



Caburat a table and the start of a start

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A .

Š

		REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAGE	E		
			15. RESTRICTIVE M	ARKINGS		
	170 079		3. DISTRIBUTION/A	VAILABILITY OF	REPORT	
			Approved fo	r Public Re	elease, Dis	tribution
	A	JULE	Unlimited			
PERFORMING ORGA	NIZATION REPORT NU	MBER(S)	S. MONITORING OR	GANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER	S)
Iechnical Report No. 141		AFOSR	•TR• 8 (5-043	8	
BA NAME OF PERFORM	California	Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	74. NAME OF MONIT	FORING ORGANI	ZATION	
Riverside			AFOSK/ NM			
I. ADDRESS (City. State and ZIP Code)			75. ADDRESS (City, S	State and ZIP Code	e)	
UNIVERSITY OF	CALIFORNIA		Bolling AFB	DC 20332-	-6448	
RIVERSIDE, CA	92521					
ORGANIZATION	/SPONSORING	Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	S. PROCUREMENT	NSTRUMENT IDE	ENTIFICATION N	UMBER
AFOSR		NM	AFOSR-86-00	48		
R. ADDRESS (City, Stel	e and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUN	DING NOS.		
BIDG. 410 Bolling AFB.	DC		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UI
				2304	AE	
				/		
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para	meters Under Th	luential Non- Ne Search Linear				4
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para Model	meters Under Th	ne Search Linear				
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO	meters Under The SUBIR GHOS	SH				1
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM	nts SUBIR GHOS	SH	14. DATE OF REPOR	AT (Yr. Mo., Day)	15. PAGE	COUNT
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM	R(S) SUBIR GHOS	E COVERED	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25	NT (Yr. Mo., Dey)	15. PAGE (COUNT 5
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN	R(S) SUBIR GHOS	COVERED	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25	RT (Yr., Mo., Dey)	15. PAGE 1	COUNT 5
11. TITLE (Include Secur hegligible Parat fode) 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN	A(S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHO	SH	14. DATE OF REPOR	AT (Yr., Mo., Day)	15. PAGE	COUNT 5
11. TITLE (Include Secur hegligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP	NOTATION COMMUNICATIONS	SH COVERED TO TO IN STATISTICS IL SUBJECT TERMS Factorial Ex	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) recevery and identia luential Pa	15. PAGE 1 1	COUNT 5
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP	NOTATION COMMUNICATIONS CODES SUB. GR.	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search,	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Researcy and identifi luential Pa Treatment	15. PAGE 1 1	COUNT 5 5 Linear
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP	NOTATION COMMUNICATIONS	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search,	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Receivery and identifi luential Pa Treatment	15. PAGE 1 1 /y by block number arameters,	COUNT 5
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGETRACT (Continue I. AGETRACT (Continue	A(S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (FROM_ (FROM_ COMMUNICATIONS (CODES (CODES (CODES)	Search Linear SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search,	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Remove and identifi luential Pa Treatment	15. PAGE 1 1 7y by block number 1rameters,	count 5 Linear
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this	All Commingenerition) Infi meters Under Th SUBIR GHOS I 3b Time FROM _ NOTATION COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS SUB. GR. SUB. GR. paper some res	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search, er; etecting the In	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Receivery and identify luential Pa Treatment nfluential	15. PAGE 1 1 // by block number ameters, Nonnegligi	COUNT 5 Linear ble
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un	A(S) SUBIR GHOS A(S) SUBIR GHOS A(S) SUBIR GHOS AND A COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS A COMMUNICATIONS A COMMUNICATIONS	Search Linear SH COVERED TO TO S IN STATISTICS IL SUBJECT TERMS Factorial Ex Models, Resi and identify by block numbers Sults useful in don linear model ar	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne operiments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the In- re presented.	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) luential Pa Treatment nfluential An estimato	15. PAGE 1 1 7y by block number arameters, Nonnegligi pr of the n	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters up nonnegligible	All Commingenerition) Infi meters Under The SUBIR GHOS ISB TIME FROM_ COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS SUB. GR. Paper some resender the search e parameters wh	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the In- re presented.	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Iuential Pa Treatment nfluential An estimato ntial is al	15. PAGE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible	A(S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (FROM_ NOTATION COMMUNICATIONS (CODES SUB. GR. (FROM_ Paper some resonance) paper some resonance (Free and the search a parameters when	SIN STATISTICS IN ST	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne operiments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the In re presented.	nt (Yr. Mo., Dey) luential Pa Treatment nfluential An estimato ntial is al	15. PAGE 1 1 7y by block number arameters, Nonnegligi pr of the n .so given.	count 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible	All Comminention) Infi meters Under The SUBIR GHOS ISUBIR GHOS FROM_ COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS SUB. GR. De on reverse (f necessary paper some resender the search e parameters wh	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf. duals, Search, er, etecting the In- re presented.	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Internetial Pa Treatment Influential An estimato ntial is al	15. PAGE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY I SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible	All Comminention (Inf) meters Under The A(S) SUBIR GHOS I 3& TIME FROM _ NOTATION COMMUNICATIONS COMMUN	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the In- re presented.	nf (Yr. Mo., Dey) Iuential Pa Treatment nfluential An estimato ntial is al	15. PAGE 1 1 7y by block number frameters, Nonnegligi or of the n .so given.	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY I SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible	All Cooles All Co	A linear model ar bich are signific	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the In e presented.	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Incomery and identific luential Pa Treatment Influential An estimato Intial is al	15. PAGE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Parat Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17 COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGGTRACT (Continue In this parameters up nonnegligible	All Contractions and a second	SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25	nt (Yr. Mo., Dey) Increasery and identifi luential Pa Treatment nfluential An estimato ntial is al	IS. PAGE I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible	A(S) SUBIR GHOS I 3b TIME FROM_ I 3b TIME FROM_ I 3b TIME FROM_ I 3b TIME FROM_ I 3b TIME FROM_ I 3b TIME FROM_ FROM_ FROM_ I 3b TIME FROM_	Auential Non- he Search Linear SH ECOVERED TO TO TO S IN STATISTICS IL SUBJECT TERMS Factorial Ex Models, Resi end identify by block number sults useful in den a linear model ar bich are signific	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25	nt (Yr. Mo., Dey) Internet in the second idential Internet in the second idential An estimato Intial is al	IS. PAGE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Secur negligible Para Model 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY F SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible IN	All Contents (1 accessor) and a second secon	A linear model ar he signific SH COVERED TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO TO	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25	nf (Yr. Mo., Dey) Incessory and identifi luential Pa Treatment nfluential An estimato ntial is al	IS. PAGE I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	count 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Securit legigible Paration 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters un nonnegligible 20. DISTRIBUTION/AV	ALLAGILITY OF AGST	Act	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne operiments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the In- re presented ant and influer 21. ABSTRACT SECU	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Internet in the second idential Internet in the second idential An estimator Intial is al	IS. PAGE 1 1 Ty by block number frameters, Nonnegligi or of the n so given. DTIC ELECT JUL 2 4 19 DCATION	count 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Securit legigible Parationed Securited Securited Securited Securited Securited Securited Securited Security of REPORT 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 13. TYPE OF REPORT SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters up nonnegligible INTERIM INTERIN	SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (S) SUBIR GHOS (FROM COMMUNICATIONS (CODES (CODES (CODES (CODES) (COD	ACT	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search, er, etecting the Is re presented ant and influe 21. ABSTRACT SECU UNCLASSIFIE	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) reasony end identifi luential Pa Treatment Influential An estimato ntial is al Solution CD	IS. PAGE I I Is. PAGE I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	count 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Securited Igible Parameters up nonnegligible) 12. PERSONAL AUTHO 13. TYPE OF REPORT INTERIM 16. SUPPLEMENTARY (SUBMITTED IN 17. COSAT FIELD GROUP 19. AGSTRACT (Continue In this parameters up nonnegligible) 20. DISTRIBUTION/AV UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIN 22. NAME OF RESPON	ALASILITY OF ASST	ACT	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf. duals, Search, er, etecting the In e presented. ant and influes 21. ABSTRACT SECU UNCLASSIFIE 225. TELEPHONE N	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) Internet in the second idential International is and Intial is and I	IS. PAGE 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of
11. TITLE (Include Securited Security Security Submitted In Submitted In Submitted In Submitted In Submitted Securited Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted Security Submitted In Submitted Security Secure	ALABILITY OF ADSTR ALABILITY OF ADSTR BIBLE INDIVIOUAL W. WOODRUFF	ACT	14. DATE OF REPOR 86-04-25 Continue on reverse if ne periments, Inf duals, Search, er; etecting the Is re presented. ant and influe: 21. ABSTRACT SECU UNCLASSIFIE 22b. TELEPHONE N: (Include Arme Co (202) 76.7-5027	AT (Yr. Mo., Dey) receivery and identifi luential Pa Treatment Influential An estimato ntial is al URITY CLASSIFIC ED UMBER ode;	IS. PAGE I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	COUNT 5 Linear ble umber of ED So D

. ;

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS APT.			
22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	225. TELEPHONE NUMBER	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	
MAJOR BRIAN W. WOODRUFF	(202)767-5027		

AFOSR TR. 86-0438

INFLUENTIAL NONNEGLIGIBLE PARAMETERS UNDER THE SEARCH

LINEAR MODEL*

BY

Subir Ghosh

University of California, Riverside

Summary

In this paper some results useful in detecting the Influential Nonnegligible parameters under the search linear model are presented. An estimator of the number of nonnegligible parameters which are significant and influential is also given.

AMS 1970 Subject Classifications: Primary and Secondary: 62J05, 62K05, 62K15, 62K99

Keywords and Phrases: Factorial Experiments, Influential Parameters, Linear Models, Residuals, Search, Treatment.

*The work of the author is sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR-86-0048.

86

Approve tfor public release; distribution unlimited.

1. Introduction

Consider a general factorial experiment with the design consisting of t treatments and corresponding to the uth treatment there are $n_u (\geq 1)$ observations and $\sum n_u = N$. Let y_{uv} be the observation u=1 corresponding to the uth replication of the vth treatment and \overline{y}_u be the mean of all observations corresponding to the uth treatment. The model for this experiment is

$$E(\underline{y}) = X_1 \underline{\beta}_1 + X_2 \underline{\beta}_2,$$

$$V(\underline{y}) = o^2 I,$$
 (1)
Rank $X_1 = v_1,$

where $\underline{\beta}_1(\nu_1 \times 1)$ is a vector of specified lower order interactions and $\underline{\beta}_2(\nu_2 \times 1)$ is a vector of some or all of the higher order interactions, $X_1(N \times \nu_1)$ and $X_2(N \times \nu_2)$ are known matrices. It is known that K (very small compared to ν_2) elements of $\underline{\beta}_2$ are nonzero and the other are zero; however the value of K and the nonzero elements of $\underline{\beta}_2$ are unknown. The problem is to search the nonzero elements of $\underline{\beta}_2$ and draw inferences on them in addition to the elements of $\underline{\beta}_1$. Such a model is called the search linear model and was introduced in Srivastava (1975). Suppose K_1 is an initial guess on K. Note the three possibilities $K_1 > K$, $K_1 = K$ and $K_1 < k$. We consider $\binom{\nu_2}{K_1}$ models

$$E(\underline{y}) = X_{1} \underline{\beta}_{1} + X_{2}^{(1)} \underline{\beta}_{2}^{(1)}, i=1,... {\binom{\nu_{2}}{K_{1}}},$$

$$V(\underline{y}) = \sigma^{2} I \qquad (2)$$
Rank $[X_{1}, X_{2}^{(1)}] = \nu_{1} + K_{1},$

AIR FORME AND A TOUR FIC REJEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE (9 17 Martin TAL TO DTIC This technical memory has been reviewed and is approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12. Distribution is unlimited. MATTHEW J. KENPER Chief, Technical Information Division where $X_2^{(1)}(NxK_1)$ is a submatrix of X_2 and $\underline{\beta}_2^{(1)}(K_1x1)$ is a subvector of $\underline{\beta}_2$. It can be seen from Srivastava (1975) that we in fact need Rank $[X_1, X_2^{(1)}, X_2^{(1')}] = (v_1 + 2K_1)$, for all $i \neq i^{*}$. This implies that $N \ge (v_1 + 2K_1)$. In case $K_1 = K$, one of $\binom{v_2}{K_1}$ models is the correct model. If $K_1 > K$, then $\binom{v_2-K}{K_1-K}$ models out of $\binom{v_2}{K_1}$ models include the true model as a submodel in the expectation forms of the models. The methods discussed in this paper will not only identify K nonzero parameters but also find how many of them have significant effects and, finally, rank the significant nonegligible parameters in the order of their influence on the fitted values. In case $K_1 < K$, the methods will identify from K_1 parameters the parameters which are significant and influential. We also propose an estimator of K in the Section 3.

In some industrial experiments, it is often easy to find replicacations $(n_u \ge 1)$ in observations corresponding to a particular (the uth) treatments, see Taguchi and Wu (1985). There are also situations in industrial experiments where it is impossible to get replication in observations for a treatment, see Daniel (1976) and Box and Meyer (1985). The methods discussed in this paper consider both situations. In all Taguchi design methods, the higher order interactions (2-factor and higher order in most plans) are assumed to be zero. A few of those higher order interactions may be nonnegligible, significant and influential. The use of the search linear models may be a potential tool in improving upon the Taguchi design methods.

DTIC	
	Di.t
	A-1

y Codes arid | or :cial

-3-

2. Influential Nonnegligible Parameters.

Let $Z_{i}^{(1)}$ $((N-\nu_{i}-K_{i}) \times N)$ be such that Rank $Z_{i}^{(1)} = (N-\nu_{i}-K_{i})$, $Z_{i}^{(1)}Z_{i}^{(1)'} = I$ and $Z_{i}^{(1)}[X_{i}, X_{2}^{(1)}] = 0$. Let $Z^{(1)}(K_{i} \times N)$ be such that Rank $\begin{bmatrix} Z_{i}^{(1)} \\ Z^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = (N-\nu_{i})$, $Z^{(1)}Z^{(1)'} = I$, $Z^{(1)}Z_{i}^{(1)'} = 0$ and $Z^{(1)}X_{i} = 0$. It can be seen that under the ith model in (2), the minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of $\underline{\beta}_{2}^{(1)}$ is

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}^{(i)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2}} = (z^{(i)}x_{2}^{(i)})^{-1} z^{(i)} \frac{1}{y}.$$
 (3)

In fact we can write $Z^{(1)'} = P_1 X_2^{(1)} D^{(1)}$, where $D^{(1)}$ is a nonsingular (and triangular) matrix so that $Z^{(1)} Z^{(1)'} = I$ and $P_1 = I - X_1 (X_1^* X_1)^{-1} X_1^*$. From the ith model in (2), the MVUE for $\underline{\beta}_1$ is

$$\widehat{\underline{\beta}}_{1}^{(1)} = (x_{1}'x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}'\underline{y} - (x_{1}'x_{1})^{-1}x_{1}'x_{2}^{(1)}\widehat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(1)}.$$
(4)

The fitted value of \underline{y} from the ith model in (2) is

$$\underline{\hat{y}}^{(1)} = X_1 \underline{\hat{\beta}}_1^{(1)} + X_2^{(1)} \underline{\hat{\beta}}_2^{(1)}.$$
 (5)

The residuals from the ith model in (2) are

$$\underline{\mathbf{R}^{(1)}} = \underline{\mathbf{y}} - \underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(1)}} = P_1(\underline{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{X}_2^{(1)} \underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}_2^{(1)})$$

= $P_1[\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{X}_2^{(1)}(\mathbf{X}_2^{(1)}, \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{X}_2^{(1)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2^{(1)}, \mathbf{P}_1 \underline{\mathbf{y}}.$ (6)

The sum of squares due to error under the ith $(i = 1, ..., {\binom{v_2}{\kappa_i}})$ model in (2) is

$$SSE^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(1)'} \underline{R}^{(1)} = \underline{y}' Z_1^{(1)'} Z_1^{(1)} \underline{y}.$$
 (7)

The residuals under the model (1), when $\beta_2 = 0$, are

$$\underline{R}^{(o)} = \underline{y} - \underline{\hat{y}}^{(o)} = P_1 \underline{y}.$$
 (8)

It can be seen that

$$P_{1} = Z_{1}^{(1)} Z_{1}^{(1)} + Z_{1}^{(1)} Z_{1}^{(1)}.$$
 (9)

Therefore, for $i = 1, \dots, {\binom{\nu_2}{K_1}}$,

$$SSE^{(0)} = \underline{R}^{(0)'} \underline{R}^{(0)} = SSE^{(1)} + \underline{y}' Z^{(1)'} Z^{(1)} \underline{y}.$$
 (10)

For $i = 1, \dots, {\binom{\nu_2}{\kappa_1}}$, we define

$$F^{(1)} = \frac{\underline{y}' z^{(1)'} z^{(1)} \underline{y} / K_1}{SSE^{(1)} / (N - v_1 - K_1)}.$$
 (11)

Let $\hat{y}_{u}^{(1)}$ be the fitted value of the observation corresponding to the uth (u = 1,...,w) treatment under the ith model in (2). We write the sum of squares due to lack of fit as

$$SSLOF^{(1)} = \sum_{u=1}^{w} n_u \left(\overline{y}_u - \widehat{y}_u^{(1)} \right)^2, \qquad (12)$$

and the sum of squares due to pure error as

SSPE =
$$\sum_{u=1}^{w} \sum_{v=1}^{n} (y_{uv} - \overline{y}_{u})^2$$
. (13)

For $i = 1, \dots, {\binom{\nu_2}{\kappa_1}}$, we define

$$F_{LOF}^{(1)} = \frac{SSLOF^{(1)}/(w-v_1-K_1)}{SSPE/(N-w)}.$$
 (14)

Theorem 1. For $l \in \{1, \ldots, \binom{v_2}{K_1}\}$, the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) SSE⁽¹⁾ is a minimum,
- (b) F^(L) is a maximum,
- (c) SSLOF⁽¹⁾ is a minimum,
- (d) $F_{LOF}^{(l)}$ is a minimum,

(e) The Euclidean distance between $\hat{y}^{(l)}$ and $\hat{y}^{(o)}$ is a maximum,

(f) The square of the (sample) simple correlation coefficient between the elements of $\underline{R}^{(1)}$ and $\underline{R}^{(0)}$ is a minimum.

Proof. We have from (10) and (11) that

$$\left(\frac{K_1}{(N-\nu_1-K_1)}F^{(1)}\right)+1=\frac{SSE^{(0)}}{SSE^{(1)}}$$

Noting that the numerator on the RHS of the above expression does not depend on i, we get the equivalence of (a) and (b). Again,

 $SSE^{(i)} = SSPE + SSLOF^{(i)},$

and SSPE does not depend on i. Therefore (a) and (c) are equivalent. From (14), the equivalence of (c) and (d) is clear. From (3), (6), (8) and (9), it follow that

$$\underline{y}' z^{(i)'} z^{(i)} \underline{y} = \hat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(i)'} x_{2}^{(i)'} z^{(i)'} z^{(i)} x_{2}^{(i)} \hat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(i)}$$

$$= \hat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(i)'} x_{2}^{(i)'} \left[P_{1} - z_{1}^{(i)'} z_{1}^{(i)} \right] x_{2}^{(i)} \hat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(i)}$$

$$= \hat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(i)'} x_{2}^{(i)'} P_{1} x_{2}^{(i)} \hat{\underline{\beta}}_{2}^{(i)}$$

$$= (\underline{R}^{(i)} - \underline{R}^{(o)})' (\underline{R}^{(i)} - \underline{R}^{(o)})$$

$$= (-\hat{y}^{(i)} + \hat{y}^{(o)})' (-\hat{\underline{y}}^{(i)} + \hat{\underline{y}}^{(o)}).$$

$$(15)$$

The equivalence of (a) and (e) is now easy to see from (10) and (15). It follows from (10) and (15) that $\underline{R}^{(i)'}\underline{R}^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(i)'}\underline{R}^{(0)}$. We thus have

$$\frac{SSE^{(1)}}{\underline{R}^{(o)'}\underline{R}^{(o)}} = \frac{\underline{R}^{(1)'}\underline{R}^{(1)}}{\underline{R}^{(o)'}\underline{R}^{(o)}} = \frac{(\underline{R}^{(1)'}\underline{R}^{(o)})^2}{(\underline{R}^{(1)'}\underline{R}^{(1)})(\underline{R}^{(o)'}\underline{R}^{(o)})}$$
(16)
= the square of the (sample) Simple correla-
tion Coefficient between $\underline{R}^{(1)}$ and $\underline{R}^{(o)}$.

The equivalence of (a) and (f) is now clear from (16). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Propostion 1. Under the ith model in (2),

$$Z^{(1)}\underline{R}^{(1)} = \underline{0}.$$
 (17)

Proof. It follows from (3) and (5) that

$$z^{(1)}\underline{y} = z^{(1)}x_2^{(1)}\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta_2} = z^{(1)}\frac{\gamma(1)}{y}.$$

This completes the proof.

We have

$$V(\underline{R}^{(i)}) = \sigma^2 P_1 \left[I - \chi_2^{(i)} (\chi_2^{(i)} P_1 \chi_2^{(i)})^{-1} \chi_2^{(i)} \right] P_1.$$
 (18)

The residual in $\underline{R}^{(1)}$ are correlated and the question may be asked about the appropriateness in combining the elements of $\underline{R}^{(1)}$ in SSE⁽¹⁾. If we take the transformed residuals as $Z_1^{(1)}\underline{R}^{(1)}$, we then have

$$E(Z_1^{(1)}\underline{R}^{(1)}) = \underline{o} \text{ and } V(Z_1^{(1)}\underline{R}^{(1)}) = \sigma^2 I.$$
 (19)

The sum of squares of these transformed residuals is $\underline{R}^{(1)}' z_1^{(1)}' z_1^{(1)} \underline{R}^{(1)}$.

<u>Proposition 2</u>. For $i = 1, ..., {\binom{\nu_2}{K_1}},$ $SSE^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(1)'} Z_1^{(1)'} Z_1^{(1)} \underline{R}^{(1)}.$ (20)

Proof. We write the RHS using (9) as

$$\underline{R}^{(1)'} z_{1}^{(1)'} z_{1}^{(1)} \underline{R}^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(1)'} \underline{P}_{1} \underline{R}^{(1)} - \underline{R}^{(1)'} z^{(1)'} z^{(1)'} \underline{R}^{(1)}.$$
 (21)

It can be checked that $P_1 \underline{R}^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(1)}$. By using the Proposition 1, the rest of the proof is clear. This completes the proof. Proposition 2 thus supports the use of $SSE^{(1)}$. Theorem 1 gives various interpretations of a search procedure, discussed in Srivastava (1975), of selecting $\underline{\beta}_2^{(1)}$ as the influential set of K₁ nonnegligible parameters.

We now denote

$$\underbrace{\beta_{2}^{(i)'}}_{2} = \left[\beta_{21}^{(i)}, \dots, \beta_{2j}^{(i)}, \dots, \beta_{2K_{1}}^{(i)}\right], \\
 x_{2}^{(i)} = \left[\underline{x}_{21}^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}, \dots, \underline{x}_{2K_{1}}^{(i)}\right], \\
 x_{2}^{(ij)} = \text{ the matrix obtained from } X_{2}^{(i)} \text{ by } \\
 deleting the jth column of } \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}. \\
 x_{12}^{(ij)} = \left[x_{1}, x_{2}^{(ij)}\right], \\
 p_{12}^{(ij)} = I - x_{12}^{(ij)} (x_{12}^{(ij)'} x_{12}^{(ij)})^{-1} x_{12}^{(ij)'}, \\
 \underbrace{z_{1j}^{(i)}}_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}} \frac{p_{12}^{(ij)} \underline{x}_{2j}^{(i)}}}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2j}$$

-8-

It can be seen that

Rank
$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{1}^{(1)} \\ Z_{1j}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}^{2} = (N - v_{1} - K_{1} + 1),$$

 $\frac{Z_{1j}^{(1)} Z_{1j}^{(1)}}{\begin{bmatrix} Z_{1}^{(1)} \\ Z_{1j}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}^{2}} = 1, \ \frac{Z_{1j}^{(1)} Z_{1}^{(1)}}{Z_{1}^{(1)}} = \underline{0}^{*},$ (23)
 $\begin{bmatrix} Z_{1}^{(1)} \\ Z_{1j}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}^{2} X_{12}^{(1j)} = \underline{0}, \ \text{Rank } Z_{0}^{(1)} = K_{1}.$

There exists a nonsingular (triangular) matrix $D_0^{(1)}$ such that $z^{(1)} = D_0^{(1)} Z_0^{(1)}$. (24)

From (3) and (24), we have

$$\hat{\beta}_{2}^{(i)} = (z_{0}^{(i)} x_{2}^{(i)})^{-1} z_{0}^{(i)} \underline{y}.$$
(25)

Now

$$z_{0}^{(1)}x_{2}^{(1)} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\underline{z}_{11}^{(1)'}x_{21}^{(1)'}, \dots, \underline{z}_{1j}^{(1)'}x_{2j}^{(1)'}, \dots, \underline{z}_{1K}^{(1)'}\underline{x}_{2K}^{(1)'}\right) (26)$$

is a diagonal matrix. Thus

$$\hat{\beta}_{2j}^{(1)} = \frac{\frac{z_{1j}^{(1)'} y}{z_{11}^{(1)'} x_{2j}^{(1)}}}{\frac{z_{11}^{(1)'} x_{2j}^{(1)}}{z_{2j}^{(1)'} x_{2j}^{(1)'}}}.$$
(27)

Let $\underline{R}^{(ij)}$, $i = 1, \dots, {\binom{\nu_2}{K_1}}$, $j = 1, \dots, K_1$, be the residuals obtained from ith model in (2) assuming $\beta_{2j}^{(i)} = 0$. Then the sum of squares due to error is

$$SSE^{(ij)} = \underline{R}^{(ij)'} \underline{\underline{R}}^{(ij)} = (\underline{\underline{z}}_{ij}^{(i)'} \underline{\underline{y}})^2 + SSE^{(1)}.$$
 (28)

We now define, for $i = 1, \dots, {\binom{\nu_2}{K_1}}$ and $j = 1, \dots, K_1$,

$$t^{(ij)} = \frac{\frac{z_{1j}^{(i)'} y}{\sqrt{\frac{SSE^{(i)}}{(N-v_1-K_1)}}}.$$
 (29)

Proposition 3. For a fixed l in $\{1, \ldots, {\binom{v_2}{K_1}}\}$ and an m in $\{1, \ldots, K_1\}$, the following statements are equivalent.

(a) SSE^(lm) is a minimum,
(b) t^(lm) is a maximum.

<u>Proof.</u> The proof can be easily seen from (28) and (29). In the set $\frac{\beta_2^{(l)}}{2}$ of influential nonnegligible parameters, $\beta_{2m}^{(l)}$ is the most influential nonnegligible parameters. The influential non-negligible parameters may or may not have significant effects on observations.

3. Influential Significant Nonnegligible Parameters

We now assume the normality in (2) and therefore for $i = 1, ..., {\binom{\nu_2}{K_1}}, \underline{y} \underbrace{independent}_{M(X_1\beta_1} + X_2^{(1)}\beta_2^{(1)}, \sigma^2 I).$ Under the null hypothesis $H_0: \underline{\beta_2^{(1)}} = 0$, $F^{(1)}$ has the central F distribution with $(K_1, N - \nu_1 - K_1)$ d.f. and under the null hypothesis $H_0: \beta_{2j}^{(1)} = 0$, $t^{(1j)}$ has the central t distribution with $(N - \nu_1 - K_1)$ d.f. We now present a further development of a procedure suggested in Srivastava (1975).

<u>Case I.</u> If max $F^{(1)} \leq F_{\alpha;K_1,N-\nu_1-K_1}$, we then conclude that there is no significant nonnegligible parameter. $(F_{\alpha;K_1,N-\nu_1-K_1}$ is the upper a percent point of the central F distribution with $(K_1,N-\nu_1-K_1)d.f.)$.

<u>Case II</u>. Suppose for $i = i_1, \dots, i_s$, we have $F^{(i)} > F_{\alpha;K_1,N-\nu_1-K_1}$. We denote for $j = 1, \dots, \nu_2$,

 ϑ_{j} = the number of i in $\{i_{1}, \dots, i_{s}\}$ for which $|t_{ij}| > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, N-\nu_{1}-K_{1}$. Note that $0 \leq \vartheta_{j} \leq s$. We now arrange ϑ_{j} 's in decreasing order of magnitude and write $\vartheta_{(1)} \geq \vartheta_{(2)} \geq \dots \geq \vartheta_{(\nu_{2})}$. If there are at least K_{1} nonzero $\vartheta_{(j)}$'s, we select the influential significant parameters as $\beta_{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{(K_{1})}$, otherwise we pick the influential $\beta_{(j)}$'s corresponding to nonzero $\vartheta_{(j)}$'s (Note that the number of influential parameters is then less than K_{1}). The parameter $\beta_{(1)}$ is the most influential significant nonnegligible parameter. An estimator of the unknown K is \hat{K} = the number of nonzero ϑ_{j} 'S, $j = 1, \dots, \nu_{2}$.

-11-

4. Miscellaneous Results

4.a. Let us denote the unknown nonzero elements of $\underline{\beta}_2$ in (1) by $\underline{\beta}_{2c}$ (Kx1) and the zero elements of $\underline{\beta}_2$ by $\underline{\beta}_{2d}((\nu_2 - K)X1)$, the corresponding columns in X_2 matrix are X_{2c} and X_{2d} . The unknown

true expectation form of (1) is thus

$$E(\underline{y}) = X_{1}\underline{\beta}_{1} + X_{2}\underline{\beta}_{2} \cdot (30)$$

The expectation form of the ith model in (2) can be written as

$$E(\underline{y}) = X_{1}\underline{\beta}_{1} + X_{2c}^{(1)}\underline{\beta}_{2c}^{(1)} + X_{2d}^{(1)}\underline{\beta}_{2d}^{(1)}, \qquad (31)$$

where $X_{2c}^{(i)}(Nx\gamma_i)$ is a submatrix of X_{2c} , $X_{2d}^{(i)}(Nx(K_1-\gamma_i))$ is a submatrix of X_{2d} , $\frac{\beta_{2c}^{(i)}(\gamma_i xl)}{\beta_{2c}^{(c)}(\gamma_i xl)}$ is a subvector of $\frac{\beta_{2c}}{\beta_{2c}}$ and $\frac{\beta_{2d}^{(i)}}{\beta_{2d}^{(i)}}$ $((K_1-\gamma_i)Xl)$ is a subvector of $\frac{\beta_{2d}}{\beta_{2c}}$. Let $\frac{\beta \star^{(i)}}{2c}$ is the vector of elements in $\frac{\beta_{2c}}{\beta_{2c}}$ which are not in $\frac{\beta_{2c}^{(i)}}{\beta_{2c}^{(i)}}$ and X_{2c}^{\star} is the matrix whose columns are in X_{2c} but not in $X_{2c}^{(i)}$. The following result, a counterpart of the result in (10) for the population, can be verified very easily.

$$\frac{\text{Proposition 4. Under (30),}}{\text{E}(\text{SSE}^{(1)}) = \text{E}(\text{SSLOF}^{(1)}) + \sigma^{2}(\text{N-w})}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(\text{N-}\nu_{1}-\text{K}_{1}) + \frac{\beta_{2c}}{2c}X_{2c}^{c}Z_{1}^{(1)'}Z_{1}^{(1)}X_{2c}\frac{\beta_{2c}}{2c}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(\text{N-}\nu_{1}-\text{K}_{1}) + \frac{\beta_{2c}}{2c}X_{2c}^{c}Z_{1}^{(1)'}Z_{1}^{(1)'}Z_{1}^{(1)}X_{2c}\frac{\beta_{2c}}{\beta_{2c}}$$

$$= \text{E}(\text{SSE}^{(0)}) - [\sigma^{2}\text{K}_{1} + \frac{\beta_{2c}}{2c}X_{2c}^{c}Z_{1}^{(1)'}Z_{1}^{(1)'}X_{2c}\frac{\beta_{2c}}{\beta_{2c}}] .$$

4.b. The model obtained from (2)

$$E(z^{(1)}\underline{y}) = z^{(1)}x_2^{(1)}\underline{\beta}_2^{(1)},$$

$$V(z^{(1)}\underline{y}) = \sigma^2 I,$$

is called the pure search model (Srivastava (1976)). In fact, Srivastava (1976) considered a special form of $z^{(1)}$.

- 4.c. The influential nonnegligible parameter may depend on noise, i.e., a parameter may be influential under one noise but may not be influential under another noise.
- 4.d. The replicated observations will surely improve the chances of detecting the correct influential nonnegligible parameters.
- 4.e. In presence of outliers in observations, one may combine . residuals with unequal weights, or in other words, may use transformed residuals (see, Cook and Weisberg (1982)).
 - 4.e.l. An example of transformed residual is the vector $M^{(i)}\underline{R}^{(i)}$ where $M^{(i)}(NxN)$ is a diagonal matrix whose uth diagonal element is $\left(1/\sqrt{\frac{m}{m_{uu}}}\right)$ with $m_{uu}^{(i)}$ being the uth diagonal element of $\sigma^{-2}V(\underline{R}^{(i)})$.
 - 4.e.2. Suppose the underlying design is robust against the unavailability of any single observation [see, Ghosh (1980)] in the sense that the estimation of $\underline{\beta}_1$ and $\underline{\beta}_2^{(1)}$ is possible under (2) when any single observation is unavailable. We find the predicted value of the 4th observation from the remaining (N-1) observations (i.e.,

by deleting the uth observation). The difference between the uth observation and its predicted value is called the uth predicted residual (using the idea of cross validation). It can be verified algebraically that the vector of predicted residuals is $[M^{(1)}]^2 \underline{R}^{(1)}$. The predicted residual sum of squares (PRESS) from the ith model under (2) is

$$PRESS^{(1)} = \underline{R}^{(1)'} [\underline{M}^{(1)}]^{\underline{4}} \underline{R}^{(1)}$$

In presence of outliers, one may take PRESS⁽¹⁾ as an alternative to $SSE^{(1)}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Box, G. E. P. and Meyer, R. D. (1985) Studies in quality improvement I: dispersion effects from fractional designs. Tech. Report #2796. Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin -Madison.
- Cook, R. D. and Weisberg, S (1982). Residuals and Influence in Regression. Chapman and Hall, New York,
- Daniel, C. (1976). Applications of Statistics to Industrial Experimentation. J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

Ghosh, S. (1980). On robustness of optional balanced resolution V plans. J. of Statistical Planning and Inference, 4, 313-319.
Ghosh, S. (1983). Influence observations in view of design and

inference. Commun. Statist. - Theor. Meth., 12(14), 1675-1983. Srivastava, J. N. (1975). Designs for searching nonnegligible

effects. In A Survey of Statistical Design and Linear Models (J. N. Srivastava, Ed.), pp. 507-519. North-Holland, Amsterdam. Srivastava, J. N. (1976). Some further theory of search linear

models. In Cotributions to Applied Statistics, Experimentia: Suppl. (W.J. Ziegler, Ed.), Vol. 22. Birkhäuser, Basel.

Srivastava, J. N. and Mallenby, D. W. (1985). On a decision rule using dichotomies for identifying the nonnegligible parameter in certain linear models. J. of Multivariate Analysis, 16, 318-334.
Taguchi, G. and Wu, Y. (1985). Introduction to Off-Line Quality

Control. Central Japan Quality Control Association, Tokyo.

日本のためのため

