



MICROCOP

1

ŝ

CH192

FOR REPRODUCTION PURPOSES

(-)}

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT

いる あたらいたい うれ

4. 22222655 FAA22225

7

Г	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS
-	REPORT NUMBER	ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	ARO 17852.1-PH-H	i/A	N/A
4.	Feasibility of Investigating Smith-Pure Electron Laser Configurations by Elect	cell Free- ron Energy	 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final. September 1980 through December 1985 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
ł	E035 Studies		
7.	AUTHOR(.)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
	Leslie C. Speller, Ph.D. (UDC) Prof. Arthur N. Thorpe, Ph.D. (HU) Prof. of	of Physics Physics	DAAG29-80-G-0013
9.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of the District of Columbia Howard University Washington, D.C.		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11.	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
	U. S. Army Research Office		June 9, 1986
	Post Office Box 12211		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14	Research Triangla Park NC 27709 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(11 different from Co	ntrolling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
			Unclassified
			15a. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut	ion unlimit	ted.
16.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block NA	ion unlimit 20, if different from	m Roport) JUN 2 5 1
16.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block SA SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ion unlimit 20, it different from	m Report)
16.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block NA Supplementary NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings of these of the author(s) and should not Department of the Array position, polic designated by other documentation.	ion unlimit 20, <i>It different fro</i> ontained in be construc- ty, or decis	ted. m Report) JUN 25 19 this report are ed as an official sion, unless so
16.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block NA SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings co- those of the author(s) and should not Department of the Army position, polic deciptuated by other documentation. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify Smith-Purcell; Electron Energy LOSS; F	ion unlimit 20, if different from the construc- the construc- the block number) Free-Electro	ted. m Report) JUN 2 5 19 A this report are ed as an official sion, unless so on Laser
16	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribut DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block NA SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings of those of the author(s) and should not Department of the Army position, polic deciptured by other documentation. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify Smith-Purcell; Electron Energy Loss; f ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify Smith-Purcell; Electron Energy Loss; f Metallic grating (600 lines/mm) and th The loss peak values, determined to be agreement with the predicted values. reproduced.	ion unlimit 20, <i>It different fro</i> ontained in be construc- ty, or decis <i>by block number</i>) Free+Electro by block number) 25 KeV were he energy lo e between ~ However, t	ted. <i>Report</i>) JUN 25 fs JUN 25 fs this report are ed as an official sion, unless so on Lasers e passed over an optical oss spectrum was obtained. (1.8 - 1.9eV), were in fair hese results could not be

FEASIBILITY OF INVESTIGATION SMITH-PURCELL FREE-ELECTRON LASER CONFIGURATIONS BY ELECTRON ENERGY LOSS STUDIES

FINAL REPORT

Leslie C. Speller, Ph.D. Arthur N. Thorpe, Ph.D.

June 9, 1986

U. S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

DAAG29-80-G-0013

University of the District of Columbia and Howard University

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.

36

86

INTRODUCTION

Smith and Purcell¹ have demonstrated that an electron beam traveling close to a metallic grating, parallel to the surface of the grating, and perpendicular to its rulings will emit electromagnetic radiation. They obtained for the fundamental wavelength of the radiation

$$\lambda = d(\frac{c}{v} - \cos\theta)$$
 (1)

22020 Managan Kaaaan Kaa

where d is the grating spacing, v is the speed of the electron, c is the speed of light, and θ is the angle between the direction of motion of the electron and the emitted ray.

Recently, the Smith-Purcell effect has generated a large interest to many workers²⁻⁵ because it has shown much promise in the tunable laser technology. Sources of tunable coherent electromagnetic radiation are highly desirable in application of many areas in applied physics, e.g., radio-isotope separation, plasma physics, and Intelligence Surveillance and Target Acquisition (ISTA).

The Free-Electron laser, based on the Smith-Purcell effect, shows promise of a truly tunable laser because, in principle, any wavelength can be obtained by merely changing the velocity of the incident electron, however, the proper choice of grating spacing must be taken into account.

The purpose of the research was to investigate the feasibility of a Smith-Purcell type Free-Electron laser by using electron energy loss techniques. A non-relativistic electron beam was passed over and close to the surface of a metallic grating, parallel to the surface of the grating, and perpendicular to its rulings. Measurements of the energy loss of the electron beam, after traversing the grating, were measured and compared with the predicted energy loss due to radiation. The electron energy loss measurement should give a direct measure of the radiative efficiency of this type of device.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The energy loss of an electron beam that traverses a metallic grating, parallel to its surface and perpendicular to its ruling was studied as a function of the energy of the incident electron beam and as a function of the geometrical configuration of the grooves of the grating. The parameters and information from this study are very important in the design of a Smith-Purcell Free-Electron laser.

An estimate of the time average of the total rate at which the energy of a moving charged particle is converted into radiation as it moves across the grating is given by the expression⁶

$$\left(\frac{dw}{d\tau}\right) = \frac{\pi^{4}e^{2}c}{75 d^{2}} \frac{ers}{(1 - \beta^{2})^{2}} \frac{ers}{sec}$$
(2)

where e is the electronic charge and $\beta = \frac{v}{c}$.

This expression was obtained from a harmonic analysis of a zig-zag path similar to the contour of a typical optical grating which yields d/20 as the amplitude of the fundamental oscillation, and from the classical formula for the time average of the total rate of radiation from an accelerated charge instantaneously at rest.

Choosing $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ in Equation (1), and making the assumption that the total rate of radiation should be about four times the rate of radiation in the first harmonic⁶, the combination of Equations (1) and (2) gives the expression for the conversion of electron energy into electromagnetic radiation

per unit electron path as

$$\left(\frac{\overline{dw}}{dx}\right) = \frac{4\pi^4 e^2}{75\lambda^2} \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta^2)^2} \frac{erg}{cm}$$
(3)

As can be seen from Equation (3), the efficiency of the conversion of electron energy into radiation increases with increasing β and decreasing λ , and decreases with decreasing β and increasing λ .

Consider a typical optical grating (600 lines/mm) which gives a grating spacing of approximately 1.7 x 10^{-4} cm. If the kinetic energy of an electron is 25 KeV, the corresponding wavelength ($\lambda = d\beta^{-1}$) would be ~5.48 x 10^{-4} cm (infrared). The corresponding electron energy loss estimated from Equation (3) would be ~0.94eV/cm which would not be difficult to observe.

For a frequency 230Ghz (λ = 1.3mm), the energy loss for a 25 KeV electron (β = 0.31) and a grating spacing of 0.4mm would be approximately 1.7 x 10⁻⁷eV/cm. This loss value would be much too small for us to observe. Even if a 1 MeV electron (β = 0.89) and a grating spacing of 1.16mm were considered, the energy loss would only be roughly 10⁻⁴eV/cm.

However, the electron energy losses based on beam and grating parameters obtained in the infrared wavelength range can be extrapolated to the nearmillimeter-wavelength region, and would be useful in obtaining optimum conditions for the operation of a Free-Electron laser (Orotron) as the one developed by Leavitt et. al.⁵ at Harry Diamond Laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

The electron scattering apparatus that was used to measure the electron energy loss of the beam due to radiation has been described previously by. Marton and Simpson⁷ and Mendlowitz⁸. The data-recording system has been

described previously by Speller⁹. The electron scattering apparatus has five basic components: (1) tele-focus electron gun; (2) specimen chamber; (3) decelerator; (4) magnetic velocity analyzer; and (5) accelerator. The data-recording system is a photomultiplier detector coupled with a multichannel analyzer and a micro-computer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The electron beam was passed over an optical metallic grating (600 – lines/mm) and the loss spectrum obtained is shown in Figures (1) and (2). The loss peak values were determined to be between $\sim(1.8 - 1.9 \text{ ev})$ for kinetic energies of the incident electrons at 20 KeV - 25 KeV, respectively.

From Equation (3), in the previous section, we see that if the kinetic energy of an electron traversing this particular grating is 25 KeV, the estimated electron energy loss should be $^{-}0.94 \text{ eV/cm}$. The width of the grating used was 2.6cm, thus giving a loss estimate of $^{-}2.4 \text{ eV}$.

Because of the fact that our measured loss values are in fair agreement with the predicted values, and because they completely disappear when the grating is moved away from the beam, we strongly feel that the loss peaks observed are due to the grating. However, more data and other related energy loss experiments are necessary for confirmation and for a full understanding of the loss mechanism of this type.

We checked to determine if there was a surface plasmon loss for gold in the energy region `2.0 eV. From previous electron energy loss measurements of gold made by Speller, no loss was observed in this energy region.

Numerous attempts were made to reproduce these results, however, we failed to see the "loss effect" again. Different metallic gratings, as well

- 4 -

as the original grating, were used, yet the electron energy loss spectrum still could not be reproduced. We must note that the passage of the electron bean parallel to the surface of the grating and at the proper distance above the grating (glazing) are critical factors in observing the "loss effect." Many adjustments were made before the electron energy loss spectrum was measured the first time.

COLUMN STATES

COMPRESSION DESCRIPTION

We have not yet given up on this experiment because we believe that energy loss spectrum obtained is real. With an improved method of adjusting the beam relative to the surface of the grating, and modifications in the detection and data acquisition systems, hopefully, the reproducibility of the energy loss spectrum can be obtained. We feel this type of information is very important and could have significant impact on the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) program.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

ľ

i i i i

Ĺ

202024 |555555555

Smith, S. J. and Purcell, E. M. Phys. Rev., 92, 1069. 1953.
Gover, A. and Yariv, A. Appl. Phys., 16, 121. 1978.
Gover, A., Livni, Zohar. Opt. Commun., 26, 375. 1978.
Wachtel, J. M. J. Appl. Phys., 50, 49. 1979.
Leavitt, R. P., Wortman, D. E., and Morrison, C. A. Appl. Phys. Lett., 35(5), 363. 1979.
Smith, S. J. Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University. 1953
Marton, L. and Simpson, J. A. Rev. Sci. Instrum., 29, 567. 1958.
Mendlowitz, H. Rev. Sci. Instrum., 29, 701. 1958.
Speller, L. C. Ph.D. Dissertation, Howard University. 1971.





THE VIEWS, OPINIONS, AND/OR FINDINGS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT ARE THOSE OF THE AUTHOR(S) AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, POLICY, OR DECISION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER DOCUMEN-TATION.

