

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

(13)

(4)

Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity
January 1986

Report 112



AXBT Measurements off the Northeast Coast of South America, Spring 1985

AD-A164 606

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 26 1986
S D

DTIC FILE COPY


Janice Dinegar Boyd
Henry T. Perkins
Oceanography Division
Ocean Science Directorate

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity, NSTL, Mississippi 39529-5004.

86 2 25 053

Foreword

This report documents a portion of the "C-SALT" program, a multi-institutional investigation of the large scale thermohaline steps found off the northeast coast of South America. This portion deals with the temperature profile data taken in spring 1985 during NORDA aircraft flights over the study area. The aircraft operations were conducted to aid the concurrent ship operations, as well as to map the horizontal and vertical extent of the step field and to determine the mesoscale flow. This report discusses these latter findings.



R. P. Onorati, Captain, USN
Commanding Officer, NORDA

Executive summary

In March and May 1985, 219 air-deployed expendable bathythermograph (AXBT) profiles were taken off the northeast coast of South America in a region of large scale thermohaline steps. Presented in this report are a map of the location of the staircase field during this time, contours of the depths of the 6-22°C isotherms, and individual profile plots. The main body of the steps was found to lie on either side of a line from 8°N 50°W to 16°N 61°W, with weaker and more poorly defined steps occurring outside the main field. The mesoscale flow patterns inferred from the isotherm plots indicated that during this time period the North Equatorial Current entered the region over a broad area north of 10°N. Much of the flow proceeded westward toward the lesser Antilles, but the upper several hundred meters of the southern part of the flow (between about 10-14°N) turned southward between 50°-54°W and flowed back to the east, forming a tight loop. The eddy field on the southern edge of the survey, between 3-10°N, 40-50°W, appeared to be either poorly developed or shifted to the south and east outside most of the survey range.

Contents

Illustrations and Table	iv
1. Introduction	1
2. Operations Description	1
3. Scientific Party	2
4. Data Collection and Processing	2
5. Discussion	3
6. References	5

Illustrations and table

Illustrations

Figure 1. AXBT drop positions, March and May 1985.	11
Figure 2. Location of large scale thermohaline steps, spring 1985.	12
Figure 3. Depth in meters of the 22°C isotherm.	13
Figure 4. Depth in meters of the 20°C isotherm.	14
Figure 5. Depth in meters of the 18°C isotherm.	15
Figure 6. Depth in meters of the 16°C isotherm.	16
Figure 7. Depth in meters of the 14°C isotherm.	17
Figure 8. Depth in meters of the 12°C isotherm.	18
Figure 9. Depth in meters of the 10°C isotherm.	19
Figure 10. Depth in meters of the 8°C isotherm.	20
Figure 11. Depth in meters of the 7°C isotherm.	21
Figure 12. Depth in meters of the 6°C isotherm.	22
Figures 13-231. Temperature versus depth and temperature gradient versus depth profiles, AXBT drops 5-259.	23

Table

Table 1. AXBT drop positions, March and May 1985.	7
---	---

AXBT measurements off the northeast coast of South America, spring 1985

1. Introduction

Between 20–31 March and 6–13 May 1985, the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory P-3A aircraft 150607 flew a series of oceanographic survey flights off the northeast coast of South America. The flights were part of a multi-institutional program to investigate the large scale thermohaline steps (staircases) found in the main thermocline. Such staircases have been reported in the area for at least the past 15 years (Delnore and McHugh, 1972; Mazeika, 1974; Perkins and Saunders, 1982; Bruce et al., 1984; Boyd and Perkins, 1986). They are similar to those observed in the Tyrrhenian Sea and under the Mediterranean Outflow (e.g., Johannessen and Lee, 1974; Elliott et al., 1974), and occur under the same conditions of a relatively warm, salty water mass overlying a cooler, fresher one. Double diffusion of the salt fingering type is presumed to be the causative process. In the case of the region off the northeast coast of South America, the two water masses are the warmer, saltier Subtropical Underwater (SUW) (Defant, 1981; terminology from Wüst, 1964) lying between about 100 and 200 m (Wüst, 1978) and the cooler, fresher Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) centered in this area between 700–800 m (Wüst, 1978; terminology from Pickard and Emery, 1982).

The airborne operations had three objectives: to aid concurrent shipboard operations, to map the horizontal and vertical extent and characteristics of the thermal field, and to determine the mesoscale flow. This report presents a map of staircase locations, contours of the 6–22°C isotherms, and plots of individual edited and filtered station temperature profiles.

2. Operations Description

The aircraft staged from Grantley Adams International Airport, Barbados, West Indies. A total of seven flights took place, four in March and three in May. The survey had to be executed during two time periods because an aircraft mechanical problem during the first period

necessitated premature termination of operations. The first four flights occurred 22–29 March 1985. The remaining three flights were then completed 8–13 May 1985. The drop positions for the two partial surveys are given in Figure 1 and Table 1. Locations where AXBTs were dropped in both March and May are circled.

During the flights, the aircraft dropped both shallow (nominally 305 m) and deep (nominally 760 m) air-deployed expendable bathythermographs (AXBTs) approximately every 111 km to provide a grid of subsurface temperature data to a maximum depth of nearly 800 m. Normally the aircraft flew between 12,000–14,000 feet at between 280–300 knots. A combination of a Litton 72 inertial navigation system and a Litton 211 VLF/OMEGA navigation system was used for navigation, giving an accuracy of 1 to 2 nmi. Because of the potential but unknown effects of wind upon the falling probe, the drop positions are considered accurate to about 1.5 times the navigational accuracy, or to about 3 nmi or 5.5 km. Weather was generally good, with scattered cumulus clouds between 2,000–7,000 feet, and occasional haze. More haze and clouds, including some cumulonimbus, were encountered during the May operations. The maximum sea state, as observed from the aircraft, appeared to be 2 or 3 (scattered to numerous whitecaps).

During the fourth flight a rendezvous took place between the aircraft and the research vessel involved in the project, the R/V ENDEAVOR from the University of Rhode Island, with Dr. Ray Schmitt of the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution as chief scientist. Three deep AXBTs were dropped within a few hundred yards of the ship during a time when the ship was making a CTD (conductivity-temperature-depth) cast, and this data was used to develop improved equations for calculating AXBT depth and temperature.

The 8 May flight passed within the Exclusive Economic Zone of a number of countries, including Guyana, which requested that two observers be allowed to participate. Their names are given in Section 3.

3. Scientific Party

a. 20-31 March 1985

Janice D. Boyd, Chief Scientist (NORDA)
Henry T. Perkins, Scientist (NORDA)
Robert A. Brown, Electronics Technician (NORDA)

b. 6-13 May 1985

Henry T. Perkins, Chief Scientist (NORDA)
Robert A. Brown, Electronics Technician (NORDA)

8 May 1985

Mr. Theo A. Earle, Observer (Guyana)
Maj. E. W. Adams, Observer (Guyana)

4. Data Collection and Processing

The AXBT data was collected using a version of the NORDA-designed EPDAS ("Expendable Probe Data Acquisition System") data collection system. The system consisted of a data interface unit, two Hewlett Packard 9825T microcomputers and a Hewlett Packard 7245 printer/plotter. The variable frequency analog signals from the three aircraft sonobuoy receiver channels were received, amplified and filtered, and converted to digital form at a sampling rate of 8 samples per second (corresponding to about every 20 cm in depth). The data was stored on data cassettes for later analysis and was plotted in near real-time. Total time required for data acquisition and storage was about 6 minutes for shallow probes and 12 minutes for deep.

A few clearly bad or irretrievably noisy profiles were discarded. Further editing of the raw data was performed at the Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory using an interactive editor. Any bad data at the beginning and end of each profile was deleted, and a linear interpolation was applied across any regions of bad data within a profile. If a linear interpolation was not reasonable—for example, if the depth range was too great—then the bad data and any points below were discarded. A total of 184 deep and 35 shallow AXBT temperature profiles were obtained from the operations.

After editing, improved equations for calculating temperature and depth were applied. All AXBTs were manufactured by Sippican Ocean Systems, Inc., of Marion, Massachusetts, to Navy specifications for frequency to temperature and elapsed fall time to depth conversions. The specified conversion equation for temperature is

$$T = -40.0 + 0.02778F,$$

where F is frequency in hertz and T is temperature in °C. The Navy standard requires the temperature accuracy to be about $\pm 0.56^\circ\text{C}$ within the range -2° to 35°C , but the probe is known to be much more accurate than this (e.g., Bane and Sessions, 1984). The specified depth equation is

$$z = 1.52t$$

where z is depth in meters and t is elapsed time after probe release in seconds. Sippican, however, publishes the slightly modified formula (still within the Navy specification)

$$z = 1.5926t - 0.00018t^2.$$

The same equations are assumed to apply to both shallow and deep AXBTs.

For many research purposes more accurate conversion equations are desirable. To devise better equations for this study, three deep AXBTs were dropped near the R/V ENDEAVOR during one of the ship's CTD casts and the CTD data was used to calculate improved equations. Three AXBT profiles and one CTD profile are admittedly a small number, but no more were available. The resulting corrected AXBT profiles are clearly so much closer to the CTD profile that we think the modified temperature and depth equations developed from this data set, while not the last word on the topic, nevertheless represent genuine improvements over the above formulas.

The difference $\Delta z = z_{CTD} - z_{AXBT}$ was plotted versus t for a number of features identifiable on both the CTD and AXBT profiles. The curve was well fit ($R^2 = 0.91$) by the quadratic

$$\Delta z = 0.0559t - 0.00006t^2.$$

Combining this with the Sippican formula gives the corrected equation

$$z = 1.6485t - 0.00024t^2.$$

When this formula was used, features on the CTD and AXBT profiles differed in depth by at most 6 m and usually by much less.

A similar technique was used to develop an improved temperature equation. A plot of $\Delta T = T_{CTD} - T_{AXBT}$ versus T_{CTD} was well described by either a linear fit ($R^2 = 0.88$) or by a cubic ($R^2 = 0.92$). The difference between the two fits was so small that the linear relationship was selected. This fit was

$$\Delta T = 0.5753 - 0.0317 T_{CTD}.$$

Assuming T_{CTD} to be the "best guess" for the true temperature T , this gives

$$1.0317T = 0.5753 + T_{AXBT}$$

Using the Sippican formula for T_{AXBT} yields the improved equation

$$T = -38.2133 + 0.0269F$$

Using this equation, the ENDEAVOR CTD and our AXBT profiles differed by at most 0.15°C and usually by much less.

After the improved temperature and depth equations were applied to the data, the profiles were filtered and resampled at a 2 m interval. The filtering was a compromise between that suitable for the low noise profiles or portions of profiles and that required for the high noise portions. Spectral analysis of several of the particularly low-noise profiles indicated a noise level beginning at a wavenumber of about $0.6 \text{ cycles m}^{-1}$, corresponding to a wavelength of 1.67 m. However, a large proportion of the profiles had elevated noise levels below 600 m. This was later found to be due to sonobuoy receiver problems and probably aggravated by local atmospheric temperature inversions which reduced the signal strength beyond a certain distance. To remove this noise a considerably shorter wavenumber cutoff was needed. It would have been possible to filter the shallower portion of the profiles with one filter and the deeper with another and then to patch the two parts together. However, some experimentation showed this to be unnecessary. A Bartlett filter with a half power point at $0.2 \text{ cycles m}^{-1}$ was found to reduce the noise in the deeper portions of the profiles satisfactorily while still preserving the characteristics of the shallower portions. All profiles were so filtered and then subsampled at 2 m intervals.

After the AXBT hits the water, about 30 seconds elapse before the probe is released. During this time a carrier frequency is transmitted. To prevent interference or other noise from starting the data collection system early, the EPDAS system requires the operator hit a key on the computer keyboard when it is certain that a good carrier is being received. Occasionally noise or a late start by the operator still resulted in an erroneous start. When this happened the depths were offset by an unknown (but usually estimatable) amount. The drops which had such false starts are noted in Table 1 and on the individual profile plots.

5. Discussion

A primary goal of the survey was to delineate the region of large scale thermohaline steps. This finding is shown in Figure 2. The main body of the step field extends north-westward from $7^{\circ}\text{N } 49^{\circ}\text{W}$ to at least $16^{\circ}\text{N } 60.5^{\circ}\text{W}$, the westward limit of the survey. By and large the well-developed steps fell within a very well-defined area, and no "holes" were observed within this area with the aircraft data, although data from the concurrent ship operations showed occasional small "holes." Weak but identifiable steps occurred outside portions of the boundary of the well-defined steps. However, the transition from no thermal steps to well-defined steps was very abrupt at the central northern and southeastern boundaries. More details may be seen in the individual profile plots in Figures 13-231, which also include plots of the temperature gradients as estimated using centered differences.

Another goal of the survey was to determine the mesoscale flow field and to relate it to the occurrence of the staircases. Figures 3-12 present depths of the $22-6^{\circ}\text{C}$ isotherms. If density is primarily determined by temperature, these contours approximate streamlines. Kawase and Sarmiento (1985) have shown that a strong salinity front exists in this region, so this assumption may not be completely true. However, the magnitude of the dominant thermal features of Figures 3-12 makes it unlikely that conclusions drawn solely from the temperature contours would be made invalid by salinity variations.

The figures suggest that from the 12° isotherm and up in the water column, the flow field north of 14°N is characterized by a broad entry of water into the area from the northeast. The flow between $10-14^{\circ}\text{N}$ and east of 54°W is dominated by flow from the east-southeast (presumably the North Equatorial Current, NEC) which turns southward between $50-54^{\circ}\text{W}$ and turns back to the east, forming a tight loop. The loop penetrates to a maximum of about 250 m, but clearly begins to weaken below 150 m. Although becoming weaker, the feature is still visible in the plots of the 14° and 12° isotherms, but has disappeared in the plot of the depth of the 10° isotherm.

Bruce and Kerling (1984) have discussed the results of two AXBT surveys in March and September 1983 that overlapped the southern and eastern part of our region. In March they observed a much weaker loop only a few degrees east and south of our loop, but they also found a large northern anticyclonic eddy at $7-10^{\circ}\text{N}$ of which we see no evidence. The lack of evidence for the Northern Eddy is of particular interest, since Bruce (1984) found

this eddy repeatedly in his ships of opportunity XBT temperature sections through this area.

The well-developed loop is also of interest because its location corresponds nicely to the origin of the North Equatorial Countercurrent (NECC) during summer and fall of most years. Richardson and McKee (1984) reported that the NECC begins earlier and continues later on the western side of the Atlantic compared to the central or eastern parts, but they found that between 35-45°W it typically originates in May and near 2-3°N, rather to the south of our loop. Our loop must have originated earlier than this, in March at the latest, because it was spanned by both partial surveys and the northern half was clearly well developed by the end of March. Furthermore, the origin of the NECC usually appears to be South Atlantic water from the North Brazil Coastal Current which turns back to the east in this area (Richardson and McKee, 1984). Instead, our data suggest a considerable transport into an early NECC which originates from the North Atlantic. The absence of Bruce's Northern Eddy and the presence of the early loop certainly suggest anomalous meteorological conditions during winter and spring 1985.

In Figure 10, the depth of the 8° isotherm, a change in the flow becomes apparent. The flow entering from the east appears to separate at about 14°N into a northerly component which enters from the north-northeast and a southerly component from the east-southeast. The two flows become parallel after 50°W. This impression is intensified in Figures 11 and 12, with the southerly component coming more and more from the south. The southern flow corresponds nicely to what Wüst (1978) has called the "Subantarctic Intermediate Current" lying between 500 and 1000 m. This water mass contains the Antarctic Intermediate Water, with its core between about 700-800 m.

We feel this interpretation of the flow field strongly supports the contention that the staircases are related to mixing between the warm, salty subtropical underwater (SUW)

coming into the area from the northeast and the cool, fresh Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) coming up from the southeast. The 18° or 20° isotherms can be taken as indicative of the SUW. The 6-8° isotherms indicate the AAIW, with the 6° isotherm lying within 100 m or less of the actual low salinity core. If we superimpose the flow fields inferred from Figures 5 (or 4) and 12 upon Figure 2, the locations of the thermal steps, we see that the steps in spring 1985 occurred in the region where water parcels following the presumed streamlines of the SUW and AAIW first encountered one another. Much of the field lies below 14°N which, at the depth of the water mass associated with the AAIW, seems to be the boundary between water derived from the north and water derived from the south.

Because the two partial surveys took place 5 weeks apart, a question exists as to how synoptic the combined observations are. In one sense the question is a moot one: the survey had to be completed in two parts and the combined observations are the best we have. However, examining the 24 locations at which drops were made in both March and May (see Fig. 1) gives a qualitative sense of how important the delay was. Minimum variation between paired profiles is seen in those east of 54°W; i. e., in those lying in the region of the current loop. Somewhat greater variability occurs west of 54°. Two extreme examples are drops 154 and 241 and drops 85, 88, and 248. Nevertheless, two nearby locations show minimal change over the 5 or so weeks: compare drops 155 and 243 and drops 125 and 249. Examination of the BOMEX time series in Delnore and McHugh (1972) shows that the thermal step region commonly exhibits variability over time scales of only a few hours to a few days that compares in magnitude to the variability observed at our 24 paired positions. Thus, we think it is quite legitimate to treat our two partial surveys as one reasonably synoptic single survey.

6. References

Bane, J. M., Jr., and M. H. Sessions (1984). A Field Performance Test of the Sippican Deep Aircraft Deployed Expendable Bathythermograph. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 89, pp. 3615-3621.

Boyd, J. D. and H. Perkins (1986). Characteristics of Thermohaline Steps off the Northeast Coast of South America. July 1983. Submitted to *Deep-Sea Research*.

Bruce, J. G. (1984). Comparison of Eddies off the North Brazilian and Somali Coasts. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, v. 14, pp. 825-832.

Bruce, J. G. and J. L. Kerling (1984). Near Equatorial Eddies in the North Atlantic. *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 11, pp. 779-782.

Bruce, J. G., J. L. Kerling, and W. H. Beatty III (1984). Temperature Steps off Northern Brazil. *Tropical Ocean-Atmosphere Newsletter*, January, pp. 10-11.

Defant, A. (1981). *Stratification and Circulation of the Atlantic Ocean: The Troposphere*. Amerind Publishing Company, New Delhi, 113 pp.

Delnore, V. E. and J. McHugh (1972). *BOMEX Period III Upper Ocean Soundings*. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/Center for Experimental Design and Data Analysis, Rockville, Maryland, 352 pp.

Elliott, A. J., M. R. Howe, and R. I. Tait (1974). The Lateral Coherence of a System of Thermohaline Layers

in the Deep Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research*, v. 21, pp. 95-107.

Johannessen, O. M. and O. S. Lee (1974). A Deep Stepped Thermohaline Structure in the Mediterranean. *Deep-Sea Research*, v. 21, pp. 629-639.

Kawase, M. and J. L. Sarmiento (1985). Nutrients in the Atlantic Thermocline. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 90, pp. 8961-8979.

Mazeika, P. A. (1974). Subsurface Mixed Layers in the Northwest Tropical Atlantic. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, v. 4, pp. 446-453.

Perkins, H. T. and K. Saunders (1982). Physical Oceanographic Observations in the Northwest Tropical Atlantic. *Tropical Ocean-Atmosphere Newsletter*, September, pp. 7-9.

Pickard, G. L. and W. J. Emery (1982). *Descriptive Physical Oceanography*. Pergamon, New York, 249 pp.

Richardson, P. L. and T. K. McKee (1984). Average Seasonal Variation of the Atlantic Equatorial Currents from Historical Ship Drifts. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, v. 14, pp. 1226-1238.

Wüst, G. (1964). *Stratification and Circulation in the Antillean Caribbean Basin*. Columbia University Press, New York, 130 pp.

Wüst, G. (1978). *The Stratosphere of the Atlantic Ocean*. Amerind Publishing Company, New Delhi, 112 pp.



Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Table 1. AXBT drop positions, March and May 1985

AXBT	Station	Date (Julian Day)	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Flight	Comments*
5	93	81.534	15.007	-60.527	1	
8	76	81.543	16.000	-60.528	1	
11	59	81.553	17.002	-60.527	1	
13	42	81.565	18.313	-60.420	1	
14	19	81.618	18.513	-55.000	1	
15	8	81.629	19.178	-54.000	1	
16	9	81.639	18.858	-53.000	1	
17	10	81.648	18.517	-52.000	1	
18	11	81.657	18.178	-51.000	1	
19	12	81.667	17.833	-50.000	1	
20	24	81.676	16.821	-49.985	1	
21	23	81.686	17.107	-51.032	1	
22	22	81.694	17.510	-52.000	1	
24	20	81.712	18.178	-54.000	1	
25	7	81.726	19.500	-55.000	1	
26	18	81.736	18.817	-56.000	1	
29	17	81.758	19.115	-56.997	1	
30	5	81.766	20.120	-57.032	1	
31	16	81.776	19.415	-58.000	1	
32	4	81.786	20.430	-58.012	1	
33	15	81.796	19.733	-59.013	1	
34	3	81.806	20.802	-59.055	1	
35	2	81.815	20.992	-60.000	1	S
36	1	81.819	20.995	-60.500	1	
38	14	81.832	20.012	-59.998	1	
39	25	81.840	18.990	-60.525	1	S
41	26	81.852	19.000	-60.015	1	
42	43	81.861	18.002	-60.022	1	
43	60	81.870	16.985	-59.983	1	
44	77	81.878	15.985	-59.970	1	
45	94	81.887	14.998	-60.005	1	
47	112	84.495	13.698	-59.015	2	S
48	95	84.503	14.700	-59.008	2	
49	78	84.512	15.702	-59.015	2	
50	61	84.521	16.705	-59.015	2	
51	44	84.530	17.712	-59.003	2	
52	27	84.539	18.710	-58.997	2	
53	28	84.548	18.420	-58.000	2	
54	29	84.557	18.108	-57.002	2	
55	30	84.566	17.805	-56.000	2	
56	31	84.575	17.500	-54.990	2	
58	33	84.592	16.822	-53.002	2	
59	34	84.601	16.478	-52.000	2	
60	35	84.610	16.150	-51.000	2	
61	36	84.619	15.820	-50.000	2	
62	37	84.628	15.478	-49.002	2	
63	38	84.637	15.108	-48.000	2	
65	40	84.654	14.385	-46.000	2	
67	58	84.671	13.000	-45.022	2	Very noisy
68	57	84.680	13.362	-46.000	2	F
69	56	84.689	13.745	-47.002	2	S
70	55	84.697	14.085	-48.010	2	
71	54	84.706	14.455	-49.002	2	S
72	53	84.714	14.792	-50.000	2	
73	52	84.723	15.147	-51.000	2	S
74	51	84.731	15.508	-52.008	2	

*S = Shallow AXBT; F = False Start; Depth Incorrect

PREVIOUS PAGE
IS BLANK

Table 1. (Cont'd).

AXBT	Station	Date (Julian Day)	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Flight	Comments*
75	50	84 740	15 823	-53 002	2	S
77	48	84 756	16 497	-55 030	2	S
78	47	84 765	16 803	-56 000	2	
79	46	84 773	17 118	-57 000	2	
81	45	84 789	17 408	-58 005	2	
82	62	84 799	16 403	-58 002	2	
83	79	84 807	15 402	-57.988	2	
85	112	84 818	14 395	-57.985	2	
86	112	84 827	13 708	-59 000	2	
87	112	86 496	13 685	-59 003	3	S
88	96	86 507	14 410	-57.993	3	
89	80	86 518	15 100	-56.998	3	
90	63	86 526	16 100	-57 003	3	
91	64	86 534	15 828	-56 000	3	
92	65	86 543	15 503	-55.000	3	
93	66	86 551	15 155	-54.000	3	
94	67	86 560	14 807	-53.000	3	
95	68	86 568	14 495	-51.997	3	
96	69	86 577	14 167	-51.000	3	
97	70	86 585	13 731	-50 000	3	F
98	71	86 593	13 438	-48 996	3	
99	72	86 602	13 093	-47.983	3	
100	73	86 610	12 745	-47.002	3	
101	74	86 619	12.367	-46.000	3	
102	75	86 628	11 983	-44.987	3	
103	92	86 636	11 000	-44.997	3	
104	109	86 644	10 000	-45 005	3	
105	126	86 652	9 001	-45.013	3	
106	125	86 662	9.378	-46.010	3	
107	124	86.671	9 730	-46.996	3	S
108	123	86 680	10.095	-47.998	3	
109	106	86 688	11 080	-48.023	3	
110	107	86 697	10.756	-47.001	3	
112	108	86 708	10.342	-46.000	3	
113	91	86 716	11 365	-45.990	3	
114	90	86 725	11.720	-47.002	3	S
115	89	86 734	12.100	-48.002	3	
116	88	86 743	12.455	-49.000	3	
117	71	86 751	13.438	-59.997	3	S
118	87	86 763	12.775	-49.993	3	
119	86	86 772	13.137	-51.000	3	
120	85	86 781	13.488	-52.000	3	
121	84	86 789	13.802	-52.998	3	
123	82	86 807	14.460	-55.003	3	
124	81	86 815	14.765	-56.007	3	
125	97	86 825	14.082	-56.998	3	
126	113	88 556	13.397	-58.002	4	
127	98	88 573	13.785	-56.000	4	
128	99	88 582	13.435	-54.967	4	F
129	100	88 591	13 102	-53.970	4	
130	101	88 598	12.795	-52.997	4	
131	102	88 607	12.475	-52.000	4	
132	103	88 615	12.132	-51.002	4	
134	104	88 624	11.790	-50.002	4	
135	105	88 633	11.446	-49.000	4	

*S = Shallow AXBT, F = False Start: Depth Incorrect

Table 1. (Cont'd).

AXBT	Station	Date (Julian Day)	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Flight	Comments *
136	122	88.642	10.425	-48.990	4	
137	139	88.650	9.443	-49.000	4	
138	140	88.660	9.071	-48.001	4	
140	142	88.678	8.366	-46.000	4	
141	143	88.687	8.010	-45.001	4	
144	159	88.706	7.313	-46.000	4	
145	158	88.715	7.713	-47.000	4	
146	157	88.724	8.072	-48.000	4	
147	156	88.733	8.415	-49.015	4	
148	155	88.742	8.765	-50.000	4	F
149	138	88.751	9.761	-50.014	4	
150	121	88.759	10.770	-50.000	4	
151	120	88.768	11.115	-51.015	4	
152	119	88.776	11.463	-52.000	4	
153	118	88.785	11.785	-53.000	4	
154	117	88.795	12.122	-54.000	4	
155	116	88.804	12.458	-55.005	4	
156	991	88.816	11.333	-55.005	4	
157	992	88.823	11.330	-55.005	4	
158	993	88.832	11.333	-55.005	4	F
159	115	88.849	12.762	-55.995	4	
160	114	88.858	13.088	-57.000	4	
161	113	88.867	13.387	-57.998	4	
163	147	128.524	11.380	-58.000	5	
164	148	128.532	11.082	-56.998	5	
165	165	128.541	10.067	-57.018	5	
166	166	128.550	9.753	-56.007	5	
167	167	128.559	9.408	-55.000	5	
168	168	128.567	9.067	-53.998	5	
169	169	128.576	8.750	-53.007	5	
170	170	128.585	8.435	-52.000	5	
171	187	128.594	7.430	-52.015	5	
172	201	128.600	6.747	-52.028	5	
173	202	128.609	6.355	-51.025	5	
174	203	128.618	6.075	-50.000	5	
175	204	128.628	5.733	-49.000	5	
176	205	128.637	5.372	-48.000	5	
177	206	128.647	5.030	-47.000	5	S
178	207	128.656	4.697	-46.000	5	S
179	193	128.662	5.352	-46.012	5	S
180	194	128.672	5.008	-45.000	5	S
181	208	128.678	4.337	-44.990	5	S
182	222	128.683	3.667	-45.005	5	
183	221	128.692	3.912	-46.002	5	S
184	220	128.700	4.320	-47.002	5	S
186	219	128.711	4.740	-48.000	5	
187	218	128.717	5.092	-49.010	5	S
188	217	128.725	5.385	-49.998	5	
190	215	128.741	6.053	-52.000	5	S; Very little data
191	214	128.749	6.390	-53.000	5	S; Very little data
192	200	128.755	7.117	-53.000	5	S
193	186	128.760	7.733	-53.032	5	
194	182	128.793	9.058	-57.003	5	
195	196	128.802	8.408	-57.010	5	
200	195	128.824	8.738	-58.025	5	
202	164	128.837	10.372	-57.977	5	

*S = Shallow AXBT; F = False Start; Depth Incorrect

Table 1. (Cont'd).

AXBT	Station	Date (Julian Day)	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Flight	Comments*
204	146	128.854	11.690	-58.943	5	
205	129	130.506	12.695	-59.000	6	F
206	130	130.514	12.370	-58.000	6	
208	132	130.532	11.767	-55.998	6	
209	149	130.540	10.760	-56.000	6	
210	150	130.549	10.430	-55.000	6	
211	151	130.558	10.053	-53.855	6	
212	152	130.565	9.772	-53.002	6	
213	153	130.574	9.435	-52.002	6	
214	154	130.582	9.115	-51.000	6	
215	171	130.591	8.083	-50.988	6	
216	188	130.600	7.075	-50.997	6	
217	189	130.608	6.733	-49.000	6	
218	190	130.617	6.380	-49.000	6	
219	191	130.625	6.047	-48.000	6	
220	192	130.634	5.698	-47.000	6	S
221	176	130.645	6.347	-46.000	6	S
222	177	130.654	6.000	-45.000	6	S
223	160	130.663	7.000	-45.003	6	
224	143	130.672	8.000	-44.987	6	S
225	159	130.683	7.365	-46.000	6	S
226	175	130.693	6.708	-47.000	6	S
227	174	130.702	6.993	-48.005	6	
228	173	130.711	7.402	-48.997	6	
229	172	130.721	7.780	-48.997	6	
230	155	130.730	8.750	-50.000	6	
231	138	130.739	9.750	-49.990	6	
232	121	130.748	10.767	-49.983	6	
233	137	130.759	10.093	-50.997	6	
234	120	130.769	11.100	-51.000	6	
235	136	130.780	10.437	-51.978	6	
236	119	130.790	11.450	-51.997	6	
237	135	130.801	10.708	-52.860	6	
238	118	130.811	11.817	-52.993	6	
241	117	130.831	12.103	-54.057	6	
242	133	130.842	11.478	-55.003	6	
243	116	130.851	12.437	-55.027	6	
244	115	130.860	12.755	-55.977	6	
245	114	130.869	13.073	-57.003	6	
246	113	130.878	13.390	-58.002	6	
247	112	133.545	13.543	-59.005	7	
248	96	133.557	14.423	-58.000	7	S
249	97	133.565	14.122	-56.983	7	
251	98	133.574	13.770	-56.005	7	S
252	99	133.582	13.458	-55.005	7	
253	100	133.591	13.140	-54.000	7	S
254	101	133.599	12.785	-53.000	7	
255	102	133.608	12.457	-52.000	7	S
256	84	133.622	13.812	-52.998	7	
257	83	133.631	14.130	-54.007	7	S
258	82	133.639	14.440	-54.967	7	
259	81	133.649	14.845	-56.000	7	S

*S = Shallow AXBT; F = False Start; Depth Incorrect




Figure 1. AXBT drop positions, March and May 1985. AXBTs were dropped during both months at the positions circled.




Figure 2. Location of large scale thermobaline steps, spring 1985. Large diamonds indicate strong steps; small diamonds weaker but identifiable steps.




Figure 3. Depth in meters of the 22°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 4. Depth in meters of the 20°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 5. Depth in meters of the 18°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 6. Depth in meters of the 16°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 7. Depth in meters of the 14°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 8. Depth in meters of the 12°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 9. Depth in meters of the 10°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 10. Depth in meters of the 8°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.




Figure 11. Depth in meters of the 7°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.





Figure 12 Depth in meters of the 6°C isotherm. Arrows indicate flow direction assuming the contours approximate streamlines.

Figures 13-231. Temperature versus depth and temperature gradient versus depth profiles, AXBT drops 5-259.

Station Positions Flight 1


22 March 1985



PREVIOUS PAGE
IS BLANK


BT 5 STA 93

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




PREVIOUS PAGE IS BLANK

BT 8 STA 76




BT 11 STA 59

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 13 STA 42

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 14 STA 19


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 15


STA 8

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 16 STA 9

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 17 STA 10

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 18 STA 11



BT 19

STA 12

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 20

STA 24

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5


TEMP DEGC

0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0




BT 21 STA 23

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 22 STA 22

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 25 STA 7

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 26 STA 18

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 29 STA 17




BT 30 STA 5

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 31 STA 16

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 32 STA 4

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 33 STA 15

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 34 STA 3

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 35 STA 2


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 36 STA 1


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

TEMP DEGC




BT 38 STA 14

DIDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5


BT 39 STA 25




BT 41 STA 26




BT 42 STA 43



BT 43 STA 60




BT 44 STA 77




BT 45 STA 94

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




Station Positions Flight 2 25 March 1985



PREVIOUS PAGE
IS BLANK


BT 47 STA 112

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 48 STA 95

DTDZ DEG/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 49 STA 78




BT 50 STA 61


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 51 STA 44



BT 52 STA 27




BT 53 STA 28




BT 54 STA 29

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 55 STA 30

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 56

STA 31




BT 58 STA 33




BT 59 STA 34

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 60


STA 35




BT 61 STA 36



BT 62 STA 37




BT 63 STA 38




BT 65

STA 40




BT 67 STA 58

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 68 STA 57

DTDZ DEG/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

BT 69 STA 56




BT 70

STA 55




BT 71 STA 54

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 72 STA 53

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 73

STA 52




BT 74 STA 51

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

BT 75 STA 50




BT 77 STA 48

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 78 STA 47

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 79

STA 46




BT 81

STA 45




BT 82 STA 62

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 83 STA 79

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 85 STA 96

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

BT 86 STA 112




Station Positions Flight 3 27 March 1985



BT 87


STA 112


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



PREVIOUS PAGE IS BLANK


BT 88 STA 96






MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

BT 89 STA 80




BT 90 STA 63

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 91 STA 64



BT 92

STA 65


DTDZ DEG/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 93

STA 66

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 94

STA 67


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

TEMP DEGC




BT 95 STA 68

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 96 STA 69


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 97 STA 70




BT 98 STA 71




BT 99 STA 72


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 100 STA 73




BT 101 STA 74




BT 102

STA 75




BT 103 STA 92


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 104 STA 109




BT 105 STA 126




BT 106 STA 125

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 107 STA 124

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 108 STA 123

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 109 STA 106

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 110 STA 107

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 112 STA 108

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 113 STA 91

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 114 STA 90




BT 115 STA 89

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 116 STA 88

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 117 STA 71


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 118 STA 87



BT 119 STA 86




BT 120 STA 85




BT 121 STA 84

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 123 STA 82

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 124 STA 81

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 125 STA 97

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




Station Positions Flight 4 29 March 1985



PREVIOUS PAGE
IS BLANK


BT 126 STA 113

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 127 STA 98

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 128 STA 99




BT 129 STA 100

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 130 STA 101


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 131 STA 102




BT 132 STA 103




BT 134 STA 104

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 135 STA 105

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 136 STA 122




BT 137 STA 139

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 138 STA 140

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 140 STA 142




BT 141 STA 143


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 144 STA 159




BT 145 STA 158




BT 146 STA 157

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 147 STA 156


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 148 STA 155



BT 149 STA 138




BT 150 STA 121




BT 151 STA 120


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 152 STA 119




BT 153 STA 118




BT 154 STA 117

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5





BT 155 STA 116

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 156

STA 991




BT 157 STA 992



BT 158 STA 993


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 159


STA 115

DTDZ DEG/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 160 STA 114

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 161 STA 113

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




Station Positions Flight 5 8 May 1985




BT 163 STA 147

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 164 STA 148


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 165 STA 165




BT 166 STA 166




BT 167

STA 167




BT 168

STA 168




BT 169 STA 169

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 170 STA 170




BT 171 STA 187

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5


TEMP DEGC

0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0



BT 172 STA 201


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 173


STA 202

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 174

STA 203




BT 175 STA 204

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 176 STA 205





BT 177

STA 206




BT 178

STA 207




BT 179

STA 193




BT 180

STA 194




BT 181 STA 208

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 182 STA 222




BT 183 STA 221


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 184 STA 220



BT 186 STA 219




BT 187 STA 218




BT 188 STA 217

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 190 STA 215


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 191 STA 214

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5







MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

BT 192 STA 200

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 193 STA 186




BT 194 STA 182


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 195 STA 196




BT 200 STA 195



BT 202


STA 164

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 204 STA 146

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




Station Positions Flight 6 10 May 1985



PREVIOUS PAGE
IS BLANK


BT 205 STA 129

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 206

STA 130




BT 208

STA 132




BT 209

STA 149




BT 210 STA 150




BT 211 STA 151

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 212 STA 152




BT 213 STA 153

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 214 STA 154


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 215


STA 171

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 216

STA 188




BT 217

STA 189


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

TEMP DEGC

0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0



BT 218 STA 190




BT 219

STA 191


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5

TEMP DEGC



BT 220 STA 192


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 221


STA 176

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 222

STA 177




BT 223 STA 160




BT 224 STA 143

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 225 STA 159

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 226 STA 175

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 227 STA 174




BT 228

STA 173




BT 229 STA 172

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 230 STA 155




BT 231

STA 138




BT 232 STA 121



BT 233


STA 137

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 234 STA 120

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 235 STA 136

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 236 STA 119

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 237 STA 135


DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 238 STA 118



BT 241 STA 117




BT 242 STA 133




BT 243

STA 116




BT 244 STA 115

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 245

STA 114




BT 246

STA 113



Station Positions Flight 7


13 May 1985





PREVIOUS PAGE
IS BLANK

BT 247 STA 112

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 248 STA 96




BT 249 STA 97

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 251 STA 98

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 252 STA 99

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 253 STA 100

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 254 STA 101




BT 255 STA 102

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5




BT 256 STA 84



BT 257


STA 83



BT 258


STA 82

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



BT 259 STA 81

DTDZ DEGC/M
-0.5 0.0 0.5



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AD-A164606

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NORDA Report 112		5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NORDA Report 112		
6 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity		7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity		
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Ocean Science Directorate NSTL, Mississippi 39529-5004		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Ocean Science Directorate NSTL, Mississippi 39529-5004		
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity	8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Ocean Science Directorate NSTL, Mississippi 39529-5004		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.
		61153N		TASK NO.
				WORK UNIT NO.
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) AXBT Measurements off the Northeast Coast of South America, Spring 1985				
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Janice D. Boyd and Henry T. Perkins				
13a TYPE OF REPORT Final	13b TIME COVERED From _____ To _____	14 DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) January 1986	15 PAGE COUNT 264	
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION				
17 COSATI CODES		18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.	subtropical underwater, Antarctic Intermediate Water, thermohalines, thermal field, mesoscale flow	
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)				
<p>In March and May, 1985, 219 air-deployed expendable bathythermograph (AXBT) profiles were taken off the northeast coast of South America in a region of large scale thermohaline steps. Presented in this report are a map of the location of the staircase field during this time, contours of the depths of the 6-22°C isotherms, and individual profile plots. The mesoscale flow patterns are inferred from the isotherm plots, and the location of the staircases in relation to the flow field is discussed.</p>				
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/>		21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Janice D. Boyd		22b TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (601) 688-5251	22c OFFICE SYMBOL Code 331	

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR

EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

END

FILMED

4

DTIC