

AD-A167 410

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NICKEL SUBSTITUTED
FESC2(U) BRONN UNIV PROVIDENCE RI DEPT OF CHEMISTRY
Z ZHANG ET AL. 12 MAY 86 TR-1 N00014-86-K-0234


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 7/2

NL





MICROCOPY

CHART

12

AD-A167 410

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
CONTRACT N000 14-86K0234

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 1

Preparation and Characterization of Nickel Substituted Fe_5C_2

by

Z-D. Zhang, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight and A. Wold

Prepared for publication
in
Materials Research Bulletin

DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 15 1988
S D

Brown University
Chemistry Department
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

May 12, 1986

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited

DTIC FILE COPY

86 5 15 002

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A167410	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NICKEL SUBSTITUTED Fe₅C₂	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 1	
7. AUTHOR(s) Z-D. Zhang, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight, A. Wold	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N000 14-86K0234	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS AARON WOLD DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, BROWN UNIVERSITY PROVIDENCE, RI 02912	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DR. DAVID NELSON OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CODE 472	12. REPORT DATE May 12, 1986	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 6 abstract only	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUBMITTED TO MATERIALS RESEARCH BULLETIN		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) MAGNETIC ANALYSIS; IRON-NICKEL CARBIDE		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Nickel can be substituted into Fe₅C₂ to the extent of 20 atomic percent. Samples were prepared by codecomposition of mixed nitrates followed by reduction at 290°C in a 9:1 H₂:CO atmosphere. Confirmation of the limit of substitution was made by both magnetic and x-ray analysis.		

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NICKEL SUBSTITUTED Fe_5C_2

Z-D. Zhang, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight and A. Wold
Chemistry Department, Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

ABSTRACT

Nickel can be substituted into Fe_5C_2 to the extent of 20 atomic percent. Samples were prepared by codecomposition of mixed nitrates followed by reduction at 290°C in a 9:1 $\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ atmosphere. Confirmation of the limit of substitution was made by both magnetic and x-ray analysis.

MATERIALS INDEX: Magnetic analysis, Iron-nickel carbide

Introduction

It has been shown by a number of investigators (1-4) that the catalytic activity of iron catalysts, used to reduce CO by hydrogen, was related to the extent of carbide formation. Fournier et al. (5) reported that the phases Fe_5C_2 and Fe were detectable both from x-ray diffraction patterns and from thermomagnetic curves taken from products which were quenched after 10 minutes of reaction time (at maximum activity). It was shown that the active catalysts formed from both Fe_2O_3 and metallic iron contain Fe_5C_2 . Catalyst deactivation is most probably associated with unreactive graphite which is formed during the methanation process.

Fe_5C_2 has been prepared by the reduction of iron oxide in a $\text{CO}:\text{H}_2$ atmosphere 1:4 between 300 and 360°C (6). The carbide is isostructural with Mn_5C_2 , and a range of solid solutions exist between Fe_5C_2 and Mn_5C_2 (7). It has been reported (8-10) that nickel carbide Ni_3C and iron carbide Fe_3C are completely soluble in one another, but the stability of the mixed carbide decreases with increasing nickel content.

Bernier (11) observed the beginning of decomposition of Ni_3C on heating between 210 and 235°C , depending on the previous heat treatment. It was reported by Browning and Emmett (12) that Ni_3C decomposed completely when heated at 370°C for 24 hours. Furthermore, it was reported by Unmuth et al. (13) that no nickel carbide was observed to form when nickel dispersed on SiO_2 was heated in a stream of $3\text{H}_2:\text{CO}$ at 256°C .

CONT

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

1



A-1

Supported and unsupported iron-nickel catalysts have been studied by several research groups (13, 14). Raupp and Delgass (14) have indicated that alloys of nickel with iron in approximately equimolar amounts did not form carbides when heated with 3.3 H₂:CO synthesis gas at 523K for 6 hours. Carbide formation was reported to occur only for iron-rich phases. Unmuth et al. (13) showed that both nickel-poor and nickel-rich iron phases could form carbides. The mixed metal carbides could be stabilized to about 500°C.

There have been no reports concerning the crystallographic or magnetic properties of the system Fe_{5-x}Ni_xC₂. Since Fe₅C₂ shows a Curie point at 246°C, any substitution of nickel into the phase could be determined by changes in the Curie point. Furthermore, the optimum solubility of nickel into Fe₅C₂ could be determined from x-ray diffraction studies of members of the system Fe_{5-x}Ni_xC₂. Since pure nickel does not appear to carbide under Fisher-Tropsch conditions, it should be present as a separate phase when maximum solubility is achieved.

Experimental

Preparation of Samples

Samples of mixed nickel(II) oxide and iron(III) oxide were prepared by codecomposition of the nitrates [Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Fisher certified]. Calculated quantities of the nitrates, to give final oxide compositions containing 20 and 33 atomic percent of nickel, were dissolved in water (2 ml/g of sample). The solution was then dried at 150°C for 12 hours, ground, and heated at 400°C for 24 hours.

Two hundred mg of the mixed oxides were then cold pressed at 90,000 psi and the resulting pellets were sieved to a size of 20-60 mesh. In a typical carbide preparation, 0.5g of pellets were loaded into a microreactor (5). The mixed oxides were heated at ambient pressure and a H₂:CO ratio of 9:1. The gases hydrogen (Matheson, ultrahigh purity), an 81%:19% carbon monoxide:helium mixture (Matheson > 99.99%), and argon (Matheson, ultrahigh purity) were used as supplied. The system was initially purged with argon at room temperature and the sample was then heated to 290°C for 45 minutes under a 60 cm³/min flow of argon. The reaction was then carried out with a 9H₂:CO gas mixture (60 cm³/min) at ambient pressure for 60 hours. At the end of this time the product was characterized by x-ray diffraction analysis and its magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature was determined.

In order to properly characterize the carbides formed, their crystallographic and magnetic properties were compared to those of a mechanical mixture of nickel metal and pure Fe₅C₂ (0.5 mol Ni + 0.1 mol Fe₅C₂). The nickel metal was obtained by reducing NiO with carbon monoxide and hydrogen (1:9) mixtures at 290°C for 24 hours. Pure Fe₅C₂ was prepared by heating α-Fe₂O₃ with carbon monoxide and hydrogen (1:9) at 290°C for 24 hours. The final mixture was obtained by mixing the two end products in the desired mole ratios.

X-Ray Analysis

X-ray powder patterns of the samples were obtained using a Philips diffractometer and monochromated high intensity CuKα₁ radiation (λ = 1.5405Å).

The diffraction patterns were taken in the range $12^\circ < 2\theta < 80^\circ$ with a scan rate of $1^\circ (2\theta) \text{ min}^{-1}$ and a chart speed of 30 in h^{-1} .

The nickel (200) x-ray diffraction peak overlaps a cluster of three unresolved peaks in the Fe_5C_2 pattern. Consequently, analysis of the concentration of nickel can only be achieved by using a pattern-fitting procedure. In such a procedure, "standard" nickel and Fe_5C_2 patterns are adjusted and combined in various proportions to construct "trial" patterns; the trial patterns are compared with the experimental pattern, and the phase concentrations are deduced from the best fit.

The most reliable "standard" patterns are those obtained experimentally from samples of the pure phases. Accordingly, slow x-ray scans at $0.25^\circ (2\theta) \text{ min}^{-1}$ were taken over the range $49^\circ < 2\theta < 54^\circ$ for both pure nickel and pure Fe_5C_2 . These were digitized using a HP 7225A plotter to yield standards suitable for computer processing.

A computer program (written in Microsoft Basic and compiled) has been developed (15) which employs the simplex method (16) to achieve a least-squares fit of a digitized x-ray pattern using experimental standard patterns for two possible phases. A close fit to the pattern for a 50 at% nickel/ Fe_5C_2 mechanical mixture was obtained and served to calibrate the intensity of the standard nickel peak against the Fe_5C_2 standard.

Determination of Curie Points

Curie points for members of the series $\text{Fe}_{5-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{C}_2$ as well as the mechanical mixtures of metallic nickel and Fe_5C_2 were made using a Faraday balance (17) equipped with a high temperature heater. In order to prevent any decomposition, the samples were transferred from the microreactor under argon to a glove box where they were put into small glass bubbles, evacuated and sealed. The sealed samples were heated in an atmosphere of 40 torr helium from room temperature to 500°C at a rate of $30^\circ/\text{min}$. The relative magnetization (at a constant field strength of 100 Oe) was recorded as a function of temperature using a Bascom-Turner Acquisition Center, Model 4110.

Results and Discussion

Samples of Fe_5C_2 , 20 and 33 atomic percent nickel substituted $\text{Fe}_{5-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{C}_2$ were prepared by the codecomposition of iron-nickel nitrates followed by reduction in a 9:1 hydrogen:carbon monoxide atmosphere. The relative magnetization as a function of temperature for these samples is plotted in Fig. 1. Included in the figure is the magnetization of a 1:1 mechanical mixture of Fe_5C_2 and metallic nickel. From Fig. 1 it can be seen that the 33 atomic percent nickel sample has lowered the Curie point of Fe_5C_2 from 250°C to 230°C . It is also evident that not all of the nickel substituted for iron in this sample. It appears that the optimum substitution of nickel for iron in Fe_5C_2 under the conditions of preparation resulted in the composition Fe_4NiC_2 .

These results were corroborated by the x-ray diffraction study. In Fig. 2, it can be seen that the 33 percent substituted nickel sample can best be fitted to the diffraction peaks assuming a 20% nickel substituted phase and metallic

Thermomagnetic Analysis




FIG. 1

Variation of magnetization with temperature, showing the shift in Curie temperature between pure Fe_5C_2 and reacted $\text{Ni}/\text{Fe}_5\text{C}_2$ samples. The curve for a 50 at% mechanical mixture of Ni and Fe_5C_2 is included for comparison.

nickel. These results confirm the magnetic data which indicated an optimum substitution corresponding to the stoichiometry Fe_4NiC_2 . For a 25 atomic percent nickel sample, there was clear evidence for the presence of metallic nickel.

X-ray Analysis

②




FIG. 2

X-ray pattern of a reacted 33 at% Ni/Fe₅C₂ sample. The fitted curves based on Ni + Fe₅C₂ and on Ni + 20 at% Ni/Fe₅C₂ are shown for comparison.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. The authors also express their appreciation to the National Science Foundation for the partial support of K. Dwight and to Brown University's Materials Research Laboratory which is funded by the National Science Foundation.

References

1. G. P. Raupp and W. N. Delgass, *J. Catal.* 58, 337 (1979).
2. J. A. Amelse, J. B. Butt and L. H. Schwartz, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 82, 558 (1978).
3. J. W. Niemantsverdriet, A. M. van Dijk and H. S. van der Baan, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 84, 3363 (1980).
4. J. W. Niemantsverdriet and A. M. van de Kraan, *J. Catal.*, 72, 385 (1981).
5. J. Fournier, L. Carreiro, Y-T. Qian, S. Soled, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight, and A. Wold, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 58, 211 (1985).
6. J. P. Senateur, *Ann. Chim.*, 2, 103 (1967).
7. K. H. Jack and S. Wild, *Nature*, 212, 248 (1966).
8. E. C. Bain, *Trans. Am. Inst. Min. Metall. Engrs.*, 100 13 (1932).
9. P. L. G. Samuel, L. G. Finch, and J. R. Rait, *Nature Lond.* 175, 37 (1955).
10. H. G. Goldschmidt, "Interstitial Alloys," Butterworth (1967).
11. R. Bernier, *Ann. Chim. (Paris)*, 6, 104 (1951).
12. L. C. Browning and P. H. Emmett, *J.A.C.S.*, 74, 1680 (1952).
13. E. E. Unmuth, L. H. Schwartz and J. B. Butt, *J. Catal.*, 63, 404 (1980).
14. G. P. Raupp and W. N. Delgass, *J. Catal.*, 58, 348 (1979).
15. Y-C. Zhang, S. Davison, R. Brusasco, Y-T. Qian, K. Dwight and A. Wold. *J. Less-Common Metals*, 116 (1), 299 (1986).
16. J. A. Nelder and R. Mead, *Computer J.*, 7, 308 (1985).
17. B. Morris and A. Wold, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 39, 1937 (1968).

END

DTic

6-86