

AD-A158 720

2

# Relativistic Effects in the Global Positioning System

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC  
ELECTE  
SEP 05 1985  
S D E

DTIC  
FILE COPY

---

# Relativistic Effects in the Global Positioning System

---

D. Eardley  
F. Dyson  
P. Horowitz  
W. Press  
M. Ruderman  
I. Shapiro  
S. Treiman

May 1985

JSR-84-104

JASON  
The MITRE Corporation  
1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard  
McLean, Virginia 22102

**DTIC**  
**ELECTE**  
SEP 05 1985  
**S** **D**  
**E**

This document has been approved  
for public release and sale; its  
distribution is unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER JSR-84-104 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A158720	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)  Relativistic Effects in the Global Positioning System		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED  Technical Report	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s)  D. Eardley, F. Dyson, P. Horowitz, W. Press, M. Ruderman, S. Treiman		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  F19628-84-C-0001	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS  The MITRE Corporation 1820 Dolley Madison Blvd. McLean, VA 22102		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS  8503Z	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS  DARPA 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209		12. REPORT DATE May 1985	13. NO. OF PAGES 12
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  Unclassified	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if diff. from Controlling Office)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this report)  Approved for public release--distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  GPS General Relativity NAVSTAR			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  A group of scientists have for a number of years been claiming that relativistic corrections are not taken into account in GPS system design. Rather, they claim that there are fundamental errors in system design having to do with relativity theory, and that the system will consequently fail to meet its specifications. DARPA requested that JASON consider the issue during its 1984 Summer Study. Our major conclusion is that these claims about GPS are completely invalid; the fundamentals of relativistic time synchronization on which the system is based are valid.			

19. KEY WORDS (Continued)

20 ABSTRACT (Continued)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	1
2.0 RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS ON CLOCKS . . . . .	3
3.0 EFFECTS IN GPS . . . . .	5
4.0 THE CRITICISMS BY SKALAFURIS, COHEN, MOSES AND ROSENBLUM . . . . .	7
REFERENCES . . . . .	10

Accession For	
DTIC TAB	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	

A-1



## 1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The GPS system (or NAVSTAR/GPS system) of the DOD, developed and deployed by the USAF, is a system of satellites which transmit navigation signals to users on or near the surface of the Earth. Users with suitable receivers can navigate to  $\sim 10$  m accuracy in near real time. The complete system will comprise 21 satellites for near-continuous coverage of the whole Earth with navigation signals; currently (April 1985) there are 6 functioning GPS satellites in orbit.

The principle of operation is range determination by precise timing of signals, similar to LORAN but more precise. A GPS receiver determines its range from several (at least 4) GPS satellites by measuring the time of flight of the UHF (L-band) signals from satellite to receiver. Then a microprocessor in the receiver calculates its position by triangulation from the known satellite positions. Each GPS satellite carries one or more highly precise atomic clocks so that the time of transmission of the signals is precisely known.

If (as is not usually the case) a GPS receiver contains its own clock, it can directly measure the times of flight from 3 satellites and thereby determine its 3 spatial coordinates of position. (Each satellite broadcasts ephemeris data sufficient to determine its own position.)

If the receiver does not contain an atomic clock, it must also determine the precise time, and therefore the signal time of flight, by observation of 4 satellites and solution of 4 equations in 4 unknowns; the four unknowns are the 3 spatial coordinates of the receiver and the 1 time reference. The receiver reads out its position every 6 seconds. A receiver may weigh  $< 30$  lbs and may cost  $< \$3,000$ . In the future, a receiver on a couple of chips should be available, at greatly reduced cost and weight.

Determining position to an accuracy of 10 m requires that (1) the satellite positions be known to 10 m; and that (2) the clock times be accurate to  $\sim 30$  ns (since radio signals travel very nearly 9 m in 30 ns).

No space qualified clock can maintain an accuracy of 30 ns for more than a few days; moreover, the satellite orbit changes. Therefore it is necessary to update the information broadcast by each satellite about once a day. This is done by a ground station.

The requirement on clock accuracy is so great that the effects of relativity theory on clock rate must be taken into account in system design; otherwise the system would not work as specified. Einstein predicted in 1905 that moving clocks would run slower, as a consequence of the special theory of relativity ("time dilation"); later he predicted that a clock in a gravitational potential well would run slower also, as a consequence of the general

theory of relativity ("gravitational redshift"). These effects have been amply confirmed in many experiments, and are considered to be well understood by most physicists. In particular, these effects make it impossible to synchronize globally a system of clocks without relativistic corrections. Most specialists in atomic clocks and time transfer consider that the necessary relativistic corrections are well understood, and they routinely make them every day when intercomparing clocks in different locations on the Earth or in space.

A group of scientists (A. Skalafuris, NRL; J. Cohen and H. Moses, U. Pennsylvania; A. Rosenblum, Max-Planck Institute in West Germany) have for a number of years been claiming that relativistic corrections are not taken into account in GPS system design. Rather, they claim that there are fundamental errors in system design having to do with relativity theory, and that the system will consequently fail to meet its specifications.

A. Skalafuris wrote DARPA on 3 February 1984 again expressing apprehensions about time synchronization in GPS. (This followed several letters by him to various USAF personnel.) As a result, on 27 February DARPA requested that JASON consider the issue in the 1984 Summer Study. This was done.

Our conclusions are (a preliminary report of these conclusions was sent in a letter to R. Cooper at DARPA in July 1984):

- The claims of Skalafuris, Cohen, Moses, and Rosenblum about GPS are completely invalid; the fundamentals of relativistic time synchronization on which the system is based are valid.
- Current operating procedures in GPS give time synchronization accurate to  $\sim 20$  nsec, within current specifications. Simple improvements, requiring no new technology, could reduce the error to  $\sim 1$  nsec. (These have nothing to do with relativistic effects.) Since needs for such higher precision do exist, such improvements should be implemented.

Meanwhile, as a result of a letter from Skalafuris to Nicholas Yannoni at USAF RADC (Hanscomb AFB, Massachusetts), an *ad hoc* committee has been formed by the Air Force Studies Board of the National Academy of Engineering to look into the question. Professor Clifford Will of Washington University, one of the foremost authorities on experimental tests of relativity theory, is chairman of this Committee on Time Transfer in Satellite Systems. One of the authors of the present report (D. Eardley) is a member of this committee also. The report of this committee will be more comprehensive, and is expected to be released in late 1985.

## 2.0 RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS ON CLOCKS

Since Einstein it has been realized that time is not absolute and that it is generally not possible to synchronize a system of ideal clocks which are in relative motion (as shown in special relativity theory) or which lie in a spatially varying gravitational potential (as shown in general relativity theory).

### 2.1 Special Relativity

**2.1.1 Time dilation** A moving clock runs slow, as measured in the frame of reference of a stationary clock. This is known as “time dilation”, and leads to the famous twin “paradox” of special relativity (this is not paradox but fact: A moving twin really is younger when he eventually comes back to rejoin the stationary twin). The magnitude of the effect is given in special relativity by the formula

$$\Delta s = \left(1 - v^2/c^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta t$$

where  $s$  is proper time as measured by the moving clock, and  $t$  is time in the reference frame of the stationary clock;  $v$  is clock velocity and  $c$  is the speed of light. For purposes of GPS, the second order approximation

$$\Delta s \approx \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{2c^2}\right) \Delta t$$

is entirely adequate. This result is often called the second order Doppler shift. These formulae are only valid in an inertial (*i.e.*, nonrotating) frame of reference. In a rotating frame the physics is exactly the same, but the expression of the results is somewhat different. The difference is important because the Earth rotates and satellites orbit about the Earth.

**2.1.2 The Sagnac Effect** In a rotating frame, second order Doppler shift causes time transfer to be nonintegrable — that is, if a clock is transferred (even very slowly) around a closed loop, its reading at the end of the transfer will lag that of a stationary clock, sitting at the beginning/ending point of the closed loop, by an amount

$$\Delta s \approx \frac{2\omega A}{c^2}$$

where  $\omega$  is the angular velocity of frame rotation, and  $A$  is the surface area of the loop, projected into a plane perpendicular to the axis of rotation. This is called the Sagnac effect<sup>1</sup>. Here only terms up to second order in  $1/c$  have been kept, which is again entirely adequate



for GPS. The effect is nontrivial, and amounts to  $\sim 207$  nsec for clock transfer around the equator of the rotating Earth, and  $9.07 \mu\text{sec}$  for clock transfer around the geosynchronous orbit (this last example is purely hypothetical — orbiting clocks have never been actually synchronized this way). Since modern atomic clocks are often accurate to within a few nsec/day, the Sagnac effect must be taken into account in comparing clocks at different places on the Earth and in space; and they are.<sup>2,3</sup> Laser gyroscopes depend on this effect for measuring  $\omega$ . Recently the effect has been directly measured and confirmed on a large scale by use of GPS satellites to transfer time all the way around the world and back to the starting point.<sup>4,5</sup>

## 2.2 General Relativity — The Gravitational Redshift

According to general relativity theory, a gravitational potential well causes a clock to run slow. To second order, the effect is given by

$$\Delta s \approx \left(1 + \frac{\Phi}{c^2}\right) \Delta t$$

where  $\Phi$  is gravitational potential, as measured relative to the fiducial clock.

## 2.3 Combining The Effects

If one needed the exact result in general relativity theory, then combining the above results is difficult, and indeed the separation of the various effects one from the other is not really well defined, although the clock rate itself, which is an observable, is of course well defined. However, we do not live on a neutron star or near a black hole, and the effects of special and general relativity are small enough for GPS purposes that the effects may simply be added together to more than adequate accuracy.

## 3.0 EFFECTS IN GPS

### 3.1 Relativistic Effects In GPS

The relative magnitude of time dilation and gravitational redshift effects for a GPS satellite in its 12 hr, near-circular orbit is  $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{-10}$ , or about  $\pm 10^4$  nsec/day, a very significant amount.

In GPS these relativistic effects are taken into account<sup>6,7</sup> by two successive correction procedures: (1) Each satellite clock signal is offset by a relative amount  $4.45 \cdot 10^{-10}$  by frequency mixing. This correction approximately, but not exactly, removes the relativistic effects, *i.e.*, time dilation, Sagnac effect, and gravitational redshift, from the time signals as received by a GPS user. (2) Residual corrections are then made in software in each GPS user's receiver, based on correction parameters broadcast by each satellite. This residual correction accounts for all relativistic effects in GPS, down to the specified system accuracy. The correction parameters are updated from the ground once a day. In principle this is a completely adequate procedure according to relativity theory. Furthermore, many experiments have confirmed that the theory is correct to a higher degree of accuracy than is required in GPS.

A recent time transfer experiment<sup>4,5</sup> using GPS satellites was able actually to test the standard Sagnac correction and checked the validity of the corrections to  $\pm 5$  nsec.

### 3.2 Other Effects

Other relativistic effects will come in only at accuracy levels of  $\lesssim 1$  cm (in position) or  $\lesssim 0.03$  nsec (in time transfer accuracy). These include: (1) Relativistic corrections to the satellite orbit; (2) Relativistic corrections to radio signal propagation (most significantly, Shapiro time delay); (3) Frame-dragging due to Earth rotation (Lense-Thirring effect).

In fact, a host of nonrelativistic effects, which we have not touched upon, are much more important. These include: (1) Ionospheric time delays (which are approximately cancelled out by a two-frequency technique in GPS); (2) Tropospheric propagation effects; (3) Clock drifts; (4) Unmodelled or inadequately modelled effects which alter the satellite ephemeris — including (4a) solar radiation pressure and (4b) transmitter recoil radiation pressure. Effect (2) seems likely in the long run to be the most recalcitrant for routine users of GPS, with errors of  $\sim 1$  nsec. However, users able to carry out simple measurements of local tropospheric conditions can correct GPS readings down to  $\sim 0.1$  nsec most of the time, using a model atmosphere calculation.

Currently, system errors for time transfer are  $\sim 30$  nsec, though levels of  $\sim 5$  nsec are achievable under carefully chosen conditions.<sup>5,4</sup> The principal source of error seems likely to be ephemeris errors, although formally the errors show up as clock errors in the current implementation of the Kalman filter. There are real needs for time transfer accurate to  $\sim 1$  nsec, and GPS could fill them if it can be cleaned up a bit. This should not be hard, and we recommend that it be done. In particular, some independent, direct means of ranging to at least one GPS satellite (ground based radar, laser retroreflector and event timer on satellite,...) should be implemented so that clock errors can be measured separately from ephemeris errors. This should be implementable with only trivial increase in complexity and no diminution of robustness.

#### 4.0 THE CRITICISMS BY SKALAFURIS, COHEN, MOSES AND ROSENBLUM

Cohen and Skalafuris have furnished a proposal<sup>8</sup> to DARPA which contains a detailed account of their criticisms of GPS. The principal points made in that proposal are:

1. Cohen and Moses<sup>9</sup> showed in 1977 that a new effect exists in systems of rotating clocks, such that there would be a 9.07  $\mu\text{sec}$  error in global synchronization by means of clock transport of clocks in geosynchronous orbit.
2. Cohen, Moses and Rosenblum<sup>10</sup> showed in 1983 that a similar but quantitatively distinct effect occurs for clocks synchronized by exchange of electromagnetic signals.
3. A time transfer experiment by Saburi *et al.*,<sup>11</sup> confirms the 9.07  $\mu\text{sec}$  effect of Cohen and Moses<sup>9</sup>.
4. The paper of Ashby and Allan<sup>2</sup> on time transfer contains erroneous language as well as erroneous formulae. In particular, the important Equation (1) is wrong.

In addition, the proposal<sup>9</sup> contains a number of significant statements which are erroneous. Among the more relevant of these are:

5. GPS satellite orbits are chosen so that time dilation and gravitational redshift cancel out.
6. Monitor control systems of GPS update each satellite's time signals to agree with UTC time, as maintained by the Naval Observatory.

Our comments and conclusions on these points are given below.

**1. and 2.** The effects treated by Cohen and Moses<sup>9</sup>, and those treated by Cohen, Moses and Rosenblum<sup>10</sup>, were not new at all. They are essentially equivalent to the Sagnac effect<sup>1</sup> in special relativity, well known to physicists for more than 50 years. A complete and general account of such effects, within the full context of general relativity theory, was for instance given in a standard textbook<sup>12</sup> in 1962.

The papers by Cohen and Moses<sup>9</sup>, and by Cohen, Moses and Rosenblum<sup>10</sup>, appear correct, but they contain nothing new for the purpose of time synchronization of satellite systems such as GPS.

The treatment of these effects for clock comparisons was reviewed by Ashby and Allan<sup>2</sup> in 1979; their formulas show in particular that clock transport synchronization and electromagnetic signal synchronization require different correction terms. The Bureau International Des Poids et Mesures has recognized these effects, and the proper corrections for them, in an official document<sup>3</sup>.

These effects are *not* uncontrollable errors; rather, they give completely computable corrections.

The treatment of these effects in GPS at present is entirely adequate in principle, to any achievable level of precision.

3. This point is completely wrong and involves an elementary misunderstanding of procedures for precise time transfer. Saburi *et al.*,<sup>11</sup> found a  $\sim 9.439 \mu\text{sec}$  offset between two distant atomic clocks. Offsets are accidents of history for clocks. There was no direct measurement of the Sagnac effect in this experiment; rather, the standard Sagnac correction was used without being tested in this particular experiment. Comparison of time transfer by means of electromagnetic signals bounced off a satellite in GEO, with time transfer by means of clock transfer on Earth surface, was however consistent with the usual Sagnac correction.

A more recent and more comprehensive time transfer experiment<sup>4,5</sup> using GPS satellites was able actually to test the standard Sagnac correction and confirmed theory to  $\pm 5$  nsec.

4. We have independently derived Equation (1) and it is correct. While we have not checked the whole paper, we have read it all and reviewed important parts of it carefully, and we find no reason to believe that it is in any significant way erroneous.

It is true that the paper is not written in language similar to that of most textbooks in relativity theory, and somewhat different notation and terminology is used. Furthermore, some of the underlying assumptions and connections to fundamental theory are not spelled out as clearly as some theoretical physicists might hope. This is perhaps not surprising in that most such textbooks are at most tangentially concerned with real world measurements and systems, while the paper<sup>2</sup> is wholly so concerned. In our view the precise language or terminology is not very important; it is the results and formulae which are important. These would appear to be completely correct, for any time transfer procedure on the Earth or in near Earth space, down to an accuracy level that any present or near term system might achieve. The most significant ignored terms are at the first post-Newtonian level of successive approximation, and have to do with the Shapiro relativistic time delay effect, which is of order 0.03 nsec here. For GPS, where system specifications are at the 30 nsec level, and where ultimate system capability with upgrades would be near 1 nsec, the paper<sup>2</sup> is completely adequate.

More recent papers (*e.g.*, Ref. 15,16) have gone a good way toward spelling out in detail the connections of time transfer procedures to fundamental relativity theory. We

think that there is some need for further work along these lines, both original research and pedagogy. Skalafuris *et al.*, solicited comments on the paper of Ashby and Allan<sup>2</sup> from two respected relativity theorists, who have done distinguished work in mathematical relativity but who unfortunately have no research experience with experimental tests of relativity theory, actual measurements in relativity, or in particular with precise time transfer. These two relativists expressed doubts<sup>13,14</sup> about the adequacy of the Ashby and Allan treatment although they did not point out any mistakes.

Many of their doubts can in fact be answered by appealing to the standard scientific literature on tests of relativity theory (see, *e.g.*, Ref. 17). For instance, Seifert<sup>13</sup> and Clarke<sup>14</sup> both express doubt about the coordinate system used by Ashby and Allan; however, this coordinate system is just a special case of the PPN frame (*cf.* Ref. 17, Chap. 4) which is well justified and widely used in tests of relativity theory. Again, Clarke<sup>14</sup> raises the question of "frame-dragging" (Lense-Thirring effect) due to the Earth's rotation as causing problems; however a short calculation shows that this effect is roughly  $10^{12}$  times too small to be of any consequence for GPS. Indeed this effect has never been detected, although the NASA GP-B (Gravity Probe B) satellite is currently planned for launch several years hence, to attempt to detect it. As a result of these apparent confusions by highly respected researchers, we perceive some need for a pedagogical article that will explain time transfer and GPS, not in the practical terms in which they have been expounded up to now, but in the careful language of theoretical relativity.

Moreover, theory has been verified experimentally. The relativistic corrections for Sagnac effect have been recently measured in GPS<sup>4,5</sup> and agree with theory<sup>2</sup> to  $\pm 5$  nsec. This demonstrates that theory is correct to this level (which few physicists would doubt anyway), and also, quite importantly, serves as a consistency check that the actual implementation of the theoretical formulae in GPS is correct.

5. This is wrong<sup>6</sup>.
6. Untrue. The system time of GPS is maintained separately from UTC, except for long term corrections to keep them from drifting more than 1000 nsec apart.

## REFERENCES

1. Post, E. J. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **39**, 475 (1967).
2. Ashby, N. and Allan, D. W. *Radio Science* **14**, 649 (1979).
3. Bureau International Des Poids et Mesures, "La Définition de la Seconde", (OFFLIB, 48 rue Gay-Lussac, F-75005, Paris, France), (1980).
4. Ashby, N. and Allan, D. W. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1858 (1984).
5. Allan, D. W., Weiss, M. A., and Ashby, N. *Science* **228**, 69 (1985).
6. Spilker, J. J. "Signal Structure and Performance Characteristics", *J. Inst. Navigation* **25**, 121 (1978).
7. Van Dierendock, A. J. *et al.*, , "The GPS Navigation Message", *J. Inst. Navigation* **25**, 147 (1978).
8. Cohen, J. M., and Skalafuris, A., unpublished proposal furnished to DARPA (1984).
9. Cohen, J. M., and Moses, H. E. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **39**, 1641 (1977).
10. Cohen, J. M., Moses, H. E., and Rosenblum, A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1501 (1983).
11. Saburi *et al.*, *IEEE Trans. IM-25* **4**, 473 (1976).
12. Landau, L. D., and Lifshitz, E. M. *The Classical Theory of Fields* (*2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.*), (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1962), §89.
13. Seifert, H. J., unpublished letter to A. Skalafuris (1983).
14. Clarke, C. J. S., unpublished letter to A. Skalafuris (1983).
15. Ashby, N. and Bertotti, B. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** , 485 (1984).
16. Ashby, N. "Special and General Relativistic Effects in the Global Positioning System: A Tutorial", unpublished final report, USAF contract to the Department of Physics, University of Colorado (1983).
17. Will, C. M. *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1983).

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Mr. David W. Allan  
NBS  
Time & Frequency Div.  
325 Broadway  
Boulder, CO 80303

Prof. C. O. Alley  
Department of Physics and  
Astronomy  
University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742

Mr. Saul Amarel  
Director  
DARPA/IPTO  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Mr. Neil Ashby  
University of Colorado  
Dept. of Physics  
Box 390  
VofColo  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Marv Atkins  
Deputy Director, Science & Tech.  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20305

National Security Agency [2]  
Attn R5: Dr. N. Addison Ball  
Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755

Dr. Charles Buffalano  
DARPA  
Deputy Director for Research  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

Prof. Jeffrey M. Cohen [4]  
Department of Physics  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Mr. John Darrah  
Sr. Scientist and Technical  
Advisor  
HQ Space Cmd/XPN  
Peterson AFB, CO 80914

Defense Technical Information [2]  
Center  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314

CAPT Craig E. Dorman  
Department of the Navy, OP-095T  
The Pentagon, Room 5D576  
Washington, D.C. 20350

CDR Timothy Dugan [2]  
NFOIO Detachment, Suitland  
4301 Suitland Road  
Washington, D.C. 20390

Mr. John Entzminger  
Director  
DARPA/TTO  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. J. Richard Fisher  
Assistant BMD Program Manager  
U.S. Army  
Strategic Defense Command  
P. O. Box 15280  
Arlington, VA 22215-0150

Director [2]  
National Security Agency  
Fort Meade, MD 20755  
ATTN: Mr. Richard Foss, A05

Mr. Bert Fowler  
Senior Vice President  
The MITRE Corporation  
P.O. Box 208  
Bedford, MA 01730



DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont'd.)

Dr. Larry Gershwin  
NIO for Strategic Programs  
P.O. Box 1925  
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dr. S. William Gouse, W300  
Vice President and General  
Manager  
The MITRE Corporation  
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd.  
McLean, VA 22102

Dr. Edward Harper [2]  
SSBN, Security Director  
OP-021T  
The Pentagon, Room 4D534  
Washington, D.C. 20350

Dr. Donald A. Hicks  
Under Secretary of Defense (R&E)  
Designee  
Office of the Secretary of  
Defense  
The Pentagon, Room 3E1006  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Mr. R. Evan Hineman  
Deputy Director for Science  
& Technology  
P.O. Box 1925  
Washington, D.C. 20505

Mr. Ben Hunter [2]  
1917 Westmoreland Street  
McLean, VA 22101

The MITRE Corporation [25]  
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd.  
McLean, VA 22102  
ATTN: JASON Library, W002

Dr. Sherman Karp [3]  
DARPA/STO  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

Mr. Ed Key  
Vice President  
The MITRE Corporation  
P.O. Box 208  
Bedford, MA 01730

Dr. George A. Keyworth  
Director  
Office of Science & Tech. Policy  
Old Executive Office Building  
17th & Pennsylvania, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20500

MAJ GEN Donald L. Lamberson  
Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff  
(RD&A) HQ USAF/RD  
Washington, D.C. 20330

Junn-Sun Leung  
Aerospace Corporation  
2350 El Segundo Blvd.  
El Segundo, CA 90245

Dr. Donald M. LeVine, W385 [3]  
The MITRE Corporation  
1820 Dolley Madison Blvd.  
McLean, VA 22102

Mr. Donald W. Lynch  
Naval Research  
Code 7965  
Washington, D.C. 20375

Mr. V. Larry Lynn  
Deputy Director, DARPA  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

Mr. John McMahon  
Dep. Dir. Cen. Intelligence  
P.O. Box 1925  
Washington, D.C. 20505

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont'd.)

Mr. Charles Mandelbaum  
Mail Stop ER-32/G-226 GTN  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Washington, D.C. 20545

Dr. Marvin Moss  
Technical Director  
Office of Naval Research  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Julian Nall [2]  
P.O. Box 1925  
Washington, D.C. 20505

Director  
National Security Agency  
Fort Meade, MD 20755  
ATTN: Mr. Edward P. Neuburg  
DDR-FANX 3

Prof. William A. Nierenberg  
Scripps Institution of  
Oceanography  
University of California, S.D.  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Robert Norwood [2]  
Office of the Assistant Secretary  
of the Army  
(Research Development  
& Acquisition)  
The Pentagon  
Room 2E673  
Washington, D.C. 20310-0103

The MITRE Corporation  
Records Resources  
Mail Stop W971  
McLean, VA 22102

Mr. Richard Reynolds  
Director  
DARPA/DSO  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Mr. Alan J. Roberts  
Vice President & General Manager  
Washington C<sup>3</sup>I Operations  
The MITRE Corporation  
1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard  
McLean, VA 22102

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory  
ATTN: C. Paul Robinson  
P.O. Box 1000  
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Mr. Richard Ross [2]  
P.O. Box 1925  
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dr. Phil Selwyn  
Technical Director  
Office of Naval Technology  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Eugene Sevin [2]  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
6801 Telegraph Road  
Room 244  
Alexandria, VA 22310

Mr. Shen Shey  
Special Assistant for  
Directed Energy  
DARPA  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

DISTRIBUTION LIST (Concl'd.)

Dr. Angelo Skalafuris [4]  
University of Pennsylvania  
Physics Department  
DRL-E1  
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Dr. Joel A. Snow [2]  
Senior Technical Advisor  
Office of Energy Research  
U.S. DOE, M.S. E084  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Mr. Alexander J. Tachmindji  
Senior Vice President & General  
Manager  
The MITRE Corporation  
P.O. Box 208  
Bedford, MA 01730

Dr. Vigdor Teplitz  
ACDA  
320 21st Street, N.W.  
Room 4484  
Washington, D.C. 20451

Mr. Tony Tether  
Director  
DARPA/STO  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Al Trivelpiece  
Director, Office of Energy  
Research, U.S. DOE  
M.S. 6E084  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Mr. R. F. C. Vessot  
Smithsonian Institution  
60 Garden St.  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Mr. Gernot M. R. Winkler  
U.S. Naval Observatory  
34th & Mass. Ave., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20390

Dr. N. Yannoni  
Rome Air Development Center/ESE  
Hanscom Air Force Base  
Bedford, MA 01731

Dr. Gerold Yonas [2]  
Office of the Secretary of  
Defense  
Strategic Defense Initiatives  
The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301-7100

Mr. Leo Young  
OUSDRE (R&AT)  
The Pentagon, Room 3D1067  
Washington, D.C. 20301

Mr. Charles A. Zraket  
Executive Vice President  
The MITRE Corporation  
P.O. Box 208  
Bedford, MA 01730