

AD-A169 926

HEREDITY OF STATIONARY AND REVERSIBLE STOCHASTIC
PROCESSES(U) GEORGIA INST OF TECH ATLANTA R F SERFOZO
25 MAR 86 AFOSR-TR-86-0381 AFOSR-84-0367

1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 12/1

NL






1.0



1.1



1.25



1.4



2.0



2.2



2.5



2.8



3.15



3.5



4.0



4.5



5.0



5.6



6.3



7.1



8.0



9.0



10.0



11.2



12.5



14.0



16.0



18.0



20.0



22.5



25.0



28.0



31.5



36.0



40.0



45.0



50.0



56.0



63.0

UNCLASSIFIED

AD-A169 926

(2)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY NA		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NA			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR. 86-0381	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Georgia Institute of Technology	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR/NM	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Atlanta, Georgia 30332		7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR 84-0367	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 6.1102F	PROJECT NO. 2304
		TASK NO. A5	WORK UNIT NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Heredity of Stationary and Reversible Stochastic Processes			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Preprint	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) March 25, 1986	15. PAGE COUNT 5
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
<p>When a stochastic process (a random measure, set, field, etc. on a group) is stationary, ergodic, or reversible, then certain functions of this process inherit these properties. We present sufficient conditions for this inheritance.</p>			
DTIC FILE COPY		<p>DTIC ELECTE JUL 23 1986 B</p>	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Richard F. Serfozo		22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (404)894-2305	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

Heredity of Stationary and Reversible Stochastic Processes

by

Richard F. Serfozo
Georgia Institute of Technology

Abstract. When a stochastic process (a random measure, set, field, etc. on a group) is stationary, ergodic, or reversible, then certain functions of this process inherit these properties. We present sufficient conditions for this inheritance.

Introduction. Associated with a queueing network process (see Chapters 2,3 of Kelly (1979)), there are a number of stochastic processes that describe various aspects of the queues. Examples are (i) the point process of times at which customers move from a certain set of queues to another set, and (ii) the process depicting the service station with the largest queue and the length of that queue over time. When the queueing network process is stationary or reversible, do these associated processes inherit these properties? I shall present general criteria for this inheritance. The basic issue is: If a stochastic process is stationary or reversible, then what types of functions of it are also stationary or reversible?

Preliminaries. Let $X = \{X(t): t \in R\}$ be a stochastic process with state space S . Here R denotes the real line or, more generally, a group with a σ -field \mathcal{R} on it that renders the group operation (addition) measurable. Assume that X has sample paths in a subspace X of the space $\underline{F}(R,S)$ of all measurable functions from R to S . The process X is

This research was supported in part by grant AFOSR-84-0367.

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

stationary if, for any s_1, \dots, s_n in R ,

$$X(s_1 + t), \dots, X(s_n + t) \stackrel{d}{=} X(s_1), \dots, X(s_n), \quad t \in R,$$

(here $\stackrel{d}{=}$ means equality in distribution). A compact way of expressing this is $X \circ T_t \stackrel{d}{=} X$, $t \in R$, where \circ denotes the convolution operator and T_t is the time-shift transformation on R ($T_t s = s + t$). A stationary process X is ergodic if each of its invariant events has probability zero or one (an event A is invariant for X if there is a $B \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\{X \circ T_t \in A\} = B$, $t \in R$). The process X is reversible if it is stationary and $X \circ T^- \stackrel{d}{=} X$, where T^- is the time-reversal transformation on R ($T^- t = -t$). Note that X is reversible if and only if $X \circ T_t \circ T^- \stackrel{d}{=} X$, $t \in R$ (this is sometimes used as the definition).

The preceding terminology also applies to point processes and other random elements. Let $N = \{N(B) : B \in R\}$ be a point process on R , where $N(B)$ represents the number of points (possibly infinite) in the set B . Stationarity, ergodicity, and reversibility of N are defined as above, where T_t and T^- are the time-shift and time-reversal transformations on R (instead of R): $T_t B = B + t$, $T^- B = -B$. For instance, N is reversible if it is stationary ($N \circ T_t \stackrel{d}{=} N$, $t \in R$) and $N \circ T^- \stackrel{d}{=} N$. There are similar definitions of stationarity, ergodicity, and reversibility for random measures, random sets, etc., and vectors of these elements.

It is well known that if the process X is stationary and $g: S \rightarrow S'$ and $h: X \rightarrow \underline{F}(R, S')$ are measurable functions, then the processes $Y(t) = g(X(t))$ and $Z(t) = h(X \circ T_t)$ are also stationary. (The g is a special case of h .) Moreover, Y and Z are ergodic when X is. See for instance

Chapter 6 of Breiman (1968). In addition, an easy check shows that Y and Z are reversible when X is. I shall now show how these results extend to a larger class of functions and to point processes and other random elements.

Results. A key observation is that stationarity and reversibility are special cases of the following notion of invariance of a process under a family of "time" transformations. Let Y be a random element and let ϕ be a family of measurable transformations from the domain of Y onto itself. The domain of Y is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R} or cartesian products of them. The random element Y is invariant under the set of transformations ϕ if $Y \circ \phi \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ for each $\phi \in \phi$. For example, the vector $Y = (X, N)$ is reversible if it is invariant under the transformations $\{T^-, T_t^+ : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where T^- and T_t^+ are the time-reversal and time-shift transformations on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

For the following results, let Y and Z denote random elements (possibly vectors of processes, measures, sets, etc.) with sample paths or realizations in the respective spaces \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} . Assume that $Z = f(Y)$, where $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a measurable function.

Lemma. If Y is invariant under the set of transformations ϕ , and f satisfies $f(y) \circ \phi = f(y \circ \phi)$, for each $\phi \in \phi$, $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Z is invariant under the set of transformations ϕ .

Proof. This follows since, for each $\phi \in \phi$,

$$Z \circ \phi = f(Y) \circ \phi = f(Y \circ \phi) \stackrel{d}{=} f(Y) = Z.$$

Proposition. If Y is stationary and f satisfies

$$(1) \quad f(y) \circ T_t^+ = f(y \circ T_t^+), \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathcal{Y},$$

then Z is stationary. If Y is reversible and f satisfies (1) and $f(y) \circ T^- = f(y \circ T^-)$, $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then Z is reversible. If Y is stationary

and ergodic and f satisfies (1), then Z is stationary and ergodic.

Proof. The first two assertions are special cases of the preceding lemma. The third assertion follows since $\{Z \circ T_t \in A\} = \{Y \circ T_t \in f^{-1}(A)\}$ implies that the invariant events of Z are the same as those for Y .

Example. Suppose $Y = \{(Y_1(t), \dots, Y_m(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a queueing network process, where $Y_j(t)$ denotes the number of customers at queue j at time t . Assume that Y cannot have an infinite number of transitions in a finite time interval. Specifics on the operation of the queues are not needed for this discussion. Let $Y_J(t) = \sum_{j \in J} Y_j(t)$, the number of customers in the set of queues $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let N denote the point process of times at which customers move from J to another set of queues K , and let $X(t)$ denote the largest number of customers in J since the last time the number was zero. Clearly $(N, X) = f(Y) = (f_1(Y), f_2(Y))$, where

$$f_1(y) = \sum_{t \in B} I(Y_J(t) = Y_J(t-) - 1, Y_K(t) = Y_K(t-) + 1),$$

I is the indicator function, and

$$f_2(y)(t) = \max\{y_J(s) : \tau_t < s < t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $\tau_t = \sup\{s < t : y_J(s) = 0\}$, assuming that τ_t exists for each t . Then the preceding proposition establishes that the vector (N, X) is stationary, ergodic or reversible when Y has these respective properties.

Extensions. The lemma extends to state-space transformations as well as time transformations as follows. Define the random element Y to be (h, ϕ) -invariant if $h(Y \circ \phi) \stackrel{d}{=} h(Y) \circ \phi$, $\phi \in \Phi$, where $h: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(R, S')$ is measurable. For example, if the process X is $(h, \{T_t: t \in R\})$ -invariant, where $h(x)(t) = g(x(t))$ and $g: S \rightarrow S$ satisfies $g(g(s)) = s$, then X is dynamically reversible (see p. 31 of Kelly (1979)). The lemma for (h, ϕ) -invariance is: If Y is (h, ϕ) -invariant and f satisfies $h(f(y) \circ \phi) = h(f(y \circ \phi))$, $\phi \in \Phi$, $y \in \mathcal{V}$, then $Z = f(Y)$ is also (h, ϕ) -invariant. The preceding results readily extend to time and space transformations h, ϕ that are random.

References

- Breiman, L. (1968). Probability. Addison-Wesley.
- Kelly, F.P. (1979). Reversibility and Stochastic Networks. John Wiley.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution _____	
Availability _____	
Dist	Special
A-1	



END

DTIC

8-86

END

DTIC

8-86