



Pre-pre-

1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 -1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 - 1970 -

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

#### REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

### AFOSR-TR- 85-0751

AD-A160 294

#### HERMITIAN AND NONNEGATIVITY PRESERVING SUBSPACES

by

Thomas Mathew

Center for Multivariate Analysis University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

## **Center for Multivariate Analysis**

**University of Pittsburgh** 

DILL FILE COPY





145

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



10 11

| NOTION OF            | CORSCENTER DUC |
|----------------------|----------------|
| Thist                | APSC.          |
| د ۲ هومتون<br>۲۰۱۱ م | ·              |
| Distri               | •              |
| MATTIGENT            | ~ L.           |
| Chief, Teshuloa.     | Lipton         |

aformation Division

#### HERMITIAN AND NONNEGATIVITY PRESERVING SUBSPACES\*

by

**Thomas Mathew** 

Center for Multivariate Analysis University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

July 1985

Technical Report No. 85-25

.

Center for Multivariate Analysis Fifth Floor, Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260



\*Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC) under Contract F49620-85-C-0008. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

This document has been apusand for public release and cale; its distribution is unlimited.

A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF THE

#### HERMITIAN AND NONNEGATIVITY PRESERVING SUBSPACES

by

Thomas Mathew"

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

#### ABSTRACT

The concepts of hermitian preserving and nonnegativity preserving subspaces of complex square matrices are introduced. Characterizations of such subspaces are obtained and applications are discussed.



On leave from the Indian Statistical Instutute. Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract F49620-85-C-0008.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

We introduce the concepts of hermitian preserving and nonnegativity preserving subspaces of complex square matrices. These are special cases of the concepts of hermitian preserving and positive semidefinite preserving linear transformations given in dePillis [5] and later investigated by Hill[6], Bose and Mitra [4], Poluikis and Hill[12] and Barker, Hill and Haertel [1]. In the next section, we give several characterizations of hermitian preserving and nonnegativity preserving subspaces. In section 3, we use these results to investigate the existence and characterization of hermitian and nonnegative definite solutions to linear equations. Some applications are discussed in section 4. An important application in statistics, orginially investigated by Pukelsheim [13] and later by the author [9], is also given.

The following notations are used in the paper:  $C^{n\times m}$  and  $R^{n\times m}$  respectively denote the vector spaces of complex and real matrices of order  $n\times m$ . For a complex matrix A, A<sup>\*</sup> denotes its complex conjugate transpose and A', its transpose. The inner product of two complex matrices A and B of the same order is assumed to be the trace inner product; i.e.  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr } B^*A$ . The range of a linear transformation T is denoted as R(T). For a hermitian matrix A, A<sub>+</sub> and A<sub>-</sub> denote the positive and negative parts of A respectively. A<sub>+</sub> is obtained from the spectral decomposition of A by deleting the negative eigenvalues and the corresponding projectors. A<sub>-</sub> is then defined as A<sub>+</sub>-A. If a square matrix A is hermitian nonnegative definite, we denote A> 0.

#### 2. HERMITIAN AND NONNEGATIVITY PRESERVING SUBSPACES

Let A be a subspace of  $C^{n \times n}$  and let P denote the orthogonal projector onto A (where orthogonality is with respect to the trace inner product).

<u>DEFINITION</u> (1) A is said to be hermitian preserving if P(A) is hermitian for every hermitian matrix A.

(2) A is said to be nonnegativity preserving if  $P(A) \ge 0$  for every  $A \ge 0$ .

We notice that nonnegativity preserving subspaces are those which preserve the Loewner ordering, i.e. if A and B are hermitian matrices, then A is nonnegativity preserving iff  $A-B \ge 0$  implies  $P(A) - P(B) \ge 0$ .

THEOREM 1. A is hermitian preserving iff anyone of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(1)  $A^{\overline{\epsilon}} \epsilon A$  whenever  $A \epsilon A$ 

(ii) 
$$P(A^*) = P(A)^*$$
 for every  $A \in C^{n \times q}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: (1) Let  $A^* \in A$ , for every  $A \in A$ . Let B be a hermitian matrix and suppose  $P(B) \neq P(B)^*$ . Write P(B) = P. Then  $||B-P||^2 = ||B - \frac{P+P}{2}^*||^2 + ||\frac{P+P}{2}^* - P||^2 +$ tr  $(\frac{P+P}{2}^* - P)^*(B - \frac{P+P}{2}^*) +$ tr  $(B - \frac{P+P}{2}^*)(\frac{P+P}{2}^* - P)$ . Using the fact that B is hermitian, the last two terms simplify to  $\frac{1}{2}$ tr  $(B - \frac{P+P}{2}^*)(P-P^*) + \frac{1}{2}$ tr  $(B - \frac{P+P}{2}^*)(P^*-P)$ , which is zero. Thus we get the contradiction  $||B-P||^2 > ||B - \frac{P+P^*}{2}||^2$ . Conversely, let A be hermitian preserving and let  $A \in A$ . Suppose  $A^* \notin A$ . Since  $A + A^*$ is hermitian,  $P = P(\frac{A+A}{2}^*)$  is also hermitian. Then  $||A - \frac{A+A}{2}^*||^2 = ||A-P||^2 +$   $||P - \frac{A+A}{2}^*||^2 +$ tr  $(A-P)^*(P - \frac{A+A^*}{2}) +$ tr  $(P - \frac{A+A^*}{2})^*(A-P)$ . The last two terms are zeros since  $A - P \in A$  and  $P - \frac{A+A^*}{2} \in A^{\perp}$  (the orthogonal complement of A). Thus we get  $||A - \frac{A+A^*}{2}||^2 > ||A-P||^2$ , which is a contradiction since  $\frac{A+A^*}{2}$  is the hermitian matrix closest to A; see Marshall and Olkin ([8], p. 264).

(ii) If  $P(A^*) = P(A)^*$  for every  $A \in C^{n \times n}$ , it follows that P(A) is hermitian whenever A is. To prove the converse, write  $A = \frac{A+A^*}{2} - i \frac{1(A-A^*)}{2}$  ( $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ). Since  $\frac{A+A^*}{2}$  and  $\frac{1(A-A^*)}{2}$  are hermitian matrices and since  $A^* = \frac{A+A^*}{2} + i \frac{1(A-A^*)}{2}$ , it follows that  $P(A^*) = P(A)^*$  when A is hermitian preserving.

The 'if' part in part (ii) of Theorem 1 also follows from the lemma in Hill ([6], p. 260).

<u>COROLLARY 1</u>. A hermitian preserving subspace has a basis consisting of hermitian matrices.

<u>THEOREM 2</u>. Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s$  form an orthonormal basis for A. Let  $a_{jk}^h$  denote the  $(jk)^{th}$  element of  $A_h$   $(h=1,2,\ldots,s; j,k=1,2,\ldots,n)$ . Then A is hermitian preserving iff anyone of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i) The matrices  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} \overline{a}_{jj}^{h} A_{h}$ ,  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} (\overline{a}_{kj}^{h} + \overline{a}_{jk}^{h}) A_{h}$  and  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} (i \ \overline{a}_{kj}^{h} - i \ \overline{a}_{jk}^{h}) A_{h}$  are hermitian for j, k = 1, 2, ..., n.

(ii)  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} A_{h}^{*} \in A_{h}$  is hermitian,

<u>Proof</u>: It is easy to see that the set of hermitian matrices of order n can be obtained by taking real linear combinations (linear combinations with real coefficients) of the following n<sup>2</sup> hermitian matrices: (a) the matrix  $E_{jj}$  having j<sup>th</sup> diagonal element 1 and zeros elsewhere (j = 1,2,...,n) (b) the matrix  $F_{jk}$  having (jk)<sup>th</sup> and (kj)<sup>th</sup> elements 1 and zeros elsewhere (j < k; j,k=1,2,...,n) and (c) the matrix  $G_{jk}$  having (jk)<sup>th</sup> element 1, (kj)<sup>th</sup> element -i and zeros elsewhere (j < k; j,k=1,2,...,n) we have  $P(B) = \sum_{h=1}^{8} (\text{tr } A_h^*B)A_h$ . In the place of B if we substitute the matrices  $E_{jj}$ ,  $F_{jk}$  and  $G_{jk}$  defined above, we get part (i) of the theorem. It can be shown that part (i) of the theorem is equivalent to  $\sum_{h=1}^{8} a_h^h a_h^h = \sum_{h=1}^{8} a_h^h a_h^h (j,k,u,v=1,2,...,n)$ , which is equivalent to  $\sum_{h=1}^{8} A_h^* B A_h$  being hermitian.  $\Box$ 

Part (ii) of the above theorem also follows from Proposition 1.2 in dePillis [5] or Theorem 1 in Hill [6]. <u>THEOREM 3</u>. A is nonnegativity preserving iff (i) A is hermitian preserving and (ii)  $A_{\perp} \in A$  for every hermitian matrix  $A \in A$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose A is nonnegativity preserving. If B is hermitian, we can write  $B = B_+ - B_-$  and  $P(B) = P(B_+) - P(B_-)$ . Since  $P(B_+) \ge 0$  and  $P(B_-) \ge 0$ , P(B) is hermitian, showing that A is hermitian preserving. Let  $A \in A$  be hermitian. Then we have  $A_+ - A_- = A = P(A) = P(A_+) - P(A_-)$ . Hence  $||A_+||^2 + ||A_-||^2 = ||P(A_+)||^2 + ||P(A_-)||^2 - 2 \operatorname{tr} P(A_+)P(A_-) \le ||P(A_+)||^2 + ||P(A_-)||^2$  (since  $P(A_+) \ge 0$  and  $P(A_-) \ge 0$ ). From this, it follows that  $A_+ = P(A_+)$  or  $A_+ \in A$ . Conversely, suppose (i) and (ii) in the theorem hold. Let  $B \ge 0$ . Then C = P(B) is hermitian and  $C_- \ne 0$  if C is not nonnegative definite. Now,  $||B-C||^2 = ||B-C_+-C_-||^2 = ||B-C_+||^2 + ||C_-||^2 = 2 \operatorname{tr} (B-C_+)C_- > ||B-C_+||^2$  (since  $C_+C_- = 0$  and  $\operatorname{tr} BC_+ \ge 0$ ). This is a contradiction since  $C_+$  cannot be closer to B than the projection C.  $\Box$ 

The proof of the "if" part is similar to the proof of Lemma 2 in Pukelsheim [13] and the proof of the "only if" part is similar to the proof of Lemma 1 in Mathew [9].

<u>COROLLARY 2</u>. A nonnegativity preserving subspace has a basis consisting of hermitian nonnegative definite matrices.

<u>THEOREM 4</u>. Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s$  be an orthonormal basis for A. If  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} A_h^* \triangleq A_h \ge 0$ , then A is nonnegativity preserving. This condition is also necessary if s = 2 or if there exists a nonsingular matrix Q such that  $Q^*AQ$  is diagonal for every hermitian matrix  $A \in A$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $A_j$  (j=1,2,...,s) be as given in the theorem and let  $A \ge 0$ . Then  $P(A) = \sum_{h=1}^{s} (tr A_h^*A)A_h$  and  $P(A) \ge 0$  for every  $A \ge 0$  iff  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} (a^*A_h^*a)A_h \ge 0$  for every vector a or hell equivalently

$$(a^{\star} \oplus I) \left(\sum_{h=1}^{S} A_{h}^{\star} \oplus A_{h}\right) (a \oplus I) \geq 0$$
(I)

for every vector a. This proves the sufficiency of the condition as asserted in the theorem. Conversely, suppose A is nonnegativity preserving. Then  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} A_h^* \cong A_h$  is hermitian, in view of Theorem 3(i) and Theorem 2(ii). Since A now has a basis con-

sisting of hermitian matrices (Corollary 1), if there exists a matrix Q as specified in the theorem, then  $Q^*AQ$  is diagonal for every  $A \in A$ . It now follows that (I) holds for every a iff  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} A_h^* \cong A_h \ge 0$ . When s=2, in view of Corollary 2, let  $A_1 \ge 0$ ,  $A_2 \ge 0$ be a basis for A. Then, using Theorem 6.2.3 in [15], we see that there exists a nonsingular matrix Q satisfying  $Q^*A_1Q$  and  $Q^*A_2Q$  are diagonal. Arguing as before, the proof can be completed. []

We observe that the condition  $\sum_{h=1}^{S} A_{h}^{*} \oplus A_{h} \ge 0$  is similar to the condition given in Corollary 2.2 in dePillis [5]. This condition is not in general necessary for A to be nonnegativity preserving. For example, if A is the vector space of 2×2 complex matrices, then clearly, A is nonnegativity preserving. The matrices  $A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $A_{3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A_{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  form an orthonormal basis for A and do not satisfy the condition  $\sum_{h=1}^{S} A_{h}^{*} \oplus A_{h} \ge 0$ .

<u>REMARK 1</u>. The existence of a nonsingular matrix Q satisfying the requirement in Theorem 4 could be verified as follows: When A is nonnegativity preserving, let  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_s$  be a basis for A satisfying  $B_j \ge 0$  (j = 1,2,...,s). From Theorem 3(ii) and the proof of Theorem 1(ii), it is easy to see that such a basis can be obtained. Then there exists a nonsingular matrix Q satisfying Q  $B_j$ Q is diagonal for j = 1,2,...,s iff  $B_j B_0 B_k = B_k B_0 B_j$  for j,k= 1,2,...,s. Here  $B_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{s} B_j$  and  $B_0$  denotes a generalized inverse of  $B_0$ . For a proof of the above assertion, we refer to Bhimasankaram ([3], Corollary 2) or Rao and Mitra ([15], Theorem 6.5.2).

Suppose A is a subspace of real square matrices of order n, i.e.  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . We shall call A, a symmetry preserving subspace if P(A) is symmetric whenever A is symmetric (the inner product under consideration is  $\langle A,B \rangle = tr B^{*}A$  for A,B real matrices). "Nonnegativity preserving" is defined similarly. We now give characterizations of a symmetry preserving or nonnegativity preserving subspace A of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

THEOREM 5. A subspace A of  $R^{n \times n}$  is symmetry preserving iff anyone of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i)  $A' \in A$  whenever  $A \in A$ 

(ii)  $P(A^{\dagger}) = P(A)^{\dagger}$  for every  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: The proofs of (i) and the 'if' part of (ii) are similar to the corresponding proofs of (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1. We shall now prove the 'only if' part of (ii). Suppose A is symmetry preserving and let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s$  form an orthonormal basis for A. Then, for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $P(A) = \sum_{h=1}^{s} (trA_h^*A)A_h$ . In view of part (i) of the theorem, it follows that the matrices  $A_1, \ldots, A_s^*$  also form an orthonormal basis for A. Hence  $P(A') = \sum_{h=1}^{s} (trA_h A')A'_h = P(A)'$ . THEOREM 6. Let A be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s$  form an orthonormal basis for A. Let  $a_{jk}^h$  denote the (jk)<sup>th</sup> element of  $A_h$  (h=1,2,...,s; j,k=1,2,...,n). Then A is symmetry preserving iff anyone of the following equivalent conditions holds:

(i) the matrices  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} a_{jj}^{h} A_{h}$  and  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} (a_{kj}^{h} + a_{jk}^{h}) A_{h}$  are symmetric for j,k=1,2,...,n (ii)  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} [A_{h} \cap A_{h} + A_{h}' \cap A_{h}]$  is symmetric.

<u>Proof</u>: The matrices  $E_{jj}$  j=1,2,...,n and  $F_{jk}$  j<k; j,k=1,2,...,n defined in the proof of Theorem 2 form a basis for the subspace of real symmetric matrices. The proof of the theorem can now be completed along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2. <u>THEOREM 7</u>. Let A be a subspace of R<sup>n×n</sup>. Then

(a) A is nonnegativity preserving iff (i) A is symmetry preserving and (ii)  $A_{+} \in A_{+}$  for every symmetric matrix  $A \in A_{-}$ 

(b) If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_s$  are as in Theorem 6 and if  $\sum_{h=1}^{s} [A_h \cap A_h + A_h' \cap A_h] \ge 0$ , then A is nonnegativity preserving.

<u>Proof</u>: The rpoofs of (a) and (b) are similar to the proofs of Theorem 3 and the first part of Theorem 4 respectively.

<u>REMARK 2</u>. When A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the conclusions in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 are not true in general if we replace "hermitian" by "symmetric". This is easily seen by taking A to be  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  itself.

<u>REMARK 3</u>. When A is a subspace of real symmetric matrices, all the conclusions in Corollary 1, Corollary 2, Theorem 4 and Remark 1 remain valid if we replace "hermitian" by "symmetric" wherever applicable.

#### 3. HERMITIAN AND NONNEGATIVE SOLVABILITY OF LINEAR EQUATIONS.

Let T be a linear transformation from  $C^{n\times n}$  into  $C^{p\times q}$ . In this section, we are interested in the existence and characterization of hermitian and hermitian nonnegative definite (nnd) solutions to the linear equation TX = Q, where  $Q \in R(T)$  (the range of T). T<sup>\*</sup> denotes the adjoint of T and T<sup>+</sup> denotes the maximal generalized inverse of T as defined in Ben Israel and Greville ([2], p. 318). T<sup>+</sup> is also the pseudoinverse of T as defined in Holmes ([7], pp. 216-226). Then  $R(T^+) = R(T^*)$  and  $T^+T$  is the orthogonal projector onto  $R(T^*)$  (see Theorem 2 on p. 320 in [2]). When T is a matrix,  $T^+$  coincides with the Moore Penrose inverse of T. We say that TX = Qis hermitian solvable (nonnegatively solvable) if there exists a hermitian (respectively hermitian nnd) matrix X satisfying TX = Q.

<u>DEFINITION</u>. We say that  $T^+$  characterizes the hermitian solvability (nonnegative solvability) of TX = Q if the existence of a hermitian (hermitian nnd) solution to TX = Q implies that  $T^+Q$  is hermitian (respectively hermitian nnd).

Thus, if we know that  $T^+$  characterizes the hermitian solvability (nonnegative solvability) of TX= Q, in order to examine if TX= Q has a hermitian (hermitian nnd) solution, it is enough to check if  $T^+Q$  is hermitian (respectively hermitian nnd).

<u>THEOREM 8</u>. (i) For every  $Q \in R(T)$ ,  $T^+$  characterizes the hermitian solvability of TX = Q iff  $R(T^*)$  is hermitian preserving.

(ii) For every  $Q \in R(T)$ , T<sup>+</sup> characterizes the nonnegative solvability of TX = Q iff  $R(T^*)$  is nonnegativity preserving.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $R(T^*)$  is hermitian preserving. Then  $T^+TX$  is hermitian whenever X is hermitian. Thus when X is a hermitian solution to TX = Q,  $T^+TX = T^+Q$  is also a hermitian solution to TX = Q. Conversely let  $T^+$  characterize the hermitian solvability of TX = Q for every  $Q \in R(T)$ . Let  $X_0$  be any hermitian matrix and let  $TX_0 = Q_0$ . Then  $T^+Q_0$  is hermitian. But  $T^+Q_0 = T^+TX_0$  and  $X_0$  is an arbitrary hermitian matrix. Hence  $R(T^*)$  is hermitian preserving. This proves (i). (ii) is proved similarly. <u>REMARK 4</u>. If T is a linear transformation from  $R^{n\times n}$  into  $R^{p\times q}$ , the conclusions in Theorem 8 remain valid if we replace "hermitian" by "symmetric". (Symmetric solvability of TX = Q is defined analogous to hermitian solvability.)

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

(a) Let  $A_j \in C^{p_j \times n}$  and  $B_j \in C^{p_j \times p_j}$  for j = 1, 2, ..., s. Let  $\sum_{j=1}^{s} p_j = p$  and consider the system of equations  $A_j X A_j^* = B_j$  (j = 1, 2, ..., s) (assumed to be consistent). Here TX is a vector consisting of the s matrices  $(A_1 X A_1^*, ..., A_s X A_s^*)$  and  $R(T^*)$  consists of all complex incrices of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{s} A_j^* Z_j Z_j$ , where  $Z_j \in C^{p_j \times p_j}$ . From Theorem 1(i), if follows that  $R(T^*)$  is a hermitian preserving subspace. Hence the existence of a common hermitian solution to the above equations can be verified by examining if  $T^*B$  is hermitian, in which case  $T^*B$  itself gives a hermitian solution (B denotes  $(B_1, B_2, ..., B_s)$ ).

(b) Let  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  be as defined in (a) and assume further that  $B_j \ge 0$  and  $R(B_j) = R(A_j)$ . Let A be a matrix satisfying  $R(A^*) = R(A_1^*; A_2^*; \dots; A_s^*)$ . Now consider the equations

 $A_{j}A^{*}XAA_{j}^{*} = B_{j}$ , j = 1, 2, ..., s and suppose we want to examine if the above equations admit a common hermitian positive definite solution X. This problem arises in connection with the identification problem for shorted matrices, see the proof of Theorem 1 in Mathew and Mitra [10] (see also Mitra [11] for the details of this problem). Let T denote the corresponding linear transformation (as in the case of (a) above). We notice that if X is a hermitian nnd solution to the above equations, then  $P_{A}XP_{A} + (I-P_{A})$  is a hermitian positive definite solution, where  $P_{A}$  denotes the projection matrix  $A(A^{*}A)^{-}A^{*}$ . Thus, it is enough to know if the above system of equations admits a common hermitian nnd solution. In case  $R(T^{*})$  is nonnegativity preserving, this can be verified by applying Theorem 8(ii).

#### (c) Nonnegative estimation of variance components.

Let Y be a random R<sup>n</sup>-vector with mean vector of the form AB and dispersion matrix  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j v_j$ . Here A is a known matrix,  $\beta$  is a vector of unknown parameters, j=1, j=1

C.R. Rao ([14], pp. 302-305). Thus, when  $R(T^*)$  is nonnegativity preserving, the existence of an nnd quadratic unbiased estimator of q' $\theta$  is equivalent to the non-negativity of its MINQUE. Further details regarding this observation are given in [13] and [9].

#### (d) Nonnegativity preserving subspaces of $R^n$

Let S be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let P denote the orthogonal projector onto S. (Here we assume that orthogonality is with respect to the inner product (x,y) = y'x. More general inner product can be considered and the modifications in such a case will be obvious). We say that S is nonnegativity preserving if Px has nonnegative components whenever the vector x has nonnegative components. Clearly, S is nonnegativity preserving iff the matrix P has nonnegative entries. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ . Then, for every  $b \in \mathbb{R}(A)$ ,  $A^+b$  characterizes the nonnegative solvability of Ax = b iff  $\mathbb{R}(A')$ is nonnegativity preserving. Thus, when  $\mathbb{R}(A')$  is nonnegativity preserving, in order to verify if Ax = b,  $b \in \mathbb{R}(A)$ , has a solution x with nonnegative components, it is enough to examine if the vector  $A^+b$  has nonnegative components, in which case  $x = A^+b$  is a desired solution.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. G.P. Barker, R.D. Hill and R.D. Haertel, On the completely positive and positive semidefinite preserving cones, <u>Linear Algebra Appl.</u> 56: 221-229 (1984).
- 2. A. Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Greville, <u>Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications</u>, John Wiley and Sons, N.Y. (1974).
- 3. P. Bhimasankaram, Simultaneous reduction of several hermitian forms, <u>Sankhya</u>, series A 33: 417-422 (1971).
- 4. N.K. Bose and S.K. Mitra, Nonnegative definite bihermitian forms and linear maps preserving positivity, preprint, University of Pittsburgh (1975).
- 5. J. de Pillis, Linear transformations which preserve hermitian and positive semidefinite transformations, <u>Pac. J. Math.</u> 23: 129-137 (1967).
- 6. R.D. Hill, Linear transformations which preserve hermitian matrices, <u>Linear</u> <u>Algebra Appl.</u> 6: 257-262 (1973).
- 7. R.B. Holmes, <u>A Course on Optimization and Best Approximation</u>, Springer, N.Y. (1972).
- 8. A.W. Marshall and I. Olkin, <u>Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its</u> Applications, Academic Press, N.Y. (1979).
- 9. T. Mathew, On nonnegative quadratic unbiased estimability of variance components, Ann. Statist. 12: 1566-1569 (1984).
- 10. T. Mathew and S.K. Mitra, Shorted operators and the identification problemthe real case, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. CAS-31: 299-300 (1984).
- 11. S.K. Mitra, Shorted operators and the identification problem, <u>IEEE Trans</u>. <u>Circuits Syst. CAS-29: 581-583 (1982)</u>,
- 12. J.A. Poluikis and R.D. Hill, Completely positive and hermitian preserving linear transformations, Linear Algebra Appl. 35: 1-10 (1981).
- 13. F. Pukelsheim, On the existence of unbiased nonnegative estimates of variance covariance components, <u>Ann. Statist.</u> 9: 293-299.
- 14. C.R. Rao, <u>Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications</u>, John Wiley and Sons, N.Y. (1973).
- 15. C.R. Rao and S.K. Mitra, <u>Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications</u>, John Wiley and Sons, N.Y. (1971).

11

| REPORT DOCUMENTATI   | ON PAGE  | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM   |
|--|--|---|
| AT NUMBER  | 2 GOVTACCESSION NO   | ASCATENT'S CATALOG NUMBER   |
| 85-25  | H 160 A  | 44  |
| E (and Sublitle)   |  | S TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED   |
| Hermitian and Nonnenativity Dreserving Subseques   |  | Technical - July 1985   |
| inerali and nonnegativity i.   | coel ting outspaces  | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER  |
|  |  | 85-25   |
| Q#(+) .  |  | BLOCOD OF C DOOD  |
| Thomas Mathew  |  | F49620-85-C-0008  |
| PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Center for Multivariate Analysis<br>515 Thackeray Hall   |  | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK<br>AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS                            |
|  |  | GILORF  |
| iversity of Pittsburgh. Pit  | tsburgh.PA 15260   | 2304 /A -   |
| TROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS   |  | 12. REPORT DATE   |
| Air Force Office of Scientific Research<br>Department of the Air Force   |  | July 1985   |
|  |  | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES   |
| Ling Air Force DC 20332  | levent from Controlling Office)  | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  |
|  | •  |   |
|  |  | Unclassified  |
|  | •  | ISA. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING   |
| RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the oboliant and  | e; distribution uni<br>fored in Block 20, if different fre   | limited   |
| RIDUTION STATEMENT (of the abotace and   | e; distribution uni  | limited   |
| RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abottact and  | e; distribution un<br>lorod in Block 20, if different fre  | himited   |
| RIDUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract ont<br>NUMENTARY NOTES<br>WORDS (Continue un reverse elde II necessa   | e; distribution un<br>nored in Block 20, if different fre<br>ny and identify by block number   | limited   |
| WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necesse<br>lermitian preserving subspa<br>nonnegativity preserving su   | e; distribution un<br>nered in Block 20, if dillerent fre<br>my and identify by block number<br>ice, symmetry presen<br>ibspace.   | rving subspace,   |
| WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necesse<br>Hermitian preserving subspa<br>nonnegativity preserving su   | e; distribution un<br>revol in Block 20, 11 dillorent fre<br>ry and identify by block number,<br>ice, symmetry presen<br>ibspace.  | rving subspace,   |
| RIDUTION STATEMENT (of the aboliact ond<br>-LEMENTARY NOTES<br>WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar<br>Hermitian preserving subspa<br>nonnegativity preserving su<br>IRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessar<br>The concepts of Hermitian p<br>of complex square matrices<br>subspaces are obtained and | e; distribution un<br>reved in Block 20, 11 different fre<br>reved in Block 20, 11 different fre<br>revent identify by block number,<br>ace, symmetry presen<br>ibspace.   | rving subspace,<br>egativity preserving subspace<br>haracterizations of such<br>iscussed. |
| RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboliact and<br>PLEMENTARY NOTES<br>WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necesses<br>Hermitian preserving subspanning ativity preserving su<br>TRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necesses<br>The concepts of Hermitian p<br>of complex square matrices<br>subspaces are obtained and     | e; distribution un<br>revend in Block 20, if different for<br>ary and identify by block number,<br>ace, symmetry presen<br>ibspace.<br>ry and identify by block number)<br>preserving and nonne<br>are introduced. Cl<br>applications are di | egativity preserving subspace<br>haracterizations of such<br>iscussed.                    |
| RIDUTION STATEMENT (of the abottact onl<br>*LEMENTARY NOTES<br>WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessed<br>Hermitian preserving subspa<br>nonnegativity preserving su<br>IRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessed<br>The concepts of Hermitian p<br>of complex square matrices<br>subspaces are obtained and | e; distribution un<br>revol in Block 20, if different for<br>my and identify by block number,<br>ice, symmetry present<br>ibspace.<br>ry and identify by block number,<br>preserving and nonno<br>are introduced. Cl<br>applications are di  | Inited  |



Contractor States

# FILMED

EN

11-85

DTIC