



MICROCOPY

CHART

AFOSR TR. 86-0242





SOILS

VOLUME 2

FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 1985

Prepared for Texas A&M University and the Air Force Office of Scientific Research

Prepared by The Texas Transportation Institute

> Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas

86 4 28 175





DTIC ELECTE APR 2 8 1986

	REPORT DOCUM	INTATION PAGE			
Unclassified		16. RESTRICTIVE MARI		- A	
ECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAI	nublie re	REPORT	
A THE CATION / DOWN GRADING SCHE		distribution	nunlimite	d	
DECLASSIFICATION					
ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUN	ISER (S)	5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER (S) AFOSR - TR - 86 - 0242			
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	74 NAME OF MONITOR	ING ORGANI	ZATION	
exas A&M University		Ain Conce Offi		antific Dec	
exas irdisportation institut	<u>a</u>	76. ADDRESS (City, Stat	ce or sci e end ZIP Code	entitic Res	search
College Station, Texas 77843		Bolling	AFE	d LIC	20332
NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING	B. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INST	RUMENT IDE	INTIFICATION N	UMBER
ORGANIZATION OUTICE OF	(If ensticable)	EHOLDA D.	n-K-n	00/7	
SCIENIAL RESEARCH		F770-20-80		- + /	·····
Dulling Mr. A.K.	70200 1 11.11	PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
DOLLING MAD DC	6-0352-6798	61102F	NO.	NO.	NO.
TITLE (Include Security Clamification)		2	302	C_{2}	
Fracture in Stabilized Soils	-Vol 1 42		•		
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
N. Little, W. W. Crockford	<u>, and Y. Kim</u>	14 DATE OF PERCET	Va Ma Davi		
inal Technical FROM 82	0401 TO <u>851231</u>	851231	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	300	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
7					•
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (C	or tinue on reverse if necess	ary and identif	'y by block number	·.·
COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	or tinue on reverse if necess	ary and identif	'y by block numbe	······································
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR.	18 SUBJECT TERMS (C	or tinue on reverse if necess	ary and identif	'y by block number	
COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C Fracture Mec	or tinue on reverse if necess hanics	ary and identif	'y by block numbe	·. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and ponventionally the thickness d	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili	ortinue on reverse if necess hanics -, Zed soil layers	has been	based unon	the
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary an onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil	Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer	<i>or tinue on reverse if necess</i> hanics , Zed soil layers and/or the appea	has been rance of	based unon	the rack.
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary en ponventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not he stabilized soil layer. Kn	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m	hanics , , , zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac	has been rance of developme king coul	based unon the first c d drastical	the rack. ing in ly alter
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB.GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and ponventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not he stabilized soil layer. Kn he philosophy behind thicknes	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye	hanics , , zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs.	has been rance of developme king coul	based unon the first c nt of crack d drastical	the rack. ing in ly alter
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and ponventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil the design literature does not the stabilized soil layer. Kn the philosophy behind thicknes In this research the prin	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore	or timue on reverse if necess hanics zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m	has been rance of developme king coul	based unon the first c nt of crack d drastical are used to	the rack. ing in ly alter explain
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB.GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and ponventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil the design literature does not the stabilized soil layer. Kn the philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin the mode and mechanism of fractore (perimental fracture mechanic	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val	hanics zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to th portland	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement.
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary en ponventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil the design literature does not the stabilized soil layer. Kn the philosophy behind thicknes In this research the prin the mode and mechanism of frac kperimental fracture mechanic ate more fully the hypothesiz	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of	hanics Zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence	based unon the first c int of crack d drastical are used to th portland ome cases to of osmotic	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB.GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecessery en onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not be stabilized soil layer. Kn he philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin he mode and mechanism of frac kperimental fracture mechanic at more fully the hypothesiz atrix soil section, temperatu	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte	hanics zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to th portland ome cases to e of osmotic energy, from	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not he stabilized soil layer. Kn he philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin he mode and mechanism of frac kperimental fracture mechanic ate more fully the hypothesiz atrix soil section, temperatu utside the crack, are conside Linear elastic fracture mechanic	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study	hanics Zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e	based unon the first of the first of are used to h portland ome cases to e of osmotion energy, from	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB.GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if mecessery en onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not be stabilized soil layer. Kn he philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin he mode and mechanism of frac kperimental fracture mechanic ate more fully the hypothesiz atrix soil section, temperatu utside the crack, are conside Linear elastic fracture m ir these materials.	Fracture Mec Fracture Mec d identify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study echanics is prov	hanics zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and en to be a highl	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e y accepta	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to th portland ome cases to e of osmotic energy, from able analyti	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources cal tool
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary en onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not he stabilized soil layer. Kn he philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin he mode and mechanism of frac kperimental fracture mechanic ate more fully the hypothesiz atrix soil section, temperatu utside the crack, are conside Linear elastic fracture m in these materials. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C Fracture Mec d identify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study echanics is prov	hanics Zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and en to be a highl	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e y accepta	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to th portland ome cases to e of osmotic energy, from able analyti	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources cal tool
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary en onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil he design literature does not he stabilized soil layer. Kn he philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin he mode and mechanism of frac kperimental fracture mechanic ate more fully the hypothesiz atrix soil section, temperatu utside the crack, are conside Linear elastic fracture m ir these materials. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C Fracture Mec d identify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study echanics is prov	hanics Zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and en to be a highl	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e y accepta	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to th portland ome cases to e of osmotic energy, from able analyti	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources cal tool
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil the design literature does not the stabilized soil layer. Kn the philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin the mode and mechanism of frac aperimental fracture mechanic ate more fully the hypothesiz atrix soil section, temperatu utside the crack, are conside Linear elastic fracture m in these materials. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA CLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C Fracture Mec d identify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study echanics is prov	Ar tinue on reverse if necess hanics Zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and en to be a highl 21. ABSTRACT SECURIT Unclassified 22b. TELEPHONE NUMB	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e y accepta	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to the portland one cases to e of osmotic energy, from able analyti	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources cal tool
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil the design literature does not the stabilized soil layer. Kn the philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin the mode and mechanism of fract (Approximental fracture mechanic atrix soil section, temperature utside the crack, are consided Linear elastic fracture meri these materials. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA CLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RET. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL APWRENCE D. HOR	TRACTURE MEC Fracture Mec didentify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study echanics is prov	Artimue on reverse if necess hanics zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and en to be a highl 21. ABSTRACT SECURIT Unclassified 22b. TELEPHONE NUMB (Include Area Code, (202) 7167-4	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e y accepta	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to the portland one cases to e of osmotic energy, from able analyti 22c. OFFICE SYM	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources cal tool
COSATI CODES ELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary en onventionally the thickness d ensile strength of the stabil the design literature does not the stabilized soil layer. Kn the philosophy behind thickness In this research the prin the mode and mechanism of fract (operimental fracture mechanic atrix soil section, temperatu atrix soil section, temperatu these materials. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA CLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL ARWRENCE D. HOF FORM 1473, 83 APR	TRACTURE MEC Fracture Mec d identify by block number esign of stabili ized soil layer allow one to co owledge of the m s design of laye ciples of theore ture in fine gra s is used to val ed mechanisms of re, binder conte red in the study echanics is prov CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT	Anics Anics Zed soil layers and/or the appea nsider the true ode of such crac rs. tical fracture m ined media stabi idate or verify fracture. The nt, thermal and en to be a highl 21. ABSTRACT SECURIT Unclassified 22b. TELEPHONE NUME (Include Area Code, (202) 767-4 5 OBSOLETE.	has been rance of developme king coul echanics lized wit and in so influence kinetic e y accepta	based unon the first of ent of crack d drastical are used to the portland one cases to e of osmotic energy, from able analyti 22c. OFFICE SYM	the rack. ing in ly alter explain cement. investi- and sources cal tool

TABLE OF CONTENTS. . . . ii . LIST OF TABLES. iv . LIST OF FIGURES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	age
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose	1
Pavement System	1
Loading	3
Solution Philosophy	3
Solution Sequence	0
CHAPTER II: THE FINITE ELEMENT PROGRAM	2
The Singularity Problem	2
The Crack Element	5
Operational Parameters	4
CHAPTER III: SOLUTION PROCEDURE	3
Development of Stress Distributions	3
Linearize Stress Distributions	7
Mesh Considerations for Finite Element Runs	D
Applying Reverse Stresses to Crack Lengths	2
Uncorrected K _I Values	2
Stress Intensity Correction Factors	5

AIR PORCE OFFICE OF DO NOTICE TIRIC PROFILM (APSC) 1.1 This ... appro. and ted is Dist MATTHEN J. ROVIN Chief, Technical Information Division

TABLE OF CONTENTS continued

CHAPTER V: CALCULATING FRACTURE LIFE
Regression Equations for Stress Intensity Factors 54
Computation of Crack Propagation Histories 61
Calculations With Actual Laboratory Fracture Properties 74
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
REFERENCES
APPENDIX A: LIST OF SYMBOLS
APPENDIX B: DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM UNITS
Finite Element Fracture program
Input Guide
Sample Input Guide for Finite Element Fracture Program 98
Sample Output From Finite Element Fracture Program 104
Crack Propagation Calculation Program
Input Guide
Sample Input Guide for Crack Propagation Program 126
Sample Output for Crack Propagation Program

Acces 1177 52.1 $U_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{+}$ Juin **b** 5 Dist Av-107 Dist 2.12

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Pavement Data Used in Developing Structural Data	2
2.	c/b Values Used in Analysis	80
3.	Pavement Parameters Used in the Factorial Analysis	85
4.	Stress Distributions for Superposition Phase one, psi	86
5.	Linearized Stress Distributions, psi	39
6.	An Example of a Reverse Stress Profile for Figure 3, MC = 14	3
7.	Uncorrected Stress Intensity Factors, psi/ in	4
8.	Stress Intensity Correction Factors, psi/ in	6
9.	Corrected Stress Intensity Factors	8
10.	Regression Coefficients for Cubic Polynomial Fit to Stress Intensity Distribution	55
11.	Endpoints for Crack Propagation Histories	58

iv

LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e	Pag
1.	Loading Condition Modelled with Finite Element Fracture Program	.4
2.	Schematic of Superposition Principle to Solve for Stress Intensity on Crack	.5
3.	Schematics of Possible Stress Intensity Factor Distributions	.9
4.	Two Major Modes of Fracture Analyzed in Finite Element Fracture Program	.15
5.	Crack Tip Elements	.17
6.	Crack Tip Element Used in Analysis	. 26
7.	Illustration of Errors Produced by Variation in Crack Tip Length Within the Element (4)	.27
8.	Illustration of Accuracy Related to Crack Length (4)	.28
9.	Example of a "Humped" Crack Tip Element	.32
10.	Finite Element Mesh used for Pavement Structural Analysis	.34
11.	Illustration of Mesh Around Crack	. 38
12.	Stress Distributions for Modulus Condition 1, Parenthesis Contain Base Thickness and Surface Thickness Respectively	.41
13.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factor	.49
14.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factor	.50
15.	Stress Intensity Factor Distribution	.51
16.	Stress Intensity Factor Distribution	.52
17.	Stress Intensity Factor Distribution	.53
18.	Comparison of Computed and Regression Stress Intensity Factors .	.56
19.	Comparison of Computed and Regression Stress Intensity Factors .	.57
20.	Stress Intensity Factor Distribution	.58

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

Figure	2	Page
21.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factor	.59
22.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factors	.60
23.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factors Calculated by Regression Equations for Various Modulus Conditions	.62
24.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factors Calculated by Regression Equations for Various Modulus Conditions	.63
25.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factors Calculated by Regression Equations for Various Modulus Conditions	.64
26.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factors Calculated by Regression Equations for Various Modulus Conditions	.65
27.	Distribution of Stress Intensity Factors Calculated by Regression Equations for Various Modulus Conditions	.66
28.	Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N	.69
29.	Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N	.70
30.	Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N	.71
31.	Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N	.72
32.	Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N	.73
B-1.	Flow Diagram of Program for Finite Element Fracture Calculations	.81
B-2.	Flow Diagram of Program for Solution of Paris Equation.	120

vi

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

Purpose

The purpose of this research is to establish a preliminary model to investigate the crack propagation history in a given pavement system. This is accomplished through a multi-step process. First, a suitable program is used to calculate the stress distribution in the pavement layers under the load, in this study an elastic layer program. Second, through successive application of a finite element program, the stress intensity factor as a function of crack length is determined. Third, using the stress intensity factor distribution, the number of load cycles required to advance the crack a given increment is calculated. These increments carry the crack from its initial to its final value, which defines failure. The load cycles are calculated using the Paris equation. The remainder of the report is taken up by a detailed description of the problem and an in-depth account of the solution process and results.

Pavement System

The pavement system used in this study consists of a combination of three layers: a subgrade, a base, and a surface. Each layer has variable values for the modulus of elasticity and the thickness. This information is summarized in Table 1.

The subgrade thickness is listed as 50 inches. This is an arbitrary value selected so that the subgrade thickness does not affect the solution.

LAYER	ER MODULUS_VALUES, PSI		THICKNESSES, IN.		POISSON'S RATIO	
overlay	350000	.0	0 3 6		0.35	
base	400000 800000 1200000	.0 .0 .0	8 12 16		0.35	
subgrade	4000 9000	.0	50		0.35	
		MODULUS	CONDITIONS	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
MODULUS	CONDITION	BASE MO	DULUS, PSI	SUBGRADE	MODULUS, PSI	
	1 2 3 4 5 6	1200 1200 800 800 400 400	000.0 000.0 000.0 000.0 000.0 000.0 000.0	400 900 400 900 400 900	00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0 00.0	

وحبر وحرجم

Table 1. Pavement Data Used in Developing Structural Data.

In the analysis of the pavement system, all possible combinations of the values in Table 1 are used to develop the influence of pavement properties on fracture.

Loading

The loading shown in Figure 1 approximates that of an F-4 aircraft. It consists of a total load of 27,000 pounds. This load is distributed over a circle with a radius of 5.7 inches to provide a pressure of 265 psi.

Solution Philosophy

Strictly speaking, this problem is a three dimensional axi-symmetric one. However, because crack propagation itself is generally a plane strain phenomenon, it is considered preferable to rely on a simpler solution scheme. The approach here is to use a two-dimensional plane strain finite element program to obtain approximate stress intensity factors developing under the stresses calculated from an elastic layer analysis. for a single load, as assumed here, the results are satisfactory.

The cornerstone of the solution procedure is the computation of the stress intensity factor as a function of crack length. This is done with the finite element program described in a later chapter and with the aid of superposition techniques. When dealing with boundary values over an infinite region such as a pavement structure, the problem can be analyzed as the superposition of two problems (<u>1</u>) as illustrated in Figure 2. In the first phase, one applies the total loading to the pavement with no crack. For the second phase, the crack is included in the pavement. The stresses where the



Surface

Base

Subgrade



4

P=27,000 pounds σ=265 psi r=5.7 inches





crack would be in the first phase are applied in the reverse direction to the crack in the second phase. The stress intensity factor found from the second phase, although of opposite sign, is equal in magnitude to that of the overall problem. Thus the task of finding K_I becomes one of discovering the correct stress distribution due to loading at the crack, applying the reverse stresses to the crack, and analyzing the pavement.

A further difficulty arises when using the above superposition. As will be noted, stresses cannot be applied to the portion of the crack which lies within the crack tip finite element. Thus, a correction factor is required to account for this. The correction factor is given by $(\underline{1})$ as:

$$C_{k} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\sigma_{e}(s) ds}{\sqrt{s}}$$
(1)

where § is the distance away from the crack tip and $\sigma_{e}(S)$ is the stress to be applied to the portion of the crack that lies within the crack element. If z is sufficiently small, $\sigma_{e}(S)$ can be assumed to be a constant, and equation (1) reduces to (1):

$$C_{k} = \left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sigma_{e} \sqrt{2}$$
(2)

The final, correct stress intensity factor is found from $C_{\rm K}$ and $K_{\rm I}$ computed in superposition phase two by

$$K_{I \text{ final}} = K_{I \text{ computed}} + C_{K}$$
(3)

Crack propagation is calculated using this stress intensity factor in

numerical integration of the Paris equation. The Paris equation consists of the following:

$$dc/dN = AK_T^{n}$$
(4)

where

dc = differential crack extension

dN = differential increase in the number of load cycles

 K_{I} = stress intensity factor

A,n = dimensionless material constants determined experimentally

Manipulation of the Paris equation gives:

$$dN = dc/AK_{I}^{n}$$
⁽⁵⁾

from which ΔN_f , the number of load cycles required to advance the crack an increment can be calculated as:

$$\Delta N_{f} = \int_{c_{o}}^{c_{f}} \frac{dc}{A K_{I}^{n}}$$
(6)

Writing the integral in terms of c/b leaves:

$$\Delta N_{f} = b \int_{(c/b)_{o}}^{(c/b)_{f}} \frac{d(c/b)}{A K_{I}^{n}}$$
(7)

When the current crack length equals the crack length at failure, the final value of $N_{\rm f}$ is reached.

The total number of load cycles required to advance the crack from the initial crack length to the current crack length, N_{fn} , is found by summing the ΔN_f values for the n crack increments which total the current crack length. Thus,

$$N_{fn} = \sum_{l}^{n} \Delta N_{fn} = N_{f(n-1)} + \Delta N_{fn}$$
(8)

Note that K_{I} is considered a function of (c/b) now, not crack length. This allows regression equations to be developed for the range of materials and loading conditions which lessens the reliance on the finite element computer code.

In this analysis the crack is assumed to form directly beneath the center line of the load, on the line of symmetry. It is assumed to originate at the interface of the base and the subgrade and to propagate upward through the The greatest crack length at which the pavement is assumed to have base. failed is the full thickness of the base. If c = crack length in the base and b = thickness of the base, then c/b = 1.0 is the maximum value at which failure can occur. This would lead one to believe that the life of the pavement ranges between c/b values of 0.0 and 1.0. However, this is true only if two conditions exist. First, K_I, the stress intensity factor, must be positive for all c/b. Second, the critical value of the stress intensity factor, K_{IC}, must not be exceeded. In the first case, if K_I is negative, then the crack cannot propagate in that region and that region cannot figure in the fracture life of the pavement. The areas defining the fracture life of the pavement when K is negative are illustrated in Figure 3, where typical K_T distributions for this problem are depicted. Case I and II are self-explanatory. In case III, it is assumed that some perturbation advances



the crack to $(c/b)_1$, so that the crack can propagate. Here $(c/b)_1$ is considered small so that this is readily possible and handled with the concept of starter flaws. If $(c/b)_1$ is not small, then the crack may never propagate. In case IV, the crack propagates to $(c/b)_1$ in the normal fashion and once there encounters negative K_I values and stops. It is unlikely that the crack will somehow be advanced suddenly through the relatively extensive negative K_I region, and if it is, the pavement will be nearly destroyed and can be said to have failed. Thus $(c/b)_1$ is the upper limit of the fatigue life in this case. One should note that if $(c/b)_1$ is significantly less than 1.0, then exhausting the fatigue life may not constitute failure of the pavement. The pavement may still maintain its structural integrity.

If K_{IC} is exceeded, then the crack instantly propagates to a point where $K_I = K_{IC}$. The fatigue life would then range between c/b values where K_I is less than or equal to K_{IC} . If K_I never falls below K_{IC} again, then the crack instantly propagates to failure. Unfortunately, K_{IC} values for the pavements in this problem are unknown, so there is no way to incorporate them into the solution algorithm. Therefore, for purposes of analysis, it is assumed that K_{IC} is greater than K_I for all c/b.

Solution Sequence

j

the solution sequence briefly described here will be described in detail in the following chapters. The stress distribution calculations will not be described, as this program can be replaced with any program which calculates stresses and strains under a loading. Other elastic theory programs can be used which will allow multiple wheel loadings to be used to produce the

stress distribution which is then used in the finite element fracture program. The Hybrid crack tip element of Pian and Tong used in a plane strain finite element program is used to determine the stress intensity factors resulting when a cracked base course is subjected to the calculated stress distribution. Regression equations have been developed in this study to reduce the use of the finite element program for simple pavement structures. Finally, the Paris equation is used in a program to calculate the number of loads to failure under the given stress intensity distribution.

CHAPTER II: THE FINITE ELEMENT PROGRAM

The Singularity Problem

For linearly elastic plane strain and plane stress problems, in the vicinity of a crack tip the stress varies as $1/\sqrt{r}$, where r is the radial coordinate of any point in the plane measured from the crack tip. Thus, at the crack tip where r = 0 the stress is singular. In the equations for stress, the coefficient of the singular $1/\sqrt{r}$ term is called the stress intensity factor and is representative of the "strength" of the singularity. It has units of (force/area)* $\sqrt{\text{length}}$. There are two main types of crack which govern the behavior of linearly elastic plane stress/strain problems. These are:

1) mode I or opening mode

2) mode II or in-plane shearing

These modes are illustrated in Figure 4.

For isotropic materials, the singular terms of the stress distribution in the vicinity of a crack tip are $(\underline{3})$:

mode I:

$$\{\sigma\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \end{cases} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{\mathbf{I}} \\ \sqrt{2\pi r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2)[1 - \sin(\theta/2)\sin(3\theta/2)] \\ \cos(\theta/2)[1 + \sin(\theta/2)\sin(3\theta/2)] \\ \sin(\theta/2)\cos(\theta/2)\cos(3\theta/2) \end{cases}$$
(8)

mode II:

$$\{\sigma\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{y} \\$$

In many formulations, the coefficient involving K in front of the vectors containing trigonometric terms in equations (8) and (9) is given as (K//2r). The finite element program used in this research uses equations (8) and (9) as is. With the use of these equations it is necessary to multiply the results of the finite element program by $\sqrt{\pi}$ in order to match any results obtained from stress distributions based on the alternative coefficient. It is a minor point but mix-up in definitions can lead to confusion and incomprehensible results.

The stress intensity factors K_{I} and K_{II} are directly proportional to the magnitude of applied loading and are dependent on the geometry of the structure, the size and shape of the crack, and the nature of the applied loading.

Displacement fields in the vicinity of a crack tip are also functions of the stress intensity factors and can be written as : mode I:

mode II:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = (K_{II} \sqrt{\frac{2r}{\pi}})/8G \begin{bmatrix} (2K+3)\sin(\theta/2) + \sin(3\theta/2) \\ -(2k-3)\cos(\theta/2) - \cos(3\theta/2) \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

In equations (10) and (11), u and v are the displacements along the x and y axes in Figure I-1, G is the shear modulus, k = (3 - 4v) for plane strain and (3 + v)/(1 + v) for plane stress, and v is Poisson's ratio.

The stress intensity factor is also related to the strain energy release rate, that is the change in strain energy in the structure per unit distance of crack extension. For linearly elastic plane strain/stress problems the strain energy release rate is given by $(\underline{3})$:

mode I:

 $\pounds_{I} = K^{2}I(k+1)/8G$ (12)

mode II:

「日本になったない」のないです。

$$\mathcal{L}_{II} = K^2_{II}(k+1)/8G$$
 (13)

where k and G are as defined previously.

The computer program provides for the calculation of the stress intensity factor in two ways. The first and far more emphasized way is to utilize eqs. (8)-(11) and solve for the stress intensity factor directly. The second method is to run the program twice, the second time with a slightly longer crack, note the difference in strain energy, and apply equation (12) and (13). This option is there if the analyst wants to take advantage of it, but it is not the main thrust of the program and is limited in that if both mode I and mode II are present equations (12) and (13) are not applicable.

The justification for using elements with assumed stress and displacement distributions that have the $1/\sqrt{r}$ singularity built in is based on the convergence rate of problems with singularities. The convergence of such problems has been shown to be of order h, where h is the maximum size of the elements used in the solution. The convergence rate is independent of p, the order of the complete polynomial used in the interpolation functions for stress and displacement. The quantities for which the convergence rate was established are the strain energy U and the stress intensity factors K_I and K_{II}. Given the above, in order to achieve good results for U or K using elements without singularities built in, the number of elements needed



becomes impractical because results for relatively large h are highly inaccurate. This is the value of solutions using elements with singularities in the region around the crack tip. Although they converge no faster, for relatively large element sizes they give acceptable results. Indeed, making the elements that include singularities too small can have an adverse effect on the results. This peculiarity occurs for this reason. If the elements containing singularities are small enough, the region in the structure significantly affected by the crack will extend beyond the scope of the singular elements and cause errors in adjacent non-singular elements. In the limit of mesh refinement, as all element sizes go to zero, the beneficial effects of elements with singularities disappear, leaving only a solution based on conventional elements.

The Crack Element

The crack element first developed by Pian and Tong (3), and which is shown in Figure 5 is the element used in the finite element program. It must be of sufficient size to insure good results, as mentioned above. The crack element is developed using a functional and methods which are a combination of both assumed stress and displacement models. As such, in this displacements as well as boundary development, internal stresses and displacements and tractions can be assumed independently. The necessary equilibrium and compatibility requirements are incorporated into the Euler equations, which result from the stationary condition of the functional. The Euler equations can be satisfied either exactly or in a average sense, the latter condition being the source of approximation in the finite element method.



In the previous section a \sqrt{r} term is included in the equations for displacements. It is not desirable to introduce this term into the assumed boundary displacements, for two reasons. First, it can be incorporated into the assumed internal displacements. Second, one of the virtues of this crack element is that it can be used in conjunction with conventional finite elements, and if a \sqrt{r} term were included in its boundary displacement, boundary compatibility between it and a conventional element could not be insured. Of course, insuring this compatibility causes internal and boundary displacements for the crack element to be incompatible, a source of error.

The functional used in this development is, for plane problems,

$$\pi_{m} = \int (\tilde{U}_{i} - U_{i}) T_{i} ds - \int U_{i} T_{i} ds + \frac{1}{2} \int [\sigma_{i_{j}} (U_{i,j} + U_{j,i}) - C_{ijk\ell} \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{K\ell}] dA \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial A_{m}}{\partial A_{m}} = \int \sigma_{m} (U_{i,j} + U_{j,i}) - C_{ijk\ell} \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{K\ell} dA \quad (14)$$

where

 A_m = area of the mth element ∂A_m = the boundary of A_m S_m = the portion of ∂A_m over which tractions are prescribed S_u = the portion of ∂A_m over which displacements are prescribed C_{ijkl} = the elastic compliance tensor u_i = a smooth internal displacement field \tilde{u}_i = assumed boundary displacements \overline{u}_i = prescribed boundary displacements T_i = assumed boundary tractions

 $\overline{T_i}$ = prescribed boundary tractions

 \tilde{u}_i is assumed such that \tilde{u}_i equals \tilde{u}_i on S_u . Note that the thickness t is absent from equation (14) as unity is assumed, but can be multiplied in if the thickness is variable variable.

The Euler equations for the functional in equation (7) are

$\frac{1}{2} (U_{i,j} + U_{j,i}) = C_{ijK\ell} \sigma_{K\ell}$	in Am	(15a)
σ _{ij,j} ≠0	in Am	(15b)
$J_{i}^{=\sigma}i_{j}^{\nu}j$	on Am	(15c)
U _i =Ũ _i	on Am	(15d)
$T_i = \overline{T}_i$	on m	(15e)

Note that the body force is excluded for simplicity, and that v_j is a direction cosine.

Equation (15a) represents compliance with stress-strain laws by assumed stresses and displacements, equation (15b) represents the satisfaction of internal equilibrium, equation (15c) represents compatibility of assumed stresses and boundary tractions, equation (15d) represents compatibility of internal and boundary displacements, and equation (15e) represents satisfaction of boundary conditions by boundary tractions. The crack element for provides internal equilibrium and compatibility, interelement compatibility, and boundary conditions on displacements identically. The exact solution satisfies all the Euler equations. Also, if the solution is exact, then the stationary condition on equation (14) will provide for compatibility. Otherwise, interelement interelement equilibrium and equilibrium is satisfied only in a work equivalent sense, while interelement compatibility will be satisfied by assuming the same boundary displacement functions for all elements, crack or conventional.

The element stiffness matrix, whose development is discussed in the following pages, is derived using complex variable techniques.

To begin with, let z=x + iy, where x and y are as depicted in Figure 4. As shown previously, $(\sigma)^{-1}/\sqrt{r} \& {\binom{u}{v}}^{-\sqrt{r}}$, so that stresses and displacements can be expressed as (3):

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{y}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = 2\left[\phi'(z) + \overline{\phi'(z)}\right]$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{y}} - \sigma_{\mathbf{x}} + \sum_{i} \sigma_{\mathbf{xy}} = 2\left[\overline{Z}\phi''(Z) + \chi'(Z)\right]$$
(16)

$$Z_{u}(U+iV) = \eta\phi(Z) - Z\overline{\phi'(Z)} - \overline{\psi(Z)}$$
(17)

where = $E/2(1 + \nu)$, $\eta = 3-4 \nu$ for plane strain and $(3 - \nu)/(1 + \nu)$ for plane stress. E and ν are Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively. It can be seen that $\mu = G$ and $\eta = k$ in Section I. ()' denotes differentiation and () denotes the complex conjugate. ϕ and ψ are analytic functions. The above definitions of stress and displacement satisfy Euler equations (15a) and (15b). In addition, boundary tractions are chosen in this development so that they satisfy equation (15c).

In order to choose proper stresses and displacements for the crack element that account for singularities of all order, the following mapping function is introduced (4).

$$Z = W (\pounds) = \zeta^2$$
(18)

or

$$\pounds = z^{1/2} \tag{19}$$

with $-\pi/2 \leq \arg \zeta \leq \pi/2$ and $-\pi \leq \arg \zeta \leq \pi$. On the £ plane, the element lies on the region where the real part of £ is positive and the crack lies on the imaginary axis. ϕ and ψ are analytic functions of £, enabling simple polynomials in terms of £ to be used in the finite element solution.

Using the mapping given above, equations (16) and (17) become

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{+}\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^{-2}\mathbf{R}_{e}^{-2}\left[\phi'(\underline{x})/w'(\underline{x})\right]$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{+}\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}^{-2}\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{-2}\left[\overline{w(\underline{x})}\left[\phi'(\underline{x})/w'(\underline{x})\right]'+\psi'(\underline{x})/w'(\underline{x})\right]$$

$$(20)$$

$$2\mu(+\mathbf{i}\mathbf{v})=n\phi(\underline{x})-w(\underline{x})\sigma'(\underline{x})/w'(\underline{x})-\psi(\underline{x})$$

In order to satisfy the stress free condition on the crack tip given by $(\underline{3})$.

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{\pounds}) + w(\boldsymbol{\pounds}) \overline{\phi'(\boldsymbol{\pounds})/w'(\boldsymbol{\pounds})} + \overline{\psi(\boldsymbol{\pounds})} = 0$$
(21)

the following form of $\,\psi\,$ is chosen

$$\psi(\mathbf{g}) - \overline{\phi(-\mathbf{g})} - \overline{w(-\mathbf{g})} \phi'(\mathbf{g}) / w'(\mathbf{g})$$
(22)

By using equations (15c), (19), (20), and (22) all of the Euler equations except for equation (15d) are satisfied by this crack element model. Substituting equations (21) into (14) gives in matrix form (4):

$$\pi_{\mathbf{m}} = \int_{\partial A_{\mathbf{m}}} (\mathbf{T})^{\mathrm{T}}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) d\mathbf{s} - \int_{\partial A_{\mathbf{M}}} (\mathbf{T})^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{U}) d\mathbf{s}$$
(23)

in which

$$(T) = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x v_x + \sigma_x y^v y \\ \sigma_x y^v x + \sigma_y v y \end{bmatrix} = \text{boundary tractions}$$

$$(u) = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \text{internal displacements}$$

$$(24)$$

$$(\tilde{u}) \approx \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \end{bmatrix}$$
 = boundary displacements

For the derivation of the element stiffness matrix, the following forms of $\phi(L)$ and $\psi(\mathbf{k})$ may be assumed: (4)

$$\phi \bigotimes = \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{j}^{\widehat{\mathbf{L}}_{i}}$$

$$\psi \bigotimes = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [\overline{b}; (-i)^{j} + \frac{j}{2} b_{i}]^{i}$$

$$(25)$$

where N is a finite integer and $b_j = \beta_j + i \beta_{N+j}$ with the β 's being real constants and $\beta_{N+2} = 0$. This is because $\phi = i^2$ and $\psi = 0$ give no contribution to the stresses in equations (20).

Using equations (20), (24), and (25) one can express the following: $(\underline{3})$ (T) = [R](β) (26)

$$(u) = [U](\beta)$$

where (β) includes components β_1 , β_2 ,..., β_{2N} excluding β_{N+2} . The boundary displacements (\tilde{u}) are expressed as

$$(\tilde{u}) = [L](q)$$
⁽²⁷⁾

(q) is the vector of nodal displacements and [L] is an interpolation matrix defined on ∂A_m . [L] is such that boundary displacements for the crack element and adjacent conventional elements are the same. For instance, if, as in the finite element program, linear boundary displacements are employed, then between any two nodes on the crack element [L] will be such that (\tilde{u}) has a linear variation.

The number of nodes in the crack element used in the finite element program varies from 5 to 9 depending on symmetry, as shown in Figure 5. Boundary displacements are not assumed along the crack edge of the element because tractions are zero and there is no adjacent element. Because of this, in the program, there is no way to load the portion of the crack within the element and there is no way to control the crack's displacement within the element.

Substitution of equations (26) and (27) into equation (23) gives $\pi_{m} = (\beta)^{T} [G] (q) - 1/2 (\beta)^{T} [H] (\beta) \qquad (28)$

23

in which

$$\begin{bmatrix} G \end{bmatrix} = \int \begin{bmatrix} R \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} L \end{bmatrix} ds$$
(29)

$$[H] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} ([R]^{T}[U] + [U]^{T}[R]) ds$$
(30)

As the β 's can be assumed independently from surrounding elements, the stationary condition of equation (28) with respect to (β) gives

$$[H](\beta) = [G](q)$$
(31)

or

$$(\beta) = [H]^{-1}[G](q)$$
 (32)

With equation (31), one can substitute back into equation (28) and eliminate (β). One does not have to. Instead, one could solve for (β)'s simultaneously with the (q)'s. However, in the finite element program the (β)'s are eliminated.

Substituting equation (32) into equation (28) gives (3)

$$\pi_{m} = 1/2(q)^{T}[k](q)$$
(33)

where the element stiffness matrix for the crack element is

$$[k] = [G]^{T}[H]^{-1}[G]$$
(34)

After global assembly and solution for the global displacement vector, the stress intensity factors can be found from the now known (q). The stress intensity factors can be shown to be related to (β) in the following manner: (4)

$$K_{I} = (\beta_{1})\sqrt{2}$$

(35)

 $K_{II} = (\beta_{N+1}) \sqrt{2}$ Because (β) is related to (q) by equation (32), one can write $K_I = (B_I)^T (q)$

(36)

 $K_{II} = (B_{II})^{T}(q)$

It appears that $(B_I)^T$ is the first row of $[H]^{-1}[G]$ multiplied by $\sqrt{2}$ and that $(B_{II})^T$ is the $(N+1)^{th}$ row of $[H]^{-1}[G]$ multiplied by $\sqrt{2}$ as is shown in the program.

The reference followed in the development of this chapter gives details as to how the integrations implied by equations (29) and (30) are to be accomplished in terms of complex variables, and it gives certain properties of [H] (namely that its upper right and lower left quadrants are blocks of zeros) that make it more efficient to invert (4).

The finite element program uses the crack element of the previous section in conjunction with CST triangular elements and 4-CST quadrilateral elements obtained by condensing the middle node. In fact, the program is essentially the program in Desai and Able's finite element text (2) modified to incorporate the crack element.

A complete discussion of the entire program and its input requirements is given in Appendix B.

Operational Parameters

It is convenient to define certain parameters which are used to describe and discuss the crack element. The first parameter is ϵ .

 ε =(Length of crack within crack element)/(Total Crack Length) = a/c The second parameter is the ratio a/l.

a/l = (Length of crack within crack element)/(Length of Crack Element)The last parameter is the ratio c/b.

c/b =(Total crack length)/(Depth of Base)

The element shown in Figure 6 is used for all the finite element meshes in the solution procedure yet to be described. Because of the symmetry of the problem, the five node element is used here. It is desirable to use one element for all the meshes so that one can create and build meshes quickly and easily. This particular element is chosen for various reasons. First, it gives a reasonable value of a/1 for all c/b ratios. A plot of a/1 versus percent error in K_I is shown in Figure 7 for the crack element applied to the Bowie crack problem ($\underline{5}$). The above element has a constant a/1 ratio of 0.5. It is seen that this a/1 ratio yields about a 3 percent error, which is relatively small.

A second reason that the element of Figure 6 is used is that that as the crack propagates, ε remains within reasonable limits for accuracy. This can be seen from Figure 8, which is a plot of ε versus K_I for the Bowie crack problem (5). The element used in this research is the 2 element. From this plot, a value of $\varepsilon > 0.2$ is needed to insure reasonable accuracy in K_I . In the solution process for the problem of this report, a maximum value of c/b of 1/2 is used, and a minimum c/b value of 1/12 is used. Also, the greatest b value is 16 inches, and the least is 8 inches. Thus, c_{max} is 8 inches, and c_{min} is 2/3 inches. ε is therefore such that 0.03125 < ε < 0.375, and within the range of acceptable accuracy shown in Figure 8.

The above two reasons for using the element shown in Figure 6 are important, but many elements which satisfy the a/1 and criteria could be created. The main reason to use the Figure 6 element is that it is small enough so that reverse stresses can be applied to enough of the crack length to make the solution process viable. For instance, c_{min} is 2/3 inches.








Figure 8. Illustration of Accuracy Related to Crack Tip Length (<u>4</u>)

「日間なららなられた」

With a = 1/4 inches, as in Figure 6, one can apply tractions to 5/12 inches, or 62.5%, of the crack length. Remember, one cannot apply tractions to the portion of the crack within the crack element. The 62.5% value can be considered to be a significant amount and meaningful results can be obtained from it. However, as a increases, this percent value decreases and quickly becomes insignificant. If a = c, or $\varepsilon = 1$, then there is no crack length available to apply reverse stresses to, and the solution philosophy outlined earlier 1 is impossible.

There will be five values of c/b used in the finite element analysis of each combination of base, overlay, and modulus. These are shown in Table 2. These values were chosen for accuracy, uniformity, and from an initial study that c/b < 1/2 was the most critical range. Concerning accuracy, it was seen above that c/b = 1/2 produced a maximum c for the 16 inch base of 8 inches and a minimum ε of 0.03125, which is very near the limit for accuracy of 0.02. Actually, the absolute greatest value of c possible is 16 inches in the 16 inch base, and for the element of Figure 6 this corresponds to an ε of 0.015625, which is quite close to 0.02. Therefore, accuracy is not a compelling reason to keep c/b less than 1/2, although for c/b greater than 1/2 one approaches the ragged edge of accuracy.

The uniformity criteria is mainly for convenience. For purposes of comparing trends in K_I distributions from one base-overlay combination to another, it is very helpful to have results computed for the same or similar c/b values. The differences seen in Table 2 for the 12 inch base are because of ease in mesh creation.

The main reason why c/b < 1/2 values are used is that is was thought that they were the most critical values, especially those < 1/6. This thought

BASE, IN	C/B VALUES				
8, 16	1/12, 1/8, 1/6, 7/16, 1/2				
12	1/12, 5/36, 1/6, 5/12, 1/2				

arose from intuition and previous experience, and is not necessarily borne out by the results in Chapter III.

Other details concerning the element in Figure 6 should be addressed. In Figure 6, the side opposite the crack is straight. For other problems, like the Bowie crack problem, better results are obtained if the side is humped, as in Figure 9. For this problem, however, results using the humped element were poor. Thus the straight side is strongly recommended for this problem. Also, note that in Figure 6 an offset appears at the bottom of the element. This is to simulate the physical opening of the crack. For convenience, this offset is present all the way through the subgrade.



Ē



ì

CHAPTER III: SOLUTION PROCEDURE

Development of Stress Distributions

The first step in the solution process is the calculation of the stress distributions to be used in superposition phases discussed in Chapter I. In this problem there is no crack, and thus the finite element program with no crack element can be used to calculate the stress distribution. A distribution must be found for each combination of base thickness, overlay thickness, and modulus to be analyzed. The following combinations are analyzed in this study: all modulus conditions for all base thicknesses with no surface, and all modulus conditions for a base thickness of 8 inches and surfacing of 3 and 6 inches. This information is summarized in Table 3. These specific combinations are analyzed so that all base thicknesses for a given surface (0 inches) can be compared, and all surfaces for a given base thickness (8 inches) can be compared. A sample mesh is shown in Figure 10 for reference. In this mesh, the base thickness is equal to 8 inches and the overlay thickness is 3 inches. The input corresponding to this mesh is listed in Appendix B, along with the corresponding output file. Note that the different modulus conditions can be achieved by simply changing the input values. Also note that the thickness of the meshes in this section is one inch, allowing the 270 psi load to be applied as a traction of the same magnitude.

The results are shown in Table 4. The numbers in the headings are element numbers, and correspond to the elements in the base, along the line of symmetry, where the cracks would run. Lower element numbers refer to elements at the bottom of the base, while higher numbers refer to elements at



Pavement Structural Analysis Finite Element Mesh Used for Figure 10.

34

Ĩ.

۱e	3. Pavement	Parameters	Used in the Factorial Analy:
	BASE, IN	SURFACE	MODULUS CONDITIONS
	8	0 3 6	1-6
	12	0	1-6
	16	0	1-6
			······································

Tab

•

Table 4. Stress Distributions for Superposition Phase one, psi

MC	8 inch 266	base, no 285	overlay 304	323		
	1705.1 1229.7 1455.1 1032.3 5 1086.8 5 751.6	546.4 383.75 461.01 315.74 334.56 218.24	-584.5 -438.09 -507.06 -378.84 -395.06 -297.81	-1772.1 -1314.2 -1530.6 -1126.6 -1178.2 -864.87		
MC 266	12 inch 285	base, no 304	overlay 323	342	361	
1 1205. 2 942.3 3 1078. 4 807.0 5 845.6 6 597.4	5 704.01 39 545.64 3 627.40 36 464.26 31 487.56 19 338.45	234.94 178.77 207.79 149.79 158.10 104.64	-230.70 -185.68 -208.84 -162.89 -169.37 -128.65	-725.58 -578.79 -654.43 -503.95 -525.31 -389.89	-1279.1 -1028.9 -1157.7 -900.41 -936.99 -704.47	
266 285	16 inch 304	base, no 323	overlay 342	361	380	399_
825.67 572.11 699.45 482.34 786.64 531.53 620.79 426.45 644.37 443.15 478.20 325.34	40.62 285.93 315.90 5251.89 262.09 4190.36	120.46 99.95 111.20 87.61 91.00 63.95	- 98.98 - 85.69 - 92.96 - 77.50 - 79.94 - 62.95	-331.43 -284.17 -310.04 -254.89 -263.64 -202.42	-597.65 -515.73 -560.58 -464.93 -480.13 -373.73	-915.71 -798.05 -862.48 -725.03 -746.89 -593.71
TAI	BLE I-2(D): 8 266	inch bas 285	e, 3 inc 304	h overlay 323	,	
	1 466.0 2 1075.8 3 1232.9 4 887.08 5 903.29 5 632.18	629.89 457.60 566.90 402.36 469.46 321.91	-172.65 -130.19 -66.59 -53.30 69.95 37.94	-998.51 -741.01 -717.41 -526.53 -345.38 -255.06		

N N N N N N N N N

MC

	8 inch	base, 6 i	nch overl	ay
<u>MC</u>	266	285	304	323
1	1190.6	615.34	69.38	-382.55
2	911.27	467.40	50.40	-308.17
3	1003.4	561.06	146.45	-376.58
4	751.0	415.71	105.63	-296.51
5	745.18	468.70	216.88	-312.75
6	535.85	332.48	150.93	-236.52

the top of the base. The numbers below the heading MC refer to the modulus condition, all of which are depicted previously in Table 1. The numbers below the element headings are the stresses at the element centroids, in psi. A positive value denotes tension. The stresses for modulus condition one in each table are graphed in Figure 11.

Linearize Stress Distributions

As can be seen from Figure 11, the stress distributions are very nearly linear, especially in the range of Y coordinate values which encompass the crack lengths to be investigated, up to 1/2 the base thicknesses. As a result, for the purpose of applying the reverse stresses to the crack lengths, it is expedient to linearize the stress distributions by assuming a linear distribution between each element centroid. Note that element centroids are two inches apart in Figures 10 and 11. This is because each horizontal line of elements in the base is two inches thick, for all meshes. Figure 10 shows an 8 inch base. Additional elements for 12 and 16 inch bases shown in Table 4 reflect these supplemental lines of elements.

The linearized distributions are given in Table 5. Listed are the slopes, m, and the initial stress value for each interval between element centroids. Values are listed for each modulus condition. The intervals are delineated by the Y coordinate values of the appropriate element centroids. Only intervals up to 1/2 the base thickness are listed. Note that the interval between the lowest element centroids, that is between 51 and 53 inches, is extended to the bottom of the base, which is located at a Y value of 50 inches. The stress at the bottom of the base is unknown, and the same slope that acts between 51 and 53 inches is assumed to hold between 50 and 51. The stress at the bottom of the base, or the value for the initial





ļ

たいしていてい

38

.

.

Table 5. Linearized Stress Distributions, psi

8 inch base, no overlay

	50-53	53-55	inches	
MC		<u> </u>		
1	579.35	2284.5	565.45	546.40
. 2	422.98	1672.7	410.92	383.75
3	497.05	1952.1	484.04	461.01
4	358.28	1390.6	347.29	315.74
5	376.12	1462.9	364.81	334.56
6	266.68	1018.3	258.03	218.24

12 inch base, no overlay

	50-53	inches	53-55	inches	55-57	inches
MC		I		·		<u> </u>
1	250.75	1456.3	234.54	704.01	232.82	234.94
2	198.38	1140.8	183.44	545.64	182.23	178.77
3	225.45	1303.8	209.81	627.40	208.32	207.79
4	171.40	978.50	157.24	464.26	156.34	149.79
5	179.13	1024.9	164.23	487.56	163.74	158.10
6	129.52	727.01	116.91	338.45	116.65	104.64

16 inch base, no overlay

	50-53	inches	53-55	inches	55-57	inches	57-59	inches
MC				<u> </u>				<u> </u>
1 2 3 4 5 6	126.28 108.56 127.56 97.17 100.59 76.43	951.95 808.01 914.19 717.95 744.96 554.63	115.75 98.21 107.82 87.28 90.55 67.49	572.11 482.34 531.53 426.45 443.19 325.34	110.08 92.99 102.35 82.14 85.55 63.21	340.62 285.93 315.90 251.89 262.09 190.36	109.72 92.82 102.08 82.55 85.47 63.45	120.46 99.95 111.20 87.61 91.00 63.95

8 inch base, 3 inch overlay

	50-53	<u>inches</u>	53-55	inches
MC				
1	418.06	1884.1	401.27	629.89
2	309.10	1384.9	293.90	457.60
3	333.0	1565.9	316.75	566.90
4	242.36	1129.4	227.83	402.36
5	216.92	1120.2	201.76	469.46
6	155.14	787.30	141.99	321.91

8 inch base, 6 inch overlay

	50-53 inches		53-55	inches
MC				
•	207 62	1470 0		<i></i>
1	287.03	14/8.2	2/2.98	615.34
2	221.94	1133.Z	208.50	467.40
3	221.17	1224.6	207.31	561.06
4	167.65	918.65	155.04	415.71
5	138.24	883.42	125.91	468.70
6	101.69	637.54	90.78	332.48

interval of 50-53 inches, is obtained by adding this slope to the stress at the first element centroid, located a unit distance away at 51 inches. Since there is a material (and thus stress) discontinuity between the base and the subgrade it is impossible to obtain the stress at the bottom of the base from the nearest subgrade element. It is thus necessary to assume something, and the above procedure is consistent with the concept of linearized stress distributions.

Mesh Considerations for Finite Element Runs

The sample mesh shown in Figure 12 is for a base of 8 inches, a surface of 3 inches, and a crack length of 3.5 inches (c/b of 0.4375). Also shown is an enlargement of a section of mesh in the base which is to fine to represent with the rest and an enlargement of the area immediately surrounding the crack element. This crack element and the area immediately surrounding it is common to all meshes. There is a mesh for each crack length in each base-overlay combination. The common crack element enables one to build one mesh from another quickly and easily and to be able to efficiently execute the analysis. As discussed in Chapter II, there are five crack lengths to be investigated for each base-overlay combination, and there are five base-overlay combinations targeted for analysis. Thus, there are 25 separate meshes to be considered and the efficiency afforded by a common crack element is essential.

The maximum aspect ratio in these meshes is 40:1. This occurs as far away from the crack element as possible. Near the crack, the aspect ratios are very nearly 1:1. Thus, near the crack where greater accuracy is required, the mesh is in its most accurate configuration, and far from the



Figure 12. Illustration of Mesh Around Crack

crack where accuracy is not critical, the mesh is in its least accurate configuration.

The thickness of all the meshes of this section is one inch. Therefore, all stresses applied as loads transfer as tractions of the same magnitude.

The input file corresponding to Figure 11 is listed in Appendix B.

Applying Reverse Stresses to Crack Lengths

The linearized stresses shown above are applied to the meshes created above with a reverse sign. Note that there is one mesh for each base-surface combination and one linearized stress distribution for each modulus condition of each base-surface combination. Therefore, there are 25*6 = 150 different files to be run in the finite element program. Reverse stress values calculated for the mesh shown in Figures 11 with modulus condition one are given in Table 6. These values also appear in the input file for the mesh in Figure 11 that is listed in Appendix B. Note that the values in the input file have units of force/length, not stress, but have the same magnitude as the stresses in Table 6. This is because the thicknesses of all meshes is one inch. A positive sign indicates a stress in the positive X direction.

Uncorrected K_T Values

Each of the 150 runs took between 1.50 and 3.00 minutes on a Harris 800 super mini-computer. The results are listed in Table 7. The values in the tables are uncorrected stress intensity factors in psi/\sqrt{inch} . The negative signs indicate that the reverse stresses act to close the crack. In actuality, these stress intensity factors are positive, because the crack is

Table 6. An Example of a Reverse Stress Pro	file for Figure 3, MC=1.
---	--------------------------

I COORDINATE, IN	KEVERSE SIRESS, PS
50.0	1884.1
50.833	1535.7
51.5	1257.0
51.833	1117.6
52.167	978.27
52.5	838.92
52.833	699.57
53.25	525.38

		8 inch ba	h base, no surface C/B				
MC	1/12	_1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2		
1 2 3 4 5 6	-916.20 -653.28 -778.18 -543.48 -573.83 -387.04	-1263.99 -891.83 -1068.65 -735.67 -778.89 -512.59	-1490.41 -1044.41 -1256.70 -857.06 -908.91 -589.87	-2372.08 -1585.38 -1959.78 -1257.04 -1347.53 -801.68	-2387.92 -1576.26 -1962.35 -1238.55 -1331.49 -773.87		
		<u>12 inch b</u>	ase, no surfa C/B	<u>ce</u>			
MC	1/12	5/36	1/6	5/12	1/2		
1 2 3 4 5 6	-798.13 -613.84 -709.19 -518.45 -545.82 -369.66	-1147.78 -878.07 -1017.63 -738.42 -778.48 -520.76	-1216.62 -927.64 -1077.19 -778.06 -820.98 -545.04	-1490.31 -1105.32 -1304.38 -906.79 -963.63 -601.43	-1388.27 -1017.78 -1209.25 -827.16 -881.70 -535.64		
		<u>16 inch b</u>	ase, no surfa _C/B	ce			
MC	1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2		
1 2 3 4 5 6	-603.00 -502.45 -572.40 -439.56 -458.43 -325.38	-779.24 -647.50 -736.91 -565.24 -589.91 -416.05	-913.42 -757.11 -860.32 -659.70 -688.91 -483.27	-870.49 -704.63 -800.74 -602.85 -633.19 -420.69	-783.82 -631.38 -725.99 -537.92 -535.64 -371.11		

8 inch base, 3 inch surface

MC	1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2	
1	-763.07	-1165.89	-2161.30	-1962.77	-2009.58	
2	-553.75	-837.89	-1540.76	-1360.62	-1382.10	
3	-630.24	-957.27	-1758.64	-1593.91	-1633.61	
4	-446.75	-669.68	-1218.07	-1066.60	-1082.68	
5	-443.83	-664.67	-1198.61	-1083.01	-1110.89	
6	-303.38	-444.61	-791.12	-682.53	-691.41	

MC	С/В					
	_1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2	
1	-596.74	-906.85	-1655.76	-1532.27	-1579.01	
2	-452.21	-681.49	-1237.18	-1122.00	-1150.22	
3	-490.88	-741.00	-1338.24	-1243.83	-1284.41	
4	-362.56	-541.13	-970.67	-880.61	-903.77	
5	-348.11	-517.56	-915.72	-855.82	-884.99	
6	-245.00	-357.48	-627.08	-567.97	-582.97	

opened by the loads on the pavement. However, as mentioned previously, the magnitudes of these numbers are correct.

A sample output file is given in Appendix B. This output file corresponds to the input file in Appendix B that was discussed previously.

Stress Intensity Correction Factors

The equation used to compute the correction factors is given by equation (2) of Chapter I. In this equation, σ_e is the stress in the vicinity of the crack tip that would be applied to the portion of the crack within the crack element, if that were allowed. Because the reverse stress distribution is assumed to be linear with depth, there is no one value of reverse stress in the vicinity of the crack tip. σ_e is then defined as the average value of the reverse stress that would be applied to the length of the crack within the crack element. The average reverse stress is the stress that would be applied halfway from the crack tip to the edge of the crack element. If Y is the vertical coordinate of the crack tip, then σ_e acts at Y = Y -z/2. The value of z, the length of crack within the crack element, is 1/4 inches.

A negative correction factor indicates that the reverse stresses to be applied act in a manner which closes the crack. They add with the negative stress intensity factors computed in the previous section to increase the magnitude of K_{I} . Positive correction factors add to decrease the magnitude of the stress intensity factor.

The computed correction factors are given in Table 8. The computed stress intensity factors are added to the correction factors in order to find the final values of K_{I} .

¥

Table 8. Stress Intensity Correction Factors, psi/ in

[

		8 inch b	ese, no surfac	:e	
			C/B		
MC	1/12	_1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2
1	-1572.34	-1418.26	-1264.17	-262.62	-31.492
2	-1135.84	-1023.34	-910.85	-179.63	-10.889
3	-1342.77	-1210.58	-1078.38	-219.11	-20.821
4	-954.68	-859.39	-764.10	-144.72	-1.791
- 6	-1004.65	- 904.05	-804.02	-154.40	-4.352
		12 inch b	ise, no surfac C/B	<u>:e</u>	
MC	1/12	5/36	1/6	5/12	_1/2
1	-986.86	-853.48	-786.79	-186.60	13.470
2	-771.70	-666.18	-613.42	-138.58	19.698
3	-882.84	-762.92	-702.96	-163.31	16.571
5	-601.04	- 509.80	-524.28	-114.01	22.752
6	-489.65	-420.75	-386.30	-76.28	27.064
		16 inch bi	ase, no surfac	: <u>e</u>	
MC	1/12		1/6	7/16	1/2
1	-637 80	-570 63	-503 46	-66 84	33 914
ż	-540.04	-482.29	-424.59	-49.22	37.393
3	-606.45	-538.60	-470.75	-29.73	72.049
4	-479.16	-427.47	-375.79	- 39 . 82	37.711
5	-497.41 -368.85	-443.91 -328.19	-390.40 -287.53	-42.61 -23.28	37.649 37.705
		<u>8 inch base</u>	, 3 inch surf	ace	
MC	1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2
1	-1322.58	-1211.39	-1100.21	-382.52	-222.43
2	-971.40	-889.19	-806.98	-277.18	-159.93
3	-1105.49	-1016.92	-928.36	-357.55	-231.19
4	- / 96.42	- / 31 . 96	-66/.50	-252.87	-161.98
6	-561.14	-519.88	-478.62	-214.36	-157.72
		8 inch base	, 6 inch surf	ace	
<u>MC</u>	1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2
1	-1055.15	-978.65	-902.15	-409.29	-300.39
2	-808.25	-749.22	-690.20	-310.55	-227.37
3	-881.48	-822.66	-763.83	-385.63	-302.93
4	-00U.52 -645 12	-615.93 -608 36	-3/1.34 -571 50	-200.30	-223.45
6	-464.73	-437.69	-410.64	-238.12	-201.91
		_	_		

The final values of K_I are given in Table 9 and illustrated in Figure 13 through Figure 17. Note that the values of K_I are positive. The actual stress intensity factors are equal in magnitude but opposite in sign to the computed ones; that is, they indicate that the crack will open under load, not close.

From the tables and graphs it can be seen that the K_I values for the 8 inch base, no surface, are greater than those of the other pavements with no surfaces. The 16 inch base has the least K_I values of the above pavements. This is intuitively reasonable. Adding a 3 inch surface to the 8 inch base generally decreases the stress intensity factors, although the K_I values for c/b = 1/6 are greater. For the 6 inch surface, the K_I values are less than their counterparts in the no surface case for all the c/bvalues for which stress intensity factors are calculated.

Table 9. Corrected Stress Intensity Factors

Instanting Balance

	C/B				
MC	1/12	_1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2
1 2 3 4 5 6	2488.5 1789.1 2120.9 1498.2 1587.5 1084.2	2682.2 1915.2 2279.2 1595.1 1683.5 1138.9	2754.6 1955.2 2335.1 1621.2 1713.5 1145.2	2634.7 1765.0 2178.9 1401.8 1501.9 896.02	2419.4 1587.1 1983.2 1240.3 1335.8 761.82
		12 inch bas	e, no surfa C/B	<u>1Ce</u>	
MC	1/12	5/36	_1/6	5/12	1/2
1 2 3 4 5 6	1785.0 1385.5 1592.0 1179.5 1238.5 859.31	2001.3 1544.2 1780.6 1308.3 1375.9 941.51	2003.4 1541.1 1780.2 1302.3 1370.8 931.34	1676.9 1243.9 1467.7 1020.8 1084.7 677.71	1374.8 998.08 1192.7 804.41 859.81 508.57
		16 inch bas	e, no surfa	ice	
MC_	1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	1/2
1 2 3 4 5 6	1240.8 1042.5 1178.8 918.72 955.84 694.22	1349.9 1129.8 1275.5 992.71 1033.8 744.24	1416.9 1181.7 1331.1 1035.5 1079.3 770.81	937.34 753.85 830.47 642.67 675.80 443.97	749.90 593.99 653.94 500.21 528.11 333.40

8 inch base, 3 inch surface

MC	1/12	1/8	1/6	7/16	_1/2
1 2 3 4 5 6	2085.7 1525.2 1735.7 1243.2 1243.9 864.52	2377.3 1727.1 1974.2 1401.6 1407.0 964.5	3261.5 2347.7 2687.0 1885.6 1883.3 1269.7	2354.3 1637.8 1951.5 1319.5 1397.2 896.89	2232.0 1542.0 1864.8 1244.7 1344.6 849.13

8 inch base, 6 inch surface

<u>6 7/16</u>	_1/2
7.9 1941.0 7.4 1432.5 2.1 1629.5 2.0 1165.9 7.3 1192.1 7.7 806.09	1879.4 1377.6 1587.3 1127.2 1171.1 784.90
	7.4 1432.5 2.1 1629.5 2.0 1165.9 7.3 1192.1 7.7 806.09



.









Ŀ

CHAPTER IV: CALCULATING FRACTURE LIFE

Regression Equations for Stress Intensity Factors

In order to compute a fracture life for the pavement system the stress intensity factor distribution must be calculated for every combination of pavement and loading, and then this distribution must be used in the Paris equation. A simpler approach is to develop a series of regression equations to describe the distribution of the stress intensity factor. This eliminates the need to rerun the finite element program a large number of times to develop a series of stress intensity factors differing only slightly from each other. The equation must relate K_T to c/b.

Examining the graphs of the stress intensity factor distribution shown in the previous chapter, it is reasonable to assume a cubic distribution of K_I versus c/b, especially for the cases with no overlay. In order to define this assumed cubic relationship, regression techniques are used. A statistical package on the IBM personal computer was utilized to find the best fit coefficients to a cubic distribution. The results of the polynomial regression analysis are given in Table 10. The R² parameters of the regression are also listed. A value of R² = 1.0 denotes a perfect fit. Note that the fit is very good for the cases with no surface, and satisfactory for those with a surface. Plots of K_I versus c/b for both the cubic distribution and the distributions of the previous section are shown for representative cases in Figure 18 through Figure 22.

For reasons discussed previously, the range of c/b values for which stress intensity factors were computed extend from 1/12 to 1/2. For no c/b

Table 10. Regression Coefficients for Cubic Polynomial Fit to Stress Intensity Distribution.

15.78 NASE

F

いたので、「ないたい」というという。

.

7872

- **2** - **2**

Sector de las des

		ĸ	= B + B (C/B) + B (C/B) + B (C/B)	
MC		<u>Bo</u>	<u>B inch base, no surface</u> <u>B1</u>	
1 2 3 4 5 6	!	2047.043 1490.105 1753.576 1260.895 1351.920 938.9210	6567.108 -14172.16 5067.807 4544.518 -10815.35 4237.885 5513.253 -12415.28 4625.061 3666.674 -9340.74 3857.532 3600.485 -8792.111 3060.146 2345.091 -6942.935 3093.236	0.9861128 0.9940159 0.9903571 0.9966238 0.9971226 0.9988023
MC		<u>80</u>	<u>12 inch base, no surface</u> B1 B2 B3 R ²	
1 2 3 4 5 6		1297.536 1019.034 1162.857 875.8471 916.8071 654.5362	7693.483 -22383.32 14619.22 5847.388 -17613.80 11676.70 6804.254 -20087.00 13202.72 4887.160 -15129.88 10145.02 5163.552 -15845.97 10587.44 3373.223 -11169.04 7681.451	0.9951294 0.9962779 0.9957248 0.9968587 0.9966850 0.9966850 0.9978152
MC			<u>16 inch base, no surface</u> Bi B2 B3 R ²	
1 2 3 4 5 6		808.9672 687.1326 776.4540 611.02611 633.80810 472.87540	6928.043 -22642.82 17098.41 5742.700 -19189.89 14661.88 6547.792 -22345.70 17517.90 5000.017 -16980.85 13073.25 5223.816 -17649.63 13556.44 3650.309 -12930.04 10141.79	0.9999410 0.9998762 0.9998906 0.9999341 0.9999398 0.9998798
MC		Bo	<u>8 inch base, 3 inch surface</u> B1 B2 B3 R ²	
1 2 3 4 5 6		-394.5381 -235.5056 -280.6712 -137.2445 -112.6183 -12.82105	37465.05 -117437.1 105884.90 26687.00 -84582.00 76535.600 30515.79 -96126.81 87240.120 20948.11 -66598.86 60381.990 20547.46 -64805.32 59002.900 13339.98 -42658.10 38802.090	0.8500299 0.8609347 0.8503666 0.8641640 0.8512328 0.8696607
MC		<u>Bo</u>	$\frac{8 \text{ inch base, 6 inch surface}}{B_2} \qquad R^2$	
1 2 3 4 5 6		-247.4966 -148.9915 -153.3865 -72.99951 -35.03687 17.19202	28694.99 -89707.74 81544.99 21328.18 -67057.85 60936.81 23050.09 -71954.05 65549.56 16591.39 -52166.28 47507.98 15331.10 -48342.45 44153.58 10486.71 -32985.49 30127.45	0.8456994 0.8496895 0.8465933 0.8494838 0.849434 0.8497349 0.8487314

.







c/b

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1

Figure 20. Stress Intensity Factor Distribution





values greater than 1/2 were stress intensity factors calculated. Thus, for c/b greater than 1/2, the assumed cubic distributions give the only K_I values; they must be relied on exclusively. The graphs of the predicted distributions for c/b greater than 1/2 are shown in Figure 23 through 27. As can be seen, the plots for all cases get smaller directly after c/b = 1/2, and then double back and increase. For the 8 inch base, no surface, the K_I values go negative and never become positive again. For the rest of the cases, K_I is always positive past c/b = 1/2, and for the 8 inch base, 3 and 6 inch surface cases K_I gets very large at c/b = 1. In addition, noting that B₀ equals K_I at c/b = 0, it can be seen that for the 8 inch base, 3 and 6 inch surface cases K_I is negative at c/b = 0. The exception is modulus condition 6 of the 6 inch surface, where K_I manages to remain positive at c/b = 0.

The predicted K_I values at c/b = 0 cannot be checked (there is no crack length on which to apply reverse stresses), but for c/b greater than 1/2 the cubic values should be checked with calculated K_I values. It may be that the cubic distributions are misleading in this range, but these values have not been checked.

Computation of Crack Propagation Histories

To calculate the rate of crack propagation, the Paris equation described in Chapter II was coded into a Fortran program to allow easy application of stress intensity factors, pavement geometry and structural properties into a program that predicted the number of load cycles to failure. Up to this point, all the necessary input has been calculated except for the initial and final crack lengths for each modulus condition, base, and surface





F

ן. ר

£3








combination. These are found by calculating the roots of the K_I cubic distributions. The first two roots in the range $0 \le c/b \le 1$ which define a positive region of K_I are the endpoints, as explained in Chapter 1. If K_I is positive in the range $0 \le c/b \le 1$, then the endpoints are 0 and 1. The endpoints found by using this procedure are shown in Table 11. When input, if the endpoint in question is a root, then it is modified slightly so that it does not assign a zero (or negative due to round-off errors) value to K_I and thus cause computational errors. If it is a right endpoint, it is made smaller, and if it is a left endpoint it is made larger so that K_I is always positive.

The crack propagation histories for modulus condition 4, all base and surface combinations, are given in Figure 28 through Figure 32. These histories correspond to arbitrarily selected values of A and n equal to 10^{-10} and 2.0 respectively. The numbers defining these graphs can be found in the output in Appendix B, as mentioned before. The histories graphed are typical in their relationship to each other for each modulus condition and A and n combination.

It appears from the graphs that of the pavements with no surface, the 8 inch base is the most critical, followed by the 12 and then 16 inch bases. Although the number of cycles required to traverse the last crack increment in the 8 inch base is five orders of magnitude greater than the total to failure of the 12 and 16 inch bases, the load cycles needed to reach near failure in the 8 inch base is significantly smaller than the aforementioned totals. It is reasonable to believe that in such a state of near failure some perturbation could advance the crack to failure. Besides, the 8 inch base is practically destroyed at near failure anyway.

2		
8	inch base, no	surface
MC	LEFI	_KIGHI
1	0.0	0.925978
3	0.0	0.905504
4	0.0	0.849452
6	0.0	0.784196
MC 12 1	inch base, no	Surface RIGHT
1 2	0.0	1.0
3	0.0	1.0
4	0.0	1.0
6	0.0	1.0
MC 16 1	LEFT	RIGHT
2	0.0	1.0
3	0.0	1.0
4 5	0.0	1.0
6	0.0	1.0
9 i.e.	h haca 3 inc	h curfaca
MC		RIGHT
1	0.010900	1.0
2	0.009084	1.0
4	0.006693	1.0
5	0.005579	1.0
o	0.000904	1.0
		<u> </u>
8 in	ch base. 6 in	th surface
MC	LEFT	RIGHT
1	0.008869	1.0
2	0.007145 0.006798	1.0
4	0.004462	1.0
5	0.002302	1.0
•	0.0	1.0

E.



Figure 28. Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N



Figure 29. Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N





ŀ

Figure 31. Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N



Figure 32. Progression of Crack (c) Through Base (b) as a Function of Load Cycles, N

The 3 and 6 inch surfaces are added to the 8 inch base with the intent of increasing the fatigue life. From the graphs it is seen that theoretically they do, but perhaps realistically they won't. In both the 3 and 6 inch surface cases, it takes a tremendous amount of load cycles to advance the crack through the first increment of approximately 0.05 inches. After that, though, it takes less cycles to propagate the crack to failure than it does for the crack to reach near failure in the no surface case. Therefore, if the crack were somehow jumped past the initial increment in the 3 and 6 inch surface cases, due to say a starter flaw, which may typically have dimensions capable of doing this, those pavements would be more critical than the no surface case. It is certainly reasonable to think that the first increment could be jumped, as it is assumed that a zone of negative K_{I} is jumped to get to the first increment in the first place. In this case the negative zone is only about 0.01 inches at most, so that it is no great achievement to bypass it. So, in one sense, adding a surface will greatly postpone failure as long as the negative K_{I} zone and the first crack increment are not vaulted. In another sense, if the above were circumvented, adding a surface could hasten failure.

As would be expected, the 3 inch surface case requires more cycles to failure than the 6 inch surface case for the same pavement structure.

Calculations With Actual Laboratory Fracture Properties

Extensive laboratory studies conducted at Texas A&M University have indicated that the fracture parameters, A and n can be predicted from tests along with K_{IC} for stabilized materials. These parameters are necessary to predict the fracture life of a material insitu. Typical values determined are as follows:

CEME	NT	LEVEL			E=355,600	psi	v = 0.15
	10	%	CREEP	VALUES	5	A=8.123	×10 ⁻⁵⁹
1	ĸ _{ic}	=138.6				n=25.28	3
			FATIG	UE VALI	JES	A=15.42	×10 ⁻²⁷
						n=10.0	

	E=597,	600 psi	v = 0.15
15 %	CREEP VALUES	A=1.4	5x10 ⁻⁵³
K _{IC} =209.3		n≠21.	. 24
	FATIGUE VALUES	A=10;	×10 ⁻⁵¹
		n=22 .	.0

Comparison of these critical stress intensity factors indicates that the stress intensity factors calculated using the procedures outlined here are all larger than the critical stress intensity factors which means that fracture will proceed instantaneously. This indicates the inaccuracy of the pavement structure selected for modelling the stress intensity factors calculated.

75

■なるためとなると聞いたたたたたな。開かりというでは聞いたのなななな評判であたが、これと聞いたたたかがは見いたたです。 2011

CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis procedure presented in this report illustrates the complexities involved in calculating stress intensity factors, and applying them to a structure to predict cycles to failure. The stress intensity factors used in this analysis are not as accurate as may be desired, due to the use of plane strain, plane stress solutions used in the finite element procedure to calculate the initial stresses. The modification of more sophisticated programs to accept the fracture elements in an axi-symmetric analysis would increase the accuracy of the predictions of fracture in the cement stabilized base materials, or any material susceptible to fracture.

The methodology of applying the principles of fracture, as demonstrated in the development of this report are applicable to a design methodology, and indicate the modularized approach which must be taken to adequately characterize the pavements, their geometry, and the loading conditions which may be expected to occur in an actual airfield pavement.

The principles of fracture mechanics and crack propagation can be applied to pavement design life, to account for the gradual failure due to repeated loading over fracture susceptible materials. The parameters required to predict crack propagation are the material properties of A and n, as described in this report. A significant amount of testing has gone into evaluating these parameters for cement stabilized materials, and the influence of these material properties on fracture life was shown.

The predicted stress intensity factors were high, due directly to the level of structure modeled and the magnitude of loading investigated.

A broader range of loading conditions, and a thicker pavement structure would have produced more moderate stress intensity factors which would have shown a more pronounced effect on fracture life than was seen in this report.

- The methodology developed in this study indicates the potential for considering fracture in pavement design methodology.
- 2. The stress calculation scheme can be refined and replaced by a general program to analyze an axisymmetric problem with multiple wheel loadings. This can be a simple elastic layer program, a stress dependent elastic layer program, or even a sophisticated stress dependent finite element program such as Illi- Pave.
- 3. The hybrid crack tip element provides a simple means of accurately evaluating the influence of loading on fracture life in fracture susceptible layers of a pavement system given the stresses present in the layers.
- 4. The modular approach developed to provide stress intensity factors using regression techniques to replace repetitive calculations with the finite element program is an accurate procedure to obtain the stress intensity factors in a manner applicable to design without extensive use of computer execution time.

REFERENCES

1. Chang, Hang-Sun, "Prediction of Thermal Cracking in West Texas," Masters Thesis, Texas A&M University, Department of Civil Engineering (1975).

- 2. Desai, C. S., and Abel, J. F., <u>Introduction to the Finite Element Method</u>, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1972.
- 3. Pian, T.H.H., Tong, P., Luk, C. H., "Elastic Crack Analysis by a Finite Element Hybrid Method," (paper) 3rd Conf. Matrix Meth. Struct: Mech., Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio (1971).
- 4. Pian, T.H.H., Tong, P., Lasry, S. J., "A Hybrid Element Approach to Crack Problems in Plane Elasticity," IJNME, Vol. 7, 1973, pp. 297-308.
- 5. Tong, P., Lin, K. Y., Orringer, O., "Effect of Shape and Size on Hybrid Crack-Containing Finite Elements," Computational Fracture Mechanics, ASME, 1975.

APPENDIX A: NOTATION AND CONVERSION FACTORS

SYMBOL

MEANINGS

Α	regression constant in fracture equation; area in other cases
Am	Element areas in finite element development
Ъ	base course thickness in fracture analysis
с	crack length within a pavement layer
Cĸ	stress intensity correction factor
Ci i k l	Elastic Compliance Tensor
e',,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2.71828
E	Young's modulus of elasticity
G	shear modulus
К	stress intensity factor
m	creep exponent
n	regression constant (exponent) in fracture equation
N	number of cycles in fracture
Ρ	load
q	nodal displacements
R	statistical correlation coefficient
r	radius
S	Surface for integration
Т	Traction vector normal to integration path
u	boundary displacement
x,y	x1, y1 directions respectively
E	error in stress intensity predictions, strain
ζ	local coordinate axis
0	angle
ν	Poisson's ratio
σ	stress
σ_{3}	principal stress
τ	shear stress
-	
Σ	summation
1	
V	square root
ln	natural (Naperian) logarithm (base e)
e F	Strain energy release rate
5	coordinate distance from crack tip
σ_{e}	stress applied to crack tip

APPENDIX B: DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM UNITS

Finite Element Fracture Program

A flow diagram of subroutines is shown in Figure B-1. The following is a brief description of each subroutine and the input data format follows. DATAIN:

Reads and echo prints all data pertaining to the conventional

elements. It also performs checks on this data.

ASEMBL;

Initializes and assembles the glabal stiffness matrix (including the crack element) and the global load vector. Modifies the above to reflect the geometric boundary conditions.

QUAD:

Computes the stress-strain matrix, stiffness matrix, body force vector, and strain-displacement matrix of either a 4-CST quadrilateral or a triangular element.

CST:

Computes the strain-displacement matrix, stiffness matrix, and body force vector of a CST element.

GEOMBC:

Applies prescribed displacement boundary conditions at a single, specified node.



CRACK:

Reads the input data pertaining to the crack element. Computes the constants and of Section II. Incorporates the crack element stiffness matrix into the global stiffness matrix which had previously contained stiffnesses from only conventional elements.

HYBRID:

Computes the matrices [G] and [H] of Section II. Computes the crack element stiffness matrix. Computes the $(B_I)^T$ and $(B_{II})^T$ row vectors of Section II.

LOC:

The crack element stiffness matrix is not derived in two-dimensional array form but rather in vector form. Thus, in CRACK and SIFAC where this stiffness matrix is used in calculations and manipulations, some operator must be used to translate from two- to one-dimensional form. This operator is LOC. This is probably done to save memory.

MFSD:

Uses numerical methods to perform the integration implied by equations (16) and (17) of Section II.

SINV:

Performs the inversion of [H].

BANSOL:

Triangularizes the global banded stiffness matrix by symmetric Gauss-Doolittle decomposition and/or solves for the global displacement vector corresponding to a given load vector STRESS:

Computes strains, stresses, and principal stresses for conventional elements. Computes the strain energy due to all the conventional elements which can be used to calculate stress intensity factors by energy methods, as mentioned in Section I. Prints stresses and principal stresses at element centroids, and the strain energy.

SIFAC:

Computes the stress intensity factors by equations (23) in Section II. Computes the crack element strain energy and the global strain energy, which can be used to compute stress intensity factors by energy methods, as in Section I. Prints the crack element strain energy.

Input Guide- Descriptions are included for each card.

IDENTIFICATION CARD:

One card per problem: Format (15,3x,9A8)

- cc 1-5 NPROB: The problem number. If NPROB = 0, execution of the program is halted.
- cc 9-80 TITLE(I): Title of the problem. The vector has 9 elements, each of which contains 9 characters of the title.

Multiple problems can be handled, but as soon as the next problem is read the previous problem is lost, so a problem cannot be recalled.

BASIC PARAMETERS:

One card per problem: Format 615

<pre>cc 6-10 NEL: Number of conventional elements cc 11-15 NMAT: Number of different materials cc 16-20 NLSC: Number of surface tractions cc 21-25 NOPT: Option for stress state. 1 = plane strain, 2 = plan</pre>	cc	1-5	NNP:	Number of nodal points
<pre>cc 11-15 NMAT: Number of different materials cc 16-20 NLSC: Number of surface tractions cc 21-25 NOPT: Option for stress state. 1 = plane strain, 2 = plan stress. cc 26-30 NBODY: Option for body force. 0 = no weight, 1 = weight in</pre>	cc	6-10	NEL:	Number of conventional elements
<pre>cc 16-20 NLSC: Number of surface tractions cc 21-25 NOPT: Option for stress state. 1 = plane strain, 2 = plan stress. cc 26-30 NBODY: Option for body force. 0 = no weight, 1 = weight in</pre>	cc	11-15	NMAT:	Number of different materials
<pre>cc 21-25 NOPT: Option for stress state. 1 = plane strain, 2 = plan stress. cc 26-30 NBODY: Option for body force. 0 = no weight, 1 = weight in</pre>	cc	16-20	NLSC:	Number of surface tractions
stress. cc 26-30 NBODY: Option for body force. 0 = no weight, 1 = weight in	cc	21-25	NOPT:	Option for stress state. $1 = plane strain, 2 = plane$
cc 26-30 NBODY: Option for body force. 0 = no weight, 1 = weight in				stress.
	cc	26-30	NBODY:	Option for body force. $0 = no$ weight, $1 =$ weight in

the negative y direction.

cc 31-35 NCKEL: Number of crack elements.

MATERIAL PROPERTIES

NMAT cards per problem: Format 4F10.0

cc 1-10 E: Modulus of elasticity

cc 11-20 PR: Poisson's ratio

cc 21-30 RO: Density of the material

cc 31-40 TH: Thickness of the material

NODAL POINT DATA:

Up to NNP cards per problem: Format (215,4F10.0)

cc 1-5 M: Nodal point number

cc 6-10 KODE(I): Index of displacement and concentrated load

conditions at note I. The values Kode can assume and the conditions assigned to each are given in Table IV-1.

cc 11-20 X: Horizontal coordinate of node I.

cc 31-30 Y: Vertical coordinate of node I.

cc 31-40 ULX: Concentrated load or displacement in X direction at node I.

cc 41-50 ULY: Concentrated load or displacement in Y direction at node I.

Usually one card is needed for each node. However, if some nodes fall on a straight line and are equidistant, data for only the first and last points of this group are needed. Intermediate nodal point data are automatically generated by linear interpolation. The nodal data must be entered in order from smallest to largest, leaving out those nodes which are to be interpolated. The nodes which are interpolated are assigned values of KODE = 0, ULX = 0, and ULY = 0. The signs of presecribed nodal displacements or forces follow the signs of the coordinate directions assigned by the user. ELEMENT DATA:

Up to NEL cards per problem: Format 615.

- cc 1-5 M: The element number
- cc 6-10 IE(M,1): The index of the first node of a CST or 4-CST quadrilateral element.
- cc 11-15 IE(M,2): The index of the second node of a CST or 4-CST quadrilateral element.

cc 16-20 IE(M,3): The index of the third node in a CST or 4-CST quadrilateral element.

cc 21-25 IE(M,4): The index of the fourth node in a CST or 4-CST quadrilateral element. If it is a CST element, the index of fourth node equals that of the third node; that is, IE(M,3) = IE(M,4).

cc 26-30 IE(M,5): Material type number corresponding to element M.

Usually, one card is needed for each element. However, if some elements are on a line in such a way that their corner node indexes each increase by one compared to the previous element, only the data for the first element on the line need be input. As the elements on the line are generated by adding one to each node of the preceeding element, starting with the first element on the line, the last element on a line needn't be input. However, data for the last element in the assemblage must be input whether it could be generated or not. Also, please note that triangular elements cannot be generated from quadrilateral elements because the third and fourth indexes of a triangular element are equal. The same material type as the first element on a line is assigned to all elements generated on that line.

For a right-handed coordinate system, the nodal indices for an element must be input counter-clockwise around the element. SURFACE TRACTIONS:

As many cards as needed: Format (215,4F10.0)

cc 1-5	ISC:	I, the first node upon which tractions act.
cc 6-10	JSC:	J, the second node upon which tractions act.
cc 11-20	SURX1:	The intensity of the traction in the X direction
		acting at mode T

- cc 21-30 SURX2: The intensity of the traction in the X direction acting at node J.
- cc 31-40 SURY1: The intensity of the traction in the Y direction acting at node I.
- cc 41-50 SURY2: The intensity of the traction in the Y direction acting at node J.

Surface tractions must be specified between two adjacent nodes only. The tractions in both the X and Y directions are assumed to vary linearly between the two nodes. Intensities are expressed in units of force/length so that pressures must be multiplied by the thickness before being input into the computer. The signs of the tractions follow the directions of the coordinate axes assigned by the user.

CRACK ELEMENT DATA:

There are NCKEL cards per problem for each of the two types of card which comprise the crack element data.

Card one: Format (215,2F10.0,15)

cc	1-5	KEY:	The type of crack element. $1 = five node case, 2 =$
			nine node case.
cc	6-10	MATYP:	The type of material where the crack element is
			placed.
cc	11-20	XC:	The horizontal coordinate of the crack tip.
cc	21-30	YC:	The vertical coordinate of the crack tip.
cc	31-35	NCOT:	Flag which determines which direction nodal indexes
			are to be counted around the crack element. For the
			five node case 1 = clockwise, 0 = counter-clockwise.
Cai	rd two:	Format	1015

cc (5I-4)-5I KCRK(I): Nodal incidence for the Ith node of the crack element.

cc (5K+1)-5(K+1) MAXDIF: Maximum difference between the nodal incidences of the crack element. Used to compute the bandwidth after the addition of the crack element.

For the five node case there are five incidences input for the crack element (K = 5) and for the nine node case there are nine (K = 9). In either case the input for MAXDIF immediately follows the last incidence input. All crack element data is omitted if NCKEL = 0.

The listing of the finite element computer code is given on the following pages.

第八日 月戸 月

.

これのないに、「これのため」の「「「たん」」というとの「「これ」」というできます。

2

1

	- ND-R167 106	FRACTURI Transpoi 31 dec 1	E IN STAB RTATION I 5 AFOSR-	ILIZED S	OILS VOL	LUNE 20 TION D 2 F4962	(U) TE) N LI 29-82-	KAS TTLE E K-0027	t AL.	2/	2
Í	UNCLASSIFIED							F/G 8	/13	NL	
Ì											
ļ											



MICROCOP

CHART

	181 T. T. T. C. M. S. S. S. J. S. S. S. S. FORMAT (110, 4E15, 4)	183 103 FORMAT("IINPUT TABLE 3., NODAL POINT DATA "//6X,"NODAL",	- 184 T.X-UIST. SA, TUIST. SA, TUINI SA, HITE , HAN, A , HAN, 2, 14A, 14A, 14A, 14A, 14A, 14A, 14A, 14A	186 3 FORMAT(215,4F)0.0)	16/ 100 FORMATION, "ENKON IN CANU NO.", 15/) 188 52 FÖRMAT(2)10.4E15.4)	169 106 FORMAT(")INPUT TABLE 4. ELEMENT DATA "//11X,	190 T BLOORL INDICES OF ELETENT MOLES / SA, ELETENT ///, 1 /// 191 +"3", 7%, "ATERIAL")	192 118 FORMATIGX, "ERROR IN ELEMENT CARD NO.", 15/)	193 15 FORMAT(10,418,110) 194 53 FORMAT(110,418,110)	195 108 FORMAT("11NPUT TABLE 5. SURFACE LOADING DATA"//17X, 104	186 ************************************	196 41 FORMAT(215, 4F10, 0)	199 42 FORMAT(2110,4E12.4) 200 200 FARMAT//// AFFEMBLY AND FAULTIAN ULLI MAT BE BEBEADMED (ZUU BUU FURMAI(/// ASSEMBLI AND SOLOTION WILL NUI BE FERFORMEN, 201 +- FATAL CARD ERRORS ")	202 999 RETURN	203 END 204 SUMPAULTINE ASEMBLY (STAB)	205 C IMPLICIT REALER(A-H, 0-2)	206 IMPLICIT REAL(A-H, 0-Z)	200 C DIFFENSION LF101	209 COMMON/CONS/NNP, NEL, MAT, NSLC, NOPT, NGODY, MTYP, NCKEL	211 COMPONIONE/CX (10,10), G(10), B(3,10), C(3,3), BT(3,6), XG(5),	212 COMMON/TWO/IBAND, NEO, R(1400), AK(1400, 100)	214 REVIND 11	215 REVIND 12	217 REWIND 14	218 REVIND 18	220 ISTOP=0	221 C INITIALIZE PARTS OF MATRICES C AND BT	223 BT(1,4)=0.0 223 BT(1,5)=0.0	224 B1 (1,6)=0.0	226 BT(2, 2)=0.0	227 87(2,3)=0.0	226 C(1,3)=0.0 230 C(2,3)=0.0	230 C(3, 1) =0.0	231 C(3,2)=0.0	233 C INITIALIZE DVERALL STIFFNESS MATRIX AK AND OVERALL LOAD VECT	234 D0 21=1, NEO	235 R([)=0.0 236 DØ 21=1.18AND	237 2 AK(1, J)=0.0	53 9 C
					S2)N,KODE,X(N),Y(N),ULX,VLY	IN, KODE, ULX, VLY	.442,8	NNP) GOTOS	INT ELEMENT PROPERTIES, TABLE 6-4	. 106)	,15)M, (IE(M, I), I=1,5)			53)M, (IE(M, I),]=1,5)	:TOP+1	·[E(L-1, [)+1	-IE(L-1,2)+1	■ [∈ (L − 1 , 3) +] ■ [∈ (L − 1 , 4) +]		8,33/L,(12(L,1),1=1,3) 20.20.16	.)21,21,14		INT SURFACE LOADING(TRACTION) CARDS	. EQ. 0) 601031 6. 108)	1, NSLC	.41) ISC. JSC. SURXI, SURX2, SURY1, SURY2 B) ISC. JSC. SURX1. SURX2. SURY1. SURY2	5,42)1SC, JSC, SURX1, SURX2, SURY1, SURY2	P. EQ. 0)6010999		15) "Oinput table 1 Basic Parameters "//5x.	t OF NODAL POINTS	DE ELEMENTS	OF SURFACE LOAD CARDS	ANE STRAIN, 2 = PLANE STRESS ", 15/9X,	DAGES() * IN "T UINEC., O * NONE!", 15) D".6x."number of Crack Flements".15)	///" TOO MANY NODAL POINTS, MAXIMUM "", IS)	///" TOO MANY ELEMENTS, MAXIMUM = ",[5) ///" Too many matfrias, maximum =" [5]	/// EXECUTION HALTED BECAUSE OF", 15," FATAL ERRORS"/)	FIO.0)	UINTUI IADLE A. TAILENIAL FROTENIES // MALENIAL '07.

Þ

and all all

90

DO IOM=1, NEL	
1510P=1510P+1	302 C AUD EXTERNALLT ATTL, CONC, NOCH LOAD 10 N 303 DØ 55N*1, NNP
GOTO 10 11 Call Diadím Arrai	304 READ(14)M,K0DE,ULX,VLY
IF (AREA.67.0.0)607016	303 IFINOLE.EU.3J601000 306 K=2±N
1510F51510F1	307 IF(KODE.E0.1)601057
	309 IF(KODE, NE. 0)667055
STORE ELEMENT STIFFNESS MATRIX TO COMPUTE STORED ENERGY	310 57 R(K)=R(K)+VLY 311 58 CONTINUE
16 IF(IE(M, 3).EQ.IE(M, 4))0070201	
L M= 10	313 C CONVERT LINEARLY VARYING SURFACE TRACTIONS TO STATIC EQUIVALENT
201 LIM=6	315 C AND AUD 10 OVERALL LOOD VEVICE N, ENVIOUNT. 315 [F(NSLC.EQ.0)601060
205 WRITE(13)LIM, ((OK(1, J), J*1, LIM), I*1, LIM)	316 DO 61L=1, NSLC
CONDENSE ELEMENT STIFF, FROM 10X10 TO 8X8, EQ. (5-64), AND ELEMENT	317 READ(18)1SC, JSC, SURX1, SURX2, SURY1, SURY2 318 1=1SC
LOADS FROM 10X1 TO 8X1, EQ. (9640), (REF.2)	319 J. J.SC
FIETT, 37.54.1517,47.601026 D0 313-1.2	320 11=2=1 331 11=2=1
1,10-0	321 JJ-24-0 322 DX=X(J)-X(I)
	323 DY=Y(J)-Y(I)
	324 EL=SQRT(DX=DY==2) 335 bytet1641545
F=CK(1K,K)/P1V0T	326 PXJ=SURX2+EL
OK((K,K)=F D0 331=F 1	327 PY1=SURY1=EL
OK(1,K)=OK(1,K)-F=OK(1,1K)	328 F1J=SUKTZFEL 329 R[J]-1)=R[]-1)+PX]/3,0+PXJ/6,0
	330 R(JJ-1)=R(JJ-1)+PXI/6.0+PXJ/3.0
31 Q(1K)=Q(1K)/P1V0T	331 R([1)=R(11)+PY1/3.0+PYJ/5.0 332 R(JJ)=R(JJ)+PY1/6.0+PYJ/3.0
	333 61 CONTINUE
MATRICES ON SCRATCH TAPE NO. 1 (TO BE USED LATER TO COMPUTE STRAINS A	-334 C 335 C INTRODUCE KINEMATIC CONSTRAINTS (GEOMETRIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS),
	336 C EQ, (6-18), REF, 1.
<pre>20 WRITE(11)(UM(1, J), Ja1, 10), 199, 10), 0(9), 0(10), ((8(1, J), Ja1, 10), 1= +1,3), ((C(1, J), Ja1, 3), 1a1, 3), X0(5), Y0(5)</pre>	337 C A3A GOOMTINUE
1000 MOLE AND JAINE DISCA SOLES METADA AND A D	339 REVIND 14
ASSERBLE BILLY. AND LUAUS , UINECI BILLY, HEINOD, BEC, 8-5.	340 DØ 70M=1,NNP 341 DEAD(14)N KADF III X VIY
	342 IF(KODE.GE.0.AND.KODE.LE.3)@07072
IF (IE(M, 3), E4, IE(M, 4))LIM=0 DØ 401=2, L1M, 2	343 [STOP+] 243 2512 70
13-1/2	345 72 F(KODE.EQ.0)601070
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	346 IF(KODE.EQ. 2)GOT071
AU LF(1)=Z=1E(F,1J) DØ SOLL=1.LIM	347 CALL GEONBC(ULX,2=M-1) 246 if/add fo :/catato
1=LP(LL)	346 IF CALL GEOMBC(VLY, 2*M) 349 71 CALL GEOMBC(VLY, 2*M)
R(I)=R(I)=Q(LL)	350 70 CONTINUE
JsLP(MM) - [+]	351 ENDF/LE1) 352 FNDF11 F12
IF(J.LE.0)607650	353 ENDFILE13
SO CONTINUE	354 ENDFILE14 255 FNDFILE12
10 CONTINUE	356 IF(ISTOP.E0.0)007081
JF (MCKEL.EG. 0)601035 DG 141=1. NCKEL	357 WRITE(16,100)1310P
14 CALL CRACK 35 CONTINUE	359 100 FORMAT(//// SQLUTION WILL NOT BE PERFORMED BECAUSE OF 15, 360 4. 0414 ERRORS
-	

92 HPUTE STRAIN-DISPLACEMENT MATRIX **B FOR TRIANGLE, EQ, (5-35A)** (1,1)=YQ(J)-YQ(K) (1,2)=YQ(J)-YQ(I) (1,2)=YQ(I)-YQ(J) (2,3)=YQ(I)-YQ(J) (2,3)=XQ(K)-XQ(K) (2,5)=XQ(L)-XQ(K) ADD TRIANGLE STIFNESS TO QUADRILATERAL STIFFNESS, EX.(6-2), ADD TRIANGLE STRAIN-DISPLACEMENT MATRIX TO QUADRILATERAL STRAIN-DISPLACEMENT MATRIX DO 1511=1,3 LC(11)=2=LT(11)-1 15 LC(11)=2=LT(11) 00 3011=1,6 COMMON/CONS/NNP, NEL, NMAT, NSLC, NOPT, NBODY, MTYP, NCKEL COMMONE(10), PR(10), RG(10), TM(10), X(700), Y(700) COMMON/CNK/CK(10), 10), Q(10), B(3, 10), (2(3, 3), BT(3, 6), XQ(5), YQ(5) COMMON/TWC/DR(10, NEO, R(1400), AK(1400, 100) BT(3,5)=BT(1,2) BT(3,6)=BT(1,3) AREA=(BT(2,4)=BT(1,3)-BT(2,6)=BT(1,1))/2.0 00 1211-1,6 00 1211-1,6 TK(11,JJ=0.0 00 12KK+1,3 12 TK(11,JJ)=TK(11,JJ)+6T(KK,11)+C6(KK,JJ) 00 1011=1,3 00 10JJ=1,6 02 10JJ=1,6 03 104K=1,3 10 05(11,JJ)=0.0 10 05(11,JJ)=0.0 SUBROUTINE CST(I,J,K,AREA) IMPLICIT REAL:8(A-H,O-2) IMPLICIT REAL:6(A-H,O-2) IMPLICIT REAL(A-H,O-2) DIMENSION CB(3,6),LC(6),LT(3),TK(6,6) COMPUTE (B##T)#C#B, EQ, (5-45A) TOTALA=TOTALA=AREA CALL CST(3,4,5,AREA) TOTALA=TOTALA=AREA CALL CST(4,1,5,AREA) CALLA=TOTALA=AREA PTOTALA=TOTALA=AREA FK=1.0/(4.0=AREA) FB=2.0=FK D0 20JJ=1,6 Mm=LC(JJ) 1)=BT(2.4) 2)=BT(2,5 3) = BT(2, 6) 0.0 LID01-1-COMPUTE LT(2)=J LT(1)=1 LT(3)=K 8T(2, 8T(2, 8T(2, COMPUTE 666 22 0000 υu 2 471 1 C INITIALIZE QUAD. STIFFNESS, LOAD VECTOR AND STRAIN-DISPLACEMENT VECTOR WING TIME QUADIM, TOTALA) INPLICIT REAL=8(A-M, 0-2) COMPON/TON, PARAN, NG(10), H(10), X(700), Y(700) COMPON/TON, PARAN, NG(10), B(3, 10), C(3, 3), BT(3, 5), X0(5), Y0(5) COMPON/TON/PARAN, NG(2, R(1400), AK(1400, 100) COMPON/TIN/FE(700,5) COMPON/TIN/FE(700,5) FOR PLANE STRAIN CODE 15 FOR c construct stress-staalw MATRIX C.EQ.(3-16C). FOR I c construct stress-staalw MATRIX C.EQ.(3-16C). FOR I c mottal, and for PLANE Stress NOFT=2, PRESENT COD stremated. MAD.M.G.N.01.1300705 If(MOFT.EQ.1.AND.M.G.N.1300705 CFLENTVP/((1.0+PR(MTVP))+(1.0-2.0+PR(MTVP))) C(1,1)=CT=(1.0+PR(MTVP)) C(1,2)=CFPR(MTVP)) C(2,2)+C(1,2) C(2,2)+C(1,1) C(3,3)+CF+(1,0-2,0+PR(MTVP))/2.0 C(3,3)+CF+(1,0-2,0+PR(MTVP))/2.0 2 CF=(MTVP)/(1,0-PR(MTVP)+PR(MTVP)) 2 C(1,1)+CF C(1,2)+PP/M----IF(K. EQ.L)LIM=3 XQ(3)=0.0 VQ(3)=0.0 DQ 10N=1.LIM NN=1E(M,N) XQ(N)=X(NN) VQ(N)=X(NN) VQ(S)=XQ(S)+Y(NN)/FLOAT(LIM) 10 YQ(S)=YQ(S)+Y(NN)/FLOAT(LIM) .3)=CF=(1.0-PR(MTYP))/2. IF(K.NE.L)00T015 CALL CST(1,2,3,T0TALA) 00T0 999 15 CALL CST(1, 2, 5, AREA) TOTALA=TOTALA+AREA CALL CST(2, 3, 5, AREA) 2)=PR(HTYP)=CF 0.0=(11, LL) 0.0=(11, 0 0.1, 1=LL2 0.0=(LL, 11) 0.0=(11, LL) 0.0=(11, LL) 0.0=(11, LL) 0.0=(11, LL) {=!E(M, 1) J=!E(M, 2) K=!E(M, 3) L=!E(M, 4) MTVP=!E(M, 5) TOTALA=0.0 0.0=(() 2)=CF **BI RETURN** ີ ວັວີ ວິ ຄ ũ 378 C 378 C 380 C 0140 82388 112 50

 SUBROUTINE HYBRID(KEY, SHU, FTA, XC, VC, NCCMT)

 IMPLICIT REAL(A, B, D-H, O-Y), COMPLEXIS(Z, C)

 IMPLICIT REAL(A, B, D-H, O-Y), COMPLEXIZ(Z)

 COMPLEXIT REAL(A, B, D-H, O-Y), COMPLEXIZ(Z)

 COMPLEXIT REAL(A, B, D-H, O-Y), COMPLEXIZ(Z)

 COMPLEXIT REAL(A, B, D-H, O-Y), COMPLEXIZ(Z)

 COMPLEXIE

 COMPLEX SINT, SUCK, ZETT, CZ, ZET4, ZE, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 COMPLEX ZETK, CZK, ZETT, CZZ, ZET4, ZE, ZD, ZC, ZA, ZB, CI, CDSORT

 DINENSEC
 ZA, V, UII), VK(IIB), KCRK (B), LF(IB)

 COULVALENCE(Z, ZETT), UU, UX, UX)
 DATA V/ - SOG17989, - SOG1989, - 4766287, 2369269/

 DATA V/ - SOG1798, - SOG46693, 0, ... SOG17989, - CI SOMT
 DATA V/ - SOG17989, - SOG17989, - CI SOMT

 CI SCHALEX CO EO 1) NDFE10
 FLOCT
 CI SOMON

 C SUBROUTINE LOC(1, J, IR, N, M, MS) 1X=1 0010 36 20 Ff(1x-JX)22,24,24 22 HX+1X+(JX=JX-JX)/2 2010 36 81 FX+JX+(1X+1X)/2 24 FX+JX+(1X+1X)/2 0010 36 IF(IX-JX)36,32,36 IF (MS-1)10, 20, 30 10 IRX=N#(JX-1)+IX 12=1=2 XXY (12)=-XXY (12) CONTINUE 32 |RX+|X 36 |R+|RX RETURN 30 IRX=0 ENO ž 6 6 70 C 0000 EUROUTINE CRACK IMPLICIT REAL=6 (A-H, G-Z) IMPLICIT REAL=6 (A-H, G-Z) IMPLICIT REAL=6 (A-H, G-Z) IMPLICIT REAL=4H, G-Z) IMPLICIT REAL=4H, G-Z) COMMON/TOW/IMPL, MAT NSLC, NOPT, NBODY, MTYP, NCKEL COMMON/TOW/IMPL, MATT NSLC, NCONT COMMON/TOW/IMPL, MATYP, XC, YC, NCONT READ(15, 12)KEY, MATYP, XC, YC, NCONT 12 FORMATZ15, 2F10, 0, 15) 12 FORMATZ15, 2F10, 0, 15) MODE=9 MODE=4 20 0K(LL,MH)=0K(LL,MH)+TK(11,JJ)=TH(HTYP)=FK D0 30JJ=1,3 30 8(JJ,LL)=8(JJ,LL)+8T(JJ,11)=F8 XXY (241-1)-X(KCNK(1)) 30 XXY (241-1)-X(KCNK(1)) D0 31141, MC 31 MR1E(16, 32)1, XXY(1) 32 FORMAT("0", 5X, "XXY(", 13, ")=", G20.6) 32 FORMAT("0", 5X, "XXY(", 13, ")=", G20.6) 31 MR1E(16, 22.1) 007040 514(13, "FR(MATYP))/(1, +FR(MATYP)) ETA(13, "FR(MATYP))/(1, +FR(MATYP)) ETA=3.~4.=PR(MATYP) CONTINUE VALTE(NG FORMAT("0", 38)SMU,ETA FORMAT("0", 38, SMU,ETA FORMAT("0", 38, SMU,ETA, XC, YC, NCONT) CALL HYBRID(KEY, SMU,ETA, XC, YC, NCONT) DO 601=1, MODE LP(2=1-1)=2=KCRK((1)-1 20 READ(15.2) (KCRK(1), 1=1, NODE), MAXDIF 2 FORMAT(1015) 1 K*2=(MAXDIF+1) 1 F(K.LE. 1BAND)00T091 1 E1BAND1 00 1001=1, NEQ 00 1001=1, K -PR(MATYP))/(1.+PR(MATYP)) ITE(16,33)!,LP(1) PMAT("0",3X,"LP (",13,")=",15) 70LL=1,NOE IBAND=MAXO(IBAND,K) CONTINUE DO 301=1,NDDE D0 3511=1,3 JJ=2=LT(11) 0(JJ)=Q(JJ)+BODYF RETURN . . 0010 50 NOE=18 GOTO 20 NODE=5 LP(2=1) DO 361= WRITE(1) FORMAT(NOE=10 DEVELOP ¥Ĉ, 500 12 2 8 8 8 8 ā 58 98 88 4444 U 102

93

N-----F(UV. EQ. 0.) 00101005 ZD=CZK #CZZ -KK = ZETK = ZET ZD=CZK #CZZ - ZETK = ZETK = ZET FZ (K) = FFZ (K) = UV = .9 FZ (K) = FFZ (K) = UV = .9 0 ZET # ZETK = ZET + FKK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FKK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FKK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FKK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FKK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FKK = ZET + FKK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FK = ZET + FK = CZK = CONJO(ZETA) + .5 = K = ZE FF3 (K) = FF3 (K) = ZET + FK = ZET + ZET 131DE=NNPE/KEY×KEY/2 Es=SORT(XXY(1)-XG)==2*(XXY(2)-YC)==2) UY=(XXY(1)-XG)/ES UY=(XXY(1)-XG)/ES DO 4401=1,151DE XXY(11-1)=(XXY(11-1)-YG)/ES XXY(11)=(XXY(11)-YG)/ES XXY(11)=(XXY(11)-1)=UXX XXY(11)=(XXY(11-1)=UXX)(11)=UY XXY(11)=(XXY(11-1)=UXX)(11)=UY XXY(11)=(XXY(11-1)=UXX)(11)=UY NNN=(NNF-1)/2 NTT=(NNT=NNT+NNT)/2 VA(1)=0. VA(1)=0. VB(1)=0. VB(1)=0. VB(1)=0. VB(1)=0. NTEGANTICN COEFFICIENTS VB(1, J)=0. NTEGANTICN COEFFICIENTS X(1)=1(1)+Y(1))/2. 151DE=NNPE/KEY-1/KEY 00 41151=1,151DE F (KEY . EQ. 2) 60T01011 00 1010K=1 , MNT F2(K)=0. ZETK=ZETK=ZET CZK=CONJG(ZETK) KK=-KK ZET4=ZETT=ZETT ZET4=ZETT=ZETT CZZ=CONJG(ZETT) ZETK=1./ZET4 0010 2000 D0 1012K=1,NNT FF2(K)=0. FF2(K+NNT)=0. +151 CONT I NUE Ĕ8 1010 1011 Ξ 1009 440

20 CONTINUE 21 CONTINUE 22 CONTINUE 22 CONTINUE 23 CONTINUE 24 CONTINUE 25 CONTINUE 26 CONTINUE 27 CONTINUE 28 CONTINUE REAL K1,K2 COMPON/TAV/BAND,NEO,R(1400),AK(1400,100) COMPON/TA/DEG(2,10),EK(171),XXY(10),KCRK(0),LP(10) WRITE(16,35)M WRITE(16,35)M VRITE(16,35)M VRITE(16,35 Call Sinv(va, MMT. .1E-05, IER) IF(KE' C. 2)CALLSINV(VB, MNT, .1E-05, IEE) D111J=1, MDF D0 1101=1, MNT D1101=1, MNT BK(1, J)=0 D0 110K=1, MNT IF(KEY.EQ.2)BCR(2,J)=ELO=BK(10,J) BCR(1,J)=ELO=BK(1,J) |K=11+K |F(K.GT.1)|K=(K=K-K)/2+| |F(K.J.1)=bK(1,J)+V4(1K)=V|(K,J) |F(KEY.EQ.1)6070111 |J=NNT+1 |J=NNT+1 |D0 |211=11,NT EK(1J)=EK(1J)+BK(K,J)=V1(K,1) EL0=S0RT(2./ES) D0_113J=1,NDPE SUBROUTINE SIFAC(M, TOTAL) IMPLICIT REAL=8 (A-H, G-Z) IMPLICIT REAL=8 (A-H, G-Z) REAL=8 K1, K2 DG 201=1,NOE K1=K1+BCR(1,1)=R(LP(1)) IF(KEY.EQ.1)00T020 K2=K2+BCR(2,1)=R(LP(1)) VB(3)=1. D0 621=3, NNT VB((1=1-1)/2+2)=0. L CONTINUE |]=|-NNT |J=(|J=!J-|J)/2 BK(|,J)=0. D0 |2|K=1,NNT 1J=0 00 1121=1, NOPE 00 112J=1, 1 VA(1)=VA(1)=2, VB(1)=VB(1)=2, VB(2)=0, EK(1J)=0. DG 112K=1,NT BCR(2, J)=0. RETURN X...o K2=0. 5 **6** 100 ŋ 112 :0 12 2 U U

95

SUBROUTINE BANSOL (A.B. NEO, NBAND, MAXDOF, MAXBW) IMPLICIT REAL=8 (A-H, 0-2) IMPLICIT REAL(A-H, 0-2) IMPLICIT REAL(A-H, 0-2) IMPLICIT REAL(A-H, 0-2) DO ION=1, NEO SUBROUTINE SINV(A, N, EPS, IER) REAL=8 A(1), APS, DIN, WORK REAL A(1), APS, DIN, WORK CALL MFSD(A, N, EPS, IER) + "ANGLE") 1010 FORMAT(18, 2F10.2, 1P6E12.4) Return TFIA(N,K).E0.0.)00T040 B(N)=B(N)-A(N,K)=B(L) 40 COMTINUE 00T0 35 43 RETURN IF(A(N,K).EQ.0.)007030 A(1,J)=A(1,J)-C=A(N,K) 30 CONTINUE IF(A(N,L).EQ.0.)00T020 C=A(N,L)/A(N,1) A(N,L)=C REDUCEL LOAD VECTOR B(1)=B(1)-C=B(N) 20 CONTINUE D E(N)=B(N)/A(N, 1) D E(N)=B(N)/A(N, 1) D EACK SUBSTITUTION IF(N.LE.0)007043 L+N DØ 40K+2, NBAND DG 20L=2, NBAND J=0 D0 30K=L, NBAND J=J+1 IPIV=N=(N+1)/2 F(1ER)9,1, z 33 20 RETRIEVE MULTIPLIERS, PIVOTS, MATRICES B AND C, AND CENTROIDAL COORD, FOR ELEMENT DO 5M=1.NEL PRADUITION(GM(1,J),J=1,10),1=1,2),G(9),G(10),((B(1,J),J=1,10),1=1, *3),((C(1,J),J=1,3),1=1,3),XC,YC RECOVER CONDENSED DISPLACEMENTS FOR THE QUADRILATERAL, EQ. (5-646) 16(LIM.EQ.3)601016 DISMI1,2 JK=K+8 D0 3011 (3 516(1):40.0 D0 3011 (3 S16(1)-516(2)/2.0 SM=(516(1)-516(2)/2.0 SM=(516(1)-516(2)/2.0 SM=(516(1)-516(2)/2.0 SM=(51-50) S16(5)-50 S SELECT NODAL DISPLACEMENTS FOR THE ELEMENT COMPUTE ELEMENT STRESSES , EQ. (5-358) COMPUTE ELEMENT STRAINS, EQ. (5-35A) LIM=4 |F(IE(M,3).E0.IE(M,4))LIM=3 D0 101=1,LIM E(1)=0.0 D0 20J=1,LIM 20 E(1)=E(1)+B(1,J)=Q(J)=FAC |K=JK-1 D0 13L=1,1K 15 0(JK)=QK(K,L)=Q(L) JJ=2*IE(M, 1) 0(11-1)=R(JJ-1) 10 0(11)=R(JJ) WRITE(16, 300) WORK = 0.0 WOLINE = 47 16 LIM=6 FAC=1.0 17 D0 201=1,3 LIM=10 FAC=0.25 6010 17 11=2=1 4100 849 850 C 951 C 59 C C C C υu 88 555 20

96

-	1021 7 1F(1ER)4, 4,9 1022 6 EF*K-1 1023 9 PIV*SORT(DSUM) 1024 0 PIV*1, ED/DPIV 1025 10 4(1)40 = DSUM=DPIV 1025 10 4(1)40 = DSUM=DPIV 1029 11 MOH=DSUM=DPIV 1029 12 EFK-1 1030 12 EFK-1 1032 END			· · ·	
	DBLEFATIPIVI) DBLEFATIPIVI) IN S.S. 2 S.S. 2 S.S.S. 2 S.S.	•1 +K-1 •LE(A(LHOR)•A(LVER!) ••L E MF3D(A,N,EPS,IER) E MF3D(A,N,EPS,IER) E 3.70L E73.70L	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	-END 	

ì
Sample Input for Finite Element Fracture Program- The following pages contain the input cards necessary to run the finite element program.

	, - -			- 0	5 c	5 0		òc			0		d	0		òc	0	0	d		0	0	0	0	0	0	ò	0.0	5 0	5 0	5 0		0	0.0	ō	0	00	5 0		0	0	0	5 0		0	0	ö	0	0.0	o c	20	0	o c	\$
TIP=	-																																				_			_						_	_	_		_				
MESH,				o o o o								0	0				0	0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0 9	8	8 9					8. 0	8 0	16.0	16.0	10.0	0 C	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	0.0		22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	200	22.0	28.0	28.0) .)
PHASE 2							0.01 5			2 4 0 0	3 46.0	3 50.0	3 54.0	3 58.0	2 PO 0	2 CO C	3 64.0	3 66.0	3 67 0	3 68.0	3 68.5	3 69.0	3 69.3	3 69.75	3 69.95	0.0	0 24.0	0 42.0	0 28.0				0 69.75	1 69.95	3 0.0	0 24.0	0.42.0		0 68.0	0 69.0	0 69.3	0 69.75		0.040	0 42.0	0 58.0	0 66.0	0 68.0		0 68.3 75 75	1 69.95	3 0.0	0 24.0	
- 1	619			- nonner	- (• •	2	r K	שר	~	. a	0		2 -			9		91	1	18	19	20	21	22	23	26	29	ה ה ה		ה ר ר ר	- 0	40	44	45	48	5		619	63	64	9 Q 9 Q	00	02	23	77	81	83 193	0 U 8 U	0 0 7 4	88	69	0 0 0 0	>
- (v (° ₹	ľ	0 (0 r		0 0	• •	2 2		1	2		9 9			о б	0	2	. 6	53	24	52	26	27	28	29 29	8	5		5 C		36	37	38	6 0	40	4 4	1 4	4	45	40	4 4	1 4	20	ទ	25	ŝ	0 N	n u n u	22	58	6 C 6 C	>

		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	•••••••••••
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	003	0 58.0 0 66.0 0 66.0 0 69.0 0 7.0 0 7.0 00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
99 103 108 108 108 108	1114 125 129 129 130 130 132 133		165 171 171 173 180 183 183	222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 22
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	800-0000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Ö	o	Ö	Ö	Ö	i c	5 c	5 c	5 c	ċ	5 d	с, с	o i	ō	o	ö	Ö	ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	o O	ō	Ö	Ö	Ö	o O	o	Ö	ō	ò	ö	ö	ö	Ö	o (o (o o	5 d	0 0	o c	òc	50	0	o.	ò	Ö	o (o o	5 e	o o	o c	òc	òc	0	Ö	0	Ö	o.
49.0	49.0	49.0	49.0	49.0				0.0 20.0			0. 0 20. 0	20.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.833	50.833	50.833	50,833	50.833	50.833	50.833	50.833	50.833	50.833	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	51.5	0000	51.833		51 833	51.833	51.833	51.833	51.833	51.833	52.167	52.167	701 . 10 / 10 4 0 1	52.10/ 82.157	30. 10/ 8.0 167	50 167	52 167	52.167	52.167	52.5	52.5	52.5
0 58.0	0 66.0	0 68.0	0 69 0	0 69 3					0 4 4 0 0 4 4 0 0 0	0 44.0	0.58.0	0 66.0	0 68.0	0 69.0	0 69.3	0 69.75	0 69.95	3 0.0	0 24.0	0 42.0	0 58.0	0 66.0	0 68.0	0 69 0	0 69 3	0 69.75	0 69.95	3 0.0	0 24.0	0 42.0	0 58.0	0 66.0	0 68.0	0 69 0	0 69.3	0 69.75	0 69.95	0.0 0	0 24.0		0.66.0	0 68.0	0 69.0	0 69.3	0 69.75	0 69 95	3 0.0	0 24.0	0 47.0	0.98.0			0 69 3	0 69.75	0 69.95	3 0.0	0 24.0	0 42.0
231	235	237	239	240		- 7 7	1 C	242	040	510	203	257	259	261	262	263	264	265	268	271	275	279	281	283	284	285	286	287	290	293	297	301	303	305	306	307	308	505	312		000	325	327	328	329	330	331	334	100	145	240	240	350	351	352	353	356	359
121	122	123	124	125	200				200		- E -	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	00	159		162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	2:	2;	172	220	175	176	177	178	179	180

000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			
	2222222222 2222222222 222222222222 22222			00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0 28.0 6 69.0 6 69.0 0 69.0 0 69.0 0 69.0 0 69.0 0 69.0 0 69.0	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		0003 000000 000000 88.0 84.0 84.0 84.0 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00 94.00
363 367 371 372 373	374 375 375 399 399 399 399 399 399 399 399 399 39	99999999999999999999999999999999999999	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 - 7 7 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
181 182 183 185 185	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	2002	200 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

ŗ

0.	0	o .	0.	o _.	0.	o.	<u>.</u>	o .	o .	0.	o.	o .	0.	0	0	0	0.	0.	0.	<u>.</u>	0.	o .	<u>.</u>	o .	٥	0	0	0	0.0	o o	o o		, c	<u>,</u>	0	0	0.	٥	, c		0	0	o .	o .	o .	0	0	0	0.0	<u>.</u>	<u>,</u>		•			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (0 0	00	о с	о с	0	0	0	0	00) (bo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	50	5 0	00	` ~	2	N	2
10	0	D.	6	n	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					0	20	5	6	0	р н -	2 10		0	5	o.	o _.	o,	<u>.</u>	o (o o	e o	э c		53	43	40	65
54	5	54	54	54	54	52	52	55	52	55	52	52	55	50	52	50	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	ŝ	5	85	80	0	0		ה מ ה	200	55	52	52	50		n 0 0 10	5	52	52	9	6	9	9	9	9	50	ōū	9	24	44	46	66
66.0	68.0	69.0	69.3	69.75	70.0	0.0	24.0	42.0	58.0	66.0	68.0	69.0	69.3	69.75	70.0	0.0	24.0	42.0	58.0	66.0	68.0	69.0	69.3	69.75	70.0	0.0	24.0	42.0	58.0	66.0	68. C	2.00	50.04 50.75	20.02	0.0	24.0	42.0	58.0) () () (0.00	6. 29	69.75	70.0	0.0	24.0	42.0	58.0	66.0	68 C	0.00		70.0	0	22	24	4
0	0	0	0	0	-	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0 (0 0	0 0) (o c) ~	. 0	0	0	0 0	> c	00	0	0	-	e	0	0	0	0 (0 (o c	, c	- -	-	21	23	43
498	500	502	503	504	505	506	509	512	516	520	522	524	525	526	527	528	531	534	538	542	544	546	547	548	549	550	553	556	560	264	2000	200		571	572	575	578	582			165	592	593	594	597	600	604	608	610		5 1 2	1 0 0 0		21	22	42
241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	272	272	272	1 C C	276	277	278	279	280		283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	262			962	297	298	299	300

فمددد وروال

103

																																																			_		
~	2	2	2	~	0	0	~ ~	N	NC	NC	N 0	v 0	• •	10	10	1 (1	-	-	-	-					-	-	-	-	-					- ,-	-	-	-				-	с (~ ~		, o.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00		44	
67	87	68	109	111	131	133	551		0		200	- 0 - 0	5 - 3 2	192	543	263	265	285	287	307	506	20.0	2	353	373	375	395	397	417	419	438	4 4 0 0 0 0	408	482	484	504	506	526	548	550	570	572	592	574 514	27.743	65.90	85.113	304.327	523.54	142.753	10.00	439	
68	88	6	110	112	132	134	154		9/1			000	0 0 0 0 0 0	242	244	264	266	286	288	308	310	200	200	356	374	376	396	398	418	420	439	44	460	483	485	505	507	527	870 970	551	571	573		2020	44 9	743 7	90 6	113 6	327	40	2 HD 2 2	460	
46	66	68	88	06	000	112	132			000	0/1	0 / 1		000	200	242	244	264	266	286	288		2000	332	352	354	374	376	396	398	417	4 4 4 4	405	461	463	483	485	202	200	529	549	551	125		1129	927.	765.	685.	604	523.	70.0	461	
45	65	67	87	9 8	109		191	50	501		2	101	001	0.0	221	241	243	263	265	285	287		n 0 0 0	331	351	353	373	375	395	397	416	200	430	460	462	482	484	504	200	528	548	550	570	2 / C	286	308	330	352	374	396	- 0 - 7	418	
43	63	64	84	85	105	106	126	121	141	040	001	20 d		0.0	2	231	232	252	253	273	274	200 201	250	316	336	337	357	358	378	010 010	398	ה מ ה ר י	410	439	440	460	461	481	4 K 0 C 1 U	503	523	524	440	0 4 4 0 4 0 4	264	286	308	330	352	374	0.00	417	o
301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309				200	1 K		212	318	319	320	321	322	323	4 V C 4 V C 7 V C	200	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	000 000000000000000000000000000000000	000	338	339	340	341	342	244	345	346	347	348	3040	351	352	353	354	355	336	200	359	360

ŗ

0

104

-

Sample Outupt From Finite Element Fracture Program - The following pages contain the output from the finite element program run using the sample data.

105

PROBLEM 1.. PHASE MESH, P= 53.

INPUT TABLE 1.. BASIC PARAMETERS

615 565	e	~	-	0
•••	•	•	•	0
• •	•	•	-	۳.
	•	•		ž
••	•	•	ŝ	
•••	•	•	R.	0
· •	ς Ω		5	-
• •	M	ğ	Щ	0 U
• •	Ľ.	š	٩	ž
ه	Ē	õ	ã	0
μ.	Ē	š	Ħ	7
Ξ.s	Ł	J	N	z
۲ م	R	В	ź	-
μĀ	Ē	FA	₹	н
67	Ē	Š	R H	5
ZШ	0	ŝ	<i>с</i> о	ŝ
66	6	6	Z	N N N
er er	œ	œ	٢	Ľ.
ឆ្នំឆ្នំ	đ	đ	<u>u</u>	≿
Ĵ,	Ś	Ş	_	ğ
	_	_		-

NUMBER OF CRACK ELEMENTS.

INPUT TABLE 2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES

MATERIAL	MODULUS OF	POISSON'S	MATERIAL	MATERIA
NUMBER	ELASTICITY	RATIO	DENSI TY	THI CKNES:
-	0. 8000E+06	0.3500E+00	0.0000E+01	0, 1000E+0
~	0.9000E+04	0.3500E+00	0.0000E+01	0.1000E+0
C	0.3500E+06	0.3500E+00	0.0000E+01	0.1000E+0

INPUT TABLE 3. NODAL POINT DATA

Rectances in

NODAL				X-DISP.	Y-DISP.
POINT	TYPE	×	۲	OR LOAD	OR LOAD
-	e	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
0	e	0.8000E+01	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
. ෆ	n	0.1600E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
4	e	0.2400E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
'n	n	0.3000E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0. 0000E+01
9	ņ	0.3600E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
~	n	0.4200E+02	0.0000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
60 (ෆ (0.4600E+02	0,0000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
o <u>c</u>	m •	0.5000E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
2 -	n e	D SAMPE+02	0.0000E+01	0.00005+01	
	, ,	0.50005402	0.00005+01	0.00005+01	
13	n n	0.6200E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0. 0000E+01
7	• •	0.6400E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
1 10) n	0.6600E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
16	0	0.6700E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
17	e	0.6800E+02	0.0000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
18	e	0.6850E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
19	e	0.6900E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
20	n .	0.6930E+02	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
21	0	0.6975E+02	0,0000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
22	e i	0, 6995E+02	0, 0000E+01	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01
23	n (0.0000E+01	0,8000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
24	0 0	0.8000E+01	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
22	0 0	0.1500E+02	0. 8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
210	5 0	U. 2400E+02			
12	. .	0.30006406	O BOODE+01		
00) (0.3000E+0E		0.00005+01	
30	00	0.4600E+02	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
31	0	0. 5000E+02	0. 8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0,0000E+01
32	0	0.5400E+02	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
33	0	0.5800E+02	0.8000E+01	0. 0000E+01	0.0000E+01
34	0	0.6000E+02	0. 8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
35	0	0.6200E+02	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
36	0	0.6400E+02	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0 0000E+01
37	0 0	0.6600E+02	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
	.	U. 0/UUE+UZ	0. 80005401		
5 C	.	0.00005102			
0 T 4		0.6900E+02	0.8000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
42	0	0.6930E+02	0. 8000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
43	0	0.6975E+02	0.8000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01
44	-	0.6995E+02	0. 8000E+01	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01
45	e	0.0000E+01	0.1600E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
46	0	0, 8000E+01	0.1600E+02	0.0000E+01	0, 0000E+01
47	Ö	0,1600E+02	0.1600E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
48	0 (0.2400E+02	0.1600E+02	0,0000E+01	0,0000E+01
7	5 0	0.3000E+02	U. 1600E+02	0, 0000E +01	0.0000E+01
	5 (0.360UE+UZ	0.1600E+U2	0.0000E+01	0,0000E+01
- 0		0.4200E102 0.4600E+02	0.1600E+02		
100		0.5000F+02	0.1600E+02	0.0000E+01	
54	0	0.5400E+02	0.1600E+02	0, 0000E +01	0, 0000E+01
55	0	0.5800E+02	0, 1600E+02	0, 0000E+01	0,0000E+01
56	0	0.6000E+02	0.1600E+02	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01

107

20

	0.0000E+01	0,0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0,0000E+01
•	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0, 0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01	0.0000E+01
	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02	0.6100E+02
	0.2400E+02	0.3000E+02	0.3600E+02	0.4200E+02	0.4600E+02	0. 5000E+02	0.5400E+02	0.5800E+02	0.6000E+02	0.6200E+02	0.6400E+02	0.6600E+02	0.6700E+02	0.6800E+02	0.6850E+02	0.6900E+02	0.6930E+02	0.6975E+02	0.7000E+02
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	597	598	599	200	501	502	503	504	50 5	506	507	50 8	609	510	511	512	513	514	515

		MATERIAL	0	2	~	0	2	~	0	0	01		N (N	N (N (N	N	N	N	N (N	N	N (N			0 0	10		10	1 (1			0	2	N	8	0	N (N (40	10	1 (1)	0	N	0	~ (N	N	N (N (1)	10	101
	NODES	4	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	8	31	32	93	9 I 0	00	5		50	50	40	4 1	4	4	4 0 0	40 9	4 4	4 4	ה כ ק ש	5 2	- °	9 K	9 K	20	56	57	90	65	60	61	62	63	0 U	00	68	69	70	1	72	E -	4	65	9 6	7.8	66	80
	ELEMENT	n	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	5	200	3.6	33	40	4	4	4	4	4 9 1	47	48	5) () () ()		- 6	N 0 N 0	0 K	r ið Sið	20	52	58	55	60	61	62	63	64	5		, , ,	22	71	72	23	47	8 0 1	91	0	0 0		81
1ENT DATA	DICES OF	2	2	6	4	IJ	9	~	Ø	Ø	10	-	2	5	4	0	16	21	8	5	20	12	22	24	22 52	59 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51		Ð C	200) • ¢	- 00	200	34	98	36	37	38	39	40	4	40	54	4 4 4 4	14	48	40	50	51	20	50	0 I 0 I	0 ¥	0 10	58	20
4 ELEN	COBAL INC	-	-	~	e	4	Ð	9	~	Ø	ŋ	0	-	12	13	4	2	16	21	0	6 (202	21	53	24	2 Q 0 0	o r N C		000		2	- 00	3.66	34	100	36	37	38	6 £	40	41	4 4	2 4	46	47	48	49	50	51	25	201	4 4 4 4	n ya	5.0	58
INPUT TABLE	ō	ELEMENT	-	~	с	4	ŝ	9	~	60	O)	0	2	2	<u>.</u>	4	15	16	21	8	19	20	21	22	23	24	0.2	910	20	0 00			32	33	34	32	36	37	38	39	40	4 4	4 4	44	4	46	47	48	40	02			50		56

109

1 e X r

585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614
586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615
564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593
563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	\$92
537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565

INPUT TABLE 5. SURFACE LOADING DATA

こうごう 日本 したたたたたた

->	00E+01 0.0000E+01	00E+01 0.0000E+01	DOE +01 0,0000E +01	00E+01 0.0000E+01	00E+01 0,0000E+01	00E+01 0.0000E+01											0											0.228690E+07	-62038.5	-0.147536E+07
r NODES	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00											1.6000											=([2)=	3)=
ENSITIES AT	0.9277E+03	0.7659E+00	0.6043E+03	0.5235E+03	0.4428E+03	0.3418E+03																						AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (833,
CE LOAD INT	0.1129E+04	0.9277E+03	0.6851E+03	0.6043E+03	0.5235E+03	0.4428E+03	69.7500	53.2500	69,9500	53.2500	70.0000	53.7500	69.7500	53.7500	69.7500	53.5000	296296.											417700.	184875.	-588078.
SURFA	286 286	308	352	374	396	418												833	834	835	836	921	922	919	920	877	878			
	Z						1)=	2)=	3)=	4)=	5)=	= (9	7)=	8)=	=(6	10)=	:TA =	1)=	2)=	3)=	4)=	£)₌	= (9	7)=	= (0	= (6	10)=	1)=	2)=	4)=
	264	286	330	352	374	396	ххүс	ххү (ххү	ххү (ххү (SMUE	гР (ر م ا) 1	сР <	LP (LP () Aj	LP (LP (LP (EK (EK (EK (

586023.	- 837088.	299415.	- 59503, 0	-212698.	-16160.3	-75708.6	0.274957E+07	-117207.	766150.	- 328996.	36150.5	5510.71	3410.25	-143717.	- 800582,	0.192701E+07	- 983604,	0.150160E+07	-672270.	62758.4	382421.	- 203353.	338999,	0, 337935E+07	-0.227816E+07	0.128738E+07	-123993.	- 835522,	409289.
4)=	= (69	= (06	87)=	88) =	45)=	46)=	1)=	2)=	3)=	88)=	= (68	86)=	87)=	44)=	45)=	1)=	2)=	87)=	88)=	85)=	86)=	43)=	44)=	1)=	96) ≠	87)=	84) =	35) =	12)=
AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (833,	AK (834 ,	AK (834 ,	AK (834 ,	AK (834 ,	AK (834 ,	AK (834 ,	AK (834,	AK (834,	AK (834,	AK (835,	•07AK (835 ,	07AK (835,	AK (835 ,	AK (835,	AK (835,	AK (835,	AK (835,	07AK (836,	07AK (836,	07AK (836, 1	AK (836, 1	AK (836, 1	AK (836, 🤞
684789.	-837088.	299415.	-59503.0	-212898.	45405.0	-174474.	957870.	-215972.	877590.	-358996.	36150.5	5510.71	3410.25	-44951.4	-52300.7	968673.	-0.123052E+	0.150160E+	-672270.	62758.4	382421.	-203353.	338999.	0.296001E+	-0.227816E+	0.128738E+	-123993,	- 835522.	409289.
7)=	11)=	16)=	22)=	= (62	37)=	46)=	3)=	5)=	8)=	12)=	17)=	23)=	30)=	38)=	47)=	=(9	= (6	13)=	18)=	24)=	31)=	39)=	48)=	10)=	14)=	19)=	25)=	32)=	40)≖
EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK(ĒKC	EK	EK(EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK	EK (EK (EK (

-678742.	0.370452E+07	-0.161304E+07	0.143286E+07	- 538778.	191738.	0.175314E+07	242397.	709109.	-629988.	0.251987E+07	-694315.	312595.	-68542.1	-87527,8	0.121665E+07	-131997.	565610.	- 385751.	- 168946.	- 538965.	0.197764E+07	53, 500
43)=	1)=	2)=	1)=	3)=	4)=	1)=	2)=	2)=	3)=	1)=	45)=	46)=	43)=	44)=	1)=	2)=	44)=	45)=	42)=	43)=	= ({	70.000
AK (836 ,	07AK (921,	07AK (921 ,	AK (922,	AK (919,	AK (919,	AK (919,	AK (919,	AK (920,	AK (920,	AK (920,	AK (877,	AK (877,	AK (878,	AK (878,	AK (878,	AK (878,	AK (878,	10 1				
-678742.	0. 304262E+	-0.136613E+	994082.	17294.2	92972.3	220480.	-4516.77	807875.	- 649759.	633420.	-694315.	312595.	-6956.74	-186293.	360178.	-131997.	565610.	-385751,	- 70180.5	209317.	316467.	XC,YC = 5
49) =	15)=	=(02	21)=	26)=	27)=	28)=	35)=	33)=	34)=	36)=	41)=	42)=	43)=	44)=	45)=	54)=	±(0\$	51)=	52)=	5 3)=	55)=	NOE MATYP
EK (EK (ĒĶ	ĒX	EK (EK (EK	EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (EK (NODE

113

H

OUTPUT TABLE 1. NODAL DISPLACEMENTS

NODE	U = X-DISP.	V = Υ-DISP.
- (0.00000000E+01	0.00000000E+01
N (0.0000000E+01	
3 4		
1 K		
. (0.00000000E+01	0.00000000E+01
~	0.0000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
8	0.00000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
o c	0.00000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
22		
. 2	0.0000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
13	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.00000000E+01
 4 K		
9	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0000000E+01
17	0 0000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
18	0.00000000E+01	0,00000000E+01
9 00	0.00000000E+01	
21	0.00000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
22	0.0000000E+01	0.0000000E+01
23	0.0000000E+01	0.00000000E+01
24	-0.29459278E-03	-0.28193387E-03
22 20	-0,44878650E-03 -0 514574605-03	-0.43177822E-03
200	- 0, 0140/460E - 00 - 0 51170654E - 03	- 0. 58915919F-03
28	-0.47060586E-03	-0.78535081E-03
29	-0.40061687E-03	-0.86495271E-03
30	-0.34372909E-03	-0.90640712E-03
	-0.200450555-00	-0.943665666-03 -0.0636555665-03
	-U. ZZZ65U/3E-U3 -D. 16326207F-03	-0.90303000E-03 -0.98131581E-03
96	-0.13475132E-03	-0.98804972E-03
35	-0.10679104E-03	-0.99339968E-03
36	-0.79320687E-04	-0.99748519E-03
37	-0.52242535E-04	-0.10003954E-02
80 0 0 0 0 0	-0.3893/200E-04 -0.05682029E-04	-0.100215735-02
40	-0.190888275-04	-0.10024125E-02
41	-0.12501123E-04	-0.10025926E-02
42	-0.85532066E-05	-0 10026646E-02
0 4 4	-0.26302938E-05	-0.10027219E-02
110	0.00000000E+01	0.00000000E+01
46	-0.38157881E-03	-0.42538909E-03
47	-0.65292158E-03	-0.78945290E-03
48	-0.77454617E-03	-0.11485390E-02
49	-0.77187055E-03	-0.14035886E-02
00	-0.69960538E-03 -0.57774340E-03	-0.16307741E-02
20	-0.48162371E-03	-0.18977547E-02
53	-0.38343408E-03	-0.19608413E-02
54	-0.28984585E-03	-0.20030270E-02
22	-0.20482598E-03	-0.20293368E-02
576	-0, 166189345-00 -0, 129751216-03	-0.20385/30E-02 -0.20456273E-02
10	-0. 123/3/2/23/ ·0.	- 0. 400000 .0-

114

E

-0.54546608E-02 -0.68603169E-02	-0.79864856E-02 -0.84418006E-02	-0.85685298E-02 -0.82453191E-02	-0.73450069E-02	-0.65925266E-02	-0.56247722E-02 -0.44416935E-02	-0.31315278E-02	-0.25424788E-02	-0.20472161E-02	-0.18627626E-02	-0.17251853E-02	-0.16680948E-02	-0.16194794E-02	-0.16124230E-02
0.25433114E-02 0.25694593E-02	0.23651390E-02 0.20386126E-02	0.15607427E-02 0.91011823E-03	0.97091072E-04	-0.38923418E-03	-0.83608933E-03 -0.11483184E-02	-0.11743366E-02	-0.10270291E-02	-0.76199918E-03	-0.59469481E-03	-0.40683914E-03	-0.28889666E-03	-0.10311623E-03	0.0000000E+01
209 209	600 601	602 603	604	605	606 607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615

.

OUTPUT TABLE 2. STRESSES AT ELEMENT CENTROIDS

ELEMENT	×	۶	1S	GMA(X)		SIGMA(Y)		TAUCX, Y	c	SIGMA(1)		SIGMA(2)		ANGLE
-	4.00	4.00	-4.03	00E-01	ů.	9773E-01	Ţ	2011E-0	Ē	-2.8023E-01	p	2050E-01	-4.56	29E+01
N	12.00	4.00	-4.86	15E-01	- 7	1928E-01	.	9609E-C	Ē	-3.8313E-01	ø	2230E-01	- 2 . 89(69E+01
e	20.00	4.00	-5.53	01E-01	o, '	4870E-01	Ņ	3234E-0	Ē	-4.4569E-01	7	0560E+00	-2.47	93E+01
4	27.00	4.00	-6.15	28E-01	7	1472E+00	Ņ	4311E-0	Ē	-5.2091E-01	7	2416E+00	-2.12	15E+01
n	33.00	4.00	-6.67	30E-01	7	3045E+00	Ņ	3137E-0	Ē	-5.9216E-01	7	3797E+00	-1.79	93E+01
9	39.00	4.00	-7.17	10-386	- 7	4445E+00	Ņ	0362E-0	Ē	-6.6481E-01	7	4977E+00	-1.46	36E+01
~	44.00	4.00	-7.58	36E-01	T	5438E+00	7	7234E-0	Ē	-7.2221E-01	7	5800E+00	-1.18	47E+01
8	48.00	4.00	-7.88	25E-01	T	6074E+00	.	4427E-0	Ē	-7.6358E-01	7	6321E+00	-9.70	18E+00
0	52.00	4.00	-8.15	19E-01	7	6586E+00	.	1568E-C	Ē	-7.9961E-01	7	6742E+00	- 7.66	93E+00
10	56.00	4.00	-8.38	24E-01	- -	6981E+00	6 	7757E-0	Ň	-8.2938E-01	T	7070E+00	-5.76	81E+00
=	59.00	4.00	-8.54	37E-01	- -	7225E+00	ġ	7698E-0	ğ	-8.4913E-01	7	7277E+00	-4.43	25E+00
12	61.00	4.00	-8.62	24E-01	7	7344E+00	ņ	4780E-0	ğ	-8.5881E-01	7	7379E+00	-3.57	98E+00
13	63.00	4.00	-8.68	59E-01	7	7439E+00	4	2178E-0	ğ	-8.6657E-01	7	7459E+00	-2.75	23E+00
4	65.00	4.00	-8.73	41E-01	- -	7510E+00	Ņ	9834E-0	ğ	-8.7240E-01	7	7520E+00	-1.94	48E+00
15	66.50	4.00	-8.77	01E-01	- -	7555E+00	Ņ	0713E-C	ğ	-8.7653E-01	7	7559E+00	-1.35	00E+00
16	67.50	4.00	-8.78	24E-01	- -	7572E+00	7	4682E-(Ň	-8.7799E-01	7	7575E+00	-9.56	67E-01
17	68.25	4.00	-8.79	20E-01	- 7	7584E+00	.	0178E-0	ğ	-8.7908E-01	7	7585E+00	-6.63	18E-01
18	68.75	4.00	-8.79	50E-01	- -	7588E+00	- 7	1817E-0	g	-8.7944E-01	7	7589E+00	-4.67	90E-01
19	69.15	4.00	-8.79	74E-01	- -	7591E+00	4	7864E-0	ğ	-8.7971E-01	7	7592E+00	-3.11	84E-01
20	69.53	4.00	-8.79	78E-01	- 7	7592E+00	Ņ	5421E-0	g	-8.7977E-01	7	7592E+00	-1.65	51E-01
21	69.85	4.00	-8.79	89E-01	- -	7593E+00	ņ	9856E-C	4	-8.7989E-01	7	7593E+00	-3, 89	97E-02
22	4.00	12.00	-6.80	17E-01	4	5820E-01	.	6548E-0	Ē	-3.6993E-01	~	6844E-01	-6.19	24E+01
23	12.00	12.00	-6.27	77E-01	9	5927E-01	,	6771E-0	Ē	-4.7507E-01	¢٥ ۱	1197E-01	-4.23	18E+01
24	20.00	12.00	-6.17	65E-01	9	2396E-01	Ņ	0314E-0	Ē	-5.1640E-01	7	0252E+00	-2.64	93E+01
25	27.00	12.00	-6.15	21E-01	.	1513E+00	Ņ	0651E-0	Ē	-5,4366E-01	7	2229E+00	-1,89	39E+01
26	33.00	12.00	-6.21	80E-01	- -	3347E+00	7	9174E-0	Ē	-5.7351E-01	Ŧ	3830E+00	-1.41	38E+01
27	39.00	12.00	-6.39	60E-01	7	4924E+00	.	5660E-0	Ē	-6.1175E-01	7	5202E+00	-1.00	84E+01
28	44.00	12.00	-6.65	21E-01	,	5997E+00	.	1932E-0	Ē	-6.5021E-01	7	6147E+00	-7.16	30E+00
29	48.00	12.00	-6.92	45E-01	,	6633E+00	¢,	9458E-(ğ	-6.8427E-01	7	6715E+00	-5,221	08E+00
30	52.00	12.00	-7.23	21E-01	7	7107E+00	9	2651E-0	Ň	-7.1925E-01	7	7146E+00	-3.61	60E+00
31	56.00	12.00	-7.53	97E-01	- -	7441E+00	4	0979E-(ğ	-7.5227E-01	7	7457E+00	-2.36	60E+00
32	59,00	12.00	-7.77	65E-01	-	7640E+00	Ņ	8518E-0	Ň	-7.7683E-01	7	7648E+00	-1,65	48E+00
33	61.00	12.00	-7,89	62E-01	-	7731E+00	Ņ	1669E-(N N	-7.8914E-01	7	7736E+00	-1.26	16E+00
34	63.00	12.00	-7.99	59E-01	-	7803E+00	-	5829E-0	N N	-7.9934E-01	7	7805E+00	- 9. 24	47E-01
32	65.00	12.00	- 8, 07	26E-01	7	7855E+00	-	0785E-0	N	-8.0714E-01	-	7857E+00	-6.31	56E-01
36	66.50	12.00	-8.13	32E-01	-	7894E+00	~	3716E-0	e e	-8.1326E-01	7	7894E+00	-4.32	69E-01
37	67.50	12.00	- 8. 15	27E-01	7	7907E+00	ņ	1834E-0	ğ	-8.1524E-01	7	7907E+00	-3.04	47E-01
38	68.25	12.00	-8.16	95E-01	-	7917E+00	ų.	5814E-0	ğ	-8.1694E-01	7	7917E+00	-2.10	51E-01
39	68.75	12.00	-8.17	43E-01	- -	7920E+00	Ņ	5200E-0	g	-8.1742E-01	7	7920E+00	-1.48	15E-01
40	69.15	12.00	-8.17	85E-01	-	7923E+00	7	6792E-0	g	-8.1784E-01	7	7923E+00	-9.87	32E-02
41	69.53	12.00	-8.17	90E-01	- -	7923E+00	φ.	9318E-0	Z	-8.1789E-01	7	7923E+00	-5, 25	18E-02
42	69.85	12.00	-8.18	10E-01	.	7925E+00	Ņ	0823E-0	ž	-8.1810E-01	7	7925E+00	-1.22	45E-02
43	4.00	19.00	-7.63	47E-01	4	7406E-01	Ņ	0083E-0	Ē	-3.7123E-01	Ø	6629E-01	-6.28	88E+01
4	12.00	19.00	-7.18	54E-01	9	4419E-01	Ņ	1050E-0	Ē	-4.6761E-01	¢,	9512E-01	-5.00	08E+01
45	20.00	19.00	-6.57	78E-01	9	0472E-01	Ņ	3254E-0	Ē	-5.1797E-01	7	0445E+00	-3.10	17E+01
46	27.00	19.00	-6.13	736-01	- 7	1639E+00	Ņ	2811E-C	Ē	-5.3145E-01	7	2462E+00	-1.98	34E+01
47	33.00	19.00	-5.89	15E-01		3760E+00	7	9533E-C	Ē	-5.4333E-01	f	4218E+00	-1.32(02E+01

· ste

ELEMENT	×	۶	SIGMA(X)	SIGMA(Y)	TAU(X,Y)	SIGMA(1)	S1GMA(2)	ANGL
538	66.50	58.75	-1.2728E+01	4.9650E+00	7.0390E+01	6.7063E+01	-7.4826E+01	4.8582E+0
539	67.50	58.75	1.0436E+01	-1.3283E+01	6, 8006E+01	6.7610E+01	-7.0456E+01	4.0054E+0
540	68.25	58.75	2.7765E+01	-2.9699E+01	5.7093E+01	6.2948E+01	-6.4882E+01	3.1643E+0
541	68.75	58.75	3.8928E+01	-3.8991E+01	4.4427E+01	5.9058E+01	-5.9121E+01	2.4376E+0
542	69.15	58.75	4.6020E+01	-4.5326E+01	3.1820E+01	5.6012E+01	-5.5317E+01	1.7433E+0
543	69.53	58.75	5.0431E+01	-4.8837E+01	1.8259E+01	5.3683E+01	-5.2089E+01	1.0099E+0
544	69.88	58.75	5.2578E+01	-5.0865E+01	4.8212E+00	5.2802E+01	-5.1089E+01	2.6627E+0
545	4.00	60.25	5.0753E+01	1.4270E+01	-4.6237E+00	5.1330E+01	1.3693E+01	-7.1116E+0
546	12.00	60.25	3.6270E+01	-1.8187E+00	-4.7763E-01	3.6276E+01	-1.8247E+00	-7.1834E-0
547	20.00	60.25	2.6229E+01	3.2224E-02	-2.0418E+00	2.6387E+01	-1.2596E-01	-4.4301E+0
548	27.00	60.25	1.5221E+01	-1.5222E-01	-1,9310E+00	1.5460E+01	-3.9106E-01	-7.0510E+0
549	33.00	60.25	3.4321E+00	2.0267E-01	-2.2143E+00	4.5579E+00	-9.2315E-01	-2.6950E+0
550	39.00	60.25	-1.0424E+01	-7.1816E-02	-2.4162E+00	4,6435E-01	-1.0960E+01	-7.7489E+0
551	44.00	60.25	-2.7063E+01	-8.9174E-01	-2.4098E+00	-6.7170E-01	-2.7283E+01	-8,4783E+0
552	48.00	60.25	-4.0146E+01	1.6554E-01	-3.2820E+00	4.3100E-01	-4.0412E+01	-8.5376E+0
553	52.00	60.25	-5.5168E+01	3.4740E-02	-3.5934E+00	2.6766E-01	-5.5401E+01	-8.6292E+0
554	56.00	60.25	-7.0142E+01	7.4205E-02	-2.6765E+00	1,7608E-01	-7,0244E+01	-8.7821E+0
555	59.00	60.25	-8.2451E+01	-8.2955E-01	9.9843E-01	-8.1734E-01	-8.2463E+01	8.9300E+0
556	61.00	60.25	-7.7362E+01	1.5862E+00	4.7301E+00	1.8685E+00	-7.7644E+01	8.6584E+0
557	63.00	60.25	-5.7162E+01	2.2114E+00	1.3690E+01	5.2162E+00	-6.0166E+01	7.7622E+0
558	65,00	60.25	-1.2926E+01	4.0496E+00	2.5963E+01	2.2877E+01	-3.1753E+01	5.4052E+0
553	66.50	60.25	3.5522E+01	2.9363E+00	3.0149E+01	5. 3499E+01	-1, 5040E+01	3.0806E+0
560	67.50	60.25	7.0942E+01	-2.2983E+00	2.9547E+01	8.1376E+01	-1.2732E+01	1.9449E+0
561	68.25	60.25	9.4457E+01	-5.8944E+00	2.4019E+01	9.9909E+01	-1.1347E+01	1.2790E+0
562	68.75	60.25	1.0719E+02	-8.0856E+00	1.8544E+01	1.1010E+02	-1.0995E+01	8.9175E+0
563	69.15	60.25	1.1428E+02	-9.7672E+00	1.3108E+01	1.1565E+02	-1.1137E+01	5.9663E+0
564	69.53	60.25	1.1937E+02	-1.0105E+01	7.5472E+00	1,1981E+02	-1,0543E+01	3.3249E+0
565	69.88	60.25	1.2090E+02	-1.0897E+01	2.0391E+00	1.2094E+02 -	-1.0929E+01	8.8613E-0

C

STRAIN ENERGY W/O CKEL=

9,28372

000

117

NCKEL= 1

CKEL ≈

AT MATERIAL 53.5000 ΥC = -1066.60 0.00000 SNODES = 417 418 461 460 439 ... STRESS INTENSIYY FACTOR ... 1 70.0000 0.425538 Ņ 14 14 15 OPENING MODE K1 = SHEARING MODE K2 = CRACK TIP XC = STRAIN ENERGY = * * * * THE

9.7092569

... TOTAL STRAIN ENERGY =

118

7

Crack Propagation Calculation Program

Figure B-2 shows the program flow diagram. The following is a brief description of the main program and each subroutine.

CRACK:

Main program. Sets values of necessary parameters and reads in necessary data. Iterates from problem to problem, reading in data specific to the problem and calling NVSC. Writes out modulus values, A, and n for each modulus condition considered.

NVSC:

each increment. Computes Nf for each crack increment. Prints the

Calculates and applies crack increments. Computes Nf values for

base and overlay thicknesses and the modulus condition of the pavement being analyzed.

TRAPRLE:

Given the left and right limits of the crack increment, numerically integrates the Paris equation using the trapezoidal rule, producing $^{-1}$ N_f. Iterates until the percent difference is below 10^{-3} or to a limit of 15 iterations.

SIMPRLE:

Performs exactly as does TRAPRLE except that Simpson's rule is used for the numerical integration.





<u>نې</u>

PARIS:

Determines the value of K_I for a given value of (c/b) according to equation (6), Section I. Computes the integrand of equation (4), Section I, including the base thickness term. Paris is a function which returns as its value the above integrand.

Input Guide- The input parameters and formats are explained here.

BASIC PARAMETERS:

One Card: Format 215

- cc 1-5 Nmodcon: The number of modulus conditions considered for the problem.
- cc 6-10 K: A value of K = 0 indicates that the trapezoidal rule will be used. Any other 5 digit integer, normally 1, indicates that Simpson's rule will be used.

Nmodcon cards: Format 4F10.0

- cc 1-10 Evalues(I,1): Modulus of elasticity of the base corresponding to modulus condition 1.
- ccll-20 Evalues(I,2): Modulus of elasticity of the overlay corresponding to modulus condition l
- cc 21-30 AA(I): Values of the parameter A in the Paris equation corresponding to modulus condition 1.
- cc 31-40 NN(I): Value of the parameter n in the Paris equation corresponding to modulus condition 1.

PROBLEM PARAMETERS:

Γ.

ſ

One	card pe	r problem:	Format 315
	cc 1-5	Nprob:	The problem number of the current problem. If the
			value is 0, execution of the program is halted.
	cc 6-10	Base:	Thickness of the base.
	cc 11-1	5 Olay:	Thickness of the overlay.
Nmoo	lcon car	ds per probl	em: Format 6F10.0
	cc 1-10	B _O :	Coefficient B_0 in equation (6), Section I.
	cc 11-2	0 B ₁ :	Coefficient B_1 in equation (6), Section I.
	cc 21-3	0 B ₂ :	Coefficient B_2 in equation (6), Section I.
	cc 31-4	0 B3:	Coefficient B3 in equation (6), Section I.
	cc 41-5	0 Left:	Initial crack length for a pavement with given base
			and overlay thicknesses and modulus condition.
	cc 51-6	O Ríght:	Final crack length for a pavement with given base and
			overlay thicknesses and modulus condition.

The computer code listing for the paris equation calculation scheme is given on the following pages.

READ (IN, 200) EVALUES(1,1), EVALUES(1,2), AA(1), NN(1) WRITE(OUT, 600) 1, EVALUES(1,1), EVALUES(1,2), OBASE, AA(1), NN(1) CONTINUE FORMAT(/////35X, MODULUS',7X,'BASE',8X,'SUBGRDE',6X,'OVERLAY'/ •34X,'CONDITION',5X,'MODULUS',2(6X,'MODULUS'),9X,'A',12X,'N'/30X, PROGRAM CRACK(INPUT, OUTPUT, TAPES=INPUT, TAPEG=OUTPUT) IMPLICIT INTEGER (A-2) REAL LEFT, RIGHT, TEST, AA(20), NN(20), EVALUES(20,2), OBASE REAL BO, B1, B2, B3 COMMON/INTCON/ BASE, OLAY, B0, B1, B2, B3, AA, NN COMMON/PARAM/ IN, OUT, TEST, 2, EVALUES DATA TEST/0.001/, 2/15/, IN/5/, OUT/6/, OBASE/350000.0/ ŝ FORMAT(34X,15,8X,F9.1,6X,F6.1,6X,F8.1,4X,E9.4,6X,F5. SUBROUTINE TRAPPLE(CL,CR,MODCON,DNF,FLAG,DIFF) IMPLICIT REAL (A-Z) INTEGER YOMAMA,NDIV,I,J,MODCON,IN,OUT,Z LOGICAL FLAG COMMON/PARAM/ IN,OUT,TEST,Z,EVALUES DO 30 1=1, NMODCON READ (1N,400) B0,B1,B2,B3,LEFT,R1GHT CALL NVSC(LEFT,R1GHT,1,K) CONTINUE 1NTSUM=PARIS(CL+2*H, MODCON) ENDSUM=PARIS(CL, MODCON)+PARIS(CR, MODCON) READ (IN, 300) NPROB, BASE, OLAY READ (IN, 100) NMODCON,K 4 80 p IF (NPROB. EQ. 0) GO TO ((,... 8 IF (NPROB. NE. 0) FORMAT (4F10.0) FORMAT(6F10.0) H= (CR-CL)/NDIV WRITE (OUT, \$00) FORMAT(315) ORMAT(215) FLAG: FALSE YOMAMA=0 OLDVAL=0.0 NDIV=4 ODDSUM=0.0 ·6(4X STOP END 100 2000 2000 600 ≏ູ_{ິວ}ວ g 40 ပ U o U υ U υ ပပ O υu ပပ U 26 5233 8 **4**9 6 20 53 00 000 46 44 5 8 5 Ň ē

FORMAT(1X, '>>>>>>>>>', KI IS NEGATIVE FOR C/B* ', F6.4) ODDSUM=ODDSUM+PARIS(CL+J*H, MODCON) REAL FUNCTION PARIS(X,E) IMPLICIT REAL (A-Z) INTEGER E,BASE,OUT DIMENSION AA(20),NN(20) COMMON/INTCON/ BASE,OLAY,B0,B1,B2,B3,AA,NN COMMON/PARAM/ IN,OUT,TEST,Z,EVALUES I NTSUM= I NTSUM+ODDSUM NEWVAL =H/2*ENDSUM+H* I NTSUM DI FF =ABS (NEWVAL -OLDVAL) /NEWVAL A=AA(E) N=NN(E) K1=B0+B1*X+B2*(X**2)+B3*(X**3) IF(K1.LE.0.0) THEN WRITE(OUT,100) X IF(DIFF.LT.TEST) THEN YOMAMA=1 NDIVENDIVEZ NDIVENDIVEZ ODDSUMEO.O HE (CR-CL)/NDIV OLDVAL=NEWVAL IF(YOMAMA.EQ.0) THEN FLAG=.TRUE. DO 20 J=1,LIM,2 **GO TO 30** PARIS=(KN/A)*BASE DO 10 1=1,Z LIM=NDIV-1 DNF=NEWVAL DNF=NEUVAL KN=K1 × POWER CONTINUE ELSE POWER= - N END **CONTINUE** STOP RETURN END RETURN ELSE ENO 100 666 20 2 8 υ υ υ υ υu ပပ 000 υ ပပ ပပ 110 9 **6** 0 5 7 **0** 00000 122 6 29 67 68 20212 00400 6 08 ຕ 2 2 8 80 53 28 88 8 5 12 5 4 Ξ 2

+33X,4(4X,'---------)
FORMAT(30X,2(10X,F6.4),8X,E10.5,6X,E10.5)
FORMAT(35X,'>>>>>>>>,'ERROR: THE PRECEEDING LOAD',
+ CYCLES INCREMENT DID'/35X,'>>>>>>>>,'6X,'NOT CONVERGE.',
+ THE VALUE OF % DIFFERENCE'/35X,'>>>>>>>',6X,'AFTER 15',
+ ITERATIONS IS ',E10.5) FORMAT(///39X,'INITIAL',9X,'CURRENT',6X,'INCREMENTAL',7X, +'CURRENT'/33X,2(4X,'C/B RATIO '),2(5X,'LOAD CYCLES')/ +33X,4(4X,'--------')) FORMAT(////42X, DEPTH OF BASE......, 15//42X, +'DEPTH OF OVERLAY.....',15//42X, MODULUS CONDITION....', CALL TRAPRLE(CL, CR, MODCON, DNF, FLAG, DIFF) CALL SIMPRLE(CL, CR, MODCON, DNF, FLAG, DIFF) SUBROUTINE SIMPRLE(CL, CR, MODCON, DNF, FLAG, DIFF) IMPLICIT REAL (A-Z) Integer Yodady, Ndiv, I, J, Modcon, IN, Out, Z Logical Flag SUBROUTINE NVSC(LEFT, RIGHT, MODCON, K) IMPLICIT REAL (A-Z) INTEGER NINC, I, OUT LOGICAL FLAG COMMON /PARAM/ IN, OUT COMMON /INTCON/ BASE, OLAY WRITE(OUT, 100) BASE, OLAY, MODCON WRITE(OUT, 200) WRITE(OUT, 300) CL, CR, DNF, NF IF(FLAG) THEN WRITE(OUT, 400) DIFF DC=(RIGHT-LEFT)/NINC 10 [=1,NINC CR=CL+DC [F(K.EQ.0) THEN NF = NF + DNF END IF END IF CL=CR CONTINUE ELSE N1 NC=20 CL=LEFT RETURN NF=0.0 END +15) END 8 100 200 400 400 2 ပ υυυ 50 C ပပ 35 C 36 C 38 C 000 ပပ 41 0 40000 000 723 52 7 63 2 22 230 223 26 80 00 5 20 e 8 99 80 ņ ø **4** 4 49 5 5 29 23 53

2

H= (CR-CL)/ND1V EVENS=PAR1S (CL+2*H, MODCON) ODDS=PAR1S (CL+H, MODCON)+PAR1S (CL+3*H, MODCON) ENDSUM=PAR1S (CL, MODCON)+PAR1S (CR, MODCON) OLDVAL=H/3. O* (ENDSUM+4*ODDS+2*EVENS) NEWVAL=H/3.O*(ENDSUM+4*CDDS+2*EVENS) DIFF=ABS(NEWVAL-OLOVAL)/NEWVAL IF(DIFF.LT.TEST) THEN YODADY=1 DO 20 J=1,LIM,2 ODDS=ODDS+PARIS(CL+J*H,MODCON) COMMON/PARAM/ IN, OUT, TEST, Z D0 10 1=2,Z NDIV=2=NDIV H= (CR-CL)/NDIV EVENS=EVENS+GDDS ODDS=0.0 LIM=NDIV-1 OLDVAL=NEWVAL END IF IF(YODADY.EQ.O) THEN FLAG=.TRUE. 60 10 30 DNF=NEWVAL **DNF = NEWVAL** FLAG= . FALSE . YODADY=0 NDIV=4 CONTINUE ELSE **CONTINUE** RETURN END END IF ELSE 22198 22298 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 22398 23398 23398 23398 23398 23398 23398 23398 23397 2339 2339 235977 23597 2 υ ပပ

Sample Input for Crack Propagation Program- The following pages contain a printout of the input data for the sample program.

127

. P

925977 880402 905503 849451 837093 784195 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 010901 009085 009479 006694 005580 008870 007146 0067999 0067999 002303 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 5067.807 4237.885 4625.061 3857.532 3060.146 3093.236 105884.9 76535.6 87240.12 60381.99 59002.9 38802.09 17098.41 14661.88 17517.9 13073.25 13556.44 10141.79 14619.22 11676.7 13202.72 10145.02 10587.44 7681.451 000004 000000 81544 60936 65549 47507 44153 30127 ~~~~ -117437.1 -84582.0 -96126.81 -66598.86 -64805.32 -22642.82 -19189.89 -22345.7 -16980.85 -17649.63 -12930.04 -14172.16 -10815.35 -12415.28 -9340.74 -8792.111 -6942.935 700044 0E-10 0E-10 0E-10 0E-10 0E-10 -15129.4 -22383. -17613. -20087. 89707. 67057. 71954. 52166. 48342. 32985.

 2047.043
 6567.108

 1753.576
 5513.253

 1753.576
 5513.253

 1260.895
 3666.674

 1351.92
 3500.485

 938.921
 2345.091

 938.321
 2345.091

 938.5331
 37465.05

 -394.43
 37465.05

 -235.5056
 26687.0

 -235.5056
 26687.0

 -137.2445
 20547.46

 -112.6183
 20547.46

 -12.6105
 13339.98

 -12.6183
 20547.46

 -12.6183
 20547.46

 -12.6183
 20547.46

 -12.6183
 20547.46

 -12.6183
 20547.46

 -12.6183
 20547.46

 -12.82105
 13339.98

 -12.82105
 13339.98

 -12.8313
 20550.09

 4000.0 4000.0 40000.0 40000.0 9000.0 5742.7 5742.7 5523.816 58647.792 56547.792 56547.792 56547.792 56547.792 5663.572 5663.552 5163.552 5163.552 28694.99 21328.18 23050.09 16591.39 15331.1 10486.71 0 5 4966 28 3.9915 21 3.3865 2 2.99951 1 5.09687 7.19202 16 60 32 808.9672 687.1326 776.454 611.02611 633.8081 472.8754 2 00 800000 0 800000 0 400000 0 400000 0 1297.536 1019.034 1162.857 875.8471 916.8071 654.5362 654.5362 1490.105 1253.92 1351.92 938.92 1351.92 938.92 200000. 200000 ο -72 2

128

K

2 2 2

Sample Output for Crack Propagation Program- The following pages contain the output for the sample problem.

Sec. Coil

Z	
4	10000 - 09 100000 - 09 100000 - 09 100000 - 09 100000 - 09 100000 - 09
OVERLAY MODULUS	350000.0 350000.0 350000.0 350000.0 350000.0 350000.0
SUBGRDE MODULUS	4 9 9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
BASE MODULUS	1200000 0 800000 0 800000 0 400000 0 400000 0
MODULUS	-004100

16	0
BASE	OVERLAY
ц В	P
DEPTH	DEPTH

_
-
7
ē
2
Ξ
-
Ξ
느
4
Q
C
S
Ξ
õ
2
¥
~

C/B RATIO	CURRENI C/B RATIO	INCREMENIAL	LOAD CYCLE
0.0000	. 0500	.88171E+04	.88171E+04
. 0500	. 1000	55496E+04	14367E+05
. 1000	. 1500	. 43884E+04	, 18755E+05
. 1500	. 2000	. 39863E+04	. 22741E+05
. 2000	. 2500	. 40022E+04	. 26744E+05
. 2500	. 3000	. 43572E+04	.31101E+05
. 3000	. 3500	. 50935E+04	. 36194E+05
. 3500	. 4000	. 63598E+04	. 42554E+05
. 4000	. 4500	, 84512E+04	. 51005E+05
. 4500	. 5000	.11886E+05	.62891E+05
. 5000	. 5500	.17455E+05	. 80346E+05
. 5500	. 6000	. 25903E+05	. 10625E+06
. 6000	. 6500	. 36316E+05	.14257E+06
. 6500	. 7000	. 43316E+05	.18588E+06
. 7000	. 7500	. 39819E+05	. 22570E+06
. 7500	. 8000	. 27747E+05	. 25345E+06
. 8000	. 8500	. 15880E+05	. 26933E+06
. 8500	. 9000	. 83164E+04	. 27764E+06
. 9000	, 9500	, 43036E+04	. 28195E+06
. 9500	1.0000	. 22864E+04	. 28423E+06

etc.

