



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

RADC-TR-85-158 Interim Report January 1986



MIXED-ORDER FINITE ELEMENTS FOR THE SOLUTION OF THREE-DIMENSIONAL ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

Carnegie-Mellon University

Z. J. Cendes D. Hudak D. Sun



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

UTIC FILE COPY

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441-5700

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-85-158 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:

Ľ

نم ا

Robert Me Jahan

ROBERT V. McGAHAN Project Engineer

APPROVED: allan & Schull

ALLAN C. SCHELL Chief, Electromagnetic Sciences Division

FOR THE COMMANDER: July a

JOHN A. RITZ Plans & Programs Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (EECT), Hanscom AFB MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

UNCLASSIFIED

. . . .

٠.

ę

110A 144236

	REPORT DOCUM	AENTATION	PAGE		
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
UNCLASSIFIED	N/A		REPORT		
N/A	Approved fo	r public re	lease;		
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU N/A	LE	distributio	n unlimited		
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUMBE	R(S)
N/A		RADC-TR-85-	158		
54 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b OFFICE SYMBOL	7a NAME OF MO	ONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
Carnegie-Mellon University		Rome Air De	velopment C	enter (EEC	CT)
5c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b ADDRESS (Cit	y, State, and ZIP	Code)	
Pittsburgh PA 15213		Hanscom AFB	MA 01731		
8. NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING	RE OFFICE SYMPOL	9 DROCLIREMENT			
ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)	3 FROCOREWEN		INTERCATION	NUMBER
Rome Air Development Center	EECT	F19628-83-0	-0079		
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	S TASK	NACORK LINUT
Hanscom AFB MA 01731		ELEMENT NO	NO	NO	ACCESSION NO
M. D.T. Statute County Classification		61102F	2305	J4	52
MIXED -ORDER FINITE ELEMENTS FO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS	OR THE SOLUTION	OF THREE-DIM	ENSIONAL		
2 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 2.J. Cendes, D. Hudak, D. Sun					
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME CO	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month, I	Day) 15 PAC	E COUNT
Interim FROM Ser	<u>83</u> ^{TO} Sep_84	Januar	y 1986		38
N/A					
17 COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse	e if necessary and	identify by bi	lock number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Bistatic scatt	ering Clo	ning:	·	
20 03.14	rinite element	san jan mea	surements 2		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block n	umber)			
A new method for modeling elect The method is based on a numeri- used to approximate the three of field vectors. It provides a r dimensional electromagnetic fiel presence of spurious modes. Th electromagnetic cavities.	romagnetic wave ical formulation lifferent compon celiable procedu eld problems. H he new method is	s by the fin in which di ents of eith re for the f eretofore, s applied to	ite element fferent orde er the elect inite elemen uch solution the analysis	method is ers of pol tric or th nt solutions were pl s of field	presented. ynomials are ne magnetic n of three- agued by the s in resonant
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		21 ABSTRACT SE	CURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION	
228 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226 TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE	SYMBOL
Robert V. McGahan	0 addition may be and	(617) 86	1-2050	RADC	(EECT)
UUFURM 14/3,84 MAR 83 A	All other editions are of	tii exnausted. psolete.	SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N OF THIS PAGE
			1	UNCLASSIFI	ED

. . . -

_

.....

....

Mixed-Order Finite Elements for the Solution of Three-Dimensional Electromagnetic Fields

Z.J. Cendes, D. Hudak, D. Sun

Electrical & Computer Engineering Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Abstract

A new method for modeling electromagnetic waves by the finite element method is presented. The method is based on a numerical formulation in which different orders of polynomials are used to approximate the three different components of either the electric or the magnetic field vectors. It provides a reliable procedure for the finite element solution of three-dimensional electromagnetic field problems. Heretofore, such solutions were plagued by the presence of spurious modes. The new method is applied to the analysis of fields in resonant electromagnetic cavities.

Introduction

The finite element method is often advanced as a useful numerical procedure for modeling highfrequency electromagnetic wave phenomena. Applied at an early date to solve homogeneous waveguide problems,¹ the method proved to be extremely accurate and reliable for these problems. However, when the method was applied to the study of inhomogeneous waveguides and to the solution of threedimensional resonant cavity and scattering problems, difficulties in the form of "spurious" modes were encountered. Spurious modes are non-physical solutions of the electromagnetic field equations that are computed simultaneously with the correct physical solutions.

Since the presence of spurious modes in a numerical solution can destroy the validity of the solution, much effort has been directed at reducing or eliminating the unwanted modes. The first approach, originally suggested by Konrad², is to enforce the electromagnetic field boundary conditions exactly on the finite element approximation space. This procedure has been used by Mabaya, Lagasse and Vandenbulke³ in the E_{g} - H_{g} formulation and by Davies, Fernandez and Philippon⁴ and by Rahman and Davies⁵ in the 3-component H formulation; all three papers report only limited success in eliminating spurious modes by this technique. Recently, Koshiba, Hayata, and Suzuki⁶ have shown that rigorous enforcement of boundary conditions does indeed eliminate spurious modes above the "air line" (i.e. the line $\beta/k_{o} = 1$ in a β/k_{o} versus k_{o} plot) but does not work in general below the air line.

The second approach to eliminating spurious modes is to modify the variational principle used to approximate the fields. Working independently, Winkler and Davies⁷ and Hara, Wada, Fukasawa and Kikuchi⁸ have recognized that the spurious modes do not satisfy the zero divergence condition on the

electric or magnetic field. Both references suggest adding a penalty term proportional to the norm of the divergence of the field to the governing variational principle. Unfortunately, this procedure does not eliminate the spurious modes completely. However, as demonstrated in reference 8, the spurious modes are not stable with respect to the amount of penalty, and can be distinguished from correct solutions by plotting the finite element eigenvalue spectra with respect to the penalty parameter. Of course, this procedure is highly inefficient and cumbersome: each new field problem must be solved repeatedly and all of the eigenvalues plotted in order to identify the correct ones.

A different but related procedure to reduce the number of spurious modes was proposed recently by Konrad.⁹ References 7 and 8 are based on minimizing a functional derived from the vector wave equation with the addition of a zero-divergence penalty term. Konrad suggests using the vector Helmholtz equation instead and finds that "... a great number, though not all of the spurious solutions are indeed eliminated." This result is not surprising since the variational expressions derived for the vector Helmholtz equation and for the vector wave equation with the addition of a unit penalty term are identical in the case of homogeneous media.

The third approach to eliminating spurious modes in finite element solutions is to restrict the finite element approximation functions to lie in a reduced function space. In this view, spurious modes are the result of using improper functions in the variational procedure. To ensure that only correct solutions are generated, one must employ only admissible functions in the finite element approximation. This is the approach taken in this report.

The use of a restricted function space to eliminate spurious modes in finite element analysis was first suggested by Hano.¹⁰ Hano showed that, in two dimensional problems, spurious modes are completely eliminated by using combination constant-linear finite element approximation functions. These functions have two interesting properties: (1) their divergence is identically zero, and (2) they are discontinuous at element boundaries.

In this report, we derive a set of restricted finite element basis functions for the solution of three dimensional electromagnetic field problems and show that only correct, physical solutions are obtained with the new functions. As in the case in reference 10, the functions reported here employ different orders of polynomial approximation in different directions in each element. However, in our case, the approximation functions are continuous across element boundaries and are not restricted to be non-divergent.

Formulation of the EM Field Equations

Electromagnetic wave propagation is governed by the vector wave equations

$$\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \epsilon_r k_o^2 \mathbf{E}$$
(1)

$$\nabla \times \frac{1}{\epsilon_r} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mu_r k_o^2 \mathbf{H}$$
(2)

where μ_r and ϵ_r are the relative permeability and relative permittivity of the material, respectively, and $k_o^2 = \omega^2 \mu_o \epsilon_o$. At the interface between two dielectrics, the tangential components of the electric and magnetic fields must be continuous

$$\mathbf{1}_{n} \times (\mathbf{E}^{(1)} - \mathbf{E}^{(2)}) = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{-} \times (\mathbf{H}^{(1)} - \mathbf{H}^{(2)}) = 0 \tag{4}$$

while the normal components are discontinuous as follows

$$\mathbf{1}_{n} \bullet (\epsilon_{1} \mathbf{E}^{(1)} - \epsilon_{2} \mathbf{E}^{(2)}) = \mathbf{0}$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$\mathbf{1}_{n} \bullet (\mu_{1}\mathbf{H}^{(1)} - \mu_{2}\mathbf{H}^{(2)}) = 0 \tag{6}$$

In these equations, superscripts (1) and (2) refer to media 1 and media 2, respectively.

In the remainder of this report, we shall use the electric field E as the unknown. Obviously, a similar treatment holds for H. In terms of E, equation (4) becomes

$$\mathbf{1}_{n} \times (\frac{1}{\mu_{1}} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{\mu_{2}} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^{(2)}) = 0$$
 (7)

We must therefore solve equation (1) subject to the interface conditions (3), (5) and (7).

Konrad¹ has shown that the Euler equation of the functional

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_r} |\nabla \times \mathbf{E}|^2 - \epsilon_r k_o^2 |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right\} d\Omega$$
(8)

is equation (1) and that the corresponding natural boundary equation (7) is the natural boundary condition for this functional. One may therefore approximate the electric field E by expanding E in terms of basis functions, apply the essential boundary conditions (3) and (5) explicitly, and minimize F to obtain E.

While the solution process described above has been widely reported in the literature^{2,4-7,10-13}, as noted in the introduction, there are serious problems. To eliminate these problems, we need to define the approximation functions for E more carefully.

A Basis for Curl

N

To solve for E via equation (8), we must find a legitimate approximation for the curl operator. The operator curl has a domain, a nullspace and a range; it is not enough - as had been done in the past - to approximate only the domain.

The nullspace of an operator is defined to be the set of functions that produce zero when the operator acts on it

$$N(A) = \{x: Ax = 0 \quad \text{for all } x\}$$
(9)

It is well known that the nullspace of the curl operator is provided by the gradient operator

$$N(curl) = \nabla \phi \tag{10}$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary scalar.

Let us approximate ϕ by finite basis functions $\widetilde{\alpha}(x,y,z)$ over a rectangular parallelepiped. These basis functions are defined in Appendix A and result in the expression

$$\phi(x,y,z) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m,n,p)}(x,y,z)\phi$$
(11)

The polynomial $\tilde{\alpha}^{(m,n,p)}(x,y,z)$ is m'th order in the x-direction, n'th order in y, and p'th order in z. Since the derivative of an n'th order polynomial is (n-1)'st order, it follows that the nullvectors of the curl operator must have the form

$$E_{z}(x,y,z) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m-1,n,p)} \underbrace{E_{z}}_{y}$$

$$E_{y}(x,y,z) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m,n-1,p)} \underbrace{E_{y}}_{y} \qquad (12)$$

$$E_{z}(x,y,z) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m,n,p-1)} E_{z}$$

Ĕ,

We may write this in the compact form

$$\mathbf{E} = \gamma \mathbf{\underline{E}}$$
(13)

where

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\alpha}^{(m-1,n,p)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{\alpha}^{(m,n-1,p)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widehat{\alpha}^{(m,n,p-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)
$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{x} \\ E_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

The curl of E is evaluated as

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\partial/\partial \mathbf{z} & \partial/\partial \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \partial/\partial \mathbf{z} & 0 & -\partial/\partial \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ -\partial/\partial \mathbf{y} & \partial/\partial \mathbf{x} & 0 & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

Substituting (13) into (16) gives

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \theta \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E}$

where

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^{(m,n-1,p-1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{(m-1,n,p-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{(m-1,n-1,p)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

(17)

	0	-D _s	D,
C =	D _s	0	-D _z
l	-D _y	D _z	0

where the matrices D_i are called differentiation matrices and are defined in Appendix 2.

We note that the factorization in equation (17) is not possible if the same order of polynomial is used to approximate E_i in all three directions.

The basis functions in equations (11), (13), and (17) provide a consistent representation of the nullspace, domain, and range of the curl operator, respectively. The dimension of the nullspace is equal to the number of parameters in ϕ ; this is

$$Dim(N(curl)) = (m+1)(n+1)(p+1)$$
 (19)

The dimensions of the domain and range spaces are equal to the number of independent basis functions in γ and β ; these are
(20)

$$Dim (D(curl)) = 3mnp + 2mn + 2mp + 2np + m + n + p$$

$$Dim (R(curl)) = 3mnp + np + mp + mn$$
(21)

The rank of the matrix C is equal to the dimension of the domain space minus the dimension of the nullspace

$$Rank(\mathbf{C}) = 2mnp + mn + mp + np - 1 \qquad (22)$$

Computing the Matrix Elements

Substituting equations (13) and (17) into equation (8) and minimizing with respect to the coefficients $\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{E}}$ results in the matrix equation

$$\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{E} \ = \ k_{o}^{2}\mathbf{M} \ \mathbf{E}$$
(23)

where K and M are the matrices

$$\mathbf{K} = \int \frac{1}{\mu_r} \beta^T \beta \ d\Omega \tag{24}$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \int \epsilon_{\mathbf{r}} \gamma^{T} \gamma \ d\Omega \tag{25}$$

To evaluate the matrix elements in K and M, it is sufficient to evaluate the integral

$$\mathbf{G}^{(r,s,t)} = \int \widetilde{\alpha}^{T(r,s,t)} \widetilde{\alpha}^{(r,s,t)} d\Omega$$
(26)

Substituting equation (A13) into (26) gives

$$\mathbf{G} = (1/L_{\mathbf{x}}L_{\mathbf{y}}L_{\mathbf{z}})\int (\widetilde{\alpha}^{r}(\varsigma)\otimes\widetilde{\alpha}^{\bullet}(\xi)\otimes\widetilde{\alpha}^{t}(\lambda))^{T} (\widetilde{\alpha}^{r}(\varsigma)\otimes\widetilde{\alpha}^{\bullet}(\xi)\otimes\widetilde{\alpha}^{t}(\lambda))d\varsigma d\xi d\lambda)$$
(27)

Introducing Kroneckers identities (iii) and (v) converts this into

$$\mathbf{G} = (1/L_{z}L_{y}L_{z})T^{(r)} \otimes T^{(s)} \otimes T^{(t)}$$
(28)

where

I

$$T^{(i)} = \int \widetilde{\alpha}^{(i)T}(\varsigma) \ \widetilde{\alpha}^{(i)}(\varsigma) d\varsigma \qquad (29)$$

Thus, only the one dimensional T matrix is required to evaluate G. Numerical values of the first two T matrics are

 $T^{(1)} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ (30)

$$T^{(2)} = \frac{1}{30} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 16 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(31)

To evaluate the finite element coefficient matrix for one element, one therefore needs to form the matrices K and M using equations (28) and (29), evaluate C by using the values in Appendix B, and pre-

and post-multiply K by C^T and C, respectively. The contribution from each element is combined with the other elements in the grid to form a large, sparse matrix eigenvalue problem. This eigenvalue problem is solved by using established techniques for the eigenvalues k_0^2 and eigenvectors \underline{E} .

Computational Results

A computer program has been developed based on the above formulation to solve for the electromagnetic fields in resonant cavities. The program allows finite elements of mixed orders to be assembled and solved for complex three-dimensional geometries. A significant component of this work was the development of a sparse matrix eigenvalue solution package based on the Lanczos algorithm.

Some of the computational results obtained from the program are presented in Tables 1-12. In these tables, the approximate eigenvalues obtained by the finite element method for rectangular parallelpiped cavities are presented along with the exact analytical values.

To begin, Tables 1 and 2 present the eigenvalue spectrum for the "traditional" finite element solution of the vector wave equation in which each component of the electric field is approximated *in all directions* by a linear polynomial (8-node elements). Spurious nodes are evident in the spectrum, both below the dominant physical node and above it. It is obviously very difficult to distinguish good solutions from bad solutions with this approach.

Now consider the case of constant-bilinear elements (m = n = p = 1; 4 node elements). The eigenvalue spectrums for two different cavities obtained by using these elements are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Notice that in this case a one-to-one correspondence exists between the approximate eigenvalues and the exact ones, with the dominant eigenvalue being approximated reasonably well. Although some fairly large errors are obtained with the high-order nodes in Tables 3 and 4, these errors are a result of the small number of elements used in this problem. It is significant that each mode in these solutions can be identified; greater accuracy in the eigenvalues can be obtained when more elements are employed.

For constant-bilinear elements the dimension of the nullspace is $N = 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$. Corresponding to this nullity, eight zero eigenvalues are found in Tables 3 and 4. Zero eigenvalues have been computed in this work to confirm theoretical predictions; in practice, one may save computer time by computing the positive eigenvalues only.

Solutions obtained by using linear-biquadratic elements (m = n = p = 2; 18 node elements) are presented in Tables 5 and 6. These solutions are seen to be much more precise than the constant bilinear element solutions. The reason for the increased accuracy is twofold: (1) Higher-order polynomials are more accurate than low-order polynomials, and (2) linear-biquadratic elements are continuous across element boundaries while the constant-bilinear elements are not. A vector plot of the field at a height z = 0.35 above the bottom of the cavity is shown in Figure 1. In the case of linear-biquadratic elements, the nullity of the curl operator is $N = 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$. This is equal to the number of zero eigenvalues computed for the problems in Tables 5 and 6.



Figure 1: Plot of the electric field for the dominatemode in a cavity of dimensions $\pi/3, \pi/3, \pi/3$ at a height of x = 0.35 above the base

Finally, we report some computations obtained by applying the finite element method to the vector Helmholts equation. The vector Helmholts equation is separable in a rectangular coordinate system into

three uncoupled one-dimensional Helmholtz equations. Not surprisingly, the eigenvalues of this onedimensional equation are correctly computed as shown in Tables 7 and 8. However, if one employs the constant-bilinear 4-node element in this approximation then an incomplete solution is produced. As shown in Tables 9-11, the eigenvalues are correct, but only the zero-mode eigenvalues appear. Thus, solutions obtained by using constant-bilinear elements in the Helmholtz equation do not allow the discontinuities at the element edges observed in the solution of the vector wave equations.

When linear-biquadratic elements are used in the vector Helmholtz equation, solutions in the form reported in Table 12 are observed. In this case, all eigenvalues of the cavity are approximated, but the sero-mode eigenvalues where the solution is a constant in one direction are computed far more accurately than the non zero-mode eigenvalues.

As a final confirmation of the correctness of the procedure reported here, observe from Tables 4 and 7 that the solution of the vector wave equation with constant-bilinear elements and of the vector Helmholtz equation with trilinear elements are identical in all modes to six significant figures. It appears that these two ways of solving rectangular cavity problems are numerically identical. As pointed out earlier, however, the advantage of solving the vector wave equation is that it generalizes to modeling nonrectangular cavities involving dielectric boundaries.

Conclusions

Three-dimensional electromagnetic field problems may be solved by using mixed order finite elements to approximate the vector field. In this approach a consistent numerical approximation is made for the domain, range, and null spaces of the curl operator. Such a consistent approximation in the solution of the vector wave equation eliminates the problem of spurious nodes that had plagued previous solution procedures.

References

- 1. P. Silvester, "A General High-Order Finite-Element Waveguide Analysis Program," <u>IEEE</u> <u>Trans.</u>, Vol. MTT-17, pp. 204-210, April 1969.
- 2. A. Konrad, "Vector Variational Formulation of electromagnetic Fields In Anisotropic Media", <u>IEEE Trans.</u>, Vol. MTT-24, pp. 553-559, September 1976.
- 3. N. Mabaya, P.E. Lagasse and P. Vandenbulke, "Finite Element Analysis of Optical Waveguides," <u>IEEE Trans.</u>, Vol. MTT-29, pp. 600-605, June 1981.
- J.B. Davies, F.A. Fernandez and G.Y. Philippori, "Finite Element Analysis of All Modes in Cavities with Circular Symmetry," <u>IEEE Trans.</u>, Vol. MTT-30, pp. 1975-1980, 1982.
- 5. B.M.A. Rahman and J.B. Davies, "Finite-Element Analysis of Optical and Microwave Waveguide Problems," IEEE Trans., Vol. MTT-32, pp. 20-28, 1984.

- 6. M. Koshiba, K. Hayota, and M. Suzuki, "Improved Finite-Element Formulation in Terms of the Magnetic Field Vector for Dielectric Waveguides", <u>IEEE Trans.</u>, Vol. MTT-33, pp. 227-233, March 1985.
- J.R. Winkler and J.B. Davies, "Elimination of Spurious Modes in Finite Element Analysis", <u>J.</u> <u>Computational Physics</u>, Vol. 56, pp. 1-14, 1984.

- M. Hara, T. Wada, T. Fukasawa, and F. Kikuchi, "Three Dimensional Analysis of RF Electromagnetic Field by the Finite Element Method", <u>IEEE Trans.</u>, Vol. MAG-19, pp. 2417-2420, Nov. 1983.
- 9. A. Konrad, "On the Reduction of the Number of Spurious Modes in the Vectorial Finite Element Solution of Three-Dimensional Cavities and Waveguides", General Electric Company, Technical Information Series, Report No. 84 CRD275, October, 1984.
- M. Hano, "Finite-Element Analysis of Dielectric-Loaded Waveguides," <u>IEEE Trans.</u>, Vol. MTT-32, pp. 1275-1279, 1984.
- 11. R.L. Ferrari and G.L. Maile, "Three-Dimensional Finite Element Method for Solving Electromagnetic Problems", <u>Electronics Letters</u>, Vol. 14, pp. 467-468, 1978.
- J.P. Webb, G, L. Maile, and R.L. Ferrari, "Finite Element Solution of Three-Dimensional Electromagnetic Problems," <u>IEE Proc. H. Microwaves, Optics and Antennas</u>, Vol. 130, pp. 153-159, 1983.
- 13. M. de Pourcq, "Field and Power-Density Calculations by Three-Dimensional Finite Elements", <u>IEE Proc. H</u>, Vol. 130, pp. 377-384, 1983.

APPENDIX - A

Rectangular Basis Functions

To see how approximation functions are formed for bricks, first consider the two-dimensional case shown in Figure 1. In this figure, an arbitrary rectangular reference element is mapped into the unit square by the transformation

 $\varsigma = (z - z_R)/L_z \tag{A1}$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}_R)/L_{\boldsymbol{y}} \tag{A2}$$



Figure 2: Bilinear mapping of an arbitrary rectangle to the reference rectangle

Two-dimensional basis functions may be obtained from one-dimensional functions by using the Kronecker matrix product.

Definition:

If A is an nxm matrix and B is a pxq matrix, then the Kronecker matrix product of A and B is denoted by $A \otimes B$ and is the npxmq matrix

 $A \otimes B = a_{11}B \quad a_{12}B \quad \dots \quad a_{1m}B \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{n1} \quad a_{n2}B \quad \dots \quad a_{nm}B$ (A3)

Kronecker matrix products satisfy the following useful identities¹⁴

12

- (i) $A \otimes (B+C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C$
- (ii) $\alpha A \otimes \beta B = \alpha \beta (A \otimes B)$
- (iii) $AB \otimes CD = (A \otimes C)(B \otimes D)$
- (iv) $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$
- $(v) \quad (A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$

In general, one-dimensional n'th order interpolation polynomials are defined as

$$\alpha^{(n)}_{k}(\varsigma) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{(\varsigma-\varsigma_{i})}{(\varsigma_{k}-\varsigma_{i})}$$
(A4)

where the ς_i are the interpolation nodes. Using the Kronecker matrix product, two-dimensional interpolation polynomials are given by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^{(n,m)}(\varsigma,\xi) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\varsigma) \otimes \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m)}(\xi)$$
(A5)

For example, the second-order interpolation polynomial $\widetilde{\alpha}^{(2,2)}(\varsigma,\xi)$ is the nine element row vector

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^{(2,2)}(\varsigma,\xi) = [\alpha_1(\varsigma)\alpha_1(\xi) \ \alpha_1(\varsigma)\alpha_2(\xi) \ \alpha_1(\varsigma)\alpha_3(\xi) \ \alpha_2(\varsigma)\alpha_1(\xi) \alpha_2(\varsigma)\alpha_2(\xi) \ \alpha_2(\varsigma)\alpha_3(\xi) \ \alpha_3(\varsigma)\alpha_1(\xi) \ \alpha_3(\varsigma)\alpha_2(\varsigma) \ \alpha_3(\varsigma)\alpha_3(\xi)]$$
(A6)

The locations of the interpolation nodes of the polynomials $\tilde{\alpha}^{(2,2)}$ are indicated in Figure 2. During computation, the nodes are stored most conveniently by columns; in this case, the axes ς and ξ are oriented as shown in Figure 3.

Now consider approximating an arbitrary function $\phi(\varsigma,\xi)$ in terms of the finite element approximation functions. We may write this as

$$\phi(\varsigma,\xi) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m)}(\varsigma) \Phi \underline{\alpha}^{(n)}(\xi) \tag{A7}$$

where Φ is an m by n matrix of values at the interpolation nodes. Equation (A7) is converted into the standard matrix form

$$\phi(\varsigma,\xi) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\varsigma,\xi)\phi \tag{A8}$$

by defining a vector operation called uec in the following manner:

$$\operatorname{VecA} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1} \\ A_{2} \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \\ A_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(A9)

where \underline{A}_i is the i'th column of the matrix A. The operator vec and the Kronecker product are related by the identity

vec $ABC = (C^T \otimes A)$ vecB (A10)



14

The vec of a scalar is simply itself; therefore, taking vec of both sides of equation (A8) yields

$$\phi(\varsigma,\xi) = (\widetilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\varsigma) \otimes \widetilde{\alpha}^{m}(\xi)) \notin$$
(A11)

where

$$\phi = vec\Phi \tag{A12}$$

Three-dimensional finite elements are generated by an analogous procedure to that used in twodimensions. Approximation functions for brick-shaped elements are given by the equation

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^{(n,m,p)} = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\varsigma) \otimes \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m)}(\xi) \otimes \alpha^{(p)}(\lambda)$$

(A13)

where $(\varsigma, \xi, \lambda)$ are homogeneous coordinates in the brick. Figure 4 presents the node numbering scheme for the second order case.



APPENDIX - B

Differential Matrices

One-dimensional differentiation matrices are defined by the equation

$$\frac{d\alpha^{(n)}(\varsigma)}{d\varsigma} = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n-1)}(\varsigma)D^{(n)}$$
(B1)

where $D^{(n)}$ is the n by n+1 differentiation matrix. The polynomials $\tilde{\alpha}^{(n-1)}(\varsigma)$ in equation (B1) are of one order less than that of $\tilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\varsigma)$ because the derivative of an n'th order polynomial is (n-1)'st order. Evaluating both sides of equation (B1) at the (n-1)'st order interpolation nodes $a_i^{(n-1)}, i = 1, ..., n$ provides the elements of the differentiation matrix as

$$D_{ij}^{(n)} = D_{ij}^{(n)} = \frac{d_j \alpha^{(n)}(\varsigma)}{d\varsigma} |_{\varsigma} = a_i^{(n-1)}$$

Performing the indicated operations with the equispaced node interpolation polynomials gives the numerical values

-1.

$$D^{(1)} = [-1, 1]$$

-3

....

 $D^{(2)} =$

(B3)

$$D^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 05.5 & 9. & -4.5 & 1. \\ .125 & -3.375 & 3.375 & -.125 \\ .1 & 4.5 & .9 & .55 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the matrix D has the following anti-symmetry property

$$D_{ij}^{(n)} = -D_{n-i+1,n-j+2}^{(n)}$$
(B4)

To extend the above result to two-dimensions, we need to evaluate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varsigma} \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m,n)}(\varsigma,\xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\varsigma} \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m)}(\varsigma) \otimes \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\xi)$$

$$= \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n-1)}(\varsigma) D^{(m)} \otimes \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\xi)$$

$$= (\widetilde{\alpha}^{(m-1)}(\varsigma) \otimes \widetilde{\alpha}^{(n)}(\xi)) (D^{(m)} \otimes D)$$
(B5)

Thus we find that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varsigma}\widetilde{\alpha}^{(m,n)}(\varsigma,\xi) = \widetilde{\alpha}^{(m-1,n)}(\varsigma,\xi)D_{\varsigma}$$

where

1. 1.

ļ

Ś

F

じいい

$$D_{s} = D^{(m)} \otimes I \tag{B7}$$

Similar results hold for derivatives in the ξ -direction and for three-dimensional elements.

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Plot of the electric field for the dominat mode in a cavity of dimensions $\pi/3.\pi/3.\pi/3$ at a height of $x = 0.35$ above the base	7
Figure 2:	Bilinear mapping of an arbitrary rectangle to the reference rectangle	10
Figure 3:	The relative locations of the interpolation nodes corresponding to the polynomial $\alpha^{(2,2)}(\varsigma,\xi)$.	12
Figure 4:	Interpolation node numbering for second order brick elements	14

CURL-CURL-trilinear	functions(8-node	e elements)
Lx=Ly=Lz=pi/3. () number of nodes in :	pi=3.1415926535) x-direction = 12	
	y-direction = 12	
#	z-direction = 12	
hx=hy=hz=pi/9.		
approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue
1.95968		
9.08752		******
9.08752		
9.08752		
19.69684	(0,1,1)	18.00000
19.69684		
19.69684	(1,0,1)	
19.69684		
19.69684	(1,1,0)	
19.69684		
28,25468		****
28-25468		
28,25468		
28.23400		
28.30042		
40 24210		
49.24210	(0,1,2)	45,00000
59.09051	(0,1,2)	45.00000
59.09051	(a, a, a)	
59.09051	(0,2,1)	
59.09051		
59.09051	(1,0,2)	
59.09051		
59.09051	(2,0,1)	
59.09051		
59.09051	(1,2,0)	
5 9.0 9051		
59.09051	(2,1,0)	
59.09051		
60.83625		
60.83625		
60 83625		
67 95409		
67 95409		
67.95409		
67.95409	_	
83./1150		
83./1156		
83.71156		
98.48419	(0,2,2)	72.00000
98.48419		
98.48419	(2,0,2)	
98.48419		
98.48419	(2,2,0)	
98.48419		

Table 1. Eigenvalues of the vector wave equation (curl-curl operator) in a rectangular cavity obtained by using trilinear approximation functions. Note that many of the approximate eigenvalues computed do not correspond to any actual physical mode.

CURL-CURL-trilinear functions(8-node elements) Lx=Lz=pi/3., Ly=pi/4. (pi=3.1415926535) number of nodes in x-direction = 12 y-direction = 12 z-direction = 12 hx=hz=pi/9. , hy=pi/12. approx-eigenvalue modes actual-eigenvalue 2.37084 _____ _____ 9.83625 ----11.72376 ____ _____ 11.72376 19.69684 (1,0,1)18.00000 19.69684 27.35672 (0,1,1)25.00000 27.35672 27.35672 (1,1,0)27.35672 30.68483 _____ ~~~~~~ 34.39606 ____ ~~~~~~ 34.39606 35.81914 _____ _____ 36.22030 _____ 58.39643 -----_____ 59.09051 (1,0,2)45.00000 59.09051 59.09051 (2,0,1)59.09051 66.12458 _____ 66.12458 66.75040 (0,1,2)52.00000 66.75040 66.75040 (2,1,0)66.75040 75.34928 75.34928 91.37144 _____ 97.38992 (0,2,1)73.00000 97.38992 37.38992 (1,2,0)97.38992 98.38694 98.48419 (2,0,2)72.00000 98.48419

Table 2. Similar results to Table 1 but with a different cavity shape.

ار و و و

1.0

E

CURL-CURL-bilinear elements(4-node elements)						
Lx=Lz=pi/3., Ly=pi/4. (pi=3.1415926535) number of nodes in x-direction = 11 y-direction = 11						
hx=hz=pi/9. , hy=pi/12	2.					
approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue				
0.0000						
0.0000						
0.0000						
0.0000						
0.0000						
0.0000						
0.0000						
0.0000						
19.69684	(1,0,1)	18.00000				
27.35672	(0,1,1)	25.00000				
27.35672	(1,1,0)					
37.20514	(1,1,1)	34.00000				
37.20514						
59.09052	(1,0,2)	45.00000				
59.09052	(2,0,1)					
66.75040	(0,1,2)	52.00000				
66.75040	(0,2,1)					
76.59882	(1,1,2)	61,00000				
76.59882						
76.59882	(2,1,1)					
76.59882						
97.38992	(0,2,1)	73.00000				
97.38992	(1,2,0)					
98.48419	(2,0,2)	72.00000				
107.23834	(1,2,1)	82.00000				
107.23834						
115.99249	(2,1,2)	88.00000				
115,99249						

(:

Table 3. The eigenvalues of the vector wave equation obtained by using constantbilinear approximation functions. The zero modes may be ignored. Notice that all actual physical, eigenvalues are correctly approximated by this procedure although errors in the values are large for the higher-order modes.

CURL-CURL-bilinear	functions(4-node	elements)
Lx=Ly=Lz=pi/3. number of nodes in " " hx=hy=hz=pi/9.	<pre>(pi=3.1415926535) x-direction = ll y-direction = ll z-direction = ll</pre>	
approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue
0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000		
0.00000 19.69684 19.69684	(0,1,1) (1,0,1) (1,1,0)	18.00000
29.54526	(1,1,1)	27.00000
29.54526 59.09052 59.09052 59.09052 59.09052 59.09052 59.09052	(0,1,2) (0,2,1) (1,0,2) (2,0,1) (1,2,0) (2,1,0)	45.0000
68.93893 68.93893 68.93893 68.93893 68.93893 68.93893	(1,1,2) (1,2,1) (2,1,1)	54.00000
98.48419 98.48419 98.48419 98.48419	(0,2,2) (2,0,2) (2,2,0)	72.00000
108.33261 108.33261 108.33261	(1,2,2)	81.00000
108.33261 108.33261 108.33261	(2,2,1)	

(

Table	4.	Similar	results	to	Table	1	but	with	а	different	cavity	shape.
-------	----	---------	---------	----	-------	---	-----	------	---	-----------	--------	--------

CURL-CURL-linear-bi	quadratic(18-nod)	e elements)
Lx=Ly=Lz=pi/3. () number of nodes in :	pi=3.1415926535) x-direction = 18 y-direction = 18	
n	z-direction = 18	
hx=hy=hz=pi/9.		
approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue
0.00000		******
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.0000		
0.0000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.0000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.0000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
0.00000		
18.02845	(0,1,1)	18.00000
18.02846	(1,0,1)	
18.02846	(1,1,0)	
27.02822	(1,1,1)	27.00000
27.02822	(0,1,2)	45,00000
45.80279	(0,1,2)	45.00000
45-80279	(0,2,1) (1,0,2)	
45.80279	(2,0,1)	
45.80279	(1,2,0)	
45.80279	(2,1,0)	
54.06912	(1,1,2)	54.00000
54.06912		
54.06912	(1,2,1)	
54.70579 54.70579	(2 1 1)	
54.78579	~~; _ ; _ ; _ ;	
73.57712	(0.2.2)	72.00000
73.57712	(2,0,2)	
73.57712	(2,2,0)	
78.67638	* • • * • • • •	نه ه ه ه ه ه ه به به
78.67638		

CURL-CURL-linear-b	CURL-CURL-linear-biquadratic(18-node elements)						
<pre>Lx=pi/2. , Ly=pi/3 number of nodes in " " hx=pi/6. , hy=pi/9</pre>	., Lz=pi/4. (x-direction = 18 y-direction = 18 z-direction = 18 ., hz=pi/16.	pi=3.1415926535)					
approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue					
0.00000 0.00000							
0.00000							
13.02056 20.03163 25.03953 25.36470 29.02859 29.03043	(0,1,1) (1,0,1) (0,1,1) (2,1,0) (1,1,1)	13.00000 20.00000 25.00000 29.00000					
32.37577 40.00222 40.79489 41.36763 45.48986 52.50093 52.81386 53.13903	(2,0,1) $(1,2,0)$ $(2,1,1)$ $(3,1,0)$ $(0,2,1)$ $(2,2,0)$ $(3,0,1)$	32.00000 40.00000 41.00000 45.00000 52.00000					
55.97965 56.78009 61.47941 61.49460 62.74550 68.25079 69.40821 73.26419	(1,2,1) $(3,1,1)$ $(2,2,1)$ $(1,0,2)$ $(3,2,0)$	56.00000 61.00000 68.00000 72.00000					

(

LAPLACIAN-trilinear functions(8-node elements)

Lx=Ly=Lz=pi/3.			(pi=3.1415926535)				
number	of	nodes	in	x-direction	=	4	
				y-direction	=	4	
	**			z-direction	=	4	
hx=hy=hz=pi/9.							

approx-eigenvalue		modes	actual-eigenvalue
(19.69684,0.0000000)	2	(0,1,1)	(18.00000,0.0000000)
(29.54527,0.000000)	3	(1,1,1)	(27.00000,0.0000000)
(59.09052,0.000000)	5	(0,1,2)	(45.00000,0.0000000)
(59.09051,0.0000000)		(0,2,1)	
(68.93894,0.000000)	6	(1,1,2)	(54.00000,0.0000000)
(68.93893,0.000000)		(1,2,1)	
(68.93893,0.0000000)		(2,1,1)	
(98.48419,0.000000)	8	(0,2,2)	(72.00000,0.0000000)
(108.3326,0.0000000)	9	(1,2,2)	(81.00000,0.0000000)
(108.3326,0.0000000)		(2,1,2)	
(108.3326,0.0000000)		(2,2,1)	

Table 7. Eigenvalues of the vector Helmholtz equation (Laplacian operator) obtained by using trilinear approximation functions. Note that all actual physical eigenvalues are correctly approximated by this procedure.

LAPLACIAN-trilinear functions(8-node elements)

approx-eigenvalue modes actual-eigenvalue (27.35672,0.000000) (0,1,1)(25.00000, 0.0000000)(1,1,1)(37.20514,0.000000) (34.00000,0.0000000) (66.75041,0.000000) (0,1,2)(52.00000,0.0000000) (76.59882,0.000000) (1,1,2)(61.00000, 0.0000000)(76.59882,0.000000) (2,1,1)(97.38994,0.000000) (73.00000,0.0000000) (0,2,1) (107.2384,0.000000) (1,2,1)(82.00000,0.0000000) (115.9925,0.000000) (2,1,2)(88.00000, 0.0000000)(125.8409, 0.000000)(0,1,3)(97.00000,0.0000000) (136.7836,0.000000) (0,2,2)(100.0000,0.0000000) (146.6320,0.0000000) (2,2,1)(109.0000, 0.0000000)(146.6320,0.0000000) (1,2,2)

Table 8. Similar results to Table 7 but with a different cavity shape.

LAPLACIAN-bilinear functions(4-node elements)

(98.48419,0.000000) (98.48419,0.000000)

approx-eigenvalue modes actual-eigenvalue (0,1,1)(18.00000,0.0000000) (19.69684,0.0000000) (19.69684,0.0000000) (19.69684,0.000000) (45.00000,0.0000000) (59.09051,0.000000) (0,1,2)(59.09051,0.0000000) (59.09051,0.0000000) (0,2,1)(45.00000,0.0000000) (59.09051,0.0000000) (59.09051,0.0000000) (59.09051,0.000000) (98.48419,0.000000) (0,2,2)(72.00000, 0.0000000)

Table 9. Eigenvalues of the vector Helmholtz equation obtained by using constantbilinear approximation functions. Notice that only the 0-mode eigenvalues are produced. LAPLACIAN-bilinear functions(4-node elements)

approx-eigenvalue modes actual-eigenvalue (27.35672,0.000000) (0,1,1)(25.00000, 0.0000000)(27.35672, 0.000000)(27.35672, 0.000000)(66.75039,0.0000000) (0,1,2)(52.00000, 0.0000000)(66.75039,0.0000000) (66.75039,0.0000000) (97.38992, 0.000000)(0,2,1) (73.00000,0.000000) (97.38992,0.000000) (97.38992,0.000000) (136.7836,0.0000000) (0,2,2) (100.0000, 0.0000000)(136.7836, 0.000000)(136.7836, 0.000000)

Table 10. Similar results to Table 9 but with a different cavity shape.

LAPLACIAN-bilinear	functions(4-no	de elements)
<pre>Lx=Lz=pi/3. , Ly=p: number of nodes in " " hx=hz=pi/15. , hy=</pre>	<pre>i/4. (pi=3.1 x-direction = y-direction = z-direction = pi/24.</pre>	415926535) 5 7 6
approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue
(25.66859,0.000000 (25.66859,0.000000 (25.66859,0.000000 (25.66859,0.000000) (0,1,1)))	(25.00000,0.0000000)
(25.66859,0.0000000 (57.30186,0.0000000 (57.30186,0.0000000 (57.30186,0.0000000 (57.30186,0.0000000) (0,1,2)))	(52.00000,0.0000000)
(79.33304,0.0000000 (79.33304,0.0000000 (79.33304,0.0000000 (79.33304,0.0000000) (0,2,1)))	(73.00000,0.0000000)
(19.33304,0.0000000 (110.9663,0.0000000 (110.9663,0.0000000 (110.9663,0.0000000 (110.9663,0.0000000 (110.9663,0.0000000000000000000000000000000000) (0,2,2))))))	(100.0000,0.0000000)

Table 11. Similar results to Table 10 but with a finer discretization.

LAPLACIAN-linear-biguadratic functions(18-node elements)

Lx=Ly=Lz=pi/3. (pi=3.1415926535) number of nodes in x-direction = 4 "y-direction = 7 "z-direction = 7

hx=hy=hz=pi/9.

approx-eigenvalue	modes	actual-eigenvalue
18.02849	(0,1,1)	18.00000
27.87692	(1,1,1)	27.00000
45.80283	(0,1,2)	45.00000
45.80284	(0,2,1)	
55.65121	(1,1,2)	54.00000
55.65127	(1,2,1)	
67.27062	?	
73.57719	(0,2,2)	72.00000
83.42559	(1,2,2)	81.00000
91.08446	(0,3,1)	90.00000
91.08447	(0,1,3)	
95.04494	?	
95.04499	?	

Table 12. Eigenvalues of the vector Helmholtz equation obtained by using linearbiquadratic approximation functions. In this case, all eigenvalues are approximated, but the 0-mode eigenvalues are far more accurate than the non 0-mode eigenvalues. LAPLACIAN-linear-biguadratic(18-node elements)

.,

Lx=pi/2. , Ly=pi/3. , Lz=pi/4. (pi=3.1415926535) number of nodes in x-direction = 4 y-direction = #7 z-direction = 47 hx=pi/6. , hy=pi/9. , hz=pi/12. approx-eigenvalue modes actual-eigenvalue 25.03956 (0,1,1)25.00000 29.41665 (1,1,1)29.00000 46.92494 (2,1,1)41.00000 52.81389 (0,2,1)52.00000 57.19098 (1,2,1)56.00000 68.81034 (0,1,2)68.00000 74.41617 (1,1,2)72.00000 74.69928 (3,1,1)61.00000 78.79325 (2,2,1)68.00000 96.30156 (2,1,2)84.00000 96.58467 (3,2,1) 88.00000 98.09551 (0,3,1) 97.00000

(0,2,2)

102.1905

Table 13. Similar results to Table 12 but with a different cavity shape.

100.0000

MISSION of

 \mathcal{A}

X Ø

ଡ଼୶ଡ଼୬୯ଡ଼୳ଡ଼୳ୡ୶ୡଡ଼୶ଡ଼୬ୄ୶ଡ଼୵ୡ୬ୄ୶ଡ଼୬ୄଡ଼

Rome Air Development Center

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence $(C^{3}I)$ activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, solid state sciences, electromagnetics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.

> Printed by United States Air Force Hanscom AFB, Mass. 01731



FILMED

END



DTIC