	ÁD-A16	4 396	A H IN-I JOI	IGH-OR PLANE	DER LI RESPOI	RMINAT NSES(U RPPLIE	ED PLA > CALI D MECH	TE THE FORNIA	EORY N N UNIY	ITH IN San D A TOLS	PROVE	A A A	1/	1
	UNCLAS	SIFIED	DEC	85 NO	0014-0	84-C-Ø	468				F/G 3	174	NL	
			. e											
	END Primed 17						_							
-														
1														
L												_		



j

Ē

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

E

TECHNICAL REPORT

A HIGH-ORDER LAMINATED PLATE THEORY WITH IMPROVED IN-PLANE RESPONSES¹⁾

by

A. Toledano²⁾ and H. Murakami³⁾

Department of Applied Mechanics and Engineering Sciences University of California at San Diego La Jolla, California 92093

DECEMBER 1985

Prepared for

Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street

Arlington, Virginia 22217



This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

 $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{G}}^{(i)}$

¹⁾ Research was supported by the Office of Naval Research under grant N00014-84-K-0468 to the University of California at San Diego.

2) Research Assistant.

FILE COPY

3) Assistant Professor of Applied Mechanics.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
BEPORT NUMBER	DA PECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
· ADFAIL43	196
. TITLE (and Sublillo)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
	Technical Report
A High-Order Laminated Plate Theory with	July 1984 to December 1985
Improved In-Plane Responses 17	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
- AUTACR(+)	
A. Toledano and H. Murakami	N00014-84-0468
	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK
Dept of Applied Mechanics & Engineering Sciences	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
University of California. San Diego	
La Jolla, California 92093	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE HAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Mechanics Division, Solid Mechanics Program	December 1985
Office of Naval Research	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217	41
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	I IS. SECURITY CLASS. (OF this ispan)
	154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. REY NORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block numb	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstreet entered in Black 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by black numb	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORCE (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat	te theory
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY NOR2S (Continue on reverse olde II necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat	ited. from Report; er; te theory
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY NORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstroct entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORDS (Continue on reverse aldo if necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 20. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse aldo if necessary and identify by block numb	<pre>ited. from Report; rev; te theory </pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abolises entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORDS (Continue on reverse elde li necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 26. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde li necessary and identify by block numb In order to improve the accuracy of the in-plane :	<pre>ited. te theory responses of the shear deform-</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observes entered in Block 20, it different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORCE (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable play 20. AESTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb In order to improve the accuracy of the in-plane : able laminated composite plate theories, a new high	ited.
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observer entered in Block :0, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 19. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat In order to improve the accuracy of the in-plane : able laminated composite plate theories, a new high based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Princ	<pre>ited. from Report; te theory responses of the shear deform- gh-order theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the shear deform- </pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observer entered in Block 20, if different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY NORCE (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 26. ARSTRACT (Comume on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb In order to improve the accuracy of the in-plane : able laminated composite plate theories, a new high based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Princ shaped C function and Legendre polynomials were in-plane displacement distributions across the plate	<pre>ited. from Report; ev; te theory presponses of the shear deform- gh-order, theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of </pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY NORCE (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable play 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable play 21. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable play 22. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block number able laminated composite plate theories, a new high based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Prince shaped C ^{on} function and Legendre polynomials were in-plane displacement distributions across the play the present theory was examined by applying it to	<pre>ited. from Report; ev; te theory ev; responses of the shear deform- gh-order theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of the cylindrical bending problem</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observer entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY RORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 26. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 28. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plat 28. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block numb Laminated Composite plate, and the in-plane is able laminated composite plate theories, a new his based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Princ shaped C function and Legendre polynomials were in-plane displacement distributions across the plate the present theory was examined by applying it to of laminated plates which had been solved exactly	<pre>ited. **** Report *** te theory *** responses of the shear deform- gh-order theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of the cylindrical bending problem by Pagano(1970). A comparison</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observer entered in Block 20, 11 different 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY NORCE (Centinue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable play 26. ARSTRACT (Centinue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number In order to improve the accuracy of the in-plane able laminated composite plate theories, a new high based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Princessaped C ^{on} function and Legendre polynomials were in-plane displacement distributions across the plate the present theory was examined by applying it to of laminated plates which had been solved exactly with the exact solutions obtained for several sym-	<pre>ited. **** Report te theory *** responses of the shear deform- gh-order, theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of the cylindrical bending problem by Pagano(1970). A comparison metric and asymmetric cross-ply</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, If different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Place DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the estimated entered in Place DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the estimate entered in Place DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the estimate entered entered entered entered estimated for several symplet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exact solutions obtained for several symplet DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the present theory Bocurately estiminates that the present theory Bocurately estimated estimated entered estimated entered estimated for several sympleted estimates that the present theory Bocurately estimates theory Bocurately estimates theory Bocurately estimates theory Bocurate estimates theory Bocurates theory Bocurates estimates theory Bocurates estimates theory Bocurates estim	<pre>ited. **** *** *** te theory *** responses of the shear deform- gh-order, theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of the cylindrical bending problem by Pagano(1970). A comparison metric and asymmetric cross-ply mates ip-plane responses, even for </pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the estimate entered in Block 20, 11 different DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the estimate estinatestimates estimates estimates estimates estimates estimat	<pre>ited. **** *** *** *** te theory *** responses of the shear deform- gh-order theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of the cylindrical bending problem by Pagano(1970). A comparison metric and asymmetric cross-ply mates in-plane responses, even for ************************************</pre>
Approved for public release; distribution unlim T. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebourses entered in Block 20, if different S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES B. KEY NORCE (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plan EC. ARSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Laminated Composite plate, shear deformable plan able laminated composite plate theories, a new high based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Prince shaped C ⁰ function and Legendre polynomials were in-plane displacement distributions across the plate the present theory was examined by applying it to of laminated plates which had been solved exactly with the exact solutions obtained for several symptom taminates that the present theory accurately estimpt PD 1, an 73 1473 EDTION OF 1 NOV 5115 OBSOLTE SMALL SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SECURITY OF SE	<pre>ited. **** Report te theory ** responses of the shear deform- gh-order theory was developed ipal. To this end, a zig-zag introduced into the approximate ate thickness. The accuracy of the cylindrical bending problem by Pagano(1970). A comparison metric and asymmetric cross-ply mates in-plane responses, even for h-to-thickness responses, even for ************************************</pre>

Ę.

ABSTRACT

In order to improve the accuracy of the in-plane responses of the shear deformable laminated composite plate theories, a new high-order laminated plate theory was developed based upon Reissner's new mixed Variational Principle [9]. To this end, a zig-zag shaped C^{\emptyset} function and Legendre polynomials were introduced into the approximate in-plane displacement distributions across the plate thickness. The accuracy of the present theory was examined by applying it to the cylindrical bending problem of laminated plates which had been solved exactly by Pagano [1]. A comparison with the exact solutions obtained for several symmetric and asymmetric cross-ply laminates indicates that the present theory accurately estimates in-plane responses, even for small span-to-thickness ratios.

marie porting

Commenter familier for the state of the Aformation.

Accesion For NTIS CRA&I DTIC TAB Unannounced Justification By Distribution / Availability Codes Avail and for Dist Special

The increasing use of composite materials as thick laminates, in aerospace engineering and in automotive engineering, has clearly demonstrated the need for the development of new theories to efficiently and accurately predict the behavior of such structural components. The intrinsic heterogeneity and anisotropy of these composite structures as evidenced in the stacking of several fibrous layers and in the high discontinuity in material properties across the interfaces, make the classical theories of plates and shells inadequate.

The inspiration and guidelines for the subsequent attempts have stemmed from Pagano's works [1,2,3] where the exact elasticity solutions for the problems of cylindrical bending and simply supported rectangular plates were given. Pagano showed the importance of incorporating the effect of transverse shear deformations in order to accurately estimate the plate lateral deflection and the need to improve upon the thickness variation of the in-plane displacements, which are assumed to be C^1 linear functions in both classical plate theory (CPT) and Reissner-Mindlin plate theory (FSD).

The first attempt to develop a general linear laminated plate theory is credited to Yang, Norris and Stavsky [4]. Their theory is an extension of the Reissner-Mindlin homogeneous plate theory as applied to an arbitrary number of bonded anisotropic layers. Whitney and Pagano [5] extended Yang, Norris and Stavsky's work. An important conclusion drawn from their analysis, which was also emphasized later by Whitney [6], is that the inaccuracies of the classical plate theory at low span-to-thickness ratios for determining in-plane stresses are not alleviated by the introduction of shear deformations. Whitney [6] obtained in-plane displacements by integrating the transverse shear strains deduced in [5]. This resulted in a higher order approximation which accurately predicted in-plane strains, but the resulting modified stresses did not necessarily satisfy the original plate equilibrium equations.

Since then, other high-order laminated plate theories have been proposed that account for transverse shear strains. Of these, the Lo, Christensen and Wu [7] and the Reddy [8] high-order models have served as the foundation for the present theory. In their paper [7], Lo, Christensen and Wu used appropriate higher order terms in the power series expansions of the assumed displacement

field which was proposed by Hildebrand, Reissner and Thomas [8] On the other hand, Reddy [9] imposed the condition of vanishing transverse shear strains on the top and bottom surfaces of the plate. However, this theory does not satisfy the continuity condition of transverse shear stresses at the interfaces.

1

The objective of the present paper is to improve the approximation of in-plane variables in laminated plate theories. In-plane displacements and bending and stretching stresses are considered primary quantities in any approximate laminated plate analysis: transverse stresses are only of secondary importance since they are an order of magnitude smaller than the primary bending and stretching stresses. By using a new mixed variational principle proposed by Reissner [10], the present theory is a high-order model which improves upon existing theories by including in the assumed in-plane displacement variations across the plate thickness: 1) a zig-zag shaped C^0 function as detailed by Murakami [11]; and, 2) Legendre polynomials. The advantage of using Reissner's new mixed variational principle is that it automatically yields the appropriate shear correction factors for the transverse shear constitutive equations. Another attractive feature of the proposed theory is that the number of equations to be solved is not increased as the number of layers becomes larger and larger. A comparison of the proposed theory with Pagano's exact elasticity solution for symmetric and asymmetric laminated plates in cylindrical bending, shows that in-plane displacements and stresses are accurately predicted by the inclusion of the zig-zag shaped function and the Legendre Polynomials.

- 4 -

2. FORMULATION

Consider an N-layer laminated composite plate, shown in Fig. 1, with principal axes coinciding with a Cartesian coordinate system (x_1, x_2, x_3) , such that the x_3 -axis is perpendicular to the plane defined by x_1 and x_2 . The following notation: ()^(k), k = 1, 2, ..., N will designate quantities associated with the kth-layer. The thickness of each layer is $n^{(k)}h$, where h is the total thickness of the plate. The volume fractions $n^{(k)}$ satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} n^{(k)} - 1 \tag{1}$$

Unless otherwise specified, the usual cartesian indicial notation is employed where latin and greek indices range from 1 to 3 and 1 to 2, respectively. Repeated indices imply the summation convention and (), is used to denote partial differentiation with respect to x_i .

With the help of the foregoing notation, the governing equations for the displacement vector $u_i^{(k)}$ and stress tensor $\sigma_{ii}^{(k)}$ associated with the k^{th} -layer are:

a) Equilibrium Equations

$$\sigma_{ji}^{(k)} + f_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad ; \quad \sigma_{ij}^{(k)} = \sigma_{ji}^{(k)}$$
(2)

where f_i are the body forces;

b) Constitutive Equations For Orthotropic Layers

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_{11} & \tilde{C}_{12} & 0 \\ \tilde{C}_{12} & \tilde{C}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{C}_{66} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} \\ e_{22} \\ 2e_{12} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} + \begin{bmatrix} C_{13}/C_{33} \\ C_{23}/C_{33} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \sigma_{33}^{(k)}$$
(3a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{33} \\ 2e_{23} \\ 2e_{31} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} = -\begin{bmatrix} C_{1y}/C_{33} & C_{2y}/C_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} \\ e_{22} \\ 2e_{12} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} + \begin{bmatrix} 1/C_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/C_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/C_{55} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{33} \\ \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)}$$
(3b)

where C_{ij} are the elastic constants and \tilde{C}_{ij} (*i*, *j* = 1,2,6) represent the reduced stiffnesses introduced by Whitney and Pagano [5];

c) Strain-Displacement Relations

$$e_{ij}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{i,j}^{(k)} + u_{j,i}^{(k)} \right) ; \qquad (4)$$

d) Interface Continuity Conditions

$$u_i^{(k)} = u_i^{(k+1)}$$
, $\sigma_{3i}^{(k)} = \sigma_{3i}^{(k+1)}$; $k = 1, 2, .., N-1$; (5)

e) Upper and Lower Surface Stress Conditions

$$\sigma_{3i}^{(1)} = T_i^+ \text{ on } x_3 = \frac{h}{2}$$
 (6a)

$$\sigma_{3i}^{(N)} = T_i^- \text{ on } x_3 = -\frac{h}{2}$$
 (6b)

The objective in developing a new laminated plate theory is twofold: first, to improve the assumed variation of in-plane displacements through the thickness of the plate and second, to include the effect of transverse shear deformation. In order to carry out this task, Reissner's new mixed variational principle [10] was applied to the N-layer composite plate whose middle surface occupies a domain D in the x_1, x_2 -plane:

where ∂D_T denotes the boundary of D with outward normal ν_{α} on which tractions T_i are specified and $A^{(k)}$ represents the x_3 -domain occupied by the k^{th} -layer. Also $e_{3i}(...)$ implies the appropriate righthand side of (3b). Due to the nature of Reissner's mixed variational principle, Eqs. (3a) are taken to be the definitions of $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)}$ used in connection with (7).

3. TRIAL DISPLACEMENT FIELD, TRANSVERSE AND NORMAL STRESSES

The high-order laminated plate theory which takes into account the effect of transverse shear strains, is obtained by including the Legendre polynomials of order n = 1,2,3 with respect to the x_3 coordinate to a zig-zag in-plane displacement variation of amplitude $S_i(x_1,x_2)$ across the plate thickness.

The appropriate trial functions used in connection with Reissner's mixed variational principle Eq. (7) are taken to be:

a) Trial Displacement Field

$$u_{i}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3}) = U_{i}(x_{1},x_{2}) + (\frac{h}{2})\Psi_{i}(x_{1},x_{2})P_{1}(\zeta) + S_{i}(x_{1},x_{2})(-1)^{k} \frac{2}{n^{(k)}h} x_{3}^{(k)} + (\frac{h}{2})^{2}\xi_{i}(x_{1},x_{2})P_{2}(\zeta) + (\frac{h}{2})^{3}\phi_{i}(x_{1},x_{2})P_{3}(\zeta)$$
(8)

where $\zeta \equiv \frac{2x_3}{h}$ and $P_n(\zeta)$ are the Legendre Polynomials of order n. It is also understood that $\phi_3 \equiv 0$. $x_3^{(k)}$ is a local x_3 -coordinate system with its origin at the center $x_{30}^{(k)}$ of the k^{th} -layer, i.e.

$$x_{3}^{(k)} \equiv x_{3} - x_{30}^{(k)} \quad . \tag{9}$$

Eq. (8) may be regarded as a superposition of a zig-zag function and the cubic variation as proposed by Lo, Christensen and Wu [7], with the exception that here Legendre polynomials are used instead of single powers in x_3 ;

b) Trial Transverse and Normal Stresses

$$\tau_{3a}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3}) = Q_{a}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{1}(z) + R_{a}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{2}(z) + J_{a}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{3}(z)$$

$$+ [T_{a}^{(k-1)}(x_{1},x_{2}) + T_{a}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})]F_{4}(z) + [T_{a}^{(k-1)}(x_{1},x_{2}) - T_{a}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})]F_{5}(z) ;$$

$$\tau_{33}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3}) = Q_{3}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{1}(z) + R_{3}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{6}(z) + J_{3}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{3}(z) + I_{3}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})F_{7}(z)$$

$$+ [T_{3}^{(k-1)}(x_{1},x_{2}) + T_{3}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})]F_{4}(z) + [T_{3}^{(k-1)}(x_{1},x_{2}) - T_{3}^{(k)}(x_{1},x_{2})]F_{8}(z)$$
(10a)
(10b)
(10a)
(10b)

where

$$F_1(z) = \frac{5}{n^{(k)}h} \left[21z^4 - \frac{15}{2}z^2 + \frac{9}{16} \right] , \quad F_2(z) = \frac{-30}{(n^{(k)}h)^2} (4z^3 - z)$$

$$F_{3}(z) = \frac{-105}{(n^{(k)}h)^{3}} (20z^{4} - 6z^{2} + \frac{1}{4}) , F_{4}(z) = 35z^{4} - \frac{15}{2}z^{2} + \frac{3}{16}$$

$$F_{5}(z) = 10z^{3} - \frac{3}{2}z , F_{6}(z) = \frac{105}{(n^{(k)}h)^{2}} (36z^{5} - 14z^{3} + \frac{5}{4}z)$$

$$F_{7}(z) = \frac{-315}{(n^{(k)}h)^{4}} (112z^{5} - 40z^{3} + 3z) , F_{8}(z) = 126z^{5} - 35z^{3} + \frac{15}{8}z$$
and
$$z \equiv \frac{x_{3}^{(k)}}{n^{(k)}h} , -\frac{1}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{1}{2}$$
Also,
$$(Q_{i}^{(k)}, R_{i}^{(k)}, J_{i}^{(k)}) \equiv \int_{A^{(k)}} (1, x_{3}^{(k)}, x_{3}^{(k)2}) \tau_{3i}^{(k)} dx_{3}$$
(12a)

$$I_{3}^{(k)} \equiv \int_{A^{(k)}} x_{3}^{(k)^{3}} \tau_{33}^{(k)} dx_{3}$$
(12b)

In (10) $T_i^{(k-1)}$ and $T_i^{(k)}$ are the values of τ_{3i} at the top and bottom surfaces of the k^{th} layer respectively. From (6)

$$T_i^{(0)} = T_i^+$$
 and $T_i^{(N)} = T_i^-$. (13)

The degree of the polynomials $F_i(z)$, i=1-8, appearing in (10a,b) is consistent with the order of truncation in the assumed expansions (8) for the displacement $u_i^{(k)}$.

4. LAMINATED PLATE EQUATIONS

Substituting (8) and (10) into (7), using Gauss' Theorem and the orthogonality property of the Legendre polynomials one obtains:

a) Equilibrium Equations:

$$N_{ai,a} + T_i^+ - T_i^- + F_i^N = 0 \tag{14a}$$

$$M_{\alpha i \,\alpha} - N_{3i} + \frac{h}{2} \left(T_i^+ + T_i^- \right) + F_i^M = 0 \tag{14b}$$

$$Z_{ai,a} - K_{3i} - (T_i^+ - (-1)^N T_i^-) + F_i^Z = 0$$
(14c)

$$L_{\alpha i,\alpha} - 3M_{3i} + \frac{h^2}{4} (T_i^+ - T_i^-) + F_i^L = 0$$
 (14d)

$$P_{\beta\alpha\beta} - (5L_{3\alpha} + \frac{h^2}{4}N_{3\alpha}) + \frac{h^3}{8}(T_{\alpha}^+ + T_{\alpha}^-) + F_{\alpha}^P = 0$$
(14e)

where

.

)

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{\alpha\beta}, M_{\alpha\beta}, Z_{\alpha\beta}, L_{\alpha\beta}, P_{\alpha\beta} \\ F_{i}^{N}, F_{i}^{M}, F_{i}^{Z}, F_{i}^{L}, F_{i}^{P} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{A^{(k)}} \left[1, \frac{h}{2} P_{1}(\zeta), (-1)^{k} \frac{2x_{3}^{(k)}}{n^{(k)}h}, (\frac{h}{2})^{2} P_{2}(\zeta), (\frac{h}{2})^{3} P_{3}(\zeta) \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} \\ f_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} dx_{3} \quad (15a,b)$$

$$(N_{3i}, M_{3i}, K_{3i}, Z_{3i}, L_{3i}) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{A^{(k)}} \left[1, \frac{h}{2} P_{1}(\zeta), (-1)^{k} \frac{2}{n^{(k)}h}, (-1)^{k} \frac{2}{n^{(k)}h} x_{3}^{(k)} \right], (15c)$$

b) Constitutive Equations:

• For Transverse Stresses

$$Q_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{8 J_{\alpha}^{(k)}}{(n^{(k)}h)^2} + \frac{n^{(k)}h}{30} \left(T_{\alpha}^{(k-1)} + T_{\alpha}^{(k)} \right) = \frac{2}{5} h n^{(k)} \tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left[U_{3,\alpha} + \Psi_{\alpha} + S_{\alpha}(-1)^k - \frac{2}{5} \right] \left(\frac{2}{n^{(k)}h} + h n_{o}^{(k)}(\Psi_{3,\alpha} + 3\xi_{\alpha}) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left(3 n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \xi_{3,\alpha} + \frac{3h^2}{2} \left(5 n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \phi_{\alpha} \right]$$
(16a)

$$\frac{1}{h} R_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{n^{(k)2}h}{40} \left(T_{\alpha}^{(k-1)} - T_{\alpha}^{(k)} \right) = \frac{7h^2}{120} n^{(k)3} \tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left[\Psi_{3,\alpha} + 3\xi_{\alpha} + S_{3,\alpha} \left(-1 \right)^k \frac{2}{n^{(k)}h} + 3h n_0^{(k)} \left(\xi_{3,\alpha} + 5\phi_{\alpha} \right) \right]$$
(16b)

$$Q_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{14J_{\alpha}^{(k)}}{(n^{(k)}h)^2} + \frac{n^{(k)}h}{12} \left(T_{\alpha}^{(k-1)} + T_{\alpha}^{(k)}\right) = -\frac{3h^3}{40} n^{(k)3} \tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)} \left(\xi_{3\alpha} + 5\phi_{\alpha}\right)$$
(16c)

$$-\frac{1}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)}} \left[\frac{1}{12} Q_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{5 J_{\alpha}^{(k)}}{3(n^{(k)}h)^2} + \frac{3 R_{\alpha}^{(k)}}{7 n^{(k)}h} \right] - \frac{1}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}} \left[\frac{1}{12} Q_{\alpha}^{(k+1)} - \frac{5 J_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}}{3 (n^{(k+1)}h)^2} - \frac{3 R_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}}{7 n^{(k+1)}h} \right]$$

$$= \frac{h}{126} \left[\frac{-n^{(k)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)}} T_{c}^{(k-1)} + 8 \left[\frac{n^{(k)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)}} + \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}} \right] T_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}} T_{\alpha}^{(k+1)} \right]$$
(16d)

$$= \frac{h}{126} \left[\frac{-n^{(k)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)}} T_{\alpha}^{(k-1)} + 8 \left[\frac{n^{(k)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)}} + \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}} \right] T_{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k+1)}} T_{\alpha}^{(k)}$$

• For Normal Stresses

Salar Carrier Carl

$$Q_{3}^{(k)} - \frac{8J_{3}^{(k)}}{(n^{(k)}h)^{2}} + \frac{n^{(k)}h}{30} \left(T_{3}^{(k-1)} + T_{3}^{(k)}\right) = \frac{2h}{5} n^{(k)} C_{33}^{(k)} \left[\Psi_{3} + S_{3}(-1)^{k} \frac{2}{n^{(k)}h} + 3h n_{o}^{(k)} \xi_{3}^{(k)} + \frac{2h}{5} n^{(k)} \left[\tilde{U} + h n_{o}^{(k)} \tilde{\Psi} + \frac{h^{2}}{2} \left(3 n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \tilde{\xi} + \frac{h^{3}}{2} \left(5 n_{o}^{(k)3} - \frac{3}{4} n_{o}^{(k)}\right] \tilde{\phi} \right]$$
(17a)

$$\frac{1}{h} R_{3}^{(k)} - \frac{32 I_{3}^{(k)}}{5 n^{(k)2} h^{3}} + \frac{n^{(k)2} h}{140} (T_{3}^{(k-1)} - T_{3}^{(k)}) = \frac{11}{350} h^{2} n^{(k)3} C_{33}^{(k)} \xi_{3} + \frac{11}{1050} h^{2} n^{(k)3} \left[\bar{\Psi} + (-1)^{k} \frac{2}{n^{(k)} h} \tilde{S} + 3h n_{o}^{(k)} \tilde{\xi} + \frac{3h^{2}}{2} (5n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}) \tilde{\phi} \right]$$
(17b)

$$Q_{3}^{(k)} - \frac{14 J_{3}^{(k)}}{(n^{(k)}h)^{2}} + \frac{n^{(k)}h}{12} \left(T_{3}^{(k-1)} + T_{3}^{(k)}\right) = -\frac{3h^{3}}{40} n^{(k)3} \left[\bar{\xi} + 5h n_{0}^{(k)}\bar{\phi}\right]$$
(17c)

$$\frac{1}{h}R_{3}^{(k)} - \frac{15I_{3}^{(k)}}{2n^{(k)2}h^{3}} + \frac{n^{(k)2}h}{96}(T_{3}^{(k-1)} - T_{3}^{(k)}) = \frac{-11h^{4}}{2688}n^{(k)5}\bar{\phi}$$
(17d)

1.

(

$$\frac{-11}{12} \left[\frac{Q_{3}^{(k)}}{C_{33}^{(k)}} + \frac{Q_{3}^{(k+1)}}{C_{33}^{(k+1)}} \right] + \frac{15}{2h} \left[\frac{R_{3}^{(k)}}{n^{(k)}C_{33}^{(k)}} - \frac{R_{3}^{(k+1)}}{n^{(k+1)}C_{33}^{(k+1)}} \right] + \frac{55}{3h^2} \left[\frac{J_{3}^{(k)}}{n^{(k)}C_{33}^{(k)}} + \frac{J_{3}^{(k+1)}}{n^{(k+1)}C_{33}^{(k+1)}} \right]$$

$$\frac{-70}{h^3} \left[\frac{I_{3}^{(k)}}{n^{(k)}C_{33}^{(k)}} - \frac{I_{3}^{(k+1)}}{n^{(k+1)}C_{33}^{(k+1)}} \right] = \frac{h}{18} \left[\frac{n^{(k)}}{C_{33}^{(k)}} T_{3}^{(k-1)} + 10 \left(\frac{n^{(k)}}{C_{33}^{(k)}} + \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{C_{33}^{(k+1)}} \right) T_{3}^{(k)} + \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{C_{33}^{(k+1)}} T_{3}^{(k+1)}$$
(17e)

where in (16a,b,c) and (17a,b,c,d) k ranges from 1 to N while in (16d) and (17e) k ranges from 1 to (N-1). Also, no summation on α is implied in (16) and

$$\tilde{C}_{\alpha}^{(k)} \equiv \delta_{\alpha 1} C_{55}^{(k)} + \delta_{\alpha 2} C_{44}^{(k)} ; \ n_o^{(k)} \equiv x_{30}^{(k)}/h$$
(18)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U} \\ \tilde{\Psi} \\ \tilde{S} \\ \tilde{\xi} \\ \tilde{\phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{1,1} & U_{2,2} \\ \Psi_{1,1} & \Psi_{2,2} \\ S_{1,1} & S_{2,2} \\ \xi_{1,1} & \xi_{2,2} \\ \phi_{1,1} & \phi_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{13} \\ C_{23} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)}$$
(19)

By solving (16) and (17), $Q_i^{(k)}$, $R_i^{(k)}$, $J_i^{(k)}$, $I_3^{(k)}$ and $T_i^{(k)}$ are obtained in terms of U_i , Ψ_i , S_i , ξ_i and ϕ_a and their derivatives. As a result, the quantities N_{3i} , M_{3i} , K_{3i} , L_{3i} of Eq. (15c) can be determined as functions of these displacement variables. Such expressions will automatically include the appropriate shear correction factors by virtue of the Reissner mixed variational principle.

The equilibrium equations (14) are supplemented with the following suitable boundary conditions:

specify
$$U_i$$
 or $N_{\alpha i} \nu_{\alpha}$, (20a)

specify Ψ_i or $M_{\alpha i} \nu_{\alpha}$, (20b)

specify
$$S_i$$
 or $Z_{\alpha i} \nu_{\alpha}$, (20c)

specify
$$\xi_i$$
 or $L_{\alpha i} \nu_{\alpha}$, (20d)

specify
$$\phi_{\alpha}$$
 or $P_{\beta\alpha} \nu_{\beta}$. (20e)

The remaining constitutive equations for $N_{\alpha\beta}$, $M_{\alpha\beta}$, $Z_{\alpha\beta}$, $L_{\alpha\beta}$ and $P_{\alpha\beta}$ are obtained by substituting

(3a), (4), (8) and (10b) into (15a) to yield:

Ľ

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{h} N \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}} M \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}} M \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}} Z \\ \frac{1}{h} Z \\ \frac{1}{h^{3}} L \\ \frac{1}{h^{4}} P \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [N_{u}] & [N_{\psi}] & 0 & [N_{\xi}] & [N_{\psi}] \\ [M_{\psi}] & [M_{s}] & [M_{\xi}] & [M_{\psi}] & [M_{\psi}] \\ [M_{\psi}] & [M_{s}] & [M_{\xi}] & [M_{\psi}] \\ \frac{1}{3} (N_{u}] & [Z_{\xi}] & [Z_{\psi}] \\ . & [P_{\psi}] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ h \Psi \\ S \\ h^{2} \xi \\ h^{3} \phi \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} [C]^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{3} \\ \frac{1}{h} R_{3} \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}} J_{3} \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}} J_{3} \\ \frac{1}{h^{3}} I_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{(k)}$$
(21)

where $N = [N_{11} N_{22} N_{12}]^T$, $U = [U_{1,1} U_{2,2} U_{1,2} + U_{2,1}]^T$ with analogous expressions for $M, \Psi, ..., P$, $\phi \cdot [N_{\mu}],..., [P_{\phi}]$ are 3×3 matrices, $[C]^{(k)}$ is a 15×5 matrix an V^N , ..., V^P are 1×4 vectors, which are given in the Appendix.

5. CYLINDRICAL BENDING OF LAMINATED PLATES

In order to test the accuracy of the present theory, cylindrical bending of composite plates under sinusoidal loading is considered. The plate is simply supported at the ends $x_1 - 0$ and l and is infinitely long in the x_2 -direction. The prescribed boundary conditions on the top and bottom surfaces of the plate are:

$$T_1^+ = 0$$
, $T_3^+ = q \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{l}$ on $x_3 = \frac{h}{2}$ (22a)

$$T_1 = T_3 = 0$$
 on $x_3 = -\frac{h}{2}$. (22b)

The boundary conditions for the simply supported ends are, from (20):

$$U_3 = \Psi_3 = S_3 = \xi_3 = 0$$
 at $x_1 = 0$, *i* (23a)

$$N_{11} = M_{11} = Z_{11} = L_{11} = P_{11} = 0$$
 at $x_1 = 0, l$. (23b)

Using surface boundary conditions (22), the equilibrium equations (14) for cylindrical bending reduce to:

$$N_{11,1} = 0$$
 (24a)

$$N_{13,1} + q \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{l} = 0 \tag{24b}$$

$$M_{11,1} - N_{31} = 0 \tag{24c}$$

$$M_{13,1} - N_{33} + \frac{h}{2} q \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{l} = 0$$
 (24d)

$$Z_{11,1} - K_{31} = 0 (24e)$$

$$Z_{13,1} - K_{33} - q \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{l} = 0$$
 (24f)

$$L_{11,1} - 3M_{31} = 0 \tag{24g}$$

- 14 -

$$L_{13,1} - 3M_{33} + \frac{h^2}{4} q \sin \frac{\pi x_1}{l} = 0$$
 (24h)

$$P_{11,1} - 5L_{31} - \frac{h^2}{4} N_{31} = 0$$
 (24i)

From the boundary condition $N_{11} = 0$ at $x_1 = 0, l$, Eq. (24a) implies that

$$N_{11} = 0$$
 . (25)

Next Eqs. (15a,c) are expressed in terms of the displacement variables $U_1, ..., \xi_3$. To this end, the constitutive equations (16) and (17), for the cylindrical bending analysis, can be rewritten in the following vector form:

$$Q_1 - \frac{1}{h^2} \bar{J}_1 + h[A_1] \bar{T}_1 = \lambda_1$$
 (26a)

$$\frac{1}{h} R_1 + h [B_1] T_1 = \lambda_2$$
(26b)

$$Q_1 - \frac{7}{4} \frac{1}{h^2} \overline{J}_1 + \frac{5}{2} h [A_1] T_1 = \lambda_3$$
 (26c)

$$[TQ_1]Q_1 + \frac{1}{h}[TR_1]R_1 - \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{h^2}[TQ_1]\overline{J}_1 = h[C_1]T_1$$
(26d)

and

$$Q_3 - \frac{1}{h^2} \bar{J}_3 + h[A_1] \bar{T}_3 = \kappa_1$$
(27a)

$$\frac{1}{h} \bar{R}_3 - \frac{1}{h^3} \bar{I}_3 - \frac{2}{7} h[B_1] \bar{I}_3 = \kappa_2$$
(27b)

$$Q_3 - \frac{7}{4} \frac{1}{h^2} \bar{J}_3 + \frac{5}{2} h[A_1] \bar{T}_3 = \kappa_3$$
 (27c)

$$\frac{1}{h} R_3 - \frac{75}{64} \frac{1}{h^3} \overline{I}_3 - \frac{5}{12} h[B_1] T_3 = \kappa_4$$
(27d)

$$[TQ_3]Q_3 + \frac{1}{h}[TR_3]R_3 - \frac{5}{2}\frac{1}{h^2}[TQ_3]\overline{J}_3 - \frac{35}{24}\frac{1}{h^3}[TR_3]\overline{J}_3 = h[C_3]\overline{I}_3$$
(27e)

where
$$\bar{J}_i \equiv \frac{8 J_i}{n^{(k)2}}$$
 $i = 1,3$ and $\bar{I}_3 \equiv \frac{32}{5 n^{(k)2}} I_3$. (28)

·····

- 15 -

The matrices $[A_1]$, ..., $[C_3]$ and vectors λ_1 , ..., κ_4 are given in the Appendix. The vector equations (26a,b,c) and (27a,b,c,d) have N-components, while the vector equations (26d) and (27e) have (N-1) components. Matrices $[A_1]$, ..., $[C_3]$ depend on the volume fractions $\pi^{(k)}$ and elastic constants $C_{55}^{(k)}$, $C_{13}^{(k)}$ and $C_{33}^{(k)}$, while the vectors λ_1 , ..., κ_4 contain the displacement variables U_1 , ..., ξ_3 .

Eqs. (26) are easily solved by substituting Q_1 , $\frac{1}{h}$, R_1 and $\frac{1}{h^2}$, \overline{J}_1 in terms of T_1 from (26a,b,c) into (26d). This yields a new equation involving T_1 only, which can thus be solved for T_1 . Then by back substitution expressions for Q_1 , $\frac{1}{h}$, R_1 and $\frac{1}{h^2}$, \overline{J}_1 in terms of λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 are obtained. Proceeding in a similar manner with (27a,b,c,d) Q_3 , $\frac{1}{h}$, R_3 , $\frac{1}{h^2}$, \overline{J}_3 and $\frac{1}{h^3}$, \overline{J}_3 in terms of κ_1 , κ_2 , κ_3 and κ_4 are determined. These expressions are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{1} \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}} \overline{j}_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{7}{3}[I] - [AQ_{1}]) & -(\frac{4}{3}[I] - 2[AQ_{1}]) \\ (\frac{4}{3}[I] - 2[AQ_{1}]) & -(\frac{4}{3}[I] - 4[AQ_{1}]) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} \\ \lambda_{3} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} [AR_{1}] \\ 2[AR_{1}] \end{bmatrix} \lambda_{2}$$
(29a)

$$\frac{1}{h} R_1 = [BQ_1] (\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3) + ([I] - [BR_1]) \lambda_2$$
(29b)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{3} \\ \frac{1}{h^{2}}\overline{J}_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{7}{3}[I] - [AQ_{3}]) & -(\frac{4}{3}[I] - 2[AQ_{3}]) \\ (\frac{4}{3}[I] - 2[AQ_{3}]) & -(\frac{4}{3}[I] - 4[AQ_{3}]) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_{1} \\ \kappa_{3} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} [AR_{3}] \\ 2[AR_{3}] \end{bmatrix} (8\kappa_{4} - 5\kappa_{2})$$
(30a)

$$\left| \frac{1}{h} \frac{R_3}{I_3} \right| = \left[\left(\frac{75}{11} [I] + \frac{50}{63} [BR_3] \right) - \left(\frac{64}{11} [I] + \frac{80}{63} [BR_3] \right) \right] \left[\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_4} \right] - \frac{1}{21} \left[\frac{10 [BQ_3]}{16 [BQ_3]} \right] (2\kappa_3 - \kappa_1)$$
(30b)

where [1] is the $N \times N$ identity matrix and

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\mathcal{A}Q_i] & [\mathcal{A}R_i] \\ [\mathcal{B}Q_i] & [\mathcal{B}R_i] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [\mathcal{A}_1] \\ [\mathcal{B}_1] \end{bmatrix} [TV_i] [TQ_i] [TR_i]] \quad i = 1,3$$
(31a)

with

with

144324444

$$[TV_1] = (4[TQ_1][\lambda_1] + [TR_1][B_1] + [C_1])^{-1}$$
(31b)

$$[TV_3] = (4[TQ_3][A_1] - \frac{40}{63}[TR_3][B_1] + [C_3])^{-1}$$
(31c)

 $[AQ_i], ..., [BR_i]$ are $N \times N$ matrices, while $[TV_i]$ are $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrices. By inserting (29) and (30) into (15c) and (21) the appropriate constitutive relations for the cylindrical bending problem in terms of the displacement variables $U_1, ..., \xi_3$ and their derivatives with respect to x_1 are obtained.

The form of the dependence on the displacement variables $U_1, ..., \xi_3$ of the constitutive equations thus obtained and the nature of the applied load suggest the following expressions for the displacements:

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1\\ \Psi_1\\ S_1\\ \xi_1\\ \xi_1\\ \phi_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h\hat{U}_1\\ \hat{\Psi}_1\\ h\hat{S}_1\\ \hat{\xi}_{\sqrt{h}}\\ \hat{\phi}_{\sqrt{h}}^2 \end{bmatrix} \cos \pi \frac{x_1}{l} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} U_3\\ \Psi_3\\ S_3\\ \xi_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h\hat{U}_3\\ \hat{\Psi}_3\\ h\hat{S}_3\\ \hat{\xi}_{\sqrt{h}} \end{bmatrix} \sin \pi \frac{x_1}{l}$$
(32)

where the "^" quantities are nondimensional by definition. It is easily proven that the boundary conditions (23) are satisfied when (32) are substituted therein.

Finally, inserting (32) into the constitutive equations obtained in the manner described above and these in turn into the equilibrium equations (24) and (25) yields a system of nine algebraic equations with the nine nondimensional quantities $\hat{U}_1, ..., \hat{\xi}_3$ as unknowns. This system is conveniently written in matrix form as

$$[B] U = F \tag{33}$$

where $U = [\hat{U}_1 \hat{\Psi}_1 \hat{S}_1 \hat{\xi}_1 \hat{\phi}_1 \hat{U}_3 \hat{\Psi}_3 \hat{S}_3 \hat{\xi}_3]^T$ (34a)

$$F = [0,q,0,\frac{1}{2}q, 0, -q,0,\frac{1}{4}q,0]^T$$
(34b)

and [B] is a 9×9 matrix.

6. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In order to assess the accuracy of the present theory the problem of the cylindrical bending of an infinitely long strip under sinusoidal loading is examined. The exact elasticity solution has been given by Pagano [1], where a three layer cross-ply laminate was considered, the 0° layers being at the outer surfaces of the laminate. The elastic properties are:

for the 0° layers

$$\frac{\tilde{C}_{11}}{E_T} = 25.062657 , \quad \frac{C_{13}}{E_T} = 0.335570$$
(35a)

$$\frac{C_{33}}{E_T} = 1.071141 \quad , \quad \frac{C_{55}}{E_T} = 0.5;$$

and for the 90° layers $\frac{\tilde{C}_{11}}{E_T} = 1.002506$, $\frac{C_{13}}{E_T} = 0.271141$

$$\frac{C_{33}}{E_T} = 1.071141 \quad , \quad \frac{C_{55}}{E_T} = 0.2$$

where E_T is a reference modulus.

We follow Pagano's [1] nondimensionalization and write the displacements and stresses in the form

 $\overline{\sigma}_{11}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a} \, \sigma_{11}^{(k)} \, (\frac{l}{2} \, , x_3)$

$$\bar{u}_{1}^{(k)} = \left(\frac{E_{T}}{q}\right) \frac{u_{1}^{(k)}(0,x_{3})}{h} , \ \bar{u}_{3}^{(k)} = \left(\frac{E_{T}}{q}\right) \frac{100h^{3}}{l^{4}} u_{3}^{(k)} \left(\frac{l}{2},0\right)$$
(36)

Also
$$\overline{x}_3 = \frac{x_3}{h}$$
, $S = \frac{l}{h}$ (37)

In the various curves the solid line represents the exact solution while the results of the present theory are shown by a broken line. Also shown, for comparison purposes, are the results given by the first order zig-zag model [11] and Lo, Christensen and Wu's high-order theory (LCW) [7], which are

represented by a dashed-dotted line and dotted solid line, respectively. Symmetric 3, 5 and 9-ply laminates and asymmetric 4 and 8-ply laminates were examined, to test the present theory.

For a symmetric 3-ply laminate (0/90/0) with layers of equal thickness, Table 1 shows the values of the central deflection \bar{u}_3 obtained from the different theories for a span-to-thickness ratio S of 4 and 6. As observed the present high-order theory correctly predicts the central deflection \bar{u}_3 to the first two decimal digits, while the first order zig-zag model gives a better result than LCW. The variation of the in-plane displacement \bar{u}_1 across the plate thickness is compared in Fig. 2a for S = 4, where it is seen that the curves for the present theory and the exact solution are almost identical. This improvement is also reflected in the variation of the in-plane stress $\bar{\sigma}_{11}$ across the plate thickness, as shown in Fig. 2b. Very close agreement is found between Pagano's exact solution and the present theory, which has improved upon Lo, Christensen and Wu's high-order theory, especially at and in the neighborhood of the interfaces.

The present theory was next tested for a symmetric 5-ply laminate (0/90/0/90/0) with layers of equal thickness. The central deflection \bar{u}_3 for span-to-thickness ratio S of 4 and 6, is shown in Table 1 where close agreement with the exact solution is observed. The variations across the plate thickness of in-plane variables $\bar{u}_1^{(k)}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{11}^{(k)}$ are compared in Figs. 3 and 4. The curves for the present high-order theory and the exact solution are again almost identical. In particular, it is seen that the present theory has considerably improved upon Lo, Christensen and Wu's model in the interior layers of the plate.

To further assess the accuracy of the present high-order theory the more difficult case of a symmetric 9-layer cross-ply laminate (0/90/0/90/0/90/0) was considered. The 0° layers have equal thickness h/10 while the 90° layers have equal thickness h/8. The results for the central deflection \bar{u}_3 are given in Table 1 for S = 4 and 6 where again close agreement with the exact solution is observed. The variations across the plate thickness of the in-plane displacement \bar{u}_1 and normal stress $\bar{\sigma}_{11}$ are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, for S = 4 and 6 respectively. There the discrepancies between the first order zig-zag theory and the exact solution are more pronounced than in the 3- and 5-layer cases, as

Ĺ

expected. However, the results of the present theory are still very good when compared to the exact solution.

Finally, asymmetric 4 and 8 cross-ply laminates, with layers of equal thickness, were examined. The present theory predicts accurately the central deflection \bar{u}_3 . These results are given in Table 2 for span-to-thickness ratio S of 4 and 6. The variation across the plate thickness of the in-plane displacement $\bar{u}_1^{(k)}$ and normal stress $\bar{\sigma}_{11}^{(k)}$ are shown in Figs. 7, 8 and 9 for S = 4 and 6. From the curves for $\bar{u}_1^{(k)}$, it is seen that the first-order zig-zag theory deviates significantly from the exact solution at the bottom layer of the plate. On the other hand, the discrepancies between LCW and the exact solution, for both $\bar{u}_1^{(k)}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{11}^{(k)}$ are more pronounced in the interior layers of the plate, while the present high-order theory is still in very good agreement with the exact solution. 7. CONCLUSION

A high-order laminated plate theory, which accurately predicts in-plane responses of symmetric and asymmetric laminates, was developed with the help of Reissner's new mixed variational principle [10]. The improvement was achieved by including a zig-zag shaped C° function in the in-plane displacement variations across the plate thickness, as proposed by Murakami [11], while the non-linear variation is accounted for by using Legendre Polynomials. The accuracy of the theory was examined for the case of cylindrical bending of an infinitely long strip and compared with the exact elasticity solution given by Pagano [1]. The results for the central deflection and in-plane displacements and normal stresses for several symmetric and asymmetric cross-ply laminates indicate that the theory very accurately predicts these in-plane responses even for small span-to-thickness ratios. In all the cases considered, the proposed theory gave better in-plane responses than the Lo, Christensen and Wu highorder theory, especially in the interior layers of the plate. It was also observed that for symmetric laminates, the first order zig-zag modei [11] predicts more accurately the central deflection than the Lo, Christensen and Wu high-order theory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Grant N00014-84-K-0468 to the University of California at San Diego. The authors are grateful to Dr. A. Kushner for his support. Also, the author is thankful to Professors E. Reissner and G.A. Hegemier for their advice and comments on the manuscript.

- 20 -

- 21 -

- Pagano, N. J., "Exact Solutions for Composite Laminates in Cylindrical Bending," J. Comp. Mat., 3, 398-411 (1970).
- Pagano, N. J., "Exact Solutions for Rectangular Bidirectional Composites and Sandwich Plates," J. Comp. Mat., 4, 20-34 (1970).
- Pagano, N. J. and Hatfield, S.J., "Elastic Behavior of Multilayered Bidirectional Composites," AIAA Journal, 10, 931-933 (1972).
- Yang, P. C., Norris, C. H., and Stavsky, Y., "Elastic Wave Propagation in Heterogeneous Plates," Int. J. Solids Struct., 2, 665-684 (1966).
- Whitney, J. M. and Pagano, N. J., "Shear Deformation in Heterogeneous Anisotropic Plates," ASME J. Appl. Mech., 37, 1031-1036 (1970).
- Whitney, J. M., "Stress Analysis of Thick Laminated Composite and Sandwich Plates," J. Comp. Mat., 6, 426-440 (1972).
- Lo, K. H., Christensen, R. M., and Wu, E. M., "A High-Order Theory of Plate Deformation -Part 2: Laminated Plates," ASME J. Appl. Mech., 44, 669-676 (1977).
- Hildebrand, F. B., Reissner, E., and Thomas, G. B., "Notes on the Foundations of the Theory of Small Displacements of Orthotropic Shells," NACA, Technical Note No. 1833 (1949).
- Reddy, J. N., "A Simple Higher-Order Theory for Laminated Composite Plates," ASME J. Appl. Mech., 5, 745-752 (1984).
- Reissner, E., "On a Certain Mixed Variational Theorem and a Proposed Application," Int. J. Num. Meth. Engrg., 20, 1366-1368 (1984).
- 11. Murakami, H., "A Laminated Composite Plate Theory with Improved In-Plane Responses," submitted for publication (1985).

APPENDIX

• Matrices $[N_{\mu}], ..., [P_{\phi}]$ in Eq. (21):

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{u} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{2} & D_{2}' & 0 \\ D_{2}' & D_{2}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_{2}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [N_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1} & C_{1}' & 0 \\ C_{1}' & C_{1}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{1}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [N_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} C_{9} & C_{9}' & 0 \\ C_{6}' & C_{9}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{9}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [N_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} C_{2} & C_{2}' & 0 \\ C_{2}' & C_{2}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{2}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [M_{1}] = \begin{bmatrix} C_{3} & C_{3}' & 0 \\ C_{3}' & C_{3}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{3}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [M_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} C_{7} & C_{7}' & 0 \\ C_{6}' & C_{6}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{3}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [M_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} C_{7} & C_{7}' & 0 \\ C_{6}' & C_{6}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{7}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [M_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} D_{3} & D_{3}' & 0 \\ D_{3}' & D_{3}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_{3}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [Z_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} D_{1} & D_{1}' & 0 \\ D_{1}' & D_{1}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_{1}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [L_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} F_{3} & F_{3}' & 0 \\ F_{3}' & F_{3}'' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & F_{3}''' \end{bmatrix}, \ [L_{\psi}] = \begin{bmatrix} F_{4} & F_{4}' & 0 \\ F_{4}' & F_{4}''' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & F_{3}''' \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_k \tilde{C}_{11}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} n_o^{(k)} n^{(k)} \\ n^{(k)3/12} + n_o^{(k)2} n^{(k)} \\ (-1)^k n^{(k)2/6} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} D_2 \\ D_3 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_k \tilde{C}_{11}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} n^{(k)} \\ (-1)^k n_o^{(k)} n^{(k)2/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$C_{6} = \frac{5}{2} C_{5} - \frac{3}{8} C_{2}, C_{7} = \frac{3}{2} C_{4} - \frac{1}{8} C_{1}, C_{8} = \frac{3}{2} C_{2} - \frac{1}{8} D_{2}, C_{9} = \frac{5}{2} C_{4} - \frac{3}{8} C_{1}$$

$$D_{1} = D_{4} - \frac{3}{8} C_{3}, D_{5} = \frac{9}{4} C_{5} - \frac{3}{8} C_{2} + \frac{1}{64} D_{2}$$

$$F_{3} = \frac{15}{4} F_{1} - \frac{7}{8} C_{4} + \frac{3}{64} C_{1}, F_{4} = \frac{25}{4} F_{2} - \frac{15}{8} C_{5} + \frac{9}{64} C_{2}$$
(A3)

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_4 \\ C_5 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k} \bar{C}_{11}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} n_o^{(k)} n^{(k)3} + n_o^{(k)3} n^{(k)} \\ \frac{1}{80} n^{(k)5} + \frac{1}{2} n_o^{(k)2} n^{(k)3} + n_o^{(k)4} n^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_4 = \sum_{k} \bar{C}_{11}^{(k)} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n^{(k)4}}{16} + \frac{5}{4} n_o^{(k)2} n^{(k)2} \\ \frac{1}{16} + \frac{5}{4} n_o^{(k)2} n^{(k)2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k} \bar{C}_{11}^{(k)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_o^{(k)} n^{(k)5}}{16} + \frac{5}{6} n_o^{(k)3} n^{(k)3} + n_o^{(k)5} n^{(k)} \\ \frac{n^{(k)7}}{448} + \frac{3}{16} n_o^{(k)2} n^{(k)5} + \frac{5}{4} n_o^{(k)4} n^{(k)3} + n_o^{(k)6} n^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A4)$$

The ()', ()" and ()" quantities can be obtained from (A1,2,3,4) by replacing therein $\tilde{C}_{11}^{(k)}$ by $\tilde{C}_{12}^{(k)}$, $\tilde{C}_{22}^{(k)}$ and $\tilde{C}_{66}^{(k)}$ respectively, where k ranges from 1 to N.

• Matrix $[C]^{(k)}$ and Vectors V^N , ..., V^P in Eq. (21):

$$\begin{bmatrix} C \\ i \\ i \\ i \\ i \\ k \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ 0 \\ c \\ c \end{bmatrix}^{(k)} \text{ where } c^{(k)} = [C_{13}/C_{33} \ C_{23}/C_{33} \ 0]^T \quad (A5)$$

$$V^{N} = [1,0,0,0] ; V^{M} = [n_{0}^{(k)},1,0,0] ; V^{2} = [0,(-1)^{k} \frac{2}{n^{(k)}},0,0]$$
(A6)
$$V^{L} = [\frac{1}{2} (3n_{0}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}), 3n_{0}^{(k)}, \frac{3}{2},0] ; V^{P} = [\frac{1}{2} (5n_{0}^{(k)3} - \frac{3n_{0}^{(k)}}{4}), \frac{3}{2} (5n_{0}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}), \frac{15}{2} n_{0}^{(k)}, \frac{5}{2}]$$

• Matrices $[A_1]$, ..., $[C_3]$ in Eqs. (26) and (27):

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{30} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ n^{(k)} & n^{(k)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} , \quad \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{40} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -n^{(k)2} & n^{(k)2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{12\xi} \left(\frac{-n^{(k)}}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{C_{55}^{(k)}} + \frac{n^{(k+1)}}{C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right) - \frac{-n^{(k+1)}}{C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right]$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{-1}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{-1}{C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right) + \frac{1}{(N-1)\times N} - \frac{3}{7} \left[\frac{-1}{n^{(k)}C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{0}{n^{(k+1)}C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] \right]$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{-1}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{-1}{C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right) + \frac{1}{(N-1)\times N} - \frac{3}{7} \left[\frac{-1}{n^{(k)}C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{0}{n^{(k+1)}C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] \right]$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{1}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{0}{n^{(k+1)}C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] + \frac{1}{(N-1)\times N} - \frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{1}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{0}{n^{(k+1)}C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] \right]$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{n^{(k)}C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{n^{(k+1)}C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] + \frac{1}{(N-1)} \left[\frac{n^{(k)}}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{n^{(k+1)}} - \frac{0}{C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] \right]$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{n^{(k)}C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{n^{(k+1)}C_{55}^{(k+1)}} \right] + \frac{1}{(N-1)} \left[\frac{n^{(k)}}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{(N-1)} - \frac{1}{18} \left[\frac{n^{(k)}}{C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{(N-1)} + \frac{1}{(N-1)} \right] \right]$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(N-1)\times N \to 0}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{n^{(k)}C_{55}^{(k)}} - \frac{1}{(N-1)} + \frac{1}$$

- 24 -

 $\lambda_3 = \frac{-9}{7} h^3 (\xi_{3,1} + 5\phi_1) f_1$ (A8)

$$\kappa_1 = hU_{1,1} a_2 + h\Psi_3 a_3 + S_3 b_3 + h^2 \xi_3 c_2 + h^2 \Psi_{1,1} c_3 + h^3 \xi_{1,1} d_3 + h^4 \phi_{1,1} e_3$$

$$\kappa_2 = h^2 \xi_3 f_2 + h^2 \Psi_{1,1} f_3 + h S_{1,1} g_3 + h^3 \xi_{1,1} p_2 + h^4 \phi_{1,1} p_3$$

$$\kappa_3 = -\frac{315}{44} h^3 \xi_{1,1} f_3 - \frac{525}{44} h^4 \phi_{1,1} p_2$$

$$\kappa_4 = -h^4 \phi_{1,1} s_3$$

金属によっていていた。

The k^{th} component of the vectors a_1, \dots, s_3 appearing in (A8) are given by

$$a_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{2}{5} n^{(k)} C_{55}^{(k)} , b_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{4}{5} (-1)^{k} C_{55}^{(k)} , c_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{2}{5} n_{o}^{(k)} n^{(k)} C_{55}^{(k)} , d_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{5} (3n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}) n^{(k)} C_{55}^{(k)}$$

$$e_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{3}{5} (5n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}) n^{(k)} C_{55}^{(k)} , f_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{7}{120} n^{(k)3} C_{55}^{(k)} , g_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{7}{60} (-1)^{k} n^{(k)2} C_{55}^{(k)} , p_{1}^{(k)} = \frac{7}{40} n_{o}^{(k)} n^{(k)3} C_{55}^{(k)}$$

$$a_{2}^{(k)} = \frac{2}{5} n^{(k)} C_{13}^{(k)} , a_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{2}{5} n^{(k)} C_{33}^{(k)} , b_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{4}{5} (-1)^{k} C_{33}^{(k)} , c_{2}^{(k)} = \frac{6}{5} n_{o}^{(k)} n^{(k)} C_{55}^{(k)} , c_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{2}{5} n_{o}^{(k)} n^{(k)} C_{13}^{(k)}$$

$$d_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{5} (3n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}) n^{(k)} C_{13}^{(k)} , e_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{5} (5n_{o}^{(k)3} - \frac{3}{4} n_{o}^{(k)}) n^{(k)} C_{13}^{(k)} , f_{2}^{(k)} = \frac{11}{350} n^{(k)3} C_{33}^{(k)} (A9)$$

$$f_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{11}{1050} n^{(k)3} C_{13}^{(k)} , g_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{11}{525} (-1)^{k} n^{(k)2} C_{13}^{(k)} , p_{2}^{(k)} = \frac{11}{350} n_{o}^{(k)} n^{(k)3} C_{13}^{(k)}$$

$$p_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{11}{700} (5 n_{o}^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{4}) n^{(k)3} C_{13}^{(k)} , s_{3}^{(k)} = \frac{11}{2688} n^{(k)5} C_{13}^{(k)}$$

-

.

-

.

TABLE HEADINGS

P

Table 1 Central Deflection u₃ for Symmetric Cross-Ply Laminates in Cylindrical Bending Under Sinusoidal Loading

÷.,

Table 2 Central Deflection u₃ for Asymmetric Cross-Ply Laminates in Cylindrical Bending Under Sinusoidal Loading

,		S = 4		S = 6			
Number of Layers N	3	5	9	3	5	9	
Exact Solution [1]	2.887	3.044	3.324	1.635	1.721	1.929	
Present Theory	2.881	3.032	3.313	1.634	1.716	1. 92 1	
First-Order Zig-Zag [10]	2.907	3.018	3.231	1.636	1.702	1.875	
LCW [7]	2.687	2.597	2.835	1.514	1.507	1.708	

Table 1Central Deflection \overline{u}_3 for Symmetric Cross-Ply Laminates in Cylindrical Bending Under
Sinusoidal Loading

BARLING BARRA

i N

	S	= 4	5	- 0
Number of Layers N	4	8	4	8
Exact Solution [1]	4.181	3.724	2.562	2.224
Present Theory	4.105	3.625	2.519	2.181
First-Order Zig-Zag [10]	3.316	3.225	2.107	1.934

3.587

3.189

2.242

1.979

LCW [7]

Table 2Central Deflection \bar{u}_3 for Asymmetric Cross-Ply Laminates in CylindricalBending Under Sinusoidal Loading

FIGURE	1:	Plate geometry, coordinate system and trial in- plane displacements.
FIGURE	2a:	Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u_1}^{(k)}$ of a symmetric 3-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 4$.
FIGURE	2b:	Thickness variation of normal stress $\overline{\sigma}_{11}$ (k) of a symmetric 3-layer cross ply laminate for S = 4.
FIGURE	3a:	Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u}_1^{(k)}$ of a symmetric 5-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 4$.
FIGURE	3b:	Thickness variation of normal stress $\overline{\sigma_{11}}^{(k)}$ of a symmetric 5-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 4$.
FIGURE	4a:	Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\bar{u_1}^{(k)}$ of a symmetric 5-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 6$.
FIGURE	4b:	Thickness variation of normal stress \overline{o}_{11} (k) of a symmetric 5-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 6.
FIGURE	5a:	Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u}_1^{(k)}$ of a symmetric 9-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 4.
FIGURE	5b:	Thickness variation of normal stress $\overline{\sigma}_{11}$ (k) of a symmetric 9-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 4.
FIGURE	ба:	Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u}_1^{(k)}$ of a symmetric 9-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 6$.
FIGURE	6b:	Thickness variation of normal stress $\bar{\sigma}_{11}$ (k) of a symmetric 9-layer cross-ply laminate for S =6.
FIGURE	7a:	Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u_1}^{(k)}$ of an asymmetric 4-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 4$.
FIGURE	7b:	Thickness variation of normal stress $\overline{\sigma_{11}}^{(k)}$ of an asymmetric 4-layer cross-ply laminate for $S = 4$.

Ż

- FIGURE 8a: Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u}_1^{(k)}$ of an asymmetric 4-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 6.
- FIGURE 8b: Thickness variation of normal stress $\overline{\sigma}_{11}^{(k)}$ of an asymmetric 4-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 6.
- FIGURE 9a: Thickness variation of in-plane displacement $\overline{u}_1^{(k)}$ of an asymmetric 8-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 4.
- FIGURE 9b: Thickness variation of normal stress $\overline{\sigma}_{11}^{(k)}$ of an asymmetric 8-layer cross-ply laminate for S = 4.







Ē







- 32 -









- 33 -



Thichness variation of normal stress $\sigma_{11}^{-(k)}$ of a symmetric 9-layer cross-ply laminate for S=4.











DTIC