



a substantia a s

A CONTRACTOR OF THE

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin—Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53705

August 1985

AD-A160 981

(Received June 17, 1985)

B

Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U.S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 National Science Foundation Washington, D.C. 20550

85 11 06 059

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

SUP-NORM ESTIMATES IN GLIMM'S METHOD

Blake Temple

Technical Summary Report #2855 August 1985

ABSTRACT

We give a proof that Total Variation $\{u_0(\cdot)\} << 1$ can be replaced by $\sup\{u_0(\cdot)\} << 1$ in Glimm's method whenever a coordinate system of Riemann invariants is present. The argument is somewhat simpler but in the same spirit as that given by Glimm in his celebrated paper of 1965.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 65M10, 76N99, 35L65, 35L67

Key Words: Riemann problem, Random choice method, Stability, Conservation Laws, Cauchy problem

Work Unit Number 1 (Applied Analysis)

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-8210950, Mod. 1.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

A system of two conservation laws in one dimension is a set of first order nonlinear partial differential equations of the form $u_t + f(u,v)_x = 0$,

5 (1) h

 $v_t + g(u,v)_x = 0$, $e_{p^{\pm}(v_t)} > v_t^{\pm}$ where (u,v) is a vector function of (x,t), $x \notin R$, $t \neq 0$. The Cauchy problem asks for a solution of (1) given the "initial" values of u and v at time t = 0. Equations of type (1) arise, for example, in gas dynamics where they express the conservation of quantities like mass, momentum and energy, when diffusion is neglected. Typically, smooth solutions of (1) cannot be found. This is due to the formation of shock waves. Shock waves are the mechanism by which entropy is dissipated in solutions of (1). This paper gives a proof that solutions exist even after shock waves form, so long as the amplitude of the waves are not too great initially.

Kingende : Riemann invariante ; Conservation laws (state in ,

Accession For NTIS CEALL DDC INC 1. Distri Averthan Ching Sulod 1939.223 Dist

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

SUP-NORM ESTIMATES IN GLIMM'S METHOD

Blake Temple

We consider the Cauchy problem

$$u_{t} + F(u)_{y} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x)$$
, (2)

where (1) denotes a strictly hyperbolic system of two conservation laws, $u = (u_1, u_2)$, F = (f,g). Let λ_p, R_p , p = 1,2 be the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvector fields associated with the matrix ∇F , $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Assume that U is a neighborhood of a state \bar{u} in u-space in which each characteristic field is either genuinely nonlinear $(\nabla \lambda_p \cdot R_p > 0)$ or else linearly degenerate $(\nabla \lambda_p \cdot R_p \equiv 0)$, and such that $\lambda_1(u) < \lambda_2(v)$ for all $u, v \in U$ [4]. Without loss of generality, assume $\bar{u} = 0$. In this note we give a simplified proof of the following result which is contained in the results of Glimm [2] and which is required for the proof in [12]. (A stronger result also follows from the analysis in [3] which, however, involves the theory of approximate characteristics and is much more technical.) Let u(x,t) denote a weak solution of (1), (2) which is a limit of approximate solutions generated by the random choice method of Glimm. Theorem 1: For every $V_0 > 0$ there exists a small constant $\delta > 0$ and a large constant

 $TV{u_0(\cdot)} < V_0$,

and

Contraction of the second s

and the second second second second

$$|u_n(\cdot)||_{e} < \delta$$
, (4)

(3)

then

$$[u(\cdot,t)]_{e} \leq G[u_{n}(\cdot)]_{e}, \qquad (5)$$

for all t > 0. Here

G > 0 such that, if

$$\mathbf{lu}_{0}(\cdot)\mathbf{l}_{e} \equiv \mathbf{Sup}\{\mathbf{u}_{0}(\cdot)\} . \tag{6}$$

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-8210950, Mod. 1.

It suffices to verify Theorem 1 for any approximate solution u^h generated by the random choice method. Recall that there exists a coordinate system of Riemann invariants for (1) in a neighborhood of u = 0. (Indeed, Theorem 1 and the proof to follow apply to any system satisfying the above assumptions and for which there exists a coordinate system of Riemann invariants [2].) Moreover, the Riemann problem is uniquely solvable in a neighborhood of u = 0 by the method of Lax [4]. Such a solution consists of a 1-wave γ^1 followed by a 2-wave γ^2 each of which is either a shock wave or a rarefaction wave. Assume that U is a neighborhood of u = 0 satisfying all of the above conditions. Define the strength of a wave $|\gamma^P|$ to be the absolute value of the change in the opposite Riemann invariant between the left and right states of the wave. Finally, in order to set notation, we briefly review the construction of the random choice method approximate u^h .

Let h be a mesh length in x, and let

 $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{h}$

be the corresponding mesh length in t, C > Sup $\{|\lambda_p(u)|\}$. For i, j $\in \mathbb{Z}$, j > 0, let $x_i \equiv ih$, $t_j \equiv jk$. Let a be a sample sequence, $a \equiv \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $0 < a_j < 1$. For given initial data $u_0(\cdot) \subset U$, define the random choice method approximate solution $u^h(x,t) \equiv u^h(x,t;a)$ by induction on j as follows: First, for $x_i < x < x_{i+1}$, define

 $u^{h}(x,0) \equiv u_{0}^{h}(x) = u_{0}(x_{i} + \frac{h}{2})$.

Next, assume for induction that $u^h(x,t)$ has been defined for $t < t_{i}$. Define

 $u^{h}(x,t_{j}) \equiv u^{h}(x_{i} + a_{j}h,t_{j}-)$,

and for $t_j < t < t_{j+1}$, define $u^h(x,t)$ to be the solution of the Riemann problem posed in (3.3) at time t_j . By (3.1), u^h is well defined so long as $u^h(x,t_j) \subseteq U$ for all t_j . Let γ_{ij}^p denote the p-wave that appears in the solution of the Riemann problem that is posed at (x_i,t_j) in the approximate solution u^h . Recall that the quadratic functional associated with u^h is defined by

$$Q(t) \equiv \sum |\gamma_{ij}^{p}| |\gamma_{i'j}^{p'}|$$
(7)

where the sum is over all waves that approach at time t_j , $t_j < t < t_{j+1}$. Let Δ_{ij} denote the interaction diamond centered at (x_i, t_j) , and let D_{ij} denote the products of approaching waves that enter Δ_{ij} [12]. We use the following notation:

$$r_{j} \equiv \sum_{p,i} |\gamma_{jj}^{p}|, \qquad (8)$$

$$Q_j \equiv Q(t_j) = Q(t_j^+) , \qquad (9)$$

$$D_{j} \equiv \sum_{i} D_{ij}$$
(10)

$$\mathbf{F}_{j} \equiv \mathbf{V}_{j} + \mathbf{Q}_{j} \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{j} \equiv \mathbf{u}^{h}(\cdot, \mathbf{t}_{j}) \mathbf{I}_{S} \cdot$$
 (12)

Note that V_j estimates the total variation of $u^h(\cdot,t_j)$ and that (7), (9) give immediately that

$$Q_j < v_j^2 . \tag{13}$$

We show that Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following lemma which is a restatement of results in [2]:

Lemma 1: There exists a constant $G_0 > 1$ depending only on F such that, if $u^h(x,t) \in U$ for all $t \leq t_j$, then

$$v_{j+1} - v_j < G_0 S_j D_j$$
 (14)

$$s_{j+1} - s_j < G_0 S_j D_j$$
, (15)

$$Q_{j+1} - Q_j < \{G_0 S_j V_j - 1\} D_j$$
 (16)

<u>Proof of Theorem 1</u>: Fix $V_0 > 1$. Choose

$$s_0 < (8Gv_0^2 e^{-1}) = \delta$$
, (17)

where G_0 is large enough so that

$$\{u : |u| < G_0^{-1}\} \subseteq U$$
 (18)

We show by induction that (17) implies

$$s_{j} < e^{2G_{0}V_{0}^{2}}s_{0}$$
, (19)

and

1.5 A. C. A. C. A.

$$G_0 S_j V_j \leq \frac{1}{4}$$
 (20)

-3-

 $2G_0 v_0^2$ for all j > 0. Note that (19) gives (5) with G = • . Also note that (10) and (11) imply

$$G_0 S_j < \frac{1}{4}$$
 (21)

Thus, since (8) gives

$$Q_{j+1} - Q_j < \{G_0 S_j V_j - 1\} D_j,$$
 (22)

$$F_{j+1} - F_j < \{G_0 S_j + G_0 S_j V_j - 1\} D_j,$$
 (23)

estimates (19) and (20) also imply that

$$\Omega_{j+1} - \Omega_j \leq -\frac{1}{2} D_j , \qquad (24)$$

$$F_{j+1} - F_j < -\frac{1}{2} D_j$$
 (25)

We now verify (19) and (20) by induction. The idea here is that (20) guarantees that both $\{\Omega_j\}$ and $\{F_j\}$ are decreasing. The decreasing of Ω_j controls S_j at the induction step, while the decreasing of $\{F_j\}$ maintains (20) at the induction step, since then $V_j \leq F_j \leq F_0$.

First, when j = 0, (10) and (11) follow from (17). So assume (10), (11) hold for j' < j. We verify (10), (11) for j' = j + 1. By (24) and (15),

$$s_{k+1} - s_k < 2G_0 s_k [Q_k - Q_{k+1}]$$
,

or

$$s_{k+1} < \{1 + 2G_0[Q_k - Q_{k+1}]\}s_k$$
, (26)

for $k \leq j + 1$. Thus by (26)

$$s_{j+1} < \prod_{k=0}^{j} \{1 + 2G_0 \{Q_k - Q_{k+1}\}\} s_0$$
 (27)

But one can easily verify that the maximum of

over all nonnegative sequences $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{j}$ satisfying $\sum_{k=0}^{j} a_k < N$ is attained when $a_k = \frac{M}{j+1}$ for all k. Thus by (13) and (24),

-4-

$$\sum_{k=0}^{j} (Q_{k} - Q_{k+1}) \leq Q_{0} \leq v_{0}^{2}, \qquad (28)$$

s0

$$\frac{j}{\Pi} \{1 + 2G_0[Q_k - Q_{k+1}]\} \le \{1 + \frac{2G_0V_0^2}{j+1}\} \le e^{0} .$$
 (29)

$$k=0$$

Therefore by (27),

which verifies (19) at j + 1. Moreover, (25) implies

$$v_{j+1} < F_{j+1} < F_0 < 2v_0^2$$
.

Thus by (17),

$$G_0 S_{j+1} V_{j+1} < 2G_0 V_0^2 V_0^2 < \frac{1}{4}$$
,

which verifies (20) at j + 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- Courant, C. and Friedrichs, K. O., "Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves", Springer-Verlag, 1948.
- Glimm, J., Solutions in the large for nonlinear hyperbolic systems of equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 18 (1965), 695-715.
- [3] Glimm, J. and Lax, P., Decay of solutions of systems of nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws, Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs, 101 (1970).
- [4] Lax, P., Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws, II, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10 (1957), 537-566.
- [5] Luskin, L. and Temple, J. B., The existence of a global weak solution to the nonlinear waterhammer problem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 35 (1982), 697-735.
- [6] Smoller, J. A., Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [7] Temple, J. B., Global solution of the Cauchy problem for a class of 2 × 2 nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws, Adv. Appl. Math., 3 (1982), 335-375.
- [8] _____, Solutions in the large for the nonlinear hyperbolic conservation laws of gas dynamics, J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 41, No. 1 (1981), 96-161.
- [9] _____, Systems of conservation laws with coinciding shock and rarefaction curves, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 17 (1983), 143-151.
- [10] ______, Systems of conservation laws with invariant submanifolds, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., Vol. 280, No. 2 (1983), 781-795.
- [11] _____, No L¹-contractive metrics for systems of conservation laws, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., Vol. 288, No. 2, April 1985.
- [12] _____, Decay with a rate for non-compactly supported solutions of conservation laws, MRC preprint.

Pressure a success of the success a management

REPORT DOCUMENTAT	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
#2855	AD-A160	681
. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		Summary Report - no specific
SUP-NORM ESTIMATES IN GLIMM'S	METHOD	reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(=)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Diebe mer le		
prave rembte		DAAG29 = 80 = C = 0041
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	DRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT. TASK
Mathematics Research Center, University of		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
510 Walnut Street	Wisconsi	Work Unit Number 1 -
Madison, Wisconsin 53706		Applied Analysis
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
		August 1985
(See Item 18	below)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		6
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	liferent from Controlling Offic) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		134. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; dis	stribution unlimited	(mm Report)
Approved for public release; dis	stribution unlimited	from Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	stribution unlimited	from Report)
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office	stribution unlimited	from Report) ional Science Foundation
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211	stribution unlimited ntered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park	stribution unlimited ntered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550
Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709	stribution unlimited neered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of - SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 - KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necess	stribution unlimited ntered in Block 20, il different Nat Was	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of the abstra	stribution unlimited neered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was wery and identify by block number is method, Stabilit	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er) y, Conservation Laws,
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess Riemann problem, Random choic Cauchy problem	ntered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was mery and identify by block number ie method, Stabilit	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er) y, Conservation Laws,
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect of - SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 - KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necession Riemann problem, Random choic Cauchy problem	stribution unlimited neered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was hery and identify by block numb is method, Stabilit	from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er) y, Conservation Laws,
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract of U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess Riemann problem, Random choic Cauchy problem ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necess We give a proof that Tot	stribution unlimited neered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was wary and identify by block numb is method, Stabilit	<pre>from Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er; y, Conservation Laws, ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **</pre>
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necesso Riemann problem, Random choic Cauchy problem ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necesso We give a proof that Tot Sup{u ₀ (•)} << 1 in Glimm's m	stribution unlimited need in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was many and identify by block numb is method, Stabilit any and identify by block numb al Variation {u ₀ (acthod whenever a c	<pre>trom Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er) y, Conservation Laws, er) •) } << 1 can be replaced by cordinate system of Riemann </pre>
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis - DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect of - SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 - KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necesson Riemann problem, Random choic Cauchy problem - ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necesson We give a proof that Tot Sup{u ₀ (•)} << 1 in Glimm's m invariants is present. The a	stribution unlimited neered in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was hery and identify by block numb is method, Stabilit al Variation {u ₀ (hethod whenever a co rgument is somewhat	<pre>trom Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er) y, Conservation Laws, ** •)} << 1 can be replaced by oordinate system of Riemann t simpler but in the same</pre>
Approved for public release; dis Approved for public release; dis U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessa Riemann problem, Random choic Cauchy problem ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessa We give a proof that Tot Sup{u ₀ (•)} << 1 in Glimm's m invariants is present. The a spirit as that given by Glimm	stribution unlimited need in Block 20, 11 different Nat Was mery and identify by block numb a in his celebrated	<pre>trom Report) ional Science Foundation hington, DC 20550 er) y, Conservation Laws, "" •) } << 1 can be replaced by oordinate system of Riemann t simpler but in the same paper of 1965.</pre>

END

· · · ·

FILMED

12-85

DTIC