbulent	correlations	up to thi	rd order.	The new	w model
eliminates the need to	simulate in a	an ad hoc	manner th	e effects	s of the
dispersed phase on turb	ulent structu	ire in sit	uations w	here the	com-
pressibility of the flu	id must be ta	aken into	account.		
			1		
]					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ARSTR	ACT	21 ABSTRACT	SECURITY CLASS		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME A	S RPT. DDTIC USERS	UNCLASSI	FIED		
22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226. TELEPHONI	E (Include Area Co	de) 22c. OFFIC	E SYMBOL
Betty L. Fox		(202)325-	/042	pua, st.	Ľ 1
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR	edition may be used unit All other editions are one	ni exhausted.			

i

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

۰.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

18. SUBJECT TERMS (continued) Nonsteady Flow Compressible Viscous Gas Dilute Suspensions Continuity Equation Momentum Equation Reynolds Time-averaging Kinetic Energy Dissipation Rate

PREFACE

This work was performed for the Defense Nuclear Agency under contract DNA 001-85-C-0022. Dr. George W. Ullrich was the DNA Contract Technical Manager. Dr. Allen L. Kuhl was the RDA program manager. The authors wish to thank Dr. Ullrich for his encouragement, Dr. Kuhl for suggestion of the research subject and helpful discussions, and Mrs. Vivian Fox for expert typing of the manuscript.



F

CONVERSION TABLE

	BY	TO GET
	- BY	DIVIDE
angstrom	1.000 000 X E -10	meters (m)
atmosphere (normal)	1 013 25 X E + 2	kilo pascal (kPa)
bar	1.000 000 X E + 2	kilo pascal (kPa)
barn	1.000 000 X E -28	$meter^2$ (m ²)
British thermal unit (thermochemical)	1.054 350 X E +3	joule (J)
calorie (thermochemical)	4 184 000	joule (J)
cal (thermochemical)/cm ²	4.184 000 X E -2	megs joule/ m^2 (MJ/ m^2)
curie	3 700 009 X E +1	egiga becquerel (GBq)
degree (angle)	1. 745 329 X E -2	radian (rad)
degree Fahrenheit	$t_{\rm m} = (1^{\circ} f + 459.67)/1.8$	degree kelvin (K)
electron volt	1.602 19 X E -19	jouie (J)
eng	1.000 000 X E -7	joule (J)
erg/second	1.000 000 X E -7	watt (W)
foot	3. 048 000 X E -1	meter (m)
foot-pound-force	1. 355 810	joule (J)
gallon (U.S. Liquid)	3. 785 412 X E -3	meter ³ (m ³)
unch	2.540 000 X E -2	meter (m)
jerk	1 000 000 X E + 9	joule (J)
jouie/kilogram (J/kg) (radiation dose	1.000.000	G = 1 (G 1)
kilotona	4 183	
kup (1000 lbf)	4 448 222 X E = 3	
$k_{10}/(acb^2)(k_{01})$	6 894 757 X E + 3	
ktap		
	1.000 000 X E + 2	(N-s/m ²)
micron	1 000 000 X E -6	meter (m)
mil	2.540.000 X E -5	meter (m)
mile (international)	1.609 344 X E + 3	meter (m)
ounce	2.834 952 X E -2	kilogram (kg)
pound-force (lbs avoirdupois)	4. 448 222	newton (N)
pound-force unch	1. 129 846 X E -1	newton-meter (N·m)
pound -force / unch	1 751 268 X E + 2	newton/meter (N/m)
pound-force/loot ²	4. 788 026 X E -2	kilo pascal (kPa)
pound-force/unch ² (psi)	6 894 757	kilo pascal (kPa)
pound-mass (ibm svoirdupois)	4 535 924 X E -1	kilogram (kg)
pound-mass-foot ² (moment of inertia)	4. 214 011 X E -2	kilogram-meter ² (igr.m ²)
pound-mass/foot ³	1 601 646 X E +1	kilogram/meter ³ (kg/m ³)
red (radiation dose absorbed)	1.000 000 X E -2	• Gray (Gy)
roenigen	• • • • • • • · · ·	coulomb/kilogram
	2. 579 760 X E -4	(C/lug)
snake	1 000 000 X E -8	second (a)
	1.459 390 X E +1	kilogram (leg)
torr (mm Hg, 0" C)	1.303 22 X E -1	kilo pascal (kPa)

Conversion factors for U.S. Customary to metric (SI) units of measurement

•The becquerel (Bq) is the SI unit of radioactivity; 1 Bq = 1 event/s. •The Gray (Gy) is the SI unit of absorbed radiation.

ĺ.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

Section		Page
	PREFACE	iii
	CONVERSION TABLE	iv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	EQUATIONS OF MOTION	2
3	TURBULENCE-KINETIC-ENERGY AND DISSIPATION-RATE EQUATIONS	8
4	CLOSURE OF THE MOMENTUM EQUATIONS	9
5	CLOSURE OF THE TURBULENCE KINETIC- ENERGY EQUATION	12
6	CLOSURE OF THE TURBULENCE-ENERGY DISSIPATION-RATE EQUATION	17
7	A SAMPLE APPLICATION	20
8	CONCLUSION	22
9	LIST OF REFERENCES	23

Appendices

А	EXACT EQUATIONS OF KINETIC ENERGY	
	OF TURBULENCE AND DISSIPATION RATE	
	OF THAT ENERGY	27

v

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONCLUDED)

Appendices

Î

B	THE MODELED FORM OF k and ϵ EQUATIONS	31
с	NOMENCLATURE	33

X

SECTION 1

Salara Contra

INTRODUCTION

There are many problems of practical interest which require a mathematical model for a two-phase curbulent flow of suspended particles in a viscous fluid. Most one- and two-equation models for two-phase flows (e.g., Refs. 1 and 2) are based on ad hoc modifications of the single-phase turbulence-kineticenergy and length-scale equations and fail to adequately predict the physical behavior of two-phase flows. Recently, Elghobashi et al. (Ref. 3) presented a rigorous derivation of the turbulence-energy and dissipation-rate equations from the momentum equations for an incompressible dispersed two-phase flow and successfully predicted the main features of a round gaseous jet laden with uniform-size solid particles (Refs. 4 and 5). In situations such as the dust transport by turbulence in nuclear burst flow fields (Ref. 6) and supersonic nozzle flows (Ref. 7) the compressibility of the fluid must be taken into account. The objective of the present work is to extend the two-equation turbulence model in Ref. 3 to become applicable to a compressible dispersed two-phase flow in which the fluid has variable density and the particles have constant density. The extended model can also be used to study such problems as compressible adiabatic mixing and low-speed isothermal mixing of two dissimilar two-phase flows (Ref. 8).

SECTION 2

EQUATIONS OF MOTION

We begin the formulation of the problem by stating the assumptions involved in deriving the equations. These are:

- 1. Both phases behave macroscopically as a continuum, but only the carrier fluid behaves microscopically as a continuum with variable density. This means that the volume-averaged equations are based on a control volume larger than the particle spacing but much smaller than the characteristic volume of the flow system. Mutual exclusion of the phases is also ensured.
- 2. The dispersed phase consists of rigid particles spherical in shape, uniform in size and constant in density. The uniformity of size reduces the magnitude of bookkeeping at this stage of the work, and thus concentrates the effort on understanding the mechanisms of interactions between the two phases. Extension to nonuniform size distribution is a straightforward matter (Ref 9).
- 3. The volume fraction of the dispersed phase is such that no collisions occur between the particles. This assumption renders the equations valid only for dilute suspensions.
- 4. Neither the suspended matter nor the carrier fluid undergoes any phase changes. Although this assumption rules out some situations of practical

interest, it is necessary to investigate complexities in a stepwise manner.

5. Additional assumptions on modeling of some of the turbulent correlations are stated in Sections 4, 5 and 6. The sparseness of experimental data for variable density flows allows us to assume that the forms and values of the coefficients of the turbulent correlations of constant-density flows apply to variable-density flows as well.

The instantaneous, volume-averaged momentum equations, in Cartesian tensor notations, of the carrier fluid are thus (Refs. 3 and 10)

$$(Q_{1}U_{i}), t + (Q_{1}U_{j}U_{i}), j = -(1-K\Phi_{2})P_{,i} - KF\Phi_{2}(U_{i}-V_{i}) + \left[\mu_{1}\Phi_{1}(U_{i,j}+U_{j,i})\right], j - \frac{2}{3}(\mu_{1}\Phi_{1}U_{\ell,\ell}), i + Q_{1}g_{i} + F_{1i} .$$
(1)

The corresponding equations for the particle phase are

$$(Q_{2}V_{i}), t + (Q_{2}V_{j}V_{i}), j = - \Phi_{2}P, i + F\Phi_{2}(U_{i}-V_{i}) + [\mu_{2}\Phi_{2}(V_{i}, j+V_{j}, i)], j - \frac{2}{3}(\mu_{2}\Phi_{2}V_{l}, l), i + Q_{2}g_{i} + F_{2}i .$$
(2)

The continuity equations are

$$Q_{1,t} + (Q_{1}U_{1})_{1} = 0$$

for the fluid and

and and a second

$$Q_{2,t} + (Q_2 V_1)_{,i} = 0$$
 4

for the particle phase. The global continuity equation is

$$\phi_1 + \phi_2 = 1 = \frac{Q_1}{v_1} + \frac{Q_2}{v_2}$$
 (5)

In Eqs. (1) - (5) and throughout the report, the subscripts 1 and 2 denote the fluid and particle phase respectively. Partial derivatives are represented by a subscript consisting of a comma and an index [e.g., ()] t $\equiv \partial(-) \partial t$; $U_{1,\frac{1}{2}} \equiv \partial U_{1} \partial m_{1}$ $U_{i,k,0} = \partial^2 U_i \partial x_k \partial x_0$ where x_i (i = 1,2,3) are the rectangular spatial coordinates], U_{i} are the velocity components of the fluid, V_{\pm} are the velocity components of the particle phase. ρ and u are the material density and viscosity. Queve: is the apparent density, ϕ is the volume fraction. P is the pressure. g_{\pm} is the component of gravitational acceleration in the idirection. F: is the component of body forces other than that due to gravity, and F is the interphase friction coefficient \approx =18 μ_{0} d² for Stokes' flow around a particle of diameter d K is the local effectiveness of momentum transfer from the particle phase to the fluid and is discussed in detail in Ref. 10. It suffices here to state that K equals unity for accelerated particle phase and assumes lower values when this phase is decelerated; the minimum value of K being zero. In teneral. K depends on the local properties of the cloud and

turbulence, the slip velocity, and the particulate size and concentration.

CCCC

The mean flow equations are now obtained from the instantaneous ones, for variable ρ_1 , constant ρ_2 and μ_1 and zero μ_2 (consistent with the dilute suspension approximation stated in Ref. 10, p. 256), by performing the conventional Reynolds time-averaging of Eqs. (1) to (5). (The densityweighted averaging of Favre, Ref. 11, does not render significant simplification. We use Reynolds averaging mainly because most experimental data refer to time averaging correlations). The mean momentum equations of the fluid are

$$(Q_{1}U_{i} + \overline{q_{1}u_{i}})_{,t} + (Q_{1}U_{j}U_{i})_{,j} = -(1-K\Phi_{2})P_{,i} + K\overline{\phi_{2}P_{,i}}$$

$$- KF \left[\Phi_{2}(U_{i}-V_{i}) + \overline{\phi_{2}(u_{i}-v_{i})} \right]$$

$$+ \mu_{1} \left[\Phi_{1}(U_{i,j}+U_{j,i}) + \overline{\phi_{1}(u_{i,j}+u_{j,i})} \right]_{,j}$$

$$- \frac{2}{3} \mu_{1}(\Phi_{1}U_{a,a} + \overline{\phi_{1}u_{a,a}})_{,i}$$

$$- (Q_{1}\overline{u_{i}u_{j}} + U_{i} \overline{q_{1}u_{j}} + U_{j} \overline{q_{1}u_{i}} + \overline{q_{1}u_{i}u_{j}})_{,j}$$

$$+ Q_{1}g_{i} + F_{1i} \qquad (6)$$

The mean momentum equations of the particle phase are

$$(Q_{2}V_{i}+\overline{q_{2}V_{i}}), t + (Q_{2}V_{j}V_{i}), j = -[\Phi_{2}P_{,i}+\overline{\Phi_{2}P_{,i}}] + F[\Phi_{2}(U_{i}-V_{i}) + \overline{\Phi_{2}(U_{i}-V_{i})}] - (Q_{2}\overline{v_{i}v_{j}} + V_{i}\overline{q_{2}v_{j}} + V_{j}\overline{q_{2}v_{i}} + \overline{q_{2}v_{i}v_{j}}), j + Q_{2}q_{i} + F_{2i}.$$
(7)

The mean continuity equation of the fluid is

$$Q_{1,t} + (Q_1U_1 + q_1u_1)_{,i} = 0$$
 (8)

The mean continuity equation of the particle phase is

$$Q_{2,t} + (Q_2 V_1 + \overline{q_2 V_1})_{,i} = 0$$
 (9)

which can be written as

$$\Phi_{2,t} + (\Phi_2 V_i + \overline{\phi_2 V_i})_{,i} = 0$$
 (10)

since ρ_2 is constant. The mean global continuity equation is

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 = 1$$
 (11)

which, when substrated from Eq. (5), gives

$$\phi_1 + \phi_2 = 0 \quad . \tag{12}$$

In Eqs. (6)-(12) capital letters (except K and F) denote time-mean quantities, lower-case letters (except μ_1 and g_1) designate fluctuating components and overbars indicate Reynolds-averaged correlations. For constant quantities (μ_1 ρ_2 and g_1) the mean and instantaneous values are equal, whereas for all other variables the instantaneous value consists of a mean component and a fluctuating component (e.g., Q + q, U + u, $\rho_1 + \rho_1'$... etc.).

SECTION 3

TURBULENCE-KINETIC-ENERGY AND DISSIPATION-RATE EQUATIONS

The first step in the derivation of the equations of the fluid's turbulence kinetic energy $(k \equiv u_1 u_1/2)$ and its dissipation rate ($\epsilon \equiv \nu_1 u_{1,k} u_{1,k}$ where $\nu_1 = \mu_1/\rho_1$) is to obtain a transport equation for u_1 by subtracting Eq. (6) from Eq. (1). The k equation is produced by multiplying the u_1 equation throughout by u_1 and then time-averaging. The ϵ equation is obtained by differentiating the u_1 equation with respect to x_k , multiplying throughout by $2\nu_1 u_{1,k}$ and finally time-averaging.

The resulting k and ϵ equations are given in Appendix A. The closure of these equations is discussed in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

SECTION 4

CLOSURE OF THE MOMENTUM EQUATIONS

The turbulent correlations appearing in Eqs. (6) and (7) are of five types:

- 1. Correlation of velocity fluctuations with those of the volume fraction or apparent density, e.g., $\overline{\phi_1 u_1}$ or $\overline{q_1 u_1}$;
- 2. The pressure interaction correlation $\overline{\phi_{2}p}_{i}$;
- 3. Multiple correlations among various components of velocity fluctuations with those of the apparent density, e.g., $\overline{q_1 u_1 u_j}$;
- 4. Correlations of strain rate fluctuations with those of the volume fraction, e.g., $\overline{\phi_1 u_{1,j}}$;
- 5. Multiple correlations of various components of velocity fluctuations, e.g., $\overline{u_i u_j}$.

The first four types occur only due to the presence of the second phase; their modeling is discussed below.

According to Ref. 3 (Eq. (10)) and Ref. 12, we model the turbulent flux $\overline{\phi_1 u_1}$ by a gradient transport term and a convective transport term such that

$$\overline{\phi_{1}u_{i}} = -(\nu_{t}/\sigma_{\phi})\phi_{1,i} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{1}(\nu_{t}/\sigma_{\phi})_{,i} , \qquad (13)$$

where v_t is the kinematic eddy viscosity (= $c_{\mu} k^2 \epsilon$ with c_{μ} = 0.09, Ref. 13, under the provisional assumption that it has the same value as in the case of constant density) and σ_{ϕ} is the turbulent Schmidt number of ϕ . Similarly we model $\overline{q_1 u_1}$ by

$$\overline{q_1 u_1} = -(\nu_t / \sigma_q) Q_{1,i} - \frac{1}{2} Q_1 (\nu_t / \sigma_q)_{,i}$$
(14)

where σ_q is the turbulent Schmidt number of q. As long as experimental data for σ_q are not available we make a provisional assumption that σ_q is equal to σ_{ϕ} (= 1.0 for the sample calculation in Ref. 3).

According to Ref. 3 (Eqs. (11), (12), (14), (15) and (16)) and Refs. 14, 15 and 16 we model $\overline{\phi_{2}p_{,i}}$ by

ক্ত

$$\frac{1}{2^{p},i} = -\rho_{1} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \left[c_{\phi 1} \frac{u_{i} \phi_{i}}{u_{i} \phi_{i}} - c_{\phi 2} \left(\frac{\overline{u_{i} u_{\lambda}}}{k} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{i \lambda} \right) \frac{u_{\lambda} \phi_{1}}{u_{\lambda} \phi_{1}} \right] + \rho_{1} (0.8 \overline{u_{g} \phi_{1}} U_{i,g} - 0.2 \overline{u_{g} \phi_{1}} U_{g,i}) \\
- \left[-c_{\phi 3} \rho_{1} k^{1/2} \overline{u_{m} \phi_{1}} e_{m} + c_{\phi 4} \rho_{1} k^{3/2} / \epsilon U_{n,m} \overline{u_{m} \phi_{1}} e_{n} \right], i \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{e}_{m} and \mathbf{e}_{n} are unit vectors and the approximate values of the coefficients are

 $c_{\phi 1} = 4.3$, $c_{\phi 2} = 3.2$, $c_{\phi 3} = 1.0$, $c_{\phi 4} = 1.0$.

÷Э

According to Ref. 16 (Eq. (6.45)) we model $\overline{q_1 u_1 u_j}$ by

$$\overline{q_1 u_1 u_j} = -c_{\phi 5}(k/\epsilon) \left[\overline{u_1 u_{\rho}} (\overline{u_j q_1}), \rho + \overline{u_j u_{\rho}} (\overline{u_1 q_1}), \rho \right] , \qquad (17)$$

where the proportionality constant $c_{\phi 5}$ is approximately equal to 0.1.

The strain-rate volume-fraction correlations of the type $\overline{\phi_1 u_{i,j}}$ only appear multiplied by the molecular viscosity of the fluid and therefore will be neglected due to its relatively small magnitude.

The last correlation to be modeled in the momentum equations is that of the form $\overline{u_i u_j}$. Again, to be consistent with the present level of closure, this quantity will be calculated from (Eq. (18) in Ref. 3)

 $\overline{u_{i}u_{j}} = -\nu_{t}(U_{i,j}+U_{j,i}) + \frac{1}{3} s_{ij}\overline{u_{m}u_{m}} - \frac{2}{3} \nu_{t} s_{ij}U_{j,j} .$ (18)

This completes the modeling of the momentum equations.

SECTION 5

CLOSURE OF THE TURBULENCE KINETIC-ENERGY EQUATION

The exact equation of the turbulence energy k for the carrier fluid appears in Appendix A and consists of 34 terms. They are classified into groups enclosed by square or curly brackets; each group is labeled according to its particular contribution to the conservation of k.

The various correlations in these groups range from second to fourth order. We decide at the outset on neglecting all fourth-order correlations such as $\overline{q_1u_i(u_iu_j)}_{,j}$ and $\overline{u_iu_iu_jq_{1,j}}$. Also, the contribution to the diffusion of turbulence energy due to the pressure interaction $(\overline{u_ip})_{,i}$ will be neglected as it is of relatively small magnitude (Ref. 17). Now the remaining terms will be modeled.

The five transient terms will be collectively approximated by $(Q_1k)_{,t}$. The convection terms require no approximation. The production group contains the correlations $\overline{q_1u_iu_j}$ and $\overline{u_iu_j}$ which have been evaluated earlier by Eqs. (17) and (18). The pressure velocity-divergence correlation $\overline{pu_{i,i}}$ in the turbulent-diffusion group cannot be neglected here since $u_{i,i}$ does not vanish in two-phase flows. $\overline{pu_{i,i}}$ is evaluated following the approach of Ref. 18, thus (Eqs. (19) and (20) of Ref. 3))

$$- \overline{pu_{i,i}} = c_1 \frac{\beta_1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{k}\right) \left(\overline{u_i u_i} - \frac{2k}{3}\right) + \frac{(c_2 + 8)\beta_1}{22} \left(P_{ii} - \frac{2P}{3}\right) + \frac{(15c_1 - 1)\beta_1}{55} (2k U_{i,i}) - \frac{(4c_2 - 1)\beta_1}{11} \left(D_{ii} - \frac{2P}{3}\right) (19)$$

where

$$P_{ii} = -2(\overline{u_{i}u_{k}} \ U_{i,k}) ,$$

$$P = \frac{1}{2} P_{ii} ,$$

$$D_{ii} = -2(\overline{u_{i}u_{k}} \ U_{k,i}) ,$$

$$c_{1} = 1.5 , c_{2} = 0.4 .$$
(20)

The last two terms in the turbulent-diffusion group can be modeled as (Eqs. (21) to (25) and Eq. (10) of Ref. 3)

$$- \overline{u_{i}u_{i}(Q_{1}u_{j}), j} - Q_{1}\overline{u_{i}u_{j}u_{i,j}} = \left\{ Q_{1} \left[(\nu_{t}/\sigma_{k})k_{,j} + \frac{1}{2} k(\nu_{t}/\sigma_{k}), j \right] \right\}, j$$
(21)

where the turbulent Schmidt number of k is taken as $\sigma_k = 1.0$ (Ref. 13, under the provisional assumption that it has the same value as in the case of constant density).

There are eight terms in the extra production and transfer group, the last two of which are neglected for being of fourth order. The remaining six terms are modeled next.

The second term, $-Q_1U_i \ \overline{u_i u_{j,j}}$, is modeled following the proposal of Ref. 19 as $Q_1U_i\nu_tU_{j,ij}$. The correlation of the form $\overline{u_i(q_1u_j)}_{,j}$ which appears in the third and fourth terms is expanded as

$$\overline{u_{i}(q_{1}u_{j})}_{,j} = (\overline{u_{i}q_{1}u_{j}})_{,j} - \overline{q_{1}u_{j}u_{i,j}}$$
(22)

where the first term on the right is evaluated using Eq. (17), and the second term is modeled as

$$\overline{q_{1}u_{j}u_{i,j}} = -c_{\phi 5} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} \left[\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{q}} Q_{1,i} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1} \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{q}} \right), i \right]$$
(23)

where the provisional assumption on σ_q has already been mentioned in Section 4. The correlations $\overline{\phi_1 u_1}$ (in the first term) and $\overline{q_1 u_1}$ (in the fifth term) have been discussed earlier (Eqs. (13) and (14)). The sixth term is approximated by

$$\mathbf{U}_{\underline{i}}\mathbf{U}_{\underline{j}} \overline{\mathbf{u}_{\underline{i}}\mathbf{q}_{1,\underline{j}}} \cong \mathbf{U}_{\underline{i}}\mathbf{U}_{\underline{j}} \left[(\overline{\mathbf{u}_{\underline{i}}\mathbf{q}_{1}})_{,\underline{j}} - \overline{\mathbf{q}_{\underline{i}}\mathbf{u}_{\underline{i},\underline{j}}} \right]$$
(24)

where $\overline{q_1 u_{1,j}}$ is neglected for being relatively smaller than $(\overline{u_j q_1})_{,j}$.

The extra dissipation group contains three terms which exist only due to the slip between the two phases. According to Ref. 3 (Eq. (39)) and Refs. 20 and 21 we model the correlation $\overline{u_i(v_i-u_i)}$ by

$$\overline{u_{i}(v_{i}-u_{i})} = -\frac{1}{2} \overline{u_{i}^{2}} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{R}}{\Omega_{2}} f(\omega) d\omega \right)$$
(25)

where ω is the frequency of turbulence and

$$\bar{u}_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{3} \, \overline{u_{i} u_{i}} = \frac{2}{3} k \tag{25}$$

$$\Omega_{1} = (\omega/\alpha)^{2} + \sqrt{6} (\omega/\alpha)^{3/2} + 3(\omega/\alpha) - \sqrt{6} (\omega/\alpha)^{1/2} + 1$$
(27)

$$\Omega_{2} = \beta^{-2} (\omega/\alpha)^{2} + \sqrt{6} \beta^{-1} (\omega/\alpha)^{3/2} + 3(\omega/\alpha) + \sqrt{6} (\omega/\alpha)^{1/2} + 1$$
(28)

$$\Omega_{R} = \left[(1-\beta) \omega / \alpha \beta \right]^{2}$$
(29)

$$f(\omega) = 4(\pi)^{3/2} \lambda \left[\left(\frac{2}{3^{k}} \right)^{-1/2} \right] e^{-(\lambda \omega)^{2} / \left(\frac{8}{3^{k}} \right)}$$
(30)

in which

$$x = 12\mu_{1}/\rho_{1}d^{2}$$
 (31)

$$\beta = 3\rho_1 / (2\rho_2 + \rho_1)$$
(32)

and λ is Taylor's microscale. The last term in the extra dissipation group contains the triple correlations $\overline{\phi_2 u_i u_i}$ and $\overline{\phi_2 u_i v_i}$ which can be modeled by Eqs. (17) and (42) of Ref. 3 as

$$\overline{\phi_{2}u_{i}u_{i}} = -2c_{\phi 5} (k/\epsilon) \overline{u_{i}u_{j}} (\overline{u_{i}\phi_{2}}), j \qquad (33)$$

and

$$\overline{\phi_{2}u_{i}v_{i}} = -c_{\phi 5} (k/\epsilon) \left[\overline{u_{i}v_{j}(v_{i}\phi_{2})}_{,j} + \overline{v_{i}u_{j}(u_{i}\phi_{2})}_{,j} \right] (34)$$

where the double correlations on the right sides have been modeled earlier (Eqs. (13) and (18)).

The term $\mu_1 \Phi_1$ $u_1(u_{1,j}+u_{j,1})$, constitutes the dissipation of k due to viscous action, and if Φ_1 is set to unity it is reduced

:5

to the single-phase dissipation terms. This term is modeled as (Eq. (43) in Ref. 3)

$${}^{\mu}_{1} {}^{\phi}_{1} \, \frac{u_{i}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})}{u_{i}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})}, j \cong -\rho_{1} {}^{\phi}_{1} {}^{\epsilon}$$
(35)

The six terms in the viscous diffusion and dissipation group will be neglected due to their relatively small magnitudes as compared to the terms in the turbulent diffusion group.

The first term in the field forces effects group is modeled by Eq. (14). Similarly the second term in the group can be modeled as

$$\mathbf{u}_{if_{1i}} = -(v_t/\sigma_f)F_{1i,i} - \frac{1}{2}F_{1i}(v_t/\sigma_f)_{,i}$$
(36)

where we make a provisional assumption that σ_f is equal to σ_d .

SECTION 6

CLOSURE OF THE TURBULENCE-ENERGY DISSIPATION-RATE EQUATION

The exact equation of the dissipation rate of turbulence energy ϵ for the carrier fluid appears in Appendix A and consists of 52 terms. They are classified into groups similar to those of the k equation.

Again we neglect all fourth-order correlations as mentioned in the previous section.

All the terms in the first group, labeled Transient, are approximated collectively by $(Q_1 \epsilon)_{t}$.

The convection group consists of eight terms of which only the first and the second are of higher magnitude than the other six at large Reynolds number. This is based on an order of magnitude analysis (Ref. 22) which shows that the first and second terms are greater than the others by at least a factor of (A/λ) , which is of order $(R_{A})^{1/2}$. Here A is the length scale of the energy containing eddies, λ is a Taylor's microscale, and R_{A} is the Reynolds number based on A.

The third term in the production group is decomposed as

 $-2\nu_{1}Q_{1}(\overline{u_{i}u_{j,k}u_{i,k}}), j = -2\nu_{1}Q_{1}(\overline{u_{i,j}u_{j,k}u_{i,k}} + \overline{u_{i}u_{j,k}u_{i,k}}) + \overline{u_{i}u_{j,k}u_{i,k}})$ $+ \overline{u_{i}u_{j,k}u_{i,kj}})$ (37)

The third term on the right side of Eq. (37) and the second term in the production group differ only in their signs and thus cancel each other. The first term on the right side of

Eq. (37), which represents the production of ϵ by selfstretching of the vortex tubes, is the dominant one at large Reynolds number. It is larger than the second term by a factor of R_g and larger than the first term in the production group by a factor of $R_g^{1/2}$.

We, therefore, retain only $-2\nu_1Q_1$ $u_{i,j}u_{j,k}u_{i,k}$ as the main generation of ϵ . This term and the extra production terms are modeled collectively as

 $-2\nu_1 Q_1 = \frac{1}{u_1, ju_1, ku_1, k} + extra production terms = c_{\epsilon 1} G_k \epsilon / k$, (38)

where G_k is the total production of k discussed in Section 5, and $c_{\epsilon 1}$ is a constant of value 1.43 (Ref. 13, under the provisional assumption that it has the same value as in the case of constant density). "Total" here means the production terms which are common to the single-phase and two-phase k equations in addition to the extra production and transfer terms.

The turbulent diffusion group contains six terms. At high Reynolds number only the last two terms will be retained; they are larger by at least a factor of $R_{g}^{1/2}$ than the other terms. These two terms will be modeled collectively as

 $= \left[Q_1(\nu_t/\sigma_\epsilon)\epsilon_{,j} + \frac{1}{2} Q_1\epsilon(\nu_t/\sigma_\epsilon)_{,j} \right], j$ (39)

All the terms in the extra production group except the mean pressure gradient term are smaller than the main production term, modeled in Eq. (38), by at least a factor of $R_0^{-1/2}$ and

thus can be neglected. The mean pressure gradient term is included in the production term (Eq. (38)).

The first term in the viscous diffusion and dissipation group represents the main dissipation of ϵ ; it reduces to the single-phase form when Φ_1 equals unity. This term is larger than the other terms in the group by a factor of $R_{d}^{3/2}$ and thus it is the only one retained. Now the total dissipation of ϵ includes this term in addition to the extra dissipation terms. They are modeled collectively as $Q_1(\epsilon/k)(c_{\epsilon2}\epsilon + c_{\epsilon3}\epsilon_e)$ where ϵ_e represents the extra dissipation terms appearing in the k equation, $c_{\epsilon2}$ is a constant of a value about 1.92 (Ref. 13) and $c_{\epsilon3}$ is a constant of value 1.2 (Ref. 3) (both under the provisional assumption that they have the same values as in the case of constant density).

Both terms in the field forces effects group appear multiplied by the kinematic molecular viscosity of the fluid and therefore will be neglected due to their relatively small magnitudes.

SECTION 7

A SAMPLE APPLICATION

As an example of the application of the modeled k and ϵ equations, let us consider the motion of the dusty air during a nuclear explosion (Ref. 6). In order to understand the essential features of the complicated phenomena, some highly idealized and well-controlled laboratory tests have been performed or planned such as high speed wind above a sand bed in a wind tunnel (Ref. 23) and shock wave sweeping a sand bed in a shock tube (Ref. 24). To interpret and correlate the results from such tests, we may use the two-dimensional version of the present model in Cartesian coordinates x and y. The final form of the modeled k and ϵ equations is given in Appendix B (under the assumptions that the diffusional fluxes in y direction are much larger than those in x direction, that the effective coefficient K = 1 and that $g_v = g$ and $g_x = F_{1x} = F_{1v}$ = 0). The remaining modeled equations contain two mean continuity equations (from Eqs. (8) and (9)), one mean global continuity equation (Eq. (11)), four mean momentum equations (from Eqs. (6) and (7) and Section 4) and one mean equation of state such as the perfect gas equation

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \mathbf{T}_1 \tag{40}$$

where R is the specific gas constant and T_1 is the absolute temperature of the air. Thus, for isothermal problems ($T_1 =$ constant) we have ten equations for ten unknowns Q_1 , Q_2 , ρ_1 , U_x , U_y , V_x , V_y , P, k and ϵ (from which $\phi_1 = Q_1/\rho_1$ and $\phi_2 =$ Q_2/ρ_2 can be readily obtained). With proper initial and boundary conditions, these equations can be solved numerically by a marching finite-difference procedure described in Ref. 5.

The results of such calculation will be presented in a forthcoming report.

If T_1 is variable and the energy exchange between the air and dust can be neglected we need to include a mean energy equation for the air in the numerical solution procedure.

If the energy exchange between the air and dust (at temperature T_2) is not negligible, we need to include the mean energy equations for both air and dust in the numerical solution.

SECTION 8

CONCLUSION

The k - ϵ turbulence model for an incompressible dilute suspension of Ref. 3 has been extended to a compressible dispersed two-phase flow by introducing the apparent densities Q₁ and Q₂ and the material density ρ_1 as new variables. The fluid has variable density and the particles have constant density. This allows the application of the model to a wider class of practical problems.

As in Ref. 3, the k and ϵ equations are first rigorously derived from the two-phase momentum equations and then their closure is provided. This is in contrast to the usual approach based on ad hoc modifications of the single-phase turbulence-kinetic-energy and length-scale equations.

The proposed closure of the equations accounts for the interaction between the two phases and its influence on the turbulence structure. Sparseness of experimental data for variabledensity flows necessitates some provisional assumptions that forms and values of the coefficients in the turbulent correlations of constant-density flows apply to those of variabledensity flows. Such assumptions indicate areas of needed experimental investigations which, when completed, can in turn modify the present work and enhance its validity.

SECTION 9

LIST OF REFERENCES

- H. Danon, M. Wolfshtein and G. Hetsroni, "Numerical Calculations of Two-Phase Turbulent Round Jet," Int. J. Multiphase Flow, 3, 223-234 (1977).
- Zh. D. Genchev and D. S. Karpuzov, "Effects of the Motion of Dust Particles on Turbulent Transport Equations," J. Fluid Mech., 101, 833-842 (1980).

- S. E. Elghobashi and T. W. Abou-Arab, "A Two-Equation Turbulence Model for Two-Phase Flows," Phys. Fluids, 26, 931-938 (1983).
- 4. D. Modarress, J. Wuerer and S. Elghobashi, "An Experimental Study of a Turbulent Round Two-Phase Jet," AIAA/ASME Third Joint Thermophysics, Fluids, Plasma and Heat Transfer Conference, St. Louis, Missouri (1982).
- 5. S. Elghobashi, T. Abou-Arab, M. Rizk and A. Mostafa, "Prediction of the Particle-Laden Jet with a Two-Equation Turbulence Model," Int. J. Multiphase Flow, 10, 697-710 (1984).
- G. Ullrich, "Simulation of Dust-Laden Flow Fields," Seventh International Symposium, Military Applications of Blast Simulation, Medicine Hat, Alberta, Canada, June 13-27, 1981.
- 7. K. Fujii and P. Kutler, "Computations of Two-Phase Supersonic Nozzle Flows by a Space Marching Method," AIAA Paper, Orlando, Florida, January, 1982.
- P. A. Libby, "A Provisional Analysis of Two-Dimensional Turbulent Mixing with Variable Density," Proceedings of NASA Conference on Free Shear Flows, Langley Field, Hampton, VA, July 20-21, 1972, pp. 427-462.
- 9. A. A. Mostafa and S. E. Elghobashi, "A Two-Equation Turbulence Model for Jet Flows Laden with Vaporizing Droplets," Int. J. Multiphase Flow, 11, 515-533 (1985).
- 10. S. L. Soo, <u>Fluid Dynamics of Multiphase Systems</u>, (Blaisdell, Waltham, Ma, 1967).

- 11. A. Favre, "Équations des gaz turbulents compressibles," Journal de Mécanique, 4, 363 (1965).
- 12. J. L. Lumley, "Modeling Turbulent Flux of Passive Scalar Quantities in Inhomogeneous Flows," Phys. Fluids, 18, 619-621 (1975).
- 13. B. E. Launder, A. Morse, W. Rodi and D. B. Spalding, "The Prediction of Free Shear Flows-A Comparison of the Performance of Six Turbulence Models," Proceedings of NASA Conference on Free Shear Flows, Langley Field, Hampton, VA, July 20-21, 1972, pp. 361-426.
- 14. J. L. Lumley, "Computational Modeling of Turbulent Flows," Adv. Appl. Mech. 18, 123-177 (1978).

いいとう

- 15. J. L. Lumley, "Pressure-Strain Correlation," Phys. Fluids, 18, 750 (1975).
- 16. B. E. Launder, "Heat and Mass Transport," in <u>Turbulence</u>, edited by P. Bradshaw (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976).
- 17. K. Hanjalic and B. E. Launder, "A Reynolds Stress Model of Turbulence and Its Application to Thin Shear Flows," J. Fluid Mech. 52, 609-638 (1972).
- 18. B. E. Launder, G. J. Reece and W. Rodi, "Progress in the Development of a Reynolds-Stress Turbulence Closure," J. Fluid Mech. 68, 537-566 (1975).
- 19. F. H. Harlow and P. I. Nakayama, "Transport of Turbulence Energy Decay Rate," Report LA-3854, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory of the University of California, Los Alamos, NM, 1968.
- 20. B. T. Chao, "Turbulent Transport Behavior of Small Particles in Dilute Suspension," Österr. Ing. Arch. 18. 7-21 (1964).
- 21. C. M. Tchen, "Mean Value and Correlation Problems Connected with the Motion of Small Particles Suspended in a Turbulent Fluid," Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague (1947), Ch. 4, p. 72.
- 22. H. Tennekes and J. L. Lumley, <u>A First Course in</u> <u>Turbulence</u>, (MIT, Cambridge, MA, 1972).
- 23. B. Hartenbaum, "Lofting of Particulates by a High-Speed Wind," DNA Report No. 2737, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, D.C. 20305, September 1971.

24. D. R. Ausherman, "Initial Dust Lofting: Shock Tube Experiments," DNA Report No. 3162F, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, D.C. 20305, September 1973.

APPENDIX A

EXACT EQUATIONS OF KINETIC ENERGY OF TURBULENCE AND DISSIPATION RATE OF THAT ENERGY

The exact equation of the turbulence kinetic energy $(k \equiv u_j u_j/2)$ of the carrier fluid is

$$(Q_{1} \overline{u_{i}u_{i}}/2), t + Q_{1}, t \overline{u_{i}u_{i}}/2 + U_{i}, t \overline{u_{i}q_{1}} + U_{i} \overline{u_{i}q_{1}}, t$$

Transient

$$+ \overline{u_{i}(q_{1}u_{i}), t}] + [(Q_{1}U_{j}k), j + k(Q_{1}U_{j}), j] =$$

Convection

$$- [(Q_{1}U_{1}), j \overline{u_{i}u_{j}} + U_{i}, j\overline{q_{1}u_{i}u_{j}} + U_{j}, j\overline{q_{1}u_{i}u_{i}}]$$

Production

$$- \left\{ (1-K \bullet_{2}) [(\overline{u_{i}p}), i-\overline{pu_{i}, i}] + K\overline{\phi_{1}u_{i}p, i} + \overline{u_{i}u_{i}(Q_{1}u_{j}), j} \right]$$

Turbulent Diffusion

$$+ Q_{1}\overline{u_{i}u_{j}u_{i}, j} - [KP, i\overline{\phi_{1}u_{i}} + Q_{1}U_{i} \overline{u_{i}u_{j}, j} + U_{i} \overline{u_{i}(q_{1}u_{j}), j} \right]$$

$$+ U_{j} \overline{u_{i}(q_{1}u_{i}), j} + (U_{i}U_{j}), j\overline{q_{1}u_{i}} + U_{i}U_{j}\overline{u_{i}q_{1}, j} \right]$$

$$+ \overline{q_{1}u_{i}(u_{i}u_{j}), j} + \overline{u_{i}u_{i}u_{i}q_{1}, j} + KF \left[\Phi_{2} \overline{u_{i}(v_{i}-u_{i})} \right]$$

$$+ (V_{i}-U_{i}) \overline{\phi_{2}u_{i}} + \overline{\phi_{2}u_{i}(v_{i}-u_{i})} + \mu_{1} \left[\Phi_{1} \overline{u_{i}(u_{i}, j+u_{j}, i), j} \right] \right]$$

Extra Dissipation

PREVIOUS PAGE IS BLANK

$$+ \mu_{1} \left\{ \Psi_{1,j} \overline{u_{1}(u_{1,j}+u_{j,i})} + \left[(U_{1,j}+U_{j,i})\Psi_{1} \right], ju_{1} \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \left[\overline{(u_{1,j}+u_{j,i})\Psi_{1}} \right], ju_{1} - \frac{2}{3} \left[(\overline{+_{1}u_{g,g}}), iu_{1} + (\overline{+_{1}U_{g,g}}), iu_{1} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\overline{(\Psi_{1}u_{g,g})}, iu_{1} \right] \right\} + \left[g_{i} \overline{u_{i}q_{1}} + \overline{u_{i}f_{1i}} \right]$$

$$+ \overline{(\Psi_{1}u_{g,g})}, iu_{1} \right] \right\} + \left[g_{i} \overline{u_{i}q_{1}} + \overline{u_{i}f_{1i}} \right]$$

$$Field Forces Effects
The exact equation of the dissipation rate of k
$$(e \equiv v_{1} \overline{u_{1,k}u_{1,k}}) \text{ is }$$

$$2v_{1} \left[(\overline{Q_{1}u_{1}}), ktu_{i,k} + (\overline{q_{1}U_{1}}), ktu_{i,k} + (\overline{q_{1}u_{1}}), ktu_{i,k}] \right]$$

$$+ \left\{ (Q_{1}U_{j}e), j + e(Q_{1}U_{j}), j + 2v_{1} \left[(Q_{1}U_{i}), j \overline{u_{i,k}u_{j,k}} \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \left[(Q_{1}U_{j}), k\overline{u_{j,j}u_{i,k}} + (Q_{1}U_{i}), jk\overline{u_{i,k}u_{j,j}} \right] \right\} = -2v_{1} \left[Q_{1} \overline{u_{i,k}u_{i,k}u_{i,j}} \right]$$

$$+ \left[(Q_{1}U_{j}), jk \overline{u_{1}u_{i,k}} + Q_{1}U_{i} \overline{u_{i,k}u_{j,j}} \right] \right\}$$

$$= -2v_{1} \left[Q_{1} \overline{u_{i,k}u_{i,k}u_{i,k}u_{i,j}} \right]$$

$$+ 2v_{1}KF \left\{ \left[\overline{\Psi_{2}(v_{i}-u_{i})} \right], k\overline{u_{i,k}} \right\} - 2v_{1} \left[Q_{1,jk} \overline{u_{iuj}u_{i,k}} \right]$$$$

(e

$$+ Q_{1,k}(\overline{u_{1}u_{j,j}^{+}u_{j}u_{i,j}^{+})u_{i,k}^{-}} + Q_{1,j}\overline{u_{1}u_{j,k}u_{i,k}^{-}} + \overline{p_{,k}u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ Turbulent Diffusion \\ + Q_{1}\overline{u_{j}u_{i,k}u_{i,jk}^{-}} + Q_{1,j}\overline{u_{j}u_{i,k}u_{i,k}^{-}} + 2v_{1}\left\{\overline{(K+2p,i),k^{u}_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - KP_{,ki}\overline{\phi_{1}u_{i,k}^{-}} - P_{,i}\overline{u_{i,k}(K\phi_{1}),k} - \overline{(K\phi_{1}p,i),k^{u}_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - \overline{u_{i,k}[(q_{1}U_{i}),j^{u}_{j,k}^{+}(q_{1}U_{i}),k^{u}_{j,j}]} - U_{i}\overline{q_{1}u_{i,k}u_{j,jk}^{-}} \\ - \overline{u_{i,k}[(q_{1}U_{i}),j^{k}} - U_{j}\overline{q_{1}u_{i,k}u_{i,jk}^{-}} - \overline{u_{i}(q_{1}U_{j}),j^{k}u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - \overline{u_{j}u_{i,k}(q_{1}U_{i}),j^{k}} - U_{j}\overline{q_{1}u_{i,k}u_{i,jk}^{-}} - \overline{u_{i}(q_{1}U_{j}),j^{k}u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - \overline{u_{j}u_{i,k}(q_{1}U_{i}),j^{k}} - U_{j}\overline{q_{1}u_{i,k}u_{i,jk}^{-}} - \overline{u_{i}(q_{1}U_{j}),j^{k}u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - \overline{[(q_{1}U_{j}),j^{u}_{i,k}u_{i,k}^{-}} + \overline{(q_{1}U_{j}),k^{u}_{i,j}^{-}j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}}] \\ - Production \\ - [\overline{U_{i}U_{j}q_{1,jk}^{+}q_{1,k}(\overline{U_{i}U_{j,j}^{+}+U_{j}U_{j,j}^{-}j)]u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - [\overline{q_{1}(u_{i,j}^{-}j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}} - [\overline{u_{i}u_{j}q_{1,jk}^{+}+q_{1}(u_{j}u_{i,k}^{-}),j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}]] u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ - U_{j}\overline{U_{i,k}\overline{q_{1,j}u_{i,k}^{-}}} - [\overline{u_{i}u_{j}q_{1,jk}^{+}+q_{1}(u_{j}u_{i,k}^{-}),j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}}] u_{i,k}^{-}] u_{i,k}^{-} \\ - [\overline{q_{1}(u_{i,j}^{-}u_{j,j}^{-})],j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}} - [\overline{u_{i}u_{j}q_{1,jk}^{+}+q_{1}(u_{j}u_{i,k}^{-}),j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}}] u_{i,k}^{-} \\ - U_{j}\overline{U_{i,k}\overline{q_{1,j}u_{i,k}^{-}}} - [\overline{u_{i}u_{j}q_{1,jk}^{-}+q_{1}(u_{j}u_{i,k}^{-}),j^{u}_{i,k}^{-}}] u_{i,k}^{-}] u_{i,k}^{-} \\ + 2\rho_{1}v_{1}^{2}\left\{ \overline{\left[+1(u_{i,j}^{+}u_{j,i}^{-})\right],j^{u}_{k}u_{i,k}^{-}} - \frac{2}{3}\left[(\overline{\left[+u_{d,j}^{-}y\right],i^{u}_{k}u_{i,k}^{-}}\right] \right\} \\ + \frac{v_{i}(\overline{u_{i,j}^{-}y}u_{i,k}^{-}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}] u_{i,k}^{-} u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ + (\overline{\phi_{1}u_{d,k}^{-}),i^{u}u_{i,k}^{-}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}] u_{i,k}^{-} u_{i,k}^{-}}] \\ + \frac{v_{i}(\overline{u_{i,j}^{-}y}u_{i,k}^{-}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}] u_{i,k}^{-}} u_{i,k}^{-}} \\ + \frac{v_{i}(\overline{u_{i,j}^{-}y}u_{i,k}^{-}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-}}+\overline{u_{i,k}^{-$$

APPENDIX B

The modeled form of κ and ϵ equations

The modeled conservation equations of the kinetic energy and the dissipation rate of that energy for the carrier fluid in the sample application are listed here.

(i) The k equation:

5

فتديم ويترجعه

Sec. Sec. Sec.

$$\begin{split} & \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1}k_{,t} \end{bmatrix}_{t}^{+} = Q_{1} \left[\begin{bmatrix} U_{x}k_{,x} + U_{y}k_{,y} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \text{Convection} \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v_{t}U_{x,y}(Q_{1}U_{x})_{,y} - \frac{2}{3}k(Q_{1}U_{y})_{,y} \end{bmatrix} \\ & + c_{\phi5}(\frac{k}{\epsilon}) \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} Q_{1,y} \right)_{,y} \left(v_{t}U_{x,y}^{2} - \frac{4}{3}kU_{y,y} \right) \right\} \\ & \text{Production (P)} \\ & - \left\{ Q_{1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{6.4}{11} \left(v_{t}U_{x,y}^{2} - \frac{2}{3}k U_{y,y} \right) - \frac{43}{55}k U_{y,y} \right] \right\} \\ & + \left[Q_{1} \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{b}} \right) k_{,y} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1}k \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{k}} \right)_{,y} \right]_{,y} \right\} \\ & + \left[Q_{1} \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{b}} \right) k_{,y} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1}k \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{k}} \right)_{,y} \right]_{,y} \right\} \\ & + \left[- \left(\frac{P_{,y}}{\rho_{2}} \right) \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} \right) Q_{2,y} + v_{t}Q_{1}U_{y}U_{y,yy} \\ & + c_{\phi5}U_{x} \left[\left(\frac{k}{\epsilon} \right) v_{t}U_{x,y} \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} Q_{1,y} \right)_{,y} \right]_{,y} + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{k} \right) \left[\left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} Q_{1,y} \right) + 0.5 Q_{1} \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} \right) \right]_{,y} \right] \\ & \text{Extra Production (P)} \\ & + \left(U_{y}^{2} \right)_{,y} \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} \right) Q_{1,y} + U_{y}^{2} \left[\left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} \right) Q_{1,y} \right]_{,y} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$- \left(\frac{F}{\rho_{2}}\right) \left[kQ_{2} \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_{1} - \Omega_{R}}{\Omega_{2}} f(\omega) d\omega \right) - \left(V_{y} - U_{y}\right) \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}}\right) Q_{2,y} \right]$$
Extra Dissipation (ε_{e})
$$+ c_{\phi 5} \left(\frac{F}{3\rho_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon}\right) \left(1 - \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_{1} - \Omega_{R}}{\Omega_{2}} f(\omega) d\omega \right) \left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}} Q_{2,y}\right), y \right]$$

$$- \left[Q_{1}\varepsilon\right] - q \left[\left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}}\right)Q_{1,y} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1}\left(\frac{v_{t}}{\sigma_{\phi}}\right), y\right]$$
Dissipation Field Forces Effects
$$(ii) \text{ The } \varepsilon \text{ equation:}$$

$$Q_{1}\varepsilon, t + Q_{1}\left[U_{x}\varepsilon, x + U_{y}\varepsilon, y\right]$$
Dransient Convection
$$= \left[c_{\varepsilon 1}(\varepsilon/k) \left(P + P_{e}\right)\right] + \left[Q_{1}\left(v_{t}/\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)\varepsilon, y + \frac{1}{2} Q_{1}\varepsilon\left(v_{t}/\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right), y\right]$$

$$Total Production Diffusion
$$- \left[Q_{1}(\varepsilon/k) \left(c_{\varepsilon 2}\varepsilon + c_{\varepsilon 3}\varepsilon_{e}\right)\right]$$$$

,Y

The notations used in the partial derivatives have been explained in Section 2 of the text, thus (), means $\partial()/\partial t$, (), means $\partial()/\partial x$ and (), means $\partial()/\partial y$, where x and y are the distances along the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. The values of the constants appearing in the two equations are:

$$c_{\epsilon 1} = 1.43, c_{\epsilon 2} = 1.92, c_{\epsilon 3} = 1.2, c_{\phi 5} = 0.1, \sigma_{k} = 1.0,$$

 $\sigma_{\epsilon} = 1.3, \sigma_{\phi} = 1.0$.

APPENDIX C

NOMENCLATURE

c ₁ , c ₂ , c	$c_{\mu'} c_{\epsilon 1'} c_{\epsilon 2'} c_{\epsilon 3'} c_{\phi 1'} c_{\phi 2'} c_{\phi 3'} c_{\phi 4'} c_{\phi 5}$
	constants in the turbulence model
d	particle diameter
Dii	an expression defined in Eqs. (20)
e _m , e _n	unit vectors
f(ω)	an expression defined in Eq. (30)
fi	fluctuating component of body forces other than
	that due to gravity
Fi	instantaneous (in Eqs. (1) and (2)) or time-mean component of body forces other than that due to
	gravity
F	interface friction coefficient
gi	component of gravitational acceleration
Gk	total production of k
k	turbulence kinetic energy of the fluid
K	local effectiveness of momentum transfer from the
	particle phase to the fluid
2	length scale
p	pressure fluctuation
P	instantaneous (in Eqs. (1) and (2)) or time-mean
	pressure
P	an expression defined in Eqs. (20)
P	production (in Appendix B)
Pe	extra production (in Appendix B)
đ	fluctuation of the apparent density
Q	instantaneous (in Eqs. (1)-(5)) or time-mean
	apparent density
R	specific gas constant
Rg	Reynolds number based on I

t	time
T	absolute temperature
ui	fluctuating velocity component of the fluid
υ _i	instantaneous (in Eqs. (1)-(3)) or time-mean velocity component of the fluid
vi	fluctuating velocity component of the particle phase
vi	instantaneous (in Eqs. $(1)-(4)$) or time-mean velocity component of the particle phase
xi	rectangular spatial coordinate
x	horizontal coordinate
У	vertical coordinate
Greek syn	nbols
α	an expression defined in Eq. (31)
β	an expression defined in Eq. (32)
^{\$} ij	Kronecker symbol
E	dissipation rate of k
۴e	extra dissipation terms in the k equation
λ	Taylor's microscale
μ	viscosity
ν	kinematic viscosity
۳t	kinematic eddy viscosity
م	material density
α φ , α <u>d</u> , α	σk, σf, σε turbulent Schmidt numbers
ø	fluctuation of the volume fraction
	instantaneous (in Eqs. (1)-(5)) or time-mean volume
	fraction
сı С	frequency of turbulence
Ω	an expression defined in Eq. (27)
Ω ₂	an expression defined in Eq. (28)
Ω _R	an expression defined in Eq. (29)

いいいい

ſ

Subscripts

1 fluid phase

- 2 particle phase
- ,t partial derivative with respect to t
- , j partial derivative with respect to x_j

Superscript

- ----- time-averaged value
 - fluctuating component

GENERAL MALALAL GENERALS

ANALY ALLEY STATES

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

AIR FORCE SOUTH ATTN: U S DOC OFFICER

ASST TO THE SECY OF DEFENSE ATOMIC ENERGY ATTN: EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT

COMMANDER IN CHIEF, ATLANTIC ATTN: J36

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY ATTN: DT-2 ATTN: RTS ATTN: RTS-2B

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY ATTN: SPAS ATTN: STSP 4 CYS ATTN: STTI-CA

DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER 12 CYS ATTN: DD

FIELD COMMAND DNA DET 2 LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LAB ATTN: FC-1

FIELD COMMAND DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY ATTN: FCPR ATTN: FCT COL J MITCHELL ATTN: FCTT W SUMMA ATTN: FCTXE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF ATTN: J-5 NUC & CHEM DIV

JOINT STRAT TGT PLANNING STAFF ATTN: JLK DNA REP ATTN: JLKS ATTN: JPPFM

UNDER SECY OF DEF FOR RSCH & ENGRG ATTN: STRAT & SPACE SYS (OS) ATTN: STRAT & THR NUC FOR J THOMPSON ATTN: STRAT & THEATER NUC FORCES

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

DEP CH OF STAFF FOR OPS & PLANS ATTN: DAMO-NCZ HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES ATTN: SLCHD-NW-RH

U S ARMY MATERIAL COMMAND ATTN: DRCDE-D

U S ARMY MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY ATTN: DRXMR-HH

U S ARMY STRATEGIC DEFENSE CMD ATTN: DACS-BM/TECH DIV

U S ARMY STRATEGIC DEFENSE COMMAND ATTN: ATC-D WATTS ATTN: ATC-R ANDREWS

US ARMY WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE ATTN: STEWS-NR-CF R VALENCIA

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVAL ELECTRONICS ENGRG ACTVY, PACIFIC ATTN: CODE 250 D OBRYHIM

NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION ATTN: J MILLER CODE 5422 I

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: CODE 2627 TECH LIB ATTN: CODE 4040 D BOOK

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND ATTN: SEA-0351

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER ATTN: CODE K82 ATTN: CODE R44 H GLAZ

OFC OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPS ATTN: NOP 654 STRAT EVAL & ANAL BR

STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROGRAMS (PM-1) ATTN: SP-272

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ATTN: DLW

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE (CONTINUED)

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY, AFSC ATTN: NTED J RENICK ATTN: NTED LT KITCH ATTN: NTED R HENNY ATTN: NTEDA ATTN: NTES ATTN: SUL

AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LAB ATTN: FIBC ATTN: FIMG

AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LAB ATTN: AFWAL/MLP ATTN: AFWAL/MLTM

AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY ATTN: AUL-LSE

BALLISTIC MISSILE OFFICE/DAA ATTN: CAPT T KING MGEN ATTN: CC MAJ GEN CASEY ATTN: ENSN ATTN: ENSR

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF ATTN: AF/RDQI

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF ATTN: AFRDS SPACE SYS & C3 DIR

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC ATTN: SDBG

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND ATTN: NRI/STINFO

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND ATTN: XPFS

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND ATTN: XPQ

161 ARG ARIZONA ANG ATTN: LTCOL SHERER

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LAB ATTN: D BURTON ATTN: L-10 H KRUGER ATTN: L-10 J CAROTHERS ATTN: L-122 G GOUDREAU ATTN: L-122 S SACKETT ATTN: L-203 T BUTKOVICH ATTN: L-22 D CLARK ATTN: L-8 P CHRZANOWSKI

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY ATTN: A112 MS R SELDEN ATTN: M T SANDFORD ATTN: R WHITAKER

SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES ATTN: D J RIGALI ATTN: ORG 7112 A CHABAI ATTN: R G CLEM

OTHER GOVERNMENT

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY ATTN: OSWR/NED

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR ATTN: D RODDY

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

ACUREX CORP ATTN: C WOLF

AEROSPACE CORP ATTN: H MIRELS

APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC ATTN: N HIGGINS

APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC ATTN: S BLOUIN

APPLIED RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC ATTN: D PIEPENBURG

BELL AEROSPACE TEXTRON ATTN: C TILYOU

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY, INC ATTN: K KREYENHAGEN ATTN: M ROSENBLATT

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY, INC ATTN: F SAUER

H-TECH LABS, INC ATTN: B HARTENBAUM

INFORMATION SCIENCE, INC ATTN: W DUDZIAK

DEPT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS (CONTINUED

KAMAN SCIENCES CORP ATTN: L MENTE ATTN: R RUETENIK ATTN: W LEE

MAXWELL LABORATORIES, INC ATTN: J MURPHY

MERRITT CASES, INC ATTN: J MERRITT

NEW MEXICO ENGRG RESEARCH INSTITUTE ATTN: G LEIGH

R & D ASSOCIATES ATTN: A KUHL ATTN: C K B LEE 2 CYS ATTN: G YEH ATTN: J LEWIS ATTN: P RAUSCH 2 CYS ATTN: S ELGHOBASHI

R & D ASSOCIATES ATTN: P MOSTELLER S-CUBED ATTN: A WILSON

S-CUBED ATTN: C NEEDHAM

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP ATTN: H WILSON

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP ATTN: J COCKAYNE ATTN: W LAYSON

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP ATTN: A MARTELLUCCI

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTL CORP ATTN: G BINNINGER

TRW ELECTRONICS & DEFENSE SECTOR ATTN: G HULCHER ATTN: P DAI

WEIDLINGER ASSOC, CONSULTING ENGRG ATTN: P WEIDLINGER



Ző

