

1.0	14.5 15.9 16.9 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4 16.4	2.8 2.5 3.2 2.2 3.6
		2.0 1.8
1.25	1.4	1.6

. •

t

357535757

5 . S . A . W. S

10001015 5 FLUCCON

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

	•	REPORT DOCUME	INTATION PAGE			
	-		16. RESTRICTIVE M	ARKINGS		
AD-A160 1	177 -					·
		Approved fo	r public r	eleace, qic	tribution	
D. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA	DING SCHED	DULE	unlimited			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
		AFOSR-TK- 85-0762				
NAME OF PERFORMING ORGAN	ZATION	B. OFFICE SYMBOL	78. NAME OF MONIT	ORING ORGAN	ZATION	
Stanford University			AFOSR			
ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Cod	le)	A	76. ADDRESS (City,	State and ZIP Cod	ie ;	
Department of Electri	ical Eng	ineerina	B1da. 410			
Stanford, CA 94305			Bolling AFB	, D.C. 20	332-6448	<u> </u>
NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORIN ORGANIZATION	G	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT I		ENTIFICATION I	
AFOSR		NM		<u> </u>	620-79-	- <u>C-OUS</u>
ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Cod	le I		10. SOURCE OF FUN	DING NOS.	T	
Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB D C 20	1332-644	2	ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.
BUTTING AFD, D.C. 2032-0440		61102F	2304	A6		
Data-Driven Echo Canceller for Fast Initialization of Full-Duplex Data Transmission				entor 1		
PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						フリイ
1 M CLARES T MART						
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kail	136. TIME C	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Yr., Mo., Day	15 AGD	COUNT
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kail a type of Report Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	136. TIME C	OVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Yr., Mo., Day	15 AGB	OCT 1 5 19
I. M. Cioffi, T. Kail A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint Supplementary Notation	136. TIME C	OVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Yr., Mo., Day	15 AGE	OCT 1 5 19
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kail A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	135. TIME C	OVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPOR	NT (Yr., Mo., Day	15 AGB	OCT 1 5 19
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB	13b. TIME C FROM	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car	14. DATE OF REPOR June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi	T (Yr. Mo., Day recessory and identi alizition,	ily by block numb full duple	OCT 1 5 19 E
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	13.5. TIME C FROM	TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver	June 1985 June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter	ecessary and identializition,	ify by block numb full duple	OCT 1 5 19 E
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai a TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX ABSTRACT (Continue on every of	13b. TIME C FROM	TO TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver fidentify by block number	June 1985 June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi "sal filter	ecessary and identializition,	i/y by block numb full duple	CT159
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	I GR.	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver 1 identify by block number cursive-Least-Sc	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter	T (Yr. Mo., Day reessary and identi alizition, rocedures	ily by block numb full duple	OCT 1 5 19 E
I. M. Cioffi, T. Kai TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	GR.	TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver Identify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of	June 1985 June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter Juares (RLS) p the Data-Driv	rocedures en Echo Ca	ily by block numb full duple are present ncellers (D	ed DECs)
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	GR.	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver fidentily by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDE	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter uares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission.	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth	ify by block numb full duple are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re	eci DECs) wn to
I. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ISB. TIME C FROM GR. Cient Re adaptive ceband f rning timents t	IB. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver identify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those re	June 1985 June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter y guares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. C while they a equired for ot	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least-	are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc	ed DECs) what to educe reduces,
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	GR.	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver didentify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those re sed by Salz (198	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter yuares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. while they a equired for ot 33). The new	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca	are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w	ed DECs) when to educe reduces, with any
I. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	I GR. I	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver didentify by block number cursive-Least-So e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those re sed by Salz (198 umber of iterati	June 1985 June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter guares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa	are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa 1 Filter (E	ed DECs) what duce educe educes, with any st- TE) PIS
<u>J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai</u> A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	I GR.	TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver fast transver didentify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those resed by Salz (198) umber of iteration hods are based to s that were independent	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter guares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by	are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author	ed DECs) what a duce edures, ofth any st- TF) RLS so of
I. M. Cioffi. T. Kai a TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	I GR. I	IB. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver identify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those resed by Salz (198) umber of iteration hods are based to s that were index	June 1985 June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter y guares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by are intro	are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author duced and	ed DECs) what to educe reduces, with any st- TF) RLS s of
<u>L M. Cioffi. T. Kai</u> A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION <u>COSATI CODES</u> FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	GR GR GR GR GR GR GR Cient Re adaptive ceband fi rning time ements to ly propo er any no Tbe meti lgorithm several reduce	IS SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver didentify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those re sed by Salz (198 umber of iteration hods are based to s that were inder special feature computation to the	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter uares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int es of the DDEC the levels tha	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by are intro t would be	are present full duple are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author duced and required f	eci DECs) what to duce edures, with any st- TF) RLS s of or slower-
<u>L M. Cioffi. T. Kai</u> A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION <u>COSATI CODES</u> FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR.	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver didentify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those re sed by Salz (198 umber of iterati hods are based u s that were inde special feature computation to the nt solutions. Suffermance are all	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter yuares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int es of the DDEC the levels tha several trade- lso illuminate	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by are intro t would be offs betwe d for the	are present full duple are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author duced and required f en computat new initial	ed DECs) what data educe educes, what any st- TF) RLS s of for slower- ion, ization.
<u>L M. Cioffi. T. Kai</u> A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION <u>COSATI CODES</u> FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	I GR. I	IL SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver didentify by block number cursive-Least-So e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those re sed by Salz (198 umber of iterati hods are based us s that were inde special feature computation to to nt solutions. S rformance are al	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter guares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int es of the DDEC the levels tha everal trade- lso illuminate 21. ABSTRACT SECU	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by are intro t would be offs betwe d for the	are present full duple are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author duced and required f en computat new initial CATION	ed DECs) what aduce educes, what TF) RLS so of for slower- ion, ization.
J. M. Cioffi, T. Kai A TYPE OF REPORT Reprint SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR.	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver identify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those reside sed by Salz (198 umber of iteration hods are based to s that were index computation to to nt solutions. Stromance are al T B otic USERS	ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter uares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. While they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int es of the DDEC the levels tha Several trade- lso illuminate 21. ABSTRACT SECU Unclassifi	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by are intro t would be offs betwe d for the UNITY CLASSIFI ed	are present full duple are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author duced and required f en computat new initial CATION	eci DECs) what data DECs) what duce educes, what any st- TF) RLS of for slower- ion, ization.
.1. M. Cioffi. T. Kai BA TYPE OF REPORT Reprint B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSAT: CODES FIELD GROUP SUE XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	I GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR. GR.	IN SUBJECT TERMS (C RLS, echo car fast transver Identify by block number cursive-Least-Sc e adjustment of ull-duplex data mes for the DDEC o below those reside sed by Salz (198 umber of iteration hods are based to s that were index computation to to nt solutions. Stricusens	14. DATE OF REPOR June 1985 ontinue on reverse if ne icellor, initi sal filter guares (RLS) p the Data-Driv transmission. C while they a equired for ot 33). The new ions, unlike a upon the Fast ependently int es of the DDEC the levels tha several trade- lso illuminate 21. ABSTRACT SECU Unclassifi	rocedures en Echo Ca The meth lso simult her least- methods ca ny of the Transversa roduced by are intro t would be offs betwe d for the UNITY CLASSIFI ed	are present full duple are present ncellers (D ods are sho aneouwly re square proc n be used w previous fa l Filter (F the author duced and required f en computat new initial CATION	ed DECs) what aduce educes, what any st- TF) RLS s of for slower- ion, ization.

Accession For NTIS GRA&I AFOSR-TR- 85-0762 DTIC TAB DIIC Unannounced Justification By. Distribution/ AN EFFICIENT, RLS, DATA-DRIVEN ECHO CANCELLER Availability Codes FOR FAST INITIALIZATION OF FULL-DUPLEX DATA TRANSMISSION Avail and/or Dist Special John M. Cioffi T. Kailath IBM Research Laboratory Information Systems Laboratory San Jose, CA 95193 Stanford, CA 94305

ABSTRACT

Computationally efficient Recursive-Least-Squares (RLS) procedures are presented specifically for the adaptive adjustment of the Data-Driven Echo Cancellers (DDECs) that are used in voiceband full-duplex data transmission. The methods are shown to yield very short learning times for the DDEC while they also simultaneously reduce computational requirements to below those required for other least-square procedures, such as those recently proposed by Salz (1983). The new methods can be used with any training sequence over any number of iterations, unlike any of the previous fast-converging methods. The methods are based upon the Fast Transversal Filter (FTF) RLS adaptive filtering algorithms that were independently introduced by the authors of this paper; however, several special features of the DDEC are introduced and exploited to further reduce computation to the levels that would be required for slower-converging stochastic-gradient solutions. Several trade-offs between computation, memory, learning-time, and performance are also illuminated for the new initialization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Echo cancellers were suggested for use in 2-wire full-duplex data transmission by Koll and Weinstein [1] in 1973. Additionally, much other work concerning the data echo canceller has appeared in [2-12,19]. Of particular concern in this paper is Mueller's Data-Driven Echo Canceller (DDEC) [6]. The use of stochastic-gradient LMS (Least-Mean-Square) algorithms in the DDEC has led to unacceptably long training periods for the full-duplex modem [2,11,12]. In this paper, we \sim coffically investigate the use of the Fast-Transversal-Filters (FTF) Recursive-Least-Squares (RLS) adaptive algorithms [13-14] in the DDEC to substantially reduce the necessary training period.

Because the transmitted data sequence is usually "whitened" through scrambling prior to entering the transmitter and DDFC, it was orginally believed that the use of RLS adaptive algorithms would have led to no improvement in the convergence time of the DDEC in comparison to stochastic-gradient techniques. However, Farrow [15], Honig [11] and Salz [12] verified a significant convergence improvement (about a factor of 5, see [11]) of the RLS (or closely related) methods when the double-taking data signal was intentionally silenced during the initial training phase of the data transmission. However, there are several drawbacks of the "Salz-Farrow" (SF) method in [11], [12], and [15]. Most of these are the result of the SF method's absolute necessity for the training sequence to be "pseudorandom" with very special autocorrelation properties and with a period equal to the number of coefficients (order) of the echo canceller, which limits both the permissible orders (to, say, 7, 15, 31, 63, 127, 255, 511, ..., 2ⁿ-1) and the performance of the RLS DDEC.

This paper introduces FTF solutions that require less computation than the SF method, permit training of the echo canceller with any known training sequence of any length (long enough to converge), and which converge as fast or faster than

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office, under Contract DAAG29-79-C-0215, and by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research. Air Force Systems Command, under Contract AF49-620-79-C-0058. the SF method. The freedom of choice in training sequence can also result in a factor 2 or more improvement (reduction) in learning time to get to the same echo canceller performance level as the SF echo canceller. We specifically investigate many interesting trade-offs between computational requirements and the performance of the echo canceller.

The new method's much-less-restrictive or arbitrary choice of training sequence permits use of sequences that are "white" (autocorrelation matrix is a diagonal), such as those of Milewski [17]. The use of such a sequence can lead to as much as a 3dB advantage over the pseudorandom sequence. Also, the prewindowed FTF solutions do not require "priming" the echo channel with N inputs, before computation can begin, as is necessary in the SF method, and are numerically stable over the initialization of [13,14]).

A possible disavantage, however, of the new method is its requirement of more read-only memory than the SF method, if one wishes to keep computation to an absolute minimum. This extra memory is used to pre-store certain quantities of the RLS algorithms that are solely a function of the known training sequence. Since practical experience dictates that the cost of read-only memory, in comparison to the cost of the other signal-processing functions that appear in high-speed modems, is low; this possible disadvantage is minimal.

Section 2 reviews and analyzes the RLS DDEC. Section 3 introduces and discusses the new recursive initialization procedures. Finally, Section 4 is a brief conclusion. A longer version of this paper appears in [16].

2. RLS AND THE DDEC

This section briefly reviews the DDEC and the application of RLS methods to it.

2.1 Definitions and Terminology

The near-end transmitted data signal is defined as

$$u_1(t) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum x(kT_s) p(t-kT_s) e^{j\omega_c t} \right\}, \qquad 2-1$$

where the inphase and quadrature data symbols are the real and imaginary parts of $x(kT_s)$, respectively. The carrier frequency is $\omega_c/2\pi$, the baseband pulse shaping is p(t), and $1/T_s$ is the symbol rate. Also, "Re" denotes the real part of a complex number. $u_1(t)$ is the real part of analytic signal, $U_1(t)$.

$$U_1(t) = \sum_k x(kT_s)p(t-kT_s)e^{j\omega_c t}$$
. 2-2

The impulse response of the combined hybrid and channel path is h(t). The hybrid output, d(t), is the sum of the echo, and the uncorrelated double-talking data signal and channel noise $u_2(t)$,

$$(t) = h(t) + u_1(t) + u_2(t),$$
 2-3

where * denotes continuous-time convolution. h(t) is also written as

$$h(t) = Re\{h_{BB}(t)e^{j\omega_{c}t}\},$$
 2-4

where $h_{BB}(t)$ is the baseband-equivalent [18] echo path for h(t).

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

85 10 11 164

2-7

2-8

Then d(t) becomes (see [18])

$$d(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{k} x(kT_{s})g(t-kT_{s})e^{j\omega_{c}t}\right\} + u_{2}(t), \quad 2-5$$

where g(t) is

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{2} p(t) + h_{BB}(t)$$
. 2-6

The echo estimate is d(1), and the error signal (double-talker estimate) is

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t) = \mathbf{d}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{d}}(t) \ .$$

The Minimum Mean-Square-Error (MMSE) of e(t) is

MMSE
$$\triangleq \min E[\epsilon(t)^2] \triangleq \sigma_2^2$$
,

where $\hat{d}(t)$ becomes

$$\hat{\mathbf{d}}(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k}T_{s})\mathbf{g}(1-\mathbf{k}T_{s})\mathbf{e}^{j\boldsymbol{\omega}_{c}t}\right\}, \qquad 2-t$$

assuming a linear-time-invariant estimator followed by a modulator. $E[\cdot]$ denotes expectation.

2.2 The Data-Driven Echo Canceller (DDEC)

The DDEC appears in Figure 1. The adaptive transversal filter acts at a tap-spacing, T_s/l , that is sufficiently short to satisfy the Sampling Theorem for the entire passband transmitted signal $u_1(t)$, where l is integer. The continuous $U_1(t)$ is rewritten

$$U_1(t) = \sum_{k} x(kT_s) e^{j\omega_c kT_s} g(t-kT_s) e^{j\omega_c(t-kT_s)} 2-10a$$

$$= \sum_{k} \tilde{x}(kT_{s})\tilde{g}(t-kT_{s}) \qquad 2-10b$$

where
$$\tilde{x}(kT_{a}) = x(kT_{a})e^{j\omega_{c}kT_{a}}$$
 2-11

and
$$\tilde{g}(t) = g(t)e^{j\omega_c t}$$
, 2-12

as discussed in [2]. In conventional echo-cancellation schemes [2], the designer carefully chooses ω_c and T_s so that the rotation of the data symbols in Equation 2-11 is trivial (typically 270°). Thus, we now drop the tilde on $\tilde{x}(kT_s) + x(kT_s)$ in the ensuing results. The DDEC then synthesizes $\tilde{g}(t)$ at rate T_s/l

Since d(t) is real, d(t) should also be real, or



where "Im" denotes imaginary part, $W_{N,k}^R$ and $W_{N,k}^Q$ are the real and imaginary parts of the adaptive transversal filter (complex $l \times Nl$ row vector) that estimates $\tilde{g}(1)$, N is the order of (or number of spanned symbol periods in) the DDEC, and $X_N(k)$ is the column vector (N/x 1) corresponding to the last N DDEC inputs at the sampling rate I/T_s.

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{k}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \left[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{s}}) \ \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{x}([\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{N}+1]\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{s}}) \ \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{0} \right]^{2-14}$$

2.3 Subcancellers

Detailed analyses of the use of subcancellers appear in [2,3,5]. The essential structural simplification arises, because N (not N/) taps in the transversal filters contribute to d(T) at any sampling instant (see zeros in 2-14). The structure is equivalent to I sub-echo cancellers or "subcancellers" that independently act to estimate the l phases (per symbol period) of the desired echo-contaminated output. We add the new observation that the same inputs appear in each subcanceller, and the majority of computation in the FTF (or any fast-RLS) algorithms, which depends only on these inputs, need only be performed once for the group of subcancellers, even when the training sequence is unknown. Since 124 in practical voiceband modems for full-duplex data communications, this leads to large computational and storage savings.

2.4 The Application of RLS to the DDEC

The RLS DDEC chooses $W_{N,k}^i = W_{N,k}^{R_i} + jW_{N,k}^{Q_i}$ to minimize the (for the ith subcanceller)

$$\epsilon_{N}^{i}(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} (d(mT_{s} + iT_{s}^{'}) + W_{N,k}^{R_{i}}X_{N}^{R}(mT_{s}) + 2-15$$

$$+ W_{N,k}^{Q_i} X_N^Q(mT_s))^2$$
,

where i=0, ..., $l \sim 1$, $X_N^R(mT_s)$ and $X_N^Q(mT_s)$ are the real and imaginary parts of

$$X_{N}(mT_{s}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{array}{c} x(mT_{s}) \\ x([m-N+1]T_{s}) \end{array}, 2-16$$

respectively, and $T'_s \stackrel{\Delta}{=} T_s/l$. Minimization of Equation 2-15 directly requires the two-channel (real) FTF algorithms of [13-14]. However, a single-channel FTF algorithm can be used (during training) by staggering the inphase and quadrature data sequences (setting either or both to zero at appropriate time instants). The single-channel is less costly to implement than the two-channel. More about specific implementational comparisons appear in Section 3.

The solution to 2-15, when the DDEC staggers the starting sequence, is

$$W_{N,k}^{R_{i}} = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} d(mT_{s} + iT_{s}^{'})X_{N}^{R}(mT_{s})\right)^{T} \cdot 2-17a$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} X_{N}^{R}(mT_{s})X_{N}^{R}(mT_{s})^{T}\right)^{-1} \text{ and }$$

$$W_{N,k}^{Q_{i}} = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} d[iT_{s}^{'} + (m + k + N)T_{s}]X_{N}^{Q}[(m + k + N)T_{s}]\right)^{T}$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} X_{N}^{Q}[(m + k + N)T_{s}]X_{N}^{Q}[(m + k + N)T_{s}]^{T}\right)^{-1}$$

where $k \ge N$, and k is the number of learning iterations for the subcanceller. (The reason for k+N in Equation 2-17b is discussed in Section 3, and has to do with the aforementioned staggering.) No generality or performance is lost if one by choosing $X_N(mT_s)$ such that

.

$$X_{N}^{Q}(mT_{s} + (k + N)T_{s}) = X_{N}^{R}(mT_{s}) \ 0 \le m \le k-1$$
. 2-18

Thus, for all 21 subcancellers (1 inphase and 1 quadrature), one need only invert the same matrix

$$\mathbf{R}_{N}(\mathbf{k}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sum_{\mathbf{m}=0}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{N}^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{T}_{s}) \mathbf{X}_{N}^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{T}_{s})^{'}. \qquad 2-19$$

The FTF methods invert this matrix only implicity to obtain an equivalent set (much less storage) of parameters (CNk filters or "Kalman Gain," see [16]). All of the computation in the equivalent of the inversions can be off line since the training sequence X_N(kT_s) is known beforehand. No useful off-line computation can be performed in the SF method, which also is restricted to the use of pseudorandom training sequences. 2.5 Performance Analysis of RLS DDEC

We assume that NT₅ exceeds the nonzero time extent of $\tilde{g}(t)$. Under this common assumption, the RLS estimates of $W_{N,k}^R$ and $W_{N,k}^R$ are unbiased after $k \ge N$ iterations (see [13,14]), that is

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{W}_{N,k}^{R}] = \mathbb{R}\left\{\left[\widetilde{g}(iT_{s}')...\widetilde{g}((k-N+1)T_{s}+iT_{s}')\right]\right\} 2-2Ca$$

$$E[W_{N,k}^{Q}] = Im\{G_i\},$$
 2-201

irrespective of the double-taker's presence. G_i is the impulse response for the ith echo subchannel. This unbiased estimator property is not exhibited by stochastic-gradient solutions until a much later time. The (RLS) covariance matrix ([13,14]) for either $\{W_{N,k}^R$ or $W_{N,k}^Q$] is (for $k \ge N$, and white channel noise) $\operatorname{cov}\{W_{N,k}^R\} = \operatorname{cov}\{W_{N,k}^Q\} = \operatorname{R}_{-1}^{-1}(k)a_n^2$. 2-21

$$[W_{N,k}^{R_i}] = cov[W_{N,k}^{Q_i}] = R_N^{-1}(k)\sigma_2^2,$$
 2-21

where
$$\sigma_2^2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[u_2(t)^2\right]$$
, 2-22

and $R_N(k)$ is given in 2-19. Thus, the RLS solution is near optimum after N iterations only if σ_2^2 is small. One achieves small σ_2 by intentionally silencing the double-talking data signal during training [12]. This leaves σ_2^2 equal to the residual channel-noise power level, which is typically much smaller than the power levels of the other signals in the problem. However, the stochastic-gradient methods will still be far from optimum because of the biased mean of $W_{N,k}^N$ or $W_{N,k}^N$ after only N iterations. They take about 5-10 times longer [11,16]. Figure 2 simulater

Figure 2 simulates a situation typical of 4800 bps full-duplex data transmission. The order is 22, while the number of subcancellers *l* is 4. The performance improvement of the RLS methods is illustrated by the staggered prewindowed FTF solution, which permits training more rapidly than the stochastic-gradient solution.



We now turn to comparing the various RLS solutions. Paralleling Salz [12], we can use the trace of COV $\begin{bmatrix} W_{N,k} \end{bmatrix}$ as an indicator of equality. Salz [12] shows that if a length-N pseudorandom sequence is used, then

trace
$$\left\{ \operatorname{cov} \left[W_{N,N-1}^{R_i} \right] \right\} = \frac{2N}{N+1} \sigma_2^2$$
, 2-23

while it is trival to show for a pure white training sequence (after N iterations)

trace
$$\left\{ \operatorname{cov} \left[W_{N,N-1}^{R_{i}} \right] \right\} = \sigma_{2}^{2}$$
. 2-24

Thus, the pseudorandom training sequence is about 3dB worse over N iterations than a white training sequence, under the $cov[W_{N,k}^R]$ criteria. Equivalently, it is also easy to show [12] (for pseudorandom) that over 2N iterations

trace
$$\left\{ \operatorname{cov} \left[W_{N,2N-1}^{R_{1}} \right] \right\} = \frac{N}{N+1} \sigma_{2}^{2}, \qquad 2-25$$

or it takes about twice as long to reach the same performance level with pseudorandom training in comparison to white training.

The above performance comparisons also hold for the multichannel case, see [16]. responses are simultaneously computed.

We also show in Section 3, after further defining the windowing methods, that in terms of double-talker estimate quality, the pseudorandom starting sequence is the worst possible choice, so that the FTF methods offer substantial improvements in comparison to the SF methods.

3. RLS DDEC ALGORITHM COMPARISON

This section lists and compares the various initialization procedures for the RLS DDEC. (See Tables 1-3).

3.1 New FTF Solutions for DDEC Initialization

An important component in assessing performance and learning time of the RLS DDEC is the data window for the sum-of-squares-errors criterion (equation 2-15). In the DDEC, essentially two windowing cases are of interest: the prewindowed case and the Growing-Memory Covariance (GMC-"unwindowed") case (see [13,14]). The prewindowed FTF solutions assume that all data before the very first iteration is zero. The more general GMC case allows this data to take arbitrary values. The GMC method is only necessary for the DDEC if one desires the autocorrelation matrix to assume some exact, prespecified form on the Nth iteration of the initialization, such as is in the SF method [12]. This fixing of the autocorrelation matrix mandates the "priming" of the echo channel with approximately N nonzero data values prior to the first iteration of the algorithm, which adds an additional N (2N in multichannel or QAM case) delay (in symbol periods) to the learning time. In the prewindowed solution, there is absolutely no need for this priming, thus leading to a reduction in learning time. Both experimentally and analytically, the elimination of priming is not a significant drawback for the prewindowed algorithm.

Another important component, in terms of learning time and computation, of the DDEC intialization is the choice of a single-channel or a multichannel solution. The staggered single-channel solution requires one-half the computation of the multichannel solution, but can lead to an extra N units of delay in the prowindowed case. Specifically, the proposed staggered single-channel solution first transmits and trains upon the inphase echo channel $(W_{N,T}^{R_i} i = 0, ..., l - 1)$, while simultaneously zeroing (suppressing) the quadrature training sequence. Then N symbol periods of suppressing both inphase and quadrature sequences follow to clear the echo channel. The third and final step is to now transmit only the quadrature training sequence (usually the same sequence, see Equation 2-17b), while suppressing inphase signals. Since both inphase

and quadrature estimation is separate in time, a single channel algorithm is used twice, once for inphase training, and once for quadrature training.

The prewindowed single-channel (staggered) FTF algorithm is then $(k \ge N-1)$

Prewindowed (Single-Channel) FTF (i = 0, 1-1)

i). $0 \le m \le k$ (zero quadrature training sequence)

$$e_{N}^{K_{1}}(m) = d(mT_{s} + iT_{s}) + W_{N,m-1}^{K_{1}}X_{N}^{K}(mT_{s})$$
 3-1a

$$\mathbf{W}_{N,m}^{\mathbf{R}_{i}} = \mathbf{W}_{N,m-1}^{\mathbf{R}_{i}} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{N}^{\mathbf{R}_{i}}(m)\mathbf{C}_{N,m} \qquad 3-1t$$

ii). $k < m \le k + N-1$ (zero both inphase and quadrature training sequences)

iii). k+N-1<m≤2k+N-1 (zero inphase training sequence)

$$\varepsilon_{N}^{Q_{i}}(m) = d(\tilde{m}T_{s} + iT'_{s}) + W_{N,m-1}^{Q_{i}}X_{N}^{Q}(mT_{s}) \quad 3\text{-1c}$$

$$W_{N,m}^{c_1} = W_{N,m-1}^{c_1} + \epsilon_{N'}^{c_1}(m-1)C_{N,m}, \qquad 3-1$$

while the GMC (unwindowed) version is

6

Covariance (Single-Channel) FTF (i = 0, ..., 1-1) i). $0 \le m \le N-2$ (nonzero inphase priming, zero quadrature)

$${}_{N}^{R_{i}}(m) = d(mT_{s} + iT_{s}') + W_{N,m-1}^{R_{i}}X_{N}^{R}(mT_{s})$$
 3-2a

$$W_{N,m}^{R_i} = W_{N,m-1}^{R_i} + \epsilon_N^{R_i}(m)C_{N,m}$$
 3-2

iii). k+N≤m≤k+2N-1 (zero inphase, prime quadrature) iv). $k+2N \le m \le 2k+2N$ (while zeroing inphase)

$$\epsilon_{N}^{Q_{i}}(m) = d(mT_{s} + iT'_{s}) + W_{N,m}^{Q_{i}}X_{N}^{Q}(mT_{s})$$
 3-2

$$W_{N,m}^{Q_i} = W_{N,m-1}^{Q_i} + \epsilon_N^{Q_i}(m)C_{N,m}$$
. 3-2d

The filter $C_{N,m}$ is computed from the known training sequence beforehand and stored for $0 \le m \le k$, (see [16]). In general in the prewindowed initialization, at least one-half of the total coefficients (of $C_{N,m}$) are always zero, leading to a reduction in both computation and storage in comparison to the covariance case, in which no such simplification generally arises. The covariance algorithm can use a training sequence that is exactly white over N iterations.

The multichannel algorithms determine the inphase and quadrature responses simultaneously. The multichannel prewindowed algorithm is $(k \ge 2N-1)$

Prewindowed (Multichannel) FTF (i=0, ..., 1-1) ٢k

$$\epsilon_{N}^{RQ_{i}}(m) = d(mT_{s} + iT_{s}') + W_{N,m-1}^{RQ_{i}}X_{N}^{RQ}(mT_{s}) \quad 3-3$$

$$W_{N,m}^{RQ_i} = W_{N,m-1}^{RQ_i} + \epsilon_N^{RQ_i}(m)C_{N,m}, \qquad 3-3t$$

while the covariance case is

Covariance (Multichannel) FTF (i=0, ..., 1-1) i). $0 \le m \le 2N-1$ (prime inphase and quadrature)

ii).
$$2N \le m \le k+2N$$

6

$${}^{RQ}_{N}(m) = d(mT_{s} + iT'_{s}) + W^{RQ}_{N,m-1}X^{RQ}_{N}(mT_{s}) - 3-4a$$

$$W_{N,m}^{RQ_i} = W_{N,m-1}^{RQ_i} + \epsilon_N^{RQ_i}(m)C_{N,m}$$
. 3-4b

Table 1 compares the algorithms in Equations 3-1 through 3-4. In Table 1, the single-channel (staggered) prewindowed

FTF (Equations 3-1a, b, c, d) has the lowest computational requirements. The operation of this particular method was verified in Figure 2. Table 2 is a specific comparison for the

-------4N 2N ż i. 61 61 ÷ -ŝ 290 N 17 * 21 Even FTF 8/2 21 -

conditions of figure 2.

In Figure 2, we chose the starting sequence arbitrarily (actually pseudorandom sequence of length >65,000>>22). The true echo-channel impulse response w s known for the simulation, and we computed and plotted the quantity of Equations 2-23 and 2-24 (norm tap error vector). There, one sees that the DDEC converges in about k=N(=22) iterations at T_s (or 88 iterations at T_s as is shown in Figure 2), and the choice of training sequence is not critical. We have used k=100 iterations at rate T_e (and 100 iterations of channel clearing between inphase and quadrature) to illustrate another advantage of our approach that the FTF solutions can be propagated for any number of iterations to further "fine-tune" the solution. However, the minimum of 2N is used for the total prewindowed learning time and total computation figures in Table 1. The short learning period in all the methods of Table 1 is caused by σ_2^2 being very low (double-talker is silcnced for training).

Furthermore, one uses the formula for excess error from Section 2.2 of [13] to obtain

excess MSE =
$$\sigma_2^2(1 - \gamma_N(k))$$
 3-5

where

$$\gamma_{N}(k) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 1 - X_{N}^{R}(k)R_{N}^{-1}(k)X_{N}^{R}(k), \qquad 3-6$$

The worst (maximum of 3-5) that the echo canceller can do at any time (k≥N-1) is →

excess MSE =
$$\sigma_2^2$$
. 3-7

In this case, the worst possible RLS MSE after echo cancellation is thus

$$MSE = 2\sigma_2^2 . \qquad 3-8$$

This worst possible performance of prewindowed RLS (which is nevertheless a dramatic improvement over stochastic-gradient methods) is achieved by the pseudorandom-trained SF method or the exactly white-trained GMC FTF method when T=N. Thus, any other training sequence[†] for the FTF performs at least as

well under the excess MSE measure.

3.2 The SF Method

[16] lists the SF method in terms of the quantities defined in this paper. One should note immediately that in only the SF methods is the number of iterations frozen beforehand. Table 1 lists the SF methods as covariance methods, since they require priming of the channel with the pseudorandom sequence before the first iteration to ensure the desired structure of the underlying autocorrelation matrix.

3.3 Storage Requirements (Initialization)

[†]The training sequence is not completely arbitrary in that the autocorrelation matrix must be nonsingular, precluding ridiculous choices such as all zeros or DC.

The random-access-memory (RAM) requirements of all the various algorithms above are about the same, 2NI+N RAM storage locations. However, the proposed FTF methods of this chapter also require a significant amount of read only memory (ROM) if one desires to store the quantity $C_{N,m}$ over the initialization interval rather than compute it on line. Just how much storage depends upon the window and also upon the number of channels (single- or multichannel). The storage requirements appear in Table 3, in general and under the conditions of Figure 3 (N=22, 1=4). There one determines that the ROM requirements are not substantial by modern modem standards, especially when one considers that many kilobytes of software code are usually now found in commerical microprocessor-controlled modem products.

4. SUMMARY

Computationally efficient Recursive-Least-Squares (RLS) procedures have been presented specifically for the adaptive adjustment of the Data-Driven Echo Cancellers (DDECs) that are used in high speed full-duplex data transmission over two-wire telephone lines. The methods have been shown to yield very short learning times for the DDEC while they also are shown to reduce computational requirements simultaneously to levels below those that are required by the most efficient existing RLS (SF) method [12]. During the initialization period, the new numerically stable methods significantly outperform slower-learning stochastic-gradient (LMS) solutions while also requiring no more computational operations than these same LMS solutions.

The new methods can be used with any training sequence over any number of iterations. The new methods are applications of the Fast Transversal (FTF) RLS adaptive filtering algorithms of [13-14]. However, we additionally exploit several special features of the DDEC to dramatically reduce computation below the levels that would have been required for a straightforward use of these FTF algorithms. Several tradeoffs between computation, memory, learning-time and performance have been illustrated. The results of this paper can now be used to design cost-effective high-performance DDEC's for full-duplex data communications with acceptable 'start-up".

REFERENCES

- [1] V. G. Koll and S. B. Weinstein, "Simulataneous Two-Way Data Transmission Over a Two-Wire Circuit," Trans. on Com., Vol. 21, No. 2, (February 1973), pp. 143-147.
- [2] J. J. Werner, "An Inband Data-Driven Echo Canceler for 4800 bps Full-Duplex Data Transmission," BSTJ, 1/85.
- [3] J.J. Werner, "An Echo-Cancellation-Based 4800 Bit/s Full-Duplex DDD Modem," using Intersymbol Interpolation," IEEE J. on SAC, 9/84, pp. 722-730.
- [4] D. D. Falconer, K. H. Mueller and S. B. Weinstein, "Echo-Cancellation Techniques for Full-Duplex Data Transmission on Two-Wire Lines," Proc. NTC'76, Dallas.
- [5] S. B. Weinstein, "A Passband Data-Driven Echo Canceller for Full-Duplex Data Transmission on Two-Wire Circuits," IEEE Transactions on Communications, Vol. 25, No. 7. (July 1977), pp. 654-666.
- [6] K. H. Mueller, "A New Digital Echo Canceller for Two-Wire Full-Duplex Data Transmission," IEEE Trans. on Com., 9/76. pp. 956-967.
- [7] N. A. M. Verhoeckx, et al., "Digital Echo Cancellation for Data Transmission," IEEE Trans. on ASSP, 12/79, pp. 768-781.
- [8] H. C. Van den Elzen, P. J. Van Gerwen and W. A. M. Snijders, "Echo Cancellation in a Full-Duplex Data Transmission System with Bipolar Encoding, Proc. NTC'76, Dallas.

- [9] D. Messerschmitt, "Echo Cancellation in Speech and Data Transmission," IEEE J. on SAC, 4/84, pp. 283-297.
- [10] J. M. Cioffi and J. J. Werner, "The Tap-Drifting Problem in Digitally Implemented Data-Driven Echo Cancellers," BSTJ, January 1985. [11] M. L. Honig, "Echo Cancellation of Voice-Band Data
- Signals Using Recursive Least Squares and Stochastic Gradient Algorithms," to appear, JEEE Trans. on Com., 1/85, pp. 65-73.
- [12] J. Salz, "On the Start-Up Problem in Digital Echo Cancelers," BSTJ, 7/83, pp. 1353-1364.
- [13] J. M. Cioffi and T. Kailath, "Fast. Recursive-Least-Squares, Transversal Filters for Adaptive Filtering," IEEE Trans. on ASSP, 4/84, pp. 304-337.
- [14] J. M. Cioffi and T. Kailath, "Windowed Fast Transversal Filters Adaptive Algorithms with Normalization." IEEE Trans. on ASSP, to appear, 1985.
- [15]

5

- IEEE Trans. on ASSI, to appending. C. W. Farrow, et al.,, patent pending. T. Kailath. "An Efficient RLS J.M. Cioffi and T. Kailath, [16] Data-Driven Echo Canceller for Fast Initialization of Full-Duplex Data Transmission," to appear, IEEE Trans. on Communications, 1985.
- A. Milewski, "Periodic Sequences with Optimal Properties for Channel Estimation and Fast Start-Up Equalization, IBM J. R&D, 9/83, pp. 426-431.
- [18] J. G. Proakis, Digital Communications (New York: McGraw-Hill), 1983.
- [19] L. Guidoux and B. Peuch, "A Binary Passband Echo Canceller in a 4800 bit/s Two-Wire Duplex Modem, IEEE J. on SAC, 9/84, pp. 711-721.

	Таба т 1960 ГРС Сек С вкласная прик сомичиван Стал 21 то к Г, на граня					
Are subm	-	0 -1 piter arrause		* + * + a 1 Hertage	Turs up Time	
	ar ann 16 an da an 21 - ann an 19 - an					
5 15 Mana basart Maga réassart	313 176		15 40 1761	178 at bepassing 18 keys / 10 mapping	37mm 37mm	
Sall Statesbased Sagter & search	113	112		1'S at branslag 11 brain / at makete		
	2 read-and 1 winds		,	.rs a Loord & Seconds		
) 13 Namekaant Nagerekaant	- 176 	131 (71			Į,	
Lage STF -suite (Name large)	*	176	ăt Pi	41 majalis	3444	

ويرتفن الوابي كالمتحد المتراجع والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج المتحد والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج				
Table 3 DDEC STORAGE COMPARISON FOR TRAINING (N=22, I=4)				
Algorithm	RAM	ROM	ROM(k=22)	ROM(kbyte) ¹
Covariance (Unwindowed) Solutions				
FTF Multichannel Single-channel	(21+2)N (21+1)N	2.Nk Nk	968 484	- 2
SEIF Multichannel Single-channel	${2l+2}N$ ${2l+1}N$:	: : :
Prewisdowed Solutions				
FTF Multichannei Single-channei	(21 + 2)N (21 + 1)N		481 212	- I S
Exact FTF Stable (N not large)	(21+1)N	:	:	:

FILMED

END

12-85

DTIC