





		REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE		
a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	<u></u>		15. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
UNCLASSIFIED						يندور المحمد محيور الم
a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHO	DRITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	I/AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
D. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADIN	NG SCHEDU	.€	Approved distribu	t for pur tion is	unlimited	ase; 1
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPO	ORT NUMBER	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUMB	ER(S)
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZ	ATION	66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING OR	GANIZATION	···· <u>·</u> ·······························
Naval Postgraduate S	School	(ir applicable) 69	Naval Po	ostgradua	ate School	1
. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code	e)		7b. ADDRESS (CI	ty, State, and 2	(IP Code)	
Monterey, California	a 9394:	3-5000	Montere	y, Califo	ornia 9394	43-5000
a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	TINSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER
ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code			10. SOURCE OF		BERS	
			PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
			ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NU.	ALLESSION NO
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	JECTED	TO UNDEX ENI	D-ON LOADIN	1G		
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha Master's Thesis	JECTED ardt, S 36. TIME CO	TO UNDEX END Stephen Aller VERED	14. DATE OF REPO 14. DATE OF REPO 1986 Ma	RT (Year, Montarch	th, Day)- 15. PA	GE COUNT
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha 3a TYPE OF REPORT Master's Thesis 5 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	JECTED ardt, S 36. TIME CO	TO UNDEX END Stephen Aller VERED TO	14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Ma	RT (Year, Montarch	th, Day)- 15. PA	GE COUNT L 1 O
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha Master's Thesis Supplementary Notation	JECTED ardt, S 36. TIME CO FROM	ASTIC RESPONS TO UNDEX END Stephen Aller VERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS (14 DATE OF REPO 14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Ma	RT (Year, Montarch	th, Day). 15. PA	GE COUNT L 1 0 Nock number)
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha a type of Report faster's Thesis SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-C	GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS (ELSHOK	14 DATE OF REPO 14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Ma	RT (Year, Montarch	th, Day). 15. PAI	GE COUNT L10 Nock number)
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha a type of REPORT Iaster's Thesis SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-C ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if	GROUP	18. SUBJECT TERMS (ELSHOK End-On Lo	A Continue on revers ad humber)	RT (Year, Montarch	th, Day). 15. PAI	GE COUNT L10 Nock number)
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha 3a TYPE OF REPORT Master'S Thesis 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	JECTED ardt, S 3b. TIME CO FROM GROUP ack of ly vulr ern. 2 hich co e of at of inte ing a co ck test arge co compute e to ur invest	18. SUBJECT TERMS (ELSHOK End-On Lo and identify by block Iongitudinal herable to un Accordian-lib buld cause dy tached subst enal equipme charge in a f t vehicle (SS omputers and er codes have derwater sho	Continue on revers ad Continue on revers continue on revers ad continue on revers continue	e if necessary a e if necessary a e splosion cions of lification in unde nteraction developm the inco developm the EI fect of	submarine submarine s directl the hull on in the erwater sh on is guration w reasing hent of nu oredict SHOK (ELZ hull/subs	GE COUNT L10 Nock number) e hulls, ly are nock with umerical ASTIC structure
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha 3a TYPE OF REPORT Master'S Thesis 5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	GROUP GR	18. SUBJECT TERMS (ELSHOK ELSHOK End-On Lo and identify by block Iongitudinal herable to un Accordian-lib buld cause dy tached subst enal equipme charge in a f c vehicle (SS omputers and er codes have derwater sho cigation stud	Continue on revers ad Continue on revers continue on rev	e if necessary a e if necessary a e if necessary a explosion tification in unde interaction the inco developm the inco developm the EI fect of curity classified	submarine submarine submarine s directl the hull on in the erwater sh on is guration w reasing hent of nu oredict SHOK (ELZ hull/subs	GE COUNT L10 Nock number) e hulls, ly are hock with hmerical ASTIC structure
A PARAMETRIC STUDY EQUIPMENT WHEN SUB. 2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Weinha 3a TYPE OF REPORT Master'S Thesis 5 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 7 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-C 9 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if Due to the la they are inherenti off the bow or ste set into motion wh transient response acceptance tests of created by explodi the submerged shood availability of la methods, several of equipment response SHOCK) code, this 0. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF A SHOCK) code, this 0. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF A DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED	GROUP GR	<pre>IS SUBJECT TERMS (ELSHOK End-On Lo. Ind identify by block i ind identify by block i ind identify by block i ind identify by block i ind identify by block i indicated in a logical indicated substition indicated sub</pre>	Continue on revers ad Continue on revers continue on revers con	And along arch arch arch arch arch arch arch arch	submarine submarine s directl the hull on in the erwater sh on is guration w creasing hent of nu oredict SHOK (ELZ hull/subs FICATION	GE COUNT 110 Nock number) e hulls, ly are nock with umerical ASTIC structure SYMBOL Sq

1. 10 million (1997)

12226255

222220

いたいでもの

19. (Continued)

interaction on stiffened shell response at resonance following an end-on load. The transiet response of the coupled shell/substructure system from tapered and conventional charges of equivalent impulse is examined in this study.

-

Acces	ssion For							
NTIS	GRA&I	1 M						
DTIC	TAB	f						
Unanr	nounced							
Justi	ification_							
Bu								
Distr	Dy Distribution/							
Avai	llability	Codes						
	Avail an	d/or						
Dist	Specia	1						
ł								
111								
17								

N. 1226 LF6 2146 5621

2 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

A Parametric Study of Elastic Responses of Submarine-Installed Equipment When Subjected to UNDEX End-On Loading

by

Stephen Allen Weinhardt Lieutenant Commander, United States Navy B.S., Purdue University, 1975

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1986

Author: nhardt fenhen Approved by: Thesis Advisor in. econd Reader New on. Paul rto, Chairman, Departm Mechanical Engineering Chairman, Department of Marto Diver John N. Dyer, Dean of Science and Engineering

ABSTRACT

があたかです。

Due to the lack of longitudinal stiffening along submarine hulls, they are inherently vulnerable to underwater explosions directly off the bow or stern. Accordion-like deformations of the hull are set into motion which could cause dynamic amplification in the transient response of attached substructures. In underwater shock acceptance tests of internal equipment, this interaction is created by exploding a charge in a fore and aft configuration with the submerged shock test vehicle (SSTV). With the increasing availability of large computers and the rapid development of numerical methods, several computer codes have been written to predict equipment response to underwater shocks. Using the ELSHOK (ELASTIC SHOCK) code, this investigation studies) the effect of hull/substructure interaction on stiffened shell response at resonance following an end-on load. The transient response of the coupled shell/substructure system from tapered and conventional charges of equivalent impulse is examined in Kennels, Enning shork lands. this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

A. 1022021 53503

1.16

I.	INT	RODU	CTIO	N	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	12
	A.	BAC	KGRO	UND	•	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
	в.	PURI	POSE	FO	RĨ	HIS	S I	NVI	esi	71 0	SAT	10	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	14
II.	EXP	LOSIV	VE S	HOC	K F	RES	PON	SE	US	511	IG	EL	SH	IOF	ζ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	17
	A.	GENI	ERAL	PR	INC	IP	LES	01	FC	OPE	RA	TI	ON	I	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	17
	в.	ORG	ANIZ	ATI	ON	ANI	0 0	PEI	RAJ	717	N	OF	E	LS	нс	K	•	٠	•	•	•	•	18
		1.	Pha	se	I	She	≥ 11	ar	nd	F1	ui	đ	An	al	ys	is	5	٠	•	•	•	•	20
		2.	Pha	se	II-	-Sı	ıbs	tri	uct	tur	e	An	al	ys	is	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
		3.	Pha	se :	III	8	Sub	meı	cge	eđ	Sh	00	k	Re	sp	01	ise	2	•	•	•	•	22
-		4.	Pha	sè	IV-	-P]	Lot	s	οf	Ve	210	ci	ty	′-T	'nπ	ne							~ 4
			His	tor	les		• •	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
III.	MOD	EL US	SED	IN	THE	A I	NAL	YS	IS	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
	A.	SHEI	LL M	ODE	L	•	• .•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	25
	в.	SUBS	STRU	CTU	RE	MOI	DEL	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
IV.	ANA	LYSIS	5.	••	٠	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34
	A.	ANAI	LYSI	S PI	ROC	ED	JRE	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	34
v.	RES	ULTS	•	••	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	41
	A.	VELO	OCIT	Y-T	IME	: н	I ST	ORY	r F	RES	PO	NS	E-	- E	MP	ΥT	s s	HE	LL	1	•	•	41
	в.	VELO	CIT	Y - T	IME	н	(ST	ORY	(F	RES	PO	NS	E –	co	UP	LE	D						
		SHEI	L/S	UBS	rru	CTU	JRE	S	เรา	TEM		•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	55
VI.	CON	CLUS	ONS	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	87
LIST	OF	REFEI	RENCI	ES	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	91
APPEN	NDIX	Α:	PRO	GRAN D F	M U ILE	SEC S 1	т (0	O C FOF	CON	IV E AT	RT AC	P CE	US PT	LO AB	B LE	PU E	INC BY	н					0.2
			EAS	X H L (J.L	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	93

APPENDIX B:	TYP	ICAL ELSHOP	K INPUT	CODI	ES	-SH	ELI	L A	ND				
	SUB	STRUCTURE	• • • •	••	•	••	•	•	•	•	٠	•	. 95
	1.	BOSOR4 INE	PUT DATA	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	٠	•	. 95
	2.	ACESNID IN	NPUT DAT	Α.	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 99
	3.	PIFLASH IN	NPUT DAT	А.	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	.100
	4.	SAPIV INPU	JT DATA	•••	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	.101
	5.	PICRUST IN	NPUT DAT	Α.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.103
	6.	USLOB INPO	JT DATA	•••	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	.104
	7.	PUSLOB IN	PUT DATA	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	.106
INITIAL DIST	RIBU	TION LIST		• •	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	.108

1 m

LIST OF TABLES

III X X X X X

Q = CZZZZQ = CZZZZZQ = K

I.	MODES AND NATURAL FREQUENCIES OF SHELL
II.	FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCIES OF DIAPHRAGM SUBSTRUCTURE
III.	MAXIMUM VELOCITY RESPONSE OF DIAPHRAGM SUBSTRUCTURE WITH VARYING THICKNESS (TAPER CHARGE)
IV.	MAXIMUM VELOCITY RESPONSE OF DIAPHRAGM SUBSTRUCTURE WITH VARYING THICKNESS (CONVENTIONAL CHARGE)

Caracian Security

فككششين

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Organization of the ELSHOK Computer Code 19	9
2.	Nodal Patterns for Cylindrical Shells 2	l
3.	Ring Stiffened Cylindrical Shell Used in Analysis	5
4.	Typical Shell-Substructure Configuration 28	3
5.	Finite Element Model of Diaphragm	3
6.	Incident Pressure-Time HistoryTaper Charge 36	5
7.	Incident Pressure-Time HistoryConventional Charge	5
8.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) FWD Endplate Center	2
9.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Zero	3
10.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Five	ł
11.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Nine	5
12.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Thirteen	5
13.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Eighteen	,
14.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Twenty-Three	1
15.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Twenty-Seven)
16.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Thirty-Two	}
17.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Thirty-Six	_

18.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Forty
19.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) Frame Forty-Five
20.	Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) AFT Endplate Center
21.	Diaphragm Maximum Velocity vs. Normalized Frequency
22.	Two Degree of Freedom System
23.	2-DOF System First Modal Frequency vs. Stiffness Ratio For Various Mass Ratios 60
24.	Energy Exchange Between Shell and Diaphragm (Taper Charge)
25.	Energy Exchange Between Shell and Diaphragm (Conventional Charge) 64
26.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)FWD Endplate Center 65
27.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Zero 66
28.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Five 67
29.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Nine
30.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Diaphragm Center 69
31.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Thirteen
32.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Eighteen
33.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Twenty-Three
34.	Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case)Frame Twenty-Seven

10.00

やいたんへん

いいというで

KARAN BUNNAN KARADA KARANA K

22.24 × 32.22.22.24

35.	Velocity-Time History Response Case)Frame Thirty-Two	(Resonant	• • •	• •	•	74
36.	Velocity-Time History Response Case)Frame Thirty-Six	(Resonant			•	75
37.	Velocity-Time History Response Case)Frame Forty	(Resonant			•	76
38.	Velocity-Time History Response Case)Frame Forty-Five	(Resonant			•	77
39.	Velocity-Time History Response Case)AFT Endplate Center	(Resonant		•••	•	78
40.	Comparison of Empty vs. Resonar (Taper Charge)Forward Endplat	t Shell Res e Center	sponse	• •	•	80
41.	Comparison of Empty vs. Resonar (Taper Charge)Frame Nine	t Shell Res	sponse		•	81
42.	Comparison of Empty vs. Resonar (Taper Charge)AFT Endplate Ce	t Shell Res nter	sponse		•	82
43.	Comparison of Empty vs. Resonan (Conventional Charge)Forward	t Shell Res Endplate Ce	sponse enter	• •	•	83
44.	Comparison of Empty vs. Resonan (Conventional Charge)Frame Ni	t Shell Res ne	ponse		•	84
45.	Comparison of Empty vs. Resonan (Conventional Charge)AFT Endp	t Shell Res late Center	ponse		•	85

and have a second and have a second and a second

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Professor Young S. Shin for his patience and support during the course of this thesis. The thorough technical guidance from Dr. David Ranlet of Weidlinger Associates greatly assisted in the formulation and execution of this study. Special thanks to Professor Robert Newton for his detailed review of this paper.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

Submarines are inherently vulnerable to explosions detonated directly off the bow or stern. While they have adequate protection against side loads with transverse bulkheads and frames, there is little longitudinal protection along the hull. As a result of this, they are susceptible to accordion-like deformations of the hull upon impact from end-on loads. It is possible that the frequency of the hull motions could excite internal equipment into resonance thereby causing unacceptable damage. This investigation examines the coupled elastic response of a shell/substructure system when subjected to an end-on underwater explosion (UNDEX) as the mass and stiffness of the internal substructure is varied.

The current specifications for conducting underwater shock tests on submarine-installed equipment are contained in MIL-S-901D [Ref. 1]. This document specifies the explosive charge weight and geometry of the test, as well as the mounting and orientation of the equipment being tested within the submerged shock test vehicle (SSTV). The SSTV is nothing more than a ring-stiffened cylindrical shell with circular endplates designed to simulate the hull motions

created by an underwater shock. Recognizing the potential for unacceptable damage to hull mounted equipment from an end-on load, the specification requires the first of four UNDEX's to be performed in a fore and aft configuration. The suitability of an equipment design or installation is evaluated according to its ability to function as intended during and after each shock impulse. Equipment tests of this nature are quite expensive and require a great deal of preparation. It is desirable for the designer to have some idea of what the transient response of the hull and equipment will be prior to the actual UNDEX.

EXERCICE RECEIPTER AND TRACESSON ADDRESSON ADDRESSON RECEIPTER RELATIONS REPORTS

The development of analytical methods and computer codes for the analysis of the reaction of submerged structures to underwater explosions has enabled the engineer to simulate the shock response of submarines and equipment with increasing accuracy. Finite-element/finite difference methods allow for the analysis of structure and fluid responses, and the work of Geers [Ref. 2] provides a means to incorporate fluid-structure interaction effects. Several computer codes currently exist which utilize these principles to analyze installed equipment response to shock waves. One of them is the ELSHOK (<u>ELASTIC SHOCK</u>) code developed by Weidlinger Associates, under the sponsorship of the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA) and the Office of Naval Research (ONR). This code was developed to investigate

modern submarine underwater explosive shock response in conjunction with a testing program using small to large scale models and shaped or tapered explosive charges. The accuracy of ELSHOK has been validated in several highly controlled tests, notably the 1983 low level explosive test of an SSN 668 class submarine. The code was made available to the Naval Postgraduate School by the DNA with support from Weidlinger Associates. It was first used at the school by LT Mark Welch, USN, in his study comparing the shock response predictions of ELSHOK with those obtained using the Dynamic Design Analysis Method (DDAM) [Ref. 3].

B. PURPOSE FOR THIS INVESTIGATION

and think of

An explosive detonated underwater exerts a great amount of pressure on the surrounding fluid. The water is actually compressed by the force of the explosion causing a shock wave to form at the point of detonation which propagates in a roughly spherical shape at the speed of sound in water. When the pressure pulse impinges on a submarine, dynamic responses result in the hull from the fluid-structure interaction. The submarine experiences translational motion away from the point of impact. The velocity of this motion is of particular interest to a designer of submarines or weapons.

In an explosion with a side-on aspect with the submarine, the shock wave hits the hull in the middle before

it hits the ends due to its spherical shape. This causes the middle to bow away from the point of impact while the ends remain initially fixed. The resulting motion is called "whipping" as the hull bends back and forth about the middle. With an explosion off the bow or stern, the shock wave hits the submarine as a plane wave due to the small cross-sectional area at the point of impact. Since the force of the shock wave is axisymmetric about the centerline of the submarine, no whipping motion is induced in the hull. The inertial forces are transmitted down the hull until they reach the stern. The stern reacts violently to the combined inertial forces resulting from the explosion as well as the reflected pressure pulses from the water displaced by the translational motion of the submarine. After the initial transient response, the hull settles into an "accordion mode" about the middle where the motion of the bow opposes that of the stern.

The purpose of this investigation is to examine the shell/substructure interaction when subjected to UNDEX endon loading using the ELSHOK code. The hull used in this study is a ring-stiffened cylindrical shell with endplates similar in shape to those used as SSTV's. The internal equipment or substructure is a diaphragm attached to the shell whose thickness is increased in order to study how the mass ratio between substructure and shell affects the

coupled transient response. The dynamic amplification of the response of the substructure at resonance is examined for both taper and conventional charges of equivalent impulse.

II. EXPLOSIVE SHOCK RESPONSE USING ELSHOK

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF OPERATION

The ELSHOK computer code consists of a family of programs developed to calculate the transient response of a submerged, ring-stiffened shell of revolution of finite length to an underwater shock wave emanating from an explosive source located at an arbitrary point away from the structure [Ref. 4]. The shell is assumed to be linearly elastic, with or without internal substructures, and the surrounding fluid is treated as an infinite acoustic medium. Component modal analysis is employed in all phases of the calculations. The complete structural system is considered to consist of the ring-stiffened shell and any attached substructures. The vibration modes of each component are calculated separately, and the equations of motion for the entire system are obtained by enforcing compatibility of deformation at the points of attachment. The free-free modes of the empty ring-stiffened shell and the fixed-base modes of each individual substructure are coupled through the use of dynamic boundary conditions [Refs. 5, 6]. This eliminates the need to calculate the combined modes and natural frequencies of the entire system as well as the requirement for a combined system stiffness matrix.

The structure-fluid interaction is approximated in ELSHOK using the Doubly Asymptotic Approximation (DAA) methods of Geers [Ref. 2], expressed in terms of functions which are orthogonal over the wet surface of the submerged shell. By matching exact pressure-velocity relations at zero and infinite frequencies, the elements of the matrices in the resulting DAA are obtained. In transient problems, the DAA yields exact solutions at early and late times providing a smooth transition between these two limits. By accounting for the effects of the fluid with quantities defined solely on the wet surface of the shell, the DAA essentially uncouples the fluid field from the structural field.

B. ORGANIZATION AND OPERATION OF ELSHOK

As stated previously, the ELSHOK code contains a group of computer programs which are utilized in order to obtain velocity-time histories for various locations in the shellsubstructure system. The programs, in order of execution, are:

- 1) BOSOR4--structural analyzer for shell [Ref. 7]
- 2) ACESNID--virtual mass processor
- 3) PIFLASH--shell-fluid processor
- 4) SAPIV--structural analyzer for substructure [Ref. 8]
- 5) PICRUST--substructure processor
- 6) USLOB--time integration processor



PERSONAL PROPERTY STREETS STORED STREETS

Increased and the second se

4 Organization of the ELSHOK Computer Code [Ref. Figure 1. 20.5

7) PUSLOB--plotting processor

Figure 1 illustrates the general relationship between the programs which make up the ELSHOK computer code. As can be seen, the operation is divided into four phases.

1. Phase I--Shell and Fluid Analysis

The first step of the ELSHOK calculation is to determine the in-vacuo free-free modes and natural frequencies of the shell. This is accomplished by modeling the shell using the BOSOR4 finite difference code. This code applies to segmented, ring-stiffened, branched shells of revolution having various meridional geometries, wall constructions, and ring reinforcements making it well suited for the modeling of submarines or SSTV's. For compatibility with ELSHOK, a separate BOSOR4 calculation must be performed for each circumferential harmonic (N) included in the analysis. Examples of different harmonics in the circumferential distributions include N = 0 (breathing/torsional) and N = 1 (pure translation/whipping) as shown in Figure 2.

The second part of Phase I is the computation of the virtual mass array using ACESNID. This provides the late-time contribution of the DAA. The virtual mass array is determined from the solution, based on simple sources of a low-frequency steady-state problem in which normal displacements corresponding to surface expansion functions are applied to the surface of revolution in the infinite







fluid having the same shape and size as the net surface of the shell. ACESNID requires only one execution for all values of N considered.

The execution of PIFLASH completes Phase I by combining the outputs from BOSOR4 and ACESNID to create a "shell-fluid file" which contains all the information required to describe the shell during subsequent operations. One of the useful features of ELSHOK is the fact that any number of substructures can be analyzed for a given shell without having to repeat Phase I calculations.

2. Phase II--Substructure Analysis

The analysis of the substructure is performed using the SAPIV finite element code. The SAPIV code contains a variety of finite elements (plates, beams, pipes, etc.) which can be used in the modeling process. It is a general purpose code based upon a lumped mass formulation applying to linearly elastic structures. The PICRUST code takes data from SAPIV and reorganizes it to facilitate the solution of the equations governing the transient response problem under study. It is during the execution of PICRUST that the connectivity between the substructure and the shell is accounted for and influence coefficients for evaluating the forces developed at the points of attachment are calculated.

3. Phase III--Submerged Shock Response

In order to prepare a combined shell-substructure input for the USLOB time integration processor, the

shell-fluid file from Phase I is merged with the substructure files from Phase II. USLOB is the portion of the ELSHOK code which contains the underwater shock from an arbitrary point. It allows pressure-time history inputs for taper charge modeling or an exponentially decaying pressure impulse such as those encountered with conventional charges. It is of the form [Ref. 9]:

$$P(t) = K_1 (w^{1/3}/R)^{K_2} \exp(-t/\theta_0)$$
 (1)

where:

P(t) = incident pressure on the shell (psi) = multiplicative constant for incident pressure K₁ = spatial decay constant for incident pressure K2 = time after arrival of shock wave at point of t interest (msec) = weight of spherical charge (1b.) = $K_2 w^{1/3} (w^{1/3}/R)^{K_4}$ = time constant of exponential θ0 decay (msec) Ka = multiplicative constant for time constant = spatial decay constant for time constant ΚA = distance from the explosive to the point of R interest (ft)

 K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 are constants which depend on explosive type. USLOB employs a modified version of the Runge-Kutta integration method to produce velocity-time histories in tabular form at user specified points on the shell and the substructure.

4. Phase IV -- Plots of Velocity-Time Histories

After the velocity-time histories have been obtained, PUSLOB is utilized to display the velocity responses in a plotted format on a TEKTRONIX graphics terminal. These plots are adequate for viewing trends and general responses, however for formal display purposes, the IBM Versatec plotter yields a superior product. Appendix A contains a code which was written to convert the velocity punch-card files created by PUSLOB into a data format which is acceptable for Versatic plotting using the EASYPLOT program.

III. MODEL USED IN THE ANALYSIS

A. SHELL MODEL

のないというというであるというない

The shell used in this analysis is a small-scale model of a typical SSTV used for conducting underwater shock tests on high-impact shipboard machinery. As can be seen in Figure 3, it is a high strength steel free-free ring stiffened cylinder with aluminum endplates. Appendix B includes a sample BOSOR4 input for one of the circumferential harmonic distributions. Data can be input to BOSOR4 using a formatted input code or by responding interactively to a set of prompts. Each segment is modeled separately which allows for different materials and physical properties to be included in the structure. The small stiffeners along the shell are represented by an orthotropic approximation which in effect increases the density of the shell. The six discrete rings are modeled individually, allowing for different size or material. The torsional rigidity (GJ) for each ring is found using the following relation:

$$GJ = EJ/2(1 + v)$$

(2)

where:

E = modulus of elasticity (psi)

Ring Stiffened Cylindrical Shell Used in Analysis Figure 3.



ANALYER REPORT RAPPART 23620060 (2000)

~

 $J = A^{4}/(40 I_{p}) = torsional rigidity constant [Ref. 10]$ v = Poisson's ratio

Since the shell is a surface of revolution, the properties of each ring cross-section need only be specified at one point. The end plates (segments one and three) are divided into ten equally spaced nodes, and the cylindrical portion of the shell (segment two) is divided into fortyfive nodes along the longitudinal axis. As with any finite difference code, greater accuracy will be obtained in the solution with higher numbers of nodes, however, computational time will increase. Two additional nodes are automatically inserted by BOSOR4 into each segment in order to reduce the truncation errors associated with segment interfaces and to prevent spurious vibration or buckling modes. Through finite difference techniques, BOSOR4 determines the in-vacuo free-free modes and natural frequencies of the shell. Since this study deals strictly with end-on loading, the problem is axisymmetric about the longitudinal axis. Although only the N = 0 (breathing/ torsional) modes are activated, a few N = 1 (translational) models must be calculated and retained due to the fact that the ELSHOK computer code was developed for side-on loading and, therefore, requires a translational input. Since there is no translation, the solution is not affected by these superfluous modes. BOSOR4 generates a shell file which

4 Typical Shell-Substructure Configuration [Ref. Figure 4.



gives the mode shapes for each natural frequency. All breathing modes have been retained for further study, however, the torsional modes have been dropped from the analysis since they are not activated by an end-on load. Table I contains a listing of modes and natural frequencies retained for input into the PIFLASH program.

222222

Figure 4 gives a representation of a typical shellsubstructure configuration. The two coordinate systems used in an ELSHOK analysis are illustrated. X, Y, and Z refer to the global coordinate system of the shell, while x, y, and z refer to the local coordinate system of the substructure. In both systems, the x-axes run longitudinally down the hull. The substructure z-axis is related to the global Z-axis by an angle α_{σ} where σ refers to the substructure. Points on the wet surface of the shell are located by specifying the meridional arc length s, measured along the reference surface, and the circumferential coordinate angle θ. The lower case u, v, and w are used for local shell displacements. To eliminate torsional modes from consideration, all N = 0 modes in the shell file with significant v-displacements are discarded.

Once the shell files have been obtained from BOSOR4, the input code for ACESNID can be created. This program determines the virtual mass array which produces the latetime contribution of the DAA. It considers a cavity in an

TABLE :	I
---------	---

1×1×1×1

XXXXXXIII XXXXXXIII XXXXXX

MODES AND NATURAL FREQUENCIES OF SHELL

MODE	N	FREQUENCY (HZ)
2	0	1.259E-03
4	0	2.239E+02
6	0	3.850E+02
7	0	4.761E+02
9	0	5.935E+02
12	0	7.826E+02
13	0	9.369E+02
14	0	1.048E+03
19	0	1.584E+03
20	• 0	1.585E+03
21	0	1.596E+03
22	0	1.598E+03
23	0	1.656E+03
25	0	1.791E+03
26	0	1.818E+03
27	0	1.856E+03
28	0	1.878E+03
29	0	1.890E+03
30	0	1.898E+03
1	1	1.390E-04
2	1	6.321E+01

infinite acoustic fluid corresponding to the wet surface of the shell. A user specified number of surface expansion functions having the property of orthogonality over the wet surface of the shell are obtained during the calculation of the virtual or entrained mass. The cavity is divided into bards which describe the behavior of the fluid. A sufficient number of bands must be provided to enable the fluid response to match the normal motion specified by the surface expansion functions. In a sense, they can be looked upon as nodes in a finite element model. In this analysis, the shell is assumed to be totally immersed in salt water with a mass density of 9.59684E-05 $lbf-s^2/in^4$. The endplates are divided into fifteen bands each, and the cylinder has fifty-one bands. Six surface expansion functions have been generated for the endplates with ten along the cylinder.

The shell mode files from BOSOR4 and the virtual mass file from ACESNID are combined in the PIFLASH program to produce a shell-fluid file for further processing. The model of the shell is now complete and can be used for any variety of substructures without any recalculation.

B. SUBSTRUCTURE MODEL

The idealized internal equipment selected for study in this analysis is a high strength steel diaphragm or plate located at the discrete ring at frame nine. The SAPIV

finite element code is utilized to model the diaphragm. The diaphragm has been divided into eight "pie-shaped" wedges which are further subdivided into three sections. Twentyfour plate elements are used in this model with twenty-five nodal points. Since this study is concerned with end-on loading, the node at the center of the plate is constrained to move only in the x-direction with no rotations. The outer nodes (eighteen through twenty-five) are rigidly attached to the shell. This is accomplished through a modification of the general purpose SAPIV code by the developers of ELSHOK. During the parametric study, the diaphragm thickness is uniformly varied from .25 inches to 9.25 inches. Figure 5 is a TEKTRONIX representation of the finite element model of the substructure. Appendix B includes one of the SAPIV input codes used during the parametric study.



..

Figure 5. Finite Element Model of Diaphragm
IV. ANALYSIS

The purpose of this analysis is to study the shell/ substructure interaction after the system is subjected to an end-on load while varying the mass and stiffness of the internal substructure. Two different types of loading are explored: a taper charge and a conventional charge. Taper charges are characterized by sustained incident pressure on a body over a period of time. They are used in underwater shock tests to simulate the type of pressure profile which a body would experience from a nuclear detonation. Extensive use of scaling is involved in efforts to reproduce the physical behavior of an object in response to a nuclear charge without generating the extremely high pressures associated with actual detonations. Conventional charges create a large initial pressure pulse followed by a rapidly decaying exponential. ELSHOK uses inputs of charge weight and standoff geometry to calculate the transient velocities of the shell and substructure in response to these loads.

A. ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Once the shell model has been produced, a series of SAPIV runs are conducted for the diaphragms of increasing thickness. Each run generates natural frequencies and accompanying mode shapes for each model. The PICRUST

program reduces the data obtained through SAPIV into a format which can be combined with the shell-fluid file for processing with the USLOB code. The substructure is connected to the shell during this phase of the ELSHOK analysis. The diaphragm is attached to shell segment two at frame nine (node eleven).

The weight, type, and location of the charge is specified in the USLOB input code. To set up an end-on load referenced to the global coordinate system, the charges are placed in the negative X-direction at a distance of 70 feet (840 inches). This distance is typical of stand-off distances used during actual tests. For the taper charge, a typical incident pressure-time history shown in Figure 6 is used to simulate the shock wave loading. In order to make a meaningful comparison between the structural responses from a taper charge and a conventional charge, it is necessary that they have equivalent shock wave impulses. In the field of underwater shock analysis, "impulse" refers to the timeintegral of the pressure profile [Ref. 9]. The impulse of unit area of the shock wave front up to a time t after its arrival is given by:

$$I(t) = \int_0^t P(t) dt$$
 (3)

The impulse of the taper charge is found by calculating the area under the pressure-time history in Figure 6. As was



t





Figure 7. Incident Pressure-Time History--Conventional Charge

stated earlier, a conventional charge follows a pressuretime history given by equation (1):

$$P(t) = K_1 (w^{1/3}/R)^{K_2} \exp(-t/\theta_0)$$
(1)

where:

$$\theta_{0} = K_{3} w^{1/3} (w^{1/3}/R)^{K_{4}} = time constant of exponential decay (msec)$$

HBX-1 is a popular explosive used in underwater shock tests, so it was decided to use it in this study for the conventional charge. K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , and K_4 are constants which depend on the type of explosive used. The values used in this study are [Ref. 11]:

> $K_1 = 3.8354314E+05$ $K_2 = 1.144$ $K_3 = 3.03131E-05$ $K_4 = -.247$

By matching the impulses between the taper charge and the conventional charge, a charge weight of 352 lbs of HBX-1 is found to yield an equivalent impulse. The peak pressure generated by the charge is 1620 psi which creates the incident pressure-time history shown in Figure 7. The conventional charge expends most of its impulse in the first

millisecond, while the taper charge takes over four milliseconds to expend an equivalent amount of impulse. Because of the sharp rise in pressure experienced from a conventional charge, a higher transient response is developed in the shell than with a taper charge of equivalent impulse.

After selecting suitable charges, the time step increment and integration limits are specified in the USLOB input code. Through a trial and error process, enough time steps are chosen to identify the significant interactions between the shell and substructure. It was found that 1600 time steps for an 80 msec time period gave an adequate illustration of the system response. The USLOB program enables the user to examine the velocity-time history response of various nodes in tabular form. This gives some indication of whether the run was successful, however, the plots from PUSLOB are required before response characteristics can be identified.

To obtain a baseline velocity-time history, a complete analysis is performed on the submerged empty stiffened shell. From the velocity-time history plots, the dominant frequency from the computed shock response is observed. Provided the mass of the diaphragm remained small with respect to the shell, it was felt that this provided a good estimation of the excitation frequency for the diaphragm. The dominant frequency is 187.80 Hz for the taper charge and

195.44 Hz for the conventional charge. A series of SAPIV calculations are conducted on diaphragms of varying thickness in order to identify the fundamental frequency of each substructure. These values are given in Table II. An analysis is performed on the shell/substructure system for each diaphragm thickness to observe the coupled velocitytime history response as the substructure mass grows in relation to that of the shell.

and a second because the second second

TABLE II

ANAL DESCRIPTION

FUNDAMENTAL FREQUENCIES OF DIAPHRAGM SUBSTRUCTURE

EXCER REPORT REPORT MANAGEMENT REPORTER ENVIRONMENT

Sec. Sec.

Thickness (In)	Frequency (Hz)
.25	43.66
.50	87.31
.75	130.97
1.00	174.59
1.05	183.35
1.06	185.10
1.07	186.85
1.10	192.10
1.15	200.85
1.25	218.20
2.25	392.95
3.25	567.55
4.25	742.14
5.25	916.73
6.25	1091.33
7.25	1265.92
8.25	1440.51
9.25	1615.42

V. RESULTS

The velocity time-history response begins at time zero when the incident pressure wave from the underwater explosion strikes the shell. With end-on loading, the forward endplate receives the initial contact with velocity indicated along the longitudinal axis. The empty shell response is analyzed first, followed by a discussion of the coupled effects when the diaphragm achieves resonance.

A. VELOCITY-TIME HISTORY RESPONSE--EMPTY SHELL

The empty shell velocity-time history responses for the conventional and taper charges are contained in Figures 8 through 20. It can be seen that the conventional charge causes a much greater transient response in the shell. In both cases, the velocity is rapidly damped by interaction between the shell and the infinite fluid medium. It is interesting to observe the differences in response between the various locations on the shell. The forward endplate is perpendicular to the shock wave, receiving the full force from the incident pressure pulse. Inertial forces are generated by the endplate causing severe local deformation in the nearest nodes on the cylinder due to the rigid coupling between segments. The motion propagates down the shell along the longitudinal axis until it reaches the aft





N N



20.02.00





本学师学"。





Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) --Frame Nine

Figure 11.



Lange Street

VELOCITY RESPONSE - EMPTY SHELL segment two - node fifteen

S

233727252

A CALENDARY STRUCTURE STRUCTURE



Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) --Frame Thirteen Figure 12.

ኯፚ፟ኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፚኯፘኯ

2020 - 1 - 2000

in the second se



のないのないない

アンシン

þ



1.2.2.2.2.5. (1.3.2.2.2.2.2.1.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.1)

シン



Velocity-Time History Response (Empty Shell) -- Frame Twenty-Three Figure 14.





VELOCITY RESPONSE - EMPTY SHELL SEGMENT TWO - TWENTY EIGHT

2.2.2

EN TIME

Market Control

-





Control in Articles Incontraction in Marcheller

















VELOCITY RESPONSE - EMPTY SHELL segment two - node forty six

Provident and the open of the second se



znezel szereszel teoretene beszerel bezőzése tereszése

10



(Empty Shell) -- AFT Endplate Center Velocity-Time History Response Figure 20. endplate over a millisecond after initial shock wave contact. At first the aft endplate moves in the negative X-direction as the "ripple" proceeds down the structure. Then it experiences a violent transient response as it is affected not only by the inertial forces from the shell, but by the reflected pressure pulses from the water it displaces. After the initial shock, the shell settles into an "accordion" effect, expanding and contracting as the forward and aft endplates move in opposite directions.

B. VELOCITY-TIME HISTORY RESPONSE--COUPLED SHELL/ SUBSTRUCTURE SYSTEM

From the results of the analyses performed on the coupled shell/substructure system, Tables III and IV were created in order to identify when the diaphragm achieved resonance. $\Omega/\overline{\Omega}$ is the frequency ratio between the fundamental frequency of the simply-supported diaphragm (Ω) and the dominant frequency observed in the velocity-time history response of the submerged empty stiffened shell ($\overline{\Omega}$). M1/M2 is the mass ratio between the substructure (M₁) and the shell with endplates (M2). Figure 21 is a plot of the velocity of the diaphragm center versus the frequency ratio $\Omega/\overline{\Omega}$. It reveals that in both the taper and conventional charge responses, the diaphragm achieves its maximum velocity or resonance when the fundamental frequency of the substructure is nearly equal to the excitation frequency from the shell. Resonance occurs when the mass ratio is approximately .08. Since

TABLE III

MAXIMUM VELOCITY RESPONSE OF DIAPHRAGM SUBSTRUCTURE WITH VARYING THICKNESS (TAPER CHARGE)

Thickness (In)	Ω/Ω	M1/M2	Velocity (ft/sec)
.25	.232	.018	22.19
.50	.465	.036	16.64
.75	.762	.055	20.79
1.00	.930	.073	34.81
1.05	.976	.076	35.65
1.06	.986	.077	35.78
1.07	.995	.078	35.65
1.10	1.023	.080	33.58
1.15	1.069	.084	30.36
1.25	1.162	.091	26.24
2.25	2.092	.164	11.61
3.25	3.022	.237	10.60
4.25	3.952	.310	9.04
5.25	4.881	.383	8.70
6.25	5.811	.456	8.44
7.25	6.741	.528	8.15
8.25	7.670	.601	7.87
9.25	8.602	.674	7.62

56

TABLE IV

MAXIMUM VELOCITY RESPONSE OF DIAPHRAGM SUBSTRUCTURE WITH VARYING THICKNESS (CONVENTIONAL CHARGE)

.

Thickness (In)	<u>۵/۵</u>	M1/M2	Velocity (ft/sec)
.25	.223	.018	40.42
.50	.447	.036	28.26
.75	.670	.055	45.29
1.00	.893	.073	68.73
1.05	.938	.076	73.84
1.06	.947	.077	74.71
1.07	.956	.078	74.22
1.10	.983	.080	69.69
1.15	1.028	.084	62.90
1.25	1.116	.091	62.75
2.25	2.011	.164	21.77
3.25	2.904	.237	17.67
4.25	3.797	.310	15.51
5.25	4.691	.383	13.69
6.25	5.584	.456	12.01
7.25	6.477	.528	11.37
8.25	7.371	.601	11.00
9.25	8.265	.674	10.69

DIAPH MAX VEL VS NORMALIZED FREQ

WALKING DEPOSITION DEPOSITION CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF TH



Normalized Frequency Diaphragm Maximum Velocity vs. Figure 21.

and the production of the production and the second of



TANK ANALYSING

Figure 22. Two Degrees of Freedom System





$$\alpha = \overline{\alpha} = \sqrt{K1/M1} = \sqrt{K2/M2}$$
(4)

where: Kl = overall stiffness of the substructure

K2 = overall stiffness of the shell

it can be said that:

K1/M1 = K2/M2 = K1/.08 M2

or

K1/K2 = .08

The coupled shell and substructure can then be modeled as a two degrees of freedom system as shown in Figure 22 where Ml and K1 represent the substructure and M2 and K2 represent the shell. The large mass (M2) has an uncoupled natural frequency (f₂) which is equal to $\sqrt{K2/M2}$. This is equivalent to the dominant frequency $(\overline{\Omega})$ of the empty shell. Since this is a two degrees of freedom system, it will possess two natural frequencies for the coupled system. It has been found in coupled systems that for decreasing mass ratio (M1/M2) and for increasing stiffness ratio (K1/K2), the first and second modal frequencies of a two degrees of freedom system approach the decoupled frequencies f_1 and f_2 of two single degrees of freedom systems, respectively [Ref. The first natural frequency is bounded by f_2 , and f_1 12]. is bounded by the second natural frequency. From Figure 23, it is seen that with M1/M2 = K1/K2 = .08, the dominant

frequency of the shell is approximately equal to the first modal frequency (f_{n1}) of the system. The result is that the shell/substructure system achieves resonance when excited at the dominant frequency of the empty shell.

With the diaphragm at resonance, Figures 24 and 25 illustrate that the transient response of the diaphragm is much more pronounced than that of the shell due to the large differences between the two masses as well as the soft spring between them. Initially the diaphragm is drawn in the negative X-direction by inertial forces as the shell is displaced by the shock wave. With the increased motion of the shell at frame nine, the diaphragm receives more and more energy. At approximately t = 20 msec, the diaphragm is at its maximum velocity while the shell is relatively calm. At this point, the diaphragm begins to transfer energy back to the shell. Since kinetic energy is equal to $1/2 \text{ MV}^2$, the diaphragm is a small mass with high velocity while the much larger mass of the shell moves at a lower velocity. The energy of the system is transferred between the shell and substructure as the overall motion is damped by interaction between the shell and the fluid medium. The transfer of energy between the shell and the diaphragm creates an effect known as the "beating" phenomenon. This effect is seen in the velocity time history responses for the case of diaphragm resonance in Figures 26 through 39. The effect is





1.5777230 SOUGUS





ľ

A STATES CONTRACTOR







のないない

CALL SOLL

and a second second second second

1.1.1.5.1.5.5.S







A PARAMANA A PARAMANA PARAMANANA

q

.

Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case) --Frame Nine Figure 29. 5



August and a second and a second



69


LX CZS

ANAMAS TREETS: DIVIDIN MANAGE VIEWOOD

Velocity-Time History Response (Resonant Case) --Frame Thirteen Figure 31.

Kulokoa kasasan kasasa kasa

LOOPLAND & BOUCHARD



CACARE

Ċ

LOVING ST





فكحددا الاحجممع



VELOCITY RESPONSE - T=1.06 IN. segment two - node twenty eight

ļ





2222251 S22222

etai

VELOCITY RESPONSE - 7±1.06 IN. segment two - node thirty three

and a survey and a survey

REALANDE SUCCESSER BESERVER SUCCESSER STRATES





(est

IN LOW



Constraints

CAUSSIAN PAULINA

A BERLEY PERSONAL PRODUCTION PRODUCTS



大子 18 日、小



.





Ĩ







quite pronounced at the endplates, because they act as "hard spots" receiving the energy transmitted through the shell. The cylindrical portion of the shell acts as a conduit for the energy transfer. Again, the nodes on the shell nearest the endplate experience some local deformation due to the rigid coupling between segments.

16 4.2

5 ESSAN

The empty shell velocity-time history response is compared with the resonant diaphragm case in Figures 40 through 45. Of particular interest is the fact that the velocity profiles are virtually identical for the first fifteen milliseconds. During this period of time, the shell is receiving energy from the shock wave and transferring a portion of it to the diaphragm. Because of the differences in mass between the shell and substructure, not much energy is lost from the shell. The peak velocities do not vary by more than five feet per second during this time period. The difference arises at t = 35 msec when the shell receives energy from the resonating plate. The decaying velocity response is disturbed during the exchange of energy which is observed in the beating effect.

As the thickness of the diaphragm is increased beyond the resonant condition, the interactions between the shell and substructure are reduced dramatically. The shell/ substructure velocity-time history remains within 10% of the empty shell response until the diaphragm thickness is 2.25



公司





No. of the local sector of

1.4.4.2 TO 1





Comparison of Empty vs. Resonant Shell Response (Taper Charge)--AFT Endplate Center Figure 42.





2 T = 1.06 TN. LEGEND 2 8 EMPTY SHELL VS. 7=1.06 IN. segment two - node eleven 8 40 TIME (MSEC) 9 20. 2 or -2 AELOCITY (FT/SEC) 01s'I ģ 91-

MOULAND BANNAN SANGARAN NAMATAN ANALANA

2

Comparison of Empty vs. Resonant Shell Response (Conventional Charge)--Frame Nine Figure 44.

100





EMPTY SHELL VS. 7=1.06 IN. segment three - node twelve

SSSI STATIST

TEREST Synapping Recepting Received

inches thick or M1/M2 = .164. By the time it is 9.25 inches thick, it represents over half the mass of the shell, and it acts as if it was an integral part of the shell slaved to the motions of the forward endplate.

The second second list

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Upon inspection of the velocity-time history responses at various locations along the shell, one can see why the potential for damage is so great from an underwater explosion off the bow or stern of a submarine. While the cylindrical portion for the most part serves to transmit inertial forces with little velocity response, the extremities of the hull experience violent transient responses which may be passed to attached internal equipment located in those areas. The inertial influence of the mass of the endplates on neighboring nodes of the shell is apparent in both the empty shell and resonant cases. The response from a conventional charge is seen to be much more pronounced than that of a taper charge of equivalent impulse due to the rapid expenditure of energy against the forward endplate.

COULDER ADDRESS DECEMBER DECEMPERATE RECEIPTION DECEMPERATE

The results obtained from the resonant diaphragm case support the theory that with decreasing mass ratio between substructure and shell, the first and second modal frequencies of the two degrees of freedom system approach the decoupled frequencies of the substructure and shell in two single degree of freedom systems. This allows the motion of hull to drive the diaphragm into resonance when

the dominant frequency of the shell motion is roughly equal to the fundamental frequency of the substructure. It is possible that this could occur during an underwater shock test of a small piece of equipment in a large SSTV. This might result in the failure of the equipment in a scenario which would not occur in a real submarine with its myriad of internal masses and substructures.

and the second second

The large difference in mass between the substructure and the shell results in a tremendous dynamic amplification in the response of the diaphragm at resonance. As energy is transferred between the two bodies, the large mass of the shell causes its velocity to be quite small compared to that of the diaphragm. As is seen in Figures 40 through 45, the initial resonant case velocity response is virtually unchanged from the empty shell response. If the mass of the internal equipment was sufficiently small in comparison to an SSTV used in a series of shock tests, one ELSHOK calculation could be performed on the empty shell to generate a set of velocity response curves. The velocity-time history response at the attachment point could then be input into the SAPIV code for the finite element model of any number of small pieces of equipment, and the substructure transient response could be obtained without having to run a separate ELSHOK calculation for each shell/substructure system. This would result in considerable savings in computer time.

Although ELSHOK is a powerful tool which can be used to accurately predict the results of underwater explosion tests on equipment, some shortfalls exist in the program which limit its use in design applications. At the present time, there is no direct method to take the effects of the surface cut-off wave or bottom reflection into account. The surface cut-off wave forms in shallow underwater explosions when the compressive shock wave reflects off of the surface of the water causing a tensile wave to propagate. Bottom reflections form in deep explosions as the shock wave is reflected off of the floor of the ocean. ELSHOK assumes that the explosion is occurring in an infinite acoustic medium with a single shock wave. Another weakness in the program is the fact that it cannot process oblique explosions; charges must be placed in a pure end-on or side-on configuration. Shock tests conducted in accordance with MIL-S-901D are either end-on or side-on loading, however, so this is not a serious drawback in predicting the transient motion of equipment in SSTV's.

It is recommended that further study be conducted in this area using more refined shell models resembling fullscale submarines. Once a shell model is produced for a given submarine class, researchers can create different finite element models of actual equipment to observe the interactions between the submarine hull and equipment of

varying mass and shape when subjected to end-on or side-on loads. It is felt that ELSHOK is an effective method to give an indication of the response of equipment in underwater explosion tests without needless destruction from unexpected failures. Its continued use prior to UNDEX tests will lead to savings in both time and effort.

LIST OF REFERENCES

 Department of the Navy Military Specification MIL-S-901D (Navy), Shock Tests, H.I. (High Impact) Shipboard Machinery, Equipment, and Systems, Requirements for, 20 October 1978.

and a state and a state and the state of the

- Geers, T. L., "Residual Potential and Approximate Methods for Three-Dimensional Fluid-Structure Interaction Problems," Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, V. 49, No. 5 (Part 2), pp. 1505-1510, 1971.
- 3. Welch, M. S., <u>Numerical Analysis of the Elastic Shock</u> <u>Response of Submarine Installed Equipment</u>, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, September 1984.
- 4. Vasudevan, R. and Ranlet, D., <u>Submerged Shock Response</u> of a Linearly Elastic Shell of <u>Revolution Containing</u> <u>Internal Structure: User's Manual for the ELSHOK Code</u>, <u>Weidlinger Associates</u>, New York, New York, May 1982.
- 5. Ranlet, D., DiMaggio, F. L., Bleich, H. H., and Baron, M. L., "Elastic Response of Submerged Shells with Internally Attached Structures to Shock Loading," <u>International Journal of Computers and Structures</u>, V. 7, No. 3, pp. 355-364, June 1977.
- 6. Ranlet, D. and DiMaggio, F. L., "Transient Response of Shells with Internally Attached Structures," <u>International Journal of Computers and Structures</u>, V. 9, No. 5, pp. 475-481, November 1978.
- 7. Bushnell, D., Stress, Stability and Vibration of Complex Branched Shells of Revolution: Analysis and User's Manual for BOSOR4, LSMC-D 243605 Lockheed Missiles and Space Company, Inc., Sunnyvale, California, March 1972.
- Earthquake Engineering Research Center Report No. EERC 73-11, SAPIV-A Structural Analysis Program for Static and Dynamic Response of Linear Systems, by K. J. Bathe, E. L. Wilson, and F. E. Peterson, University of California, Berkeley, California, April 1974.

9. Cole, R. H., Underwater Explosions, pp. 228-269, Princeton University Press, 1948.

received exercises a consider

- 10. Little, R., Elasticity, p. 279, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1973.
- 11. Butt, L., <u>Naval Ship Shock Design and Analysis</u>, Lecture notes presented at Naval Postgraduate School, ME 4525, Spring 1985.

12. Shin, Y. S., Effects of Undesigned for High-Frequency Vibrations on Plant Equipment Components, NEDE-25494, General Electric Company, San Jose, California, December 1981.

APPENDIX A

PROGRAM USED TO CONVERT PUSLOB PUNCH CARD FILES TO FORMAT ACCEPTANCE BY EASYPLOT

Along with the TEKTRONIX plots of the velocity-time histories, PUSLOB generates velocity punch card files for each requested node. The punch card file consists of a block of velocities in exponential format the size of which depends upon the number of time points requested. The EASYPLOT program developed for the IBM 3033 by Mr. John Mainwaring is a quick interactive method to produce graphs using DISSPLA without having to create a plotting program. It will accept up to 100 data points in tabular form provided it is in a column format for X-Y input. The PLOTCONV1 code was created to accept data from two separate punch card files for taper and conventional charge comparisons and combine them into one data file for each node for input into EASYPLOT.

In order to prevent data overflow, the time step must be sized in USLOB and PUSLOB to generate no more than 100 velocity data points. Both the PLOTCONV1 VAX 11/780 VMS computer command file and the FORTRAN code are included in this appendix. The command file must be modified prior to each run to specify the punch card files being read and the titles of the new data files.

93

\$! PLOTCONV1.COM - - COMMAND FILE FOR EXECUTION OF PLOTCONV1 \$! ASSIGN PUSL48.PUN FOR011 \$ ASSIGN PUSL48.PUN FORO11 ASSIGN PUSL49.PUN FORO12 ASSIGN MAXSHL1.DAT FORO22 ASSIGN MAXSHL2.DAT FORO22 ASSIGN MAXSHL3.DAT FORO23 ASSIGN MAXSHL4.DAT FORO24 ASSIGN MAXSHL5.DAT FORO25 ASSIGN MAXSHL5.DAT FORO27 ASSIGN MAXSHL6.DAT FORO27 ASSIGN MAXSHL8.DAT FORO27 ASSIGN MAXSHL9.DAT FORO29 ASSIGN MAXSHL9.DAT FORO29 ASSIGN MAXSHL9.DAT FORO30 ŝ ¢ Ś Ś \$ Ś ŝ ASSIGN MAXSHL10.DAT FOR030 ASSIGN MAXSHL11.DAT FOR031 ASSIGN MAXSHL12.DAT FOR032 ASSIGN MAXSHL13.DAT FOR033 ASSIGN MAXSHL13.DAT FOR033 ŝ Ś ŝ \$ Ś ASSIGN MAXSHL14.DAT FOR034 \$! \$ RUN PLOTCONV1 \$! PROGRAM PLOTCONVI С THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO READ TWO PUNCH-CARD FILES FROM PUSLOB AND CONVERT THEM TO A FORMAT WHICH CAN BE ACCEPTED BY EASYPLOT. č č DIMENSION VEL(100,14), VELO(100,3), VEL1(100,14), LABEL(14), TAG(14), %LTITLE(14) CHARACTER×10 LABEL CHARACTER*40 LTITLE COMMON/CSOLVE/DTRECS NCURV=14 DO 10 K=1,NCURV READ(11,999) LTITLE(K), NRECS, DTRECS READ(11,999) LTITLE(K), NRECS, DTRECS READ(11,998) LABEL(K), TAG(K) READ(11,997) (VEL(J,K), J=1,NRECS) READ(12,999) LTITLE(K), NRECS, DTRECS READ(12,998) LABEL(K), TAG(K) READ(12,997) (VEL1(J,K), J=1,NRECS) 10 CONTINUE 999 FORMAT(A40,I5,1PE11.4) 998 FORMAT(A10,F10.5) 997 FORMAT(1P7E11.4) DO 20 L=1, NCURV T=0.0 LL=L+20 DO 30 M=1,NRECS VELO(M,1)=T DTRECS1=1000.0×DTRECS T=T+DTRECS1 VELO(M,2)=VEL(M,L)/12.0 VELO(M,3)=VEL1(M,L)/12.0 30 CONTINUE DO 40 KK=1,NRECS WRITE(LL,996) (VELO(KK,JJ),JJ=1,3) **40 CONTINUE** WRITE(LL,995) LTITLE(L), NRECS, DTRECS WRITE(LL,994) LABEL(L), TAG(L) 20 CONTINUE 20 CUNTINUE 996 FORMAT(3F15.5) 995 FORMAT(A40,I5,1PE11.4) 994 FORMAT(A10,F10.5)

STOP

ŝ

APPENDIX B

TYPICAL ELSHOK INPUT CODES -- SHELL AND SUBSTRUCTURE

The input codes which follow are for the resonant diaphragm case for both taper and conventional charges. The only differences between the two is in the USLOB code where the type of charge is specified. All the input codes are explained in detail in the ELSHOK users manual [Ref. 4].

1. BOSOR4 INPUT DATA

The BOSOR4 input code is created by working interactively with the computer. The prompt following the \$ symbol appears, and the user supplies the required information. The three segments (two endplates and cylinder) are modeled separately, and then they are "connected" in the global data section. A separate input code is required for each circumferential harmonic included in the calculation. BOSOR4 output provides the user with the in-vacuo free-free modes and natural frequencies of the shell.





FILE: BOSSQ D	TA A1
OSOR4 ATTEMPT ON	N=0 INDIC = ANALYSIS TYPE INDICATOR
1 1	NPRT = OUTPUT OPTIONS (1=MINIMUM, 2=MEDIUM, 3=MAXIMUM) Istres= Output Control (0=Resultants, 1=Sigma, 2=EPSILON)
	NSEG = NUMBER UP SHELL SEGMENTS (LESS THAN 23) SEGMENT NUMBER 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
10 1	NMESH = NUMBER OF NODE POINTS (5 = MIN.; 98 = MAX.)(1) NTYPEH= CONTROL INTEGER (1 OR 2 OR 3) FOR NODAL POINT SPACING
0.0 0.0	NSMAPE" INDICATOR (1,2 OR 4) FOR GEOMENT OF MERIDIAN R1 = RADIUS AT BEGINNING OF SEGMENT (SEE P. 66) Z1 = AXIAL COORDINATE AT BEGINNING OF SEGMENT
16.81250 0.0 ·1	R2 = RADIUS AT END OF SEGMENT. Z2 = Axial coordinate at end of segment The - united for impersection (a-node 1-some)
2.500000	TYPEZ = CONTROL (1 OR 3) FOR REFERENCE SURFACE LOCATION ZVAL = DISTANCE FROM LEFTMOST SURF. TO REFERENCE SURF.
N C	DO YOU MANT TO PRINT OUT R(S), R'(S), ETC. FOR THIS SEGMENT? NRINGS= NUMBER (MAX=20) OF DISCRETE RINGS IN THIS SEGMENT NGINGTO BODY OF DISCRETE RINGS IN THIS SEGMENT
	LINTYPE INDICATOR (0, 1, 2 OR 3) FOR TYPE OF LINE LOADS HLTYPE=CONTROL (0,1,2,3) FOR TYPE OF SURFACE LOADING
2 9.1080000 E+08 9.3200000	NMALL=INDEX (1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8) FOR MALL CONSTRUCTION E = Young's modulus for skin (= Poisson's ratio for skin
0.2535000E-03 0.0000000E+00	SM =MASS DENSITY OF SKIN (E.O. ALUM.=.00025 LB-SECX#2/IN##4) ALPHA = COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION
1 N	SUR = CONTROL FOR THICKNESS INPUT (0 OR -1) DO YOU MANT TO PRINT OUT THE C(I,J) AT MERIDIONAL STATIONS!
- H	DO YOU WANT TO PRINT OUT DISTRIBUTED LOADS ALONG MERIDIAN
⁷ 45 3	NMESH * NUMBER OF NODE POINTS (5 * MIN.; 98 * MAX.)(2) NTYPEN= CONTROL INTEGER (1 OR 2 OR 3) FOR NODAL POINT SPACING
16.81250 0.0000005+00	NSHAPE= INDICATOR (1,2 OR 4) FOR GEOMETRY OF MERIDIAN R1 = Radius at beginning of Segment (see P. 66) 71 = Ayiai coopdinate at beginning of Segment
16.81250 258.1250	R2 = RADIUS AT END OF SEGMENT 22 = AXIAL COORDINATE AT END OF SEGMENT
0 3 9.1875000	IMP = INDICATOR FOR IMPERFECTION (DENONE, I=SUME) NTYPEZ= CONTROL (1 OR 3) FOR REFERENCE SURFACE LOCATION ZVAL = DISTANCE FROM LEFTMOST SURF. TO REFERENCE SURF.
Ň	DO YOU WANT TO PRINT OUT R(S), R'(S), ETC. FOR THIS SEGMENT! NRINGS= NUMBER (MAX=20) OF DISCRETE RINGS IN THIS SEGMENT NUMBER (MAX=20) OF DISCRETE RINGS IN THIS SEGMENT
3.500000 53.12500	Z(I) = AXIAL COORDINATE OF ITH RING, Z(I) Z(I) = AXIAL COORDINATE OF ITH RING, Z(I)
103.7500 154.3750 205.0000	Z(I) = AXIAL COORDINATE OF ITH RING, Z(3) Z(I) = AXIAL COORDINATE OF ITH RING, Z(4) Z(I) = AXIAL COORDINATE OF ITH RING, Z(5)
254.6250	Z(1) = AXIAL COORDINATE OF ITH RING, Z(6) NTYPER= TYPE (0 OR 1 OR 2 OR 4 OR 5) OF DISCRETE RING NO.(1)
1	NTYPER= TYPE (0 OR 1 OR 2 OR 4 OR 5) OF DISCRETE RING NO.(3) NTYPER= TYPE (0 OR 1 OR 2 OR 4 OR 5) OF DISCRETE RING NO.(4)
1 1 0.3000000E+98	NTYPER= TYPE (0 OR 1 OR 2 OR 4 OR 5) OF DISCRETE RING NO.(5) NTYPER= TYPE (0 OR 1 OR 2 OR 4 OR 5) OF DISCRETE RING NO.(6) E = Young's modulus of RING(1)
7.125000 7.535500	À = CROSS SECTION ÀREA OF RING(1) IY = MOMENT OF INERTIA ABOUT Y-AXIS (SEE FIG. ON P.70)(1) IY = MOMENT OF INERTIA ABOUT Y-AXIS (SEE FIG. ON P.70)(1)
0.0000000E+00 -1.781250	IXY = PRODUCT OF INERTIA(1) E1 = RADIAL COMPONENT OF RING ECCENTRICITY (SEE P. 70)(1)
0.0000000E+00 0.7501E+08 0.7330000E-03	E2 = AXIAL COMPONENT OF RING ECCENTRICITY(1) GJ = Torsional Rigidity(1) RM = Ring Material Density (E.g. Aluminum=.0002535)(1)
0.3000000E+08 8.250000	E = YOUNG'S MODULUS OF RING(2) A = CROSS SECTION AREA OF RING(2)
2.750000 0.0000000E+00	IX = MOMENT OF INERTIA ABOUT TAXIS (SEE FIG. UN P./0)(2) IX = MOMENT OF INERTIA ABOUT X-AXIS(2) IXY = PRODUCT OF INERTIA(2)

1.2.2.2.2.

「なんたいな」 などのない 「なんたいな」

ACKLAR COLOR

and the second

addition of the second manufacture states and the second second second

FILE: BOSSO DATA **A**1 0625 0000000E 9249000E+05 .7330000E+05 8.250000 # A 11.69800 # IY 2.750000 # IXY -2.062500 # E1 0.000000E+00 # IXY -2.062500 # E1 0.000000E+08 # GJ -4E-03 # RM -8 # E 4 A -2.062500 0.0000000E+00 • E • A • IX • IXY • E2 • GJ • RM • E .250000 11.69800 2.750000 0.000000E+00 -2.062500 0.0000000E+00 0.9249000E+08 \$ 0.7330000E+08 \$ 0.3000000E+08 \$ 8.250000 \$ A 11.69800 \$ IY 2.750000 \$ IX 0.00000000000000 \$ IX -2.062500 0.0000000E+00 0.9249000E+08 0.7330000E-03 .300000E+08 \$ 0.3000000E+08 * E 7.125000 * A 7.535500 * IY 2.375000 * IX 0.0000000E+00 * IX 1.781250 * E1 0.000000E+00 * E2 0.7501E+08 \$ 0.7330000E+03 \$ 0.0000000E+00 \$ 0.3000000E+08 \$ 0.3000000 0.7330000E-03 0.0 NY 0.3000000000000 \$ 0.30000000 \$ 0.3000000 \$ 0.73300000000 \$ 5.625000 0.2500000 1.250000 н SEGMENT NUMBER 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 NMESH = NUMBER OF NODE POINTS (5 = MIN.; 98 = MAX.)(3) NTYPEN= CONTROL INTEGER (1 OR 2 OR 3) FOR NODAL POINT SPACING NSHAPE= INDICATOR (1, 2 OR 4) FOR GEOMETRY OF MERIDIAN R1 = RADIUS AT BEGINNING OF SEGMENT (SEE P. 66) 21 = AXIAL COORDINATE AT BEGINNING OF SEGMENT R2 = RADIUS AT END OF SEGMENT Z2 = AXIAL COORDINATE AT END OF SEGMENT Я 10 16.81250 0.0000000E+00 \$ ă.ă

FILE: BOSSO DATA AL

:

2000

ないなどのため

2

0 3 2.500000 N 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0	<pre>IMP = INDICATOR FOR IMPERFECTION (0=NOME, 1=SOME) MTYPEZ= CONTROL (1 OR 3) FOR REFERENCE SURFACE LOCATION ZVAL = DISTANCE FROM LEFTMOST SURF. TO REFERENCE SURF. DO YOU MANT TO PRINT OUT R(S), R'(S), ETC. FOR THIS SEGMENT? NRINGS= NUMBER (MAX=20) OF DISCRETE RINGS IN THIS SEGMENT K=LASTIC FOUNDATION MODULUS (E.G. LB/INH#3)IN THIS SEG. UINTYPE=INDICATOR (0, 1, 2 OR 3) FOR TYPE OF LIME LOADS NLTYPE=CONTROL (0,1,2,3) FOR TYPE OF SURFACE LOADING NMALL=INDEX (1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8) FOR MALL CONSTRUCTION E = YOUNG'S MODULUS FOR SKIN SM =MASS DENSITY OF SKIN (E.G. ALUM = .00025 LB-SECH#2/INH#4) ALPNA = COEFFICIENT OF THERMAL EXPANSION AARS = CONTROL (0 OR 1) FOR ADDITION OF SMEARED STIFFENERS SUR = CONTROL FOR THICKNESS INPUT (0 OR 10 R -1) DO YOU MANT TO PRINT OUT DISTRIBUTED LOADS ALONG MERIDIANT</pre>
H H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GLOBAL DATA NLAST = PLOT OPTIONS (~1=NONE, 0=GEOMETRY, 1=U,V,H) NOB = STARTING NUMBER OF CIRC. HAVES (BUCKLING ANALYSIS) NMINB = MININUM NUMBER OF CIRC. HAVES (BUCKLING ANALYSIS) NMAXB = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CIRC. HAVES (BUCKLING ANALYSIS) NMAXB = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CIRC. HAVES (BUCKLING ANALYSIS) NMAXB = MAXIMUM NUMBER OF CIRC. HAVES (BUCKLING ANALYSIS) NMEXE = NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES FOR EACH HAVE NUMBER P = PRESSURE OR SURFACE TRACTION MULTIPLIER TEMP = TEMPERATURE RISE MULTIPLIER OMEGA = ANGULAR VEL. ABOUT AXIS OF REVOLUTION (RAD/SEC) NUMBER OF POLES (PLACES HMERE R=0) IN SEGMENT(1) CONSTRAINT CONDITIONS FOR SEGMENT NO. 1 1 1 1 IPOLE = NODAL POINT NUMBER OF POLE, IPOLE(1) AT HOW MANY STATIONS IS THIS SEGMENT CONSTRAINED TO GROUNDT IS THIS SEGMENT JOINED TO ANY LOWER-HUMBERED SEGMENTS? NUMBER OF POLES (PLACES HMERE R=0) IN SEGMENT(2)
H H 9 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 0.0000000E+00 0.0000000E+00 4 1	CONSTRAINT CONDITIONS FOR SEGMENT NO. 2 2 2 2 CONSTRAINT CONDITIONS FOR SEGMENT NO. 2 2 2 2 AT HOM MANY STATIONS IS THIS SEGMENT CONSTRAINED TO GROUND? IS THIS SEGMENT JOINED TO ANY LOWER-NUMBERED SEGMENTS? AT HOM MAY STATIONS IS THIS SEGMENT JOINED TO PREVIOUS SEGS.? INODE = NODE IN CURENT SEGMENT (ISEG) OF JUNCTION JSEG = SEGMENT NO. OF PREVIOUS SEGMENT INVOLVED IN JUNCTION JNODE = NODE IN PREVIOUS SEGMENT (JSEG) OF JUNCTION IUSTAR= AXIAL DISPLACEMENT (0=NOT SLAVED, 1=SLAVED) ICHI = MEDIONAL ROTATION (0=NOT SLAVED, 1=SLAVED) ICHI = MEDIONAL ROTATION (0=NOT SLAVED, 1=SLAVED) DI = RADIAL COMPONENT OF JUNCTURE GAP D2 = AXIAL COMPONENT OF JUNCTURE GAP IS THIS CONSTRAINT THE SAME FOR BOTH PREBUCKLING AND BUCKLING? NUMBER OF POLES (PLACES WHERE R=0) IN SEGMENT (3)
H 10 4 1 2 45 1 1 0.0000002+00 0.0000002+00 Y Y N	<pre>GONSTRAINT CONDITIONS FOR SEGMENT NG. 3 3 3 IPOLE = NODAL POINT NUMBER OF POLE, IPOLE(1) AT HOM MANY STATIONS IS THIS SEGMENT CONSTRAINED TO GROUND? IS THIS SEGMENT JOINED TO ANY LOWER-NUMBERED SEGMENTS? AT HOW MAY STATIONS IS THIS SEGMENT JOINED TO PREVIOUS SEGS.? INODE = NODE IN CURENT SEGMENT (ISEG) OF JUNCTION JSEG = SEGMENT NO. OF PREVIOUS SEGMENT INVOLVED IN JUNCTION USTAR= AXIAL DISPLACEMENT (0=NOT SLAVED, 1=SLAVED) IUSTAR= CIRCUMFERENTIAL DISPLACEMENT (0=NOT SLAVED, 1=SLAVED) ICHI = MERIDIONAL ROTATION (0=NOT SLAVED, 1=SLAVED) ICHI = MADIAL COMPONENT OF JUNCTURE GAP ID (0) WANT TO LIST OUTPUT FOR SEGMENT(1) ID OYOU WANT TO LIST OUTPUT FOR SEGMENT(2) ID OYOU WANT TO LIST OUTPUT FOR SEGMENT(2) ID OYOU WANT TO LIST OUTPUT FOR SEGMENT(2) ID OYOU WANT TO LIST OUTPUT FOR SEGMENT(3) ID OYOU WANT TO LIST PREBUCKLING SEGMENT(3) ID OYOU WANT TO LIST PREBUCKLING AND RING FORCES?</pre>

2. ACESNID INPUT DATA

The ACESNID computer code determines the virtual mass array, a quantity producing the late-time contribution of the DAA. Only one ACESNID calculation is required for all circumferential harmonics of the shell. The bands dividing the areas of the shell are identified in the NLEFT, NCYL, and NRITE entries, while the fluid properties are specified in the RHOFL and VSOUND row.

FILE: ACEL DATA A1

VIRTUAL MASS FOR RING-STIFFENED CYLINDER WITH FLAT ENDS, N=0,1 0 1 1 1 0 / NSTART, NFINIS, NFREQ, NVMASS, NCHECK 15 51 15 3 0 / NLEFT, NCYL, NRITE, NWBOSG, NSYMF 1 6 10 0 0 / NORDER, NFENDS, NFCENT, NFCMPT, NOMIT 9.59684E-5 5.833E4 0.005 / RHOFL, VSOUND, ERR 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 / OUTPUT FLAGS 1.0 / CPS(1) 1 1 1 2 / SEFS, JSGBEG, JPTBEG, JSGEND, JPTEND, LEFT 2 1 2 47 / SEFS, JSGBEG, JPTBEG, JSGEND, JPTEND, CENTRAL 3 1 3 12 / SEFS, JSGBEG, JPTBEG, JSGEND, JPTEND, RIGHT 1 1 1 2 / SOURCES, JSGBEG, JPTBEG, JSGEND, JPTEND, LEFT 2 1 2 47 / SOURCES, JSGBEG, JPTBEG, JSGEND, JPTEND, LEFT 2 1 2 47 / SOURCES, JSGBEG, JPTBEG, JSGEND, JPTEND, LEFT

3. PIFLASH INPUT DATA

and a state of the

ALARAMA MANANA REPORTAN ANALARAMA

5555555555

The PIFLASH code takes data from the shell mode files from BOSOR4 and the virtual mass file from ACESNID and combines them to create a "shell-fluid file" containing all the information concerning the shell and its acoustic medium for further calculations. Since only the breathing modes are activated in end-on loading, all torsional modes are dropped from the shell file. This is accomplished in the NJUSE rows where the modes of Table I are identified.

FILE: PIEL DATA A1

2 0 0 0 0 / NUMBER, NTORSN, NPTM, NSYMS, NSYMP 3 3 3 3 / (NWETSG(K), NUSESG(K), K=1,2) 386.4 / GRAVITY SHELL00 / SMF, N=0 SHELL01 / SMF, N=1 19 2 / (NJUSE(J), J=1, NITEMS) 1 2 3 / (KORSG(K), K=1, NKORSG) 2 4 6 7 9 12 13 14 19 20 21 22 23 25 26 27 28 29 30 / JUSE, N=0 1 2 / JUSE, N=1

4. SAPIV INPUT DATA

ŀ

The substructure is modeled using the standard SAPIV code with slight modifications by the developers of ELSHOK. The diaphragm is modeled using twenty-four plates and twenty-five nodes. The location of each node is identified in cartesian coordinates. Each plate is 1.06 in thick for the resonant case. Nodes eighteen through twenty-five are rigidly attached to the shell in the last eight lines of the code. The SAPIV code determines the fixed-base modes and corresponding natural frequencies as well as the unconstrained mass and stiffness matrices for the substructure.

It sers man
1

<td

アンドンド

NUMBER AND DEPENDENT PROPERTY INVESTIGATION

5. PICRUST INPUT DATA

The PICRUST code takes data from the substructure mode file from SAPIV and reorganizes it to facilitate the solution of the transient response equations. The location of the substructure attachment points to the shell is identified for each connecting node.

FILE: PICRUSTI DATA A1

1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 / OUTPUT FLAGS 1-20 2 0 0 / NJUSE, NHWSOB, NHWBAR 18 2 11 0.0 19 2 11 45.0 20 2 11 90.0 21 2 11 135.0 22 2 11 180.0 23 2 11 225.0 24 2 11 270.0 25 2 11 315.0 / NIPSUB, LBOSEG, LBOSPT, ANGDEG 0.0 / DEGROT
6. USLOB INPUT DATA

a commence

というないない

Ŋ

The two USLOB input codes for the taper and conventional charges appear on the following page. USL46 DATA is the code for the taper charge. It contains nine discrete data points from the pressure-time history in seconds and pounds per square inch. There are 1600 time points used in the numerical integration of the governing equations with information saved every sixteenth point, so only 100 velocity-time data points are retained for EASYPLOT display. The charge is placed along the negative X-axis at 840 inches. USL47 DATA contains the information for the conventional charge. The only difference between the two codes is a charge identification entry (NCHRG) and the charge weight and constants specified for the conventional charge instead of the pressure-time history (WCHRG-THEXP).

FILE: USL46 DATA A1

5

1599 16 2 9 2 2 1 / NTIME,NSKIP,NCHRG,NQUAD,NFINE,KOUPLE,NSUBS 5.0E-05 -840.0 0.0 0.0 / DELT,XLOAD,RLOAD,SURCUT 9 / NSHAPE 0.0 240.0 0.0002 320.0 0.00053 350.0 0.00243 305.0 0.00294 160.0 0.0034 115.0 0.0044 70.0 0.0054 48.0 0.00565 0.0 / (TC(J),PC(J),J=1,NSHAPE) 0.0 / DECAY 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 / NPTSHL 1 2 11 2 46 3 12 / LBOSEG,LBOSPT 1 / NPTSUB FILE: USL47 DATA A1

1599 16 1 9 2 2 1 / NTIME, NSKIP, NCHRG, NQUAD, NFINE, KOUPLE, NSUBS 5.0E-05 -840.0 0.0 0.0 / DELT, XLOAD, RLOAD, SURCUT 352.0 3.8354314E+5 1.144 3.03131E-5 -.247 / WCHRG, PZMLT, PZEXP, THMLT, THEXP 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 / NPTSHL 1 2 11 2 46 3 12 / LBOSEG, LBOSPT 1 / NPTSUB

7. PUSLOB INPUT DATA

The PUSLOB code produces TEKTRONIX plots of the velocity-time histories for specified points on the shell or substructure. It also generates velocity punch card files which are converted to a format for EASYPLOT using the PLOTCONV1 code.

FILE: PUSL46 DATA A1

Ē

1599 16 1 1 1 / NTIME, NSKIP, NSUBS, NTEK, NCARD 5.0E-05 1000.0 1.0 / DELT, XMULT, YMULT VELOCITY PROFILE TIME (MSEC) VELOCITY (IN/SEC) NPTSHL-W 2 NFISHL-W
V-FWD 1/01
1 1 -1 1 0.0
V-FWD 3/12
3 12 -1 1 0.0 / LBOSEG,LBOSPT,NSPHC,NANG,ANGDEG
13 NPTSHL-U
V-FWD 1/01 V-FWD 1/01 1 1 1 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/02 2 2 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/07 2 7 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/11 V-FWD 2/11 V-FWD 2/15 · 2 15 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/20 V-FWD 2/20 2 20 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/24 2 24 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/28 2 28 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/33 V-FWD 2/33 2 33 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/37 2 37 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/41 2 41 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 2/46 2 46 0 1 0.0 V-FWD 3/12 3 12 1 1 0.0 / LBOSEG,LBOSPT,NSPHC,NANG,ANGDEG 2 NPTSHL-V V-FWD 1/01 1 1 -1 1 0.0 V-FWD 3/12 3 12 -1 1 NPTSUB 0.0 / LBOSEG, LBOSPT, NSPHC, NANG, ANGDEG 1 PLT CNTR

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

÷

1. 2 . a a a a

and the second of an and the second

.

article and the second the second s Second second

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6145	2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5002	2
3.	Professor Y. S. Shin, Code 69Sg Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000	5
4.	Professor R. E. Newton, Code 69Ne Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000	1.
5.	Department Chairman, Code 69 Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000	1
6.	Dr. N. T. Tsai Defense Nuclear Agency SPSS Washington, D.C. 20305-1000	3
7.	Dr. E. Sevin Defense Nuclear Agency Washington, D.C. 20305-1000	1
8.	Dr. M. L. Baron Weidlinger Associates 333 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10001	1
9.	Dr. Ranlet Weidlinger Associates 333 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10001	1

10. Dr. Andrew P. Misovec 5202 W. Military Hwy Chesapeake, Virginia 23321

and it is also with the weather and the state of the

washing analyzing resident

11. Dr. B. Whang, Code 1750.2 Hull Group Head, Submarine Protection Div. David Taylor Naval Ship Research and Development Center Bethesda, Maryland 20084

1

1

1

2

1

1

and the second

- 12. Dr. Huang, Code R14 Naval Surface Weapon Center White Oaks Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
- 13. LCDR S. A. Weinhardt, USN 6611 Belmead Drive Dallas, Texas 75230
- W. T. Weinhardt 14. 6611 Belmead Drive Dallas, Texas 75230
- 15. LT N. Williams, USN 125 Surf Way, Apt. 438 125 Suff Way, Apt. 438 Monterey, California 93940 109 Monterey, California 93940

