USAFSAM-TP-85-6

# THE STARTLE REFLEX AS A LEARNING TASK: APPARATUS AND TEST PARAMETERS

Darrell G. Evans, Staff Sergeant, USAF Linda B. Anderson, Senior Airman, USAF Sandra Z. Brothers, Second Lieutenant, USAF Stuart L. Hutchinson, Sergeant, USAF Thomas G. Wheeler, Ph.D.

March 1986

Interim Technical Paper for Period June 1985 - September 1985

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

MAY 3 0 1986

86

5

USAF SCHOOL OF AEROSPACE MEDICINE Aerospace Medical Division (AFSC) Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5301

AND FILE COPY

### NOTICES

This interim technical paper was submitted by personnel of the Vulne ability Assessment Branch, Radiation Sciences Division, USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Aerospace Medical Division, AFSC, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, under job order 7757-05-58.

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder, or any other person or corporation; or as conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

The animals involved in this study were procured, maintained, and used in accordance with the Animal Welfare Act and the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals" prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources - National Research Council.

The Office of Public Affairs has reviewed this report, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign  $n \in ionals$ .

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

and Allera

DARRELL G. EVANS, SSGT, USAF Project Scientist

DAVIS, Colonel, USAF, MC

111 Lity Codes Avail and/or Spaulal Dist

DONALD N. FARRER, Ph.D. Supervisor

	SSIFICATION O	F THIS PAGE		AD-AI	61958	د. مەربىيە بىر المارىي		
			REPORT DOCUM	MENTATION	PAGE			
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
Unclassified								
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY				3. DISTRIBUTION	for public (	JF KEPOR releas	e: dia	tribution
2b. DECLASSIF	ICATION / DOW	INGRADING SCHED	ULE	is unlimit	ted.		-,	
	16 08CA84747	ION REPORT MUSE	C Q ( C )	E MONITORING		DEDODT -	IL IAADCD/	
4. PERFURININ	TP-85-6	ION REPORT NUMB	CN(3)		URGANIZATION	KEPUKI I	VUMBER(	))
OORI OAN								
5a. NAME OF	PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORG	ANIZATIO	N	
USAF Sch	nool of Ae	rospace	(IT applicable) IISARSAM/R7V	1				
ADDRESS	City State and	d ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	ty State and 710	Code		ی میرون پر میرون د
Aerospac	e Medical	Division (AF	SC)		s, suce, and Lir	couc)		
Brooks A	ir Force	Base, Texas 7	8235-5301					
المراقد بمدعر الأكلير	-							
3a. NAME OF ORGANIZA	FUNDING/SPO	nsoring F School of	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT IC	DENTIFICA	ATION NU	MBER
Aerospac	e Medicin	e	USAFSAM/RZV					
c. ADDRESS (	City, State, and	ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBE	RS		
Aerospac	e Medical	Division (AF	(SC) (8225-5201	PROGRAM	PROJECT			WORK UNIT
Drooks A	III' FOFCe I			62202F	7757		05	58
1. TITLE (Incl	ude Security C	lassification)						
The Star	tle Refle	x as a Learni	ing Task: Appara	tus and Tes	t Parameter	S		
The Star	tle Refle	x as a Learni	Ing Task: Appara	tus and Test	t Parameter	s		
The Star	AUTHOR(S)	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S	Ing Task: Appara	tus and Test Linda B.; Bi meeler. Thom	t Parameter rothers, Samas G.	s ndra Z	• ;	
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF	AUTHOR(S)	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C	Ing Task: Appara 11 G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED	Linda B.; Bi Leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO	t Parameter; rothers, Sa; as G. DRT (Year, Month,	s ndra Z Day) 1	s. PAGE	COUNT
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim	tle Refle:	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TiME C FROM JU	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85	Linda B.; Br Leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar	t Parameter rothers, Sai as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch	s ndra Z Day) 1	•; 5. PAGE 13	COUNT
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME	AUTHOR(S) 1 REPORT	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INC 85 TO Sep 85	Linda B.; Bi Leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar	t Parameter rothers, Sa as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch	s ndra Z .Day) 1	•; 5. PAGE 13	COUNT
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME	AUTHOR(S)	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INC 85 TO Sep 85	Linda B.; Bi Leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mary	t Parameter; rothers, Saj as G. DRT (Year, Month, Ch	s ndra Z .Day) 1	•; 5. PAGE 13	COUNT
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7.	AUTHOR(S) 1 REPORT	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU	Ing Task: Appara L1 G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INC 85 TO Sep 85	Linda B.; Bi Leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar	t Parameter: rothers, Sa: as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identifi	S. PAGE 13	COUNT
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD	COSATI	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION	Ing Task: Appara L1 G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INCOVER	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar ontinue on reversa ask, ex inhibiti	t Parameter rothers, Sai as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu	5. PAGE 13 y by blocd lus in	COUNT k number) terval (ISI
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05	COSATI GROUP	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION	Ing Task: Appara L1 G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh COVERED INC 85 TO Sep 85 18, SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind	Linda B.; Bi Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar ontinue on reverse ask, .ex inhibitio	t Parameter: rothers, Sa: as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Intel on	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu	s. PAGE 13 y by bloc lus in	COUNT k <i>number</i> ) terval (ISI
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT	COSATI COSATI GROUP 10 (Continue on o	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INCOVER	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar ontinue on reversa ask, ex inhibitio th, umber)	t Parameter rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rst1mu	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in	COUNT k <i>number)</i> terval (ISI
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The obje	COSATI COSATI GROUP 10 (Continue on dective of	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP	Ing Task: Appara LI G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85 Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block no	Linda B.; Bi Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar 1986, Mar intimue on reverse ask, .ex inhibitio th, umber) startle ref	t Parameter: rothers, Sa: as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le	s. PAGE 13 y by bloc lus in arning	COUNT k number) terval (ISI task and
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The objecto to prese	COSATI CO	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report i s of a pilot	Ing Task: Appara Ing Task: Appara Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block m Is to define the study designed t	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar Ontinue on reverse ask, ex inhibition the umber) startle ref. o determine	t Parameter rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit the experin	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in arning condi	COUNT k number) terval (ISI task and tions
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The objecto prese which property of the second	COSATIC GROUP 10 (Continue on fective of ent result; oduce the	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INCOVER	Linda B.; Bi Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar 1986, Mar inter iask, .ex inhibition task, .ex inhibition the startle ref. to determine types. The start	t Parameter: rothers, Sa: as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on lex inhibit the experi- tartle response tage output	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identifi rstimu ion le mental onse (;	5. PAGE 13 y by blocc lus in arning condi muscle three	count terval (ISI task and tions flinch) primary
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The obje to prese which pr to each task par	COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI CONTINUE ON CONTINUE ON CONTINUE CONTINUE ON CONTINUE ON CON	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report i s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit	Ing Task: Appara Ing Task: Appara Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED IN 85 TO Sep 85 In 85 TO Se	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma leeler, Thoma la. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar interverse continue on reverse ask, lex inhibition startle ref. to determine eves. The st elerator volto interval (1)	t Parameter: rothers, Sai as G. SRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit. the experin tartle resp tage output ISI), and au	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (; . The daptat	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe	count terval (ISI task and tions flinch) primary riodwere
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The objecto to prese which pr to each task par evaluate	COSATI COSATI GROUP 10 (Continue on fective of ent result: oduce the tone stim ameters	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block mills to define the study designed t tent learning cur orded via an acce ty, interstimulus barameters were i	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar 19	t Parameter rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on lex inhibit the experin tartle respo tage output ISI), and ac producing	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (i onse (i onse si	s. PAGE 13 y by bloc lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1	COUNT terval (ISI task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT 1. The objet to prese which pr to each task par evaluate curves.	COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI CONTINUE ON CONTINUE ON COSATI COSAT	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate	Ing Task: Appara I G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED IN 85 TO Sep 85 I 8 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block m Is to define the study designed t tent learning cur orded via an acce ty, interstimulus barameters were i that ISI and ada	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma leeler, Thoma la DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar (1986, Mar (1986, Mar) start of REPO 1986, Mar (1986, Mar) starts ex inhibition startle ref (1986, Mar) startle ref (1986, Mar) start of REPO 1986, Mar) (1986, Mar) start of REPO 1986, Mar) (1986, Mar) start of REPO 1986, Mar) (1986, Mar) start of REPO 1986, Mar) (1986, Mar) start of REPO 1986, Mar) start of REPO (1986, Mar) (1986, Mar) start of REPO (1986, Mar) start of REPO (1986, Mar) (1986, Mar) start of REPO (1986, Mar) start of Repo (1	t Parameter: rothers, Sar as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit the experin tartle respo tage output ISI), and ac producing o iod have li	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse ( . The daptat consis ttle e	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect	task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The obje to prese which pr to each task par evaluate curves. inhibiti range.of	COSATIO COSATIO COSATIO COSATIO GROUP 10 (Continue on a ective of ent results roduce the tone stimm ameters ed to deter The results on learni	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flinc and identify by block no study designed t tent learning cur orded via an acce ty, interstimulus barameters were i that ISI and ada i tone intensity	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar 19	t Parameter rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit. the experint tartle response tage output ISI), and ac producing of iod have life e the primatic	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (i onse (i onse (i the e daptat consis ttle e	s. PAGE 13 y by blocd lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	count task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The obje to prese which pr to each task par evaluate curves. inhibiti range.of	COSATI CO	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and ns used in th	18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block m is to define the study designed t cent learning cur orded via an acce ty, interstimulus barameters were i that ISI and ada i tone intensity	Linda B.; Bi Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma leeler, Thoma la DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar 1986, Mar leask, ex inhibition startle ref: co determine ves. The startle ref: lerator volto sinterval (1 mportant in proved to be continue on reverse least of the startle ref: proved to be continue on reverse least of the startle ref: least of	t Parameter: rothers, Sar as G. DRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit the experi- tartle response tage output ISI), and ac producing of iod have li e the primat	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (i . The daptat consis ttle e ry var	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The objection to prese which prise to prese which prise to each task par evaluate curves. inhibiti range.of	AUTHOR(S) I REPORT INTARY NOTAT COSATION GROUP 10 (Continue on fective of ent result; oduce the tone stimu- ameters ed to dete The result; on learni condition	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report i s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and ns used in th	Ing Task: Appara LI G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INC	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma leeler, Thoma la DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar ontinue on reversa ask, ex inhibition startle ref o determine ves. The st elerator volt interval (1 mportant in spation per proved to be	t Parameter: rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit the experin tartle resp tage output ISI), and ac producing iod have li e the prima:	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (1 . The daptat consis ttle e ry var	s. PAGE 13 y by blocd lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	count task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The obje to prese which pr to each task par evaluate curves. inhibiti range.of	COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP 10 (Continue on the coduce the tone stimm ameters	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and ns used in th	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INC	Linda B.; Bi Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thom A. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar ontinue on reverse ask, ex inhibition startle ref: co determine eves. The st elerator volto s interval (1 mportant in proved to be action per: proved to be	t Parameter: rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on lex inhibit the experim tartle response tage output ISI), and an producing iod have li e the primas	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (i onse (i onsis ttle e ry var	5. PAGE 13 y by bloc lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the
The Star 2. PERSONAL 3a. TYPE OF Interim 6. SUPPLEME 7. FIELD 05 9. ABSTRACT The obje to prese which pr to each task par evaluate curves. inhibiti range.of	AUTHOR(S) I REPORT INTARY NOTAT COSATION GROUP 10 (Continue on feature continue of fea	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report i s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and ns used in th	Ing Task: Appara II G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED IN 85 TO Sep 85 IN 85 TO Se	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar ontinue on reverse ask, ex inhibition startle ref. o determine ves. The st elerator volt interval (1 mportant in proved to be active.	t Parameter: rothers, Sar as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit the experin tartle resp tage output ISI), and ac producing iod have li e the prima:	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (1 . The daptat consis ttle e ry var	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the
The Star 12. PERSONAL 13a. TYPE OF Interim 16. SUPPLEME 17. FIELD 05 19. ABSTRACT The obje to prese which pr to each task par evaluate curves. inhibiti range.of 20. DISTRIBUT	COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI COSATI GROUP 10 (Continue on a ective of ent results oduce the tone stimm ameters ed to dete: The results on learni Condition	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report is s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and ns used in th	Ing Task: Appara Ll G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block mills to define the study designed t tent learning cur orded via an acce ty, interstimulus barameters were i that ISI and ada i tone intensity his study. Kee	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma 14. DATE OF REPO 1986, Mar ontinue on reverse ask, ex inhibition startle ref: to determine ves. The st elerator volt s interval (1 mportant in spation per: proved to be continue on reverse ask, ex inhibition startle ref: continue on reverse startle ref: continue o	t Parameter: rothers, Sai as G. RT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on lex inhibit the experin tartle resp tage output ISI), and ac producing iod have li e the prima:	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse (i onse (i onsis ttle e ry var	s. PAGE 13 y by bloc lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the
The Star 12. PERSONAL 13a. TYPE OF Interim 16. SUPPLEME 17. FIELD 05 19. ABSTRACT The objector 19. ABSTRACT 10. Distribution 10. Constribution 20. Distribution 20. Distribution	AUTHOR(S) I REPORT INTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP 10 (Continue on f ent result: oduce the tone stimu ameters ed to dete The result on learni Condition	x as a Learni Evans, Darrel Hutchinson, S 13b. TIME C FROM JU ION CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary this report i s of a pilot most consist ulus was reco tone intensit rmine which p lts indicate ng curves and ns used in th	Ing Task: Appara I G.; Anderson, Stuart L.; and Wh OVERED INE 85 TO Sep 85 18 SUBJECT TERMS (C Behavioral t Startle refl Muscle flind and identify by block m Is to define the study designed t tent learning cur orded via an acce ty, interstimulus barameters were i that ISI and ada i tone intensity his study. Keen RPT DTIC USERS	Linda B.; Bi leeler, Thoma leeler,	t Parameter: rothers, Sai as G. SRT (Year, Month, ch e if necessary an Inter on, lex inhibit the experin tartle resp tage output ISI), and an producing iod have li e the prima:	s ndra Z Day) 1 d identify rstimu ion le mental onse ( . The daptat consis ttle e ry var	s. PAGE 13 y by block lus in arning condi muscle three ion pe tent 1 ffect iable	task and tions flinch) primary riodwere earning on the for the

•

.

i

# THE STARTLE REFLEX AS A LEARNING TASK: APPARATUS AND TEST PARAMETERS

自動でいたのと言語がなかが

#### INTRODUCTION

The research goals of this laboratory are to evaluate the effects of hazardous environments on an animal's ability to perform assigned tasks. This report reflects our efforts to improve the existing behavioral test battery by adding a new learning task. Our learning task, shuttle avoidance, has proven to be an insensitive measure of learning ability when the stressor produces motor deficits. Therefore, we have added a new learning task to the test battery that does not require extensive motor activity. The objective of this report is to define the new task (startle reflex inhibition) and present results of a pilot study designed to determine the experimental conditions which produce the most consistent learning curves. The new task will subsequently be used to evaluate learning deficits produced by exposure to ionizing radiation and chemical warfare agents.

The task consists of presenting repeated startle stimuli (loud tone) and measuring the animal's muscular responses to each stimulus. The rat is placed in a cage mounted on a vertical accelerometer. After a short adaptation period, each subject receives 20 stimulus presentations with a fixed interstimulus interval (ISI) (2). The animal's response (muscle flinch) to each stimulus is recorded as an accelerator maximum peak-to-peak voltage output within 100 ms of the onset of the tone. The series of responses are then compared in terms of magnitude to determine how long (how many stimulus presentations) the animal took to habituate to the stimulus. The term "learning curve" is used to refer to the function generated by comparing response magnitude vs. number of stimulus presentations.

#### METHODS

A startle reflex apparatus has been constructed similar to that used by Isom and Hammond (3). The apparatus is described in detail in the Appendix. In summary, the apparatus consists of three integrated parts: a stimulus generator with controls for tone intensity and frequency, a timing system for control of ISI and stimulus duration, and a system for recording the response. The recording system consists of an electromagnet mounted below the cage, signal amplification, noise filtering, and display.

The three primary task parameters--tone intensity (1), interstimulus interval (2), and adaptation period--were evaluated using the new apparatus to determine which set of conditions produce the most consistent learning curves. Tone intensity was varied in Study 1 with ISI and adaptation period determined in Study 2. Using the information from Studies 1 and 2, a third study was conducted to determine if the task could be used as a repeated measure of learning ability on the same animals.

## Study 1

Study 1 consisted of testing 4 groups of rats (N = 9/group) employing 4 tone intensities (db level) as indicated in Table 1, column A. Three animals from each group were tested on each of 3 test days. The sequence of testing was alternated each test day to avoid time-of-day effects (Table 1, column B). The tone frequency was consistent at 4 kHz and was presented for 100 ms. Each animal was given a 1-min adaptation period followed by 20 tone presentations with an ISI of 16 s.

A. Test conditions			B. Tim	e seq	uence	
Tone intensity	Group			Te	st day	
(db)#	number			1	2	3
95	1					
102	2	Start	0800	1**	4	3
110	3	Test	0830	2	1	4
118	4	Time	0900	3	2	1
			1000	4	3	2

# TABLE 1. EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS FOR EACH OF THE 4 TEST GROUPS IN STUDY 1

\*See Appendix for measurement methods. \*\*Group number

# Study 2

Study 2 evaluated 4 new test groups to determine the effects of adaptation period and ISI (Table 2). The test sequence was the same as that used in Study 1 (Table 1, column B) with 3 animals from each group tested each test day. The tone intensity was set at 118 db (100 ms duration) since this intensity was shown in Study 1 to produce consistent learning curves (see Results).

TABLE 2. EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS FOR EACH OF THE 4 TESTGROUPS IN STUDY 2



Note: The number of each block is group number with N=9 for each group.

# Study 3

Study 3 tested 12 naive rats repeatedly under the following conditions: tone intensity of 118 db (100-ms duration), ISI = 16 s, and an adaptation period of 1 min. There were 4 tests of the group with 3 days between each test.

an and a state of the state state of the sta

## RESULTS

The data generated in Study 1 for the 118 db group are illustrated in Figure 1. Employing linear curve fitting, we determined that the slopes generated by the first 6 responses of the 20 original were a clear index of learning ability. The results of Study 1 (tone intensity vs. response magnitude) are also presented in Figure 2, as the slopes of a linear model for the first 6 responses. The only slope significantly different from zero (linear regression: P <.005) was for the 118-db intensity setting. Therefore, the 118-db setting was used in the second study.

Study 2 was designed to determine if the adaptation period or ISI were dominant task parameters. The results are illustrated in Figure 3. A significant learning curve (linear regression; P<.05) was obtained for each test group (Table 2). The learning curves (slopes) were not significantly different across groups (Student-t).

Repeated testing of the same animals (Study 3) produced significant learning curves for the first 2 runs as judged by the slope generated by the first 6 responses of each linear regression (P<.05). The slopes of the learning curves are listed in Table 3. Runs 3 and 4 resulted in learning curves with increased variance. The data from runs 3 and 4 suggest that the subjects recall the stimuli.

Run day	Slope ±standard error	P value (Linear regression)
	-0 74 + 0 07	<0.001
2	$-0.33 \pm 0.03$	<0.001
3	$0.15 \pm 0.22$	>0.4
4	$-0.30 \pm 0.19$	>0.3

TABLE 3.	RESULTS,	SLOPES	OF	LEARNING	CURV	ES, OB	REPEA	red
	TESTING (	OF THE	SAME	ANIMALS	ON 4	CONSE	ECUTIVE	DAYS

The consistency of the learning curves across test groups can be compared by using the groups from each study with identical test parameters: Study 1, group 4 (Table 1, column A); Study 2, group 1; and the first run from Study 3. All 3 of these groups were tested at 118 db, 1-min adaptation, and an ISI of 16 s. The learning curves of these groups are presented in Figure 4. The slopes of the 3 curves (first 6 responses) were not significantly different (Student-t).



Figure 1. Startle response magnitude vs. the number of startle stimuli presented (ISI - 16 s). The slope of the learning curve, habituation to startle, was -0.73 with a linear correlation of 0.94.



Figure 2. Slope of learning curves as a function of stimulus intensity. Mean ± standard deviation shown.



Figure 3. Startle response magnitude vs. number of stimuli presentations for 4 independent test groups. The experiment variables were adaptation period (AP) 1 and ISI. Group 1, conditions were a 1-min AP, 16-s ISI; Group 2 - 1-min AP, 32-s ISI; Group 3 - 3-min AP, 16-s ISI; and Group 4 - 3-min AP, 32-s ISI.



Figure 4. Startle response vs. number of stimulus presentations for 3 independent test runs, all employing the same experimental conditions.

### DISCUSSION

The results clearly prove that the startle reflex can be used as a learning task. Learning can be proved using as few as 6 stimulus presentations. Of the test parameters evaluated, only tone intensity and repeated testing affected the learning curve. Changing the ISI or adaptation period had no significant effect on the learning curves over the range used (Table 2).

We thought that the startle learning task might be used as a repeated measure of learning ability. The data did not support this contention, but does suggest that testing the same group twice would result in consistent learning curves (Table 3). Therefore, the task could be used as a pre- and post-treatment measure of learning, if the treated group's data were compared to a control group's data. Repeated testing (more than twice) resulted in less pronounced learning curves with much greater subject-to-subject variance.

We have shown that the task produces consistent results across test groups. When test parameters are held constant, the results indicate consistent learning curves (Fig. 4). The task should prove a valuable addition to the rodent test battery; particularly when the test agent produces motor deficits. Employing the startle task should allow segregation of learning ability from mobility deficits.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Brown, T. S. Conditioned fear as rescaled by magnitude of startle response to an auditory stimulus. J Exp Psychol 41(5):317-328 (1951).
- Davis, M. Effects of interstimulus internal length and variability on startle-response habituation in the rat. J Comp Physiol Psychol 72(2):117-192 (1970).
- Isom, J. R., and G. R. Hammond. Modification of the startle reflex in the rat by changes in the auditory and visual environments. J Comp Physiol Psychol 73:435-452 (1975).

#### APPENDIX

# STARTLE REFLEX APPARATUS

# General

The startle reflex apparatus will be discussed in 3 major sections: (1) startle reflex monitoring system, (2) startle stimulus generator, and (3) stimulus control system.

#### Startle Reflex Monitoring System

The startle reflex monitoring system consists of a Plexiglas box resting on a speaker, an operational amplifier, and an oscilloscope. The holding box is made of Plexiglas with an open top. The box rests on another piece of Plexiglas which is supported by 4 rubber stoppers and physically attached by means of a fifth rubber stopper to the center of a 7.62-cm (3-in.) speaker cone. Movement of the holding box in the vertical plane causes a voltage to be induced within the speaker coil. This voltage is then fed into an operational amplifier. A 10-turn potentiometer has been built into the gain circuit so that a gain may be selected from unity to 10K. A memory oscilloscope is used to record the amplified signal. Calibration was done by measuring the voltages produced by dropping steel balls of various weights from the top of the holding box (Fig. A-1).



Figure A-1. Monitoring system.

#### Startle Stimulus Generator

The startle stimulus generator was obtained by means of feeding the output of a function generator set at 4 kHz (sine wave) to an audioamplifier. The output of the audioamplifier drives a 7.89-cm (3.1/2-in.) speaker, with the speaker placed in an acoustic chamber, the system was capable of producing 129 db (see Table A-1).

TABLE	A-1.	AUD	LUAMPL	TLIER	OUTPUT
		vs.	DIAL	SETTIN	iG

Setting	db
10	129.1
9	125.7
8	122.8
7	118.3
6	108:4
5	104.5
4	99.6
3	94.0
2	89.1
1	below background
0	17 11

## Stimulus Control System

The schematic for the control system is illustrated in Figure A-2. A clock produces a 1-Hz signal which is sent to a binary counter. The counter will put out a pulse after a selectable delay from 1 to 32 s. The counter output pulse triggers a one shot with a selectable pulse duration. The one-shot pulse activates a relay, which connects the output of the audioamplifier to the speaker. The control system is capable of enabling the speaker at a selectable ISI (delay). The speaker was enabled for 100 msec for the studies.



Figure A-2. Control system schematic.