

AD-A170

-1-

with boundary conditions at $\partial \Omega_{12}$,

1

ALE CALLED A CALLED A

 $\underline{\mathbf{n}} \times \underline{\mathbf{E}}_2 = \underline{\mathbf{n}} \times \underline{\mathbf{E}}_1, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{n}} \times \underline{\mathbf{H}}_2 = \underline{\mathbf{n}} \times \underline{\mathbf{H}}_1,$

 $\varepsilon_{o} \underline{E}_{2} \cdot \underline{n} = \underline{c} \underline{E}_{1} \cdot \underline{n}, \qquad \mu_{o} \underline{H}_{2} \cdot \underline{n} = \underline{\mu} \underline{H}_{1} \cdot \underline{n},$ and the asymptotic terminating condition at $\partial \Omega_{2}$,

$$\underline{\mathbf{n}} \times \underline{\mathbf{E}}_{3} = (\mu_{o}/\varepsilon_{o})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\underline{\mathbf{n}} \times \underline{\mathbf{H}}_{3}),$$

where n is the unit normal vector at the interfaces and

	[Ex	0	0]			["x	0	0	1
€ =	0 °E	·e,	0	,	<u>µ</u> =	.0	μ,	0	
	lo	ó	εz			0	ó	μ _z .	J

Eq. (1) is discretized by using the rectangle rule on the line integral around the edges of all incremental quadrilateral defined by the grid system. Let each grid point of the non-orthogonal polar grid system be denoted by $(r_{ij}, \theta_j) \equiv (i,j)$, where "i" and "j" denote the i-th closed cylindrical grid line and the j-th radial grid line respectively; let the center of the quadrilateral defined by (i,j), (i+1,j), (i,j+1) and (i+1,j+1) be denoted by (i+i,j+i); let $\Delta l_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the incremental distance between the points α and β , and ΔA_{γ} be the area of the incremental quadrilateral centered at γ . Moreover, let i = 1, 2, 3, ..., I, and j = 1, 2, 3, ..., J.



(2)

(3)

In the neighborhood of (i,j) of Ω_1 , the typical discretized (1) is

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_{i+i_{2},j}(r_{i+1,j}-r_{i,j}) - \mathbb{E}_{i+i_{2},j+1}(r_{i+1,j+1}-r_{i,j+1}) \\ & + \mathbb{E}_{i+1,j+i_{2}}^{\Delta 2} (r_{i+1,j+i_{2}}-\mathbb{E}_{i,j+i_{2}}^{\Delta 2} (r_{i,j+i_{2}}-\mathbb{E}_{i,j+i_{2}}^{\Delta 2} (r_{i,j+i_{2}}-\mathbb{E}_{i,j+i_{2}})^{(2} (r_{i,j+i_{2}}-\mathbb{E}_{i,j+i_$$

in the neighborhood of (i,j) of Ω_2 , the typical discretized (1) is

$$E_{i+i_{2},j}(r_{i+1,j}-r_{i,j}) - E_{i+i_{2},j+1}(r_{i+1,j+1}-r_{i,j+1}) + E_{i+1,j+i_{2}}^{\Delta \ell}(i+1,j+i_{2}-E_{i,j+i_{2}}^{\Delta \ell}(i,j+i_{2}-i)\omega_{0}^{H}(i+i_{2},j+i_{2})^{A}(i+i_{2},j+i_{2}) (H_{i-i_{2},j+i_{2}}-H_{i+i_{2},j+i_{2}}) \frac{4(r_{i,j+1}+r_{i,j})sin i_{2}(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_{j})}{r_{i+1,j+1}+r_{i+1,j}-r_{i-1,j+1}-r_{i-1,j}} = -i\omega\varepsilon_{0} \{\Delta \ell_{i,j+i_{2}}E_{i,j+i_{2}}-i_{3}(r_{i,j+1}-r_{i,j})\cos i_{2}(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_{j}) \cdot (E_{i-i_{2},j}+E_{i+i_{2},j}+E_{i-i_{2},j+1}+E_{i+i_{2},j+1})\};$$

and in the neighborhood of (i,j) of
$$\Omega_3$$
, the typical discretized (1) is

$$E_{i+j_2,j}(r_{i+1}-r_i) - E_{i+j_2,j+1}(r_{i+1}-r_i) + E_{i+1,j+j_2}(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j)r_{i+1} - E_{i,j+j_2}(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j)r_i = i\omega\mu_0^{j_2}(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j)(r_{i+1}-r_i)(r_{i+1}-r_i)H_{i+j_2,j+j_2},$$

$$(H_{i-j_2,j+j_2} - H_{i+j_2,j+j_2}) - \frac{4r_i \sin j_2(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j)}{r_{i+1}-r_{i-1}}$$

$$= -i\omega\epsilon_0 r_i(\theta_{j+1}-\theta_j)E_{i,j+j_2} + source terms due to the presence of $\underline{J},$$$

where
$$\Delta l_{i,j+l_2} = \frac{l_2(r_{i,j+l_2} + r_{i,j})(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j)}{and \Delta A_{i+l_2,j+l_2}} = \frac{l_2(\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j)\{(r_{i+1,j} - r_{i,j})r_{i,j+1} + (r_{i+1,j+1} - r_{i,j+1})r_{i+1,j}\}}{dr_{i+1,j}}$$

-3-

In this way, the most natural finite difference discratization of the Maxwell's equations on a staggered grid system (Fig. 2) is obtained.

If the differences of the material properties spread linearly across a grid zone instead of across the interface, then there is no need to impose the boundary conditions (2) at the material interface, because the boundary condition for the tangential component of \underline{E} is satisfied automatically and the other three boundary conditions are also satisfied automatically but approximately. In this way, there is no cumbersome instruction and treatment at the interface to slow down the calculation on the computer. The discretization of the terminating condition (3) is

$$E_{I,j+\frac{1}{2}} = (\mu_0/\epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{I-\frac{1}{2},j+\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$j = 0,1,2,3,\ldots, J-1.$$

To organize the above discretized (1)-(3) into a linear algebraic system, we first decompose the complex electromagnetic fields into their real and imaginary parts, i.e., $\underline{E} = \underline{E}^* + i \underline{E}^*$ and $\underline{H} = \underline{H}^* + i \underline{H}^*$. Then the three discretized complex scalar field equations become six real scalar field equations. Next, let the components of the unknown field vector \underline{X} be arranged cyclic in "j" for each half integer incremental increasing in "i", i.e.,

 $\underline{\mathbf{X}} = (\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{1},1}^{\star}, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},2}^{\star}, \dots, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},J}^{\star}, /\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},1}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},2}^{\sharp}, \dots, \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},J}^{\sharp}, /\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{\star}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{\star}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{\star}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{\star}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{\star}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2},\mathbf{x}_{2}}^{\sharp}, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_{2},$

let the known source vector be

<u>**B</u></u> = (0,0,0,0,0,\dots,b_1,b_2,\dots,b_1)^{\mathrm{T}},</u>**

where the non-zero components b's depend upon the spatial distribution of the source \underline{J} ,

and the system matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}$ is a non-symmetric band-structured sparse matrix which is shown in the following:

Let Ω_1 be defined by $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., \tau$, Ω_2 be defined by $i = \tau, \tau+1, ..., \gamma$, and Ω_3 be defined by $i = \gamma, \gamma+1, ..., I$.

Then



where sparse

matrices $\underline{A}_{1,1} = \underline{A}_{1,2} = \cdots = \underline{A}_{1,\tau}$ correspond to (i,j) in Ω_1 , $\underline{A}_{2,\tau+1} = \underline{A}_{2,\tau+2}$ = $\cdots = \underline{A}_{2,\gamma}$ correspond to (i,j) in Ω_2 , and $\underline{A}_{3,\gamma+1} = \underline{A}_{3,\gamma+2} = \cdots = \underline{A}_{3,1-1}$ $\neq \underline{A}_{3,1}$ correspond to (i,j) in Ω_3 .

Because $\underline{A} \times \underline{X} = \underline{B}$ will be solved many times for different \underline{B} 's, the method of LU-decomposition will be used to solve this linear algebraic system for saving computer times. At this moment, serious programming effort has just begun.

-5-