	AD-A1	59 507	THE	BALLI	ISTIC IV FAC	RESEAR ILIT	CH LAE (U) AF	ORATO MY BAI	RY FLA	SH X-R C RESE	AY CO ARCH L	IPUTED AB	17	
	UNCLAS	SSIFIE	ABE D BRL	RDEEN -TR-26	PROVI 571	NG GRO	UND ME	) C K	ZOLTA	NIET	AL SE	P 85	NL	
			· ,											
		<b>\$</b>	heres											
								81	END Filmed					
·														
_														



S.

4

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

A SALE AND A

 $\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$ 

5

64.51 42



US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND

**TECHNICAL REPORT BRL-TR-2671** 

AD

ELECTE SEP 2 7 1985

B

COPY

FILE

JIII

# THE BRL FLASH X-RAY COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY FACILITY FOR MICROSECOND EVENTS

Csaba K. Zoltani Kevin J. White Frank A. Di Bianca

September 1985

VPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

85 9 26 036

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

	ON PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER		0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
B <b>RL</b> -TR-2671	AD-A159 50	7
. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
The Ballistic Research Laborat		Final
Computed Tomography Facility F Events.	or Microsecond	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(=)	<u></u>	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Csaba K. Zoltani Kevin J. White		
Frank A. DI Bianca	RESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
U.S. Army Ballistic Research L		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Attn: AMXBR-IBD	-	1L161102AH43
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	<u></u>	12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Ballistic Research L	aboratory	September 1985
Attn: AMXBR-OD-ST		48
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dl	21005=5066 flerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
		Unclassified
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract en	tered in Block 20, if different i	from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on	tered in Block 20, il different i	from Report)
	tered in Block 20, 11 different i	from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract on 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	tered in Block 20, 11 dillerent i	from Report)
	tered in Block 20, il dillerent i	from Report)
	tered in Block 20, 11 dilferent i	from Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese)</li> <li>Computed Tomography , Microsecond Tomography,</li> </ul>		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES IS. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography, Flash X-Ray 2 Ct.		
<ul> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese)</li> <li>Computed Tomography , Microsecond Tomography,</li> </ul>		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES IS. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography, Flash X-Ray 2 Ct.	ery and identify by block numb	er)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese Computed Tomography) Microsecond Tomography, Flash X-Ray (Continue on reverse elde H necese Solid State Detector A new technique using multiple	ary and identify by block number by and identify by block number flash x-ray source	es for the determination of
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography ) Flash X-Ray Ct Solid State Detector 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde H necese A new technique using multiple cross-sectional density profile	ery and identify by block number flash x-ray source es of rapidly trans	•••) es for the determination of slating or dynamically deform
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necese</li> <li>Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography , Flash X-Ray Ct.</li> <li>Solid State Detectors</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde H neceses</li> <li>A new technique using multiple cross-sectional density profile objects is presented. The met</li> </ul>	ery and identify by block number flash x-ray source es of rapidly trans thod, an extension	er) es for the determination of slating or dynamically deform of the concepts of medial
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde il necese</li> <li>Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography, Flash X-Ray Co.</li> <li>20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde H meceses</li> <li>A new technique using multiple cross-sectional density profile objects is presented. The mer tomography, allows stop-action</li> </ul>	ery and identify by block number flash x-ray source es of rapidly trans thod, an extension survey of events of	•") es for the determination of slating or dynamically deform of the concepts of medial with time constants at the lo
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necess</li> <li>Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography, Flash X-Ray 2011</li> <li>Solid State Detector</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde H mecession A new technique using multiple cross-sectional density profile objects is presented. The me tomography, allows stop-action end of the microsecond range, a research and materials proof to</li> </ul>	ary and identify by block number flash x-ray source es of rapidly trans thod, an extension survey of events v making it suitable esting. This repo	er) es for the determination of slating or dynamically deform of the concepts of medial with time constants at the lo for problems of ballistic ort discusses the design, the
<ul> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necese</li> <li>Computed Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography ) Microsecond Tomography, Flash X-Ray Co.</li> <li>20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde H necese</li> <li>A new technique using multiple cross-sectional density profile objects is presented. The mer tomography, allows stop-action end of the microsecond range, 1</li> </ul>	ery and identify by block number flash x-ray source es of rapidly trans thod, an extension survey of events we making it suitable esting. This reports as well as the system	er) es for the determination of slating or dynamically deform of the concepts of medial with time constants at the lo for problems of ballistic ort discusses the design, the

and the second second

and there are seen and an and a should be

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date )	Enteredj		
Abstract (Cont'd):			
configuration which is under const	ruction at BRI.		
		1	
and the second			
Cregwood in	hube: -		
J			
	And the second		
1 to			

and is here the first family

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
		Page
	LIST OF FIGURES	5
1.	INTRODUCTION	•••7
2.	LAYOUT OF THE FACILITY	• • •8
3.	SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	
	3.1 Background	
	3.2 Comparison of Systems II and III	
	3.4 System Characteristics	
	3.4.1 Static Spatial Resolution	
	3.4.1.1 Projection Resolution	
	3.4.1.2 Image Resolution	
	3.4.2 Dynamic Spatial Resolution	
	3.4.3 Contrast Resolution	
	3.4.3.1 Projection Contrast Resolution	
	3.4.3.2 Image Contrast Resolution	
	-	
4.	EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES	
	4.1 Background	
	4.2 Single Channel Experiments	
	4.3 The Data Set	25
5.	RECONSTRUCTED IMAGES	
	5.1 The Algorithm	
	5.2 Results	• •26
6.	CONCLUSIONS	20
9.	CONCTORTORD * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	7
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT,	• 30
	REFERENCES	••31
	APPENDIX A. EQUATIONS FOR SYSTEM GEOMETRIES	• • 33
	A.1 System III Geometry	35
	A.2 System II Geometry	••35
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	••39
	Accession Fcr	
	NTIS GRA&I	
	DTIC TAB [] Una.viounced [] Justification	
	ELECTE Justification	
	CED 0 7 1095	
	Cop. Distribution/	
	Availability Codes	
	B Avail and/or	
	3 Dist Special	

### LIST OF FIGURES

「「「「「「」」

Į.

Figure	Pag
1	Layout of the Facility
2	System II Designll
3	Detector Distance Versus Source Distance For System II and III Geometries
4a	Rectangular Line Spread Function and Corresponding Modulation Transfer Function
4b	Spatial Resolution Versus Magnification for Various
4c	Detector Sizes
	of the Detector Cells (Digitization Cells for Film)
4đ	Effective Nyquist Resolution Element Versus
	Magnification for Various Detector Sizes15
5	Dynamic Modulation Transfer Function Versus Angular Frequency and Bar Width
6	Schematic of Water Phantom Used for Projection and CT Contrast Resolution Determination
7a	Photograph of Propellant Mock-Up24
7Ъ	Typical Radiograph
7c	Intensity Profile of Radiograph24
8	Mock-Up and Reconstructed Image27
9	Density Resolution Capability of the System
10	Spatial Resolution Study28
11	Scan Times of the Current Generation of Scanners
12	System III Geometry
13	System II Geometry

5



#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A new technique using multiple flash x-ray sources for the determination of cross-sectional density profiles of rapidly translating or dynamically deforming objects is presented. The method, an extension of the concepts of medical tomography, allows stop-action survey of events with time constants at the low end of the microsecond range, making it suitable for problems of ballistic research and materials proof testing.

The rapidity of ballistic events places severe requirements on the design of a computed tomography facility. In addition to the short time available for data registration, spatial resolution in the millimetre range and a density resolution better than one percent is required. Also, the x-ray beam has to be energetic enough to traverse at least two centimetres of a high atomic number material such as steel with the emerging beam having a signal to noise ratio favorable for good image quality. Such energy and dosage levels are obtainable from commercial flash x-ray units rated in the megavolt range.

In fourth-generation conventional CT systems, the source is rotated around a stationary object with the transmitted radiation recorded by a stationary ring of detectors. Data acquisition times of a few seconds are common. With time constants of the observed scene of the order of microseconds, mechanical motion of the source is no longer feasible and another means of obtaining the transmission profiles must be considered.

The first practical approach to CT of moving objects resulted in the building of the Dynamic Spatial Reconstructor of the Mayo Clinic. By means of gating, and using 28 separate thermionic x-ray sources, pictures of acceptable quality of the beating heart have been obtained.<sup>1</sup> In view of the long pulse width (around 300 microseconds) of the thermionic x-ray sources, this method is not suitable for ballistic applications.

Scanning electron beam systems, such as the Imatron O-100 cine-CT scanner,<sup>2</sup> where an electron beam is magnetically deflected along a circular anode ring generating an electronically movable x-ray source, show promise of higher temporal resolution but as yet, due to problems with heating of the anode, are limited to lower energies and longer time scales than those required for ballistic applications.

A solution to the problem of generating all the transmission profiles needed in a few microseconds is possible by the use of simultaneouslytriggered multi-source arrangement. Ideas along these lines were advanced by

<sup>1</sup>J.H. Kinsey, R.A. Robb, E.L. Ritman, E.H. Wood, "The DSR- a High Temporal Resolution Volumetric Roentgenographic CT Scanner," <u>Herz</u>, Vol.5, #3, pp. 177-188, 1980.

<sup>2</sup>D.W. Farmer, M.J. Lipton, C.B. Higgins, "Cine-CT Captures the Beating Heart," Diagnostic Imaging, Vol.6, pp. 54-58, 1984. Trimble and Aseltine<sup>3</sup> who developed a six source sequentially-fired radiographic facility.

A recently completed feasibility study by two of the present authors<sup>4</sup> has shown that industrial quality tomographic data of events having time constants in the microsecond range is indeed possible. There, it was demonstrated that on mock-ups of ballistic interest, typically objects up to 50 cm in diameter and having constituents consisting of Si, C, N, O, a 1 MV source would provide transmitted photons adequate in number and energy to assure a favorable signal- to-noise ratio at the detector and thus an acceptable tomographic reconstruction. Here, we discuss and expand on these findings and detail the design, the components, the capabilities, as well as some of the system studies which led up to the configuration which is under construction and scheduled for completion in the near future.

#### 2. LAYOUT OF THE FACILITY

A schematic diagram of the tomographic layout is given in Figure 1. The twenty-one sources and detector arrays (which can be either medical filmscreen cassettes or solid state detectors) are arranged in a 360° arc about the object. This configuration has the advantage over the previous design<sup>4</sup> of being more compact, allowing for both more sources and a smaller source-toobject distance. As a consequence, a larger dose will be received by the object and the detector, increasing the sensitivity. There are, however, disadvantages. Shielding of the detectors from unwanted scattered and punchthrough radiation will be slightly more difficult. Details of the layout are given in Table 1.

#### Table 1. System Parameters

Scan diameter	25 cm
Beam thickness	2-10 mm
Number of sources	21
Source to object distance	2.10 m
Focal spot size	1-5 mm
Pulse width	25 ns
Source voltage	1 MV
Dose	65 m.R.@ 1 m
Source to detector distance	3.78 m.
Magnification	variable

The system can also be used to obtain conventional radiographs. The collimator, which determines the slice thickness (2 to 10 mm), can be removed or widened to obtain 21 radiographs. The sources could be fired sequentially

<sup>3</sup>J. Trimble, C.L. Aseltine, "Flash X-Ray and Cineradiography at 100 000 Fps," May 1983, ARBRL-TR-02491, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, ADA 129090.

<sup>4</sup>C.K. Zoltani, K.J. White, R.P. Kruger, "Result of Feasibility Study on Computer Assisted Tomography for Ballistic Applications," August 1983, ARBRL-TR-02513, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, ADA 133214. in time for cine-radiographic data. Additionally, they could be fired in pairs giving 10 sets of nearly orthogonal (85.7°) radiographs. Sources could also be fired in adjacent pairs to yield stereographic images. A potential problem exists with this setup. The focal spot size of conventional highvoltage flash tubes is 5mm. The arrangement shown in Figure 1 has a relatively large object-to-screen/source-to-object ratio. This will produce radiographs with large penumbras with potentially blurred images. This can be improved by using a demountable anode tube where smaller focal spots can be realized. An alternative solution is to decrease the object-to screen distance. The detector screens are moved onto a smaller circle, in Figure 1. In this position only five radiographs will be produced by firing x-ray units marked No. 1 through No. 5. Alternative configurations are also possible that will give more than 5 radiographs but with some sacrifice of resolution.

The layout discussed up to now allows the smallest object to source and object to detector distances. We now discuss the advantages of this layout, hereafter referred to as System III, see Figure 1 in comparison to a previous and also viable approach, described in Reference 4, called System II and which is shown in Figure 2.

#### 3. SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Background

A complete derivation of the equations which describe the most compact geometries for Systems II and III is given in the Appendix A. These geometrical equations are plotted in Figure 3 for a 25 cm scan circle diameter.  $d_S$  is the source-to-object center distance and  $d_D$  is the detectorto-object center distance.

#### 3.2 Comparison of Systems II and III

It can be seen directly that System III is always more compact than System II. For example, with a magnification of 1.5 and 21 beams, the sourceto-detector distance for System II is 7.5 m whereas for System III it is only 5.0 m. The smaller size of System III allows the substructure supporting the sources and detectors to be smaller and less costly. It further allows a higher x-ray intensity at the detector giving lower image noise and better contrast resolution.

Because in System III the sources cover a full 360 degrees, a more uniform sampling and a balanced slice thickness will be obtained. In fact, System II, which is constrained to have a source arc of less than 180 degrees cannot yield a mathematically complete reconstruction. A complete reconstruction for a fan beam geometry requires a source arc of 180 degrees plus the fan angle.

The final advantage of System III relates to the fact that it has nearly opposing detectors. By shifting each detector clockwise (or counterclockwise) by one-quarter of a detector cell width, opposing fan beams are displaced laterally by one-half of a cell width relative to each other. This



107767713 (5559

Figure 1. Layout of the Facility

a faith and



المتحديد

Figure 2. System II Design.



Figure 3. Detector Distance Versus Source Distance For System II and III Geometries.

1

12

effectively doubles the sampling frequency (see sec. 3.4.1.1) allowing higher resolution and reduced aliasing.<sup>5</sup>

Advantages of System II are its ability to incorporate magnifications in excess of 2 more easily than System III and a slightly lower shielding requirement resulting from the larger effective separation between tubes and detectors compared to System III. On balance however, the advantages of System III significantly outweigh those of System II.

#### 3.3 Electronic Detector Arrays

The basic constraints on an electronic detector array for the BRL Flash CT System are similar in some respects and different in others to those of modern medical CT scanners. The x-ray exposure levels per view and the expected dynamic ranges are similar; however the total number of views is 30-50 times higher for medical CT. The object size ranges and the required slice thicknesses are also similar.

On the other hand, the required spatial and contrast resolutions are lower and there is no limit on how fast the data must be read out even though the x-ray pulse time is five orders of magnitude faster than medical CT. Also, there can be several centimetres of steel present in objects of military interest. The major difference, and challenge from the design viewpoint, is the much higher energy x-ray beam spectrum in the flash CT.

The higher energy x-ray spectrum has several ramifications. First, one needs much thicker scintillator crystals to absorb the incident radiation and to prevent increased noise from x-ray photons which punch through the scintillator and then interact in rear-mounted photodiodes. Since most of the lower energy photons will be absorbed via photoelectric interactions while most of the higher energy x-rays will produce Compton scattering, the latter resulting in high-energy outgoing x-ray photons, these scattered x-rays can then interact in adjacent detectors to blur the resolution.

As a result of all these considerations, the first electronic CT detectors will use cesium iodide scintillators as a compromise in atomic number, density and radiant efficiency (light output). Based on the results obtained with this scintillator, future electronic detectors may use alternative materials.

The anticipated detector design parameters are listed in Table 2 (taken from the BRL detector specifications).

<sup>5</sup>R.A. Brooks, G.H. Glover, A.J. Talbert, R.L. Eisner, F.A. DiBianca, "Aliasing- a Source of Streaks in Computed Tomograms," <u>J. Comput. Assist.</u> Tomography, Vol. 3, #4, 1979, pp. 511-518.



4a. Rectangular Line Spread Function and Corresponding Modulation Transfer Function.



Figure 4b. Spatial Resolution Versus Magnification for Various Detector Sizes

14



Figure 4c. Schematic Representation of Zero and Quarter-Offset of the Detector Cells (Digitization Cells for Film).





#### Table 2. Detector System Characteristics

Detector material width by height length radiation efficiency Photodiode Cesium iodide (T1) 0.302 by 2.5 cm 2 cm > 12 % CT-type,less than 5% variation in radiant sensitivity over active area Tungsten 0.05 x 3.0 x 14.5 cm

average over 5 x  $10^{-16}$ 

< 2 % between adjacent

coul/200 keV. x-ray absorbed in scintillator

cells.

< 2%

Collimator plates dimension

Performance parameters output signal

optical crosstalk

non-linearity

#### 3.4 System Characteristics

The image quality of the flash CT system is characterized by three principal parameters: static spatial resolution, dynamic spatial resolution and contrast resolution. These parameters refer respectively to the system's ability to resolve detailed structure, to freeze or avoid blurring in highly dynamic events and to discriminate between two adjacent areas which differ slightly in x-ray attenuation coefficient.

#### 3.4.1 Static Spatial Resolution

For a CT scanner, there are two stages at which the spatial resolution should be evaluated. These stages are after the view projection data have been accumulated and after the final image has been reconstructed.

#### 3.4.1.1 Projection Resolution

CALL STATE AND A STATE AND A

The spatial resolution of an imaging system can be characterized using the modulation transfer function  $M(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is the spatial frequency in units of length<sup>-1</sup> and represents the ratio of the amplitude of the output signal to that of a sinusoidal input signal or object of frequency  $\omega$ . The normalization of  $M(\omega)$  is usually defined such that M(0) = 1.

The modulation transfer function (also referred to as the MTF) equals the modulus of the Fourier Transform of the corresponding "shape function" L(x) which can be the source shape, the detector response shape, a combination of the two, or any other spatial shape function. Thus,

$$M(\omega) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(x) \exp(-i\omega x) \, dx \right| \qquad (1)$$

Since the combined effect of two shape functions is obtained by convoluting the individual shape functions, it follows from the above equation that the combined effect of two MTF's is obtained by multiplying the individual MTF's,(see Reference 6). More specifically, the total MTF of the source and detector is the product of the source and detector MTF's after each is scaled to the object plane using the system magnification m, which is the ratio of the image size to object size.

Given a rectangular shape function L(x) with half-width h, the local (unscaled) MTF is

$$M(\omega) = sinc (h \omega)$$

where sinc (x) = sin (x) / x. Plots of L(x) and  $M(\omega)$  are given in Figure 4a. The total MTF at the object plane resulting from the combination of rectangular source and detector shapes is then (Reference 7):

$$M_{tot}(\omega) = \operatorname{sinc}[(\mathbf{m}-1)/\mathbf{m} h_{ac} \ \omega] \ \operatorname{sinc}[1/\mathbf{m} h_{dat} \ \omega] \tag{3}$$

(2)

where the source and detector half-widths are  $h_{so}$  and  $h_{det}$  respectively. The limiting spatial resolution of the imaging system is defined here as the frequency at which the system MTF drops off to 0.1, i.e. that frequency,  $\omega$ , where the output amplitude drops off to 10% of the input amplitude. Thus, as shown in Figure 4a, we must find  $\omega^{\pm}$  for a value of M = 0.1. The limiting angular spatial frequency  $\omega^{\pm}$  can now be used to determine a limiting spatial resolution  $p^{\pm}$  as

$$p^* = 1/\omega^*$$
. (4)

The equation for  $M_{tot}$  is transcendental however, i.e.,  $\omega$  cannot be found in terms of  $M_{tot}$  so the limiting value of  $\omega$  ( $\omega^{\pm}$ ) is found by iteration using the Method of False Position and the result is indicated in Figure 4a.  $p^{\pm}$ corresponds to the sampling aperture of the system and is shown in Figure 4b for a 5 mm source width and several values of detector widths and magnifications.

Another limiting resolution element  $p_{Ny}$  can be defined corresponding to the Nyquist sampling frequency  $f_{Ny}$  of the detector<sup>8</sup>:

 $p_{Ny} = 1 / f_{Ny} = 2 h_{det}$  (5)

If objects smaller than (i.e., frequencies higher than) the Nyquist frequency are imaged, aliasing artifacts (streaks) usually result.

In using  $p_{Ny}$ , two factors must be considered. First, the equation must be scaled to the object plane. Secondly, the detector offset from the system

<sup>7</sup>C.M. Coulam, <u>The Physical Basis of Medical Imaging</u>, Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York, pp. 60, 97, 1981.

<sup>8</sup>T.H. Newton and D.G. Potts, (ibid), p. 3931.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>T.H. Newton, D.G. Potts, <u>Radiology of the Skull and Brain. Technical</u> Aspects of Computed Tomography, The C.V. Mosby Company, St.Louis, 1981.

centerline must be taken into account (see Figure 4c). If zero offset is used, the opposing detector pairs will align and the Nyquist frequency will not change. If "quarter offset" is used, opposing fan beams will be offset by one-half the sampling distance and the Nyquist frequency will be doubled (Reference 5). The detectors in System III opposite one another are nearly one-quarter offset, resulting in a near-doubling of the Nyquist frequency. Combining the scaling and offset factors gives:

 $p_{Nv} = 2 h_{det} / m \quad (0 \text{ offset}) \tag{6}$ 

 $p_{Ny} = h_{det} / m (1/4 \text{ offset}).$  (7)

The variation of  $p_{Ny}$  with detector width and magnification is shown in Figure 4d. Inspecting Figs. 4b and 4d leads to several insights:

1. For magnifications only slightly less than two, there is little gain in p\* when small detector elements are used.

2. When the detector element is equal to (slightly smaller than) the source size, the optimum magnification is 2 (between about 1.6 and 2); but when a very high resolution detector such as screen film is used, the resolution continues to improve down to very low magnifications. These conclusions are straightforward results of Equation 3.

3. A quarter-offset alignment should theoretically help to reduce aliasing and thereby allow higher spatial resolution. In practice, however, the deleterious effects from the severely limited number of views (view aliasing) may eliminate most of this benefit.

4. As magnification increases,  $p_{Ny}$  continually improves since the sampling distance at the object plane continually decreases.

As a check on the equations, the theoretical values for limiting spatial resolution were calculated and compared to published values (Reference 9) for two commercial CT scanners and found to agree within 15-20 %. These machines are not view limited as reconstructed images are formed from hundreds ofprojections rather than the 21 used in the BRL system. However one expects the CT resolution of the BRL design to be degraded from the theoretical resolution by more than this amount. On the other hand, the projection resolution of the BRL machine should be close to the theoretical estimates.

#### 3.4.1.2 Image Resolution

The image resolution is more difficult to obtain than the projection resolution. The image resolution is always degraded from the projection resolution by an amount which depends on the number of views available and on the algorithm used for reconstruction. Thus, the final resolution can be

<sup>9</sup>Anonymous, "CT/T 8800 Technology Brochure, General Electric Medical Systems," 1979; "CT 9800 Evaluation Criteria," General Electric Medical Systems, 1981.



 $\mathcal{A}$ 

10000000

Figure 5. Dynamic Modulation Transfer Function Versus Angular Frequency and Bar Width.



Figure 6. Schematic of Water Phantom Used for Projection and CT Contrast Resolution Determination.

determined only with the actual reconstruction algorithm operating on real or accurately-simulated data and is not given here.

#### 3.4.2 Dynamic Spatial Resolution

The dynamic spatial resolution refers to image blurring from object motion perpendicular to the beam direction during the x-ray pulse and can be characterized by an appropriate MTF. The effect of such object motion can be expressed as a convolution of the system's static line spread function (LSF) with a dynamic LSF. The shape of the dynamic LSF will be the same as that of the x-ray intensity-versus-time curve but having a width equal to the object motion during the x-ray pulse. It is convenient to determine the MTF corresponding to the motion blurring so that the total system MTF including motion can be expressed as the product of the static and dynamic MTF's.

Since the x-ray pulse time is approximately 25 nsec, the corresponding dynamic MTF for projection radiography is virtually perfect (flat with a value of one) for all spatial frequencies of importance in ballistics studies. However, for CT operation one cannot assume that all 21 sources will fire simultaneously. Calling the time over which all tubes discharge t and the velocity of the moving structures v, the dynamic MTF  $M_{\rm H}$  is given by:

$$M(\omega)d = sinc(vt\omega/2)$$
.

(8)

and the second second

Assuming that t = 1 microsec and v = 1 and 10 km/sec yields a dynamic MTF as shown in Figure 5. At velocities of up to 1 km/sec there is little loss of resolution for objects larger than 2 mm.

#### 3.4.3 Contrast Resolution

stister, population statutes, restatutes, restatutes

CLASSER DA

As was done for spatial resolution, one can also consider the contrast resolution in each projection as well as that of the final reconstructed CT image. Both are treated in this section.

#### 3.4.3.1 Projection Contrast Resolution

To calculate the contrast resolution in each projection, we consider a uniform water phantom of thickness L containing a small object having the same mass attenuation coefficient as water but a different electron density. The object is taken to be a cylinder with a diameter of 1 cm, 1 cm in length and with a density slightly different from water. This configuration is shown in Figure 6.

The projected density contrast C is defined as the fractional difference in the density of the small cylinder D and the background D'.

$$C = (D - D') / (.5 \times (D + D')).$$
(9)

The x-ray energy spectrum was calculated on an IBM-PC computer with the program XRSPEC which determines the bremsstrahlung and characteristic spectra for an arbitrary voltage and current waveform. The waveforms for the 1 MV flash x-ray source used at BRL were obtained by scaling the data in Figure

2.32 of Reference 10 to a voltage of 1 MV. The program also calculates the exposure for a given electron charge transported in the x-ray tube (called mAs or current-time product when the current and voltage are constant).

The detector was modeled as a cesium iodide crystal array with a 2 cm thickness. The signal was taken as the total energy absorbed in the scintillator excluding reabsorption of scattered x-rays. The image noise was considered to arise exclusively from the statistical fluctuations in the absorbed energy. Furthermore, the x-ray flux was scaled to agree with experimental measurements taken with a 4 mm focal spot flash x-ray tube and then adjusted for the 2.1 m source-to-center distance. and the second second and second s

The contrast resolution C\* is defined as the threshold density contrast which produces a signal-to-noise ratio of 8. This value is based on the typical results of observer studies in medical x-ray imaging and represents the smallest perceivable signal-to-noise ratio. As an example, if the density contrast of two objects can be resolved by an observer then the difference in the grey scale value of the two objects divided by the average noise in the images will be 8, or larger. For a single x-ray pulse, the resulting projection contrast resolution is given in Table 3.

Table 3. Calculated projection contrast resolution versus phantom diameter.

L(cm)	C*(%)
01	0.7
10	1.4
20	2.7
30	5.3

#### 3.4.3.2 Image Contrast Resolution

Manual manager surveys strates whence

The contrast resolution of the final CT image may be estimated by two different methods given in this section. The first method uses the projection contrast resolution calculated in the previous section, while the second method involves scaling published data from a medical CT scanner.<sup>11</sup> Since the latter data were obtained with a 16.5 cm diameter water phantom and a 1 cm slice thickness, the same values are used here.

Assuming that the CT contrast resolution scales with the total image noise (i.e., with the inverse square root of the total number of x-ray photons detected in all views) and performing a log-log interpolation of the data in Table 3 to an L value of 16.5 cm giving  $C^* = 2.3$ . Since in the BRL System III there are 21 projections,

<sup>10</sup>F. Jamet, G. Thomer, <u>Flash Radiography</u>, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1976.

<sup>11</sup>G. Cohen, F.A. DiBianca, "The Use of Contrast-Detail-Dose Evaluation of Image Quality in a Computed Tomographic Scanner," <u>J. Comput. Assist.</u> <u>Tomography</u>, Vol.3, #2, pp. 189-195, 1979.

$$C_{CT}^{*} = 2.3/(21)^{1/2} = 0.5 \%$$
 (method 1) (10)

For the second method, which also assumes that the contrast resolution varies inversely with the square root of the total number of x-ray photons detected, we begin by estimating the total radiation exposure delivered in a 21 view CT scan. Summing the radiation exposure along the lines from each source position to a point on the phantom surface and attenuating by the appropriate chord lengths through the phantom gives an estimated total exposure of 233 mR. Then the CT contrast resolution can be estimated from

$$C_{cm}^{*} = k (R h)^{-1/2}$$
(11)

where R is the exposure, h is the slice thickness and k is the unknown proportionality constant. Using the values from Reference 11, namely  $C^* = 0.16$  %, R = 5000 mR and h = 1 cm, we determine k and then obtain for the BRL flash CT system

$$C_{CT}^* = 0.8 \%$$
 (method 2). (12)

Considering the widely differing approaches and assumptions (except for the basic assumption related to the total x-ray detection), many of which are only approximations, used to obtain these estimates of CT contrast resolution, the agreement between the two methods is rather remarkable. These estimates lead us believe that the BRL flash CT scanner will have an actual contrast resolution near 1% for 1 cm diameter pins in a 16.5 cm diameter water phantom with a 1 cm slice thickness. The above analysis does not evaluate deleterious effects of the artifacts expected in the BRL system, for example artifacts due to limited number of views.

#### 4. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

#### 4.1 Background

a hour and

Previous studies<sup>4</sup> on the feasibility of a ballistic tomography system were concerned with the quality of a cross-sectional image produced with a limited number of views or projections. Since between 15 and 25 were considered to be the maximum practical number of views, the impact of this limitation on the image was considered to be the most important aspect of the study. Consequently, the rest of the experimental set-up was idealized so as to minimize other problems. An essentially monochromatic source was used along with a well collimated source and detector so that scattering was minimized. A parallel-beam geometry was also used which simplified the algorithm. As is seen in Figure 1, the real system is somewhat more complicated. The flash x-ray source required to record the event in the microsecond time frame is not monochromatic but has a bremsstrahlung spectrum starting at low energies and extending up to the maximum discharge voltage which can be as high as 1 MV. Since the source is a single pulse system the dose output is limited and cannot be integrated in time. The collimation will not be ideal with a fan beam geometry and there will be a scattering contribution to each projection. The focal spot size for some flash x-ray systems can be as large as 5 mm. This will lead to a blurring of the image on each projection. The fan beam geometry will require a modified algorithm. Moreover, the radiation field produced by the flash x-ray source must be uniform over the object or, at least, reproducible otherwise accurate

attenuation measurements will not be possible. The first detector that will be used will be medical x-ray film and intensifying screens, rather than a scintillator and photodiode detector. This avoids the necessity of having over 2000 individual scintillators and associated data acquisition equipment. It also allows for the possibility of acquiring conventional twodimensional radiographs of ballistic events. Also screen-film systems have high spatial resolution. However, film has a relatively limited dynamic range with respect to intensity and also does not have a wide range of linearity. The quantum detection efficiency is significantly less than the single crystal, photodiode array, leading to increased image noise and reduced contrast resolution. All of the above differences and uncertainties must be examined to determine the impact on the reconstructed image.

#### 4.2 Single Channel Experiments

The source used in acquiring the data was a Hewlett-Packard 1 MV flash xray system. The propellant mock-up was placed inside a fiberglass chamber which, in turn, was located inside a lead container. Radiographs were taken through a horizontal slit in the lead container. A photograph of the side view of the propellant mock-up is shown in Figure 7a. A typical radiograph is seen in Figure 7b. An intensity profile of the radiograph is shown in Figure 7c.

This x-ray source delivers a nominal dose of 65 mR at a distance of 1 metre and a nominal focal spot size of 5 mm with a pulse duration of 25 nanoseconds. The focal spot size was measured by taking a radiograph of a tungsten plate and measuring the edge gradient as recorded on film. The dose was measured with a Radcal Model 1015C dosimeter which has an accuracy of 1%. The reproducibility of the source was determined by measuring the deviation of the dose over twenty one pulses. The standard deviation was measured to be 2%. The x-ray field uniformity was measured over a beam (plane) angle of 6° which covers almost any object of current interest. medical film-screen cassette was placed at a distance of 2 metres from the source and was subjected to one pulse. The film was carefully developed in an automatic processor so as to avoid developing artifacts. The optical density of the film was measured with an X-Rite Model 301 densitometer with a resolution of  $\pm$  0.01 D. The optical density measured was in the linear region of the film (1.52 D). The variability of the optical density was less than  $\pm$ 0.02 D (or  $\pm 2\%$  source intensity) over the area of the film corresponding to the 6° angle. The source was heavily shielded with lead plates. It was found that room scattering was a significant problem, giving unwanted scattered radiation at the detector. The shielding was required to reduce this problem. The average energy of the source was found by measuring the attenuation through several thicknesses of iron. The slope of the plot of ln(I) versus thickness yields an effective attenuation coefficient from which the average energy is obtained from cross section tables. Measurements made with both lead and iron yielded an average energy of 250 keV. The beam is hardened after passing through 0.64 cm of steel and the emerging radiation has an average energy of 600 keV.

The model seen in Figure 7a was mounted on an Ealing Model 35-2500 rotary stage. Eighteen projections were used in the reconstruction and the rotary stage was used to accurately position the object. The stage has an accuracy of better than one minute of arc and a deviation from horizontal plane of less A. Star

(b)

(c)

(a)



Figure 7a. Photograph of Propellant Mock-Up. Typical Radiograph. Intensity Profile of Radiograph. 7b. 7c.

than one arc minute. It can support a weight of up to 25 kg. For the projection data to be accurate, the axis of rotation must be perpendicular to x-ray radiation plane. To assure this, four positioning arrows were attached vertically to the rotation table. Radiographs were recorded of the four arrows at  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $90^{\circ}$  and  $180^{\circ}$  intervals. The ends of the arrows should form a straight line on the radiograph. The rotating table was adjusted until this was achieved. An example of the radiograph is shown in Figure 7b. By having a fixed object in the radiograph, the axis of rotation can be determined from the  $0^{\circ}$  and  $180^{\circ}$  positions.

The detector used for these tests was a cassette of 3M Trimax 12 rare earth screens and XM film. This combination has a very high sensitivity with a slight loss in resolution over more conventional combinations. However, as was determined in Section 3.4.1.1, spatial resolution in the projection was not a major factor in the reconstructed image but signal-to-noise ratio was found to be very important. Additionally, the film response is more linear when the optical density is in the 0.7 to 2.5 region. This requires significant sensitivity for the intensifying screens. The question of linearity of the detector system remains. The system was calibrated using six plastic rods of different length, each one shielded with a lead sleeve so that scattering from one would not contribute a signal to the other. These were recorded on the same film with the projection data. Examination of the calibration data of optical density versus length indicated that the system was linear over the optical densities of interest hence recalibration of the data was not required.

#### 4.3 The Data Set

Data were taken with a source-to-object distance of 3.31 metres, and with an object-to-detector distance of 0.223 metres. This configuration minimized the problem associated with the large (5 mm) focal spot size. The vertical height of the image represents the collimation window which was 25 mm. Optical density profiles of these data were acquired in two ways. First an Optronics microdensitometer was used employing a 0.2-mm sampling orifice to digitize the radiograph numerically. Six horizontal lines were averaged to produce a density profile over a height of 1.2 mm. These data were used in the reconstruction algorithm. An example of such a profile is given in Figure 7c. An Eyecom vidicon camera and a Comtal Vision One/20 image analyzer were also used to produce a series of profiles. Data were also taken with other object and detector distances, but they have not yet been used to reconstruct images.

#### 5. RECONSTRUCTED IMAGES

#### 5.1 The Algorithm

The number of views available from the BRL facility is only one tenth that of a conventional CT system. Consequently, considerable emphasis was placed during the design phase of the facility in arriving at the selection of a reconstruction algorithm which produces the least artifact-prone image. Computer experiments<sup>4</sup> showed that maximum entropy reconstructions were superior to conventional algorithms such as filtered back projection as far as image quality was concerned. The higher quality though is bought at the expense of considerably-increased computer running time requirements.

Maximum entropy is based on several premises. This includes the notion that the image with the minimum information is the one represented by maximum entropy. Thus the object which transmits the minimum amount of information about itself has to be the one selected. Further, maximum entropy is valid without modification only when the object consists of isolated points. The maximum entropy image contains only the structure embodied in the data consequently leading to a minimization of the number of artifacts present in the image.

An already existing computer code, MENT, was chosen for the data reconstruction. A photograph of a mock-up along with the reconstructed image using the fan beam MENT algorithm are seen in Figure 8. The reconstruction gives a reasonable representation of the mock-up. MENT<sup>12</sup> is based on the concept of maximum entropy discussed at length in Reference 13, and involves the following steps: transmission data from the experiments are available in the form

$$G_{im} = \iint f(x, y) dx dy$$

where  $G_{jm}$  are the projection data, i.e.,  $\ln(I/I_0)$ , the ratio of the measured to the incident radiation, and f(x,y) the attenuation in the region of the object under study. Since many functions satisfy this equation, a method was devised to select the function which came closest to picking out the attenuation observed. For this a second function, called the entropy is defined where

$$\zeta = \iint f(x,y) \ln(f(x,y) A) dxdy$$
(14)

(13)

and a constrained optimization problem is solved. That is, one must find f which maximizes  $\zeta$  subject to the constraints, i.e. the measured values. It is known that for a maximum to exist, Lagrange multipliers must exist such that f(x,y) is a stationary point of the Lagrangian. For this to be true, the functional derivative of the Lagrangian must be zero, leading to a system of nonlinear equations which are solved iteratively by the Gauss-Seidel technique. The solution converges to the image with the least amount of information, i.e., the one which has the fewest number of artifacts.

#### 5.2 Results

and sources bridges blocked addressed blockship

In Figure 9, a reconstructed image of a mathematical mock-up consisting of a solid lucite rod in the center, an aluminum cylinder filled with water on the left, and a lucite tube filled with water on the right are shown. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>G. Minerbo, "MENT: A Maximum Entropy Algorithm for Reconstructing a Source from Projection Data," <u>Computer Graphics and Image Processing</u>, Vol. 10, pp. 46-68, 1979.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>J. Skilling, "The Maximum Entropy Method," <u>Nature</u>, Vol. 309, pp. 748-9, 1984.









density difference between the lucite and the water is apparent. The spatial resolution capability of the system is illustrated in Figure 10 in a mock-up consisting of an aluminum tube containing lucite rods of 5, 3, and 1-mm in diameter. The 5 mm, and even the 3 mm and 1 mm rods are clearly visible. Reconstruction times are typically of the order of 8 minutes on a Cyber 76 computer.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

The first microsecond tomographic facility under construction at the Ballistic Research Laboratory, offers a four orders of magnitude improvement in the time resolution capability over the current generation of scanners (Figure 11). With a spatial resolution of 2 to 4 millimetres on an object of 200 mm, a density resolution of better than 1% and time resolution in the microsecond range, multidimensional density profiles of transient events of ballistic interest will be amenable to study, nonintrusively for the first time. We believe that despite the high source voltages, adequate shielding can be provided against Compton scattering. The facility can also be used for limited-view tomographic cinematography. These conclusions did not consider view limited artifacts and it is recognized that system performance may be degraded under actual firing conditions. It is also interesting to note that the time resolution was obtained without any spatial resolution loss.



Figure 11. Scan Times of the Current Generation of Scanners.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is a pleasure to thank Dr. G. Minerbo for valuable suggestions on the MENT code methodology as well as Mr. Monte Coleman for his untiring help in implementing the code. Mr. Don McCoy ably assisted in the experiments reported in Section 4.

#### REFERENCES

- J.H. Kinsey, R.A. Robb, E.L. Ritman, E.H. Wood, "The DSR- a High Temporal Resolution Volumetric Roentgenographic CT Scanner," <u>Herz</u>, Vol.5, #3, pp. 177-188, 1980.
- 2. D.W. Farmer, M.J. Lipton, C.B. Higgins, "Cine-CT Captures the Beating Heart," <u>Diagnostic Imaging</u>, Vol.6, pp. 54-58, 1984.
- 3. J. Trimble, C.L. Aseltine, "Flash X-Ray and Cineradiography at 100 000 Fps," May 1983, ARBRL-TR-02491, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, ADA 129090.
- 4. C.K. Zoltani, K.J. White, R.P. Kruger, "Result of Feasibility Study on Computer Assisted Tomography for Ballistic Applications," August 1983, ARBRL-TR-02513, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, ADA 133214.
- 5. R.A. Brooks, G.H. Glover, A.J. Talbert, R.L. Eisner, F.A. DiBlanca, "Aliasing-a Source of Streaks in Computed Tomograms," <u>J. Comput.</u> <u>Assist. Tomography</u>, Vol. 3, #4, 1979, pp. 511-518.
- 6. T.H. Newton, D.G. Potts, <u>Radiology of the Skull and Brain. Technical</u> <u>Aspects of Computed Tomography</u>, The C.V. Mosby Company, St.Louis, 1981.
- 7. C.M. Coulam, <u>The Physical Basis of Medical Imaging</u>, Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York, pp. 60, 97, 1981.
- 8. T.H. Newton and D.G. Potts, (ibid), p. 3931.
- 9. Anonymous, "CT/T 8800 Technology Brochure, General Electric Medical Systems," 1979; "CT 9800 Evaluation Criteria," General Electric Medical Systems, 1981.
- 10. F. Jamet, G. Thomer, <u>Flash Radiography</u>, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1976.
- 11. G. Cohen, F.A. DiBianca, "The Use of Contrast-Detail-Dose Evaluation of Image Quality in a Computed Tomographic Scanner," <u>J. Comput.</u> <u>Assist. Tomography</u>, Vol.3, #2, pp. 189-195, 1979.
- G. Minerbo, "MENT: A Maximum Entropy Algorithm for Reconstructing a Source from Projection Data," <u>Computer Graphics and Image Processing</u>, Vol. 10, pp. 46-68, 1979.
- J. Skilling, "The Maximum Entropy Method," <u>Nature</u>, Vol. 309, pp. 748-9, 1984.

APPENDIX A.

22.22.22

Server and

## EQUATIONS FOR SYSTEM GEOMETRIES

 $\Sigma$ 

#### APPENDIX A. EQUATIONS FOR SYSTEM GEOMETRIES

The equations for the most compact geometries for Systems II and III are derived here. We begin with System III because the mathematical treatment is simpler. System II requires an iterative solution; it cannot be solved in closed form.

#### A.1 System III Geometry

122

Į,

Figure 12 shows the basic geometry of System III in its most compact form in which each of two nearly opposite x-ray beams just clear each other's detector. The major variables are:

> d<sub>S</sub> = source-to-center distance d<sub>D</sub>=detector-to-center distance d = 2r = scan field diameter (largest object) \$\overline\$ = half angle of fan beam α = half angle between adjacent sources •

By straightforward trigonometry one has:

$$\tan \theta = x / [ (d_S + d_D) \sin \phi ]$$
 (A1)

and 
$$x + r / \cos \theta + d_s = (d_p + d_s) \cos \phi$$
. (A2)

Substituting  $\theta = \pi / 2 - \phi - \alpha$ , combining the above two equations and solving for  $d_D$  in terms of  $d_S$ , d and  $N_S$  gives:

$$d_n = [d/2 + d_s \sin(\phi + \alpha)] / \sin \alpha - d_s (A3).$$

(Here,  $\alpha = \pi / N_S$  and  $\phi = \arcsin(0.5 d / d_S)$ ). Further, we note that the number of sources  $N_S$  must be odd for a maximally-compact System III. This is not the case for System II.

#### A.2 System II Geometry

The most compact geometry for System II is given in Figure 13. Here, adjacent detectors must just clear each other. The geometrical variables are defined similarly to those for System III except that a now represents the full-angle between the adjacent sources.

System II geometrical equations are identical to Equations A1 and A2 but now  $\theta = \pi / 2 + \phi - \alpha$ . Solving for  $d_n$  gives:

$$d_{\rm D} = \left[ d/2 + d_{\rm S} \sin(\alpha - \phi) \right] / \sin(\alpha - 2\phi) - d_{\rm S} \qquad (A4)$$

Here,  $\phi$  is defined as it was for System III but a complication arises in the definition of  $\alpha$ . For System II, the angle between sources is limited by the necessity that the beams at either end of the source arc clear each other's detectors. This is precisely the constraint arising in System III. Therefore:

$$\alpha_{TT} = (\pi - \alpha_{TTT}) / (N_S - 1)$$
(A5)


È

were and a second and a second a substantial laws

24







where  $\alpha_{III}$  is the solution to Equation A3; but  $d_D$  is as yet undetermined! Solving Equation A3 for  $\alpha_{III}$  gives:

$$\sin \alpha_{II} = [Ad/2+d_{S}\sin\phi(A^{2} - d^{2}/4+d_{S}^{2}\sin^{2}\phi)^{1/2}]/(A^{2}+d_{S}^{2}\sin^{2}\phi)$$
(A6)

where  $A = d_D + d_S (1 - \cos \phi)$ . By inspecting trial solutions of the quadratic Equation A6, one finds that the positive square root is correct.

Now we can find d<sub>D</sub> for System II by the following iterative procedure:

1. seta<sub>III</sub> to zero;

- 2. solve Equation A5 for a<sub>II</sub>;
- 3. solve Equation A4 for  $d_D$  if converged, go to 5;
- 4. solve Equation A6 for  $\alpha_{III}$  using d<sub>D</sub> then loop to 2;
- 5. finished record final value for  $d_{n}$ .

Solutions for both system geometries are implemented on an INM-PC computer in a BASIC program called BRLGEOM which executes in a few seconds.

L'ALL A CANCELLAND

No. Of Copies	Organization	No. Of Copies	Organization
12	Administrator	6	Commander
	Defense Technical Info Center	-	US Army Research Office
	ATTN: DTIC-DDA		ATTN: R. Ghirardelli
	Cameron Station		D. Mann
	Alexandria, VA 22304-6145		R. Singleton
	· ····		R. Shaw
1	HQ DA		S. Chandra
*	DAMA-ART-M	•	F. Oertel
	Washington, DC 20310		P.O. Box 12211
		•	
1	Commander		Research Triangle Park, NC
1	USA AMC		27709-2211
	ATTN: AMCDRA-ST	•	On many sections in the section of t
	5001 Eisenhower Avenue	1	Commander
	Alexandria, VA 22333-0001		USA Communications -
	Alexandria, VA 22555-0001		Electronics Command
•	Commondon		ATTN: AMSEL-ED
1	Commander		Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
	Armament R&D Center		
	USA AMCCOM	1	Commander
	ATTN: SMCAR-TSS		USA Electronics Research and
	Dover, NJ 07801		Development Command
			Technical Support Activity
2	Commander		ATTN: DELSD-L
	Armament R&D Center		Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301
	USA AMCCOM		
	ATTN: SMCAR-TDC	2	Commander
	Dover, NJ 07801		USA AMCCOM
			ATTN: SMCAR-LCA-G,
1	Director		D.S. Downs
	Benet Weapons Laboratory		J.A. Lannon
	Armament R&D Center		Dover, NJ 07801
	USA AMCCOM		
	ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL	1	Commander
	Watervliet, NY 12189	•	USA AMCCOM
			ATTN: SMCAR-LC-G.
1	Commander		S. Harris
•	USA Aviation Research and		Dover, NJ 07801
	Development Command'		Dover, IN U/OUT
	ATTN: AMSAV-E	•	Comendan
	4300 Goodfellow Blvd.	1	Commander
			USA AMCCOM
	St. Louis, MO 63120		ATTN: SMCAR-SCA-T,
•	Dimoston		L. Stiefel
1	Director		Dover, NJ 07801
	USA Air Mobility Research and		_
	Development Laboratory	1	Commander
	Ames Research Center		USA Missile Command
	Moffett Field, CA 94035		ATTN: AMSMI-R
			Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898
	39		
	37		

KANANANAN AN

Ę,

M

100.00000

No. Of Copies	Organization	No. Of Copies	Organization
		•	
1	Commander	1	Navy Strategic Systems
	USA Missile Command		Project Office
	ATTN: AMSMI-YDL		ATTN: R.D. Kinert, SP 2731
	Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898		Washington, DC 20376
2	Commander	1.	
	USA Missile Command		Naval Air Systems Command
	ATTN: AMSMI-RK, D.J. Ifshin		ATTN: J. Ramnarace,
	W. Wharton		AIR-54111C
	Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898		Washington, DC 20360
1	Commander	2	Commander
	USA Tank Automotive Command		Naval Ordnance Station
	ATTN: AMSTA-TSL		ATTN: C. Irish
	Warren, MI 48090		P.L. Stang, Code 515
			Indian Head, MD 20640
1	Director USA TRADOC Systems Analysis	2	Commander
	Activity		Naval Ordnance Station
	ATTN: ATAA-SL		ATTN: Code 3023A
	White Sands Missile Range,		Dr. C. Dale, 5251F
	NM 88002		Indian Head, MD 20640
1	Commander	1	Commander
-	White Sands Missile Range	-	Naval Surface Weapons Center
	ATTN: STEWS-HP-T		ATTN: J.L. East, Jr., G-23
	White Sands Missile Range,		Dahlgren, VA 22448
	NM 88002	-	-
-	<b>. .</b> .	2	Commander
2	Commandant		Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: R. Bernecker, R-13
	US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR		G.B. Wilmot, R-16
	Fort Benning, GA 31905		Silver Spring, MD 20910
	Fort Demixing, GR 51505		barter oprang, im bosto
1	Commander	4	Commander
	USA Army Development and		Naval Weapons Center
	Employment Agency		ATTN: R.L. Derr, Code 389
	ATTN: MODE-TED-SAB		China Lake, CA 93555
	Fort Lewis, WA 98433	•	Compander
•	Office of Naval Research	2	Commander Naval Weapons Center
1	Department of the Navy		ATTN: Code 3891, T. Boggs
	ATTN: R.S. Miller, Code 432	•	K.J. Graham
	800 N. Quincy Street		China Lake, CA 93555
	Arlington, VA 22217		
	4	0	

40

No. Of		No. Of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
5	Commander	2	ARDC
-	Naval Research Laboratory	-	ATTN: AMSMC-QAS-A
	ATTN: L. Harvey		J. Moskowitz
	J. McDonald		J.M. Argento
	E. Oran		Dover, NJ 07801
	J. Shnur		bover, No 0/001
		1	NASA
	R.J. Doyle, Code 6110	1	
	Washington, DC 20375		Langley Research Center ATTN: G.B. Northam/MS 168
_			
1	Commanding Officer		Langley Station
	Naval Underwater Systems		Hampton, VA 23365
	Center Weapons Dept.		_
	ATTN: R.S. Lazar/Code 36301	6	National Bureau of Standards
	Newport, RI 02840		ATTN: J. Hastie
			M. Jacox
1	Superintendent		T. Kashiwagi
	Naval Postgraduate School		H. Semerjian
	Dept. of Aeronautics		S. Ray
	ATTN: D.W. Netzer		A. Carasso
	Monterey, CA 93940		US Department of Commerce
			Washington, DC 20234
3	Headquarters		
2	Ballistic Missile Office	1	Advanced Research and
	ATTN: K.F. Hodge	•	Applications Corporation
	L.C. Farnell		ATTN: A.G. Lason
	D. Lengenfelter		8150 Leesburg Pike
	Norton Air Force Base		Vienna, VA 22190
			vienna, va 22170
	San Bernadino, CA 92409	,	Associate Colid Dressulator Co
,		1	Aerojet Solid Propulsion Co. ATTN: P. Micheli
6	AFFTC (DRSC)		
	ATTN: R. Geisler		Sacramento, CA 95813
	D. George	_	··· - · · · · · ·
	B. Goshgarian	1	Air Force Armament Laboratory
	J. Levine		ATTN: AFATL/DLODL
	W. Roe		Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
	D. Weaver		
	Edwards AFB, CA 93523-5000	1	Applied Combustion Technology, Inc.
2	AFOSR		ATTN: A.M. Varney
-	ATTN: L.H. Caveny		P.O. Box 17885
	J.M. Tishkoff		Orlando, FL 32860
	Bolling Air Force Base		01101100; 11 52000
	Washington, DC 20332	1 '	Argonne National Laboratory
	washington, DC 20332	L	Materials Science and
•			Technology Division
1	AFWL/SUL		
	Kirtland AFB, NM 87117		ATTN: W.A. Ellingson
			Argonne, IL 60439

41

No. Of Copies	Organization	No. Of Copies	Organization
2	Applied Mechanics Reviews The American Society of Mechanical Engineers	2	Exxon Research & Eng. Co. ATTN: A. Dean M. Chou
	ATTN: R.E. White A.B. Wenzel 345 E. 47th Street		P.O. Box 8 Linden, NJ 07036
	New York, NY 10017	1	Ford Aerospace and Communications Corp.
2	Atlantic Research Corp. ATTN: M.K. King		DIVAD Division Div. Hq., Irvine
	5390 Cherokee Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314		ATTN: D. Williams Main Street & Ford Road Newport Beach, CA 92663
1	Atlantic Research Corp. ATTN: R.H.W. Waesche	1	General Electric Armament
	7511 Wellington Road Gainesville, VA 22065	I	& Electrical Systems ATTN: M.J. Bulman Lakeside Avenue
1	AVCO Everett Rsch. Lab. Div. ATTN: D. Stickler		Burlington, VT 05401
	2385 Revere Beach Parkway Everett, MA 02149	1	General Electric Company ATTN: A. Wait 2352 Jade Lane
1	Battelle Memorial Institute Tactical Technology Center	_	Schenectady, NY 12309
	ATTN: J. Huggins 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201	1	General Electric Computer Research Medical Diagnostics Systems Program
1	BDM Corp. ATTN: T.P. Goddard		ATTN: T. Kincaid Schenectady, NY 12345
	2600 Cearden Road North Bldg. Monterey, CA 93940	1	General Electric Ordnance Systems
2	Bell Laboratories		ATTN: J. Mandzy 100 Plastics Avenue
	ATTN: M. Sondhi F. Shepp		Pittsfield, MA 01203
	Murray Hill, NJ 07971	1	General Motors Rsch Labs Physics Department
2	Boeing Aerospace Co. Physics Technology Department ATTN: K.D. Friddell		ATTN: R. Teets Warren, MI 48090
	A.R. Lowrey Box 3999, M.S. 8C-23	1	Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: DELHD-RT-RD,
	Seattle, WA 98124		P.J. Emmerman Adelphi, MD 20438

42

2.1.12

أحصنهم

No. Of Copies	Organization	No. Of Copies	Organization
1	The Harshaw Chemical Company Crystal and Electronic Products Department ATTN: M.R. Farukhi C. Rozsa	1	Industrial Quality, Inc. ATTN: H. Berger P.O. Box 2397 Gaithersburg, MD 20879
	Solon, OH 44139	1	Director Lawrence Livermore
3	Hercules, Inc. Allegany Ballistics Lab. ATTN: R.R. Miller P.O. Box 210 Cumberland, MD 21501		National Laboratory ATTN: C. Westbrook P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550
3	Hercules, Inc. Bacchus Works ATTN: K.P. McCarty P.O. Box 98 Magna, UT 84044	1	Director Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: M. Costantino P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550
1	Hercules, Inc. AFATL/DLDL ATTN: R.L. Simmons P.O. Box 1646 Eglin AFB, FL 32542 Hewlett-Packard Corp.	1	Director Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: A. Buckingham P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550
1	ATTN: F. Charbonnier L. Bailey A. Kennedy 1700 South Baker Street McMinnville, OR 97128 Honeywell, Inc.	1	Director Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: Library P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550
1	Defense Systems Division ATTN: D.E. Broden/ MS MN50-2000 600 2nd Street NE Hopkins, MN 55343	1	Lockheed Missiles & Space Co. ATTN: George Lo 3251 Hanover Street Dept. 52-35/B204/2 Palo Alto, CA 94304
1	Hughes Aircraft Company ATTN: T.E. Ward 8433 Fallbrook Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91303	3	Los Alamos National Lab ATTN: B. Nichols T7, MS-B284 C. Mader K. Hanson
1	IBM Corporation ATTN: A.C. Tam Research Division 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, CA 95193		P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545

43

And the second state and the second

 $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{H}}$ 

La A1 Ca 2 Ma A1 61 Ma 3 Ma B1 A1	Organization Assachusetts Institute of Technology aboratory for Information and Decision Systems TTN: A.S. Willsky ambridge, MA 02139 Athematics Research Center TTN: B. Noble J. Nohel 10 Walnut Street adison, WI 53706 Asyo Clinic Lodynamics Research Unit TTN: E.L. Ritman	No. Of <u>Copies</u> 1 2 1	Organization Paul Gough Associates, Inc. ATTN: P.S. Gough P.O. Box 1614 1048 South Street Portsmouth, NH 03801 Princeton Combustion Research Laboratories, Inc. ATTN: M. Summerfield N.A. Messina 475 US Highway One Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852 Princeton Scientific
La A1 Ca 2 Ma A1 61 Ma 3 Ma B1 A1	Technology aboratory for Information and Decision Systems TTN: A.S. Willsky ambridge, MA 02139 Athematics Research Center TTN: B. Noble J. Nohel 10 Walnut Street adison, WI 53706 ayo Clinic Lodynamics Research Unit TTN: E.L. Ritman	2	ATTN: P.S. Gough P.O. Box 1614 1048 South Street Portsmouth, NH 03801 Princeton Combustion Research Laboratories, Inc. ATTN: M. Summerfield N.A. Messina 475 US Highway One Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852
2 Ma AT 61 Ma 3 Ma BJ AT	athematics Research Center TTN: B. Noble J. Nohel 10 Walnut Street adison, WI 53706 ayo Clinic Lodynamics Research Unit TTN: E.L. Ritman	_	Research Laboratories, Inc. ATTN: M. Summerfield N.A. Messina 475 US Highway One Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852
3 Ma Bi AT	lO Walnut Street adison, WI 53706 ayo Clinic Lodynamics Research Unit ITN: E.L. Ritman	1	475 US Highway One Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852
Bi Ai	lodynamics Research Unit TTN: E.L. Ritman	1	Princeton Scientific
20	J.H. Kinsey R.A. Robb 00 First Street, S.W.		Instruments, Inc. ATTN: J.L. Lowrance P.O. Box 252 Kingston, NJ 08528
	ochester, MN 55901	1	Rockwell International Corp. Rocketdyne Division
A] Ho P a	CI Optonix, Inc. ITN: G. Zweig orsehill Road .O. Box 1 edar Knolls, NJ 07927		ATTN: J.E. Flanagan/HBO2 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91304
1 Mi At	edar Knolls, NJ 07927 Lrror Electronics Company ITN: R.P. Espejo .0. Box 206	1	SAI ATTN: N. Banks 626 Town Ct. Dr. Joppa, MD 21085
	aston, OR 97119-0206	3	Sandia National Laboratories Combustion Sciences Dept.
19	r. G. Morgan D12 Sheffield Court evern, MD 21144		ATTN: R. Cattolica D. Stephenson P. Mattern Livermore, CA 94550
Co A1	aval Coastal Systems Center ode 2210-C TTN: J. Mittleman anama City, FL 32407	1	Sandia National Laboratories ATTN: M. Smooke Division 8353 Livermore, CA 94550
ST A1 P	lin Corporation mokeless Powder Operations TTN: R.L. Cook .O. Box 222 t. Marks, FL 32355	1	Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: R.B. Edelman 23146 Cumorah Crest Woodland Hills, CA 91364

44

ie.

	DISTRIB	TION LIST	
No. Of		No. Of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
1	Science Applications, Inc.	1	Thorn EMI Gencom, Inc.
	ATTN: H.S. Pergament 1100 State Road, Bldg. N		ATTN: L.M. Lieberman 80 Express Street
	Princeton, NJ 08540		Plainview, NY 11803
1	Scientific Research	1	United Technologies
	Associates, Inc.		ATTN: A.C. Eckbreth
	ATTN: H. McDonald		East Hartford, CT 06108
	P.O. Box 498 Glastonbury, CT 06033	2	Chemical Systems Director
		-	United Technologies
1	Shell Development Oil Co.		ATTN: R.S. Brown
	ATTN: Dr. H. Vinegar P.O. Box 481		R.O. McLaren P.O. Box 358
	Houston, TX 77001		Sunnyvale, CA 94086
I.		1	
4	SRI International ATTN: G. Smith	1	Universal Propulsion Company ATTN: H.J. McSpadden
	D. Crosley		Black Canyon Stage 1
	D. Golden		Box 1140
	333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025		Phoenix, AZ 85029
	101120 1010g VA 77065	1	Veritay Technology, Inc.
1	Stevens Institute of Tech.		ATTN: E.B. Fisher
	Davidson Laboratory ATTN: R. McAlevy, III		4845 Millersport Highway P.O. Box 305
	Hoboken, NJ 07030		East Amherst, NY 14051-050
1	Teledyne McCormack-Selph	1	University of Arizona
1	ATTN: C. Leveritt	1	Optical Sciences Center
	3601 Union Road		ATTN: B. Roy Frieden
	Hollister, CA 95023		Tucson, AZ 85721
1	Thiokol Corporation	1	Brigham Young University
	Elkton Division		Dept. of Chemical Engineering ATTN: M.W. Beckstead
	ATTN: W.N. Brundige P.O. Box 241		AIIN: M.W. Beckstead Provo, UT 84601
	Elkton, MD 21921	_	• .
3	Thickol Corporation	I	California Institute of Tech. Jet Propulsion Laboratory
J	Huntsville Division		ATTN: MS 125/159
	ATTN: R. Glick		4800 Oak Grove Drive
	Huntsville, AL 35807		Pasadena, CA 91103
1	Thickol Corporation		
	Wasatch Division		
	P.O. Box 524 Brigham City, UT 84302		
	4	5	

à

2.4.2

λ,

No. Of		No. Of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
1	California Institute of	1	Cornell University
	Technology		Department of Chemistry
	ATTN: F.E.C. Culick/		ATTN: E. Grant
	MC 301-46		Baker Laboratory
	204 Karman Lab.		Ithaca, NY 14853
	Pasadena, CA 91125		
		1	Univ. of Dayton Rsch Inst.
1	University of California,		ATTN: D. Campbell
	Berkeley		AFRPL/PAP Stop 24
	Mechanical Engineering Dept.		Edwards AFB, CA 93523
	ATTN: J. Daily Borkelow CA 04720	•	Natura adam of Manila
	Berkeley, CA 94720	1	University of Florida Dept. of Chemistry
1	University of California		ATTN: J. Winefordner
T	Donner Laboratory		Gainesville, FL 32611
	ATTN: T.F. Budinger		Galuesville, FL J2011
	Berkeley, CA 94720	1	George Washington University
		-	School of Engineering and
1	University of California		Applied Science
	Los Alamos National Lab.		ATTN: R. Goulard
	ATTN: T.D. Butler		Washington, DC 20052
	P.O. Box 1663, Mail Stop B216		
	Los Alamos, NM 87545	5	Georgia Institute of
			Technology
1	University of California,		School of Aerospace
	San Diego		Engineering
	Energy Center and Dep.		ATTN: E. Price
	Applied Mechanics ATTN: S.S. Penner		W.C. Strahle
	La Jolla, CA 92093		B.T. Zinn Atlanta, GA 30332
	La JUITA, UN 72075		Actalla, GA 30332
2	University of California,	2	University of Illinois
-	Santa Barbara	-	Dept. of Mech. Eng.
	Quantum Institute		ATTN: H. Krier
	ATTN: K. Schofield		S.L. Soo
	M. Steinberg		1206 W. Green St.
	Santa Barbara, CA 93106		Urbana, IL 61801
,	University of Southern	•	Tohno Honking Hainonday (ADI
1	California	1	Johns Hopkins University/APL Chemical Propulsion
	Dept. of Chemistry		Information Agency
	ATTN: S. Benson		ATTN: T.W. Christian
	Los Angeles, CA 90007		Johns Hopkins Road
			Laurel, MD 20707
1	Case Western Reserve Univ.		• • • •
	Div. of Aerospace Sciences		
	ATTN: J. Tien		
	Cleveland, OH 44135		

46

SAR MANARA SARARA

A STATE

No. Of		No. Of	
Copies	Organization	Copies	Organization
1	University of Michigan	2	Princeton University
	Department of Mechanical		Forrestal Campus Library
	Engineering		ATTN: K. Brezinsky
	ATTN: C.M. Vest		I. Glassman
	Ann Arbor, MI 48104		P.O. Box 710
			Princeton, NJ 08540
1	University of Minnesota		
	Dept. of Mechanical	1	Princeton University
	Engineering		MAE Dept.
	ATTN: E. Fletcher		ATTN: F.A. Williams
	Minneapolis, MN 55455		Princeton, NJ 08544
3	University of North Carolina	1	Purdue University
	Bio-Medical Engineering	•	School of Aeronautics
	Department		and Astronautics
	ATTN: F.A. DiBianca		ATTN: J.R. Osborn
	Chapel Hill, NC 27514		Grissom Hall
			West Lafayette, IN 47907
1	Oregon State University		
-	Department of Mathematics	2	Purdue University
	ATTN: K. Smith	~	School of Mechanical
	Corvallis, OR 97331		Engineering
			ATTN: N.M. Laurendeau
1	Hospital of the University of		S.N.B. Murthy
1	Pennsylvania		TSPC Chaffee Hall
	Department of Radiology		West Lafayette, IN 47906
	ATTN: G.T. Herman		
	3400 Spruce Street	1	Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst.
	Philadelphia, PA 19104	-	Dept. of Chemical Engineering
	1, 1		ATTN: A. Fontijn
4	Pennsylvania State University		Troy, NY 12181
-	Applied Research Laboratory		
	ATTN: G.M. Faeth	1	Stanford University
	K.K. Kuo	•	Dept. of Mechanical
	H. Palmer		Engineering
	M. Micci		ATTN: R. Hanson
	University Park, PA 16802		Stanford, CA 94305
1	University of Pittsburgh	1	Stanford University
	ATTN: D. Sashin		Department of Physics
	Pittsburgh, PA 15261		ATTN: A. Macovski
			Palo Alto, CA 94305
1	Polytechnic Institute of NY		
	ATTN: S. Lederman	1	University of Texas
	Route 110		Dept. of Chemistry
	Farmingdale, NY 11735		ATTN: W. Gardiner
			Austin, TX 78712
	47		

No. Of Copies	Organization	No. Of Copies Organization
1	University of Utah Dept. of Chemical Engineering	Aberdeen Proving Ground
	ATTN: G. Flandro	Dir, USAMSAA
	Salt Lake City, UT 84112	ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
1	University of Utah	Cdr, USATECOM
	Department of Mathematics	ATTN: AMSTE-TO-F
	ATTN: F. Stenger	Cdr, CRDC, AMCCOM
	Salt Lake City, UT 84112	ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A
	- • •	SMCCR-MU
2	Virginia Polytechnic Institute and	SMCCR-SPS-IL
	State University	
	ATTN: J.A. Schetz R.T. Smith	
	Blacksburg, VA 24061	
1	Washington University	
-	Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology	
	ATTN: M.W. Vannier	
	510 S. Kings Highway	
	St. Louis, MO 63110	

1

رد ب

48

A DEFA SHARE I STATE AND A STATE A STA

### USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Report Number\_\_\_\_\_ Date of Report\_\_\_\_\_

2. Date Report Received

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)\_\_\_\_\_

4. How specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)\_\_\_\_\_

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.\_\_\_\_\_

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

Name

CURRENT ADDRESS Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

Name
------

OLD ADDRESS

Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

(Remove this sheet along the perforation, fold as indicated, stanle or tape closed, and mail.)

- FOLD HERE Director US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: AMXBR-OD-ST Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

**OFFICIAL BUSINESS** PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300



NO POSTAGE

IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES

NECESSARY

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Director US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: AMXBR-OD-ST Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989

-

FOLD HERE -



REPRODUCED AT GOVER

# FILMED

10-85

DTIC