



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



US Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory



TECHNICAL REPORT E-86/01 October 1985

# AD-A162 248 Photovoltaic Power System Performance: A Case Study at Fort Huachuca, Arizona

by D. M. Joncich R. A. Ducey

A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected, photovoltaic (PV) power system is described. In this case study, the PV system in service at the Holman Guest House, Fort Huachuca, AZ, is evaluated for baseline data that will allow comparisons to be made with other PV systems. Designed and installed at a cost of \$112,000, the system was funded by the Department of Energy's Federal Photovoltaic Utilization Program.

Performance data gathered during 1983 by Arizona State University are summarized. The Holman Guest House power system provided nearly 8600 kWh of electricity during the test period, with no system failure and only minimal maintenance. This corresponds to approximately 11 percent of the facility's electrical requirement.

If the system operates reliably for 20 years, the cost of photovoltaic energy would be roughly \$0.50/kWh. Based on information gained from the demonstration project, the feasibility of future photovoltaic systems is discussed.

DTIC FILE COPY

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

> DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO I ONGER NEEDED DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR

REPORT DOCUMENTAT	ION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	1. FECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
CERL TR-E-86/01	AD A162	248
TITLE (and Sublicie)	A//~	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
PHOTOVOLTAIC POWER SYSTEM PERI	FORMANCE: A CASE	
STUDY AT FORT HUACHUCA, ARIZON	NA	FINAL
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR()	· <u></u>	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
D. M. Joncich		MIRP 41040 (1001)
R. A. Ducey		MIPK A1049 (1981)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD	DRESS	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
P O Box 4005	esearch Laboratory	
Champaign, IL 61820-1305		
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12. REPORT DATE
		October 1985
		13 NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS//	Illerent from Controlling Office)	15 SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
	•••••	
		154 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of	distribution unlimite	ed.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; o DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract o	listribution unlimite	ed. m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; o DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract o	distribution unlimite	ed. m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; o DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract o GC	listribution unlimite	ed. m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect of God Supplementary notes	listribution unlimite	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect of GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the	listribution unlimite nered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 221	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the	iistribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 221	m Report) Information Service 161
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces	listribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical J Springfield, VA 223	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ or continue	istribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 22] eary and identify by block number,	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebelfact of God SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems	istribution unlimite neered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect of GC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems	listribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical J Springfield, VA 223	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems	listribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliact of GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems	distribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical 1 Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number,	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems	distribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, if different fro National Technical J Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number, way and identify by block number)	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstreet e GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected,	distribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power sys	ed. m Report) information Service 161 stem is described. In this
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GGC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if neceo Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected, case study, the PV system in ser	distribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power sys- vice at the Holman Guest Ho	ed. m Report) Information Service 161 Stem is described. In this puse, Fort Huachuca, AZ,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstreet of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems ASTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if neces A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected, case study, the PV system in service eide if neces	distribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, if different fro National Technical 1 Springfield, VA 223 wary and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power sys- vice at the Holman Guest Ho at will allow comparisons to	ed. m Report) Information Service 161 Stem is described. In this puse, Fort Huachuca, AZ, be made with other PV
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aldo if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aldo if neces A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected, case study, the PV system in service of the system in service of the systems is evaluated for baseline data the systems. Designed and installed a	listribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, if different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power sys- vice at the Holman Guest Ho at will allow comparisons to t a cost of \$112,000, the sys-	ed. m Report) information Service 161 Stem is described. In this puse, Fort Huachuca, AZ, be made with other PV system was funded by the
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of GCC SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ Photovoltaic power systems ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if neces A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected, case study, the PV system in service study, the PV system in service systems. Designed and installed a Department of Energy's Federal Pr	listribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, 11 different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power sys- vice at the Holman Guest Ho at will allow comparisons to t a cost of \$112,000, the sy- notovoltaic Utilization Progra	ed. m Report) Information Service 161 Stem is described. In this puse, Fort Huachuca, AZ, be made with other PV ystem was funded by the m.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ 'hotovoltaic power systems ASTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if neces 'A 5-kW-peak, grid-connected, case study, the PV system in service elde if neces is evaluated for baseline data the systems. Designed and installed a Department of Energy's Federal Pt	listribution unlimite ntered in Block 20, if different fro National Technical I Springfield, VA 223 sery and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power systeries at the Holman Guest Ho at will allow comparisons to t a cost of \$112,000, the synchrony of the synchro	ed. m Report) information Service 161 stem is described. In this puse, Fort Huachuca, AZ, be made with other PV ystem was funded by the m. (Cont'd)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are available from the KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if neces Fort Huachuca, AZ	histribution unlimited nerved in Block 20, if different from National Technical I Springfield, VA 223 eary and identify by block number, photovoltaic (PV) power syster vice at the Holman Guest Ho at will allow comparisons to t a cost of \$112,000, the synthetic hotovoltaic Utilization Program	ed. m Report) information Service 161 Stem is described. In this puse, Fort Huachuca, AZ, be made with other PV ystem was funded by the m. (Cont'd)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

BLOCK 20 (Continued)

Performance data gathered during 1983 by Arizona State University are summarized. The Holman Guest House power system provided nearly 8600 kWh of electricity during the test period, with no system failure and only minimal maintenance. This corresponds to approximately 11 percent of the facility's electrical requirement.

If the system operates reliably for 20 years, the cost of photovoltaic energy would be roughly \$0.50/kWh. Based on information gained from the demonstration project, the feasibility of future photovoltaic systems is discussed.

recorde indude )

### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

### FOREWORD

This work was performed by the Energy Systems Division (ES) of the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USA-CERL) under Military Interdepartmental Procurement Request A1049 (1981). USA-CERL did the work for the U.S. Department of Energy through the U.S. Army Fort Belvoir Research and Development Center (BRDC). S. Cerami, DRDME-EAC, was the BRDC Technical Monitor. The work was coordinated with the office of the Chief of Engineers (OCE) with Technical Monitors E. Zulkofske, DAEN-ECE-E, and B. Wasserman, DAEN-ZCF-U.

Appreciation is expressed to Dr. P. Russell and K. Tygret of Arizona State University for developing the system monitoring plan and to K. Van Karsen, D. Oliver, and W. D. Clark from the Fort Huachuca Directorate of Engineering and Housing for their work in gathering the performance data. Support for the project was also provided by Drs. A. Averbuch and D. Johnson of USA-CERL. R. G. Donaghy is Chief of USA-CERL-ES.

COL Paul J. Theuer is Commander and Director of USA-CERL, and Dr. L. R. Shaffer is Technical Director.

(	
Accesion For	
NTIS CRA&I	
J 100 + 10	1
By D. tisucinf	
Availab Lty Codes	
Lit Avail and for Special	
OUALITY AL	
INSPLOTED	

### CONTENTS

		Pag
	DD FORM 1473	1
	FOREWORD	3
	LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	5
1	INTRODUCTION	7
	Purpose	
	Approach	
2	SITE AND SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	7
	System	
3	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	10
	Acceptance Testing	
	Data Collection and Analysis	
	O&M Assistance	
	Performance Summary	
4	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PREDICTING	
	SYSTEM FEASIBILITY	15
	The Fort Huachuca Case Study	
	Future Military PV Projects	
	APPENDIX A: Summary of System Hardware	17
	APPENDIX B: Sample Logsheets	20

DISTRIBUTION

### TABLES

lumt	ber	Page
1	Explanation of Terms	11
2	Energy Available	11
3	Energy Delivered by the PV Array	12
4	System Downtime	12
5	System Efficiency	13
6	Capacity Factors by Month and Year	14
7	Cost Savings	14

### FIGURES

1	The Holman Guest House, Fort Huachuca, AZ, With Roof- Mounted PV Array	8
2	PV System Overview	8
3	System Schematic	9
4	PV System "Sunny Day" Performance	13

### PHOTOVOLTAIC POWER SYSTEM PERFORMANCE: A CASE STUDY AT FORT HUACHUCA, ARIZONA

### INTRODUCTION

#### Background

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Act of  $1978^1$  mandated that the DOE cooperate with other Federal agencies to implement a program accelerating the procurement and installation of photovoltaic (PV) systems at Federal facilities. Public Law  $95-619^2$  authorized the Federal Photovoltaic Utilization Program (FPUP) to provide for the acquisition of PV solar electric systems at an annual level great enough to encourage development of low-cost production techniques. Other objectives of this program were to:

1. Accelerate the growth of a commercially active and competitive industry that would make PV systems available to the general public.

2. Reduce fossil fuel consumption and costs for the Federal government.

3. Stimulate the general use, within the Federal government, of methods for minimizing PV life-cycle costs.

4. Develop performance data on PV technology.

To achieve these objectives, FPUP supported PV applications with significant market potential in the private sector, in foreign countries, and within Federal organizations. FPUP was implemented in four funding cycles and included demonstrations ranging from small, remote systems to larger, grid-connected ones. The project described in this report was funded as part of Cycle III. It provided the Army, through the Fort Belvoir Research and Development Center, its first opportunity to apply a PV power system to a permanent facility.

### Purpose

The main purpose of this work is to analyze the performance of a 5-kW-peak grid-connected PV power system designed by Monegon Ltd. under contract to the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USA-CERL)<sup>3</sup> and to evaluate the performance data for potential use as a basis in analyzing future PV systems-both remote and grid-connected. A secondary objective is to gain an understanding of the PV system's operation and maintenance (O&M) requirements to help installations using the system receive optimal energy savings.

#### Approach

A contract was awarded to Arizona State University (ASU), in January 1983 to monitor performance of the PV system installed at the Holman Guest House, Fort Huachuca, AZ. Data gathered by ASU were then analyzed. The ASU team also was to provide assistance with system O&M to gain experience that would allow them to recommend O&M procedures tailored to the system.

### **2** SITE AND SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

#### Site

The Holman Guest House is a one story, motel-like structure with a nominal roof area of 12,000 sq ft<sup>\*</sup> available for mounting the PV array (Figure 1). The roof contains a ridge that runs east-west along its long dimension; the pitch along the other axis is 0.5 in./ft. The building is serviced by 100-amp 120/208 threephase electrical mains and is metered separately. Recording ammeter readings taken on ite indicated that the facility's baseline electrical consumption was about 9 kW at the time of PV system installation.

The Guest House was selected for the project because it is located in a well lit, high visibility area and has a properly oriented, nearly flat roof. In addition, Fort Huachuca's sunny climate was expected to allow maximum electrical output from the PV array.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Public Law 95-238, Department of Energy Act, 92 Stat. 47 (25 February 1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Public Law 95-619, National Energy Conservation Policy Act, 92 Stat. 3206 (9 November 1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>D. M. Joncich, A Photovoltaic Power System for the Holman Guest House, Fort Huachuca, AZ, Technical Report E-195/ADA 142646 (USA-CERL, May 1984).

<sup>•</sup>Metric conversions: 1 sq ft =  $0.0929 \text{ m}^3$ ; 1 in. = 2.54 cm; 1 kWh = 3.6 MJ; 1 W = 1 J/sec.



Figure 1. The Holman Guest House, Fort Huachuca, AZ (with roof-mounted PV array).

#### System

Installation of the PV system was completed in October 1982. The system contains a PV array mounted on the roof in an aluminum framework secured at a fixed angle of 30 degrees from the horizontal. The array direct current (d.c.) output (about 210 V) is converted to alternating current (a.c.) by an inverter located inside a protected area next to the building. The inverter output powers part of the house's electrical loads.

The array consists of 196 Solarex Corporation Model 5300 EG panels. Under full sunlight, each panel produces about 31.5 W at 15 V d.c. The array is wired so that 14 parallel strings of 14 panels are connected in series. Each string is provided with a blocking diode to minimize degradation of the array performance should one of the strings fail. Each panel also has a bypass diode that allows a single string to operate, even if a panel in that string fails (Figure 2).

The panels are constructed from a sheet of tempered glass to which solar cells are bonded. The cells are wafers cut from 4-in.-diameter single crystals of silicon. Each panel has 38 cells connected in series. The base material is p-type, boron-doped silicon. The cells have electrical contacts silk-screened on the front and are encapsulated in ultraviolet-stabilized silicon rubber. The glass-cell assembly is enclosed in an aluminum frame.

The PV system feeds power into the utility grid if electricity production exceeds the demand at the Guest House (see Figure 3). Similarly, the grid provides power during periods of excess demand and at night. System operation is totally automatic.



Figure 2. PV system overview.





The system inverter converts power produced by the PV array into 208-V, three-phase a.c. The inverter is a solid-state, line-commutated device designed specifically for this application. Using the a.c. utility lines to determine the voltage waveform and frequency, the inverter produces high-quality, 12-pulse output at an efficiency of about 85 percent. It disconnects and shuts down automatically when the grid power is interrupted.

The site instrumentation consists of three totalizing a.c. kWh meters. The first records the total PV system power output. The second measures the total power provided by the utility grid to the Guest House; it has been detinted so that it will not decrement when energy from the PV system is fed back into the grid. The third meter records the Guest House's total power consumption. A terminal strip also has been installed at ground level to facilitate measurement of the PV system's d.c. and a.c. voltages and currents.

A surge arrester protects the electronic circuitry from lightning. This device uses a silicon-oxide junction which is normally insulating, but which ionizes in the presence of a high voltage. When ionized, this junction protects the components downstream. Lightning rods were not considered necessary because nearby buildings and lamp posts are higher than the Guest House.

Appendix A summarizes the equipment contained in the PV system at the Guest House.

### **3** SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

#### Acceptance Testing

The PV system at Fort Huachuca was acceptancetested in two phases. In the first phase, the contractor installing the system submitted all relevant project documentation; in the second phase, Fort Huachuca Directorate of Engineering and Housing (DEH) staff inspected the site to substantiate that the system, as installed, met the project specifications. System operators also were trained at this time.

During the second phase, DEH developed a list of construction deficiencies and recommended they be corrected before the system was accepted. Most of these items were minor and were addressed by the contractor, Monegon Ltd., in December 1982. The Government formally accepted the PV system at the Guest House in February 1983, but the system had been functionally operational since October 1982.

### **Data Collection and Analysis**

In January 1983, ASU was contracted to monitor the PV system and gather data that would document its first year's performance. ASU was also to assist DEH staff with O&M.

A list of definitions was developed for consistency in reviewing data in the ASU report. Table 1 summarizes these definitions.

The monitoring contract specified which system parameters were to be recorded and tabulated. Those specifications and results drawn from the ASU report can be summarized as follows.

### Monthly Values for Solar Energy Available to the PV Array

From April to October 1983, a faulty integrating system was collecting data for array output and solar insolation. A new system was subsequently installed; data from the new system are in Table 2. This table shows solar insolation levels higher than predicted for that time of year. Data on array output (Table 3) confirm that more energy was produced (and, therefore, more was available) in the latter part of 1983 than expected. Table 3 also shows, however, that data from the last quarter of 1983 is atypical for most of the year. Based on this observation, it is safe to say that solar insolation, during 1983, fell below the predicted mean.

### Monthly Electrical Energy Delivered by the PV System and Utility Grid

A logsheet was developed and ASU used it to record data and calculate energy delivered to Holman House. Appendix B contains sample logsheets. Voltage and current readings for each PV array were taken to insure that the system was completely operational. The three power meters kept records of the total power consumed by the Guest House, power delivered by the PV system, and power delivered by the utility grid. Table 3 includes the data on power delivered by the PV array compared with predicted values. Approximately 11 percent of the facility's 80,690-kWh annual energy requirement was provided by the PV system.

Table 3 shows wide variance between the values predicted and actual data recorded. This is partly due to downtime caused by the utility grid. Also, Monegon had provided predicted values which were rough estimates for a "typical" year. It was observed that energy available to the array fell below the mean during 1983.

### Table 1

### Explanation of Terms

Term	Unit of Measure	Definition		
Capacity Factor	<u>5</u>	Ratio of amount of energy actually produced to amount that would have been produced at the system's rated out- put, 24 hr/day.		
Solar insolation	k Wh	Direct solar energy to the array.*		
Inverter input/array output	k Wh	Amount of energy array produces from sunlight.**		
Array efficiency	%	Ratio of array output to solar insolation, $\times$ 100.		
Inverter efficiency	%	Ratio of PV output (kWh) meter read- ings to inverter input, $\times$ 100.		
System efficiency	%	<b>Product</b> of array efficiency ratio and inverter efficiency ratio, × 100.		
Downtime	Hr	Period of time the system was not in operation.		

\*The array area is 1051 sq ft or 97.64  $m^2$ . A conversion factor of 3.9056 must be used to convert mV readings from the meter to kWh.

\*\*The amount of d.c. current multiplied by 0.336 is the energy produced in kWh.

### Table 2

### **Energy Available**

	Solar Insolation (kWh)				
Dates	Actual	Predicted	Actual/Predicted (%)		
10-29 Nov 83	10598	7440	142		
30 Nov-6 Dec 83	2940	2146	137		
7-30 Dec 83	12116	7358	165		
31 Dec 83–10 Jan 84	3853	3716	104		
11-18 Jan 84	4584	3041	151		
19 Jan-3 Feb 84	9569	5713	167		

### Table 3

Energy Delivered by the PV Array

Month (1983)	Actual (kWh)	Predicted (kWh)	Actual/Predicted (%)
January	563	572	98
Feburary	674	669	101
March	858	955	90
April	858	1150	75
May	763	1316	58
June	688	1274	54
July	749	1117	67
August	544	1049	52
September	714	928	77
October	737	796	93
November	750	598	125
December	675	517	131
Annual	8573	10941	78

### Table 4

### System Downtime\*

Date	Duration (hr)	Explanation		
3 Feb 83	111	Utility line faults and resulting power bumps and repairs		
20 Apr 83	72	Post power outage		
8 Jun 83	24	Three separate outages, 8 hr each		
13 Jun 83	8	Power outage		
11 Jul 83	48	Power outage		
1 Aug 83	48	Thunderstorm caused outage		
19 Aug 83	96	Power outages		

\*System downtime was considered to be time during the previous week when the system was unable to operate.

The logsheet was also used to record any downtime and its cause. Weather conditions, including temperature, solar insolation level, and a general description were specifically recorded or given in a "notes" section. This information helps clarify the system's performance analysis. For example, Table 3 shows that energy production levels in August were only 52 percent of predicted values. But inspection of the logsheets for that period reveals low solar insolation levels due to overcast weather and 144 hr of downtime caused by utility grid outages onpost.

### System Downtime

The system downtime recorded on the logsheets is summarized in Table 4; these data include the frequency and duration of grid outages during the data collection period. The table indicates that all downtime was attributable to power outages onpost. The PV array proved quite reliable and never failed to convert energy when conditions external to the system were favorable.

### PV System O&M Requirements in Terms of Time and Repair Costs

Other than a problem with some of the test instrumentation, the ASU team was never required to make repairs. Therefore, they had no opportunity to experience hands-on maintenance procedures for the power system. It should be noted that PV systems are advertised as being virtually maintenance-free, needing only periodic checks to ensure they are operating efficiently.

### PV System's Average Monthly

### and Yearly Efficiency

The faulty integrating meter prevented obtaining complete data for this performance parameter, but Table 5 is an accurate indicator of average efficiency for the entire test period. Figure 4 plots the energy curves for a typical "sunny day" and shows that a PV system should consistently convert about 5 percent of the solar energy incident on the array. The Holman Guest House system efficiency agrees with this predicted value.

# PV System's Monthly and Yearly Capacity Factors

The capacity factor for any power system is based on the amount of energy the system can produce in 24 hrs if run at its peak. Conditions for earthbound PV systems allow only a fraction of this energy production level and capacity factors are generally lower than those of other power plants.

Fort Huachuca's PV is rated at 5 kW peak. The monthly base level, then, is 120 kWh/24 hr, times the number of days in the month. Table 6 shows a consistent factor of 20 percent, which is typical for PV systems.

### Monthly and Yearly Dollar Savings in Energy Delivered

Dollar savings were calculated by multiplying the PV system output by the local electrical rate of \$0.0589/kWh. Table 7 indicates an annual savings of

Table :	5
---------	---

Date	Solar Insolation (kWh)	Array Output (kWh)	PV System Output (kWh)	Array Eff. (%)	Inverter Eff. (%)	System Eff, (%)
10 Nov 83	19796	1087	922	5.5	84.9	4.7
29 Nov 83	10598	577	493	5.4	85.4	4.6
6 Dec 83	2940	160	133	5.4	84.4	4.5
30 Dec 83	12116	651	550	5.4	84.4	4.6
10 Jan 84	3853	206	168	5.3	81.6	4.3
18 Jan 84	4584	251	215	5.5	85.7	4.7
2 Feb 84	9569	530	453	5.5	85.3	4.7

System	Efficiency	/₩

\*System efficiency is based on the system's output and solar insolation available to the array.



TIME



### Table 6

### Capacity Factors by Month and Year

2

Month (1983)	Actual (kWh)	Capacity (kWh)	Capacity Factor (%)
January	563	3720	15
February	674	3360	20
March	858	3720	23
April	858	3600	24
May	763	3720	21
June	688	3600	19
July	749	3720	20
August	544	3720	15
September	714	3600	20
October	737	3720	20
November	750	3600	21
December	675	3720	18
Annual	8573	43800	20

### Table 7

### **Cost Savings**

Month (1983)	Output (kWh)	Savings (\$)
January	563	33.16
February	674	39.70
March	858	50.54
April	858	50.54
May	763	44.94
June	688	40.52
July	749	44.12
August	544	32.04
September	714	42.05
October	737	43.41
November	750	44.18
December	675	39.76
Annual	8573	504.96

\$505. If all conditions remain the same, it would take 222 years for the project to pay back its original \$112,000 investment. However, this projection is meaningless since economic conditions will not remain constant.

### **O&M** Assistance

In addition to compiling the test data, ASU was to assist Fort Huachuca's DEH with O&M. The PV system's reliability kept these duties to a minimum and the ASU team made only routine site trips

### System Logsheet to help DEH Collect Required Data

The logsheet ASU developed has already been discussed in this report and a sample copy is in Appendix B. DEH recorded data approximately bi-weekly and sent it to ASU for evaluation.

### Recommended Type and Frequency of Routine System Maintenance

Based on ASU's analysis, some procedures can be suggested that should help in PV system maintenance.

First, the southern Arizona desert experiences diverse weather conditions. In the event of lasting snow cover or heavy dustorms, the panels should be cleaned as soon afterward as weather permits. Panels and wires should also be checked at this time for possible damage.

In addition, the Gemini inverter is a heat-sensitive part and should always be open to ventilation. The inverter has several small, integrated circuit boards, fuses, relays, and optoisolators that can develop faults. For troubleshooting the inverter, it should be emphasized that test instruments must be isolated from earth ground for proper readings.

These limited recommendations reflect the fact that ASU encountered no maintenance problems and only had to make routine checks.

### Advisory Services to DEH in Diagnosing System Malfunctions

There were no system malfunctions, but ASU did diagnose a faulty integrating system which was part of the testing instrumentation. The meter recorded power data on solar insolation and array output and was the only equipment failure throughout the test period.

# Troubleshooting Procedures for DEH Use in Diagnosing System Malfunctions

ASU summarized the manufacturer's repair and maintenance procedures as follows: troubleshooting of the PV system can be divided between the array and the inverter. If the array is not producing the proper power level, each string should be checked via the control board. Once the faulty string is located, the junction box on the back of each panel in the string should be checked to find the faulty modules or bypass diodes. Module voltage should be in the range of 12 to 18 V, depending on sunlight and load current. Possible problems result from broken or dirty modules, shorted diodes, or faulty control box wiring. Care must be taken when checking module voltages, since the string voltage can be as high as 250 V and may be lethal.

Troubleshooting procedures for the inverter also involve working with potentially lethal voltages and currents. Always wait 2 min after deenergizing the power source and the utility a.c. power before contacting the inverter wiring. Test instruments must be isolated from each ground when they are used for monitoring the Gemini inverter. Inverter troubleshooting procedures can be broken down into four basic subsystems:

1. Magnetic control. Consists of the d.c. contactor and the front panel control switch. The magnetic control's basic function is to connect the power source to the Gemini inverter. In the event of utility a.c. power outage, the connector will drop out automatically, regardless of switch position, disconnecting the power source from the utility a.c. lines.

2. Power electronics. Consists of the silicon-controlled rectifier bridge assembly (SCR) with associated heat sinks, the firing circuit board, the d.c./a.c. filter networks, and the d.c./a.c. fuses.

3. Control electronics. Contained in the regulator printed circuit board mounted on the inside of the front door.

4. Metering circuit. Consists of the panel meters, current-sensing shunt, and selector switch test circuitry on the regulator board.

When an inverter malfunction occurs, the problem should be isolated to one of these subsystems. Possible problems are blown fuses, a faulty relay or integrated circuit, or a switch malfunction. If parts replacements do not cure the problem, the manufacturer (Windworks in this case) should be contacted for assistance.

### Recommended Number of PV System Spare Parts Onhand

Based on its limited experience with O&M, ASU could only estimate what spare parts might be needed in the future. Therefore, the list given to DEH was based on suggestions from the manufacturers and research into other PV projects.

### Summary of Any Recurring Problems

in System O&M

This contract stipulation proved to be unnecessary.

### Performance Summary

Operating in parallel and in synchronization with the local a.c. grid, the system was out of operation only in times of low sunlight or when the a.c. grid was inoperative at the installation site. Maintenance operations consisted of manually returning the system to the interconnected mode after utility outages. The modules, inverter, and interconnective hardware required no attention. If the quality of this PV system's a.c. output is considered adequate in matters such as power factor and waveforms, the system is technically adequate overall. It not only gives early indications of high reliability, but is operating in a safe mode, consistent with good utility practice.

### 4 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PREDICTING SYSTEM FEASIBILITY

#### The Fort Huachuca Case Study

A PV system for supplying electrical power to the Holman Guest House at Fort Huachuca, AZ, has been designed and installed successfully. The PV system never failed to convert energy when external conditions were favorable; instances of downtime were almost entirely due to postwide power failures. Energy production levels and efficiencies were consistent with predicted values. Little maintenance and no repairs were required during the test period.

The demonstration project at Fort Huachuca served three major objectives. These were to:

1. Provide the Army a first opportunity to apply PV power technology to a permanent facility.

2. Demonstrate the 5-kW system's easy O&M and reliability in supplying nearly 11 percent of the facility's energy needs. (The only piece of equipment replaced during the O&M monitoring was a recording instrument.)

3. Produce performance data that form a basis for analyzing other PV systems used at Army installations.

Economic feasibility of the Fort Huachuca PV system was never in question. Before the project began,

it was conceded that the initial investment would far outweigh the payback in energy savings. If the system were to continue to operate reliably for the next 20 years, the corresponding electrical cost would be roughly \$0.50/kWh-nearly 10 times the current average rate.

However, projected increases in energy costs and rapidly decreasing PV system costs indicate that a system which pays for itself may be a possibility in the future. Information gained through the Fort Huachuca PV project will help in evaluating these future systems, and in this regard, the project has been a success.

### **Future Military PV Projects**

A complete understanding of the economic feasibility of future military PV projects would require a detailed life-cycle economic analysis. This analysis should consider all system capital and O&M costs, the discount rate, the current price of electricity at the site, and an assumed escalation rate for future electrical prices.

These factors are all part of the fundamental economic questions: how much will it cost, and how much will it save? Based on the experience at Fort Huachuca, these questions can be considered quantitatively. Initial capital costs, for example, are less than half what they were in 1982. Because of recent developments in amorphous-crystal PV cells, it is projected that, by the early 1990s, a system similar to the one at Fort Huachuca will have reduced in cost by a factor of 10. Another consideration of capital costs is whether the system is grid-connected or remote. Balanced against the high cost of having a utility line brought to some remote facility and a projected lowcost PV system, the PV system may be more economical even though it would require the addition of a storage system.

The question of how much a PV system will save depends on the rates being charged by other power producers. Nuclear, fossil fuel, and hydro-electric power plants have all experienced economic difficulties that have caused their energy rates to increase. The higher these rates, the more economically feasible PV systems become.

For the future, assuming a PV system that produces energy at the same rate as the one at Fort Huachuca would cost about \$15,000, it would take less than 15 years for a simple payback. These assumptions are very rough, but do indicate that future PV systems may become competitive with conventional energy sources, even if only in a supplementary capacity.

### APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF SYSTEM HARDWARE

The following summarizes the system components and their rated capacity:

Modules			<u> </u>	
M 6 .			Solarex Co.	<b>、</b>
Manufacturer			Model #530	J
Rated Output*				
Open-circuit volta	ge in volts (Voc	)	22	
Short-circuit curre	ent in amperes (	lsc)	2.2	
Maximum power i	in watts (Pmax)		35.0	
Voltage at maximi	um power in vol	lts (Vmp)	17,5	
Current at maxim	um power in am	peres (Imp)	2.0	
Module Output Term	inations:			
Exposed terminal	screws or stand	offs	No	
Enclosed terminal	screws or stand	offs	Yes	
Connectors used a	t output termin	ations	No	-
Other means of ou	itput terminatio	ons	No	
Junction box on P	V panel is UL a	pproved		
Bypass diodes:				
Diodes built into r	nodule circuitry		No	
Diodes external to	module circuit	ry	Yes	
Contained in a	junction box	·	Yes	
External to jun	ction box		No	
Diode ratings (exte	ernal diodes onl	y)		
196-6A MR 75	1			
Motorola, No				
Specs. available	<u> </u>			
Intermodule Wirings:				
Wire size			, #12	
Wire ampacity			20 amperes	
Type of cable			THHN	
Type of connector	rs used (if any)		None	
Means of wire rout	ting		In Conduit	
Module Mounting Teo	chnique:			
Direct	Integral	Standoff	Rack	<u>XX</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The rated outputs are determined at an air mass of 1.5, an irradiance of 100  $MW/cm^2$  and a cell temperature of 20°C. The only exception is for Voc which is rated at either 0°C or  $\sim 20$ °C.

Module Grounding Technique (where applicable)	)
See below	,
Module Support Grounding Technique (where ar	oplicable)
Ground fault interrupt senses the lead from arra	y ground and trips if the difference
between array and common ground reaches 200	mA
Module Listing Agency (where applicable) <u>N/A (</u>	no listing)
PV Source Circuits (where applicable)	
Rated Output*:	
Open-circuit voltage in volts (Voc)	308
Short-circuit current in amperes (lsc)	2.2
Maximum power in watts (Pmax)	420 W
Voltage at maximum power in volts (Vmp)	210
Current at maximum power in amperes (Imp)	2.0
Source Circuit Blocking Diode	Yes
Physical location of diodes <u>The main box; Sou</u>	th-west corner of Holman house
Diode Ratings	
14-6AMR751	
Motorola. No Specs.	
Available	
Source Circuit Electrical Features:	
Fuses (or other overcurrent devices)	<u>No</u>
Location	
Disconnects	No
	(but has ground fault interrupter)
Ratings	
Location	No
Location	INU
Wire Configuration	
Describe the series/parallel configuration of	the circuit <u>The array is wired so</u>
that there are 14 parallel strings of 14 panels in	series.
Source Circuit Listing Agency (where applicable)	N/A
V Output Circuit (PCU input circuit)	
Rated Output:	
Open-circuit voltage in volts (Voc)	308V
Short-circuit current in amperes (lsc)	30.8 A
Maximum power in watts (Pmax)	6.3 kW
Voltage at maximum power in volts (Vmp)	210 V
Voltage at maximum power in volts (Vmp)	210 V

V Output Circuit Electrical F	Features	type \$3460,600V
Blocking diodes Yes	Ratings 45A	Location Main Elec. Box
Fuses (or other overcurrent	t devices) <u>No</u>	Ratings
Location		
Disconnects No	Ratings Locati	on
Ground Yes	_Location	
Alternative grounding meth	hod used Floating	
Wiring size <u>#8</u> Ampaci	ty 40A Routing me	thod Placed in 1" conduit taken
to Main Elec. Box		
Open Circuit Listing Agence	y (where applicable)	
Power Conditioning Unit(s)		
Manufacturer		Windworks
Model No.		<u>\$6-25-20</u>
Number of units		(1)
Location(s)	Main Elect. H	Box, S-W corner of Holman house
Interactive X	or Stand-alone	
Temperature range:		
Operating		<u>32°F to 100°F</u>
Nonoperating		
Mounting requirements:		
Dry		Enclosed and ventilated
Wet		N/A
Test point common at grou	ind	No
Power conditioning unit ca	se grounded	Yes
Input voltage	-	160-250 Vdc
Input current		0-30 Adc
Input ground		No
Output voltage Line to ne	utral	110-130 Vac
Output current, rated		15 amps
Output current maximum	available,	
any condition of load		15 amps
Output frequency		60 Hz
Output phase		3 phase
Hookup diagram provided		Yes
		(DWG. No. 808102-00-A)
Output connections made	to:	
Line 1	Line 1	X1
Line 2	Line 2	X2
Neutral	Line 3	X3
Ground	Neutral Ground	Gnd connection
Listing agency (where appl	icable)	N/A No listing
ower Conditioning Unit Out	put Circuits	
Wire ampacity #8 THWN		40 amperes
Routing		from Inverter
Cabinet through 3/4" cond	luit to kWh meters and	60 amp fuse disconnect located
in mechanical room.		

Point of connection 400 A disconnect

APPENDIX B: SAMPLE LOGSHEETS

# HOLMAN HOUSE PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

KWH METER READINGS						
		PRESENT	PREVIOUS	NET T	HIS PERIOD	KWH/DAY
PV SYST. Utility Buildin	EM OUTPUT Output G Input					
AC VOLTAGE						
LINE PHASE	AC HV READI	ACTUAL AC CURRENT NG (0.3A/MV)	LINE PHA		V READING	ACTUAL AC VOLTAGE (IV/IV)
1			י 1			
2			2			
3						
Integrated DC Current Integrated Solar Insolation Count from meter X 3.91 = Solar Insolation (KWH)						
SOLAR INSOLATION LEVEL						
VOLTMETER/TECHNICIAN DATA						
VOLTMETER MFR/MODEL S/N:   SHOP/OPERANTZATION ASSIGNED TO ID NO						
TECHNICIAN NAMEORGANIZATIONPH/EXT			EXT			
NOTES -						

# HOLMAN HOUSE PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

ARRAY NUMBER	DC MV READING	ACTUAL DC CURRENT (0. JA/MY)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
n		
12		
13		
14		
TOTAL		

### SOLAR CELL ARRAY CURRENTS

VOLTMETER/TECHNICIAN DATA VOLTMETER MFR/MODEL	S/N: ID_NO.		
TECHNICIAN NAME	ORGANIZATIONDATE	PH/EXT TIME	
NOTES :			

### USA-CERL DISTRIBUTION

Chief of Engineers ATTN: Tech Monitor ATTNI DAEN-ASI-L (2) ATTN: DAEN-CCP DAEN-CW ATTNI ATTN: DAEN-CWE DAEN-CWH-R ATTN DAEN-CWO ATTN: DAEN-CWP ATTN: ATTN: DAEN-EC ATTN: DAFN-FCC ATTN: DATN-FCF ATTN: DAEN-ECR ATTN: DATH-BD ATTN: DAEN-RDC DAT N-RDM ATTN: ATTN: DAEN-RM ATTN DAEN-ZCE ATTH: DAEN-ZCF ATTN: DAEN-ZCI ATTN: DAEN-ZON ATTN: DAEM-ZCZ FESA, ATTH: Library 22060 ATTN: DET III 79906 US Army Engineer Districts ATTN: Library (41) US Army Engineer Divisions ATTN: Library (14) US Army Europe AEAEN-ODCS/Engr 09403 ISAE' 09081 V Corps ATTN: DEH (11) VII Corps ATTNI DEH (15) 21st Support Command ATTNI DEN (12) USA Berlin ATTN: DEH (11) USASETAP ATTN: DEH (10) Allied Command Europe (ACE) ATTN: DEH (3) Ath USA. Kores (19) BOK/US Combined Forces Command 96301 ATTN: EUSA-HHC-CFC/Emgr USA Japan (USARJ) ATTN: AJEN-DEN 96343 ATTN: DEN-Honshu 96343 ATTN: DEN-Honshu 96331 416th Engineer Command 60623 ATTN: Facilities Engineer US Military Academy 10966 ATTN: Facilities Engineer ATTN: Dept of Geography & Computer Science ATTN: DSCPER/HABN-A ANNEC, ATTN DEXNE-WE 02172 USA ARECON 61299 ATTH: DECIS-RI-I ATTH: DESAR-IS ANC - Dir., Inst., & Serve ATTN: DEH (23) DLA ATTN: DLA-WI 22314 DRA ATTN: NADE 20305 FORSCOM FORSCON Engr. ATTN: AFEN-DEN ATTN: DEN (23) HEC ATTW: HSLO-7 78234 ATTN: Pacilicias Engineer Pitssimons ANG \$0240 Welter Bred ANC 20012

INSCON - Ch. Insti. Div ATTN: Facilities Engineer (3) HDW, ATTN: DEH (3) NTHE ATTN: NTHC-SA 20315 ATTN: Fecilities Engineer (3) NARADCON, ATTN: DEDNA-F 01760 TARCON, Fac. Div. 48090 TRADOC HQ, TRADOC, ATTN: ATEN-DEH ATTN: DEH (19) TSARCON, ATTN: STSAS-F 63120 USACC, ATTW: Facilities Engr (2) VESTCOM ATTH: DEH, Ft, Shafter 96858 ATTE: APEN-IN SHAPE 09055 ATTN: Surv. Section, CCS-OPS Infrastructure Branch, LANDA HQ USEUCON 09128 ATTH: ECJ 4/7-LOE Fort Belvoir, VA 22070 (7) ATTH: Camedian Liaison Office ATTM: Water Resources Support Gtr ATTM: Engr Studies Conter ATTN: Engr Topographic Lab. ATTHI ATZA-DTE-SU ATTN: ATZA-DTE-EN ATTN: No Commend CREEL, ATTN: Library 03755 WES, ATTW: Library 39160 HQ, XVIII Airborn Corps and fort Bragg ATTH: AFZA-FE-EE 28307 Area Engineer, AEDC-Area Office Arnold Air Force Station, TH 37389 Chenute AFS, IL 61868 3345 CES/DE, Stop 27 Norton AFB, CA 92409 ATTH: AFRCE-HX/DEE HAVPAC ATTH: Engineering Command (7) ATTN: Division Offices (6) ATTN: Nevel Public Works Center (9) ATTN: Neval School, Morell Library ATTH: Maval Civil Engr Lab. (3) NCEL ATTN: Library, Code LOBA 93041 Defense Technical Info. Center 22314 ATTN: DDA (2) Engr Societies Library, NY 10017 Matl Guard Bureau Instl. Div 20310 US Gove Printing Office 22304 Receiving Sect/Depository Copies (2) US Army Env. Hygiene Agency ATTH: HSNB-E 21010 Mational Bureau of Standards 20760

> 331 03/22/85

ESS Team Distribution

.

i,

HQDA (DALO-TSE-F) (3) 20310

US Army Engineer Districts (39) ATTN: Chief, Engineer Division

US Army Engineer Divisions (15) ATTN: Chief, Engineer Division

Army-Air Force Exchange Service 75222 ATTN: Chief, Engineering Div

Alexandria, VA 22314 ATTN: DLA-W

USA ARRADCOM 07801 ATTN: DRDAR-LCH-SP

USA DARCOM ATTN: DRCIS 22333

Fort Belvoir, VA 22950 ATTN: DRDME-G ATTN: DRDME-EAC ATTN: FESA-TSD

Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027 ATTN: ATZLCA-SA

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory 93043 ATTN: Code L03AE ATTN: Code L60

Naval Facilities Engineering Command 22332 ATTN: Code 032E ATTN: Code 1023 ATTN: Code 11130 ATTN: Code 044

USAF ATTN: SAFMII 20330 ATTN: 24th CSG/DEMM 34001 ATTN: 438 CES/DEMV 08641

Andrews AFB, WASH DC 20331 ATTN: AFSC-DEE

Patrick AFB, FL 32925 ATTN: XRQ

Tyndall AFB, FL 32403 ATTN: RD

Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 ATTN: POE ATTN: PMD

Assistant Sec for Conservation & Solar Energy 20314 Assistant Sec for Resource Applications 20314 DCNO (Logistics) 20301 Director, Bidg Technology & Safety Div 20410 Director, Center for Building Technology 20234 Energy Research and Development Foundation 30037 ODAS (EE4S) 20301 ODAS (I&H) 20301 GSA 20405 Fublic Building Service 20405

Department of Energy 30037 Oak Ridge, TN 37830

91

4/85

