



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AFOSR-TR- 85-1038 ADAIL3	SION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Sublille) Superlattice Effects in Graphite Intercalat	ion s. Type of REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Annual Report: 1 Oct. 198 30 Sept. 1985
compounds	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(4)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
R.S. Markiewicz	F49620-82-C-0076
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Physics Department	ANEA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Ave	nue VIIV x F
Boston, MA 02115	2306 63
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
AFOSR	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Bolling Air Force Base, D.C. 20332	30
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office	Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
	unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution) 	lierent irom Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if distribution statement (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, if distribution statement) 	(lerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution statement of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution statement notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 	(lerent from Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 decoder in Block 20, 11 de	Ilerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution Statement Notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by blocintercalated graphite Condon domains 	(lerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution Statement (of the ebstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block intercalated graphite Condon domains quantum Hall effect 	llerent Iron Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement Notes 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by blocintercalated graphite Condon domains quantum Hall effect solitons superlattice 	Ilerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution is a superlate of the obstract entered in Block 20, if distribution is a superlattice 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block and its superlattice 	(lerent from Report)
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 discovered in Graphite intercalation comore pronounced than Condon domain formatic 	<pre>////////////////////////////////////</pre>
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 definition of the obstract entered in the obs	<pre>////////////////////////////////////</pre>

NY XX N KANG

AD-A162373

File Copy

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

basis for analyzing the data has been developed, and the high frequency resonance appears to be a transverse vibration of the domain array, similar to that predicted for the superconducting intermediate state.

AIR FORCE OFFICE AT SALENTYPECTY (AC -3- NOTICE OF TRIPANA approved Distribute MATTHEW J. KLAINA Chief, Technical Information Division

1. Summary of Research Goals and Plans

i) To thoroughly examine the recently discovered Condon phase in Br_2 (and possibly other) intercalation compounds, both to understand the soliton (domain wall) dynamics and to explore the predicted connections between this phase and the quantum Hall effect.

ate at alman at at the

ii) To use magnetooscillations and x-ray diffraction as probes to study superlattice formation in graphite intercalation compounds, particularly in those situations in which magnetic breakdown or field-induced phase transitions suggest that the superlattice may be generated by Fermi surface instabilities.

iii) To search for pressure-induced phase transitions in intercalation compounds, especially via resistivity probes.

2. Status of the Research Effort

a. Condon domains

The major part of our effort has been concentrated on the stage 2 Br_2 graphite intercalation compounds, where we have found convincing evidence of strong magnetic interaction with Condon domain formation.¹ Essentially, the oscillations in magnetization M due to the de Haas-van Alphen effect mean that the differential susceptibility χ - $\partial M/\partial B$ may be large even though M is small. In the Condon domain phase, the sample acts as a perfect differential paramagnet $\partial M/\partial B$ -1/4 π , and the sample is broken up into domains. For a two-dimensional system, the domains correspond to regions where different numbers of Landau levels are filled (e.g., N in one domain, N+1 in the other). This in turn means that the field through the sample is nonuniform, with excess

field flowing through regions with fewer Landau levels. This domain phase is closely analogous to the intermediate phase of a type I superconductor, where excess field flows through the sample via normal domains, or to the ferromagnetic domain state. Exploitation of these analogies has greatly aided our understanding of the Condon domain phase.

Condon domain formation has been observed previously in three-dimensional metals.¹ However, in common with all de Haas-van Alphen phenomena, the phase of the oscillations is extremely sensitive to crystalline imperfections -- to dislocations or even to mosaic spread in a single crystal -- and the susceptibility of the sample has shown only a smooth variation with field. In sharp contrast, we find that in a two-dimensional system,² the transition into or out of the Condon phase is virtually discontinuous: the steps in susceptibility are less than 5G wide, in a field of 6T. That is approximately the homogeneity of the field across the sample. And this not in a single crystal but in a polycrystalline (HOPG) specimen.

I believe this phase is worth intensive study for two reasons. First, the extreme sharpness of the transitions reveals very detailed structure within a single Landau level, affording a unique picture into the dynamics of the dense two-dimensional electron gas. The knowledge gained in studying the Condon domain phase should provide new insights into the problem of the low-density two-dimensional electron gas, in particular the quantum Hall effect. Secondly, the Condon domain phase is a new example of a nonlinear dynamical system. Such nonlinear systems are being intensely studied at present, and a number of universal features are displayed by systems as diverse as chargedensity waves, electron-hole plasmas, Josephson junctions, and convective

fluids (Rayleigh-Benard effect). In the Condon domain phase, the domain wall dynamics displays several nonlinear features -- resonance (similar to helicon wave resonance in ordinary metals), hysteresis, and chaos. The number of avenues for research this phase opens up is extremely large, and will keep me busy for several years. In the following paragraphs I will briefly summarize the present status of the research.

i. Dynamics. Our inductive probe of magnetization offers an ideal method of studying the dyanamics of domain wall motion. We directly measure the a.c. susceptibility, which develops an imaginary component (loss mechanism) when the system is in the domain phase. The loss is directly attributable to domain wall dynamics: in the two-phase region, the magnetic field inside each domain is fixed, so the only way the sample magnetization can vary is for the domains to grow and shrink. The resulting domain wall motion is impeded by damping (eddy currents) and pinning mechanisms, and hence the a.c. response is out of phase with the applied field variation, leading to a complex The observed susceptibility has two forms of field susceptibility. dependence, both variation within a single cycle -- corresponding to condensation in a particular Landau level -- and a more gradual variation between cycles (as Landau index decreases). The former contains evidence of domain nucleation and domain-domain interactions. Each cycle corresponds to the intermediate state of a superconductor -- there is a critical field H_{c1} below which the uniform phase is stable, appearance of "normal" (higher field) domains above $\rm H_{c1}$, which gradually grow in size and number until, at $\rm H_{c2},$ they form a uniform phase. The difference with superconductors is that this new uniform phase again corresponds to exactly filled Landau levels, only one less

level. As the field increases the whole process begins again, so H_{c1} and H_{c2} are periodic functions of inverse field. The field variation in χ is not the same for each cycle, but varies slowly as field increases, presumably because the parameters of the domain phase (domain wall surface tension, damping, pinning, effective mass) are field dependent. Detailed analysis of the data requires an extensive theoretical development of domain energetics and dynamics. This theoretical analysis is therefore an intrinsic part of our work. I have developed a detailed description of the static properties of Condon domains,^{3,4} and am working on a theory of dynamic effects.⁵ This theory benefits greatly from the superconducting analogy, which is very close (indeed I have derived Ginsburg-Landau equations for the Condon domains). It will be discussed more fully below.

While a detailed analysis of the domain dynamics must await the full theory, the data show several significant features which can be qualitatively analyzed. Figs. 1 and 2 show the magnitude of χ_i (the imaginary part of the susceptibility) as a function of frequency, displaying three pronounced peaks. Each peak is associated with a different physical phenomenon, and we are analyzing all three in detail.

The highest frequency peak (-12kHz) seems clearly associated with a helicon-like oscillation. Away from resonance the domain effects are quite small due to strong eddy current shielding, but at resonance the oscillations are as intense as at much lower frequencies -- as if the samples had become transparent. The theory of these oscillations -- actually transverse vibrations of the domain tubes -- has been worked out by de Gennes and Matricon^{6,7} for the superconducting case. I have found that essentially the

-6-

12265555551

Feet Lecol

same resonance formula should hold for Condon domains. Plugging in the appropriate parameters, the predicted resonance should occur at ~7kHz, in reasonable agreement with observation (12kHz). Also the second harmonic should occur at four times this frequency, and we see evidence for this near 50kHz. The "Q" of the resonance is so high that we observe it directly as a ringing in the pick-up coil at the fields at which we enter or leave the domain phase (Fig. 3).

There are two possible origins for the middle-frequency resonance (~700Hz) seen in Fig. 1. This may be a "domain-wall resonance", similar to that observed in ferromagnetic materials,⁸ where the driving frequency just happens to equal the natural frequency $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k/m}$ of the domain wall, where k is the restoring force and m the effective mass of the wall. At present there are theoretical problems with this interpretation -- how does it differ from the above helicon resonance, and what is the appropriate expression for the effective mass. An alternative interpretation may be preferable. A similar effect is observed in superconductors,⁹ where it is interpreted as an eddy current effect. The a.c. field acts to depin the domains, with more efficient depinning at higher frequencies, until eddy currents limit the penetration of a.c. field into the sample. We have checked on a related sample (HNO3graphite: similar conductivity but no Condon domains at these temperatures) that eddy current effects do become important at ~1kHz, and the high-frequency falloff in Fig. 2 appears to follow an $exp-[\sqrt{f/(1kHz)}]$ -law, as might be expected for eddy current effects. More detailed calculations should be able to distinguish these two mechanisms.

The low-frequency peak is related with a dramatic change in the shape of

-7-

the oscillations (Fig. 4): the sharp steps are washed out as f is lowered, leaving only a nearly sinusoidal residual oscillation. Analysis of this effect is complicated, because it is not observed in all samples (indeed it is not observed on every cooldown of an individual sample). When it is clearly observed, it is found that the sharp structure can be restored by increasing the a.c. drive field at fixed frequency (Fig. 5). This suggests that the transition may be due to a "roughening transition."^{10,11} A classical roughening transition is associated with a moving interface in the presence of pinning centers. If there are few centers, the wall moves coherently. As the number of pin sites is increased, a sharp transition occurs above which the wall cannot move coherently, but broadens out (the wall thickness diverges). My thought is that the a.c. frequency creates a length scale for coherent motion of the domains: higher frequencies require shorter-distance motion and hence, effectively a smaller number of impurities interacting with the wall. As the frequency is lowered, the effective impurity concentration increases, and at some critical frequency, a roughening transition occurs. A prediction of the theory is that the system will escape from the roughened state if it is driven harder, and I am collaborating with Koplik and Levine at Slumberger to interpret the frequency dependence of the depinning field.

The data of Fig. 3 show another noteworthy dynamical feature. The highfrequency ringing repeats exactly the same pattern on each cycle of the a.c. drive frequency. This suggests that it is locked into the lower frequency, and that unlocking effects should be possible. We have indeed observed these unlocking effects (Fig. 6). The ringing can be observed either on each cycle, or every other, third, fourth, etc. cycle. This period doubling is very

Restaura harries

similar to the quasiperiodicity observed in Rayleigh-Benard $cells^{12}$ as a route to chaos, and indeed some of the ringing patterns in Fig. 6 appear completely chaotic. We are presently purchasing equipment for a more detailed study of this effect.

Fig. 7 shows one last dynamical effect: some samples display a strong hysteresis, in that the observed susceptibility is very different depending on whether the field is swept up or down. Again, based on the superconducting analogy, a simple interpretation comes to mind. For domains of N and N-1 Landau levels, one can have islands of N-levels in a background of N-1 sea, or islands of N-1. However the effects in Fig. 7 seem to be of a different nature, involving a competition between two different sections of Fermi surface (two de Haas-van Alphen frequencies): on the up-sweep, only the lower frequency is present, while the down sweep is dominated by the higher frequency. This competition is discussed below in greater detail.

ii. <u>Analogy to the quantum Hall effect (QHE)</u>.¹³ Theoretically, there should be a close connection between Condon domain formation and the QHE. Both effects occur in the two-dimensional electron gas in a magnetic field. The field inside each domain is quantized at precisely the value corresponding to a particular quantum Hall step. Vagner et al.¹⁴ have used this analogy to predict that the longitudinal resistivity would vanish in the domain phase. There are a number of outstanding differences between the two effects, however: a) the Condon domains are magnetic effects, while the QHE is predominantly electric; b) the comains are observed in physically thick samples, the QHE only in very thin; and c) the domains occur at high electron density, the QHE at low. My recent theoretical investigations^{3,4} have shown

that the first two distinctions are not as significant as might be thought. In particular, any excitation on a quantum Hall step must display <u>simultaneous</u> electrical and magnetic properties. In particular, the Condon domains are electrically charged and the ratio of charge to flux is quantized. In a thick sample the magnetic effects are dominant, but as the sample gets thinner eventually electric field effects win out, since the domain contains the same net charge independent of its thickness. While domains cannot continue to exist as the film thickness vanishes, they can exist in a film 50A thick -- i.e., of the thickness of samples in which the QHE is observed. In such thin samples there is also a domain to vortex transition, as in a type I superconductor.¹⁵ Hence the excess field will penetrate through isolated vortices which form a lattice on a Hall step. Thus not only will the longitudinal resistivity vanish, but the observed Hall effect will be quantized at the appropriate value, since isolated inclusions do not affect its value.¹⁶

The remaining problem is the density regimes. Condon domain formation requires $4\pi\chi>1$, while χ is proportional to the carrier density. Using a noninteracting electron model for χ gives values of the correct order of magnitude for the Br₂-graphite (although about a factor of three too small), but orders of magnitude too small to be relevent in the QHE regime. Azbel¹⁶ has suggested that electron-interaction effects can produce cusps in the curve of energy vs. field, and hence can enhance χ to the requisite range, but this remains to be proven.

Direct measurements of the resistivity in the Condon domain phase would be very important to test some of these ideas, and we are pursuing these measurements. However, there are complications, since Br_2 -graphite is not air stable. Essentially, whenever we have attempted to break the sample out of its sealed container or to intercalate it with leads in position, we have lost the sharp oscillations. We have now found that if the sample is broken under liquid N₂, we can maintain the sharp oscillations, and we have constructed a cell for making pressure-connect electrical contacts to the sample in liquid N₂, which will allow simultaneous d.c. and a.c. measurements of the sample. This should be important both for measuring d.c. resistivity and for studying a.c. enhanced flux-flow resistance, as seen in superconductors.⁹

At present, however, I do not think that we will see a zero-resistance state of Condon domains. The reason is that there are two Fermi surfaces in Br₂-graphite, and there is evidence for a competition between the two types of carriers. A Condon domain is associated with the Nth Landau level of one or the other carriers. For instance, if it is associated with the light-mass carrier, the heavy-mass carrier will not be on a Hall step. Hence its highest Landau level will be partly filled, allowing a finite resistance. Fig. 8 shows this competition directly in the de Haas-van Alphen oscillations. The trace shows three cycles of the lower field oscillation. Over the lower half of each cycle, the system is in the Condon domain phase associated with the lower frequency; over the half, in the phase associated with the higher frequency. The hysteresis observed is associated with a transition between these two types of domain -- indeed Fig. 7 is just an extreme case of the same phenomenon, where the up- and down-sweeps are essentially associated with the two types of domain. Note that when the system is in the low-frequency Condon domain state the high-frequency oscillations are completely wiped out (there

-11-

is no sign of the Landau levels numbered 101,102,105,106,109-11 in Fig. 8). Note also the direct evidence of competition near 11T: <u>both</u> Landau levels cross the Fermi level at the same field, and the oscillations have a much more complicated structure. <u>iii. Other aspects</u>. A requirement for seeing strong two-dimensional

Condon domain formation is that the electronic bandwidth parallel to the magnetic field be smaller than the Landau level separation. To estimate this width, I developed a theory of the c-axis conductivity for warped cylindrical Fermi surfaces.¹⁸ This not only showed that the bandwidth in Br_2 -graphite was of the correct magnitude, but it should also be important in its own right, since it gives a simple, coherent picture of c-axis conductivity, applicable in principle to all graphite intercalation compounds, both donor and acceptor.

We are looking for Condon domain formation in other graphite intercalation compounds, to understand more about what drives the formation and, hopefully, to find the effect in a stage I compound. This would eliminate the competition between Fermi surfaces and could allow observation of the zero-resistance state. So far, we have found the effect in a stage 2 HNO_3 compound, but only at high fields (\geq 13T) and low temperature (\leq 2K). In contrast, the domain phase in Br_2 -graphite persists up to at least 40K, although the threshold field is correspondingly higher at higher T.

A direct observation of the domains would be extremely important in confirming the overall picture, and could provide a great deal of information about domain size, shape, mobility, and nucleation. The only previous direct observation was by Condon and Walstedt,¹⁹ who used NMR to detect the presence of domains in a three-dimensional electron gas in Ag. We tried a similar

experiment, using R. Meservey 's low temperature NMR equipment at MIT, but did not have enough sensitivity, due to the small skin depth in the sample. We are trying a more direct observation technique. In a collaboration with IBM, we are using a fine Bi wire (1-1.5µm diameter) as a probe of inhomogeneous magnetic fields. Initially, we will hold the probe stationary and try to cause the domain to move, but if necessary, we will try to move the probe along the sample surface.

b. x-ray studies. Working with D. Chipman (AMMRC), I have now amassed a considerable amount of data showing that graphite intercalation compounds suffer from a "virgin cooldown syndrome". By this, I mean that in the initial cooldown we observe effects which are lost on subsequent cooldowns. Fig. 9 is a very clear example of this. In FeCl₂ graphite (provided by G. Zimmerman (BU)), we have discovered a low temperature phase transition -- I think the first observed by x-rays in an acceptor graphite intercalation compound below 100K. It is characterized by the appearance of a single sharp new peak in the in-plane spectrum of the incommensurate phase. By doing a scan along the Laxis, we find that this phase is associated with a high degree of c-axis order -- the unit cell size is nearly 100A. The new peak appears on cooling at about 60K, and then disappears at 20K. A similar disappearing peak also occurs in SbCl5-graphite. In neither case is the reason for this unusual behavior understood. On warming there was considerable hysteresis before the peak reappeared. As we watched, over several cycles of warming and cooling, the peak gradually disappeared. Fig. 9 shows the intensity variations as a function of time. On a subsequent cooldown no such peak was observed.

Similar behavior has been observed in several other compounds. In AsF5-

-13-

graphite we found evidence for three phase transitions -- the lowest of which seemed to be incommensurate along the c-axis. On sussequent cooldowns this phase did not reproduce. In H_2SO_4 -graphite, we observed what appeared to be a commensurate-incommensurate transition, in which a peak split into two peaks, which continuously shifted as the temperature decreased -- on the first cooldown. On subsequent cooldowns the transition was more abrupt, with the single peak being replaced by two well-split peaks. The reason for this behavior is apparently that lattice mismatch strains introduced on cooling gradually degrade the superlattice. However, it makes any detailed study of the new phases quite difficult. We have gotten a series of fresh FeCl₃graphite samples (and H_2SO_4 -graphite), in order to reproduce the effects and see how they vary with stage.

c. <u>Pressure studies</u>. We are now using pyrrhophylite gaskets in the tungsten carbide pressure cell, and have been able to get reproducible measurements of the in-plane resistivity of pure graphite. Present measurements are limited to about 20 kbars, at which point the lead wires break off. This is still an interesting pressure range and we will soon be repeating Christos Zahopoulos' (ungasketed) measurements on SbCl₅ and FeCl₃-graphite.

References

- D. Shoenberg, <u>Magnetic Oscillations in Metals</u> (Cambridge, 1984); J.H. Condon, Phys. Rev. <u>145</u>, 526 (1966).
- R.S. Markiewicz, M. Meskoob, and C. Zahopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>54</u>, 1436 (1985) (Section 4, Ref. 4); R.S. Markiewicz and M. Meskoob, to be published (Ref. 4.7).
- 3. Ref. 4.8.
- 4. Ref. 4.9.
- 5. Ref. 4.10.
- 6. P.G. de Gennes and J. Matricon, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>36</u>, 45 (1964).
- 7. P. Nozieres and W.F. Vinen, Phil. Mag. <u>14</u>, 667 (1966).
- 8. G.T. Rado, R.W. Wright, W.H. Emerson, and A. Terris, Phys. Rev. <u>88</u>, 909 (1950).
- 9. R.P. Huebener, G. Kostorz, and V.A. Rowe, J. Low T. Phys. 4, 73 (1971).
- 10. J. Koplik and H. Levine, to be published.
- 11. D.A. Huse and C.L. Henley, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>54</u>, 2708 (1985).
- 12. J. Stavans, F. Heslot, and A. Libchaber, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>55</u>, 596 (1985).
- 13. K. Von Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>45</u>, 494 (1980).
- 14. I.D. Vagner, T. Maniv, and E. Ehrenfreund, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>51</u>, 1700 (1983).
- 15. M. Tinkham, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>36</u>, 268 (1964).
- 16. R.E. Prange, Phys. Rev. B23, 4802 (1981).
- 17. M. Ya. Azbel', Phys. Rev. B30, 2273 (1984).
- 18. Ref. 4.7.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. Domain wall resonance in Br_2 -graphite: imaginary part of susceptibility (χ_1) vs. frequency showing peaks at 400 and 700 Hz.
- Fig. 2. Helicon wave resonance in Condon domains: χ_1 vs. frequency in a higher frequency range (1-25kHz).
- Fig. 3. "Ringing" in the domain phase. Figure shows photos of four oscilloscope traces. The low frequency oscillations correspond to the applied a.c. frequency. The high frequency modulation is due to excitation of the helicon resonances when the system suddenly enters the domain phase.
- Fig. 4. Real part of susceptibility (χ_r) vs. field for a series of frequencies near the low frequency resonances of Fig. 1. Dashed lines are indicative of "ringing."
- Fig. 5. Susceptibility vs. field at 112Hz for two values of a.c. excitation voltage.

- Fig. 6. Pick-up signal, voltage vs. time, showing high-frequency ringing superposed on the fundamental a.c. frequency. All are taken at the same a.c. voltage but slightly different d.c. magnetic fields. In trace (a), ringing repeats at the fundamental frequency; trace (b) shows period 2; (c), (f) are period 3; (d) period 4(?); and (e) is chaotic.
- Fig. 7. Susceptibility vs. field for a different sample of Br₂-graphite. Sweeps with increasing vs. decreasing field show prominent hysteresis.

Detail of trace of susceptibility vs. field, showing the competition between the two Fermi surfaces, with periods 262T and 1162T. Numbers below (above) curve show the occupied Landau level of the smaller (larger) Fermi surfaces. si vitin da a caracteriti dese su son data basacarta (desectes on nicerces on h

Fig. 9. Phase transition in FeCl₃-graphite. Curve shows x-ray intensity vs. T for extra peak in spectrum. Traces are presented as a function of time, showing gradual loss of intensity of the extra peak.

Fig. 8.









ŀ





J





¥:, 5









3. Research Equipment Acquired:

None yet.

4. List of Publications

- "Field-induced Phase Transition in AsF₅-graphite," R.S. Markiewicz,
 C. Zahopoulos, D. Chipman, J. Milliken, and J.E. Fischer in P.C.
 Eklund, M.S. Dresselhaus, and G. Dresselhaus, Eds. <u>Graphite</u> <u>Intercalation Compounds: Extended Abstracts</u> (Pittsburgh, Mat. Res. Soc., 1984), p. 42.
- "Magnetic Interference and Breakdown in Intercalated Graphite," C.
 Zahopoulos and R.S. Markiewicz, <u>ibid.</u>, p. 48.
- "Magnetooscillations in Intercalated Graphite Single Crystals," M.
 Meskoob, C. Zahopoulos, and R.S. Markiewicz, ibid., p. 57.
- R.S. Markiewicz, M. Meskoob, and C. Zahopoulos, "Giant Magnetic Interaction (Condon Domains) in Two Dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>54</u>, 1436 (1985).
- R.S. Markiewicz, M. Meskoob, and C. Zahopoulos," Giant Shoenberg Effect (Condon Domains) in a Two-dimensional System," Bull. A.P.S. <u>30</u>, 284 (1985).
- R.S. Markiewicz and M. Meskoob, "Domain Wall Dynamics in the Condon Phase," in preparation.
- R.S. Markiewicz, "c-axis Conductivity of Graphite Intercalation Compounds," to be published, Sol. St. Commun.

- R.S. Markiewicz, "Condon Domains in a Two-dimensional Electron Gas,
 I. Domain to Vortex (Modulated) Transition," submitted to Phys. Rev.
 B.
- R.S. Markiewicz, "Condon Domains in a Two-dimensional Electron Gas, II. Charging Effects," submitted to Phys. Rev. B.
- R.S. Markiewicz, "Condon Domains in a Two-dimensional Electron Gas, III. Dynamic Effects," in preparation.

5. Professional Personnel:

- R.S. Markiewicz, Principal Investigator
- C. Zahopoulos, Graduate Student[†]
- M. Meskoob, Graduate Student
- K. Chen, Graduate Student
- B. Maheswaran, Graduate Student
- L. Fotiadis, Graduate Student
- X. Wu, Graduate Student (Reading Course)
- M. El Rayess, Post Doc

[†]Received Ph.D. 3/85. Thesis "Fermiology of Acceptor Graphite Intercalation Compounds Using de Haas-van Alphen and Shubnikov-de Haas Measurements."

CS35557

6. Interactions

- a. Papers presented at scientific meetings:
 - Refs. 1-3, presented at Materials Research Society Meeting, Boston, November 1984.
 - (ii) Ref. 5 presented at APS March Meeting, Baltimore, MD, March 1985.
- b. Seminars given or arranged:
 - (i) "Superlattices and Phase Transitions in Graphite Intercalation Compounds," IBM, Yorktown Heights, October 26, 1984.
 - (ii) "Giant Magnetic Interaction in a Two-Dimensional System: Possible Connection to the Quantum Hall Effect," Northeastern Physics Dept. Colloquium, November 1984.
 - (iii) "Phase Transitions in Graphite Intercalation Compounds,"Chemistry Dept., Northeastern, February 25, 1985.
 - (iv) "Condon Domains and Chaos in Graphite Intercalation Compounds,"Dresselhaus group meeting, MIT, September 19, 1985.
 - (v) Visit to J. Koplik and H. Levine, Slumberger, July 1985.
- c. Collaborations
 - Dr. David Chipman, A.M.M.R.C., Watertown Arsenal: Transmission x-ray studies.
 - (ii) Prof. J. Fischer, U. Penn., Philadelphia: magnetooscillations in mercurographites.
 - (iii) Dr. J. Milliken, NRL: magnetooscillations and x-ray studies of AsF_5 -graphite with excess F.

- (iv) Prof. R. Clarke, U. Mich., Ann Arbor: magnetooscillations and x-ray studies of single crystals of HNO₃-graphites.
- M.J. Brady, R. Webb and Dr. E. Pakulis, IBM, Yorktown Heights:
 formation of Bi microprobes to observe domains in Br₂-graphite.
- (vi) Prof. J. Brooks, B.U., Boston, NMR of Br_2 in Br_2 -graphite.
- (vii) Prof. L. Falicov, U.C., Berkeley: calculation of magnetic breakdown in 2-d.
- (viii) Prof. G. Zimmerman and A. Ibrahim, B.U.: magnetic intercalation compounds (FeCl₃)

7. Patents

1.5.5.5.5.5

kaaroa kussiis

N.U. lawyers are doing patent search regarding possible patent on d.c. transformer based on Condon domains.

