

3 1545500 1.0 2·5 2·2 2·0 1.1 1.8 1.25 1.4 1.6 

UNCLASSIFI	ED
------------	----

	•				(
UNCLASSIFIED			<u>ج</u>	-	
CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					
	REPORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAGE	E		
. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE M	ARKINGS		
UNCLASSIFIED	<b>/</b>	3. DISTRIBUTION/A	VAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
NA		Approved fo	or Public	Release; Dis	tribution
5. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHE	DULE	Unlimited			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUN	ABER(S)	5. MONITORING OR	GANIZATION P	REPORT NUMBER	S;
		AFOSR TR. 86-0432			
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	BD. OFFICE SYMBOL	78. NAME OF MONI	TORING ORGAN	NIZATION	<u> </u>
Texas A&M University	(If applicable)	AFOSR/NM			
: ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	_L	75. ADDRESS (City,	State and ZIP Co	ide)	
	2120	Bldg. 410			
College Station, TX 77843	-3128	Bolling AF	в, DC 2033	2-6448	
A NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING	Sb. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT	DENTIFICATION N	UMBER
AFOSR	NM	AFOSR 82-0	033		
E. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FU	NDING NOS.	······	
Bldg. 410		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UN
Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448		6 11025	2204	1 1.5	1
BOITING ATD, DC 20002-04		0.1102F	2304	1 #14	
A Novel Differential Geometr	ic Approach Towa	ard Robust Sig	nal Detect	ion #5	
A Novel Differential Geometr 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	ic Approach Towa	ard Robust Sig	al Detect	tton tto	
A Novel Differential Geometr A Novel Differential Geometr 2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13. TIME (	ic Approach Towa	ard Robust Sig	nal Detect	115. PAGE C	COUNT
A Novel Differential Geometr A Novel Differential Geometr M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint FROM 5	ic Approach Towa son covered /23/85 to <u>5/22/8</u> 6	6 1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14 pate of Repo	2304 nal Detect <b>T</b> (Yr., Mo., De 86	15. PAGE C	COUNT
A Novel Differential Geometr A Novel Differential Geometr A. W. Thompson and D.R. Halver B. W. Thompson and D.R. Halver B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In	ic Approach Towa son <u>COVERED</u> /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and S	6 14. part of Refo May 22, 19 ystem Sciences	nal Detect T(Yr. Mo., De 86 , March 19	15. PAGE 0 6 0-21, 1986	COUNT
A Novel Differential Geometr A Novel Differential Geometr 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint 13. TIME OF FROM _ 5 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In	ic Approach Towa son /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and S	6 14 DATE OF REPO May 22, 19 ystem Sciences	nal Detect T(Yr. Mo. Do 86	<b>15. PAGE (</b> 6)-21, 1986	COUNT
BOTTING ATB, DC 20322-04         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13. TYPE OF REPORT         14. TYPE OF REPORT         15. TYPE OF REPORT         16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         TYPE OF REPORT	ic Approach Towa son <u>covered</u> /23/85 to 5/22/80 formation and Sy la subject terms of Differential	6 14. parts of Refor 6 14. parts of Refor 6 14. parts of Refor May 22, 19 ystem Sciences	nal Detect T(Yr., Mo., Des March 19	15. PAGE 0 6 9-21, 1986	
BUTTING ATB, DC 2032200         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME OF REPORT         13c SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In         17       COSAT! CODES         FIELD       GROUP         SUB GR.	ic Approach Towa son /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and S IL SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential	6.1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14. part of Repo May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app	nal Detect Tr(Yr. Mo., De March 19 coessery and iden proach, rob	15. PAGE C 15. PAGE C 6 0-21, 1986 19 block number bust detection	:OUNT
BUTTING ATB, DC 20322-04         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TIME OF REPORT         14. TIME OF REPORT <t< td=""><td>ic Approach Towa son /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential</td><td>6.1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14. DATE OF REPO May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app</td><td>nal Detect</td><td>15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986</td><td>20UNT</td></t<>	ic Approach Towa son /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential	6.1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14. DATE OF REPO May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app	nal Detect	15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986	20UNT
BOTTING ATB, DC 20322-04         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In         17         COSAT! CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUB. GR.         AMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAMAM	ic Approach Towa son (23/85 to 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential differential	6 14. part of mero 6 14. part of mero 6 14. part of mero May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if me geometric app al detection w	nal Detect T(Yr. Mo. De March 19 March 19	15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986	COUNT m.
BOTTING ATB, DC 2032204         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME OF REPORT         13c SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In         17         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUB GR.         AXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ic Approach Towa son (23/85 to 5/22/8) formation and S is subject terms of Differential differential and robust signary. These meth	6 14. part of Repo May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose	AT (Yr. Mo., De 86 , March 19 conserv and iden broach, rob which is ba ed to the c	15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 and y block number bust detection ased on technology employed	niques loyed
BUTTING ATB, DC 20322-04         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13. TYPE OF REPORT         14. TYPE OF REPORT         15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In         17       COSAT! CODES         FIELD       GROUP       SUB. GR. <tr< td=""><td>ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential bid (dentify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admit classes of admit</td><td>6 14. parts of merod 6 14. parts of merod 6 14. parts of merod 6 May 22, 19 9 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if me geometric app 14. parts of merod 14. pa</td><td>Anal Detect nal Detect at (Yr., Mo., Des a, March 19 construction roach, rob which is ba ed to the construction istribution</td><td><b>15. PAGE C</b> <b>15. PAGE C</b> <b>6</b> <b>9-21, 1986</b> <b>19: Content of the degroups</b> <b>19: Page C</b> <b>19: Pag C</b> <b>19: Page C</b> <b>19: Pag </b></td><td>niques loyed ree of proach</td></tr<>	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential bid (dentify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admit classes of admit	6 14. parts of merod 6 14. parts of merod 6 14. parts of merod 6 May 22, 19 9 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if me geometric app 14. parts of merod 14. pa	Anal Detect nal Detect at (Yr., Mo., Des a, March 19 construction roach, rob which is ba ed to the construction istribution	<b>15. PAGE C</b> <b>15. PAGE C</b> <b>6</b> <b>9-21, 1986</b> <b>19: Content of the degroups</b> <b>19: Page C</b> <b>19: Pag C</b> <b>19: Page C</b> <b>19: Pag </b>	niques loyed ree of proach
BOTTING ATB, DC 2032200         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME OF REPORT         13c SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In         17         COSAT! CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUB. GR.         XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ic Approach Towa son (23/85 to 5/22/80 formation and S la SUBJECT TERMS of Differential didentify by block number and robust sign ry. These meth- a, readily admi classes of admis- investigations	6 14. part of Report May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta	Anal Detect nal D	15. PAGE C 6 0-21, 1986 19 block number oust detection ased on techric commonly employed ons. Our appresent for the degreent of the deg	niques loyed ree of oroach optimal
BUTTING ATB, DC 20322-04         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TIME OF REPORT         13. TIME OF REPORT         13. TYPE OF REPORT         13. TIME OF REPORT         14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In         17         COSAT! CODES         FIELD       GROUP         SUB. GR.         XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS // Differential bid identify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admin classes of admin- investigations ad we illustrate	6 14. part of meroo May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati	AT (Yr. Mo., De 86 7, March 19 roach, rob which is ba ed to the c ve measure istribution tive trade ion of this	15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 19-21, 1986	r, on. niques loyed ree of oroach optimal al geo-
BUTTING ATB, DC 2032200         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME OF REPORT         13c TIME OF REPORT <td< td=""><td>ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18. SUBJECT TERMS of Differential different</td><td>6 1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14 part of mero May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse (fra- geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.</td><td>Anal Detect nal Detect T(Yr. Mo. Des , March 19 control is ba which is ba which is ba d to the control istribution tive trade ion of this</td><td>ion 15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 ased on techr commonly emple of the degr ons. Our app eoff between s differentia</td><td>on.</td></td<>	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18. SUBJECT TERMS of Differential different	6 1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14 part of mero May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse (fra- geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.	Anal Detect nal Detect T(Yr. Mo. Des , March 19 control is ba which is ba which is ba d to the control istribution tive trade ion of this	ion 15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 ased on techr commonly emple of the degr ons. Our app eoff between s differentia	on.
BOTTING ATB, DC 20332-04      IN TITLE (Include Security Classification)     A Novel Differential Geometr     A Novel Differential Geometr     I2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)     M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver     I3a TYPE OF REPORT     I3b TIME OF     Reprint     I3b TIME OF     I3b TIME OF     I5b TRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and     voted in differential geomet     classical saddlepoint criteri     robustness over very general     thus is seen to make possible     performance and robustness,an     metric approach via various	ic Approach Towa son (23/85 To 5/22/80) formation and Si is subject terms a Differential differential differential ry. These meth a, readily admi classes of admis- investigations d we illustrate specific exampl	6 14. part of Repo May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.	Anal Detect nal D	15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 0-21, 1986 0-2	niques loyed ree of optimal al geo-
BOTTING ATB, DC 2032200         11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)         A Novel Differential Geometr         12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver         13a TYPE OF REPORT         13b TIME OF REPORT         13c TIME OF REPORT <td< td=""><td>ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS OF Differential didentify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admic classes of admis- investigations d we illustrate specific exampl</td><td>6 14 part of merod May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if me geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.</td><td>Anal Detect nal Detect at (Yr. Mo. Des by March 19 contact, rob which is ba at to the contact istribution at the trade to n of this</td><td>15. PAGE C 15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 19-21, 19-21, 1986 19-21, 1</td><td>on. niques loyed ree of optimal al geo-</td></td<>	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS OF Differential didentify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admic classes of admis- investigations d we illustrate specific exampl	6 14 part of merod May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if me geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.	Anal Detect nal Detect at (Yr. Mo. Des by March 19 contact, rob which is ba at to the contact istribution at the trade to n of this	15. PAGE C 15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 19-21, 19-21, 1986 19-21, 1	on. niques loyed ree of optimal al geo-
BUTTING ATB, DC 20332-04 II. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Novel Differential Geometr 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint 13. TIME OF FROM _5 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In 17 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	ic Approach Towa son (23/85 to 5/22/80 formation and S is subject terms a Differential differential ry. These meth a, readily admi classes of admise investigations d we illustrate specific exampl	6 14. part of Repo May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.	Anal Detect nal Detect T(Yr. Mo. Des 6, March 19 control of the control tive measure istribution tive trade istribution tive trade	15. PAGE C 15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 19-21, 1986 19-21	niques loyed ree of oroach optimal al geo-
BUTTING ATB, DC 20332-04 II. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Novel Differential Geometr 12. PEASONAL AUTHOR(S) M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13b. TIME OF Reprint 13b. TIME OF Reprint 13b. TIME OF FROM 5 13b. TIME OF FROM 5 FROM 5	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy IL SUBJECT TERMS ( Differential differential ad (Centify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admit classes of admits investigations ad we illustrate specific exampl	6 14 DATE OF REFO May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es.	Anal Detect anal	ion 15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 and by block number oust detection ased on techric commonly emple of the degrid ons. Our appress of between s differentian ELECT JUL 2 4 1	m. niques loyed ree of optimal al geo-
BUTTING ATB, DC 20332-04 II. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Novel Differential Geometr 12. PEASONAL AUTHOR(S) M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint 13. TIME OF REPORT 13. TIME OF REPORT 14. TIME OF REPORT 15. TIME OF REPORT 1	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS of Differential didentify by block number ard robust signary. These method ard robust signary. These method ary These method ary cadily adminiclasses of admini- investigations ad we illustrate specific exampl	6.1102F ard Robust Sig 6 14. part of REPO May 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse (I no geometric app al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es. 21. ABSTRACT SEC	Anal Detect nal Detect T(Yr. Mo. Des 86 9, March 19 eccessory and iden broach, rob which is bac ed to the co istribution tive trade istribution tive trade istribution tive trade	ion 15. PAGE 0 6 0-21, 1986 19. Page 0 19. Page 0	ount of the second seco
BUTTING ATB, DC 20332-04 II. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Novel Differential Geometr 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halver 13. TIME OF REPORT Reprint 13. TIME OF FROM Reprint 13. TIME OF FROM 13. TIME OF FROM 13. TIME OF FROM 13. TIME OF FROM 13. TIME OF FROM 14. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Proc. of the 1986 Conf. on In 17 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy 18 SUBJECT TERMS // Differential id identify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admin classes of admine- investigations id we illustrate specific exampl	6 14. CATE OF REFO 6 14. CATE OF REFO 6 14. CATE OF REFO 6 May 22, 19 9 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if no geometric app 7 al detection w ods, as oppose t the quantiti ssible noise d of the quanta the applicati es. 21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSIFI	Anal Detect nal Detect and Detect and Control (Yr., Mo., Des by March 19 control (Yr., Mo., Des and (Analysis) and (Analysis) control (Yr., Mo., Des and (Analysis) and (Analysis) control (Yr., Mo., Des and (Analysis) and (Analysis) control (Yr., Mo., Des and (Analysis) and (Analysis)	ion 15. PAGE C 6 0-21, 1986 1987 1986	r, on. niques loyed ree of oroach optimal al geo-
BUTTING ATB, DC 2032200 A Novel Differential Geometr A Novel Differential Geometr Process of the 1986 Conf. on In COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. AXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ic Approach Towa son COVERED /23/85 TO 5/22/80 formation and Sy IL SUBJECT TERMS (A Differential didentify by block number ard robust signary. These meth- a, readily admi classes of admis- investigations ad we illustrate specific exampl	Continue on reverse if may 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if may 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if may 22, 19 ystem Sciences Continue on reverse if may 21, assert the quantities of the quantaties. 21. ABSTRACT SECUNCLASSIFIE 225. TELEPHONE N	Anal Detect nal Detect at (Yr. Mo. Des b), March 19 control is bat control is bat contro	15. PAGE C 6 9-21, 1986 19. Page C 6 9-21, 1986 19. Page C 19. Page C	rount ron. niques loyed ree of optimal al geo- C 986 D MBOL

## AFOSR-TR. 3 6-0432 NOVEL DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRIC APPROACH

TOWARD ROBUST SIGNAL DETECTION

Ъy

M.W. Thompson and D.R. Halverson Department of Electrical Engineering Texas A&M University College Station, Texas 77843

## ABSTRACT

We present a new approach toward robust signal detection which is based on techniques rooted in differential geometry. These methods, as opposed to the commonly employed classical saddlepoint criteria, readily admit the quantitive measure of the degree of robustness over very general classes of admissable noise distributions. Our approach thus is seen to make possible investigations of the quantitative tradeoff between optimal performance and robustness, and we illustrate the application of this differential geometric approach via various specific examples.

### I. Introduction

It is well known that there is increasing interest in the employment of robustness techniques for the discrete time detection of signals in imperfectly known noise. The traditional approach toward addressing questions within this rather broad area of research has been to rely heavily on the classical saddlepoint criterion of Huber (see, for example, [1]). A variety of work appearing in the engi neering literature has verified that such an approach can lead to tractable results. However, it may be argued that the degree of robustness obtained owes much to the types of noise models admitted by the method. In reality it may not be easy to verify that the types of models appropriate to the saddlepoint robustness approach sufficiently represent the full extent of variation of the unknown perturbation of a distribution around the nominal. Moreover,

although it is possible via the approach of [2] to obtain general representations of the noise model via Choquet capacities [3], it has yet to be seen if such elegant methods are capable of enhancing the denseness of the class of noise models beyond the relatively few standard models (see, for example, [1]). In addition, the saddle point criterion is inherently a nonquantitative approach toward imparting robustness. We intuitively might suspect that robust ness is obtained by a judicious tradeoff with optimal performance, and we thus might desire a way to quantitatively measure the degree of robustness in order that a weighted combination of robustness and performance could be considered subject to some cost criterion. In this paper we present an entirely different approach which views the robustness question not from the saddlepoint perspective but from one which is rooted in differential geometry.

#### II. Development

Viewing the robustness problem from a slightly different perspective, let  $\mathcal{D}_n$  denote the class of n-dimensional distribution functions. From this point of view, the performance of the detector is thus expressed by considering the performance functional P: $\mathcal{D}_n$  + R; we then simply wish to choose the detector so the P is reasonably high and doesn't vary much near the nominal element of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . Viewing P as a height function over  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , we could say that a robust detector would yield a "surface"

Presented at the 1986 Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, March 19-21, 1986; to be published in the proceedings of the conference.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. which above the nominal element is both relatively high and not strongly sloped.

Such a perspective thus would indicate that a geometric approach to robust detecttion might be appropriate. What would be needed would be to provide a differentiable structure to  $\mathcal{D}_n$  so that the concept of slope would have the proper meaning. We would then be considering a height function over a differentiable manifold M which would result in a new manifold  $M_1$  for which the Riemannian metric would yield a norm.

In this paper we present some specific applications of the above observations. Noting that a Neyman-Pearson approach in volves comparing the sample vector to the appropriate n-dimensional Borel set B<sub>n</sub> in  $R^n$ , where n is the number of samples, we then observe that in this robustness application we could in practice regard  $B_n$  as specified via the choice of nominal distribution under  $H_{\Omega}$ ; we then would be interested in analyzing the degree of variation in the false alarm probability  $\alpha$  and/or detection probability  $\beta$  as the underlying distribution varies about the nominal, thus fix ing a choice of height function  $h: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  for some natural number m, where  $h(\cdot)$  corres ponds to the value of  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  for some fixed detector of interest.

Consider first the case where the class of n-dimensional distribution func tions is parameterized by m parameters; this class can then be identified with a subset of  $R^m$ , and the corresponding mani fold  $M_1$  is a surface in  $R^{m+1}$ . An appropriate metric tensor  $g(\cdot, \cdot)$  is inherited from the standard inner product on  $R^{m+1}$  with the obvious choice of coordinate system

 $\frac{3}{3}y_i^{-}(\delta_{i1}, \delta_{i2}, \dots, \delta_{im}, \frac{3h}{3x_i})$  leading to the components of the metric tensor given by

$$g_{ij} = g(\frac{1}{y_i}, \frac{1}{y_j}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \\ 1 + (-\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i})^2 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Associating the slope of the unit normal

with the cosine of the angle of the unit normal to vertical (with  $M_{j}$  immersed in  $R^{m+1}$ ) it is then straightforward, although somewhat lengthy, to show that at the point corresponding to the nominal distribution this cosine is given by

$$\cos \gamma_{\mathbf{m}} = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{m}} (\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i})^2)^{-1/2} \cdot$$

Note that  $\gamma_m$  provides a measure of local "first order" robustness; smaller values of  $\gamma_m$  suggest less variation in  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  near the nominal distribution.

Consider now the discrete time detection of a constant signal s in additive i.i.d. Gaussian noise with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We note that there may be some uncertaintly in all of the values of s, $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Employing first the linear detector, we then choose h(·) to correspond to  $\beta$  and then straightforwardly obtain (for n samples)

 $\frac{d\beta}{ds} = \frac{d\beta}{d\mu} = (n/(2\pi\sigma^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-[n(\mu+s)-T]^2/(2n\sigma^2)\right)$ 

 $\frac{d\beta}{d\sigma^2} = -(n(\mu+s)-T)$ 

 $\exp(-[n(\mu+s)-T]^2/(2n\sigma^2)) / (8\pi n\sigma^6)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where the threshold T is specified for a given false alarm rate  $\alpha$  by evaluating the detector at the nominal values of s,  $\mu$ , and  $\sigma^2$ . We next employ the robustified version of the linear detector, which replaces the identity function of the linear detector with the nonlinearity g(·) defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} k_{2} & \text{if } x > k_{2} \\ x & \text{if } k_{1} \leq x \leq k_{2} \\ k_{1} & \text{if } x < k_{1} \end{cases}$$

It is well known that this detector resists the tractable development of closed form expressions for  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  in the Gaussian case. In order to numerically compare the robustness of this "censored" version of the linear detector we therefore employ a large sample Gaussian approximation of the test statistic. The resultant lengthy analysis shows, for example, that with n=50,  $\alpha$ =0.05,  $k_1$ =-0.4,  $k_2$ =0.6 and nominally u=0.1, s=0.0, (A)  $k_1$ =-0.4,  $k_2$ =0.6 and nominally u=0.1, s=0.0, (A)  $k_1$ =-1, we have  $x_3$ =34.3°, which can be compared pared top  $\gamma_3$ =68.8° for the linear detector.

### Chief, hear and information Division

The robustness of the detector which employs censoring is thus quantitatively demonstrated.

# III. The General Case

It would also be important to consider more general classes of distributions; ideally we would wish to place virtually no constraints on the admissable distribution function. Such an approach is actu ally feasible in the i.i.d. case. Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are expressed via an integral over a Borel set B with respect to the appropriate n-dimensional distribution under  ${\rm H}_{\Omega}$  and  ${\rm H}_{1}$  respectively, we can without loss of generality note the independence of the observations and investigate perturbations in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  by limiting consideration to the class of those univariate distribu tions given by step functions, i.e. those functions of form

 $\tilde{F}(\cdot) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} a_i I_A(\cdot)$ , where the interi=0  $i A_i$ 

vals  $A_i$  partition R and we take  $a_0=0$  and  $a_{m+1}=1$ . For a fixed finite partition P of R, we note that the corresponding class of step functions can be viewed as par - ameterized by elements of  $R^m$ . Letting  $F(\cdot)$  denote the nominal univariate distribution of the observations, we then can employ the aforementioned methods to obtain an expression for  $\cos \gamma_m$ , where for each partition a Stieltjes approximation to  $F(\cdot)$  is chosen and regarded as nominal for the parameterized case. We then define  $\frac{|\vec{H}| - 0}{m}$ 

number 7 may be thus be interpreted as the angle of the unit normal to vertical for the general (nonparameterized) distribution case, and as before, we would like this angle to be small for robustness. We also have

 $\frac{m}{\cos\gamma = \lim (1 + \sum (\frac{\gamma \tilde{h}}{\gamma a_i})^2)^2},$   $\frac{p_i + 0}{1 = 1} \quad i = 1$ 

where, as before, we limit consideration to the situation where the height function  $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$  is x or 2 (with the corresponding univariate distribution of the observa -

 $\partial_i^+ B_n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n): \text{there exists } \varepsilon > 0$ such that  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \overline{B}_n$ for  $y \in (x_1 - \varepsilon, x_1)$  and  $(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},z,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)\in \mathcal{B}_n$ for ze  $(x_1, x_1 + \varepsilon)$  $\partial_i B_n = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : \text{there exists } \varepsilon > 0 \}$ such that  $(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},y,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n) \in \mathcal{B}_n$ for  $y \in (x_1 - \varepsilon, x_1)$ and  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \overline{B}_n$ for  $z \in (x_i, x_i + \varepsilon)$  }  $y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n$ ): there exists w such that  $(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},w,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n) \in \mathcal{F}_i^+ \mathcal{B}_n$  $(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, w, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_n) \in \partial_1^+ B_n$ . Similarly, we define  $\partial_{t+1}^{+-}$  by replacing  $\partial_{t+1}^{++}$ in the  $\partial_{ij}^{++}$  expression with  $\partial_{ij}^{-}$ . In an analogous manner, we also define  $\partial_{i}^{-+}$  and  $\partial_{11}^{--}$ . We then can establish the following result, which provides a closed form ex pression for cosy: Theorem: Suppose that if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \land \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}^{+} \mathbb{B}_{n} \land \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}^{+} \mathbb{B}_{n} \land \ldots \land \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}^{+} \mathbb{B}_{n} \land \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{1}^{-} \mathbb{B}_{n} \land \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{2}^{-} \mathbb{B}_{n} \land \ldots \land \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{n}^{-} \mathbb{B}_{n}^{-}$ then  $x_{\overline{e}}$  int  $(\underline{B})$  wint  $(\overline{\underline{B}})$ . We then have  $\cos \gamma = (1 + \Delta^2 + \Gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $\Delta^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \int_{\partial_{+}B_{i}} dF(y_{1}) \dots dF(y_{i-1}) dF(y_{i+1}) \right]$  $-\int_{\partial_{-}B} dF(y_{1}) \dots dF(y_{i-1}) dF(y_{i+1}) \dots dF(y_{n}) \Big]^{2}$  $\Gamma = \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i \neq j}} \int_{a_{i}+b_{j}} dF(x_{1}) \dots dF(x_{i-1}) dF(x_{i+1}) \dots dF(x_{n}) \quad .$  $dF(y_1) \dots dF(y_{i-1}) dF(y_{i+1}) \dots dF(y_n) +$ +  $\int dF(x_1) \dots dF(x_{i-1}) dF(x_{i+1}) \dots dF(x_n) dF(y_1)$  $\int_{i_j}^{-B} m \cdots dF(y_{j-1}) dF(y_{j+1}) \cdots dF(y_n)$ 

tions  $\tilde{F}(\cdot)$ ). Now let

3

$$-\int_{\partial \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{j} \mathcal{B}_{n}}^{dF(x_{1}) \cdots dF(x_{i-1}) dF(x_{i+1}) \cdots dF(x_{n}) dF(y_{1})} \cdots dF(y_{j-1}) dF(y_{j+1}) \cdots dF(y_{n}) - \int_{\partial \frac{1}{i} \mathcal{B}_{n}}^{dF(x_{1}) \cdots dF(x_{i-1}) dF(x_{i+1}) \cdots dF(x_{n}) dF(y_{1})} \cdots dF(y_{j-1}) dF(y_{j+1}) \cdots dF(y_{n})}, \text{ whenever the integrals exist.}$$

<u>Proof:</u> Recall the step function approxi mation  $\tilde{F}(\cdot)$  to the nominal univariate distribution  $F(\cdot), \tilde{F}(\cdot) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} a_{i}I_{A_{i}}(\cdot)$ , where i=0

 $a_{0}=0 \text{ and } a_{m+1} = 1. \text{ The associated approximate measure of performance is given by}$  $\tilde{h}(\cdot) = \int_{B_{n}} d\tilde{F}(y_{1}) \dots d\tilde{F}(y_{n}), \text{ and thus}$   $\frac{-\tilde{h}_{n}}{\tilde{h}_{1}} = \frac{m}{2} \dots = a_{1}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1}-1,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) - a_{1}(1-1,x_{1},\dots,x_{1}) - a_{1}(1-1,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} = 0 = a_{1}^{m} = 0 a_{2}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},x_{1}-1,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} = 0 = a_{1}^{m} = 0 a_{2}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},x_{1}-1,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} = 0 = a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{1}-1,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} = 0 = a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} = 0 = a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} = 0 = a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{n} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} a_{1}^{m} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} a_{1}^{m} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{1})) + a_{1}^{m} (I_{B_{n}}(x_{1},\dots,x_{n})) + a_{1}^{m} (I_{B_{n}}(x_$ 

pression, we observe that as the norm of the partition approaches zero, the squared terms collectively reach  $\Delta^2$  as a limit, whereas the cross products yield  $\Gamma$ .

QED

We remark that from an intuitive perspective,  $\stackrel{,}{}_{i}^{+}B_{n}$  consists of those elements of the boundary of  $B_{n}$  which are intersected by rays parallel to the i axis and moving in the positive direction from the exterior of  $B_n$  to its interior, whereas  $\partial_i B_n$  is formed in an analogous manner with the rays moving in the negative direction from the exterior of  $B_n$  to its interior. In addition, we note that the Theorem's hypothesis is simply a mild condition on the regularity of  $B_n$  which is frequently easy to satisfy in this detection context (wherein  $B_n$  arises by way of a threshold comparator). Moreover, the existence of the integrals in the Theorem is very often easy to verify since the boundary of  $B_n$  is sufficiently well behaved in such cases.

As an example of an application of the Theorem, consider again the linear detector. For n=2 it then follows that (where the detector threshold is T)

$$\hat{\partial}_{1}^{+}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{2}^{+}B_{2} = \{(x, y) : y = T - x\}, \hat{\partial}_{1}^{-}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{2}^{-}B_{2} = \phi$$
$$\hat{\partial}_{12}^{++}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{21}^{++}B_{2} = \{(x, y) : y = x\}$$
$$\hat{\partial}_{12}^{+-}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{21}^{+-}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{12}^{-+}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{12}^{-+}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{12}^{--}B_{2} = \hat{\partial}_{21}^{--}B_{2} = \phi$$
We therfore have

 $\cos \gamma = 1/(1+1+1+0)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1/3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , i.e.  $\gamma = 54.7^{0}$ , regardless of the nominal distribution. This may be generalized to show that for n samples

 $\cos\gamma * (1+n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , regardless of the nominal distribution. Note that lim  $\cos\gamma = 0$ , i.e.  $n^{+\infty}$ the linear detector becomes completed unrobust (as measured by  $\gamma$ ) as the number of samples approaches infinity.

On the other hand, consider the classical robustified version of the linear de tector, wherein a "censored" detector nonlinearity g(.) of form

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ k & \text{if } x > k & \text{is used.} \\ -k & \text{if } x < -k \end{cases}$$
  
It then can be shown that  
 $\beta_1^+ B_2 = \{(x,y): x = T - k, y > k; \text{ or } y = T - x, \\ T - k \leq x \leq k \}$   
 $\beta_2^+ B_2 = \{(x,y): y = T - k, x > k; \text{ or } y = T - x, \\ T - k \leq x \leq k \}$   
 $\beta_1^- B_2 = \beta_2^- B_2 = \phi$   
 $\beta_1^+ B_2 = \beta_{21}^+ B_2 = \{(x,y): x \geq k \text{ and } y \geq k, \text{ or } y = x \text{ and } T - k < x < k \}$   
 $\beta_{12}^+ B_2 = \beta_{21}^+ B_2 = \beta_{12}^+ B_2 = \beta_{21}^- B_2 = \beta_{21}^- B_2 = \phi$ .

For this case the exact value of cosy

depends on the values of k,T, and the choice of nominal distribution. However, we can make some general conclusions when the amount of censoring approaches maximal (k+0). In this case we have, when n=2, lim  $\cos\gamma = (1+2(1-F(0))^2+2(1-F(0))^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . k+0

For the common case where  $F(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we then obtain

 $\lim_{k \to 0} \cos \gamma \le 1/2^{\frac{k}{2}} \text{, i.e. } \lim_{k \to 0} \gamma \ge 45^{\circ} \text{, which}$ 

may be compared to the linear detector's  $\gamma = 54.7^{\circ}$  for n=2. This may be generalized through a lengthy analysis to conclude that for n samples,

 $\lim_{k \to 0} \cos \gamma = (1 + n(1 - F(0))^{2(n-1)} + n(n-1) \cdot (1 - F(0))^n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$ 

Note that for F(0) > 0 we have  $\lim(\lim \cos \gamma)$  $n \neq \infty$   $k \neq 0$ 

= 1, that is, the detector approaches

possessing complete robustness (as measured by  $\gamma$ ) as the number of samples tends to infinity. For  $F(0) \leq \frac{L}{2}$  we have

 $\lim_{k \to 0} \cos y \leq (1 + n \cdot 2^{-2(n-1)} + n(n-1)2^{-n})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$ 

Note that the upper bound can be approached arbitrarily closely for F(0) near  $\frac{1}{2}$ . For n=3 this upper bound becomes 0.8 (corresponding to  $\gamma=36.9^{\circ}$ ), whereas for n=10 it becomes 0.96 (corresponding to  $\gamma$ =16.3°). This can be compared to the case of the linear detector, where for n=3 we have \_=60° and for n=10 we have  $\gamma=72.5^{\circ}$ . For the larger values of n the robustness advantages of the classical robustified linear detector are thus quantitatively demonstrated.

### IV. Second Order Robustness

Finally, we note that our geometric approach admits the additional "second order" sensitivity check provided by curvature. There are many different defini tions of curvature, however scalar curvature has the advantage of being independent of the local coordinate system em ployed, thus simplifying its computation. In addition, the choice of scalar curvature is intuitively appealing since it is just a sum of the various sectional curvatures; we are therefore simply accumulating ordinary two dimensional Gaussian curvature it all possible orthogonal directions. For the parameterized distribution case it would then be possible to generate numer ical values for the "second order" measure of robustness provided by scalar curvature, which from [4] is given by (where here the Einstein summation convention is used)

$$R_{m} = g^{ik} \left[ \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ik}}{\partial x_{\ell}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{i\ell}}{\partial x_{k}} + \Gamma_{s\ell}^{\ell} \Gamma_{ik}^{s} - \Gamma_{sk}^{\ell} \Gamma_{i\ell}^{s} \right]$$
(m not summed), where the Christoffel

symbols  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ku} \left( \frac{\partial g_{ju}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial g_{ui}}{\partial x_{j}} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_{u}} \right) , \text{ in}$$

which  $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ . Although the above equations regarding scalar curvature appear rather compact, numerical calculations involving them can be quite tedious (but not difficult), especially for large m.

### III. Conclusion

We have presented a new approach toward robust signal detection which is based on differential geometric methods as opposed to classical saddlepoint criteria. These techniques are seen to admit a quantitative measure of robustness through the geometric concepts of unit normal slope and scalar curvature, thus allowing the consideration of a weighted combination of performance, first order robustness (via unit normal slope), and second order robustness (via scalar curvature) subject to some cost criterion of interest. Our techniques are additionally illustrated in the paper through various specific examples.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR-82-0033.

#### References

- P.J. Huber, <u>Robust Statistics</u>, Chap.
   10. New York: Wiley, 1981.
- P.J. Huber and V. Strassen, "Minimax tests and the Neyman-Pearson lemma for capacities", <u>Ann. Statist</u>.,vol.1,pp.

251-263, 1973.

- G. Choquet, "Theory of capacities", <u>Ann. Inst. Fourier</u>, vol. 5, pp. 131-292, 1953/54.
- S.I. Goldberg, <u>Curvature and Homology</u>, pp. 30-39. New York: Academic Press, 1962.

