AD-A162 852	CRACK GRONT LIQUID MERC	H BEHAVIOR OF (URY(U) ARMY ARM CENTER NATERVI	ALUNINUM ALLO IAMENT RESEAR	YS TESTED IN CH AND J A KAPP ET AL.	1/1	A.
UNCLASSIFIED	SEP 85 ARLO	B-TR-85034 581-	AD-E448 389	F/G 11/6	NL	
	د د					
					EN [) ,
						_
						Ĺ



NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

.





AD E440 309

TECHNICAL REPORT ARLCB-TR-85034

CRACK GROWTH BEHAVIOR OF ALUMINUM ALLOYS TESTED IN LIQUID MERCURY

J. A. KAPP D. J. DUQUETTE M. H. KAMDAR



102

SEPTEMBER 1985



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER LARGE CALIBER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY BENÉT WEAPONS LABORATORY WATERVLIET N.Y. 12189

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacture(s) does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date	Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ARLCB-TR-85034	AD-A162852	r
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	27015	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
TESTED IN LIGHTD MERCURY		Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		A CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A)
J. A. Kapp, D. J. Duquette (see rev M. H. Kamdar	verse), and	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
US Army Armament Research & Develop Benet Weapons Laboratory, SMCAR-LCB Watervliet, NY 12189-5000	ment Center -TL	AMCMS No. 6111.02.H600.011 PRON No. 1A425M541A1A
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE Sentember 1985
US Army Armament Research & Develop	ment Center	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Dover, NJ 07801-5001		32
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(IL differen	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distring 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observect enforced 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at ASME Symposium on Crac	ibution unlimited	er Report) or of Material Susceptible
to Stress Corrosion, New Orleans, Submitted to <u>ASME Journal of Engine</u>	LA, December 1984 sering Materials	and Technology.
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necessary a Fracture Mechanics Liquid Metal Embrittlement Aluminum Alloys Mechanisms Fracture	nd identify by block number)	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue as reverse stde if necessary as	d identify by block number)	
Crack growth rate measurements have aluminum alloys each under three lo 6061-T651, and 7075-T651. The load static loading, fixed load static 1 frequencies. The results showed th unlike other types of embrittlement	e been made in th bading conditions w loading, and fati hat mercury crack c (i.e. hydrogen	ree mercury embrittled The alloys were 1100-0, ere fixed displacement gue loading at two ing of aluminum was not cracking of steels). Under (CONT'D ON REVERSE)
DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS 0850	LETE UNCLA	ASSIFIED

i.i.i

5

. .

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

And the Alternation

2

1

Ļ

1

•••

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

7. AUTHORS (CONT'D)

D. J. Duquette Materials Engineering Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, NY 12181

20. ABSTRACT (CONT'D)

fixed load static conditions no crack growth was observed below a threshold stress intensity factor (K_{ILME}). At K levels greater than K_{ILME} cracks grew on the order of cm/s, while under fixed displacement loading, the crack growth rate was strongly dependent upon the strength of the alloy tested. This was attributed to crack closure. In the fatigue tests, no enhanced crack growth occurred until a critical range of stress intensity factor (ΔK_{th}) was achieved. The ΔK_{th} agreed well with the K_{ILME} obtained from the static tests, but the magnitude of the fatigue growth rate was substantially less than was expected based on the static loading results. Observations of the fracture surfaces in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) suggested a brittle intergranular fracture mode for the 6061-T651 and the 7075-T651 alloys under all loading conditions. The fractographic features of the 1100-0 alloy under fixed load and fatigue loading conditions were also brittle intergranular. Under fixed displacement loading the cracks grew via a ductile intergranular mode.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION MATERIALS 1 2 SPECIMEN DESIGN 3 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE WETTING PROCEDURE 4 5 FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH RESULTS STATIC LOADING CRACK VELOCITY RESULTS 6 SEM OBSERVATIONS OF THE FRACTURE SURFACES 7 DISCUSSION 9 Static Loading Results 9 12 Fatigue Loading Results SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION 13 15 REFERENCES

TABLES

I.	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF THE ALLOYS TESTED.	2
II.	SUMMARY OF STATIC LOADING RESULTS	9

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1

1.	Crack growth specimen.
2.	Fatigue loading results for 1100-0.
3.	Fatigue loading results for 6061-T651.
4.	7075-T651 Fatigue loading results.
5.	Static loading results for 1100-0.

	16
Accesion For	17
NTIS CRASH S	18
Justification	19
By Dict ib ation/	20
Availating C des	
Dist Av apper	
A-1	

Page

	<i>.</i>	Page
6.	Static loading results for 6061-T651.	21
7.	7075-T651 Static loading results.	22
8.	Fracture surface in mercury under fatigue loading conditions for 1100-0, $\Delta K > 5$ MPa \sqrt{m} , crack growth in the LS plane. The marker is 100 µm.	23
9.	Fracture surface in mercury under fixed load conditions for 1100-1, K > \sim 8 MPa \sqrt{m} . The marker is 100 µm.	24
10.	Higher magnification of Figure 9 showing dimples. The marker is 100 μm .	25
11.	Fracture appearance in mercury under fixed displacement conditions for 1100-0, K > \sim 3 MPa/m. The marker is 100 µm.	26
12.	Fracture appearance in mercury under fatigue loading conditions for 6061-T651, $\Delta K > \sim 8$ MPa/m, either 5 Hz or 30 Hz. The marker is 100 µm.	27
13.	Fracture appearance in mercury under static loading conditions for 6061-T651, K > ~ 9 MPa \sqrt{m} . The marker is 100 µm.	28
14.	Fracture appearance in mercury under cyclic loading conditions for 7075-T651, $\Delta K > \sim 3 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{m}$, 5 Hz or 30 Hz. The marker is 50 μm .	29
15.	Fracture appearance in mercury under displacement control static loading conditions for 7075-T651, K > \sim 2 MPa \sqrt{m} . The marker is 50 µm.	30

INTRODUCTION

Fracture mechanics test methods have been helpful in the study of environmentally assisted fracture phenomena (refs 1-3). Although powerful, these methods have been used only sparingly in the study of liquid metal embrittlement (LME). The purpose of this study was to thoroughly examine the crack growth behavior of an LME couple: aluminum-mercury. Several variables were studied. Three aluminum alloys were tested to study the effects of yield strength on crack growth. The loading conditions were changed such that each alloy was studied under fixed displacement loading, fixed load loading, and fatigue loading. Furthermore, two fatigue loading frequencies were tested.

MATERIALS

The three aluminum alloys studied were commercially pure aluminum (1100) in the annealed conditions; the Mg-Si-Al alloy 6061 in the T651 condition (solution treated, stress relieved by stretching and aged); and the Zn-Mg-Cu-Al alloy, 7075 also in the T651 condition. Rolled sheets of these alloys were obtained. Specimens were machined such that the long transverse properties were measured. Table I shows the mechanical properties of each of these alloys.

 ¹Wei, R. P., Novak, S. R., and Willians, D. P., <u>Matls. Res. Stand.</u>, Vol. 12, 1972, p. 25.
 ²Wei, R. P. and Landes, J. D., <u>Matls. Res. Stand.</u>, Vol. 9, No. 7, 1969, p. 9.
 ³Clark, W., <u>J. of Materials for Energy Systems</u>, Vol. 1, 1980, p. 35.

Alloy	.1% σ _{уз} МРа	^о уз MPa	Z RA	Z Elongation	^K Ic (MPa√m)
1100-0	27.7	71.6	90.6	52.8	45.5
6061 - T651	282.0	312.3	35.7	14.3	31.5
7075-T651	517.1	590.3	18.2	12.8	30.5

TABLE I. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF THE ALLOYS TESTED

SPECIMEN DESIGN

The specimen used to measure crack growth rates is pictured in Figure 1, a modified compact tension with side grooves. Load (P) was transmitted through the pin holes and the crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD) was measured as indicated. The side grooves were included to reduce unstable crack branching.

The stress intensity factor (K) solution was given by Gross and Srawley (ref 4). The CMOD solution was developed by Kapp (ref 5) using finite elements. Using the methods outlined in Reference 6, the numerical K solution was approximated as a continuous function of relative crack length, (a/W) by:

$$\frac{KB}{W}(1-a/W)^{3/2} = f(a/W)$$
(1)
P (1.7 + a/W) (1)

⁴Gross, B. and Srawley, J. E., "Stress Intensity Factors For Boundary Collocations for Single-Edge Notch Specimens Subject to Splitting Forces," NASA TN D-3295, 1966.

⁵Kapp, J. A., "Crack Growth in Mercury Embrittled Aluminum Alloys Under Cyclic and Static Loading Conditions," Ph.D. Thesis, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, May 1982.

⁶Kapp, J. A., Newman, J. C. Jr., and Underwood, J. H., <u>Journal of Testing and</u> <u>Evaluation</u>, JTEVA, Vol. 8, No. 6, November 1980.

$$f(a/W) = 1.84 + 2.81(a/W) - 12.9(a/W)^{2} + 16.1(a/W)^{3} - 6.41(a/W)^{4}$$
(2)

where B is the geometric mean of B_1 and B_2 (B = $\sqrt{B_1B_2}$), and the other variables are defined in Figure 1. Equations (1) and (2) agree with the numerical K solution to within 0.5 percent over the change 0.2 $\leq a/W \leq 1.0$.

The CMOD solution was developed such that we had a method to remotely measure the relative crack length. An expression similar to Eqs. (1) and (2) was developed to represent the finite element CMOD solution. First we developed the dimensionless parameter δ'

$$\delta' = \ell_{\rm R} \left(\frac{\rm EB(CMOD)}{\rm P} \right)$$
(3)

where E is Young's modulus.

The relative crack depth was then found to be:

$$a/W = 0.1351 - 0.1874\delta' + 0.1117(\delta')^2 - 0.012(\delta')^3$$
(4)

This equation is valid over the range $0.0833 \le a/W \le 0.833$ to within ± 3.5 percent of the numerical solution.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Three types of loading were studied. In the fatigue loading tests, specimens were tension-tension cycled at two frequencies (30 Hz and 5 Hz) at a constant R ratio (R = K_{min}/K_{max} = 0.1). During the testing, the CMOD range was constantly measured. Since the load range (ΔP) was held constant, we had sufficient information to use Eqs. (3) and (4) to determine the crack length as a function of loading cycles (N). Once the crack length and N were known, a plot was generated and the fatigue crack growth rate (da/dN) was determined at several values of a. This was accomplished by graphical differentiation. The stress intensity factor range (ΔK) was also calculated using Eqs. (1) and

(2), and the results were then plotted in the usual manner.

The two static loading conditions were fixed displacement and fixed load. For either test the specimen was first fatigue precracked to produce an embrittled crack. A fixed displacement test was conducted by the rapid application of a large CMOD to the specimen. After the initial loading the large CMOD was maintained until the completion of the test. This resulted in crack propagation at a high K initially followed by a shedding of the load such that the crack arrested. The fixed load tests were conducted by slowly increasing the applied load until crack propagation occurred. While the crack advanced, the load was held constant until the specimen fractured.

Under the static test conditions, it was necessary to simultaneously measure both the load and CMOD to use Eqs. (3) and (4) to determine the crack length. This was accomplished on a dual channel strip chart recorder. Using these measurements, the crack length was then known as a function of time. Using the method described above, the crack velocity (da/dt) and applied K were determined and plotted on semilog graph paper.

WETTING PROCEDURE

Ŷ

É

One of the prerequisites for LME is good wetting of the solid metal with the liquid species. Aluminum is very difficult to wet with mercury. To overcome this problem, a unique method was developed. The aluminum specimens were plated with a thin (about 0.025 mm) coating of copper. The copper was then coated with a saturated aqueous solution of mercurous nitride. A thin layer of mercury was deposited on the copper by chemical displacement. The aqueous solution was then removed and additional liquid mercury was added

to the areas covered by the chemically displaced coating. This resulted in excellent wetting. Embrittlement occurred once the copper coating was broken via fatigue loading of the sample. Since we were only interested in crack propagation in the aluminum, this wetting method was totally adequate.

FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH RESULTS

The results of the fatigue crack growth tests are shown in Figures 2 through 4 for the 1100, 6061, and 7075 alloys respectively. In all these plots the open symbols represent crack growth rate measurements obtained from testing in laboratory air, and the solid symbols represent data from testing performed in mercury. As was expected, the results of the tests in air followed the Paris power law. Also, severe embrittlement was observed in all of the alloys in mercury.

These results, treated as an aggregate, showed that the fatigue crack growth phenomenon of mercury embrittled aluminum is not unlike hydrogen embrittled steel (ref 7). Specifically, below a certain ΔK_{th} there was no effect of the mercury on crack growth. Once ΔK_{th} was exceeded, the fatigue crack growth rate increased very quickly with increasing ΔK . This initial region was followed by a range of ΔK where the crack growth rate increased very little.

In the results from the testing of 6061 and 7075 there was an effect of loading frequency on crack growth rate. At the low frequency (5 Hz), the crack growth rate was as much as two orders of magnitude greater than at the

Wei, R. P., in <u>Fatigue Mechanisms</u>, Fong, J. T., Ed., ASTM STP 675, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1979, p. 816.

higher frequency (30 Hz) in 7075. In 6061, the maximum difference was no greater than about a factor of 50. Although the distinct frequency effect was not observed in 1100, the maximum crack growth rate measured at 5 Hz was about an order of magnitude greater than the maximum rate observed at 30 Hz. The effect of frequency was not unlike the frequency effect observed in other environmentally assisted fracture phenomena (ref 7).

STATIC LOADING CRACK VELOCITY RESULTS

The crack velocity measurements obtained under both fixed load and fixed displacement conditions are shown in Figures 5, 6, and 7 for 1100, 6061, and 7075 respectively. Considering the load control tests first, we observed the following. In all materials no crack growth was observed below a threshold K level (K_{ILME}). Once the applied K was greater than K_{ILME} , the crack velocity increased almost as a step function to a rate of between 4 cm/s and 10 cm/s, where it remained constant until the specimens fractured. The crack velocity decreased to about 1 cm/s or 2 cm/s in 1100 before fracture occurred. Again, this behavior was similar to that observed in hydrogen embrittled steel (ref 3).

The results obtained in the fixed displacement testing showed a much different behavior. In these tests the specimens were loaded very rapidly to a high K level. For 1100 and 6061, the initial loading was not sufficiently fast to prevent crack growth upon rising load. Thus, we observed crack growth

³Clark, W., J. of Materials for Energy Systems, Vol. 1, 1980, p. 35. ⁷Wei, R. P., in Fatigue Mechanisms, Fong, J. T., Ed., ASTM STP 675, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1979, p. 816.

behavior similar to that observed under the fixed load conditions. This was to be expected since as the crack grew, the application of the external load resulted in an increase in K. Once the maximum K was attained further crack growth resulted in a reduction of the external load which caused K to decrease. Under these conditions, the behavior was different for each alloy. In the 1100 alloy, the crack arrested at a very high K level. The 6061 alloy exhibited crack growth behavior wherein the crack velocity decreased by about an order of magnitude and remained constant. When K was reduced to a sufficiently low level, the crack arrested. K_{ILME} under these conditions was somewhat lower than that necessary to initiate accelerated growth in the fixed load tests. Finally, in the case of 7075, the crack grew at the same velocity regardless of the loading condition. Also, K_{ILME} was smaller in displacement control for 7075.

SEM OBSERVATIONS OF THE FRACTURE SURFACES

The fracture surface created in the embrittled fatigue test of 1100 is shown in Figure 8. The appearance suggested an intergranular fracture mode as evidenced by the clear outline of the grain in the center of the figure (position A), and in the many secondary cracks at other grain boundaries. There was also some evidence of a more ductile fatigue fracture appearance (position B).

Figures 9 and 10 show the fracture surfaces created in the fixed load testing of 1100. The features of this surface were substantially different than those observed in the fa igue tests. The fracture mode was predominantly intergranular, but there were a great deal of dimples. Also, there was more secondary cracking. At higher magnification (Figure 10), the dimples were centered around secondary, insoluble particles. The density of dimples was greater in the secondary cracks, where the fracture appeared to be very ductile.

Under the fixed displacement loading conditions (Figure 11), the fracture appearance was similar to that observed in the fatigue testing of 1100. The fracture mode was predominantly brittle intergranular with some secondary cracking of the grain boundaries. There was also some evidence of brittle transgranular cracking as observed by the cleaved grain in the center of the figure. Additionally, there were some of the small dimples similar to those observed in the fixed load case.

The surfaces created during the testing of 6061 are shown in Figures 12 and 13. The embrittled fatigue surface (Figure 12) indicated a brittle intergranular fracture mode with very little secondary cracking. Under either fixed load or fixed displacement loading conditions, the same fracture surface resulted (Figure 13). The fracture mode was intergranular essentially without secondary cracking. The fracture event was apparently accompanied by the formation of large but shallow dimples on the grain boundaries.

Mercury embrittled crack growth in 7075 resulted in the same fracture appearance regardless of loading condition. Under fatigue loading (Figure 14), the fracture mode was brittle intergranular with some secondary cracking.

The outlines of the long elongated grains were clearly visible. Figure 15 demonstrates that under either of the static loading conditions, the same brittle intergranular fracture surface appearance was created.

DISCUSSION

Static Loading Results

Testing under fixed load or fixed displacement gave two measures of the degree of embrittlement: K_{ILME} and the magnitude of steady state crack velocity $(da/dt)_{ss}$. The results obtained are summarized in Table II. The K_{ILME} data reported in the table were the lowest measured threshold K values (i.e. fixed displacement for 6061 and 7075; fixed load for 1100). Presentation of the data in this manner enabled us to discuss some interesting correlations between embrittlement and yield strength.

1	<u>}</u>	.1% σ _{vs}	KTC KTIME	KTLME	(da/d1	;) _{ss} (cm/s)	
	Alloy	(MPa)	(MPavm)	(MPavm)	Fixed Load	Fixed Displacement	
Т 1	1100-0	22.7	45.5	8	1-4	~ 0	
İ	6061-T651	282.0	31.5	9	5	0.5	
1	7075-T651	517.0	30.5	2	9	9	

TABLE II. SUMMARY OF STATIC LOADING RESULTS

The highest strength material (7075) was embrittled the most ($K_{ILME} = 2$ MPa \sqrt{m}). The medium strength alloy 6061 was embrittled the least and the lowest strength K_{ILME} fell in between. This effect was even more striking when we considered K_{ILME} as a fraction of K_{IC} . For 7075 K_{ILME} was 6.5 percent of K_{IC} , for 6061 it was 28.6 percent, and for 1100 it was 17.6 percent. These results indicated that strength was not the most important factor determining

the degree of embrittlement as measured with K_{TLME}. This finding was contrary to results obtained on these same alloys utilizing other measures such as percent elongation to assess the onset of embrittlement (ref 8). The results presented in Reference 8 showed that as strength increased, percent elongation in a mercury environment decreased. Percent elongation could be considered as the engineering strain necessary to initiate embrittlement in unflawed material, while K_{TIME} was the stress intensity factor necessary to propagate a pre-existing crack. Thus, yield strength was an important factor in crack initiation, but not as much as in crack propagation. There were other differences among the alloys studied other than yield strength, such as chemical composition, mechanical processing, and thermal processing. The difference in KTIME values measured may indeed have been the result of one of these variables. This, we could not determine in our initial, cursory study. If other metallurgical factors did account for the data reported here, then it may not be necessary to totally sacrifice strength for increased damage tolerance in a liquid metal environment.

Another measure of the severity of embrittlement was the magnitude of the steady state crack velocity. Considered first were the fixed load $(da/dt)_{SS}$ results. As strength increased, the magnitude of steady state crack velocity also increased. If a large value of $(da/dt)_{SS}$ was indicative of more severe embrittlement than a smaller value of $(da/dt)_{SS}$, then we could state that the degree of embrittlement was increased as strength increased.

⁸Rostoker, M. H., McCaughey, J. M., and Markus, M., <u>Embrittlement by Liquid</u> <u>Metals</u>, Reinhold, NY, 1960. This effect of strength was expected because of the following argument. Additions of alloying elements would not change the mechanism of crack growth. Neither would such changes necessitate that a different liquid metal-solid metal reaction occur to cause embrittlement. Therefore, once a crack propagated, the only variables which could change the magnitude of crack velocity were loading condition or strength. If a crack tip was considered as an infinite stress concentration, then the stress at the crack tip was limited to the yield strength. It was not unreasonable to assume that the higher the crack tip stress, the easier it was to cause embrittled crack growth. The higher the yield strength, the greater the $(da/dt)_{ss}$ should have been.

Changes in loading condition also caused changes in (da/dt)_{SS}, as were observed with the fixed displacement results reported in Table II. This discovery leads us to a discussion of transport mechanisms in the mercury embrittlement of aluminum. In fixed load testing, the constant application of the load always tended to open the crack, allowing good access of the liquid metal to the crack tip. Under fixed displacement conditions, the external load was decreased in an attempt to arrest the crack. This caused the crack to close and limited the access of the mercury to the crack tip. In addition, it was safe to assume that some plastic deformation occurred in the vicinity of the crack tip during crack propagation. When the crack moved, an envelope of plastically deformed material surrounded the newly created fracture surfaces. This "plastic wake" could tend also to close the crack, further limiting the access of embrittling species to the crack tip. The same crack closure phenomenon is known to occur readily in aluminum alloys (ref 9).

⁹Elber, W., in <u>Damage Tolerance in Aircraft Structures</u>, ASTM STP 486, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1971, p. 230.

Furthermore, this scenario predicted that the lowest strength alloy would develop the largest plastic wake and thus the greatest effect of crack closure. This explained the observed results.

Fatigue Loading Results

There were two measures of the degree of embrittlement in the fatigue loading results. These were the threshold of embrittled crack growth ΔK_{th} and the maximum crack growth rate. Using ΔK_{th} , the same conclusions drawn from the static loading results were made. The 7075 alloy was embrittled the most, 6061 the least, and 1100 in between. In addition, the numerical value of ΔK_{th} agreed quite well with the K_{ILME} results for the various alloys. This suggested that regardless of loading conditions, the onset of embrittled crack growth from a pre-existing crack was the same.

The maximum crack growth rates $(da/dN)_{max}$ occurred at 5 Hz, but at different ΔK values. In 1100 $(da/dN)_{max}$ was about 3 x 10⁻⁵ m/cycle at ΔK of about 10 MPa/m. For 6061 and 7075 $(da/dN)_{max}$ were 6 x 10⁻⁴ m/cycle and 2 x 10^{-3} m/cycle at ΔK values of 25 MPa/m and 10 MPa/m respectively. This was the same trend as with the fixed load $(da/dt)_{ss}$ results; the crack growth data increased with increased strength. The effect of strength on $(da/dN)_{max}$ was much greater than the effect of strength on $(da/dt)_{ss}$. The fixed load $(da/dt)_{ss}$ for 7075 was only about twice the value for 1100, while $(da/dN)_{max}$ was about 60 times greater in 7075 than 1100. Thus, the degree of embrittlement in fatigue was much more sensitive to yield strength than was the case in static loading.

The effect of loading frequency was as expected. When frequency was decreased, the crack growth rate increased. The magnitude of the change in growth rate was not as expected. It has been shown (ref 2) that the increase in crack growth rate should be directly related to the decrease in loading frequency. For our results the crack growth rate at 5 Hz should have been six times faster than the crack growth rate at 30 Hz. In all three alloys the increase with decreasing frequency was a function of 4K. The actual factor was about 20 in 6061 and as much as 60 in 7075.

Both the effect of strength and loading frequency suggested that the mechanics of crack growth under fatigue conditions may have been different from the mechanics of crack growth under static conditions. If the static loading crack velocity limited the fatigue crack growth rate, then $(da/dN)_{max}$ should have been on the order of one cm/cycle regardless of the alloy at 5 Hz. Therefore, the full effect of the liquid mercury was not observed in the data under fatigue conditions. The reason for this may have been the crack closure phenomenon explained above. The results indicated that more studies should be undertaken to fully understand the fatigue crack growth phenomenon.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

i.

The crack growth behavior of three aluminum alloys tested in mercury under three different loading conditions has been studied. The behavior was not unlike other forms of environmental attack (i.e., hydrogen embrittlement of steel), but some differences occurred. There was an effect of loading

²Wei, R. P. and Landes, J. D., <u>Matls. Res. Stand.</u>, Vol. 9, No. 7, 1969, p. 9.

condition, cracks grew faster in load control tests than in displacement control tests for the two lower strength alloys. This was attributed to a closure phenomenon. In fatigue loading, cracks grew more slowly than was expected based on a superposition of the static loading conditions. The appearance of the fracture surfaces was the same regardless of loading conditions, thus the slower crack growth in fatigue was not due to a fundamental material behavior difference. Slower crack growth must have been the result of the inability of the embrittling species to access the crack tip.

REFERENCES

1.	Wei, R. P., Novak, S. R., and Williams, D. P., Matls. Res. Stand., Vol.
	12, 1972, p. 25.
2.	Wei, R. P. and Landes, J. D., <u>Matls. Res. Stand.</u> , Vol. 9, No. 7, 1969, p.
	9.
3.	Clark, W., J. of Materials for Energy Systems, Vol. 1, 1980, p. 35.
4.	Gross, B. and Srawley, J. E., "Stress Intensity Factors For Boundary
	Collocations for Single-Edge-Notch Specimens Subject to Splitting Forces,"
	NASA TN D-3295, 1966.
5.	Kapp, J. A., "Crack Growth in Mercury Embrittled Aluminum Alloys Under
	Cyclic and Static Loading Conditions," Ph.D. Thesis, Rensselaer
	Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY, May 1982.
6.	Kapp, J. A., Newman, J. C. Jr., and Underwood, J. H., Journal of Testing
	and Evaluation, JTEVA, Vol. 8, No. 6, November 1980.
7.	Wei, R. P., in <u>Fatigue Mechanisms</u> , Fong, J. T., Ed., ASTM STP 675, ASTM,
	Philadelphia, PA, 1979, p. 816.
8.	Rostoker, M. H., McCaughey, J. M., and Markus, M., Embrittlement by Liquid
	Metals, Reinhold, NY, 1960.
9.	Elber, W., in Damage Tolerance in Aircraft Structures, ASTM STP 486,
	ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 1971, p. 230.

Č



A But ton ball for a



.



Figure 1. Crack growth specimen.



ALLENA

Figure 2. Fatigue loading results for 1100-0.



Figure 3. Fatigue loading results for 6061-T651.



Figure 4. 7075-T651 Fatigue loading results.



Figure 5. Static loading results for 1100-0.



K (MPa√m)

Figure 6. Static loading results for 6061-T651.



Figure 7. 7075-T651 Static loading results.



(

Figure 8. Fracture surface in mercury under fatigue loading conditions for 1100-0, $\Delta K > 5$ MPa/m, Crack growth in the LS plane. The marker is 100 mm.

್ ೧

Figure 9. Fracture surface in mercury under fixed load conditions for 1100-1, K > ~ 8 MPa/m. The marker is 100 μm .

• • • • • •

·. •



Figure 10. Higher magnification of Figure 9 showing dimples. The marker is 100 µm.

Figure 11. Fracture appearance in mercury under fixed displacement conditions for 1100-0, K > ~ 8 MPa \sqrt{m} . The marker is 100 μ m.



Figure 12. Fracture appearance in mercury under fatigue loading conditions for 6061-T651, ΔK > ~ 8 MPa/m, either 5 Hz or 30 Hz. The marker is 100 μm.



. Lui

Figure 13. Fracture appearance in mercury under static loading conditions for 6061-T651, K > ~ 9 MPa \sqrt{m} . The marker is 100 µm.

Ĩ

ĺ

Figure 14. Fracture appearance in mercury under cyclic loading conditions for 7075-T651, $\Delta K > \sim 3$ MPa/m, 5 Hz or 30 Hz. The marker is 50 μ m.

Figure 15. Fracture appearance in mercury under displacement control static loading conditions for 7075-T651, K > ~ 2 MPa/m. The marker is 50 μ m.

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

NO. OF COPIES

CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING BRANCH ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-D 1 1 -DA 1 -DP -DR 1 -DS (SYSTEMS) 1 -DS (ICAS GROUP) 1 -DC 1 -DM 1 CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT BRANCH ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-S 1 -SE i. CHILF, RESEARCH BRANCH 2 ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-R 1 -R (ELLEN FOGARTY) -RA 1 -RM 2 -RP 1 -RT 1 TECHNICAL LIBRARY 5 ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING JNIT 2 ATTN: SHCAR-LCB-TL DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE 1 DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE 1 DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE 1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		NO. OF COPIES		NO. OF COPIES
ASST S RESEAR ATTN: THE PE	EC OF THE ARMY CH & DEVELOPMENT DEP FOR SCI & TECH NTAGON GTON D.C. 20315	1	COMMANDER US ARMY AMCCOM ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMAN DEFENS ATTN: CAMERO	DER E TECHNICAL INFO CENTER DTIC-DDA N STATION	12	COMMANDER ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SMCRI-ENM (MAT SCI DIV) ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
ALEXAN COMMAN US ARM ATTN:	DRIA, VA 22314 DER Y MAT DEV & READ COMD DRCDE-SG	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE ENG ACTV ATTN: DRXIB-M ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
5001 E ALEXANI COMMANI	ISENHOWER AVE DRIA, VA 22333 DER		COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D COMD ATTN: TECH LIB - DRSTA-TSL WARREN, MI 48090	1
ARMAME	NT RES & DEV CTR			
US ARM	Y AMCCOM		COMMANDER	
ATTN:	SMCAR-LC	1	US ARMY TANK-AUTMV COMD	1
	SMCAR-LCE	ī	ATTN: DRSTA-RC	-
	SMCAR-LCM (BLDG 321)	1	WARREN MT 48090	
	SMCAR-LCS	1		
	SMCAR-LCU	Ĺ	COMMANDER	
	SMCAR-LCW	1	US MILITARY ACADEMY	
	SMCAR-SCM-D (PLASTICS TECH EVAL CTR, 3LDG. 351N)	1	ATTN: CHMN, MECH ENGR DEPT WEST POINT, NY 10996	l
DOVER,	3MCAR-TSS (STINFO) NJ 07301	2	US ARMY MISSILE COMD REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFO CTR ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, BLDG. 448	2
DIRECT)R		REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898	
3ALLIS'	TICS RESEARCH LABORATORY	1	•	
ATTN: ABERDE	AMXBR-TSB-S (STINFO) EN PROVING GROUND, D 21005		COMMANDER US ARMY FGN SCIENCE & TECH CTR ATTN: DRXST-SD	1
MATERII ATTN: ABERDEI	EL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV DRXSY-MP EN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005	1	220 7TH STREET, N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901	L

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, SMCAR-LCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT'D)

	NO. OF COPIES		COPIES
COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIALS & MECHANICS RESEARCH CENTER ATTN: TECH LIB - DRXMR-PL WATERTOWN, MA 01272	2	DIRECTOR US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB ATTN: DIR, MECH DIV CODE 26-27, (DOC LIB) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375	1
COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN: CHIEF, IPO P.O. BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709	1	COMMANDER AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY ATTN: AFATL/DLJ AFATL/DLJG EGLIN AFB, FL 32542	1
COMMANDER US ARMY HARRY DIAMOND LAB ATTN: TECH LIB 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHIA, MD 20783	ì	METALS & CERAMICS INFO CTR BATTELLE COLUMBUS LAB 505 KING AVENUE COLUMBUS, OH 43201	<u>.</u>
COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CTR ATTN: TECHNICAL LIBRARY	1		

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS C ATTN: TECHNICAL LIBRAR CODE X212 DAHLGREN, VA 22448

2

Ĺ

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, SMCAR-LCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

