



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU-OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AFOSR-TR- 0747

AD-A160 340

CS-1985-12

i

a,

A Single Server Queue in a Hard-Real-Time Environment

> Francois Baccelli INRIA France

Kishor S. Trivedi Duke University

This document has been opproved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

	REPORT DOCUME	INTATION PAGE				
18 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		10 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
28 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		S DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
		Approved for rubics releases doors and the				
26 DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/-		unlimited.				
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER'S, AFOSR-TR- 3 5 - 0747				
DA NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. DFFICE SYMBOL If applicable,	76. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research				
Juke University						
202 North Building Durham, NC 27706		Directorate Sciences, Bo	of Mathemat Cling AFB 2	9 10al 3 Inf 00 20332	irmation	
B. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING CRGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
AFOSR	NM	AF0SR-84-0132				
Bc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.				
Bolling AFB DC 20332		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Single Server Queue nin a Hard-Real-Time Environment		61102F	2304	К3		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						
Kishor S. Trivedi	OVERED	14 DATE OF BEPOI	BT (Yr Mo Daw)	15 PAGE	COUNT	
Tyterin FROM TO		July 1985				
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if n	ecessory and identi	Ty by block numb	er:	
FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	single serve	r queue, missi	on time			
]					
We consider a single server first	in first out queue in	which each arrivin	ig task has to b	e completed v	vithin	
a certain period of time (it's de	adline). More precise	ely, each arriving	task has its ow	n deadline - a	non-	
negative real number - and as s	oon as the response time	me of one task exc	eeds its deadlin	e. the whole s	ystem	
is considered to have failed. (In that sense the de	adline is hard). 7	The main prace	tical motivatio	on for	
analyzing such queues comes fr	om the need to evalu	ate mathematicall	y the realiabili	ty of compute	T 5ys-	
tems working with real time co	onstraints (space or a	ircraft systems for	r instance). W	e shall therefo	ore be	
mainly concerned with the ana	lytical characterizatio	n of the transient	behavior of su	ch a queue in	order	
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA	АСТ	21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				
UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED I SAME AS APT. I DTIC USERS I MICLABULTICE						
Brian W. Woodruff, Maj, USAF		226 TELEPHONE Are C (Include Are C	NUMBER oddi	22c. OFFICE SY	MBOL	
		1(202, /0/ ~ 5 (121	1 101		

URITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

to determine the probability of meeting all hard deadlines during a finite period of time (the "mission time"). The probabilistic methods for analyzing such systems are suggested by earlier work on impatience in telecommunication systems [1], [2].

NTIS	CRA&I	ĸ	
DTIC	TAB	i l	:
Unanno	bunded	i i	
Justific	ation	•• • • • • • •	···· ···
By Dist ib:	ution /		
By Distribi A	ution / vailability	Codes	
By Distribi A Dist	ution / vailability Avail ar Spec	Codes ad / or al	
By Distribu A Dist	ution / vailability Avail ar Spec	Codes ad / or al	



UNCLASSIFIED

A Single Server Queue in a Hard-Real-Time Environment

Francois Baccelli^{**} INRIA Rocquencourt France

and

Kishor S. Trivedi Department of Computer Science Duke University Durham, NC 27706 USA

ABSTRACT

We consider a single server first in first out queue in which each arriving task has to be completed within a certain period of time (we deadline). More precisely, each arriving task has its own deadline - a nonnegative real number - and as soon as the response time of one task exceeds its deadline, the whole system is considered to have failed. (In that sense the deadline is hard). The main practical motivation for analyzing such queues comes from the need to evaluate mathematically the realiability of computer systems working with real time constraints (space or aircraft systems for instance). We shall therefore befare mainly concerned with the analytical characterization of the transient behavior of such a queue in order to determine the probability of meeting all hard deadlines during a finite period of time (the mission time."). The probabilistic methods for analyzing such systems are suggested by earlier work on impatience in telecommunication systems [1], [2]. Inductional Maynords in further work on impatience

^{*}This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant number USNSF MCS 83-0200, by the Army Research Office under grant DAAG23-84-0045, and by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant number AFOSR-84-0132.

^{**} This work was begun when Dr. Baccelli was a visiting scientist in the Department of Computer Science at Duke University.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the analysis of a system operating in a hard-real-time environment. In such a system, each job (or task) must be completed within a specified period of time after a request for its execution arrives. If any job fails to complete within its deadline, the entire system is considered to have failed. For a description of such systems and their analysis in a deterministic environment see [9].

We consider here a single server queueing system in which job arrival stream is Poisson and the job service requirement is generally distributed. Each job has a deadline associated with it so that if the response time of a job exceeds its deadline, we will assume that the system has failed. The system can also fail due to a breakdown experienced by the server. The completion time (or the actual service time) of a job once scheduled will be allowed to depend, in general, on the job sequence number. In this way, graceful degradation of the server can also be taken into account. The aim of this paper is to derive an expression for the average number of jobs completed before system failure.

M/G/1 queueing system with server breakdown and repair has been analyzed by Gaver [4] while and M/M/n queueing system with server breakdown and repair was analyzed by Mitrany and Avi-Itzhak [11]. Baccelli and Trivedi [3] analyzed and M/G/2 standby redundant system with breakdown and repair. These studies carried out steady state analysis. Approximate transient analysis of such a queueing system has been carried out by Meyer [10] assuming no repair while Kulkarni, Nicola, Trivedi and Smith [8] have extended this analysis to allow for (possibly imperfect) repairs. The latter effort [7,8], also allows for deadline constraints to be imposed but only in the case that no resource contention is permitted. Analysis in the present paper is exact and allows for deadline constraints, queueing and server breakdown.

After defining the basic model in the next section, we then derive the functional equations for the queueing system in section 3. These equations are specialized to the case of an M/G/1 queue with exponentially distributed deadlines and independent geometric server failure process in section 4.

2. The Basic Model

Although various computational assumptions will be introduced later on, we first state the queueing problem in its generality.

Let $\{\sigma_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{\delta_n, n \ge 1\}$ represent the respective completion time and deadline length of the *n*-th job. σ_n represents the actual time job *n* spends in the server once scheduled. This may take into account a possible degradation of the server's capacity. Therefore the statistics of σ_n will not be stationary in general (for instance the time to serve a unit-customer may increase with the age of the system) and σ_n is allowed to be infinite (for instance when the server has failed before the completion of the job). Let τ_n , $n \ge 1$ represent the time between the arrivals of customers n-1 and n. τ_n will be assumed a.s. finite.

We shall represent the successive response times by a sequence of defective random variables R_n , $n \ge 0$:

 $-R_0$ is a given a.s. finite random initial condition,

- R_{n+1} represents the response time of the n+1-st customer provided its completion time is finite and provided the n-th customer experienced a finite response time and met its deadline. Otherwise, R_{n+1} is infinite.

Mathematically, the evolution of R_{n} is described by equations (1) and (2):

$$\{R_{n+1}<\infty\} = \begin{cases} \{R_n<\infty\} \cap \{\sigma_{n+1}<\infty\} \cap \{R_n<\delta_n\} \\ \bigcap_{k=1}^n (\{\sigma_k<\infty\} \cap \{R_k<\delta_k\}) \cap \{\sigma_{n+1}<\infty\} \\ (\bigcap_{k=1}^n \{R_k<\delta_k\}) \cap \{\sigma_{n+1}<\infty\} \end{cases} \quad n \ge 1,$$
(1)

 $\{R_1<\infty\}=\{\sigma_1<\infty\}.$

and in the event $\{R_{n+1} < \infty\}$:

$$R_{n+1} = [R_n - \tau_{n+1}]^+ + \sigma_{n+1}, \ n \ge 0 \tag{2}$$

where $[a]^+$ denotes $\max(a, 0)$. Hence, on $\{R_{n+1} < \infty\}$, R_{n+1} is fully determined from (2) and the knowledge of an initial value of R_0 . In the complementary event, $\{R_{n+1} = \infty\}$, R_{n+1} is determined by

We introduce now computational assumptions to be used in the sequel of the paper:

- The sequences $\{\tau_n, n \geq 1\}$, $\{\sigma_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\delta_n, n \geq 1\}$ will be assumed independent.

- The arrival stream will be assumed Poisson of intensity λ .

- The hard deadlines will be assumed to be i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with parameter δ .

- The σ_n' s will be assumed to be independent but not necessarily identically distributed random variables. We shall denote as $\gamma_n(s)$ the quantity $E[e^{-s\sigma_n} 1_{(\sigma_n < \infty)}]$, $Re(s) \ge 0$.

S. The Functional Equation

Let $s \in C$, $Re(s) \ge 0$. From equations (1) and (2) we obtain:

$$e^{-sR_{n+1}}\mathbf{1}_{\{R_{n+1}<\infty\}} = e^{-s[R_n-\tau_{n+1}]^+} e^{-s\sigma_{n+1}}\mathbf{1}_{\{R_n<\infty\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{R_n<\infty\}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_{n+1}<\infty\}}$$
(3)

Let

$$\phi_n(s) = E\left[e^{-sR_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{R_n < \infty\}}\right], \quad n \ge 0.$$
(4)

Taking the expectation on both sides of (3) and using independence and distributional assumptions, we get

$$\begin{split} \phi_{n+1}(s) &= \gamma_{n+1}(s) E\left[e^{-s\left[R_{n}-\tau_{n+1}\right]^{+}} \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{n} < \delta_{n}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{n} < \infty\}}\right] \\ &= \gamma_{n+1}(s) E\left[e^{-s\left[R_{n}-\tau_{n+1}\right]^{+}} e^{-\delta R_{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{n} < \infty\}}\right] \\ &= \gamma_{n+1}(s) \{E\left[e^{-(\delta+\lambda)R_{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{n} < \infty\}}\right] + E\left[e^{-\delta R_{n}} \int_{0}^{R_{n}} \lambda e^{-s\left(R_{n}-t\right)} e^{-\lambda t} dt \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{n} < \infty\}}\right]\}. \end{split}$$

So that $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}(s)$ satisfies the recurrence equation

$$\phi_{n+1}(s) = \frac{\gamma_{n+1}(s)}{\lambda - s} [\lambda \phi_n (s+\delta) - s \phi_n (\lambda+\delta)], \quad n \ge 0.$$
(5)

For $z \in C$, |z| < 1, let G(s, z) and F(s, z) be defined by the power series

$$G(s,z) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \gamma_n(s) z^n ,$$

$$F(s,z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \phi_n(s) z^n .$$

Using the recurrence equation (5), we get

$$F(s,z)-\phi_0(s) = \frac{1}{\lambda-s} \sum_{n\geq 0} z^{n+1} \gamma_{n+1}(s) (\lambda \phi_n(s+\delta)-s \phi_n(\lambda+\delta)) .$$
(6)

Then using Hadamard's theorem [5] we conclude that F(s, z) satisfies the functional integral equation (see the Appendix):

$$F(s,z) = \phi_0(s) + \frac{1}{\lambda - s} \left[\frac{\lambda}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} F(s + \delta, u) G(s + \delta, \frac{z}{u}) du - \frac{s}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} F(\lambda + \delta, u) G(\lambda + \delta, \frac{z}{u}) du \right],$$
(7)

where the contour integral is taken on any circle with center at 0 and of radius R where |z| < R < 1.

Before considering special cases, let us note how to get features of practical interest from the knowledge of the solution of (7). Let N * be the stopping time defined by:

$$N* = \inf\{n \ge 1 \mid R_n \ge \delta_n\}.$$
(8)

The very definition of $\phi_{s}(s)$ and (1) entail:

$$\phi_{n}(0) = P[R_{n} < \infty] = P[\bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} (R_{k} < \delta_{k}) \cap (\sigma_{n} < \infty)]$$

$$= \alpha_{n} P[N^{*} \ge n], \quad n \ge 1,$$
(9)

where $\alpha_n = P(\sigma_n < \infty)$.

So that the mathematical expectation of N^* , the number of customers served before (and including) the first hard failure is given by (in case $\alpha_n = \alpha$ for all $n \ge 1$):

SISTANTAL

$$E[N^*] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \times \lim_{z \to 1} (F(0,z) - \phi_0(0)).$$
 (10)

More generally, denoting by Γ a circle of center 0 and radius R < 1, one gets from (6) and (9)

$$P[N^* \ge n] = \frac{1}{\alpha 2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{F(0,z)}{z^{n+1}} dz .$$
 (11)

4. A Special Case: Systems with geometrically distributed catastrophic failures.

Consider a system in which the server is subject to catastrophic non-repairable failures (i.e., when the failure occurs, the server stops functioning forever). Assuming that the number N of customers that this system can process before the first failure (and disregarding hard deadline constraints) is geometrically distributed with parameter α so that

$$P[N = n] = (1 - \alpha)\alpha^n . \tag{12}$$

Such a situation will occur in case the server can experience a catastrophic failure with rate λ_f so that the probability of a successful job completion $\alpha = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_f t} dF_S(t) = G(\lambda_f)$,

where we assumed that the service requirements of customers are i.i.d. with common distribution functions F_S and Laplace Stieltjes transform G(s). In this case, we get the following representation for $\phi_{\pi}(s)$:

$$\phi_1(s) = \alpha \ G(s) \tag{13}$$

and from (7) we get:

$$F(s,z) = \phi_0(s) + \alpha z \frac{G(s)}{\lambda - s} \left[\lambda F(s + \delta, z) - s F(\lambda + \delta, z) \right].$$
(14)

Let

$$\begin{cases} a (s, z) \doteq \frac{\lambda \alpha z G(s)}{\lambda - s} \\ b (s, z) \doteq \phi_0(s) - \frac{s \alpha z G(s)}{\lambda - s} F(\lambda + \delta, z) \end{cases}$$
(15)

Equation (14) can be rewritten as

$$F(s,z) = a(s,z)F(s+\delta,z) + b(s,z),$$
(16)

so that for any finite integer n and for $Re(s) > \lambda$

$$F(s,z) = b(s,z) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} a(s+i\delta,z))b(s+k\delta,z) + (\prod_{i=0}^{n} a(s+i\delta))F(s+(n+1)\delta,z).$$
(17)

Let us show that $R_n(s,z)$ the last term in the right hand side of (17), converges to zero as n goes to infinity. For large n,

$$|a(s+n\delta,z)| \sim \frac{\lambda\alpha |z|}{\delta} \frac{1}{n} |G(s+n\delta)|$$

$$\leq K(z) \frac{1}{n},$$

so that the convergence of this remainder is faster than 1/n!:

$$|R_n(s,z)| \sim f_n(s,z) \leq K(z) \frac{1}{n!}$$
 (18)

Hence in the limit, (17) yields the expansion:

$$F(s,z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} a(s+i\delta,z))b(s+k\delta,z),$$

where

$$\prod_{i=0}^{-1} a(s+i\delta,z) \doteq 1$$

Using our definitions (equations (15)) we get:

$$F(s,z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} (\lambda \alpha z)^{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{G(s+i\delta)}{\lambda - s - i\delta} \right) \phi_{0}(s+k\delta)$$

$$- F(\lambda + \delta, z) \alpha z \left\{ \sum_{k \ge 0} (\lambda \alpha z)^{k} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{G(s+i\delta)}{\lambda - s - i\delta} \right) (s+k\delta) \right\}.$$
(19)

We remain with the problem of determining the unknown function $F(\lambda+\delta,z)$. For this, let us multiply both sides of (15) by $(\lambda-s)$ and take the limit as s approaches λ . Since F(s,z) has to be analytic in s at λ , the L.H.S. has to vanish implying then the relation:

$$F(\lambda+\delta,z) = \lambda \frac{\sum\limits_{k\geq 0} \frac{(zz)^k}{k!} \prod\limits_{i=1}^k G(\lambda+i\delta) \cdot \phi_0(\lambda+(k+1)\delta)}{\sum\limits_{k\geq 0} \frac{(xz)^k}{k!} \prod\limits_{i=1}^k G(\lambda+i\delta)(\lambda+k\delta)}, \qquad (20)$$

where

ľ

$$x \stackrel{\cdot}{=} -\frac{\lambda \alpha}{\delta}$$
.

One should notice first that in each term in the R.H.S. of (19), there are possibly singularities other than $s = \lambda$ situated inside the right half plane: $s = \lambda - i\delta$ for those $i \ge 1$ such that $\lambda - i\delta \ge 0$ - if any - is such a singularity. Actually, we can check directly that removing any of these possible singularities (as we did for $s = \lambda$) provides the same expression for the unknown $F(\lambda + \delta, z)$ so that the function

$$F(s,z) = \phi_{0}(s) + \frac{\lambda \alpha z G(s)}{(\lambda - s)} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(zz)^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(s) \phi_{0}(s + (k + 1)\delta) - \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(zz)^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(\lambda) \phi_{0}(\lambda + (k + 1)\delta) - \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(zz)^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(\lambda) \phi_{0}(\lambda + (k + 1)\delta) - \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(zz)^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(\lambda) (\lambda + k \delta) \right\}$$

$$where \begin{cases} \nu_{k}(s) \doteq \prod_{i=1}^{k} G(s + i\delta) \frac{i\delta}{i\delta + s - \lambda} \\ x = -\frac{\lambda \alpha}{\delta} \end{cases}$$

$$(21)$$

is an analytic function of (s, z) for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge 0$ and |z| < 1.

Accordingly, equation (11) provides the following expression for the expected number of customers served before the first failure:

$$E[N*] =$$

$$\frac{\left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(0)\phi_{0}(k\,\delta+\delta)\right]\left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(\lambda)(\lambda+k\,\delta)\right] - \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(0)k\,\delta\right]\left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(\lambda)\phi_{0}(\lambda+\delta+k\,\delta)\right]}{\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \nu_{k}(\lambda)(\lambda+k\,\delta)}$$

$$(22)$$

5. Numerical Results

Next we give some numerical results based on equation (22). In figure 1, we have plotted $E[N^*]$ as a function of $1/\delta$ with $\lambda_f = 0.0001$ for the case of an exponential service time distribution with mean 0.5 and the arrival rate $\lambda = 1$. We also compare the numbers obtained by equation (22) with those obtained by simulation. In figure 2, we keep $\lambda_f = 0.0001$ and vary the service time distribution, which is assumed to be gamma distributed with mean 0.5. We vary the shape parameter α_0 , of the gamma distribution over the values 0.5, 1 and 5.0. We have assumed in the numerical example that $\phi_0(s) = 1$.

6. Conclusion

We have studied an M/G/1 queueing system with server breakdown and hard deadlines on job response time. Thus, a transient analysis of the system is performed in order to determine the average number of jobs completed before system failure. Extensions of the model in the direction of a more general "server" with multiple processors, subject to failure/repair type of degradation in the sense of [7,8] is needed.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Gianfranco Ciardo for his programming assistance with this paper.

Appendiz

Let G(z) and F(z) be two analytic functions in the domain |z| < 1 with expansions

$$G(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \gamma_n z^n ,$$

$$F(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \phi_n z^n ,$$

anarosa sectores

South States and State

Let Γ be a circular contour of center 0 and radius R where |z| < R < 1 for a given z so that |z| < 1.

For u in the ring shaped domain |z| < |u| < R, $F(u) \cdot G(\frac{z}{u})$ is analytical in u and has the Laurent expansion

$$F(u) \cdot G\left(\frac{z}{u}\right) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \sum_{k \ge 0} \phi_n \gamma_k z^k u^{n-k}$$

Hence, the coefficient of u^{-j} , $j \in N$ in this expansion is given by the contour integral

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\phi_n\gamma_{n+j}\ z^{n+j}=\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{F(u)G(\frac{z}{u})}{u^{1-j}}\ du$$

References

- F. Baccelli and G. Hebuterne, "On queues with impatient customers," Proc. 8th IFIP International Symposium on Computer Performance Modeling (PERFORMANCE '81), North Holland, Amsterdam, Nov. 1981.
- F. Baccelli, P. Boyer, and G. Hebuterne, "Single server queue with impatient customers," Advances in Applied Probability, V. 16, pp. 887-905, Dec. 1984.
- F. Baccelli and K. Trivedi, "Analysis of M/G/2 Standby Redundant System," Proc. 9th IFIP International Symposium on Computer Performance Modeling (PERFORMANCE '88), College Park, MD., May 1983.
- [4] D. P. Gaver, "A Waiting Line with Interrupted Service, Including Priorities," J. Royal Statistical Society, Series B24, 1962, pp. 73-90.
- [5] P. Henrici, Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, Vol. 1, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [6] C. M. Krishna and K. G. Shin, "Performance Measures for Multiprocessor Controllers," Proc. 9th IFIP International Symposium on Computer Performance Modeling (PERFORMANCE '83), College Park, MD., May 1983.
- [7] V. Kulkarni, V. Nicola, and K. Trivedi, "On Modeling the Performance and Reliability of Multimode Computer Systems," Int. Workshop on Modeling and Performance Evaluation of Parallel Systems, Dec. 1984, Grenoble (Proceedings to be published by North-Holland).
- [8] V. Kulkarni, V. Nicola, K. Trivedi, and R. Smith, "A Unified Model for the Analysis of Job Completion Time and Performability Measures in Fault-Tolerant Systems," in review, JACM.
- D. W. Leinbaugh, "Guaranteed Response Time in a Hard-Real-Time Environment," IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Jan. 1980.
- [10] J. F. Meyer, "Closed-Form Solution of Performability," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, Vol. C-31, No. 7, July 1982, pp. 648-657.

[11] I. Mitrany and B. Avi-Itzhak, "A Many Server Queue with Service Interruptions," Operations Research, Vol. 16, pp. 628-638, 1968.





ENU

FILMED

11-85

DTIC

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMELT EXPENSE