



MICROCOP

1.1.1.1.

CHART

•

MRC Technical Summary Report #2923 ITERATIVE REFINEMENT OF THE METHOD OF MOMENTS

George Miel



14. 11 Aug. 4.4. 144. 147 146. 141

Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin—Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53705

March 1986

NTIC FILE COPY

Salar Charles

(Received February 25, 1986)



Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

86 5 20 130

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

ITERATIVE REFINEMENT OF THE METHOD OF MOMENTS

George Miel

Technical Summary Report #2923 March 1986

ABSTRACT

It is observed that Vorobyev's method of moments, because it is a specialized form of Galerkin's method, is easily accelerated by the use of Sloan's trick of taking first iterates. The improvement, which is nearly costless since it requires no new quantities, is illustrated in a numerical example.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 65J10, 65B99 Key Words: Method of moments, Iterative refinement Work Unit Number 3 (Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing)

	Acces	ion For	
	NTIS DTIC Unanr Justifi	CRA&I	
	By Dist.it	pution (
3		Availability Codes	E.
	Dist	Avail and/or Special	
*Address: P. O. Box 9208, Marina del Rey, CA 90295.	A-1		
Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG2	9-80-C-	0041.	

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Operator equations have countless realizations in miscellaneous engineering and scientific applications. The language of functional analysis provides a powerful and unifying tool for the study of phenomena associated with the computational solution of such equations. The functional analytic approach avoids tedious details and allows the analyst to focus on major issues. This methodology, though it may not produce actual software, can provide invaluable conceptual understanding.

We deal with the approximate solution of the equation

$$(J - K)u = v$$

where the operators J - K and J are assumed invertible, by the use of Vorobyev's method. This technique uses the n + 1 "moments"

 $z_0 = J^{-1}v, \quad z_i = J^{-1}Kz_{i-1}, \quad 1 \le i \le n$

in order to get an approximate solution of the form

$$y_n = \xi_0 z_0 + \xi_1 z_1 + \cdots + \xi_{n-1} z_{n-1}$$

Under proper conditions, the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to the true solution $u^* = (J - K)^{-1}v$.

Sloan has shown that, given an approximate solution u_n to the equation, the first iterate

$$\bar{u}_n = J^{-1} \kappa u_n + z_0$$

is usually more accurate than u_n itself. Specifically, under adequate conditions, the sequence of first iterates $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ converges to u^* faster than the sequence $\{u_n\}$. The aim of our note is to report the simple but useful observation that Sloan's iterative refinement is applicable to Vorobyev's method and that the computation of the first iterate,

$$\bar{y}_n = z_0 + \xi_0 z_1 + \cdots + \xi_{n-1} z_n$$

involves only known quantities. A numerical example involving an integral equation illustrates this nearly costless improvement.

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

ITERATIVE REFINEMENT OF THE METHOD OF MOMENTS

George Miel*

Let E and F denote Hilbert spaces. Given bounded linear operators J,K : E + Fand an element $v \in F$, consider the split equation of the first kind

$$(\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K})\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \,. \tag{1}$$

Assume that J has a bounded inverse on F and that K is a compact operator. Equation (1) is equivalent to the equation of the second kind,

where $M = J^{-1}K$ is a compact operator and $w = J^{-1}v$. The aim of this note is to report the observation that Vorobyev's method of moments [8] can be advantageously improved by Sloan's iterative refinement [6]. Since the quantities needed for the latter procedure are conveniently computed in the former method, the cost of the improvement is insignificant. After a brief description of the pertinent procedures, we illustrate the effect of the iterative refinement on a Fredholm integral equation with a Green's kernel.

Sloan's Iterative Refinement

We first need to describe Galerkin's method for equation (1). Let $F_n = JE_n$, where E_n is a finite-dimensional subspace of E, and let P_n be the orthogonal projection of F onto F_n . The Galerkin method consists of solving the approximate equation

$$(J - P_n \kappa) u_n = P_n v, u_n \in E_n$$
.

This equation is equivalent to the equation

$$\mathbf{u}_{n} = \mathbf{O}_{n}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}_{n} + \mathbf{O}_{n}\mathbf{w} , \qquad (3)$$

where $\rho_n = J^{-1}P_nJ$ is the orthogonal projection of E onto E_n . A standard result

*Address: P. O. Box 9208, Marina del Rey, CA 90295.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

states that if J - K has a bounded inverse and $Q_n x + x$ for every $x \in E$ as $n + \infty$ then, for large enough n, the operator $I - Q_n M$ has a bounded inverse on E_n , the approximate solutions $u_n = (I - Q_n M)^{-1} Q_n w$ converge to the true solution $u^* = (J - K)^{-1} v$, and the error bounds

$$|u^* - u_n| \le |(I - Q_n^M)^{-1}| + |u^* - Q_n^{-1}|$$
 (4)

are valid. We refer to Krasnosel'skii et al. [4] for a proof.

, Sloan [6] has shown that the sequence of first iterates

$$\bar{u}_n = M u_n + w \tag{5}$$

converges more rapidly to the true solution. Moreover, once u_n is known, the iterate \bar{u}_n can be computed with little cost. Indeed, letting $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ constitute a basis for E_n , since the Galerkin method requires the quantities $\bar{\varphi_1} = M \varphi_1$ in the setting-up of the $n \times n$ linear system needed to find $u_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \varphi_i$, the iterate $\bar{u}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \overline{\varphi_i} + w$ is easily obtained.

To see the improvement of \bar{u}_n over u_n , we proceed as follows. Define an operator by

$$M_n = MQ_n$$

Then, (3) and (5) imply that \bar{u}_n is a solution of the equation

$$\vec{u}_n = M_n \vec{u}_n + w \cdot \cdot$$

Verify now that

$$(I - M_n)(u^{\dagger} - \bar{u}_n) = (M - M_n)(u^{\dagger} - Q_n u^{\dagger})$$
.

From this expression, we get the error bound,

$$u^* - \bar{u}_n l < l(I - M_n)^{-1} l + lM - M_n l + lu^* - O_n u^* l$$

which shows improvement over the error bound in (4). See Sloan [6] for details.

Recent applications of the iterative refinement to integral equations can be found in Chandler [1], Graham [3], and Spence and Thomas [7]. A functional analytic overview of the iterated Galerkin procedure is given in Chatelin and Lebbar [2] and in Schock [5].

Vorobyev's Method of Moments

We turn our attention back to equation (1) and its equivalent formulation (2).

Consider the n + 1 "moments"

$$z_0 = w, \quad z_1 = Mz_0, \dots, z_n = Mz_{n-1}$$
 (6)

The usual Neumann iterates $x_n = Mx_{n-1} + w$, starting with $x_0 = w$, are given by

$$x_n = z_0 + z_1 + \cdots + z_n$$

In Vorobyev's method, one uses the n + 1 moments (6) in order to find a linear combination of the first n moments,

$$y_n = \xi_0 z_0 + \xi_1 z_1 + \cdots + \xi_{n-1} z_{n-1}$$
 (7)

The algorithm proceeds like this:

1. Set-up and solve the $n \times n$ linear system

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle z_{i}, z_{j} \rangle^{\alpha} = -\langle z_{i}, z_{n} \rangle, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad (8)$$

for a₀,...,a_{n-1}.

2. Evaluate recursively the coefficients

$$\xi_0 = 1 - c\alpha_0, \ \xi_i = \xi_{i-1} - c\alpha_i, \ 1 \le i \le n - 1,$$

where $c = 1/(a_0 + \cdots + a_{n-1} + 1)$.

3. Evaluate y_n using (7).

It turns out that the algorithm is equivalent to Galerkin's method

$$y_n = Q_n M y_n + w, \quad y_n \in E_n$$
, (9)

where Q_n is the orthogonal projection of E onto $E_n = \operatorname{span}(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})$. The elements of E_n are of the form $y_n = q(M)z_0$, where

$$q(t) = \xi_0 + \xi_1 t + \cdots + \xi_{n-1} t^{n-1}$$
(10)

is an arbitrary polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$. The residual is given by

$$z_0 - y_n + My_n = p(M)z_0$$
,

where

$$p(t) = 1 - (1 - t)q(t) = c(a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + t^n) \quad (11)$$

To see that the approximate operator equation (9) represents Vorobyev's method, take t = 1 in (11), thereby showing that $c = 1/(\alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} + 1)$, and then substitute (10) and (11) and compare coefficients of like powers of t to get the recurrence relation for ξ_1 .

If the elements z_0, \ldots, z_n are linearly independent then the linear system (8) is uniquely solvable. Otherwise, the operators M and Q_nM coincide on the subspace E_n and there is then no gain to be made by using the method. If the operator J - K has a bounded inverse then, for sufficiently large n, equation (9) has a unique solution y_n and the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to the solution $(J - K)^{-1}v$ faster than any geometric progression. We refer to Vorobyev [8] for details. Miscellaneous applications of the method can be found in Chapters V and VII of the cited text.

Refinement of the Method of Moment

Since Vorobyev's method is a Galerkin method on the subspace $E_n = \operatorname{span}(z_0, \operatorname{Mz}_0, \dots, \operatorname{M}^{n-1}z_0)$, Sloan's iterative procedure can be applied. In view of (6) and (7), the desired iterate is given by

$$\bar{y}_{n} = \xi_{0} z_{1} + \xi_{1} z_{2} + \cdots + \xi_{n-1} z_{n} + w$$
 (12)

The refinement is particularly advantageous since the computation of (12) requires only already known quantities.

Example. Let $E = F = L^2[0,1]$ and J = I. Consider the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind

$$u(s) = Ku(s) + s/2$$
,

$$K : E + E, Ku(s) = \int_{0}^{1} k(s,t)u(t)dt,$$

where the kernel is given by

$$k(s,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{2} (2-t)s, & s \leq t \\ \frac{\beta}{2} (2-s)t, & s > t \end{cases}, \quad \beta = \frac{\pi^2}{4}.$$

The exact solution is $u^*(s) = sin \frac{\pi}{2} s$. We apply Vorobyev's method with three moments (n = 2):

$$z_0(s) = \frac{s}{2},$$

$$z_1(s) = Kz_0(s) = \frac{\beta}{12} (2s - s^3),$$

$$z_2(s) = Kz_1(s) = \frac{\beta^2}{720} (3s^5 - 20s^3 + 31s)$$

We find that:

$$\langle z_0, z_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{12} , \qquad \langle z_0, z_1 \rangle = \frac{\beta}{12} \cdot \frac{7}{30} ,$$

$$\langle z_0, z_2 \rangle = \langle z_1, z_1 \rangle = \frac{\beta^2}{12} \cdot \frac{71}{1260} , \qquad \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = \frac{\beta^3}{12} \cdot \frac{517}{37800} ,$$

$$\alpha_{0} + \frac{78}{30} \alpha_{1} = \frac{71}{1260} \beta^{2}$$

$$, \qquad \alpha_{0} = \frac{8\beta^{2}}{945}, \qquad \alpha_{1} = \frac{-58}{18},$$

$$78\alpha_{0} + \frac{71\beta^{2}}{42} \alpha_{1} = \frac{517}{1260} \beta^{3}$$

$$7560 = 525\pi^{2}$$

$$7560$$

$$\xi_0 = \frac{7560 - 525\pi^2}{7560 - 525\pi^2 + 4\pi^4}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{7560}{7560 - 525\pi^2 + 4\pi^4}$$

Consequently, the desired approximation is

$$y_2(s) = \xi_0 z_0(s) + \xi_1 z_1(s) = as - bs^3$$
,

where

「「 こうちょうない」「「 」」

Ł

$$a = \frac{\xi_0}{2} + \frac{\xi_1 \pi^2}{24} \approx 1.552749 ,$$

$$b = \frac{\xi_1 \pi^2}{48} \approx 0.561564 .$$

Sloan's refinement,

$$\bar{y}_{2}(s) = Ky_{2}(s) + v(s)$$
,

requires the quantities $Kz_0 = z_1$ and $Kz_1 = z_2$ which are already known. We find that

-5-

$$\bar{y}_2(s) = \xi_0 z_1(s) + \xi_1 z_2(s) + v(s)$$

= cs - ds³ + es⁵,

where

$$c = \frac{\xi_0 \pi^2}{24} + \frac{31\xi_1 \pi^4}{11520} + \frac{1}{2} \approx 1.569244$$
$$d = \frac{\xi_0 \pi^2}{48} + \frac{\xi_1 \pi^4}{576} \approx 0.6385423$$
$$e = \frac{\xi_1 \pi^4}{3840} \approx 0.06928021$$

			TABLE		
8	ນື້(s)	y ₂ (s)	y ₂ (s)	u [*] - y ₂	$u^* - \overline{y}_2$
0.00	0.00000	0.00000	0.0000	0.00000	0.0000
0.20	0.30902	0.30606	0.30876	0.00296	0.00026
0.40	0.58779	0.58516	0.58754	0.00263	0.00025
0.60	0.80902	0.81035	0.80901	-0.00133	0.00001
0.80	0.95106	0.95468	0.95116	-0.00362	-0.00010
1.00	1.00000	0.99118	0.99998	0.00882	0.00002

The above table illustrates the nearly free improvement of the iterate \vec{y}_2 over y_2 .

-6-

REFERENCES

- G. A. CHANDLER, Superconvergence for second kind integral equations, in Application and Numerical Solution of Integral Equations, R. S. Anderssen, F. R. de Hoog, and M. A. Lukas, eds., Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980.
- 2. F. CHATELIN and R. LEBBAR, The iterated projection solution for the Fredholm integral equation of second kind, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, v. 22, 1981, pp. 439-451.
- I. G. GRAHAM, Galerkin methods for second kind integral equations with singularities, Math. Comp., v. 39, 1982, pp. 519-533.
- 4. M. A. KRASNOSEL'SKII <u>et al.</u>, Approximate Solution of Operator Equations, translated from Russian by D. Louvish, Wolters-Noordhoff, 1972.
- 5. E. SCHOCK, Arbitrarily slow convergence, uniform convergence and superconvergence of Galerkin-like methods, IMA J. Numer. Anal. (to appear).
- I. H. SLOAN, Improvement by iteration for compact operator equations, <u>Math. Comp.</u>, v.
 30, 1976, pp. 758-764.
- A. SPENCE and K. S. THOMAS, On superconvergence properties of Galerkin's method for compact operator equations, <u>INA J. Numer. Anal.</u>, v. 3, 1983, pp. 253-271.
- Yu V. VOROBYEV, Method of Moments in Applied Mathematics, translated from Russian by
 B. Seckler, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965.

GM:scr

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
2923	
TITLE (and Subtitie)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
	Summary Report - no specific
ITERATIVE REFINEMENT OF THE METHOD OF MO	MENTS reporting period
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
· AUTHOR(.)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
George Miel	DAAG29-80-C-0041
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Mathematics Research Center, University	of Work Unit Number 3 -
610 Walnut Street W	Sconsin Numerical Analysis and
Madison, Wisconsin 53705	Scientific Computing
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS If S. Army Research Office	12. REPORT DATE
$P \cap Box [22]]$	MAICH 1980
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 2	7709 7
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Con	trolling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u	¹⁵ E. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Inlimited.
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 2	15 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enfored in Black 2 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	15 e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Inlimited.
 6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution u 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 2 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Method of moments Iterative refinement 	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Inlimited. 0, if different from Report) by block number)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

