

AD-A167 338

CHARACTERIZATION OF ANTIBODY-DEPENDENT KILLING OF  
TRYPANOSOMES BY MACROPHAGES(U) ALBERT EINSTEIN COLL OF  
MEDICINE BRONX NY D L ROSENSTREICH ET AL. JAN 84


1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

DAND17-81-C-1196

F/G 6/5

ML





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

(12)

AD-A167 338

AD \_\_\_\_\_

Characterization of Antibody-Dependent Killing  
of Trypanosomes by Macrophages.

Annual Summary Report

David L. Rosenstreich, M.D. and  
Hellen C. Greenblatt, Ph.D.

January 1984

Supported by

U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012

Contract No. DAMD17-81-C-1196

Albert Einstein College of Medicine  
Bronx, New York 10461

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not be construed as an official Department of  
the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DTIC  
SELECTED  
MAY 06 1986  
S D

FILE COPY

86 5 6 101

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 1300 Morris Park Avenue Bronx, New York 10461		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research & Development Command		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DAMD17-81-C-1196	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 62770A		PROJECT NO. 3M1- 62770A871	
		TASK NO. AE		WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 074	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Characterization of Antibody-Dependent Killing of Trypanosomes by Macrophages					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) David L. Rosenstreich, M.D., Hellen C. Greenblatt, Ph.D.					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Annual Report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 83/4/12 TO 83/11/14		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1984 January	
				15. PAGE COUNT 33	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
06	13		African Trypanosomes		
06	03		Trypanosome rhodesiense		
			Macrophages		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) In the presence of specific antibody, murine peritoneal macrophages and macrophage-like cell lines bind <u>Trypanosoma rhodesiense</u> parasites <u>in vitro</u> . There is a high correlation between the ability of these products to neutralize trypanosome infection <u>in vivo</u> and mediate binding of trypanosomes to macrophages <u>in vitro</u> and a high coincidence between function in the macrophage-binding assay and the ability of monoclonal antibody to bind trypanosomes in an indirect immunofluorescence assay. Trypanosomes do not actively penetrate macrophages, but instead are phagocytized by the macrophages. The C3 component of complement is an important co-factor in IgG mediated binding and uptake of trypanosomes by macrophages. There is a partial genetic regulation of this phenomenon. Normal peritoneal macrophages from C57BL/6, BALB/c, and C3H/HeJ mice do not differ in their trypanosome-binding ability. However, 10 days post-infection, cells from genetically susceptible, BALB/c mice bound more trypanosomes than did those from infected genetically resistant, C57BL/6 mice. Both murine (P388D1 and J774.2) and human (BB, CG, CS,					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Mrs. Virginia M. Miller			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 301/663-7325		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SGRD-RMS

## CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

For the period 14 January 1983 to 14 November 1983.

This contract was initiated in September 1981 and renewed November 1982 to characterize in detail the phenomenon of macrophage binding of opsonized T. rhodesiense (Greenblatt, et al. 1983). Using this in vitro assay, the contract had the following continuing goals:

1. screen new hybridoma-derived monoclonal antibodies for anti-trypanosomal activity,
2. compare monoclonal antibodies which mediate binding of trypanosomes to macrophages for their ability to neutralize trypanosomes in vivo,
3. analyze the effect of specific complement components in enhancing macrophage binding of trypanosomes,
4. characterize macrophage functions and receptors active in this system,
5. determine whether macrophages from mice which differ greatly in their natural resistance to infection with T. rhodesiense, exhibit different capabilities in their binding and/or killing of trypanosomes in vitro, and
6. test panels of murine and human cell lines for use in this system, in lieu of freshly explanted mouse peritoneal macrophages.

### a) MACROPHAGE BINDING ASSAY

In the presence of trypanosome-specific antibodies, cultured peritoneal macrophages or macrophage cell lines will bind and internalize Trypanosoma rhodesiense (Greenblatt, et al. 1983). The findings of Mac Askill, et al. (1980) suggest that this in vitro system reflects a physiological pathway for trypanosome clearance.

In this assay, monolayers of resident peritoneal macrophages derived from C57BL/6 mice were cultured in tissue culture chamber slides (Lab Tek, Miles,

## FOREWORD

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-23, Revised 1978).

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Title Page	
DD 1473	
Foreword	
Table of Contents	
Text of Consolidated Annual Report - Contract Goals and Progress	
a) Macrophage Binding Assay.	<u>1-2</u>
b) Development of Monoclonal Antibodies and Their Ability to Neutralize Infection.	<u>2-4</u>
c) Analysis of Monoclonal Antibodies.	<u>5-6</u>
d) Comparison of the Binding and Neutralization Capabilities of Monoclonal Antibodies.	<u>6-14</u>
e) Dissociation of Binding and Internalization.	<u>14-16</u>
f) Analysis of the Complement Components and Pathways Required to Enhance Binding of <u>T. Rhodesiense</u> to Macrophages.	<u>17-19</u>
g) Analysis of Uptake by Macrophages From Resistant and Susceptible Mice.	<u>19-22</u>
h) Use of Continuous Cell Lines as Indicator Cells.	<u>23-26</u>
References	<u>27-28</u>
Distribution List	

Elkhart, IN) at 37°C in a 9% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 6-18h. At the end of the incubation period non-adherent cells were removed, fresh media placed onto remaining cells, and the slides incubated for a total period of 48-72h.

Monolayers were then exposed to trypanosomes in the presence of antibody specific to the trypanosomes (generated in rabbits or rats exposed to the appropriate trypanosome strain) or to WRATat 1-specific monoclonal antibodies developed by K. Esser (Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR) Washington, D.C.). After incubation of cultures with antibody and trypanosomes for 30 min. the cultures were washed, fixed with Giemsa stain, and then assayed by light microscopy. One hundred cells were observed and categorized as follows:

- a) macrophages with surface-bound or internalized trypanosomes
- b) macrophages with no evidence of trypanosome on their surface
- c) macrophages with internalized trypanosome debris.

#### **b) DEVELOPMENT OF MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES AND THEIR ABILITY TO NEUTRALIZE INFECTION**

Klaus Esser of WRAIR, Washington, D.C., has fused immunoglobulin-secreting P3/X63-Ag8 or X63-Ag8.653 (Kearney, et al. 1979) myeloma cells lines and spleen cells from WRATat-1.1 infected mice. These fusions resulted in a large number of hybridomas capable of reacting with, and neutralizing specific variants of parasites.

Neutralization of parasites, as carried out by the laboratory of K. Esser, (Hall and Esser, 1984) consisted of incubating parasites with the variant specific monoclonal antibody for 10 min and then injecting the suspension interperitoneally into the host mouse. Parasitemia was monitored by tail bleeding. The absence of parasites during the expected first wave of



infection and throughout a 30 d period was considered proof that the tested antibody was capable of neutralizing a parasitic infection with a specific variant (Hall & Esser, 1984).

TABLE I

Comparison of the ability of monoclonal antibodies to neutralize trypanosomes in vivo and mediate in vitro binding to macrophages.

Monoclonal Antibody	<u>In vivo</u> <u>Neutralization</u> **	Percent Macrophage Binding	Ig Class
31.4D1.6	0	9***	IgM
32.1A5.2	+	36	IgM
32.2A1.1	+	89	IgM
32.2A4.1	+	87	IgM
32.2A6.1	0	0	IgM
32.3F1.1	+	68	IgM
32.4G10	+	53	IgM
2.1B7.1	+	35	IgG1
* 6.7H11	0	56	IgG1
6.8C1.2	+	92	IgG1
* 6.10A4.1	0	51	IgG1
* 6.10F11.1	0	40	IgG1
* 6.11B4.1	0	58	IgG1
6.11D5	+	87	IgG1
6.12E4	+	67	IgG1
16.2A12.2	+	87	IgG1
*16.3F1.4	0	43	IgG1
2.1D8.1	0	6	IgG2a
12.3D3.4	+	79	IgG2a
12.4F3.1	+	69	IgG2a
16.1A5.3	+	92	IgG2a
16.1A8.1	+	62	IgG2a
32.1A3.1	0	3	IgG2a
32.1B5.1	+	73	IgG2a
4.1G9.1	0	3	IgG2b
12.2E7.2	+	80	IgG3
*15.2H5.1	0	41	IgG3
31.4G5.1	0	9	IgG3
32.3B1.5.1	0	10	IgG3
32.4C1.5.1	0	6	IgG3
32.3B12.1	+	86	IgG3
6.11A9	+	15	IgA

\* Indicates discrepancy between in vivo and in vitro findings.

\*\* Data supplied by K. Esser (WRAIR).

\*\*\* Less than 11% binding indicates negative binding.

### c) ANALYSIS OF MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES

Many monoclonal antibody containing ascites fluids developed by K. Esser and Ted Hall of WRAIR were provided to this laboratory for screening in our in vitro macrophage binding assay. The primary goal was to correlate in vitro binding to in vivo neutralization results, and determine whether our relatively simpler and quicker in vitro assay could replace the more lengthy, tedious, and expensive in vivo neutralization tests or indirect fluorescence tests.

Table I summarizes the ability of monoclonal antibodies to neutralize infection in vivo, and to mediate in vitro binding of trypanosomes in the presence of exogenously added rat complement. This Table is the compilation of results obtained since the initiation of this contract.

Of the monoclonal antibodies assayed, 21.9% (32) were IgM, 31.3% (32) of the IgG1 subclass, 21.9% (32) of the IgG2a subclass, and 18.8% (6) of the IgG3 subclass. IgG2b and IgA each represented 3.1% of the total (or 1 monoclonal antibody each).

71.4% (5/7) of the IgM monoclonal antibodies mediated macrophage binding of trypanosomes (i.e., more than 12% of the macrophages bound trypanosomes). 100% of the IgG1 monoclonal antibodies (10/10) and 71.4% (5/7) of the IgG2a antibodies mediated binding of trypanosomes to macrophages. Only 50% (3/6) of the IgG3 monoclonal antibodies were active in this assay. The one IgG2b antibody tested was inactive, and the one IgA antibody tested had only weak activity.

Within all immunoglobulin classes and subclasses there were monoclonal antibodies which mediate binding of trypanosomes to macrophages. Work by Hall and Esser (1984), confirms that humoral immunity to WRATat1 trypanosomes is associated with all classes of antibody.

Although the exact manner by which macrophages bind and degrade T. rhodesiense are unknown, there are several likely possibilities based on experience with other organisms. Macrophages can kill microorganisms or tumor cells via either an extra- or intra-cellular mechanism. Antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) of tumor cells is closely analogous to what may occur with trypanosomes and is an example of extracellular killing. Depending on the nature of the ingested organism, intracellular killing may be intraphagosomal or intracytoplasmic; these mechanisms may require functional lysosomal enzymes or different oxygen intermediates. In all of these cases, no phagocytosis and intracellular killing can occur unless an initial binding step has occurred.

The ability of monoclonal antibodies to promote phagocytosis (in contrast to only external binding) of trypanosomes was therefore analyzed. There was a variation in the handling of trypanosomes after they were bound. For example, 31.4D1-6.1 was a non-functional monoclonal antibody of the IgM subclass (Table I and II). It promoted neither attachment nor internalization of trypanosomes. Another monoclonal antibody of the IgM class, 32.2A1.1 mediated binding of parasites to 96% of the macrophages of which all had evidence of internalized trypanosomes. In contrast, monoclonal antibody 15.2H5.1 (IgG3) promoted binding by 63% of the macrophages, but fewer than 22% of these macrophages were able to phagocytose the parasites (Table II).

#### **d) COMPARISON OF THE BINDING AND NEUTRALIZATION CAPABILITIES OF MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES**

Table I also compares the ability of monoclonal antibody to successfully neutralize in vivo, and their ability to mediate binding of parasites to macrophages in vitro.

TABLE II

The relative ability of monoclonal antibodies to mediate binding and phagocytosis of trypanosomes

% macrophages with trypanosomes that were:

Monoclonal Antibody	Bound only	Bound and/or internalized	Negative
<u>IgM</u>			
31.4D1.6.1	1	0	99
32.2A1.1	0	96	4
32.2A4.1	11	48	41
32.2A6.1	0	0	100
32.4G10	14	39	47
<u>IgG1</u>			
2.1B7.1	6	48	36
6.7H11	3	32	65
6.10A4.1	9	42	49
6.10F11.1	7	32	60
6.11B4.1	3	55	42
6.11D5.2	14	68	18
6.12E4	4	63	33
<u>IgG2a</u>			
16.1A5.3	36	49	15
16.1A8.1	9	53	38
<u>IgG2b</u>			
4.1G9.1	1	0	99
<u>IgG3</u>			
15.2H5.1	41	22	37
31.4G5.1	1	0	99
32.4G15.1	1	0	99
<u>IgA</u>			
6.11A9.0	5	10	85

There was 81.3% (26/32) coincidence between the results of neutralization by a monoclonal antibody and its capacity to bind parasites to macrophages. There were 6 monoclonal antibodies in which the in vitro binding assay was positive, but the in vivo neutralization test was negative (Tables I and II). No antibodies neutralized in vivo but failed to mediate in vitro binding. 5 of the discrepant antibodies were of the IgG<sub>1</sub> subclass and one was an IgG3.

Hall and Esser (1984) described 3 morphological reactions when indirect fluorescence assays were performed with monoclonal antibody and WRATat-1 organisms. These were: 1) uniform, along the entire surface of the parasite, 2) focused about the region of the flagellar pocket and 3) no binding.

4/6 monoclonal antibodies that show no coincidence, bound to the region of the flagellar pocket (Table III). The remaining two antibodies did not produce detectable binding by the fluorescent assay. Binding of antibodies to the flagellar pocket could explain the discrepancy between the in vivo and in vitro results.

The pocket of the parasite has traditionally been considered as a region through which much movement occurs. Also, antisera directed against I. rhodesiense caps to an area about the flagellar pocket and is sometimes internalized (Gardiner et al, 1983). It is therefore possible that antibodies bound to the flagellar pocket might be rapidly internalized. By the time the parasite had been introduced into the host the antibody would no longer exist on the surface and the organism would no longer be opsonized. This lack of opsonization would prevent removal of the parasite. This possibility could be examined by incubating opsonized trypanosomes for varying periods of time

before placing them onto macrophage monolayers. If interiorization of the monoclonal antibodies did occur, it would be expected that the percentage of macrophages binding trypanosomes would decrease with time in culture.

TABLE III

Monoclonal Antibodies (Mab) that can mediate in vitro  
binding to macrophages but are unable to  
neutralize infections in vivo.

Monoclonal Antibody (fluorescence)*	Neutralization	% macrophage binding	Type of
6.10A4.1 (pocket)	0	51	Flagellar
6.10F11.1 (pocket)	0	40	Flagellar
6.11B4.1 (pocket)	0	58	Flagellar
16.3F1.4 (pocket)	0	43	Flagellar
6.7H11 (none)	0	56	none
15.2H5.1 (none)	0	41	none

\* Hall & Esser (1984).



The discrepancy with monoclonal antibodies 6.7H11 and 15.2H5.1 which exhibit neither fluorescence or neutralization, but were able to promote binding of trypanosomes to macrophages is harder to explain. (Table II). These conflicting results may be due to differing lots of monoclonal antibodies utilized in the two separate assays. In order to reconcile this discrepancy, these experiments will have to be repeated using aliquots of the same lot of monoclonal antibodies.

The synergistic or inhibitory effect of combinations of various monoclonal antibodies.

As outlined above, some monoclonal antibodies were not able to mediate macrophage binding of trypanosomes in vitro. It was of interest to determine whether addition of ineffective monoclonal products would interfere with the uptake that occurred in the presence of functional monoclonal antibodies. Also, since other laboratories (Hall & Esser, 1984; Ehrlich et al. 1982) have shown synergistic effects occurring when two monoclonal antibodies are mixed, we were interested in determining whether a similar phenomenon occurred in our system.

Resident peritoneal macrophages were incubated for 48 hours in Lab-Tek chambers. 20ul of the first monoclonal antibody and varying volumes (0-140ul) of the second monoclonal antibody were mixed in tubes. 0-140ul of normal mouse serum was added to keep total volumes constant. 150ul of these mixtures were added to an equal volume of WRATat-1 organisms at a concentration of  $75 \times 10^6$  trypanosomes/ml. These slides were incubated for 30 min at 37C, washed, stained, and the macrophages assayed for trypanosome-binding.

Those monoclonal antibodies that had in previous assays exhibited a strong ability to promote binding, (Table IV, lines 1 and 2) were not

TABLE IV

Macrophage-Trypanosome Binding Induced by Mixtures  
of Monoclonal Antibodies\*

	First (#1) Mab Alone	Second (#2) Mab Alone	<u>Monoclonal Antibody Mixtures</u>	
			20 ul #1 32 ul #2	20 ul #1 140 ul #2
1.	79(a)**	0(b)	73	93
2.	34(c)	0(b)	30	64
3.	26(d)	26(e)	43	54
4.	26(e)	26(d)	51	43
5.	11(f)	14(g)	13	20
6.	14(g)	11(f)	26	15

- 
- |                    |                    |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| a. 32.2A1.1 (IgM)  | f. 4.1G9.1 (IgG2b) |
| b. 31.4G5.1 (IgG3) | g. 6.11A9.0 (IgA)  |
| c. 6.11D5.2 (IgG1) |                    |
| d. 6.12E4 (IgG1)   |                    |
| e. 6.7H11 (IgG1)   |                    |

\* 32-14ul of the second monoclonal antibody (Mab) was added to 20ul of the first Mab. 150ul of the mixture was added to monolayers of macrophages simultaneously with 150ul of WRATat-1 trypanosomes.

\*\* Results represent % macrophages binding trypanosomes.

inhibited by the addition of monoclonal antibodies that had previously proven unable to mediate binding. In fact, the addition of increasing quantities of ineffective monoclonal antibodies frequently enhanced uptake compared to that which was obtained when the effective monoclonal antibodies were used alone. In the latter example, the antibodies by themselves were responsible for 79% and 34% binding respectively. When 140ul of 31.4G5.1 (a monoclonal antibody which was itself unable to mediate binding of parasites to macrophages) was added to the primary monoclonal antibody, uptake was increased to 93% and 64% (Table IV, lines 1 and 2).

Synergistic responses were also found with those monoclonal antibodies usually capable of only intermediate levels of binding. 20ul of 6.12E4 (d) in the absence of other monoclonal products promoted the binding of trypanosomes to 26% of macrophages (Table IV, line 3). 6.7H11 (e) was a monoclonal product also able to mediate only low levels of binding (Table IV, line 4). When these two monoclonal antibodies were combined, depending on the amount of secondary antibody added, 43%-54% of the macrophages were able to bind trypanosomes (Table IV, lines 3 and 4).

Addition of as much as 7-fold the amount of nonfunctional antibodies to monoclonal products which were capable of promoting only low levels of binding did not inhibit the ability of the latter reagents to mediate binding of trypanosomes to macrophages (Table IV, lines 5 and 6).

An interesting question is how a monoclonal antibody, which by itself is unable to mediate binding or uptake of parasites by macrophages, is able to enhance the binding potential of a second monoclonal antibody. Hall and Esser (1984) have also found in their system that a monoclonal antibody which was not able to bind to live organisms, was nonetheless able to increase the binding of another monoclonal. However, in contrast to our findings, they

were also able to demonstrate that some monoclonal antibodies were able to block others.

**e) DISSOCIATION OF BINDING AND INTERNALIZATION.**

Some trypanosomes, such as T. cruzi are able to actively penetrate host cells. However, the mechanism of cellular uptake of T. rhodesiense is not known. We therefore investigated whether this organism actively penetrated cells, or whether its uptake was solely by cellular phagocytosis.

**a) Analysis of the effect of temperature on uptake of trypanosomes by macrophages**

Macrophages were incubated with parasites at varying temperatures in order to dissociate binding of trypanosomes from the internalization or phagocytosis of trypanosomes. The ingestion of, as compared to the attachment of large particles by macrophages is highly temperature dependent. Macrophages bind and phagocytose well at 37°C, but at 4°C little internalization occurs (Silverstein, 1977). 150 µl of rat anti-Wellcome trypanosome antibody at dilutions of 1:2, 1:4, or 1:8, was added to an equal volume of Wellcome trypanosomes at a concentration of  $75 \times 10^6$ /ml parasites and incubated for 30 min at 4°C or 37°C. 80% of all macrophages were capable of binding trypanosomes when the macrophages were incubated at 4°C, but only 19% of the macrophages internalized parasites (data not shown). In comparison, when cells were incubated at 37°C, 39-75% of the macrophages, depending on titer of mediating antibody, were capable of both binding and digesting trypanosomes. These findings suggest that in this assay, African trypanosomes do not actively penetrate murine macrophages, but instead are phagocytized by the macrophages.

b) Effect of Iodoacetic Acid

Since it was possible that the cold inhibited trypanosome penetration as well as macrophage phagocytic activity, an alternate method for inhibiting macrophage uptake was used. Iodoacetic acid (IAA) is known to inhibit phagocytosis of sheep red blood cells (SRBC) by macrophages (Walker and Demus, 1975). IAA ( $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ) was therefore added to macrophages in the presence of SRBC and antiserum to SRBC. After incubation for 30 min at 37°C, macrophage monolayers were washed well with RPMI 1640 to remove all traces of the inhibitor, and in the presence of antiserum, trypanosomes were added to the cultures. Cultures were incubated again for 30 min at 37°C, and slides prepared.

As can be seen in control samples (Table V, lines 1 and 2), IAA did not significantly effect binding of SRBC (31% vs 22% for IAA and medium-treated macrophages respectively). It did however effect internalization of opsonized sheep red blood cells. In the presence of IAA, 23.5% of the macrophages had internalized SRBC as compared to 49% of non-treated cells (Table V). The inhibition of binding caused by IAA was actually more complete than illustrated by these data since there were much fewer internalized SRBC in the IAA heated cells than in the non-treated (data not shown).

The effect of IAA was much more pronounced with the trypanosomes. When trypanosomes and anti-trypanosome antisera were added to washed macrophages previously exposed to IAA there was a 30-fold decrease in the numbers of macrophages that had internalized trypanosomes (Table V).

These data confirm that T. rhodesiense trypanosomes do not actively penetrate macrophages, but that they are only taken up by phagocytosis.

TABLE V

Effect of Iodoacetic acid (IAA) on uptake of opsonized sheep red blood cells and trypanosomes.

Treatment of Macrophages	Particle <sup>a</sup>	Attached	Internalized	No Binding
IAA	SRBC	31.0	23.5	45.5
None	SRBC	22.0	49.0	29.0
IAA	Trypanosomes	69.5	1.5	29.0
None	Trypanosomes	49.0	44.0	7.0

<sup>a</sup> All particles were opsonized with an appropriate antibody.

**f) ANALYSIS OF THE COMPLEMENT COMPONENTS AND PATHWAYS REQUIRED TO  
ENHANCE BINDING OF T. RHODESIENSE TO MACROPHAGES**

The role of complement in resistance to African trypanosomiasis remains controversial (Ferrante and Jenkins, 1978; Takayangai et al., 1974). In previous studies (Greenblatt et al., 1983) we found that in the presence of limiting amounts of specific antisera, complement enhanced the uptake and degradation of trypanosomes by macrophages. This phenomenon was examined in greater detail.

Anti-Wellcome antisera was heat-inactivated at 53°C for 90 min and used at various concentrations depending on the titer of the lot of antiserum used. In the presence of heat-inactivated antiserum diluted 1:35, only 13% of the macrophages bound trypanosomes. Upon addition of 6% fresh rat, guinea pig, or dog complement these percentages increased to maximum uptakes of 95%, 91%, or 68% respectively (Table VI).

Serum from guinea pigs genetically deficient in C4 (C4D<sup>9P</sup>, a gift from Dr. Robert O. Webster, NYS Kidney Disease Institute, Albany, NY) has no detectable C4 function (May et al., 1971) and therefore completely precludes activation of the complement cascade by the classical pathway (May et al., 1972). This serum was used to determine the requirement for C4 and the classical pathway to enhance binding of trypanosomes to macrophages in the presence of limiting dilutions of antiserum. The addition of C4D<sup>9P</sup> anti-trypanosome antibody resulted in lower levels of total binding as compared to binding that occurred in the presence of intact guinea pig sera (66% vs 91%) (Table VI). Interestingly, there was no decrease in the phagocytosis of bound trypanosomes. These findings indicate that the classical complement pathway is involved primarily in the enhancement of binding of trypanosomes to macrophages.

TABLE VI

Effect of the addition of complement deficient or intact sera on the binding of trypanosomes to macrophages.\*

Fresh serum	Macrophages with attached trypanosomes	Macrophages with attached & internalized trypanosomes	% Total binding	Ratio of internalized trypanosomes to total binding
None	ND	ND	13	
Crat	22	73	95	.77
Cguinea pig	2	89	91	.98
C4Dguinea pig	4	61	66	.92
Cdog	17	51	68	.75
C3D <sup>dog</sup>	34	25	59	.42

- \* 20ul of medium or serum intact or deficient in complement components was added to 145ul of anti-Wellcome antiserum diluted 1:35. 150ul of these mixtures were added to an equal volume of Wellcome trypanosomes at a concentration of  $75 \times 10^6$  parasites/ml and added to Lab-Tek chambers containing 72 hr cultured macrophages. Slides were incubated 30min at 37C, washed, and stained.



To determine the role of the C3 component, dog serum deficient in functional C3 (C3D dog, a gift from Dr. Jerry Winkelstein, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD) was tested. When the C3D dog serum was added to anti-trypanosome antiserum there was only a slight decrease in the total phagocytosis of trypanosomes by macrophages (59% vs. 68% (Table VI). However, the absence of C3 resulted in a significant decrease in the uptake of bound trypanosomes (25% vs 51%). These findings indicate that activation of complement via the alternative pathway and the C3 component are involved in the enhancement of phagocytosis of bound trypanosomes.

These results indicate that both the classical and alternative complement pathways are involved in the enhanced binding and uptake of trypanosomes to macrophages in the presence of small amounts of specific antibody.

**g) ANALYSIS OF UPTAKE BY MACROPHAGES FROM RESISTANT AND SUSCEPTIBLE MICE.**

In published reports (Greenblatt, et al., 1980, 1984) we have demonstrated major differences in resistance among various mouse strains to Trypanosoma rhodesiense (EATRO 1886). C57BL/6 mice are most resistant, while BALB/c and C3H/HeJ mice are most susceptible to this organism. Since the cellular basis of these differences are not known, it was of interest to determine if macrophages from these strains differed in their ability to phagocytose trypanosomes. Resident macrophages were removed from non-infected C57BL/6, BALB/c, and C3H/HeJ mice and cultured for 48-72 hours before use. 0.150 ml of Wellcome trypanosomes at a concentration of  $75 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$  was added to an equal volume anti-Wellcome antibody diluted from 1:5-1:25. Trypanosomes were permitted to adhere for 30 min at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  before suspensions were washed off.

As can be seen in Table VII, as the titer of anti-Wellcome antibody

TABLE VII

The binding of trypanosomes in the presence of various dilutions of antiserum by macrophages from three different mouse strains.

Dilution of anti-trypanosome antiserum	C57BL/6	BALB/c	C3H/HeJ
1:5	82 $\pm$ 7	75.5 $\pm$ 18	ND
1:10	95.5 $\pm$ 2	87.5 $\pm$ 7	ND
1:20	86.5 $\pm$ 5	80.3 $\pm$ 6	84.5 $\pm$ 14
1:25	72.6 $\pm$ 13	79.2 $\pm$ 11	78.0 $\pm$ 18

A mixture of 150ul of diluted anti-Wellcome antiserum was added to an equal volume of Wellcome trypanosomes to obtain final concentrations of antiserum of 1:5-1:25 and added to cultured macrophages obtained from 3 different strains of mice. After incubation at 37C for 30min, slides were treated as usual.

decreased, the percentages of macrophages taking up trypanosomes also decreased. However, there were no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) differences in uptake between the three mouse strains.

Comparison of uptake of trypanosomes by macrophages from normal and infected mice

BALB/c and B6 mice were next infected with the EATRO 1886 strain of T. rhodesiense and sacrificed on 10d postinfection. Peritoneal macrophages were removed from these mice and from non-infected age-matched controls, plated for 72 hours and assayed as usual with Wellcome trypanosomes and anti-trypanosome antibody. As can be seen from Table VIII, there was progressively less uptake of trypanosomes by macrophages from normal BALB/c and B6 as antiserum was diluted from 1:20-1:35. BALB/c macrophages removed 10d post infection took up trypanosomes more actively than normal controls at the higher dilutions of antiserum (Table VIII). The uptake of trypanosomes by infected B6 macrophages was also greater than the controls, but only at the highest titer of antiserum (1:20) (Table VIII).

These findings indicate that trypanosome infection results in an increase in the phagocytic activity of macrophages. However observed macrophage strain differences do not seem to be of sufficient magnitude to account for the differences in survival.

TABLE VIII

The binding of trypanosomes in the presence of various dilutions of antiserum by macrophages from non-infected and infected mice.

Dilution of Anti-Trypanosome Antiserum				
<u>PEC origin</u>	<u>1:20</u>	<u>1:25</u>	<u>1:30</u>	<u>1:35</u>
Normal BALB/c	73.5±8	60±2	17.5±.5	6.5±3
d10 Infected BALB/C	86.5±.5	44.5±8	37.5±4*	38.5±11*
Normal B6	78.5±6	67±7	14.5±5	28±2
d10 Infected B6	30.5±4*	48±2	19±4	18±2*

\* Statistically significant (Student's T test)

A mixture of 150ul of diluted anti-Wellcome antiserum was added to an equal volume of Wellcome trypanosomes to obtain final concentrations of antiserum of 1:20-1:35 and added to cultured macrophages obtained from non-infected and infected BALB/c or C57BL/6 (B6) mice.

## **h) USE OF CONTINUOUS CELL LINES AS INDICATOR CELLS**

### **1. Murine Lines**

Since cell collection for the in vitro assay is time consuming, and since the use of cells from living animals is a potential source of uncontrolled variability, we were interested in using macrophage cell lines as indicator cells in this assay. Five murine macrophage cell lines were therefore tested for their ability to bind and phagocytose trypanosomes in vitro. One line, J774.2, bound organisms very well (90%), but phagocytosed poorly (1%) (Table IX). J774.16, a functional variant of J774.2, also bound organisms well (92%+), and was slightly more phagocytic. J774.3.4 a Fc-receptor deficient mutant, bound organisms less well (51%+) than the parent cell. CTRM1, an adenyl cyclase deficient mutant, bound cells relatively poorly (33%+), but surprisingly appeared to be the most phagocytic cell line. P388D1, another murine macrophage cell line, did not function as well in this assay as did the J774 set of cells, with only 33% of cells binding, and 17% phagocytosing organisms. Thus no murine macrophage cell line was found that functioned as well as normal macrophages. However, screening of additional lines may uncover one with greater functional activity. Furthermore, the presence of functional deficiencies between cell lines with defined biochemical abnormalities, may help to elucidate the relevant mechanisms by which macrophages bind trypanosomes.

### **2. Human cell lines**

We also screened a number of newly developed human cell lines for their ability to bind trypanosomes in the presence of anti-trypanosome antiserum.

Four human macrophage cell lines (BB, CG, CS and DM) were derived by

TABLE IX

The ability of murine macrophage cell lines to bind trypanosomes  
in the presence of trypanosome specific antibody

Cell Line	Origin	Properties	% Binding		
			Attached Only	Attached and Internalized	No Binding
J774.2	murine reticulum cell sarcoma (J774)	-secretes lysozyme -phagocytizes latex and opsonized particles -secretes plasminogen activator -possesses Fc-receptors for IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG1, and IgG3	89	1	10
J774.16	variant of J774	-can be induced to oxidize glucose via the hexose monophosphate shunt -produces H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> and O <sub>2</sub>	80	12	8
J774.3.4	variant of J774.2	-decreased Fc receptors -decreased phagocytosis of IgG2a & IgG2b opsonized particles -augmented IgG2a particle uptake in presence of cAMP	51	0	49
CTRM1	variant of J774.2	-adenyl cyclase deficient	10	23	67
P388D1	variant of murine macrophage-like cell from P388 leukemia cells	-can bind antigen antibody complexes of mouse IgG2a and rabbit IgG -produces interleukin-1	33	1	66

Dr. Betty Diamond of Albert Einstein College of Medicine. All 4 cell lines produce lysozyme and collagenase, and are esterase positive. None of the cell lines are capable of producing Interleukin-1 IL-1. Approximately 80% of the cells in each clone have complement receptors and all have Fc receptors as measured by uptake of opsonized erythrocytes.

In our hands, adherence of these cells to Lab-Tek chambers was poor. However, of those cells that did adhere, 49%-72% were able to bind Wellcome trypanosomes in the presence of specific antibody (Table X).

TABLE X

The ability of human cell lines to bind trypanosomes  
in the presence of 1:20 anti-Wellcome antisera

<u>Cell Line</u>	<u>Percent Binding Trypanosomes</u>
BB	49
CS	52
DM	72

150 ul of 1:10 titer of anti-Wellcome antiserum was added to an equal volume of Wellcome trypanosomes and added to monolayers of the human cell lines.



## REFERENCES

1. Ehrlich, P.H., Moyle, W.R., Moustafa, Z.A., and R.E. Canfield. 1982. Mixing two monoclonal antibodies yields enhanced affinity for antigen. *J. Immunol.* 128:2709.
2. Ferrante, A., and C.R. Jenkin. 1978. Evidence implicating the mononuclear phagocytic system of the rat in immunity to infections with Trypanosoma lewisi. *Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci.* 56:201.
3. May, J., T. Gaither, L. Ellman. 1971. Complement function in sera of C4-deficient guinea pigs. *J. Exp. Med.* 134:176.
4. Gardiner, P.R., Finerty, J.F., Dwyer, D.M., Ito, S., and V. M. Nantulya. 1983. Capping in African trypanosomes. *In: J. Parasitology Supplement. 58th Ann. Meet. Am. Soc. Parasitol. San Antonio, Texas.* (abs. #203).
5. Greenblatt, H.C., Rosenstreich, D.L., and C. O. Diggs. 1980. Genetic control of natural resistance to Trypanosoma rhodesiense in mice. *In: Perspectives in Immunology: Genetic Control of Natural Resistance to Infection and Malignancy.* E. Skamene (ed), Academic Press, pp. 89-95.
6. Greenblatt, H.C., C.L. Diggs, M. Aikawa. 1983. Antibody-dependent phagocytosis of T. rhodesiense by murine macrophages. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 32:34.
7. Greenblatt, H.C. and D. L. Rosenstreich. 1984. Trypanosoma rhodesiense infection in mice: sex dependence of resistance. *Infect. Immun.* 43:397.
8. Hall, T. and K. Esser. 1984. Topological mapping of protective and nonprotective epitopes on the variant-specific surface glycoprotein of the WRATat 1 clone of Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense. *J. Immunol.* 132:2059.
9. Kearney, J., A. Radbruch, B. Liesegang and K. Rajewsky. 1979. A new mouse myeloma cell line that has lost immunoglobulin expression but permits the construction of antibody-secreting hybrid cell lines. *J. Immunol.* 123:1548.
10. MacAskill, J.A., P.H. Holmes, D.D. Whitelaw, I. McConnell, F.W. Jennings and G.M. Urquhart. 1980. Immunological clearance of <sup>75</sup>Se-labeled Trypanosoma brucei in mice. II. Mechanisms in immune animals. *Immunology* 40:629.
11. May, J.E., Green, I., Frank, M.M. 1972. The alternate complement pathway in cell damage: antibody-mediated cytolysis of erythrocytes and nucleated cells. *J. Immunol.* 109:595.
12. Silverstein, S.C. 1977. Endocytic uptake of particles by mononuclear phagocytes and the penetration of obligate intracellular parasites. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 26:161.

13. Takayangi, T., Y. Nakatake, and G.L. Enriquez. 1974. Attachment and ingestion of Trypanosome gambiense to the rat macrophage by specific antiserum. J. Parasitol. 60:336.
14. Walker, W.S. and A. Demus. 1975. Antibody-dependent cytolysis of chicken erythrocytes by an in vitro-established line of mouse peritoneal macrophages. J. Immunol. 114:765-769.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

12 copies	Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center ATTN: SGRD-UWZ-C Washington, DC 20307-5100
4 copies	Commander US Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-RMS Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012
12 copies	Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDAC Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
1 copy	Dean School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799
1 copy	Commandant Academy of Health Sciences, US Army ATTN: AHS-CDM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100

END

FILMED

6-86

DTIC