

AD-A167 861	UNDERHAIER FACILITIES INSPECTIONS AND ASSE MAUAL STATION NORIOL (U) STOCK (ARTHUR U) ASSOCIATES INC DEERFIELD BEACH FL SEP 81	AND STRENTS AT	1/2
UNCLASSIFIED	ASSOCIATES INC DEERIELD BEACH FI SEPSI CHES NAUFAC-FF0-1-82(05) NG2477-81-C-0286	F/G 13/2	NL.
	··· · ·		
			aw 3 ∕
	$h = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}$		





DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

<u>م</u>



NORFOLK, VA.

FPO-1-82 (05)

SEPTEMBER 1981

86 4 22 017

Performed for :

OCEAN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECT OFFICE CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C. 20374

Under:

CONTRACT N62477-81-C-0286 TASK I DESTRUCTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release; No Distribution Unimited

By:

ARTHUR V. STROCK & ASSOCIATES, INC. DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA 33441

la. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	A 167 861
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REP Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT # FPO-1-82(5)
6a. NAME OF PERFORM. ORG. 6b. OFFICE SYM Arthur V. Strock & Associates	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Ocean Engineering & Construction Project Office CHESNAVFACENGCOM
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and Zip Code) Deerfield Beach, FL 33441	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and Zip) BLDG. 212, Washington Navy Yard Washington, D.C. 20374-2121
8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORG. 8b. OFFICE SYM	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT INDENT # N62477-81-C-0286, Task 1
8c. ADDRESS (City, State & Zip)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT ELEMENT # # ACCESS #
Underwater Facilities Inspections & Asses	ion)
Underwater Facilities Inspections & Asses 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED	ion) sments at Naval Station Norfolk, VA 14. DATE OF REP. (YYMMDD) 15. PAGES
Underwater Facilities Inspections & Asses 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO	ion) sments at Naval Station Norfolk, VA
FROM TO 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 10 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJE FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Under	ion) sments at Naval Station Norfolk, VA 14. DATE OF REP. (YYMMDD) 15. PAGES
Underwater Facilities Inspections & Asses 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJE FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Under	<pre>ion) sments at Naval Station Norfolk, VA 14. DATE OF REP. (YYMMDD) 15. PAGES 81-09 108 CT TERMS (Continue on reverse if nec water inspection, Mooring inspection Station Norfolk, VA ssary & identify by block number) ility assessments conducted at the as to provide a report on the piers and one (1) bulkhead. e scope of the project to (Con'd)</pre>

 $\overline{}$

BLOCK 19 (Con't)

visual/tactile evaluation of the reinforced concrete piles supporting Piers 5, 7, 12, 20 and 21. Inspection of Bulkhead CEP-111 was performed in a similar manner by diving engineers. Significant representative defects were photo-documented.

All inspected piers are supported by reinforced concrete piling. The bulkhead is an anchored reinforced concrete sheet pile bulkhead. All pier structures inspected appeared to be in good condition, with only isolated cases of failed of damaged piles. The bulkhead appeared in fair condition with moderate spalling and cracks above the waterline and very limited damage below the waterline.

Damaged piles were typically observed at the exterior portions of the bents; damage was observed only in the upper ten (10) feet of piles. The damage to these piles appeared to have occurred as a result of a direct impact to the piles; generally no significant damage to interior piles or the pier decks was observed.

FOREWORD

The level and methods of inspections performed under this task at the Naval Station, Norfolk, Virginia were based on engineering judgements arrived at through preliminary site reconnaissance, followed by on-site modification of the work effort. This technique allowed the general plan of inspection to be developed and performed by diving engineers with succeeding adjustments made to the general plan during actual in-water work. Due to the many variables of surface and especially underwater inspections, it is felt this method of preparation followed by on-site modification allowed the task to be performed with maximum efficiency and accuracy, resulting in minimal inconvenience to or interference with naval vessels and personnel at the Naval Station, Norfolk. The actual inspection procedures followed at these facilities are suitable for similar facilities. As a rule, details of future inspection procedures should be formulated for each facility according to the extent that conditions warrant.

The presentation of observations and recommendations given in this report is intended to aid the reader to quickly and efficiently evaluate the structures inspected under this task. Furthermore, this report is intended to allow for detailed appraisal of repair requirements.

Acces	sion For	1		
NTIS CRA&I				
By Distrib	By Dist: ibution /			
Availability Codes				
Dist	Avail and Special	or		
A-1	23			

i

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of the underwater facility assessments conducted -at the U.S. Naval Station in Norfolk, Virginia was to provide a report on the structural condition of five (5) selected piers and one (1) bulkhead. Inspection of the piers was limited by the scope of the project to visual/tactile evaluation of the reinforced concrete piles supporting Piers 5, 7, 12, 20 and 21. Inspection of Bulkhead CEP-111 was performed in a similar manner by diving engineers. Significant representative defects were photo-documented.

1

1,

All inspected piers are supported by reinforced concrete piling. The bulkhead is an anchored reinforced concrete sheet pile bulkhead. All pier structures inspected appeared to be in good condition, with only isolated cases of failed or damaged piles. The bulkhead appeared in fair condition with moderate spalling and cracks above the waterline and very limited damage below the waterline.

Damaged piles were typically observed at the exterior portions of the bents; damage was observed only in the upper ten (10) feet of piles. The damage to these piles appeared to have occurred as a result of a direct impact to the piles; generally no significant damage to interior piles or the pier decks was observed.

The following page is an Executive Summary table which summarizes the assessed condition of the facilities and repair recommendations.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY TABLE

}

,

ŝ

ĺ

FACILITY	YEAR BUILT	LENGTH X WIDTH (‡ OF PILES)	ASSESSED CONDITION	RECOMMENDATIONS/ REPAIR COSTS/ EXPECTED LIFE (WITH REPAIR)
Pier 5	1942	1354' X 104' (1725)	Overall good; 1.3% exhibit damage (23 piles); 1 pile in failed condition	Repair Failed & Damaged Piles/ \$2,450 25 Years
pier 7	1931	1352' X 104' (1960)	Overall good; 6.0% exhibit damage (118 piles); 2 piles in failed condition	Repair Failed & Damaged Piles/ \$8,575 20 Years
Pier 12	1959	1306' X 150' (2250)	Overall good; 4.5% exhibit damage (102 piles); 0.2% failed condition (4 piles)	Repair Failed & Damaged Piles/ \$11,150 30 Years
Pier 20	1954	1451' X 50' (1056)	Overall good; 6.3% exhibit damage (67 piles); 1.0% failed condition (11 piles)	Repair Failed & Damaged Piles/ \$14,650 30 Years
Pier 21	1944	1405' X 50' (990)	Overall good 9.4% exhibit damage (93 piles); 2.0% failed condition (20 piles)	Repair Failed & Damaged Piles/ \$45,525 20 Years
Bulkhead (CEP-111))	1183 '/NA N/A	Fair; Leaking Sheet Pile Joints; Impact Damage & Deter- ioration of Concrete Above Water	Seal Sheet Pile Joints & Repair Above Water Damage \$40,000 15 Years

iii -

TALLE OF CONTENTS

		Page <u>NO</u>
Foreword		i
Executive S	ummary	ii
Executive S	Summary Table	iii
Section 1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Task Description	1 -1
1.2	Task Description	1-2
Section 2.	ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1	Location of Activity	2-1
2.2	Mission of Facility	2-1
2.3	Climatological & Meteorlogical Data	2-1
2.4	Hydrology	2-5
2.5	Hydrology	2-5
Section 3.	INSPECTION PROCEDURE	3-1
3.1	Level of Inspection	3-1
3.2	Inspection Procedure	3-1
3.3	Inspection Equipment	3-8
Section 4.	FACILITIES INSPECTED	4-1
4.1	Designated Facilities Inspected	4-1
4.2	Pier 5	
4.2.1	Description.	
4.2.2	Observed Inspection Condition.	
4.2.3	Structural Condition Assessment.	4-2
4.2.4	Recommendations.	4-3
4.3		
4.3.1	Pier 7	
4.3.2	Description	4-12
4.3.3	Observed Inspection Condition	
	Structural Condition Assessment	4-12
4.3.4	Recommendations	4-12
4.4	Pier 12	
4.4.1	Description.	4-19
4.4.2	Observed Inspection Condition	4-19
4.4.3	Structural Condition Assessment	
4.4.4	Recommendations	4-19
4.5	Pier 20	
4.5.1	Description.	4-28
4.5.2	Observed Inspection Condition	
4.5.3	Structural Condition Assessment	4-28
4.5.4	Recommendations	4-36

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		Page <u>No</u>
4.6	Pier 21	. 4-37
4.6.1	Description	. 4-37
4.6.2	Observed Inspection Condition.	• 4-37
4.6.3	Structural Condition Assessment	. 4-37
4.6.4	Recommendations	. 4-37
4.7	Bulkhead (CEP111)	. 4-43
4.7.1	Description	. 4-43
4.7.2	Observed Inspection Condition	. 4-43
4.7.3	Structural Condition Assessment	. 4-43
4.7.4	Recommendations	. 4-49

v

Appendix

LIST OF FIGURES

t

Figure	Title	Page <u>No</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Vicinity Map Project Site Rainfall, Temperature, Humidity Chart. Wind Rose. Data Recording Slate Diver's Inspection Path. Pier Pile Failure Modes. Anchored Bulkhead - Fixed Earth Supports Facility Locations on Base	2-4 2-6 2-7 3-2 3-3 3-6 3-7 4-2
12	Typical Cross-Section Through Pier 5	4-4
12	Pier 5 - Plan	4-7
13	$Pier 5 - Plan \dots \dots$	4-0
15	$Pier 5 - Plan \dots \dots$	4~9 1-10
16	Typical Cross-Section Through Pier 7	A-13
17	Pier 7 – Plan.	4-14
18	Pier 7 – Plan.	
19	Pier 7 – Plan.	4-16
20	Pier 7 – Plan.	4-17
21	Typical Cross-Section Through Pier 12	4-20
22	Pier 12 - Plan	
23	Pier 12 - Plan	
24		4-23
25	Typical Cross-Section Through Pier 20	4-29
26	Pier 20 - Plan	4-30
27	Pier 20 - Plan	4-31
28	Pier 20 - Plan	
29	Typical Cross-Section Through Pier 21	4-38
30	Pier 21 - Plan	
31	Pier 21 – Plan	
32	Pier 21 – Plan	
33	Quaywall Section	4-44
34	Bulkhead CEP-111 Section	4-45

vi

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

----- \

3

Photo		Page
No.	<u>Title</u>	NO.
-		
1	Pier 5 - Oyster and diver's hand	
2 3	Pier 5 - Cleaned pile	
3	Pier 5 - Batter pile with nairline cracks	
4	Pier 5 - Gunite over cap and pile	.4-6
5	Pier 12- Fender system and profile of pier	
6	Pier 12- Hairline Crack	4-24
7	Pier 12- Typical piping under pier	4-25
8	Pier 12- Surface spalled batter pile	4-25
9	Pier 12- "Dropped" concrete section	
10	Pier 12- Typical bent	
11	Pier 20- Typical growth	4-33
12	Pier 20- Cleaned pile	4-33
13	Pier 20- Divers with tools	4-34
14	Pier 20- Underside of deck	
15	Pier 20- Failed pile	
16	Pier 20- Lightly spalled pile	
17	CEP-111- Western end of bulkhead CEP-111	
18	CEP-111- Damaged upper section;	•••
	longitudinal view	. 4-46
19	CEP-111- Damaged upper section;	
	elevation view	4-47
20	CEP-111- Failure crack in upper section	
20	CEP-111 - Eastern end of bulkhead CEP-111	
~1	ODE III BASCELII EIU UL DUINIEAU (EP-III	4~40

vii

3

.....

.

_

SECTION 1.0 - INTRODUCTION

This report is a product of the underwater inspection program conducted by the Ocean Engineering and Construction Project Office (FPO-1), Chesapeake Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACENGCOM) under NAVFAC's Specialized Inspection Program.

Mandated under Contract No. N62477-81-C-0286, this program provides for task oriented engineering services in support of the inspection, analysis and design, and monitoring of repairs for the submerged portions of selected Navy waterfront facilities. All services required to produce this report were provided by Arthur V. Strock & Associates, Inc. of Deerfield Beach, Florida, under Task No. 1 of this underwater inspection program.

The labor and expenses required to carry out underwater facility inspections is dependent on a significant number of factors. The size and number of structural members to be inspected is important. However, the structural condition of such structural members has as much influence on the total degree of accuracy and efficiency with which the task can be performed. As the size and number of structural members increases so does the required effort to carry out an inspection. Furthermore, the poorer the structural conditon, the greater the number of irregularities which must be documented for a thorough inspection. To effectively assess the condition of any structure, the engineer must consider possible failure loadings and how failure can be evidenced in the structure. To provide a comprehensive inspection of a structure underwater, water clarity, ambient light levels, and degree of biofouling must be considered in advance of the inspection. Mechanical aids such as underwater lights, clearwater bags, wide-angle lenses, and scrapers, can be used to aid in visual assessment of underwater structures. Other factors such as water temperature, pollutants, harmful sealife, in addition to waves, currents, and tidal action must all be considered.

The inspections at Naval Station, Norfolk, were performed following consideration of all these factors using SCUBA life-support systems. The ability to utilize SCUBA at this facility greatly increased the efficiency with which this specific inspection was performed. Details of field procedures followed under this task are given in Section 3 of this report.

1.1 Task Description

This task entails engineering services necessary to perform an underwater inspection and subsequent assessment of the structural members supporting five (5) piers and one (1) bulkhead at the Naval Station, Norfolk, Virginia. Structures inspected are Piers 5, 7, 12, 20, 21 and Bulkhead CEP-111.

1.2 Report Content

In this report inspection procedures, results of inspection, and analysis of the findings are provided. Each facility inspected at the Naval Station is described as to its location, function, construction, inspection condition, and condition assessment. Recommendations for each facility are also provided. Structural assessment calculations and cost estimate breakdowns are given in the Appendix. Also, as supplementary information, a brief description of the Naval Station is included to illustrate its location, mission, facilities, hydrographic and topographic features and other pertinent data. This supplementary information was obtained from the Master Plan for the Naval Station.

1-2

SECTION 2.0 - ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this section is to provide a general description of the Naval Station in Norfolk, Virginia. The section includes brief descriptions of the Naval Stations' location, mission, existing facilities, climatological and meteorological data, and hydrographic data. The information is provided to aid in identification of the facility and to support all considerations necessary to accurately assess the condition of facilities inspected under this task.

2.1 Location of Activity


Located at latitude 36 degrees 55 minutes north and longitude 76 degrees 22 minutes west, the Norfolk Naval Station piers form one part of the much larger Sewells Point Area Navy Complex (Figures 1, 2 & 3). "The Sewells Point Complex is situated in the worlds' largest natural harbor, Hampton Roads. This strategic location enjoys access to the Atlantic Ocean through Chesapeake Bay, providing a natural protective site for its main function of home porting the majority of current active ships in the Atlantic fleet" (Reference 1).


2.2 Mission of Facility

"Naval Station, Norfolk is the key host activity in Sewells Point, with the assigned mission of providing logistic support for the Operating Forces of the Navy, and for dependent activities in other commands as assigned. The specific importance of the Naval Station to the Sewells Point Complex is reflected in its assigned functions and tasks. Among the most significant is to provide and coordinate all activities associated with the berthing of, and services to, home-ported and transient ships (Reference 2).

2.3 <u>Climatological and Meteorological Data</u>


"The area's climate is moderate, with the winters relatively mild. Warm summers are frequently tempered by northeasterly breezes from the Atlantic Ocean. The mean minimum temperature for this region is 50.5 degrees F. The mean maximum temperature is 68 degrees F, with monthly averages varying from 41.2 degrees F in January to 78.6 degrees F in July. Prolonged cold waves seldom penetrate this area and the daily minimum temperature rarely goes below 20 degrees F. The average frost free period covers 239 days from March 23 to November 18th. Precipitation is well distributed throughout the year. The annual average is 46.25 inches, with a high of 6.5 inches occurring during July. Snowfall averages 9.1 inches per year occurring chiefly during December and January. Major melting occurs within 24 hours after the snowfall has ceased. Frost penetration for design use is calculated to be 12 inches. Averages of temperature,





7-3

.. . .



•

relative humidity and precipitation are graphically shown on Figure 4. The wind velocity is less than 12 knots 80 percent of the time and seldom exceeds 20 knots. The prevailing direction is generally southwest in the early winter, spring and early summer, with the highest velocity usually occurring during the hours of darkness. However, northeasterly winds prevail about 25 percent of the time, with highest velocity occurring during the daylight hours. Data on wind velocity and direction is provided by Figure 5. The geographical position of the Complex with respect to principal storm tracks is especially favorable, being south of the average paths of the strorms originating in the higher latitude and north of the usual track of hurricanes and other tropical storms. Winds of hurricane force have occurred on an average of once every seven years. The mean range of tide in Hampton Roads is 2.5 feet. The average velocities in mid-channel at strength of flood or ebb tide is about 1.25 knots; however, currents are greatly influenced by the winds" (Reference 1).


2.4 <u>Hydrology</u>


"The two principal bodies of water include the salt water mass of Hampton Road, Willoughby Bay (Eastern Boundary), the Elizabeth River (Western Boundary), and Mason's Creek, which is basically fresh water made slightly blackish by tidal salt water drainage. The key concern of this environmental feature is the collaborative impact of water with winds and hurricanes. Bulkhead stability and soil erosion are constant and expensive maintenance items" (Reference 1).

2.5 References

1. Sewells Point Area Navy Complex

2. Master Plan, Naval Station Norfolk





i

2-7

.

SECTION 3.0 - INSPECTION PROCEDURE

3.1 Level of Inspection

During the period September 16 - September 23, 1981, a team of diving engineers performed a Level I and Level II underwater inspection of selected piers and a bulkhead at the Naval Station in Norfolk, Virginia. Level I "swim-by" inspections were made on all of the piling supporting the designated piers. The more thorough Level II inspection entailed the divers descending and ascending on an individual pile, while making note of any damage to that pile. The pile face was cleaned as necessary to assess the condition of the pile. Photographs were taken to illustrate specific and typical conditions.

3.2 Inspection Procedure

The combination of water conditions, pier construction and required levels of inspection allowed the diving engineers to perform both the pier and bulkhead inspections utilizing SCUBA diving equipment. The scope of work included Level I inspection of almost 8,000 reinforced concrete piles, supporting Piers 5, 7, 12, 20 and 21; and approximately 1,150 feet of concrete bulkhead designated as Bulkhead CEP-111.


A Level I swim-by inspection by the diving engineers was performed on all facilities under this task. On a Level I inspection of the piling, diving engineers carried data recording slates as they swam along the surface. The diving team swam together between two (2) pier bents as each member of the team inspected a bent. Conditions of the piles were recorded at the immediate time of inspection by the diving engineer. Level II inspection entailed a close inspection of the piles from the surface to the mudline. Piles were cleaned as necessary to facilitate inspection. Level II inspection observations were typically recorded at the surface due to poor visibility under water.


Figure 6 is a reduced copy of the data recording slate.


Figure 7 illustrates the paths followed by the divers. The data recording sheets allowed the diving engineer to record the following observations at each pile:

1. The amount of marine growth on the piles.

2. The condition of the concrete, i.e. whether it was cracked, spalled or failed.








ለማግኘ እና የሰላም መንግብሥር እና ለማግኘ ነው።

<u>الا</u> م



AND THE ADDRESS

3. The amount of rust or exposed steel showing on the pile.

4. Any other comments the engineer felt should be recorded concerning his observations.

The above conditions were coded as shown on the data recording slate (see Figure 6 and Table 1 below). Consider an example: a pile was observed to have less than one (1) inch of marine growth, hairline cracks and minor rust stains; this pile was coded as "G1, C1, S1." All steel and concrete codes describe defects except for "CO".

TABLE 1 CONDITION CODES


Element	<u>Code</u>	Description
Marine Growth	G1 G2	Less than 1" of growth Greater than 1" of growth
Concrete	C0 C1	Good condition, no apparent problems Hairline cracks
	C2	Failure cracks
	C3	Spalled concrete on surface
	C4	Deeply spalled concrete
	C5	Failed pile (no apparent bearing capacity)
Steel	Sl	Minor rust stains
	S2	Major rust stains
	ន	Corroded steel (section not exposed)
	S4	Corroded steel (section exposed)

In order to prepare for each inspection, the bents on each pier were numbered, starting at the bulkhead and proceeding to the outboard end of the pier. Piles were numbered from south to north. As the diving engineers proceeded with their inspection, surface personnel would verify the bent number with the divers. Each of two (2) teams of diving engineers spent two (2) to three (3) hours in the water performing both Level I and Level II inspections during each day on the site. As previously described, the Level I inspections were performed by engineers swimming horizontally and recording pile conditions. Level II inspections were performed by both diving engineers descending on a pile to the mudline. Due to the low water visibility, the diving engineers would remain in direct physical contact as each


descended on one face of the pile. If at some point, the diving engineers deemed it necessary to remove marine growth for closer inspection of the pile, the divers would halt the descent and clean an area of the piling face before preceeding with the rest of the Level II inspection. This procedure of cleaning an area of piling was severely hampered at times by the large quantities of silt that would be disturbed in the cleaning process and, as a result, reduced the diving engineers visibility to zero (0).

Initially, both Level I and Level II inspections were performed by each diving engineer inspection team, as they progressed from bent to bent. After the second day of inspections, a more efficient manner of inspection was soon devised by the diving This modified inspection procedure required the engineers. in-water diving engineers to perform only one (1) level of inspection at a time. One (1) team of diving engineers would perform Level I inspections only; the other team would perform Level II inspections only. This simple modification allowed the inspection to proceed more rapidly since it eliminated the necessity for the diving engineers to transform from horizontal swimming (as required for Level I inspections) to vertical descent and ascent (as required on Level II inspections). According to this procedure, one (1) team of diving engineers would enter the water, prepared to swim on the surface carrying data recording slates. Utilizing the data obtained from their observations, the second team of diving engineers would enter the water, prepared to carry out vertical inspections on pilings, either chosen from the results of the preceeding Level I inspection or chosen as a representative pile. The diving engineers performing Level II inspections also photo-documented representative surface and underwater conditions.

The diving engineers performed a concentrated inspection of piles at the cap, at the mudline and at the midsection of the piles. This concentrated effort was made in these areas as they are expected to demonstrate damage due to overloading of the piles. Figure 8 shows the expected failure modes for typical pier piles in terms of the physical condition, structural model and moment diagram. The most probable cause of pile failure is direct impact and a forced displacement at the cap due to a mooring force impact at the cap. In both cases, the maximum moment exists near the cap or near the mudline. For both modes of probable pile failure, exterior piles are most likely to fail due to their proximity to applied loads. Figure 9 shows the typical physical conditions, structural model and moment diagram for an anchored bulkhead with a fixed earth support. Pile failure is most likely to occur as a result of a moment failure. Furthermore, the splash zone and tidal zone were closely inspected as these areas are most susceptible to reinforcement steel corrosion and concrete deterioration due to exposure to the elements. Appendix A, pages A-1 through A-4, contains further structural analysis.



3-6



3-7

- -

3.3 Inspection Equipment

The following equipment was utilized by diving engineers during pier and bulkhead inspections:

- 1. Standard scuba diving equipment;
- 2. Full 3/16" wetsuits for thermal and abrasion protection;
- 3. Head-mounted dive lights;
- 4. Buoyancy compensators (utilized to adjust the divers in-water buoyancy, so that he may descend, ascend or float on the surface);
- 5. Nikonos-3 underwater camera with Toshiba strobe;
- Subawider wide-angle underwater lens attachment (used for taking close-up photographs in turbid water);
- 7. Assorted scrapers, chipping hammers, calipers; and,
- 8. Underwater data recording slates.

SECTION 4.0 - FACILITIES INSPECTED


4.1 Designated Facilities Inspected


The inspections performed at the Norfolk Naval Station revealed that all structures inspected are in overall good condition. No more than eight (8) percent of the total piles of any one (1) pier showed defects. In addition, no pier exhibited more than two (2) percent of its total pilings to be in a failed condition (e.g., heavily spalled or broken piles, such that the pile appeared to have limited or no apparent bearing capacity). The 1,183 feet of bulkhead inspected was also found to be in good condition below the water line, and in fair condition above the water line. Representative photographs were taken of typical conditions found above and below the water surface. These photographs appear with the detailed description of each structure's condition.

The marine growth observed on all structures was generally limited to less than one (1) inch of soft growth - mainly tunicates and hydroids appearing in the tidal zone on the piles. Two (2) to three (3) inches of soft growth interspersed with barnicles (10 to 20 organisms per square foot), and cysters (one (1) to three (3) organisms per square foot) were found below the tidal zone. None of the marine growth was solid or thick enough to hamper cleaning or inspection of the piles.

Of all structural damage observed by inspectors, the highest percentage occurred to the exterior piles which are most susceptible to impact from ships and other floating objects.

In the remaining portion of this section, each facility inspected at Naval Station, Norfolk is referenced separately. A description of its construction, specific observed conditions, and assessment of these conditions and recommendations for repairs are included for each facility inspected. Further details of the inspection findings, structural analysis (basis of assessment), and cost estimate breakdown are contained in the Appendix. Figure 10 shows the location of all inspected facilities on base.





4.2 Pier Number 5

4.2.1 Description

Pier 5 is constructed of reinforced concrete and is 1,054 feet long and 104 feet wide. The pier is located between Piers 4 and 7 to the south and north respectively. Figure 10 shows the location of Pier 5 on base. The pier was constructed in 1942. A total of 1,725 reinforced concrete piles support the deck of this pier. The pier has 115 bents, each bent has 13 vertical piles and two (2) batter piles, for a total of 15 piles per bent. Figure 11 shows a typical bent for Pier 5. All piles were inspected on a Level I basis and 91 piles inspected according to Level II requirements. During Level I and Level II inspections, several Naval vessels were berthed at Pier 5. All ships were informed of the presence of divers in the water and took measures to assure the safety of these divers. Performance of Level I inspections was hampered by an unusually heavy concentration of both diesel fuel and sludge present on the water surface along the south inboard portion of the pier.

4.2.2 Observed Inspection Conditions


Of the 1,725 piles inspected, one (1) percent (23 piles) were observed to exhibit damage ranging from hairline cracks to completely failed piles. Only one (1) pile is considered to be in a failed condition offering no bearing to the pier structure. Throughout the length of the pier gunite had been placed on the pile caps. This gunite covered the top of the piles (six (6) to eighteen (18) inches) below the cap. The concrete surface of the piles was generally in good condition. Some pile faces were slightly pitted such that the aggregate is visible. Photographs 1 through 4 show conditions observed at Pier 5. Figures 12, 13, 14 and 15 show the general pier pile plan and observed conditions. A tabulated summary of inspection observations appears in the Appendix (pages A-6, A-18 and A-19).

4.2.3 Structural Condition Assessment


Pier 5 is in overall good condition. The damaged piles appear to have been damaged either by direct impact to the piles, or by deterioration due to exposure to the elements.

4.2.4 Recommendations

All piles exhibiting minor damage, such as cracks and light spalling, should be sealed so as to prevent salt water from



4-4



Proto No. 1 Pier 5 - Close-up underwater photo of oyster and diver's hand taken in very turbid water (typical). (This extremely poor visibility typifies general conditions at the Naval Station.)



Photo No. 2 Pier 5 - Highly turbid water photo of pile face after cleaning. Concrete is in good condition, white marks are deposits by barnacles (typical).

4-5

and and a second constrained and a second second



Photo No. 3 Pier 5 - Batter and vertical piles with hairline cracks and rust stains (Bent 89).

t



Photo No. 4 Pier 5 - Lightly spalled pile with gunite applied at cap; note gunite over top of pile (typical).

																			BENT NUMBER					
8	2	J.	8	8	8	21	8	3	8	8	2	à	B	Q	Ø	2	Ŕ	Ś	X	ø	Ø	2	Ø	4
									Ø											D				Ľ
			-				1 0		fl				H D								9 0		P D	£
												0												כ נ
			0									[]												
				0																				
	ū				0																			r
																								۲ م
	۵						0																	יי
		0	٥	۵																				
	۵			۵						0								0						d
				曲			Ha	曲		сH								ם		ᇜ				2
D	۵	o		۵			۵																	
-																								
										` 	×													
										A	*1 ±r ~1	.												

NORFOLK, VIRGINIA FIGURE 12	PIER	NAVAL STATION		BASSOCIATES, INC. 829 SE 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA	SSOCIATES, 829 SE 97H ST FIELD BEACH, FLO	ASSOC 829 5 ERFIELD		C2 C3 C4 C5	PACITY	CA DG	с АRIN	URFAC TE IT BE	TE ON SURI CONCRETE APPARANT	 FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE D = DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEARING CAPACITY)
CHESAPEAKE DIVISION FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C.	CHESAI CILITIE WAS	NAVAL FA		PREPARED BY	PREPARED BY	AUHT R		80058 80058	EMS	I.S.) PROBL	N IN	KEY (N.T.S.) PPARENT PROB		
		D									۵			
		₽□				0								BENT SPACING 12 FT
	D	₽ <mark>₽</mark>				۵								PIER LENGTH : 1,054 FT
	▶													}
	2											Пр		
	9	P D											۵	
	~	۵Ŋ	۵	۵	۵			۵			٩	曲		
	<i>⊘</i>	₽□				۵								
	0 0	□₽						۵				西		
	$\overline{ ho}$	FI C)										D		
	× □				Π	D		۵						
		₽□				۵		α				ΗО		
	□ \$	□ P								۵		d		
/d BENT NUMBER	×	PD										ЫD	۵	
	0 1	□₽		۵			α	۵				Ъ		
	%	P D			٥		Ω					ЪD		
	<i>.</i> //							۵				ď	۵	
	%		α	۵	۵	α		٦						
	N	□Ŗ											۵	
						;]	נ]	נ	1	נ	כ	

۰.

	0 65	64	3	29) 8	3	65 🛛	8 5	D <i>5</i> 7	8	3	8	<i>36</i>	26 🗆	م	3 6	\$	₽	•	¥	%	\
₽□		F D	Op	٩D		P	Dp	PC		PD	٥Ą	PO	Dp	P D	Dp	P C	DA	P D	Dp	P		PD
															۵							
D	۵								٥		a			۵							D	D
۵																						
	۵																				8	
		۵			ъ											۵	۵					
												۵	U		۵							
G			۵													۵						
												۵	Ġ			α.						
	ᇜ		ᇜ			Ho							ᆸᄇ		D	Ho		Ho		ЦС	□੫	U
		Q					٥										С	- 🗆	IJ			

Ţ

1

ź

																					CHESAPEAKE DIVISION FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C.	NORFOLK, VIRGINIA FIGURE 13
	25	35	3	8	Ş	*	\$	*	\$	*	8	\$	•	•	Q	8	3	*	8		CHES ACILIT	PIER
			o Og		DA							n PD		P D	ц				C C R		NAVAL F	NAVAL STATION
*				P O D	- D	p D							;; []							D D		
									0		-	_	٥							0) BY STROC	BASSOCIATES, INC 829 SE 97H ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA
												۵	۵			۵		۵	0		PREPARED BY	CLATI SE.9T D BEACH
								H			۵				۵		۵	۵	α			ASSO 829 EERFIEL
									8							α	α	a	a	۵		do 5
	a								C			D				a		۵	٥	۵		7
	α	a	۵	۵							۵	G	۵			α	α	۵	α	۵	LEMS	PACIT
	0		۵	٥	۵	۵							۵			۵	۵	α	0		T.S.) PROB	FACE E BEARING CAPACITY)
	ġ		'n	ď					۵	۵	۵		۵			۵	۵	۵			KEY (NT.S.) PPARENT PROF	ACE
3	ᄜ	Ho.	ᇜ	۴a	ᇜ	ii D	ᇜ	₿⊡	ם	ÜD		Ho				۲D	ᇜ	lia	ᇜ		KE Appai	SURF Rete Ant B
ם ב נ	۵		۵	۵							۵	۵	٥	8					۵		GRAPHIC IONS W/ NO ACKS	CRACKS D CONCRETE ON SURI SPALLED CONCRETE PILE (NO APPARANT 1
,																	C d	L			GRAPHIC KEY (NT.S.) GOOD CONDITIONS W/ NO APPARENT PROBLEMS HAIRLINE CRACKS	 FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEAF
\mathbf{F}						<u></u>		<u></u>		<u></u>	· <u>····</u>							•		4-		

6	2	6	8	S	8	33	36	6	8	8	88	6	æ	8	8	8	82	è	8	R	82	2	R
. 0 9	f C		₽□		P 0		P C	. ¤ŋ	P C	₽₽	P D	□ <mark>n</mark>	n □			미	₽ □		P D	□ <mark>P</mark>	9 0		F O
Ð																	۵		D				0
		Ð													۵								
		۵												۵	۵	۵							D
٥	D	D													۵								
0	U		G															۵					
۵															ū	٨					۵		
	a															0							
曲	Ha	曲	Нo	ᄖ	٥C	ᄖ	Ho	머님	Ho	ᄖ	Ho	⊒⊎	Ho		Ha	DY		ᇜ	Hc	D	Ho		
۵					٥				۵		ullet	0	0			۵							
•																							

·····

T

n an a state of the state of th

.

C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
Image: Second state sta
D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Image: Constant of the constant
Conditions w/ no app Concrete on sufficient of the concrete on su
Conditions w/ no app Concrete on sufficient of the concrete on su
CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRACKS CONDITIONS W/ NO APP RLINE CRACKS CONCRETE ON SUG ALLED CONCRETE ON SUG
Conditions w/ No APP RLINE CRACKS CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRAPHIC KI CRACKS CONCRETE ON SUF RLINE CRACKS CONCRETE ON SUF RLINE CRACKS CONCRETE ON SUF
C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C) C

ð.

□ <i>\\S</i>		<i>611</i> 🛛	<i>3//</i> D		<i>0//</i> []	60/ []	\$	0 <i>1</i> 07	%	\$	8	\$	20	<i>ø</i>	Ş
Oq		Сq	P		ال ا		_			۵p	PD	Op	μD	ПŖ	PD
D													۵		
۵							۵						۵		۵
۵			۵					۵	۵						p
۵	۵						۵							Π	۵
	8	×	đ		۵				8						٥
۵				١		٥			۵						
۵						٥		۵	۵			מ			۵
			۵										D		
														Ο	
ᄖ		ᇜ	╘□	ᇜ	UD	曲	۱D	ᄖ	H ^D	ᇜ	lo	ᄖ		ᇜ	
۵				0		۵							0	۵	۵

•

The second s

~ - \

-

PIER 5 PLAN	LORIDA	DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA	ERFIELU	ă 	Ξ	APACI.	U V V	EBEARING CAPACITY)	 DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETF FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT 	
CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C. NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	NCK SCK	PREPARED BY ARTHUR V. STROCK B. ASSOCIATES, INC. B206 65 014 67	ASSO(₩ 205) BLEMS	PROE	KEY (N.T.S.) PPARENT PROB LIBFACF	GRAPHIC KEY (N.T.S.) GRAPHIC KEY (N.T.S.) G = 6000 CONDITIONS W/NO APPARENT PROBLEMS B = FAILURE CRACKS B = FAILURE CRACKS C = SAULED CONCRETE ON SUBFACE	0
			•			:				4
			•							un a du administrativa
										▲ 12180 \
								Ho		
			0 0	0						
	۵							Ho Ho		
							D	lio		
				0				ᇜ		
P P			□ 8					Ho.		
1 1 1 1 1	۵				C					
	þ	þ						đ		

penetrating to the reinforcing steel causing corrosion and accelerated deterioration of the piles. The seal should be made with an epoxy grout which can penetrate and seal the hairline and small failure cracks. Of the two (2) piles that have deeply spalled concrete or are failed, the greatest degree of damage is in the area of the pile cap. These piles should be repaired by chipping away concrete exposing the reinforcing steel, sand blasting the rusted reinforcing steel, and reconstruction of the damaged section by pouring a collar with additional steel reinforcement as required (see Appendix). The estimated cost of all repairs to Pier 5 is \$2,450.

With completion of the above-cited repairs, the expected life of the piles is 25 years. Without these repairs, the expected life of the piles is three (3) to eight (8) years. If repairs are not soon undertaken, the cost and extent of the needed repairs is expected to increase as further deterioration occurs.

The entire pier should be inspected at least once every six (6) years. The pier should also be inspected following any ship-pier collisions to assess damage, if any, to the pier. Once every two (2) years, a cursory inspection by Activity personnel should be performed by boat at low tide to determine any extensive damage to exterior piles which are most susceptible to damage.

والمعجود المحاد والمحا

4.3 Pier Number 7

4.3.1 Description

Pier 7 is constructed of reinforced concrete and is located to the north of Pier 5 and to the south of the small craft basin. Figure 10 shows the location of Pier 7 on base. The pier has a length of 1,352 feet and width of 104 feet. The pier was constructed in 1931. A total of 1,960 reinforced concrete piles support the pier. The deck of Pier 7 is supported by 115 bents. Each bent is composed of 13 bearing piles and two (2) batter piles. Figure 16 shows a typical bent for Pier 7. During the period of inspection, replacement of the fender pile system along the northern outboard section of Pier 7 was being performed. This operation required the inspection team to temporarily discontinue inspection of Pier 7 due to heavy equipment working in close proximity to the exterior piles and large amounts of floating debris on the water surface.

4.3.2 Observed Inspection Condition


Pier 7 is in overall good condition. A total of six (6) percent of the piles (118 piles) exhibited damage ranging from hairline cracks to completely failed piles. Only two (2) piles were observed to be in a failed condition offering no support to the pier structure. Photographs 1 through 4 of Pier 5 typify conditions observed at Pier 7. A tabulated summary of inspection observations appears in the Appendix (pages A-7 through A-9, A-20 and A-21). Figures 17, 18, 19 and 20 show the general pile plan and inspection observations.

4.3.3 Structural Condition Assessment

Pier 7 is in overall good condition. The damaged piles appear to have been damaged either by direct impact to the piles, or by deterioration due to exposure to the elements.

4.3.4 <u>Recommendations</u>

All piles exhibiting minor damage, such as cracks and light spalling, should be sealed so as to prevent salt water from penetrating to the reinforcing steel causing corrosion and accelerated deterioration of the piles. The seal should be made with an epoxy grout which can penetrate and seal the hairline and small failure cracks. Of the piles that have deeply spalled concrete or are failed, the greatest degree of damage is in the area of the pile cap. These piles should be repaired by chipping away concrete exposing the



4-13

......

--- BENT NUMBER 2 ¥ 2 83 Ś Я 53 à R 3 R 8 8 2 Ś Ľ Ó Ø 5 Ś 2 é 2 Ó Ο × ⊠ С 0 90 PD Γ O ۵ Π С ۵ × \boxtimes ⊠ С \Box ⊠ ۵ Γ С 0 C С ۵ × ۵ Ε D ۵ ٢. С 曲님 С ۵ D С ۵

PIER 7 PLAN 17	ORILIA	829 51, 914 51 DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA	B29 5 ERFIELD I		7) C5 7	PACIT	VG CA	EARII		CONCRI APPARA	
¢, VIRGINIA	STROCK FES, INC. JTH ST		ARTHUR V B ASSOCIA 829 SE		000			ы С	SURF	S TE ON 1	11 51 11
CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, DC	2 C		Byd		S C C C	EMS	(NT PROB	ENT C	KEY Appare	GRAPHIC ONS W/ NO /	GRAPHIC KEY (NTS.) C = 6000 CONDITIONS W/NO APPARENT PROBLEMS C = HAIRI INF CRACKS
O				a		٥	٥	۵	۵.,	٥	
										۵	BENT SPACING 12 FT.
ال م										۵	PIER LENGTH 1,352 FT
L D										. D	2
۰ ۲	8			 □					ᄓ		
N N				0	×	Ø	×	×			
				٥				٥	曲	۵	
6 0								۵		۵	
6							۵	۵	西		
						۵		۵		۵	
						۵	۵	۵	西병	۵	
				۵	۵	۵		۵	۲D		
\$ □				۵	۵	۵	٥			۵	
		8	0	۵		D	۵	۵		۵	
<mark>با</mark> ت ا					۵			D	曲	۵	
₽ ₽	۵	2		٥		Ø			BO		
			0			٥				۵	
₽ ₽			D			ם					
<i>€</i>	Ð								西		
9	ב	R L									

-

a and the second

- ----

<u>____</u>

T

	ß	- 65	B 64	a 63	8)9	8	- <i>5</i> 3	□ <i>58</i>	0 57	8	0 35	8	□ <i>5</i> 3	25	<i>is</i> 0	8	\$	81	4	%	- 42	\$	\$	<i>4</i>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				Do								•	FD		μD			٥Ą								2
I																										Ę
ł	۵	Ο			D						Ø														⊠	q
ļ		D							8																	4
l	۵																									d
	۵			۵	۵		D									۵										d
								۵						D												
ļ												۵.													С	Ω
			۵									۵				۵										
					۵																				Ø	
	ii.			ᇜ	Ha		llo		Ho Ho		iio	ᇜ	Ho	ᇜ		۵ü							۳D	ᇜ	äo	a
							⊠			۵							۵									Π
																						·				

.

- -

١.

- - -

.

NORFOLK, VIRGINIA FIGURE 18	~	PIER	PIE	÷	BASSOCIATES, INC. 829 SE 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA	CIA 1 SE 91 D BEACH	ASSC 829 EERFIEL	ti a	٤	APACI'	C S N	ACE EARI		
CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C.	SAPEAKE DIVI TIES ENGINEE WASHINGTON, D.C.		AVAL F			PREPARED BY	RTHU	∢) BLEMS	PROE	KEY (N.T.S.) PPARENT PROBI	APHIC W/NOA S	·15
	34		۹D D	۵		۵	a		٥	٦		۳D		4-
	35					Π	۵			۵			2	
	R	() 100					۵	۵				lo		
	37					0						ᇜ		
	R										۵	μD		
	<i>€</i> € □				۵							ᄖ		_
	Ø		Ø	×										ي موال
	<i>\</i>						۵					ᇜ		
	4			×				۵	С	۵	⊠	ЦП		
	43											ᄖ		
	\$		₽□									Ua		
	42													
	%	 8	AC .									<mark>∺</mark> ⊂		
	4						С					그님		
	48											HC.		-
	Ş						۵		۵					
	8				۵		۵		٥	٥				
	15									۵	۵			
	26	ם נו								α				

and the state of the second states of the

																•									
	R	8	6	8	35	8	<i>93</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>)6</i> []	6 6	68 🗆	8	<i>18</i>	86	- <i>8</i> 2	64	63	B 82	<i>\6</i>	B	2	<i>8</i> 2	2	%	R
			DÅ		□ ₽		□ 9	□ P □														<u>P</u> C			
													۵												
			۵		×		D							L											
l						۵					Ň														
	۵	٥		0																					
		۵	۵			۵							۵				۵								
	D	U																			۵				
	۵	۵	D	۵		۵											۵								
	כ		٥		۵	۵	۵	۵			Ø					۵								۵	
	٥				Ø	۵						۵								۵					
		0		a a	ᄖ	đ	머	BO	Ъ	۲D	Ъ	ЧD	ы	ЫD		םש	d	םט	Ц		Ь	ЧD			
	٦	۵		۵		٥	D	۵				Ο									۵	۵			
1																									1
ļ																									i
																									1
L			_																						

· · · · · ·

														<u></u>		COMMAND	FIGURE
	H O 1				8 8	□ ₽	9 1	H o X	× 0 ₽			<i>R</i> □	- - -		29 89	CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, DC	NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA PIER 7 PLAN
	_	_								۵	×			8			
										0	8				8	DBY STROC	ES, IN TH ST H, FLOR
															8	PREPARED BY	CCIAT 5 SE 91 LD BEACI
		□ ▲														ARTHU	A ASSOCIATES, INC 829 SE 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA
						۵									D		
ŕ																10	۲ ۲
									0							BLEMS	APACI
			۵		۵									۵	Ø	PROI	C NG
	Ha			ц	Ho		Ho									KEY (N.T.S.) PPARENT PROBI	FFACE E BEARING CAPACITY)
		۵			۵					X				۵	8	APPA	SURF RETE ANT I
													6)			 FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEAF
Γ													<u></u>		4.	-16	
										n : : :₹		•					

	•//	113	211	///	01	601	801	107	100	105	N	103	20/	. 10	00/				
	כ																		
μ	כ	□¤	Ħ۵	٥F	PD		ΠΩ	ΩÄ	90	Op	PC	OŖ	PC	ΠA	F D				
1																			
(כ											Π			٥				
	ב							8		Ω					D				
E	כ									۵				Π					
C	כ													۵					
۵	כ		С																
C	כ							۵				Π							
۵	כ												۵						
							 								D				
	נ		80	۵	BD	ᄖ	80	머병			ä	명	Ë	ᄖ	BO				
C	ב																		

											•	
											MMAND	FIGURE 20
WASHIN'STON, D.C.	90 I						<i>30/</i> □ □				CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C.	NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA PIER 7 PLAN
				a							NAV	NAVAL
- 1									۵		Š	INC.
STR		Ø	۵	۵			0		p		PREPARED BY UR V. STR(TES, 9TH ST ACH, FLC
ARTHUR V. STROCK								Π	۵		PREPARED BY ARTHUR V. STROCK	B ASSOCIATES, INC. 829 S.E. 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA
E				۵					0		ART	B AS DEERF
╶╏				۵				۵	۵			
þ	C								۵		SN	CITY)
þ			۵				۵				PHIC KEY (N.T.S.) W/NO APPARENT PROBLEMS	TE ON SURFACE CONCRETE APPARANT BEARING CAPACITY)
þ				C							INT.	RING
þ	äο	ᇜ	ü _o		ü	ᇜ	Ë				KEY (N.T.S.) NPARENT PROB	RFACE BEA
þ	۵				۵		۵				C X D APF	TE ON SURF CONCRETE APPARANT
									•••			CONC CONC APPAI
												FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEAF
												⊒ 43 O ●
†				_						4-	17	
					,	τ.				n an		•

reinforcing steel, sand blasting rusted reinforcing steel, and reconstruction of the damaged section by pouring a collar with additional steel reinforcement as required. The estimated cost of all repairs to Pier 7 is \$8,575.

With completion of the above-cited repairs, the expected life of the piles is 20 years. Without these repairs, the expected life of the piles is two (2) to seven (7) years. If repairs are not soon undertaken, the cost and extent of the needed repairs is expected to increase as further deterioration occurs.

The entire pier should be inspected at least once every six (6) years. The pier should also be inspected following any ship-pier collisions to assess damage, if any, to the pier. Once every two (2) years, a cursory inspection by Activity personnel should be performed by boat at low tide to determine any extensive damage to exterior piles which are most susceptible to damage.

4-18

4.4 Pier Number 12

4.4.1 Description

Pier 12 was the largest pier inspected, having an overall length of 1,306 feet and a width of 150 feet. It is constructed of reinforced concrete and supported by 2,250 reinforced concrete piles. The pier is located at the north end of the Naval station. Figure 10 shows the location of Pier 12 on base. The pier was constructed in 1959. In addition to the normal precautions taken with a ship to assure the safety of divers, it was also necessary to have a ship shut down its impressed- current cathodic protection system so that divers would not incur any electrical hazards while in the water. Additionally, it became necessary to abort inspection dives at one point, when storm tides caused diving conditions under the pier to become hazardous. Pier 12 is supported by 90 bents, with each bent composed of 23 bearing piles and two (2) batter piles. Figure 21 shows a typical cross-section of a bent of Pier 12.

4.4.2 Observed Inspection Condition

Pier 12 is in overall good condition. A total of five (5) percent of the piles (102 piles) were found to have defects ranging from hairline cracks to completely failed piles. A total of four (4) piles were found to be in a failed condition, and providing no support to the pier structure. A tabulated summary of inspection observations appears in the Appendix (pages A-10 through A-12, A-22, and A-23). Pile 1, Bent 78 was severed at the cap with failure cracks and exposed corroded steel at the waterline. Pile 23, Bent 56 was missing from the waterline to the cap. Pile 23, Bent 58 was completely missing. Figures 22, 23 and 24 show a general pile plan and the piles with damage. Photos 5 through 10 illustrate the conditions observed at Pier 12.


4.4.3 Structural Condition Assessment

Pier 12 is in overall good condition. The damaged piles appear to have been damaged either by direct impact to the piles, or by deterioration due to exposure to the elements.

4.4.4 Recommendations

All piles exhibiting minor damage, such as cracks and light spalling, should be sealed so as to prevent salt water from penetrating to the reinforcing steel causing corrosion and

a second s



ì

i

. .

1

7

4-20

l	- —															7	- 83	g W n	N I	738	1			
		` €	[] 	62 []	8 E3						<i>22</i> []	12 🗆	₽□	2	%)	D15	X	5		X	%	• 1
) 1	[]		0		IJ		D	IJ		Π					C	۵	Ð	D		Δ	1.10			,
¤ 0	Up		Ξq	p()	_][_	μD		рC)		p۵	ΠĦ	РΩ	Dp	PC)	2 0	[]	Dp	р()	×□	D ()	μ		XX	()(
	[]	[]	()	[]		[]	[]	D	Π		[]					[]	Ð	L:	11		r	11	÷.,	
	D	0	[]		51		٤3	C	[]	IJ	ດ		[]		C	[]	[::	۰.	!		. •		•
10		• • •		[1]	5	51		2	D			IJ	Ľ			Ľ	[]	::	• •			•		
Ц.	[]	C	[]	U	5		D	5	1	D	[]		[]	[]	[]	IJ	Ľ		•	• •	•	1 - 1 1 - 2	1.	
L D	Ľ	C		 •	t.		[]	E	D	1	D	10	!] 		[.]	Γ	ن]	17	- 1 - 1			i.		•
	E	Ε		: ; : ;	1		<u>[]</u>		1		IJ	D		Ū	ti		::	11	i.		:		<u>به</u> ۱	
	. Li	C)	4 1 6 4	,	, . 	D	[]	Ľ	IJ	L]		[]	[_]	1				1 - 4 -			1	- •	14	
	D	Π	:1		L)	[_]		C)	11	Ľ	:i		[]	13	[]	Ľ	G	. 1			•		×	
	Ο.	- []		11	: ;	C		IJ		G	D	[]	(J	[]	[]	ET,	: 7			,		,		
	0	IJ	C	(]	L 3		IJ	[]	IJ.	[]		C	:1	, . 		D	L:							
	[]	D	L3	1	::	[]	Li	IJ	IJ	C	0		L1	:1	C	[]	17	1			. .	• •		
			0	[`		.[]	Ľ,	(_)	IJ	C	[]	Ľ	Lì	r Na s	12	Ð	51				• •			L
, , D	5		Ľ	12		[]				C	C1	IJ	1:	E.	[]	C	1				Ē i	•	۲ ۹. ۱	
i C	3	C	[]	[.]	L Ì		<u> </u>	Ĺ !	[]	C	E1	C	D	0	Ľ	С	U	23			, 		, • •	, ,
Ľ	U	C	1	C	, . ,	U.		2		С			[]	IJ			U	11		:	×	(* =)		,
С		9	, • J	[]			r 1 - 1	Π	C			, 					r 10		C:		×	:1	C	-
ξ C	IJ				Π	(1		5		Ξ				C			<u>.</u>	C	L	3			G	r=
BC	Цр	נוס			q		Δ	נם <u>ר</u> י	(iti	٥D		کتا	(1 <mark>0</mark>	а(Ű		ן זין דין זי		נוןמ הרו					HE
			C	C	[]	C							С	U	۵			[]	Ľ				IJ	ť.
				۵					C	<u>ם</u>				۵	۵	۵	۵					M		. E

	2	8	95	- /		7 911) S		0139 D	J	Ň		. 0	00	Ā	ē	5	·	N		ς.э.
	%	2	% []		2	D /5	•	E	9 11) L	0	• •	()		17	เา	v []		N []	
	£1	[]	[]	۵	[]	0		Δ			21	Γ	D	Ľ	Ū	1	ţ٦	E.}	[]	Ш
']	L]O	р();	Ø p	ρΟ	Dp	i <mark>h</mark> i j	×□	0(;	(.)p		X×		Ц	Р С	- Up	20	• "ר]	ПD	ng	PC
ł.	11		C	Ľ	[]	L.		· •	;]	1	L]	[7	3	D,	0	11			C	
		3	Ľ,	[]	Ĺ		ь.	٤.	• •		17	[]	C	D	11		0	[.]	11	
		U	Ū		ti	17		: .	*	ι:	<u>;</u> ;	0	11	13	[]	11			(7	. 🏿
		[.	[]	[]	с. г С.,	• •	. .	. :	`		Ľ.	[]		C		, . 	:1	[]	ר:	נז :
		[.]	13	5	: i		1			ί.	t i	ſ.,	:]	[]	ι.	15	[]		[]	
			· · · • ·		C 1	· •	L.				5		Ĵ	:1		[]	13	t i	[]	υŀ
-				1 - 1 8 - 2	()	, . 			x - 2		1	н т. К.,	1	.1		۲ د د	13	ι.	[]	
	ì	 	[]	[]	 	- 4					×	0	:	÷,		:1	ti	n	13	15 E
	Ì	•	[]	Γ.	i ni					1 - 1	- <u>-</u>	с. ч. ј	· .	• •	IJ	11	ţĵ	[]	[]	
	•		[]	[]	L.			•	• •			0	r :	• ;	[]	1	i i	ţĴ	[]	
			0	r •	 	4				 	C.	• •	۵	, - 		•• • •	• :		C	BENI
				Ľ	۰. ۱				r 1 - 1	к.		ι.	5	1 × 1 ×	11		11	[]	15	5 E
		r L	[]		С	 				L.	Ľ	£.1	X	ĽĴ	<u>[]</u>	۱.	13	L	רו	- D 2
	κ.,	1	C	C	()	 L		.]		1 1. 4	r s kuu	1.7	5	· 1:	. 11	Ľ	11	Ľ		UDTH: 150
	5.5	13	ם	3	17	5			×	5	C	[]	13	Ľ				t î	C	
	- 4		2	[]		eth 200	L.	•	x	1	5		C	D	ם		LJ		t ;	
	1		<u>,</u>			G	G	3				5	Ľ				. 🗆		0	U 90 Ci ⊡
	T	-i:::		ЗС	L)H)85										C)	
	С	Ľ				۵	C				IJ						j D			
			۵	۵					IJ	H.				П	a					

) 			03			60	23	8	21	8	22	8	23	25							C	8		
1. 1	D 1	.		0,	à D		. 🗖		C	Ø	D	0		D.	Ø	Ū,		Ģ		0	D	0		
 - 				Π					IJ	Ē			Ð			Ο	ħ	Π.		[].	د . ب	10	()	
		a⊡	200	ц с і.			Dp	аD	- ng	۵D				P D	Ωp	PΩ		p(. IH	Di]	L)p	DL .	110	μ
k Z	[]	X	£	[]		[]	L)	{ _ }`	[]		П	D	n		D		n	٤:	[]	ł.	,	0	[]	
ja Ji	L	×	F]	ţ.:	[]	[]	C	เา	[]]	Ð	IJ	ĽĴ.	! 1	IJ	G	נו	Ľ	5	1.	r 		11	н [–]	
	•13	13	Ľ	D	11	[]	13	:]	[]	D	C	11	[]		۲)	ບໍ່	D	11	•	•	•	11	÷.	
	[]	D	11	13	£ 1	ت _.	£1 '		บ	[]	: 1	G	11	C	LI	U	Ç	11	()		i.	11	ſ]	
	L	3	11	۶ ۱۰۰۰	1 ?	[]	11	::	11	[]	L]	1 - 1 -	[]	С	G	С	[]	Ľ.,	a i Kulu	:	•	ί,		
•	M	£ 1	7()	11		8	5	1 1 - 7	11	11	0	U		IJ	LI	Ľ	U	[]	. 1		i '	13	F '	
, 	:1	E.	: :	1 a	M	M	1.	[]	11	Ð	[]	[]	ti	Ц.	IJ	D		[]			Ĺ			
3 .4	: 1	E1	:	11	с. I	ļ,		1 2	н	L.		ţ :	C)	6	נ]	0	C	1)				•••		
	! }	- L]		• · ·	•	. :	[]	;]	: 1	()	1 i	11	[]	: 1	[]	Ē								
4	Ľ	с С	1.]	- 1	t (11	i i		÷j		12	• . · .	: 1		:1	11	 							
э 9	H		ί.	(1	1		X I	1	1	:]	[]]	à	U)	·]	C.	[]	e -	ţ						
Ķ.	с) [•]	5		()	, L	C	: 1		()	(_)	11	£	11	-	: `	u					• •	• .		
L	£,	IJ		D	: 1	Û	IJ					Ľ	r	r	t i	 	11	L.			•	L.	ί.	
,	.1	U	, []	u	۵.	El	11	• .	L)	L)	11	Ð	Û	11	L	12	, Ì		· •	. <u>-</u>		1 °		
	H	ເມ	1			t	·	•		<u></u>	ц	C	[]				0	[]		1	0	5	E E	
į .	-		 	n		Г.		,			_	Ľ				-	•	17				-	-	
	0			<u>п</u>	n	- - r v	r ·							-			Π	n	с. С		L]			
	С, ²					1						<u>ם</u>			с у ш П				5					ι.
19 - 11 61																								
d,		<u>.</u>	11		្រុ	, Q .	م لکر	j 🕻	U	0	, C I	0	~ 9			J.	∵Ω 		- ju	. 🖸	0	<u> </u>	U.	

Her States 2. 1 . . .

A

8	25	6	8	Ş	8		¥	42	\$	6 2	16	4	<u>_</u>	8	88	37	8	2	34
ב	D	D	Ó	D	D	0	Q	Π	D	۵	0					Π.	Ь	1	To Take
1		0	۵	ħ	Π		[].	٤.	C	0	n	[]	IJ	D	[]	Π	П	C1 .	D
)0	d D	Ca	n C)		<u>r</u> t	ng	al :	L F		:) D	ρC	Ωq	р() ;	מנו	<u>p</u> L)	n	П П	ПŖ	qC3
]		IJ		G	U	[]	÷ .	_	11	11	LI	0	Ċ)	Π	17		Ω	D	
	Ð	G	Π	Ľ		:,			11	! .	L)				1	11	L1 -	0	
		[]	D	IJ			•		t :	- +	(j	[]		П	E)	• •	n		ц.
	[]	LI	IJ	Ļ		Ĺ.		•	1.1	[]	[]	1 - 5 3 - 4	1	Ľ	۰ م ا	11	n	Π	
	C	15	[]	IJ	f	1 ' 1		٩	ί.	• •	D.	(]		n	11	[]	Π	Π	ш
	Lì	IJ	G	D	11	. 1		L	4 X • •	•	3	[]	11	Ē	[]	11	(;	(.)	D -
	Ŀ.	[]	C	G	17			Ĺ	* *	,	ł	• 1	Ι.		[]	t i	Γ	£Ĵ	u -
	•;	[]	, D	C					۰.		4 · • 4	i.	Ĺ.	1.1	5	E	П	٦.	
	• •	11	С						,		•	' J	, 	Ľ	[]	13	[]	U	
		11	, . 	 									1.	[]	:]	ί.	t :	11	LÌ 🗍
		C.	, ,	<u>.</u>	!			1		•			• • •	 	÷.;		IJ	Π	
			\Box	1									• •	1 !	Ľ	n	D	Г	D.
	•	11		11			•	• •	L	1 • •	11	Ľ	:1	IJ	ĹĴ		Ľ	۲٦	D ,
;		L	С	11	_;		. ,			 	×	- 1	• •	5	!.	15		Ľ	n 🗟
	i i	[]	Ľ	L?	Ð	•		11	<u> </u>	t1	17	0		С	1 5.04	1	t:		G s
				- 	£1	0	-	· .	. 1	Π	5	C	. 1			. []	Ĺ	ti.	្ដ
	J	• · •				0													
Ö,	50	نې تر			80 80	10	H	۲ <u>ط</u> ر			HEI		Ho		Ha	Ш	ΗĽ	ן ען	Ho .
	۵	Π		U.	Π	G				[]		Π			D				ាំ
1			0	۵		0	D	D		0		υ	D	- G	Ģ	ø	(تا كىستى		

8		8	8	8	××	S X	20	è	æ X	£	<i>81</i> X	2	8	8	×	13	R	~	<i>Q</i> 2	\$	3	6	
0 6	[]	D	• •		•••	. H	· · ·			a.							()				1	ι.	
		x .C	.C.	Ũ.		H C		· 4,	÷.	13,		t i	مر ا	H[.,	×	H ;	_ [,	×'.	×	s.L.	XN	NE	,
Δ								,			-									17		x	
		Δ		м			12											M		t i	x		
	t,			•	2			H														•	
ο Δ				H	×									Δ									1
		H																		:	1 [°]	M	•
D						×		,										н					`
ت '		Δ																			Γ.		1
Ο																				1 - 1 - 1		M	
α												H		H									
						H							×				,		:		н 1 - 1		
	· ·								Δ			M	×							1		r	!
			·						×				×	•						! .		ι,	ţ
								м												t K z			
	1] '		i	×										H			۰.			۱.	L.	,	
	Ľ)				L.						i.	1	:	÷.,			×		.a.			· _	
			-	×		H								: •									
an de	(m. a		jn .	1	ά		X ¹	с. Т) 2	M.	Ľ	11.]	11:	ij	'n	i i i	: H	d	۱ D	ri!			1
	□ [X	ι;	×	0	 	• •	×	M	0	: *	15	[]		D	()	[]	L)	()		, J	
			Ľ				רז			53	•	0	0	0	1)	0	[] 	<u>.</u>	{	•	[];	l	

.

à	× 5	X X X	R	8	×	52	2	2	2		3	8		CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C. NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA PIER 12 PLAN 24
				•			U			4	1	ί		KE DIVISION ENGINEERING CO STON, D.C. NORFOLK, VIRGINIA
			م ا	H.	: : x	H	_1.	x ' .	×		XM	ME		E DIVISI VGINEERI ON, D.C. ORFOLK,VI
									•	5	•••	ι.		SAPEAKE DIVI TIES ENGINEE WASHINGTON, D.C. NORFOLK
								м			x	E2	P	CHESAPEAKE DIVISION CILITIES ENGINEERING WASHINGTON, D.C. ION NORFOLK, VIRGI
M														CHESA NAVAL FACILITI W NAVAL STATION PIER
				Δ										NAVAL
										•	i .	M		
•								н		•	r	ĺ.		ED BY STRO FES, J DH,FLOF
											۰.			PREPARED BY ARTHUR V. STROCK B. ASSOCIATES, INC. 829 SE 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA
												M		ARTHU ASSA ASSO BS DEERFIE
		M		M						• •				
			×								•	i i		í (ř
	Δ	M	×							!		11) 1) 3LEM9 APACI
	×		×	•						•		L.		GRAPHIC KEY (N.T.S.) GOOD CONDITIONS W/ NO APPARENT PROBLEMS HAIRLINE CRACKS FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEARING CAPACITY)
H								, _						Y (I RENT ACE BEARI
				M						1	ι.			GRAPHIC KEY (GOOD CONDITIONS W/ NO APPARENI HAIRLINE CRACKS FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEAF
		s i		i. e			×				, · ·	х 		GRAPHIC GRAPHIC ACKS ACKS CKS CKS CKS LED CONCF (NO APPAR
			-	. •			е с. 1			•		ί		SRAF ONS ACKS XKS CRET CRET CRET
	××	1 () 1 ()			•				· .					GRA GOOD CONDITIONS HAIRLINE CRACKS FAILURE CRACKS SPALLED CONCRET DEEPLY SPALLED
I	N R	ju rit;	(X)	i 11 .	tj	⁺i(_)	: Ħ	сі()	(jti	C.İ.	(13	tar c		D COI D COI LLLED RLINE RLINE
	×H	() (`	11	[]			0	[]	()	(;	[()		FAIL FAIL FAIL DEE
	X	• 0	[]	0		0		5	C	•		L; 		

and the second states and the second states and the second states and the second states and the second states a

. .



Photo No. 5 Pier 12 - Fender system and outboard piles (typical).



Photo No. 6 Pier 12 - Vertical pile with hairline crack and minor rust stain (typical).

. .

4-24

San Maria Strategy



Ì

ţ

٦

Photo No. 7 Pier 12 - Piping supported under deck of pier supported by angle. Note rust stains at angle and supports (typical).



Photo No. 8 Pier 12 - Surface spalled batter pile (Bent 88).

4-25

and the second second second




Photo No. 9 Pier 12 - Two piles with hairline cracks and rust stains. The "dropped" concrete sections contain a compartment for ship-to-shore utility connections (typical).



Photo No. 10 Pier 12 - Bent at low tide. Note good condition on underside of pier deck and pile cap (typical).

4-26

accelerated deterioration of the piles. The seal should be made with an epoxy grout which can penetrate and seal the hairline and small failure cracks. Of the piles that have deeply spalled concrete or are failed, the greatest degree of damage is in the area of the pile cap. These piles should be repaired by chipping away concrete exposing the reinforcing steel, sand blasting the rusted reinforcing steel, and reconstruction of the damaged section by pouring a collar with additional steel reinforcement as required. One (1) pile was completely missing (see Appendix); and must be completely replaced. Pile replacement requires removal of the pier deck and pile cap for placement and driving of the new pile. The deck and pile cap must be restored following installation of the new pile. The estimated cost of all repairs to Pier 12 is \$11,150.

With completion of the above-cited repairs, the expected life of the piles is 30 years. Without these repairs, the expected life of the piles is five (5) to ten (10) years. If repairs are not soon undertaken, the cost and extent of the needed repairs is expected to increase as further deterioration occurs.

The entire pier should be inspected at least once every six (6) years. The pier should also be inspected following any ship-pier collisions to assess damage, if any, to the pier. Once every two (2) years, a cursory inspection by Activity personnel should be performed by boat at low tide to determine any extensive damage to exterior piles which are most susceptible to damage.

4.5 Pier Number 20

4.5.1 <u>Description</u>

Pier 20 is a 1,451 foot long by 50 foot wide reinforced concrete structure, located at the south end of the Naval facility. Figure 10 shows the location of Pier 20 on base. The pier was constructed in 1942. Typical cross-sections are shown in Figure 25. Each bent has nine (9) vertical piles and two (2) batter piles. This pier has 1,056 reinforced concrete piles. In addition to undergoing recent repairs for damage which occurred from the impact of a ship at the outboard end, Pier 20 has also recently been extended. This extension does not appear on plans supplied to the inspectors. However, all piles supporting this extension were inspected.


4.5.2 Observed Inspection Condition

A total of 1,056 piles were inspected on a Level I basis under Pier 20. Of this total number of piles, six (6) percent, or 67 piles, showed defects ranging from small hairline cracks to completely failed piles offering no support to the pier structure. Of these 67 piles showing defects, two (2) percent, or 17 piles, are considered to be in a failed condition (i.e., no apparent bearing capacity). All damage was found in the tidal and splash zone. Most damage was found inmediately below the cap. Figures 26, 27 and 28 show the general pile plan of the pier and identifies the damaged piles.

All piles on Bents 95 and 94 were observed to have steel reinforcing rods hanging down from the cap. Pile 1, Bents 18 and 19 have no connection to the cap. Pile 1, Bent 20 showed no connection to the cap. Pile 9, Bents 22 and 21 was observed to have a shattered core. Pile 1, Bent 21 was missing. Photographs 11 through 16 show conditions found at Pier 20. A total of 50 piles were inspected according to Level II requirements. No piles exhibited damage below the waterline. A tabulated summary of inspection observations appears in the Appendix (pages A-13, A-14 and A-24).

4.5.3 Structural Condition Assessment

Pier 20 is in overall good condition. This pier was impacted recently by collision of a ship with the pier deck at the outboard end of the pier. The pier shows no signs of critical pile damage that could be associated with this accident. The critically damaged piles appear to have been damaged either by direct impact to the piles or by deterioration due to exposure to the elements.



is -s BENT NUMBER Q 3 3 5 3 2 S 1 2 33 3 6 63 58 52 % 55 6 Ø Ø 2 0) 32 P 머머 op ao ╺┓ α X ۵ X □• Ο. 0 0 Ċ, D Ο M ۵ ٥ D O oli ika ᇜᇥ ОΫ 비비 비비

and the second
PIER 20 PLAN 26) C5	ACITY	CAP	 DEEPLY SMALLED CONCRETE FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEARING CAPACITY) C5 	
NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	INC	B ASSOCIATES, INC 829 SE 9TH ST	SSOCI 829 SI	8	3.2				
CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C.	Š	PREPARED BY ARTHUR V. STROCK	PREF THUR	ART	Code	SW	S.) Roble		- 30
			о		8	8	89 11		4
2 8		٥						PIER WIDTH 50 FT. BENT SPACING 15 FT.	
6								16TH : 1,451 FT.	
▶ 90								Ĵ	
ъ СПП ППП									
•								μ	
2 8							,	٥	
e B									
6				D					
<i>Ø</i>					۵			40	
<i>₽</i> □					۵			Đ	
								Ð	
 ₽			•				□	D ²	
2									
	٥								
9 9									
<i>R</i>								•	-
	þ	þ				9			

ł

and the second of the second
·· · •

J.

.

÷ .

_ ----

_**h**

		9%		69 	29			20 20		:: 57		1 1 2 2			<i>25</i> ⊟∷				b	<i>%</i>				
	٥																							
					8																			
	a a							∆									4							
	0	מ				0											۵							
		0						۵																
1	0																	D -						
	0 2 99				ロ ー1		ា _ប		 ມ		ت 		ت اار		ם 11									
	90		Uo		Da	οU	00	au,	00		500		08	90		80	90	08	9()	Cla	acı	00	aL	290 G
ļ																								
ł																								
ł		}																						
L								_								 								

____ ·

1

-

والمراجع فالمعطي فعلمهم وعوار معروفهم وتعاري والمراجع والمعاد والمعاري والمعاري والمراجع والمعار

				ACITY	CAP	FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEARING CAPACITY)	'n
R 20	829 SE 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIUA	829 DEERFIELL				SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE	n q
WASHINGTON, DC NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	ARTHUR V. STROCK B. ASSOCIATES, INC.	ARTHUF B. ASSO		λ Σ	20BLE	GOOD CONDITIONS W/ NO APPARENT PROBLEMS HAIRLINE CRACKS FAILURE CRACKS	 I = GOOD CONDITIONS I = HAIRLINE CRACK I = FAILURE CRACKS
CHESAPEAKE DIVISION					<i>S</i>	GRAPHIC KEY (N.T.S.)	
						o o U	
							2
							r Q
					٥		
5			۵		۵	ᄜ	
		0 0					
6	۵	۵. ۵					
				۵			
۵.							
5							
					۵		
		Δ	۵				
				۵	٥		
				α			
	<i>€5</i> □ : □ 23						

1


4-31

Prestana に教授された小型でのシング - - -

· •

			£ & ⊐⊓ µ⊠		68 Dft f f		<i>%</i> ∏□		68 □∏	P 0			. €	80	
						•••				••	•	••	••	••	••
			_		-								0		כ
				0								۵			כ
									٥]
	<u>יי</u> ס מ נ)
						o									נ
مالك مالك مالك مالك مالك مالك مالك مالك		L C	oi ile	ᇣᇥ	비비		Ha	ᇜ			비		비	Ь	зÜ

l



١

and the state of the second states and

34.00

. .



Photo No. 11 Pier 20 - Undisturbed marine growth on pile 15 feet below surface (Bent 90).



Photo No. 12 Pier 20 - Pile with portion of face cleaned of marine growth; 15 feet below surface (Bent 90).

4-33

a Balance and a Balance and a state of the

· ···

na strangest



Photo No. 13 Pier 20 - Utility pipe supported under pile cap (typical).

ı.

-




Photo No. 11 Pier 20 - View looking inboard between third and fourth piles from north side of pier. Note good condition of pile in foreground.

4-34

the second is a second second of the second

•




Photo No. 15 Pier 20 - A failed pile rated C-5. This pile exhibits heavily spalled concrete with exposed and heavily corroded reinforcing steel (Bent 82).

ł

) – I

÷.



Photo No. 16 Pier 20 - Spalled concrete with rust stains on exterior vertical pile at center of photo (Bent 79).

and the second
4.5.4 <u>Recommendations</u>

All piles exhibiting minor damage, such as cracks and light spalling, should be sealed so as to prevent salt water from penetrating to the reinforcing steel causing corrosion and accelerated deterioration of the pile. The seal should be made with an epoxy grout which can penetrate and seal the hairline and small failure cracks. Of the piles that have deeply spalled concrete or are failed, the greatest degree of damage is in the area of the pile cap. These piles should be repaired by chipping away concrete exposing the reinforcing steel, sand blasting rusted reinforcing steel, and reconstruction of the damaged section by pouring a collar with additional steel reinforcement as required.

One (1) pile was completely missing (see Appendix). This pile should be replaced. Pile replacement requires removal of a section of the pier deck and pile cap for placement and driving of the new pile. The deck and pile cap would be restored following installation of the new pile. The estimated cost of all repairs to Pier 20 is \$14,650.

With completion of the above-cited repairs, the expected life of the piles is 30 years. Without these repairs, the expected life of the piles is five (5) to ten (10) years. If repairs are not soon undertaken, the cost and extent of the needed repairs is expected to increase as further deterioration occurs.

The entire pier should be inspected at least once every six (6) years. The pier should also be inspected following any ship-pier collisions to assess damage, if any, to the pier. Once every two (2) years, a cursory inspection by Activity personnel should be performed by boat at low tide to determine any extensive damage to exterior piles which are most susceptible to damage.

4.6 Pier Number 21

4.6.1 Description

Pier 21 is a 1,405 foot long by 50 foot wide reinforced concrete structure, supported by approximately 990 reinforced concrete piles. This pier is located to the immediate north of Pier 20. Figure 10 shows the location of Pier 21 on base. The pier was constructed in 1944. The pier is similar in construction $\uparrow \uparrow$ Pier 20. Each bent has nine (9) vertical piles and two (2) batter piles. Figure 29 shows a typical bent for Pier 21. All of the piles supporting Pier 21 were inspected.

4.6.2 Observed Inspection Condition


Pier 21 is in overall good condition. A total of nine (9) percent of the piles (93 piles) were found to have some degree of damage ranging from hairline cracks, to completely failed piles offering no support to the pier structure. A total of two (2) percent (20 piles) were found to be in the failed condition. Pile 9, Bent 72 was missing to two (2) feet below the waterline. Pile 9, Bent 56 had four (4) feet of core missing starting at three (3) feet below the cap. Twelve (12) piles were missing entirely. Four (4) piles were found on Level II inspections to have damage below the tidal zone; all such damage was minor spalling or hairline cracks. Figures 30, 31 and 32 show the general pile plan of the pier and identifies the damaged piles. Photographs 11 through 16 of Pier 20 typify conditions observed at Pier 21. A tabulated summary of inspection observations appears in the Appendix (pages A-15 through A-17 and A-25).

4.6.3 Structural Condition Assessment

Pier 21 is in overall good condition. The damaged piles appear to have been damaged either by direct impact to the piles, or by deterioration due to exposure to the elements.

4.6.4 <u>Recommendations</u>

All piles exhibiting minor damage, such as cracks and light spalling, should be sealed so as to prevent salt water from penetrating to the reinforcing steel causing corrosion and accelerated deterioration of the pile. The seal should be made with epoxy grout which can penetrute and seal the hairline and small failure cracks. Of the piles that have deeply spalled concrete or are failed, the greatest degree of damage is in the area of the pile cap. These piles



4-38

.

																			T NUMBER				
				_															BENT				
5	£	ŝ	R	Ľ	88	3	Z S	52	50	23	Ľ	Ì	B	6	Ø	11	Ś	12	Ø	B	Ŷ	1	ŝ
며	ΗD		ΡC	DA		Å	ΗD	ЪŖ	ΗD	PP	٩D	P	₽□	•	H	• H	PD	P	ΗD	며	H D	Dp	ΗC
D																							C
															×								С
Ē	D																						С
D			×													۵							С
÷ 0			Ο			۵																	C
D	0		20	×																			С
		۵	M	×					Ο		X									Ø			C
		للم			60			⋳╝			Ha	ᇜ	Ha		Цo		١L	ال	١L		Ш	۵ä	
									-	-	-	-	-	_	-		_	—	-	-	_	-	-

١

.

and the second second

i

a N	•	6			5	A BENT NUMBER	13	8	~											CHESAPEAKE DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C	NAVAL STATION NORFOLK, VIRGINIA FIGURE PIER 21 PLAN 30
PD R	<u>ر</u>	& •	۲ ا	<u>ه</u> ۹۵	H ال		∾ □¶	₽¤	≷ ⊐₽	<i>€</i>	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	9 0		9 HD	СР Г		Б В			ž	INC.
													::		11 A	Ē		н. П		PREPARED BY ARTHUR V. STROCK	B ASSOCIATES, INC 829 SE 9TH ST DEERFIELD BEACH, FLORIDA
		2					0						۵			۵	D	۵		PREPA	SOCIA 829 SE FIELD BE
		D		۵				۵					۵				D	Â		ARTI	B. AS
			۵										α	α			۵			ខ្លីខភ	C2 C3 C5 C5
		۵.		۵									۵							SW	ACITY
		۵			۵						5	۵			۵					ROBLE	S CAPI
					□ □Ů								□	ם ط				a Uo		GRAPHIC KEY (N.T.S.) GOOD CONDITIONS W/NO APPARENT PROBLEMS HAIRLINE CRACKS	C2 C3 C0NCRETE APPARANT BEARING CAPACITY) C5
																ð	PIER LENGTH: 1,405 FT	PIER WIDTH 50 FT. BENT SPACING 15 FT.		GRA GOD CONDITIONS B = HAIRLINE CRACKS	 ■ FAILURE CRACKS □ = SPALLED CONCRETE ON SURFACE □ = DEEPLY SPALLED CONCRETE ● = FAILED PILE (NO APPARANT BEAF

•


4-39

5 3 64 29 3 S 57 55 3 3 S 8 R 33 ò 8 è Ø Ş Ð Q Ð Ś op Ho Op pO P۵ 80 • X \Box Π 1 1 Ω ۵ 1 · 🗆 ۵ 1 ᇜᇣ ᇜᇥ ᇥᇥ ᇜᇣ (

36	•		-	-	_	80		81											-	k		-	10 10 10 10 10 10
PD	ЪÅ	ΗU	몍		230	ΗU	×	ΗШ		H KAN L L	ЪÅ	HL	•	ΗĽ	Ē		<u>p</u> o	•		-11			11
																					۵		С
	Ð														×	×							E
												D											C
٥	۵		₫																		۵		C
٥					۵									Ċ									Ε
	۵	₫								X							×			۵			C
							ø	×					۵										C
ю	ᇜ	Ho				Ho			Ha				_ _					€ÿ	l Le				_t
•																							

.

Y



2011 - 19 **- 19 - 201**7 - 19 - 19

should be repaired by chipping away concrete, exposing the reinforcing steel, sand blasting rusted reinforcing steel, and reconstruction of the damaged section by pouring a collar with additional steel reinforcement as required. Some piles were comletely missing (see Appendix); these piles must be replaced. Pile replacement requires removal of the pier deck and pile cap for placement and driving of the new pile. The deck and pile cap must be restored following installation of the new pile. The estimated cost of all repairs to Pier 21 is \$45,525.

With completion of the above-cited repairs, the expected life of the piles is 20 years. Without these repairs, the expected life of the piles is three (3) to eight (8) years. If repairs are not soon undertaken, the cost and extent of the needed repairs is expected to increase as further deterioration occurs.

The entire pier should be inspected at least once every six (6) years. The pier should also be inspected following any ship-pier collisions to assess damage, if any, to the pier. Once every two (2) years, a cursory inspection by Activity personnel should be performed by boat at low tide to determine any extensive damage to exterior piles which are most susceptible to damage.

4.7 Bulkhead Number CEP-111

4.7.1 Description

The 1,183 foot Bulkhead CEP-111 is located at the south end of the Naval facility, and east of Pier 20. Figure 10 shows the location of Bulkhead CEP-111 on base. The bulkhead (quaywall) is composed of a cantilevered upper section to retain compacted fill over a pile and concrete sheet pile supported cap. The concrete sheet pile comprises the primary exposed faces. Figure 33 shows typical cross-sections of the bulkhead. Prior to inspection, stations were established every 50 feet along the bulkhead with station zero (0) at the west end of the bulkhead. Damaged fender systems and barges obstructed diver passage in some areas. The total inspection of this bulkhead was enhanced by water visibility (six (6) to eight (8) feet horizontal) that had not been experienced previously at this Naval activity.


4.7.2 Observed Inspection Condition

The upper portion (cantilever section) of the quaywall has extensive damage in many areas. This appears to be the result of direct impact. Local personnel noted that the wall was recently back-filled where grades subsided following periods of rain.


The Level II underwater inspection revealed the only significant defects to occur at stations 5+20 and 9+20 where heavy spalling was observed at 15 to 20 feet below the water line. This spalling occurred along the joints between the concrete sheet piles. At station 7+20, hairline cracks were found mid-depth between the surface and mudline. These defects were found in the area of maximum moment in the sheet piles. Details of inspection observations are shown in Figure 34. The Appendix (pages A26 through A-28) contains a summary of observations. Photographs 17 through 21 document conditions observed.


4.7.3 <u>Structural Condition Assessment</u>

In general, the bulkhead was found to be in good condition below the water line and fair condition above the water line and along the cap structure. The location of defects in the area of maximum moment indicates that the wall has been overloaded in some regions. The concrete sheet pile joints appear to be leaking.



٦





• •


tan ing kanalan 🕬 👘 tan tan ta




Photo No. 17 Bulkhead CEP-111 - Damage at western end; looking south (Station 0+00).



Photo No. 18 Bulkhead CEP-111 - Photo shows section of bulkhead with damage to cap in foreground (Station 2+50).








Photo No. 19 Bulkhead CEP-111 - Badly spalled and cracked upper section (Station 2+65).



Photo No. 20 Bulkhead CEP-111 - Failure crack in upper section (typical).

4-47

the state of the second state and the second state of the second s



1

Photo No. 21 Eastern end of Bulkhead CEP-111.

A se an in the set of the second set from the

4.7.4 <u>Recommendations</u>

The damaged sheet pile joints should be sealed and the damaged sections above the water should be repaired. The sheet pile joints should be sealed with a quick setting epoxy grout between stations 5+00 and 10+00. The cantilever section of the bulkhead should be removed and replaced throughtout those areas with failed or spalled concrete (350 feet total). The estimated cost for these repairs is \$40,000.

With completion of the above-cited repairs, the expected life of the bulkhead is 15 years. Without these repairs, the expected life is two (2) to seven (7) years. If repairs are not soon undertaken, the cost and extent of the needed repairs is expected to increase as further deterioration occurs.

If repairs are performed as prescribed, the bulkhead can then be scheduled for periodic inspections once every six (6) years. The bulkhead should also be inspected following any ship-bulkhead collision to assess damage, if any, to the bulkhead. Once every two (2) years, a cursory inspection by Activity personnel should be performed at low tide to identify maintenance requirements and any damage to the bulkhead.

34

APPENDIX

Z


Page

_ <u>No</u> _	•
Pier Piling - Structural Analysis A- Cost Estimates A-	
Tabulated Summary of Observations - Level I Inspection	
Pier #5 A- Pier #7 A- Pier #12 A-1 Pier #20 A-1 Pier #21 A-1 Tabulated Summary of Observations - Level II Inspection	7 0 3
Pier #5 A-1 Pier #7 A-2 Pier #12 A-2 Pier #20 A-2 Pier #21 A-2	2 2 4
Summary of Observations - Bulkhead CEP-111 A-2	6

PIER PILING - STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

The primary source of bending moments in piling is due to a direct impact to the pile or an impact to the pier - pile cap girder with a resulting transfer of load to the pile.

These conditions are illustrated below:






المعطومين ومناور والعار السوريات

.


Case 1: Direct Impact

İ

The pile cap may be considered rigid in comparison to a single pile. Similarly the embedded end of the pile may be considered fixed as the "soil" is significantly more rigid than the pile (Ref: Chellis, <u>Pile Foundations</u>). This case is then approximated by the condition illustrated below:



The resulting shear and moment diagrams are as follows:



A-2

.....


An impact force may be expected to occur near the waterline due to the possible means of such an impact. This may occur by ramming of a tender vessel or camel into the pile.

Note that the moment is at a maximum at the cap or at the point of impact which is expected to be near the waterline.

A--3

Case 2: Mooring Forces

Again the pile cap may be considered rigid in comparison to a single pile. The Mooring Forces are transferred to the pile via a forced deflection as shown below:



The resulting shear and moments are as given below:



SHEAR

MOMENT

Again as in Case 1, the maximum moment is at the cap and at the mudline.

A-4

COST_ESTIMATES

Repair		Coded	Coded Condition		Estimated Cost	
A. Pat	ch w/grout	Cl,	C2, C3	\$	25/pile	
B. Chip away concrete, replace steel as needed, pour collar C4, C5					650/pile	
C. Remove deck, drive new pile, repour deck C5, pile missing			\$3	,000/pile		
Pier	Repair A <u>(#piles)</u>	Repair B <u>(#piles)</u>			Total stimated pair Cost	
20 21 5 7 12	50 69 20 109 92	16 12 3 9 9	1 12 0 0 1	\$	14,650 45,525 2,450 8,575 11,150	
Bulkhead Repair						
Remove and replace damaged upper sections Seal sheet pile joints			\$	35,000 <u>5,000</u>		
			Total	\$	40,000	

PIER #5

Bent: <u>Number</u>	Pile <u>Number</u>	Condit <u>Concrete</u>	ion <u>Steel</u>
24	13	C2	
24	11	C3	S1
25	12	C1	
38	12B	Cl	
40	1	C2	
45	7	C2	
45	8 8	3 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
46	8	C2	
58	2 13	C2	
61	13	C1	
74	12B	C2	
78	12B 5 5	C3	
83	5	C1 C2 C3 C2 C2 C2 C2	
86	1 3	C2	
87		C2	
87	1 1 5	C4	
88	1	888888888888	
89	5	00	Sl
89	12	00	Sl
89	12B	8	Sl
91 93	11	C3	- 1
93	4	8	Sl
93 04	5	<u></u>	S1
94	2B	ω	Sl
99 105	1 12B	<u> </u>	S1
105	2B	8	
106		00 Cl	Sl
109	7 6	~	Sl
109	9	00	SI
109	9 12B	80 80	SI Sl
110	6	8	SI
111	6	CO C2	31
110 111 111	11	8	S1
113	6	ö	SI SI
113	7	cĩ	SI
114	7	cl	S1 S1
	'		οL

A--6

.

A

PIER #7

35 12B C1 35 13 C3 36 2B C1 36 12 C1	36	2B	Concrete 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Condition
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------

PIER #7 (Continued)

Bent. <u>Number</u>	Pile Number	Condit <u>Concrete</u>	ion <u>Steel</u>
36	12B	Cl	
37	8	C4	
39	13	C3 C1 C1	
40	2	Cl	
40	2B	C1	
40 40	10 11	Cl	
40	12	C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1	
40	12B	cī	
40	13	Cl	
41	12	Cl	
41	12B	Cl	
41	13	00	S1
42 42	3 10		
42	10 12B		
50	12B	C2	S 1
52	10	C1 C2 C1 C1 C2	sī
52	12	Cl	Sl
54	13	C2	
55	5	C3	
55 55	12B	C3	
55 56	13 2B	C4	
56	10		
56	12B	C2	Sl
56	13	C2	
58	1	Cl	
58	10	Cl	
60	1	C3 C4 C1 C1 C2 C2 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C3 C2 C2 C2	Sl
60 62	13 3	CI	
62	13		
63	13	Č2	
64	2B	C	
64	13	C1	Sl
65	10	C4	
66	2B	C2	
67	1	C1	
67 67	2 2B	C1	
67	2B 3	C1 C1	
07	5		

A--8

T

~

PIER #7 (Continued)

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bent
67 10 C1 67 12B C2 67 13 C2 68 2 CO S1 68 11 C1 70 12B C2	
68 2 CO SI 68 11 C1 70 12B C2	
68 2 CO SI 68 11 C1 70 12B C2	
70 12B C2	68
70 12B C2 71 10 C1 71 11 C1 71 12 C2	68 70
71 11 C1 71 12 C2	
71 12 C2	71
72 1 Cl	71 72
72 2B C3	72
72 11 C3 72 12B C2 S1	72
72 12B C2 S1 74 2B C1	74
74 13 C3	74
79 6 C3 82 13 C2 S1	79 82
83 7 C3	83
87 7 C3 87 11 C3	
87 11 C3 88 1 C4	
88 12B CO S1	88
89 3 Cl 89 4 Cl	89 89
89 9 CL	89
91 12B C5 92 1 C3 S1	
92 1 C3 S1 93 4 C3	
95 3 Cl	95
95 10 C1 97 2B C4 S4	
98 2B C4 S4	98
100 13 CO S1 105 2B C1 S1	100
106 2B Cl Sl	
107 9 Cl	107
109 5 CO S1 110 9 CO S1	109
115 13 C3	

A-9

PIER #12

~

•

Bent Number	Pile <u>Number</u>	<u>Concrete</u>	Condition <u>Steel</u>
		Concrete Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl Cl	<u>Steel</u> Sl
67 67 68 68 68 69 70 71	13 16 21B 20 21B 1 21B 15	12222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 22	S1 S4

Bent		R #12 inueć) Condit	ion
Number	Number	Concrete	<u>Steel</u>
71	19	C2	
71	21B	C2 C1	
72 73	6 1	C1 C4	S4
73	21B	C2	04
74	21B	Cl	
75	1	C4	S 3
75 75	7 12	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	
75	17	C2 C3	
75	21B	C2	
76	9	Cl	Sl
76 76	10 11	C1 C1	51 S1
76	18	8	SI SI
77	1	C4	S 3
77	10	C4 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2	
77 77	12 20	C2	S2
77	23	C2	52 S3
78	1	C5 C1	S4
78	23	Cl	S 1
79 79	1	CI CI	
79	1 2 3		Sl
79	3B	čž	DI
79	4	Cl	
80 80	2 3B	C1	~1
80 80	3B 4		Sl
80	9	ā	Sl
80	10	C3	S2
80 81	23 8	C1	
81	。 18	22	
82	4	C4	
82	20	Cl	S 1
83 83	5 11	C2	
83	15	10881111111111111111111111111111111111	
83	21	<u>c</u> 2	
83	22	C2	
83	23	Cl	

A-11

1

PIER #12 (Continued)

Bent	Pile	Condit	ion
Number	Number	Concrete	<u>Steel</u>
84	1	C1 C1	
84	2	Cl	•
84	4	Cl	
84	17	C1	
84	23	C1	
85	5	C1	
85	7	Cl	
85	17	C2	S2
85	19	C2 C1	
86	2	Cl	
87	14	C3	
87	16	C2	S2
87	19	C	
88	3B	വ	
89	3	ω	S1
89	17	C3	
8 9	18	C2	
8 9	20	C3	
89	21	Cl	
89	23	cī	
90	17	C4	S2
			~~

A-12

PIER #20

Bent <u>Number</u> 1 1	Pile <u>Number</u> 2 3 4	Concrete Cl Cl Cl	Condition <u>Steel</u> Sl
1 1 2 3 12 15 18 19) 1 1 1 1	មិនពួលខ្ល	Sl
20 21 21 22 24	1 1 9 9 1	C5 C5 (missir C5 C5 C5	ng)
24 24 27 30 31	9 1 1 1 1 1 9 9 1 1 8 9 1 3 7 7	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	S 4
33 33 35 42 42	9 9B 1 9B	ដ ខ ខ ដ ខ ខ	Sl
43 44 45 45	9 9 9 9B	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	S1 S1
48 49 49	1 5 6	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	S1
50 51 52	1 1 1B	ដ ជ ព ដ ដ	Sl
55 56 58 59 59 60 62 63	1 9 4 6 9 7 1	ជបបលនិល្លភ្លេងក្នុងក្នុងក្នុងក្នុងក្នុងក្នុងក្នុងក្នុ	S1 S1

A-13

D

1

~ ~

-

PIER #20 (Continued)

Bent	Pile	Condit	ion
Number	Number	<u>Concrete</u>	<u>Steel</u>
64	7	C5	
65	1	C	
65	2	വ	
65	1 2 9 1 1	C4	S4
66	1	Cl	- 7
67	1	C4	Sl
67	4	CI	
68	1	C5	
68	18	C2	
68 68	6		
69	9	3	
71	9 1B	C C	
71	4	ä	
72	1	ä	
72	Îв	CI	
76	ī	ä	
76	8	មួនឧនុឧនឧនឧនឧនឧនឧនឧลลลอลอลอลอลอลอลอลอลอลอลอลอล	Sl
78	i	õ	S 3
78	8	õ	Sl
78	ġ	C3	
7 9	9 1	C4	S2
80	2	cl	S1
80	4	C	S1
80	8	C2	S 1
80	9 B	C3	
80	7	8	S 1
80	9	Cl	
81	1	Ø	S2
81	6	C4	
81	9	8	S1
82	8	Cl	
82	9	C5	
84	1	C4	Sl
90	9	C4	S 3

.....

1

. __

-

1

~ -

PIER #21

Bent	Pile	Condi	tion
Number	Number	Concre	te Steel
1 2 4 5 6 9 9	9 2 6 2 8 3 1 8 3 1 2 1 8 9 7 9 9 1 8 2 1	сэ сэ	
2	6	čš	
4	2	8	S3
5	8	с З	61
6	3	8	Sl
9	3 18	с с	
10	ĩ	ଞ	
10 13 17 17 18 18	2	C1	
17	IB	C3	S1 S4
17	9	0	54
18 18	9	C5	S4
19	ģ	Č5	S4
21	18	œ	S1
22	2	Cl	S1 S4
19 21 22 23 24 24 24 25	1	C5 (mi	54 5sing)
24 24	2	C4	001197
24	ĨB	C5	
25	1B	C5	S4
26 27	1	C5	
27	4	8	
27 29 29 29 29	2	ă	
29	3	C1	
29	1B	C	-1
29	7	œ	S1
30 30	1	3 8	S3
30	2	ã	
30	3	Cl	
30	4	C4	~
30	5	CL	S 3
31 31	2	ä	
31	9B	ā	
31 32	1 2 1B 1 4 9 2 3 1B 7 1 1B 2 3 4 5 1 2 9B 9 1	Cl	_*
32	1	8	53 53
32	4 1	ω α	55
34 35	1	ci	S 1
35	6	ជិជនននជាព្មជនជាមុខមួយទទួនភ្លាំងក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេសក្នុងភ្លេស ឆ្ល	

A-15

.

Ţ

PIER #21 (Continued)

Bent	Pile	Condit	
Number	Number	Concrete	Steel
35	9 2 1 2 1 2	8 8	
36	2	œ	S 3
38	1	CI	
38	2	C1	
42	1	C4	
42	2	œ	Sl
44	8	CI CI	
44	9B	CI	
44	9	CI CI	Sl
46	1B 1	CG CG	21
48	1 9B	3	Sl
50	9B 9	сц сц	SI SI
50 52	9		SI SI
52 54	9	C1 C1	D1
54 55	9 9B		
55	9		
55	1B	ួកឧនឧឧឧភន្ន (ដុំ) (ដុំ)	Sl
56	9	C5	U I
56	9B	ñ	Sl
57	9	õ	01
57	9B	C5 (miss	sing)
61	9	C5 (miss	sing)
62	9		57
62 67	i	cī	
67	1B	õ	Sl
68	1	õ	S1
68	18	80	Sl
68	2	ප (mis) ව ව හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ හ	S1
68	9	cı	
71	1		sing)
71	18	CI Ì	
71	9	8	S 1
72	1	C5 (miss	sing)
72	9	C5 (miss	sing)
73	1	C5 (miss	sing)
73	9	C5 (mis) C1 C1	sing)
74	3	C1	-
75	7	C1	
75	9	C5 (miss	sing)
76	9 1 9 3 7 9 9 2		sing)
77	2	C1	

A-16

. . .

- - - 1

PIER #21 (Continued)

,

Bent	Pile	Condi	tion
Number	Number	Concrete	Steel
77	7	C1	
77	9	C5 (mis	sing)
78	9 1 2	Cl	
79	2	Cl C3	
79	9	C5 (mis	sing)
81	lB	Cl	
82	1	Cl	
82	3	Cl	
82	9	C1	
83	18	8	S1
83	9B	C3	
84	2 2	Cl	
85	2	C1	
85 🖳	1B	C1	
85	7	œ	S 1
85	9	C1	
87	1	Cl	
87	1B	C3	S 1
87	7	C1	S 1
87	9	C1	
88	9	C1	
89	1	C3	
89	5	899999999999999999999	
8 9		C1	
90	7 3	C3	

A-17

7

PIER #5

Bent Number 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 81 83 84 85 86	Pile Number(s) 12,13 1,2 13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,13 12,14 12,15 12,15 12,15 12,15 12,15 12,15 12,15 12,15 12,15 12	Condition of Pile 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
86 87	2 12,13	C4 10' below waterline CO CO
88 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 95 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 103 105	12,13 1 2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 1,2 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 12,13 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2	C5 at top to 2' below waterline C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0

A-18

,

7

PIER #5 (Continued)

Bent Number	Pile Number(s)	Condition of Pile
106	1,2	8
107	12,13	8
108	1,2	8
109	12,13	8
110	1,2	œ
111	12,13	œ
112	1,2	œ
113	12,13	8
114	1,2	ω

A-19

PIER #7

Bent Number 4 10 14 28	Pile <u>Number(s)</u> 13 13 13 13	Condition of Pile CO CO CO CO
29	13	C5 0 - 5' (shattered core) C0 5' to bottom
32 34 36 38 40	13 13 13 13 12	CO CO w/small corner chip 3' CO CO Cl 0 - 5' CO 5' to bottom
42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 70 72	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	C1 0 - 5' C0 5' to bottom C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0
74 78 80 82 84 86 88 88 88	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 12 12,13	C3 0 - 5' C2 5 - 10' C0 10' to bottom C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0 C0

t

PIER #7 (Continued)

Bent <u>Number</u> 92	Pile <u>Number(s)</u> 12,13	Condition <u>of Pile</u> C3 0 - 10' C0 10' to bottom
94	12,13	00
98	12,13	00
99	1	ω
100	12,13	œ
101	1,2	00
103	12,13	8
103	1,2	ω
104	12,13	œ
105	1,2	ω
106	12,13	œ
107	1,2	œ
108	12,13	8
109	1,2	8
110	12,13	8
111	1,2	8
112	12,13	8
113	1,2	8
114	12,13	8
115	1	00

A-21

١

PIER #12

Bent Number 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 37 38 39 40	Pile Number(s) 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 23 1 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 23 23 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Condition of Pile CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO
40 43	1,2 23,22	8 8 8 8
44 48	1,2 1,2	00 00
51	1	C4 at top C0 below MLW
52 51	2 23,22	
52	1	C5 at top
52	2	CO below MLW CO

.

i

PIER #12 (Continued)

Bent 55 56 58 59 59 60 63 64 67 68 69 69 71 73	Pile Number(s) 23,22 1,2 22 23 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23,22 1,2 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2	Condition of Pile CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO
73 75	2 23,22	
78	1	C5 at top, no connection $C2 \text{ at } -5'$
78	2	00
79	23,22	õ
82	1,2	õ
83	23,22	õ
86	1,2	œ
87	23,22	8
89	23	∞
90	1,2	00
90	5,6	8
90	9,10	8
90	13,14	
90	17	C4 at top
90	18,19	CO below MLW CO
90	20	88
20	20	~~

T

PIER #20

Bent Number M 4 8 12	Pile m <u>ber(s)</u> 1 1 1	Condition of Pile CO*
16	1	
20 22	9B	
22 28	1 1 9 9 1	
31	9	
44	9	
46		
48	9 1	
50 52	1 8	
52	1	
56	9 1	
58	1	
62	9 9 1 8 1	
64 66	9	
68	8	
70	1	
72	9	
74 76	1	
76 78	3	
80	9 1 9 1 9	
82		
83]	,2	
83 9 87 1	9B,8 ,2	
	,9B,8	
89	1	
	,2	
	,9B	
	.,2 ,1B,2	
	,9B,8	

*Level II underwater inspection of piles listed in this table showed no signs of damage below the waterline. For evaluation of damage above the waterline consult Appendix - "Tabulated Summary of Observations - Level I Inspection - Pier #20."

PIER #21

Bent Number	Pile Number(s)	Condition of Pile
1	9	СЗ СС
27 29	9B 9	ឌ ខ ខ ខ ខ ខ ខ ខ ខ
31	9B	ů
33	9	ů m
35	9	C3 0 – 5'
	-	CO 5' to bottom
37	9	00
39	9	Cl 0 - 20'
		CO 20' to bottom
41	9	8 8 8 8
43	9	œ
45	9	8
48 53	9 9 1 9	
55	3	Cl 0 - 5' CO 5' to bottom
55	1	
57	î	ñ
57	9 _B	ñ
59	i	õ
63	1	õ
64	9	ω
67	9 1 9	ω
69		œ
71 73	9	888888888888888888888888888888888888888
73	1B	œ
75	8	8
81 81	1 9B	œ
83	9 9	80 80
85	3	ω e
87	1 1	88
89	- j	õ
90	9	õ

SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

T

BULKHEAD CEP-111

Station	Remarks
0+00	Sink hole; C4, S4
0+00 - 0+20	C2, C4, S4 at waterline (CO Level II)
0+20 - 0+30	C2 at waterline C4, S1
0+60	Level II CO to bottom
1+20	C4, S4, S1 top to waterline; Level II CO to bottom
1+30 - 1+80	C4, S4 surf to waterline; steel cable showing at waterline; Level II CO below surf to bottom
1+90 - 2+00	Top sheet C4, S4
2+15	Cl
2+30	Level II CO to bottom
2+40	C4
2+60	Surf C4, S4, S2
2+60 - 3+20	CO; barge on
3+20	Cl
3+30	Level II CO to bottom
3+70	Level II CO to bottom
3+ 9 0	Barge on
4+00 - 4+40	Cl
4 +6 0	Level II CO to bottom
4+80 - 4+90	Cl, C4
5+20	Level II C4 to half
5+70 - 5+80	Cl surface

SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

BULKHEAD CEP -111 (continued)

Station	Remarks
6+10	Level II CO
6+40 - 6+50	Surf C4
7+20	Level II Cl halfway to bottom
7+30 - 8+00	Barge
7+50 ~ 7+70	Top wall C4
7+70	C4, Cl
8+10 ~ 8+80	cl
8+10	Level II CO to bottom
8+20	Top cap C4
8+60	Top cap S4, C4, Cl
8+70	Top cap S4, C4, Cl
8+90 ~ 9+00	Top cap S4, C4, Cl
8+90	Level II CO
9+20 ~ 9+4 0	C3, S4
9+20	Level II CO
9+20 ~ 9+50	C3 at seams between stations
9+50	Level II CO
9+50	C4, S4
9+50 ~ 11+00	Ship
9+90	C4, S4 at top to waterline
10+20 - 10+50	Cl surf; some appear patched
11+00	Level II CO to bottom

SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

BULKHEAD CEP-111 (continued)

Station Remarks

11+00	C3, S	3 surf	anð	top	cap
-------	-------	--------	-----	-----	-----

- 11+20 Level II CO to bottom
- 11+30 11+40 C3 top cap
- 11+40 Level II CO to bottom
- Other notes: Five (5) foot panels; local personnel remarked that sink hole formation was very gradual and developed during rainy periods; six (6) to eight (8) foot visibility

