REPORT SD-TR-85-78



Eiffraction and the Radiometric Levitation of Carbon Aerosol Using a Nd:YAG Laser

· -

Prepared by

A. B. PLUCHINO Chemistry and Physics Laboratory Laboratory Operations The Aerospace Corporation El Segundo, Calif. 90245

2 December 1985

20030122020

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

OTIC FILE COPY

MAY 0 5 1388 E

26

Prepared for

SPACE DIVISION AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND Los Angeles Air Force Station P.O. Box 92960, Worldway Postal Center Los Angeles, Calif. 90009

691 AD-A167

This report was submitted by The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, CA 90245, under Contract No. F04701-85-C-0086 with the Space Division, P.O. Box 92960, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, CA 90009-2960. It was reviewed and approved for The Aerospace Corporation by S. Feuerstein, Director, Chemistry and Physics Laboratory.

Lt Charles C. Neidhart was the project officer for the Mission-Oriented Investigation and Experimentation (MOIE) Program.

This report has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Office (PAS) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication. Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

CHARLES C. NEIDHART, Lt, USAF MOIE Project Officer SD/CGX

OSEPH HESS, GM-15 Director, AFSTC West Coast Office AFSTC/WCO OL-AB

REPORT DO	CUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCE	SSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
SD-TR-85-78	TD-T	
TITLE (and Subtitio)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
DIFFRACTION AND THE	RADIOMETRIC LEVITATION	OF
CARBON AEROSOL USING	G A Nd:YAG LASER	
а. — Э	·	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		E. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
A. B. Pluchino		F04701-85-C-0086
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, FROJECT, TASK
The Aerospace Corpor	ration	
El Segundo, Calif. S	90245	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME	AND ADDRESS	2 December 1985
Air Force Svetema C	ommand	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Los Angeles, Calif.	90009	21
MONITORING AGENCY NAME	& ADDRESS(II different from Controlling	Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
,		Unclassified
	• •	IL DECLASSICATION/CONNERADING
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public	release; distribution w	inlimited.
Approved for public	release; distribution w	inlimited.
Approved for public	release; distribution u	Inlimited.
Approved for public	release; distribution u	Inlimited.
Approved for public	release; distribution u	Inlimited.
Approved for public	release; distribution w	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*	release; distribution u	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*	release; distribution u	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*	release; distribution u	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (*	release; distribution of the ebecraci entered in Block 20, 11 d	inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	release; distribution u	inlimited. //ferent from Report)
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	release; distribution u of the abstract entered in Block 20, if d e elde if necessary and identify by bloc Photophoresis Baddometric	inlimited. //ferent frem Report)
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction evitation	release; distribution u of the shetrest entered in Bleck 20, 11 de e side 11 necessary and identify by bleck Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* BUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on revers lerosol)iffraction ,evitation	release; distribution of the electroni entered in Block 20, 11 de enter it necessary and identify by bloc Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on revers Aerosol Diffraction Levitation	release; distribution of the electron entered in Block 20, 11 de elect 11 necessary and identify by bloc Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering	inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction Levitation	release; distribution of the elder if necessary and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering	Inlimited. //ferent from Report) Lt number)
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction Levitation (************************************	release; distribution of of the electronic entered in Block 20, 11 de e elde 11 necessary and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction Levitation ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse Experimental observa in the beam of a Nd:	release; distribution of the electroni entered in Block 20, if di e elde if nearcoury and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering e elde if nearcoury and identify by block ations of highly absorbd :YAG laser are presented	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction Levitation Cesperimental observa- in the beam of a Nd: responsible is discu	release; distribution of the electron entered in Block 20, if di e elde if necessary and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering e elde if necessary and identify by block ations of highly absorbd :YAG laser are presented ussed along with possibl	Inlimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction Levitation (************************************	release; distribution of the electron entered in Block 20, if di elde if necessary and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering elde if necessary and identify by block ations of highly absorbi :YAG laser are presented ussed along with possible	Infimited.
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORDS (Continue on reverse Aerosol Diffraction Levitation ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse Experimental observa in the beam of a Nd: responsible is discu- of this phenomenon.	release; distribution of the electroni entered in Block 20, 11 de vide 11 necessary and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering wide 11 necessary and identify by block ations of highly absorbd :YAG laser are presented ussed along with possibl	<pre>inlimited. //termst from Report) // termst-term // ing carbon particles trapped i. The physical mechanism le application and implications</pre>
Approved for public DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (* BUPPLEMENTARY NOTES EY WORDS (Continue on reverse lerosol)iffraction ,evitation BSTRACT (Continue on reverse Caperimental observa In the beam of a Nd responsible is discu- of this phenomenon.	release; distribution of the electron entered in Block 20, if d e side if nearcoary and identify by block Photophoresis Radiometric Force Scattering e side if nearcoary and identify by block ations of highly absorbi :YAG laser are presented ussed along with possibl	Inlimited.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	5
11.	EXPERIMENTAL DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVATIONS	· 7
111.	THEORY AND CALCULATIONS	13
17.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	21
REFERENCES		23

Accession For NTIS GRA&I. 8 DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification By_____ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special Dist

FIGURES

10月1日には、10月1日に、

1.	Diagram of Experimental Apparatus Used to Trap and Observe Absorbing Carbon Microspheres	8
2.	Electron Microscope Photograph of Spherical Carbon Particles Used in the Experiment. The radii range from 0.75 to 4.0 µm	9
3.	A Single Carbon Particle Trapped Near the Focal Plane	10
4.	Two Carbon Particles Trapped Near the Focal Plane. The separation between particles is ~ 0.5 mm	12
5.	Six Carbon Particles Trapped Above the Focal Plane	12
6.	Calculated Photophoretic Force for a Spherical Carbon Particle	14
7.	Three Dimensional Plot of Time-Averaged Electromagnetic Energy Density Near the Focal Plane for a Slice Through the Center of the Laser Beam	16
8.	Direction of Poynting Vector Near the Focus. Arrows represent tangent to energy flow line passing through each point	18
9.	Blow-up of x = 8.45 to 11.15 µm; z = 1080 to 1140 µm: (a) direction of Poynting vector and contours (solid lines) of constant electric energy density; (b) three dimensional plot of electric energy density	19
10.	Schematic representation of mechanism responsible for trapping a particle	20

3

N.

I. INTRODUCTION

fontid In this work we report the levitation of highly absorbing particles with This optical levitation is different from the an infrared (IR) laser beam, radiation pressure phenomenon reported by Ashkin,^{1,2} which applies to very weakly absorbing particulates. Suspension by radiation pressure requires weak absorbers since the photophoretic force 3,4 on a particle can be orders of magnitude higher than that due to photon momentum; therefore, it becomes impossible to stably trap an absorbing particle by the momentum of light alone except, possibly, in a vacuum. Photophoresis is the force on a particle due to the rebounding of ambient gas molecules with greater velocities from parts of the surface that are at a higher temperature. Absorbing nonspherical particles driven by such a force are known to migrate along complex paths. The motion can be along helical paths, complex orbits, or just irregular, random movement. For all of these paths, with the exception of the random motion, there is always a preferred direction, e.g., the axis of the orbit, due to some external factor such as the direction of the light, gravity, and applied electric and magnetic fields,

Spherical absorbing particles irradiated with a plane wave move in a straight line along the optical axis. Experimental evidence shows that the photophoretic force on a good absorber is normal to the surface irradiated and directed toward the center of the spherical particle. Using an Ar⁺ laser with an intensity profile having a minimum at the center, i.e., the doughnut mode, Lewittes et al.⁵ have stably levitated a single spherical drop of absorbing dye-impregnated glycerol. In other words, they have suspended a particle by letting it fall to the bottom of a light intensity well where the particle is nonuniformly heated so that the rebounding gas molecules produce an integrated force that keeps it centered and overcomes the gravitational influence.

Using a linearly polarized IR laser operated in the TEM₀₀ mode, we are suspending highly absorbing spherical carbon particles. This seems to be in total disagreement with our understanding of the radiometric force on a spherical particle, since for a Gaussian laser intensity profile the particle

5

-Contil

should be pushed out of the beam. To the best of our knowledge this is the first report of an absorbing particle being stably trapped in a beam of light for which external conditions have not been tailored to counteract the preferred direction of migration.

contil Probally)

In this communication we present the experimental observations and point out the physical mechanism that perhaps explains the phenomenon. Some of the possible implications of these results are then discussed with regard to providing a means for testing the electromagnetic diffraction theory of optical systems and to possible consequences of using laser beams for a variety of applications

6

びいいいいい

第二ついいいいい はままんがおん ちろう ざまういろん ひろのは、また アイディアイ と言語 へん ひろうちち

II. EXPERIMENTAL DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVATIONS

A schematic of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of an evacuable glass cell with removable CaF_2 windows at the bottom and the top. All experiments were performed with the cell at atmospheric pressure except for pressure dependence tests noted in the text. Another CaF_2 window allows viewing into the cell at a 45 deg angle. A continuous wave (cw) Nd:YAG laser, $\lambda = 1.06 \mu m$, operated in the TEM₀₀ mode with linear polarization is directed into the cell from the bottom. The laser beam is expanded to a 5 mm diameter and focussed inside the cell with a 50 mm focal length CaF_2 lens. A 40 power magnification telemicroscope in conjunction with an IR viewer, which produces a visible image that is displayed on a video screen, is used for observing the particles. Some of the figures shown below are photographs of the video display. Aerosols are introduced into the beam by depositing a few on the inside of the cop window and then tapping the window to release them. An electron microscope photograph of the spherical carbon particles used is shown in Fig. 2; the radii of these particles range from 0.75 to 4.0 μm .

Figure 3 is a photograph of a spherical carbon particle stably levitated using a laser beam with a photon flux at the focal plane of $\sim 10^3$ W/cm². The particle is trapped very near the focal plane. Our experience with single, electrostatically levitated carbon particles shows that spherical particles are a steady source of scattered radiation. Nonspherical particles, on the other hand, if illuminated with a laser are observed to twinkle. Monitoring the levitated particles in this experiment with both IR and visible radiation confirmed that the stably trapped particles are spherical and that no clumping together of particles is taking place.

Particulates have been suspended with laser beam intensities ranging from $\sim 10^2$ to 10^5 W/cm²; their localization in the beam from particle to particle remains nearly constant, with the largest variation being ~ 0.5 nm. After a particle is trapped, varying the laser power from one extreme to the other causes it to move up or down by a few tenths of a millimeter. Also, the increase in intensity results in a more stably trapped particle; i.e., at the



Fig. 1. Diagram of experimental apparatus used to trap and observe absorbing carbon microspheres.



Fig. 2. Electron microscope photograph of spherical carbon particles used in the experiment. The radii range from 0.75 to 4.0 µm.



Fig. 3. A single carbon particle trapped near the focal plane.

n vrava vravn ?: lower power the particle exhibits jitter with amplitude a frection of its size in all directions, but as the intensity is increased the jitter decreases.

If numerous particles are sprayed into the cell we observe some of the complex migrations mentioned above. In addition, a number of particles remain trapped above and below the focal plane; however, we have observed a pattern in the way they become suspended. The trapping of particles above the focal plane markedly increases when a particle is caught near the focal plane. Figure 4 shows two suspended particles; the distance between them is ~ 0.5 mm. Six levitated carbon aerosol particles are shown in Fig. 5. Using an auxiliary hand-held IR viewer, we have observed that there are actually many more trapped along the beam not seen in this figure because of the limited area viewed with the telemicroscope.

The experiment has been repeated with the laser directed down into the cell from the top and sideways by rotating the apparatus so that the optical axis is parallel to the table. These different experimental configurations produced the same results: stable suspension of carbon aerosol particles. It seems, therefore, that gravity and convection are not necessary influences for the levitation of particles. The mechanism is entirely particle, laser beam and surrounding-gas molecule dependent.

We have also performed the experiment using Al_2O_3 micron-sized spherical particles. Under the exact conditions as were used for the highly absorbing carbon particles, the weakly absorbing alumina particles were not trapped.

ዺዮጵኇ፼፼ዸዸጚዸጞዸኇዺኇዺኇዹጞዹጚዹጚዹጟዹጟዹጟዹጟዹጟዹዄጜዄኯዄዸፙጜዿዄጟዄጚዄዄዄዀጚዄዄዄዄዄዀዄዀዄዀዄዀቜጞዄኇ**ቜኇጛኯ**፟ኯዄኯዄዀዄዀቜዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀ



Fig. 4. Two carbon particles trapped near the focal plane. The separation intwish particles is ~ 0.5 mm.



Fig. 5. Six carbon particles trapped above the focal plane.

III. THEORY AND CALCULATIONS

For low Knudsen number, i.e., particle sizes much larger than the mean free path of ambient gas molecules, we have recently shown⁶ that the theory of Yalamov et al.⁷ is valid for calculating the photophoretic force on a micronsized particle. The expression for the force is

$$\vec{F} = \frac{-4 \pi R \eta^2 r \vec{I} J}{\rho T \chi}$$
(1)

where n, p, and T are, respectively, the viscosity, density, and temperature of the gas, and K is the thermal slip factor of the gas as it slides over the particle surface. The particle's radius and thermal conductivity are denoted by R and X, and I is the irradiating intensity. The anisotropy factor J is a measure of the magnitude of the force and determines its direction; a negative J results in a force that pushes the particle away from the light source slong the axis of propagation.

For gas viscosity $\eta = 2.95 \times 10^{-4}$ g/sco cm, particle thermal conductivity $\chi = 0.28$ W/cm K, using standard temperature and pressure, and taking the thermal slip factor⁸ to be K = 1.27, we calculate the force on a carbon particle irradiated with $I = 1 \times 10^3 \text{ W/cm}^2$ plane wave. The resulting force is presented in Fig. 6 as a function of particle radius. For a 1 µm particle this force is ~ 2 orders of magnitude higher than that due to gravitation and radiation pressure. We see that the highly absorbing carbon particles are pushed away from the light source. The radiation-particle-interaction picture that emerges for carbon is that the spherical particle moves in a direction which is oppraite to the surface that is irradiated; therefore, for a Gaussian intensity distribution we would expect the particle to move along the optical axis and to have a finite force component normal to the beam pushing it out of the laser. This physical picture is corraborated by the levitation of a dyeimpregnated glycerol particle mentioned above where the absorbing particle is pushed towards the intensity minimum. What we observe in our experiment is in apparent contrast with this mechanism. However, a closer inspection of the





laser intensity profile near the focus and, more important, of the direction of energy flow reveals that no contradiction exists.

After more than 60 years of experimental observations we can, finally, not only quantitatively predict the motion of a photophoresis driven spherical particle, but can use this force to optically characterize the particulate.⁶ If we can use this understanding to learn about the particle, then the next step is a usage that reveals properties of the irradiating beam not easily observed on a microscopic scale by other means. Specifically, we are referring to microscopic spatial structures and localized vectorial features of focussed laser beams.

We use the theory developed by Wolf et al. $^{9-12}$ for calculating the electromagnetic diffraction of an optical system, modified to treat a Gaussian intensity distribution, to calculate the structure of the electromagnetic field near the focal region. The calculations are performed for the experimental conditions presented above, namely, a laser beam, $\lambda = 1.06 \mu m$, passing through a 5 mm aplanatic aperture, with a 100 mm radius of curvature. A three dimensional representation of the time-averaged energy density distribution near the focal plane, showing a slice through the center of the beam, is presented in Fig. 7. The electric field is parallel to the plane shown. We see that the energy density is highly structured and exhibits maxima and minima on both sides of the focal plane. For the relatively small aperture in the experiment, which corresponds to a half angle aperture of 2.86 deg, calculation of the time-averaged electric energy density performed with the electric field parallel, perpendicular and at 45 deg, resulted in the same distribution as is presented in Fig. 7 with the magnitude cut in half. Consequently, for our experimental configuration, the electric energy density is independent of the azimuthal angle, and the contours of constant energy density are rings around the optical axis. Furthermore, at the focal plane the positions of the bright and dark rings closely correspond to the Airy diffraction pattern. If we imagine rotating Fig. 7 about the optical axis, we see that there are small volumes near the focal plane that are at lower energy levels. These low energy density volumes of themselves cannot account for the trapping of We inve established that a photophoresis-driven spherical carbon particles.





particle moves in a direction which is opposite to the surface that absorbs the light. Therefore, if the energy flow is simply diverging for positive zcoordinate values the particle should continue to move along these lines of flow even if it goes through a region of lower energy density. At this point it could be argued that the particle is caught inside an energy density well; this, of course, is a possibility for the laser pointed up experiment. However, we have shown that gravity is not necessary for trapping particles; the responsible mechanism must provide a stabilizing force in all directions that keeps the particle trapped in a small volume of space.

The formalism developed in Refs. 9-12 goes beyond the usual scalar diffraction theories and presents a method for calculating the vectorial features of the field near the focus. We use this representation to calculate the Poynting vector for the same geometry and coordinates used above. Figure 8 presents the tangents to the energy flow lines passing through each point. For the most part the beam converges to the focal plane and then diverges; however, there are regions where the energy flow is sharply directed away from this general trend. A blow-up of the x = 8.45 to 11.15 µm, z = 1080 to 1140 µm region by a factor of 30 reveals some of the complexities in the The arrows in Fig. 9 are the calculated directions of the Poynting flow. vector at the particular coordinates; to help visualize the energy flow, the dotted lines were drawn in. For an aplanatic system, this flow is quite Contrary to our usual notions, Ignatowsky, in 1919, deduced that involved. there are regions where the flow is directed back toward the object.¹⁰ The more recent detailed calculations of Ref. 12 show the flow of energy actually whirling around at the focal plane. Our calculations, as can be seen in Figs. 8 and 9, reveal a swirling motion for z > 0. In addition, these results indicate that local maxima in the intensity are accompanied by converging energy flow toward that point. Contours of constant energy density are represented by the solid lines in Fig. 9a and the three dimensional representation of this same region is shown below in Fig. 9b. For a carbon particle located at $x = 9.125 \ \mu m$ and $z = 1120 \ \mu m$ any motion toward the forward direction is halted by the higher flux of photons intercepted by the rear half of the particle. The photons do not have to be directed back toward the source; it



1

Fig. 8.

Direction of Poynting vector pear the focus. Arrows represent tangent to energy flow line passing through each point.



Fig. 9.

Blow-up of x = 8.45 to 11.15 µm; z = 1080 to 1140 µm region: (a) direction of Poynting vector and contours (solid lines) of constant electric energy density; (b) three dimensional plot of electric energy density. is only important that the rear half absorb more energy. The removal of this energy by the surrounding gas molecules imparts a force on the particle considerably greater than that due to photon momentum and is directed normal to the surface. The mechanism is schematically summarized in Fig. 10. With this pictorial insight it is easy to visualize a carbon particle "falling" into one of these energy density "holes" and becoming trapped. The observation that the number of particles trapped increases after one is suspended suggests that the electromagnetic field is further disturbed by the particle to form more intensity minima and swirling in the energy flow, which, in turn, cause more particles to be suspended and so on.



Fig. 10.

Schematic representation of mechanism responsible for trapping a particle.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have shown that absorbing spherical carbon aerosol can remain suspended in the volume swept by a focussed CW Nd:YAG laser. Convection, resulting from the heating of any part of the cell, as a possible levitating mechanism was eliminated by reproducing the same results with the beam entering the cell from the top and sideways. The two remaining known possible Since for an absorbing forces are radiation pressure and photophoresis. particulate the force due to the photon momentum is negligible compared to the radiometric force, photophoresis emerges as the only possible candidate. From Eq. (1) we see that the photophoretic force can be investigated by lowering the pressure inside the cell, or by increasing the photon flux. In both cases the particles should be displaced accordingly. Our experiments did not show a proportional displacement. We have argued that this behavior is due to the particle being surrounded by regions of higher energy density and swirling energy flow; a move towards a more intense part of the beam causes the rear part of the particle to absorb more energy, resulting in an anisotropic gas reaction that pushes the particle back. This is totally in accord with the experimental observations that particles can be trapped with the laser beam pointed in any direction and that the jitter decreases when the power is increased. The jitter decreases because the increasing intensity shrinks the volume that can be occupied by the particle and constrains movement.

The spatial variations of the electromagnetic field near the focus have been measured for a microwave beam by Carswell.¹³ Our experiment is, we believe, the first to probe the microscopic spatial structure of the field that seems, if our conjecture is borne out, to furnish experimental proof of the complex vectorial features of the energy flow due to diffraction effects. For applications of practical interest most electromagnetic diffraction theories are approximate representations of the physical interaction. Our observations seem to indicate that an absorbing micron-sized particle, perhaps electrostatically suspended as in Ref. 5, could be used to investigate the complex structure of a diffracted laser beam by directly prob-

ing the spatial variations in the near, because of the relatively low power required, as well as the far field. These types of studies could have some bearing in the development and testing of new, rigorous diffraction theories and in applications where the focusing of laser beams to small spot sizes are important, e.g., laser fusion, multiphoton absorption, and nonlinear optics.

Regardless of the mechanism responsible, these results point out that relatively low power lasers used in an aerosol environment can trap absorbing particles. Therefore, applications such as laser communication, among others, cannot assume that the distribution and motion of aerosol in the volume swept by the laser is independent of the laser itself. Calculations assuming aerosol distribution independent of laser-particle interaction might be overestimating the transmission.

REFERENCES

1.	A. Ashkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>24</u> , 156 (1970).
2.	A. Ashkin and J. M. Dziedzic, Appl. Opt. <u>19</u> , 660 (1980).
3.	O. Preining, in <u>Aerosol Science</u> , C. W. Davies, ed. (Academic, New York, 1966).
4.	N. A. Fuchs, The Mechanics of Aerosols (Pergamon, New York, 1964).
5.	M. Lewitts, S. Arnold and G. Oster, Appl. Phys. Lett. <u>40</u> , 455 (1982).
6.	A. B. Pluchino, Appl. Opt. <u>22</u> , 103 (1983).
7.	Yu. I. Yalamov, V. B. Kutukov and E. R. Shchukin, J. Colloid Interface Sci. <u>57</u> , 564 (1976).
8.	V. B. Derjaguin and Yu. I. Yalamov, in <u>International Reviews in Aerosol</u> <u>Physics and Chemistry</u> , <u>V3</u> , G. M. Hidy and J. R. Brock, eds. (Pergamon, New York, 1972).
9.	E. Wolf, Proc. Roy. Soc. <u>A253</u> , 349 (1959).
10.	B. Richards and E. Wolf, Proc. Roy. Soc. <u>A253</u> , 358 (1959).
11.	A. Boivin and E. Wolf, Phys. Rev. <u>138</u> , B1561 (1965).
12.	A. Boivin, J. Dow and E. Wolf, J. Opt. Soc. Am. <u>57</u> , 1171 (1967).
13.	A. I. Carswell, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>15</u> , 647 (1965).

23

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1986-678-004/30423

LABORATORY OPERATIONS

The Aerospace Corporation functions as an "architect-engineer" for national security projects, specializing in advanced military space systems. Providing research support, the corporation's Laboratory Operations conducts experimental and theoretical investigations that focus on the application of scientific and technical advances to such systems. Vital to the success of these investigations is the technical staff's wide-ranging expertise and its ability to stay current with new developments. This expertise is enhanced by a research program aimed at dealing with the many problems associated with rapidly evolving space systems. Contributing their capabilities to the research effort are these individual laboratories:

<u>Aerophysics Laboratory</u>: Launch vehicle and reentry fluid mechanics, heat transfer and flight dynamics; chemical and electric propulsion, propellant chemistry, chemical dynamics, environmental chemistry, trace detection; spacecraft structural mechanics, contamination, thermal and structural control; high temperature thermomechanics, gas kinetics and radiation; cw and pulsed chemical and excimer laser development including chemical kinetics, spectroscopy, - ical resonators, beam control, atmospheric propagation, laser effects and __ntermeasures.

Chemistry and Physics Laboratory: Atmospheric chemical reactions, atmospheric optics, light scattering, state-specific chemical reactions and radiative signatures of missile plumes, sensor out-of-field-of-view rejection, applied laser spectroscopy, laser chemistry, laser optoelectronics, solar cell physics, battery electrochemistry, space vacuum and radiation effects on materials, lubrication and surface phenomena, thermicoic emission, photosensitive materials and detectors, atomic frequency standards, and environmental chemistry.

Computer Science Laboratory: Program verification, program translation, performance-sensitive system design, distributed architectures for spaceborne computers, fault-tolerant computer systems, artificial intelligence, microelectronics applications, communication protocols, and computer security.

Electronics Research Laboratory: Microelectronics, solid-state device physics, compound semiconductors, radiation hardening; electro-optics, quantum electronics, solid-state lasers, optical propagation and communications; aicrowave semiconductor devices, microwave/millimeter wave measurements, diagnostics and radiometry, microwave/millimeter wave thermionic devices; atomic time and frequency standards; antennas, rf systems, electromagnetic propagation phenomena, space communication systems.

<u>Materials Sciences Jaboratory</u>: Development of new materials: metals, alloys, ceramics, polymers and their composites, and new forms of carbon; nondestructive evaluation, component failure analysis and reliability; fracture mechanics and stress corrosion; analysis and evaluation of materials at cryogenic and elevated temperatures as well as in space and enemy-induced environments.

<u>Space Sciences Laboratory</u>: Magnetospheric, auroral and cosmic ray physics, wave-particle interactions, magnetospheric plasma waves; atmospheric and lonospheric physics, density and composition of the upper atmosphere, remote sensing using atmospheric radiation; solar physics, infrared astronomy, infrared signature analysis; effects of solar activity, magnetic storms and nuclear explosions on the earth's atmosphere, ionosphere and magnetosphere; effects of electromagnetic and particulate radiations on space systems; space instrumentation.