TM	86-1	TP
----	------	----

AD-A170 354

VPP

FIE

E

COPY NO. 29

Jechnical Memorandum

DERIVATION OF THE RADAR RANGE EQUATION FOR A PULSE-DOPPLER RADAR WITH RANGE AND VELOCITY GATING AND COHERENT AND NONCOHERENT PULSE INTEGRATION

by

Dr. George Masters

U.S. Naval Test Pilot School

27 June 1986



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND

88 2 20 077

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND 20670-5304

TM 86-1 TP 27 June 1986

The application of advanced radar signal processing techniques to the detection of airborne moving targets is of increasing importance in air warfare. Several test programs at NAVAIRTESTCEN, both current and planned, are concerned with the evaluation of radar systems which utilize such advanced techniques. In order to facilitate the analysis of such systems at NAVAIRTESTCEN, this technical memorandum sets forth the manner in which factors accounting for the more common techniques may be incorporated into the radar range equation.

ADY

Commander, Naval Air Test Center

UNCLASSIFIE

K)A	1. 🤇	 Ľ.

		DEAD INCEDITORIO	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
I REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
TM 86-1 TP			
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
DERIVATION OF THE RADAR RANG	GE EQUATION	TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM	
FOR A PULSE-DOPPLER RADAR WITH	I RANGE AND		
VELOCITY GATING AND COHI	ERENT AND	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
NONCOHERENT PULSE INTEGRATION			
		B. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(S)	
DR. GEORGE MASTERS			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	<u> </u>	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK	
NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
U.S. NAVAL TEST PILOT SCHOOL			
PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND 20670-	5304		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
NAVAL AIK IESI CENIER DEDADTMENT OF THE NAVY		27 JUNE 1986	
DEPARIMENT OF THE NAVI	5204	13 NUMBER OF PAGES	
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different	JOUT	15 SECURITY CLASS (of this mount)	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
		UNCLASSIFIED	
		150. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING	
16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DIS	STRIBUTION UNL	IMITED.	
·			
17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in	n Block 20, if different from	n Report)	
B SUPPLEMENTARY NJIES			
19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)		
RADAR RANGE EQUATION			
PULSE-DOPPLER RADAR			
RANGE AND VELOCITY GATING			
COHERENT AND NONCOHERENT PULS	SE INTEGRATION		
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)		
The radar range equation is employed in	test planning to p	redict the probability of target	
detection for a given set of test conditions (target parameters, range, velocity, aspect			
angle, radar parameters, etc.). This technical memorandum documents the detailed			
development of the radar range equation including the effects of range gating, velocity			
gating, and both predetection and postdetection pulse integration.			

D I JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

SUMMARY

The radar range equation is employed in test planning to predict the probability of target detection for a given set of test conditions (target parameters, range, velocity, aspect angle, radar parameters, etc.). This technical memorandum documents the detailed development of the radar range equation including the effects of range gating, velocity gating, and both predetection and postdetection pulse integration.



Augesta 1...; . . . ч , 10



THE BASIC RADAR RANGE EQUATION

The radar range equation may be written in a form expressing the signal-to-noise ratio expected for a given set of radar and target parameters. In the following development, an expression is derived for the signal-to-noise ratio to be expected when several modern signal processing techniques are employed. The development begins with the range equation for a basic, pulsed radar, and neglects propagation absorption and scattering losses.

The radar equation for a pulsed radar without pulse integration and without range or velocity gating may be written:

$$(S/N)_{O} = \frac{P_{a}G_{a}^{2}\lambda^{2}\sigma}{(4\pi)^{3}d_{t}L_{sO}N_{s}R_{t}^{4}}$$

where:

 $(S/N)_{O}$ = Signal-to-Noise Ratio at Target Detection Filter (n.d.)

 P_a = Average Power at Transmitter Output (W)

- $G_a = Transmit/Receive Antenna Gain (n.d.)$
- λ = Carrier Wavelength (m)
- σ = Target Radar Cross Section (m²)
- d_t = Transmitter Duty Cycle (n.d.) = $\tau_p f_r$
- $\mathbf{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}$ = Transmitted Pulse Width (sec)
- $f_r = Pulse Repetition Frequency (Hz)$
- L_{SO} = Total System Losses Except for Those Associated with Gating and Pulse Integration (n.d.)
- N_s = Total Internal System Noise (W)
- R_t = Range to the Target (m)

The system loss for the basic pulse radar is given by the equation:

$$L_{so} = L_c^2 L_{dx}^2 L_r L_{da}$$

where:

L_{SO} = System Loss Factor (n.d.) L_C = One-Way Cable Loss (n.d.)

 L_c = One-Way Cable Loss (n. L_{dx} = Duplexer Loss (n.d.)

 L_{dx} = Duplexer Loss (n.d.) L_r = Receiver Loss (n.d.)

 L_{da} = Detection Algorithm Loss (n.d.)

The system noise, for a system dominated by internal noise, is given by the equation:

$$N_s = kT_0B_{if}F_n$$

where:

 $N_s = System Noise (W)$

k = Boltzmann's Constant (W-sec/deg)

 T_0 Standard Reference Temperature = 290°K

- B_{if} Intermediate Frequency Bandwidth (Hz) = $1/T_p$
- F_n Effective Receiver Noise Figure (n.d.)

PREDETECTION PULSE INTEGRATION

When predetection (coherent) pulse integration is employed, the signal-to-noise ratio is increased, except for processing losses, by a factor equal to the number of pulses coherently integrated, N_{ci} . Thus:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_{O}(N_{Ci}/L_{Ci}) = (S/N)_{O}(f_{r}T_{s}/L_{Ci})$$

where:

(S/N)₀ Signal-to-Noise Ratio of Basic Pulse Radar (n.d.)

 $(S/N)_{O} = P_a G_a^2 \lambda^2 \sigma / (4\pi)^3 d_t L_{SO} N_s R_t^4$

f_r = Pulse Repetition Frequency (Hz)

 T_S = Coherent (Predetection) Integration Sampling (Integration) Time (sec)

 L_{Ci} — Elosses Associated with Coherent Pulse Integration (n.d.)

 $L_{ci} = L_s L_q$ (n.d.)

 $L_s =$ Sampling Loss (n.d.)

 L_{G} = Quantization Loss (n.d.)

N_{ci} = Number of Pulses Coherently Integrated (n.d.)

POSTDETECTION PULSE INTEGRATION

When postdetection (noncoherent) pulse integration is employed, the signal-to-noise ratio is increased, except for processing losses, (L'nci), by a factor equal to the number of pulses (samples) noncoherently integrated, N_{nCi} , times the noncoherent integration efficiency factor, E_{nCi} . Thus:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_O(N_{nci}E_{nci}/L'_{nci}) = (S/N)_O(f_ST_{nci}/L_{nci})$$

 $\circ \mathbf{r}$:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_{O}(T_{nci}/T_{s}L_{nci})$$

where:

f_s = Predetection Sampling Frequency (Hz)

 T_s = Predetection Sampling Period (sec)

 T_{nci} = Noncoherent Integration Time (sec)

L_{nci} = Losses Associated with Noncoherent Integration, Including Efficiency Factor (n.d.)

 $L_{nci} = (N_{nci})^{0.2} = (f_s T_{nci})^{0.2}$ (n.d.)

N_{nci} Number of Samples Noncoherently Integrated (n.d.)

When both predetection (coherent) and postdetection (noncoherent) pulse integration are employed, the radar equation becomes:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_O(f_r T_{nci}/L_{ci}L_{nci})$$

RANGE GATING

When range gating is employed, two effects change the signal-to-noise ratio: range gate eclipsing and range gate noise reduction. The effect of range gate eclipsing is to reduce the target return signal-to-noise ratio by losing that part of the return signal not within the range gate. The effect of range gate mismatch is to reduce the target return signal-to-noise ratio by increasing the noise received when the gate width is excessively large. These effects can be included in the range equation by defining two effective duty cycles in addition to the transmitter duty cycle (see Skolnik, Radar Handbook, chapter 19). Thus:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_{\Omega} (d_r^2/d_{\sigma}) (n.d.)$$

where:

- = Variable Received Gated-Pulse Duty Cycle due to Position of Target with d, Respect to the Range Gate
- = τ_r / τ_p (n.d.) $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{r}}$

= Receiver Duty Cycle due to Range Gating

dg = $\mathbf{\tau}_g/\mathbf{T}_r$ (n.d.) dg Tr

= Pulse Repetition Interval (sec)

- = Range-Gate Interval (Width) (sec)
- τ_g = Pulse Width (sec)
 - = Received Pulse Width (After Eclipsing) (sec) (This factor is defined in terms of target range in the following material.)

Employing the foregoing expressions for d_r and d_g , the signal-to-noise ratio is given by:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_O(\tau_r^2 T_r/\tau_p^2 \tau_g) = (S/N)_O(\tau_r/\tau_p)^2(T_r/\tau_g)$$

or defining a range gate eclipsing gain, G_{rge} , (≤ 1) and a range gate noise-reduction gain, $G_{rgnr}(\geq 1)$:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_O G_{rge}G_{rgnr}$$

where:

$$G_{rge} = (\tau_r/\tau_p)^2$$
 and $G_{rgnr} = (T_r/\tau_g)$

The range gate eclipsing gain, G_{rge} , is a function of the range of the target missile with respect to the range gate, as well as the size of the range gate and the pulse width of the radar. The range gate eclipsing gain is best represented by the following equations in terms of the target and range gate times depicted below.



where:

 T_t Time (of Two-Way Propagation) Corresponding to Range of Target Missile (sec)

- T_g Time Corresponding to Range of Inner Edge of Range Gate (sec)
- τ_{g}° Range Gate Interval (Width) (sec)
- $| \boldsymbol{\tau}_p^{\mathrm{P}} |$ Transmitted Pulse Width (sec)

In terms of range, T_{t} and T_{g} are given by the equations:

$$T_t = 2R_t/C$$
 and $T_g = 2R_g/C$

is the pert-

 $R_{t} = -\pi Range to Target (m)$

 $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{C}}$

Range to Inner Edge of Range Gate (m) Velocity of Propagation (m/sec)

For a matched gate $(\tau_g = \tau_p)$, the range gate eclipsing gain is given by the following expressions.

For $T_t < T_g - \tau_p$:

 $G_{rge} = 0$

For
$$T_g - \tau_p \leq T_t \leq T_g$$
:

 $G_{\mathbf{rge}} = (1 - \frac{T_g - T_t}{\tau_p})^2$

For $T_t = T_g$:

 $G_{rge} = 1$

For $T_g < T_t \leq T_g + \tau_g$:

$$G_{rge} = (1 - \frac{T_t - T_g}{\tau_p})^2$$

For $T_t > T_g + \tau_g$:

 $G_{rge} = 0$

For a stretched gate $(\tau_g > \tau_p)$, the range gate eclipsing gain is given by the following expressions.

$$G_{rge} = 0$$

For $T_g - \tau_p \leq T_t < T_g$:

$$G_{rge} = (1 - \frac{T_g - T_t}{\tau_p})^2$$

For $T_g \leq T_t \leq T_g + \tau_g - \tau_p$:

G_{rge} = 1

For
$$T_g + \tau_g - \tau_p < T_t \leq T_g + \tau_g$$
:

$$G_{rge} = (1 - \frac{T_t - (T_g + \tau_g - \tau_p)}{\tau_p})^2$$

or $I_t > I_g + \tau_g$:

 $G_{rge} = 0$

For a compressed gate ($\tau_g < \tau_p$), the range gate eclipsing gain is given by the following expressions.

For
$$T_t < T_g - \tau_p$$
:
 $G_{rgo} = 0$
For $T_g - \tau_p \leq T_t < T_g + \tau_g - \tau_p$:
 $G_{rgo} = (1 - \frac{T_g - T_t}{\tau_p})^2$
For $T_g + \tau_g - \tau_p \leq T_t \leq T_g$:
 $G_{rgo} = (\tau_g/\tau_p)^2$
For $T_g < T_t \leq T_g - \tau_g$:
 $G_{rgo} = (1 - \frac{T_t + \tau_p - T_g - \tau_g}{\tau_p})^2$
For $T_t > T_g + \tau_g$:
 $G_{rgo} = 0$

The range gate noise reduction gain is given by the equation:

 $G_{rgnr} = (T_r / \tau_g)$ (n.d.)

TELOCITY GATING

When velocity gating (Doppler clutter filtering) is employed to reduce external noise volutter), the signal-to-noise ratio is reduced by the Doppler clutter filter loss factor, $L_{\rm ef}$. That is:

 $(S/N) = (S/N)_O(1/L_Cf)$

THE COMPLETE RADAR RANGE EQUATION

¹⁷hen range gating, velocity gating, and both coherent and noncoherent pulse integration are employed, the expression for the signal-to-noise ratio becomes:

 $(S/N) = (S/N)_O(f_r T_{nci}/L_{ci}L_{nci}L_{cf})(d_r^2/d_g)$

 $\circ \mathbf{r}$:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_O (f_r T_{nci} / L_{ci} L_{nci} L_{cf}) G_{rge} G_{rgnr}$$

۰r:

$$(S,N) = \frac{P_{a}G_{a}^{2}\lambda^{2}\sigma}{(4\pi)^{3}d_{t}N_{s}L_{so}R_{t}^{4}} - \frac{f_{r}T_{nci}}{(L_{ci}L_{nci}L_{cf})}G_{rgo}G_{rgnr}$$

REDUCTION TO THE BASIC RADAR RANGE EQUATION

When pulse integration and Doppler filtering are not employed:

$$f_{r}T_{nci} = 1$$

$$L_{ci} = L_{nci} = 1$$

$$L_{cf} = 1$$

When range gating is not employed:

 $\tau_r = \tau_p$ $\tau_g = T_r$ $d_r = d_g = 1$ $G_{rge} = 1$ $G_{rgnr} = 1$

The signal-to-noise ratio is then given by:

$$(S/N) = (S/N)_{\Omega} = P_a G_a^2 \lambda^2 \sigma / (4\pi)^3 d_t L_{SO} N_S R_t^4$$

ALTERNATE FORMS OF THE RADAR RANGE EQUATION

The pulse integration and clutter filter losses can be incorporated into the total system loss factor, L_s , to yield the equation:

$$(S/N) = \frac{P_a G_a^2 \lambda^2 \sigma T_{nci} G_{rge} G_{rgnr}}{(4\pi)^3 \tau_n L_s N_s R_t^4}$$

where:

$$L_s = L_{so}L_{ci}L_{nci}L_{cf}$$

or:

$$L_s = L_c^2 L_{dx}^2 L_r L_{da} L_{ci} L_{nci} L_{cf}$$

The radar equation can be solved for the maximum range for detection to yield the equation:

$$R_{tMax} = \left(\frac{P_a G_a^2 \lambda^2 \sigma T_{nci} G_{rge} G_{rgnr}}{(4\pi)^3 \tau_p L_s N_s (S/N) Min Det}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

Where:

 R_{tMax} = Maximum Range for Detection (m)

(S/N)_{Min Det} = Minimum Signal-to-Noise Ratio, at the Detection Filter, Required for Detection (n.d.)

•1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

LEFT BLANK

•

REFERENCES

- 1. Barton, D. K., Radar System Analysis, Artech House, Inc., Dedham, MA, 1976.
- 2. Barton, D. K., and Ward, H. R., <u>Handbook of Radar Measurement</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1969.
- 3. DiFranco, J. V., and Rubin, W. L., <u>Radar Detection</u>, Artech House, Inc., Dedham, MA. 1980.
- 4. Masters, G. W., <u>Radar System Test and Evaluation</u>, U.S. Naval Test Pilot School Textbook, U.S. Naval Test Pilot School, Patuxent River, MD, 1981.
- 5. Nathanson, F. E., <u>Radar Design Principles</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1969.
- 6. Skolnik, M. I., Introduction to Radar Systems, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1962.
- 7. Skolnik, M. I., Radar Handbook, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, NY, 1970.

DISTRIBUTION:

Sanders Associates, Inc., Nashua, NH	(6)
NAVAIRTESTCEN (CT24)	(3)
NAVAIRTESTCEN (AT)	(1)
NAVAIRTESTCEN (RW)	(1)
NAVAIRTESTCEN (SA)	(1)
NAVAIRTESTCEN (SY)	(1)
USNTPS	(10)
DTIC	(2)

	 L. Kadar Kanar Equation D. Kadar Kanar Equation D. Fundar Mark Equation D. And M. a	 Radar Kange Equate a Fulse-D rghe Radar Fulse-D rghe Radar C. Kerent and U. are kernt Fulse Instant, G. Det Masters, G. Det UNCLASSIFIED
COPY	Ravat Arr Fest Comer, Fatuvent Freer, Marvand 20070-5404 DEETVARON OF THE FADAR FATOL KAUARDA FOF A PETUSE-FORTLER FADAR WITH A AGO, AND AFROM FO GAUNGE AND CORRECT AND TO CORRECT FULSE BUILGIAARON 27 Juns Per 27 Juns Per Agree d'Re public releved distribute unfamited. Agree d'Re public releved distribute unfamited.	Narad An Fest Conter, Educe at Ever, Marviand 20 79-5294 DEEAVATION OF THE RADAR RANGE EOUATION FOR A PULSE-DOFTLER EADAR WITH EAGO AND VELOUTY GATING AND COHEBENT AND DODO OHERENT FULSE INTEGPATION DETAINS ON COHEBENT AND DODO OHERENT FULSE INTEGPATION DETAINS ON COHEBENT AND DODO OHERENT FULSE INTEGPATION DETAINS OF THE RADAR BARD AND OF THE RADAR FULSE INTEGPATION DETAINS OF THE RADAR BARD AND OF THE RADAR FOR A DETAINS OF THE RADAR FOR AND TO THE REAT FULSE INTEGPATION TO THE RADAR FOR A THE REAT FULSE INTEGPATION TO THE RADAR FOR A THE RADAR FOR A Appring the reaction of the
BEST AVAILABLE	 Radar Bane Equate a. E. Radar Dape r Radar E. Rane- and Velor it Gata 2 E. Color even and Color 2 G. Masters, G., Dr. Masters, G., Dr. G.G. ASSIEEP 	 Radar Kange Equate a Puisse Deppter Radar Eunge and Veborty Gating Game and Veborty Gating Tuss insertation Masters, G., Dr. Masters, G., Dr. Unit LASSIVED
•	 Naral Arr Test Center, Patusent Ruer, Maryland 20070, Net. DERITY AFRON OF THE RADAR RANGE EQUATION FOF A CULTS, FOOFTER, PADAR WITH RANGE EQUATION FOFTER PADAR WITH RANGE EQUATION COHEFENT AND DOCOHEFENT AND MICOHEFENT AND MICOHEFENT AND DOCOHEFENT AND MICOHEFENT AND MICO	Naval Air Fost Conton, Paruwert Kiver, Maryland 2007 (15304) DERIVATION OF THE RADAR RAMOR EQUATION FOR A PELSE-DOPPLER RADAR RAMOR EQUATION FOR A PELSE-DOPPLER RADAR RAMOR EQUATION FOR A CATTRIG AND CORRENT AND MORTORERET PULSE INTEGRATION DETEGRATION DETEGRATION DAME AND THE PLACE distributes unlimited.

	 F. Rader Rader Equation C. Pario (D) pply r Rador C. E. Pario (D) pply r Rador G. C. E. Pario (D) pply r Rador Mass res. G. Dr. Mass res. G. Dr. G. G. Dr. 	 I. Badar Earray Equate 8 I. FuisseD Typis Fladat A. C. E. F. and T. A. N. Gottad This first and T. A. Gottad Mass F. G. DE. Mass F. G. DE. UNCLASSIFIES
COPY	Rawat Air Jear Conter, Fatus in Enver, Marvand 2007 (501) DEFIX ALION OF THE PADARE FATOL, ECTATION FOUND FULSE-DOPPLER EADARE AND A MORE AND AND OTD OATHGG AND COHRENT AND NOOD OHE BUT FULSE BUTGGPATION OATHGG AND COHRENT AND NOOD OHE BUT FULSE DUTGGPATION OATHGG AND COHRENT AND NOOD OHE BUT FULSE Massed IP Alge vold for public released detection is unimited.	Naval Air Fest Conter, Fatuy, at Fiver, Marviaid Fer FesSte DEELWATRON OF THE RADAR RANGE LOUATION FOR PULSE-HOITLER, EADAR WITH RANGE LOUATION FEACT RATING AND COHEBENT AND NANOOHERENT FUL INTEGRATION 27 June Fest Marel FP App. vod for puble Fest effettation unfinited.
BESI AVAILABLE (L. Radar Fance Equate a L. Radar Fance and W. Fernt Gather R. Lager and M. Fernt Gather R. Anser ex. Go. Dr. Masters, Go. Dr. C. Asside the Paris 	 h. Radar Earge Equate a 2. Pulse-Depter Radar 3. Range and Net arty datand 4. Coherent and Sera da terr 5. Masters, G., De, 5. Masters, G., De, 5. Masters, Hal)
•	Nacal Ar. Test Center, Parico ni River, Marviand 20, 70, 753 DERIVATION, OF, HIE, RADAR, RAMOR, EOUATION, AOUAN FULSE-POPTLER, PADAR, MITH, RAMOR, AND AVI OCHY GATING, AND COHEFEAT, AND ECCOMPTENT PULSE INTEGRATION CT. Jan. 1980 II, 1920 II, 1920 Mascel I.F. Approved I.F. pulsa, relieved distribute a aximited.	Narai Ar Test Center, Parasent Kree, Marylard 2007 (-5404) DERIVATION OF THE RADAR RANGE EQUATION FOR A PULSE-DOFFLER R MAR WITH RANGE AND VERAUTY CUTEGENTION COHERENT AND RANGOHERENT PULSE CATING, AND COHERENT AND RANGOHERENT PULSE CITEGENTION In Place Coherent AND RANGOHERENT PULSE Mary 198 Mary TF Appr. Fod Lir patha released distribute a unimited.