,	AD-A1	56 530	NAT	IONAL	PROGR	AN FOR	LINSPE D. (U)	CTION CORP:	OF NO 5 of E	N-FEDE Nginee	RAL DI Rs Wal	ANS THAM	1/	1
L.	UNCLAS	SSIFIE	D								F/G 1	3/13	NL	
			, <b>b</b> ai /						6		1	4- 76		
														T -
	I a													
.														
												4 N D 14		



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

1



REPORT DOCUMENTAT	ION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER		
NH 00182				
TITLE (and Subilila)	<u>I</u>	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED		
Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam		INSPECTION REPORT		
ATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION	OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)		
J.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS IEW ENGLAND DIVISION				
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD	RESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE		
JEFI. UF THE AKMY, CUKPS UF ENGI IFW FNGLAND DIVISION NEDED	NEEKS	May 1980		
24 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. C	2254	76		
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I di	Iterent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		
		UNCLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED	UNCLASSIFIED		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Ins however, the official title of t ion-Federal Dams; use cover dat	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotrect on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Ins Nowever, the official title of t ion-Federal Dams; use cover dat <u>VISTA</u> KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necess DAMS J INSPECTION DAM SAFFTY	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED word in Block 20, 11 different fro spection Report, Nation the program is: Nation	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Ins Nowever, the official title of the lon-Federal Dams; use cover dat <u>VISUAL</u> KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if measure DAMS, <u>INSPECTION</u> , <u>DAM SAFETY</u> , Merrimack River Basin.	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED fored in Dieck 20, 11 different free spection Report, Nati the program is: Natio the program is: Natio the for date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercise of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Ins Nowever, the official title of t ion-Federal Dams; use cover dat <u>VISTA</u> KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necession DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Louden, New Hampshire, and	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED word in Block 20, 11 different fro spection Report, Nation the program is: Nation the program is: Nation the for date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Cover program reads: Phase I Ins However, the official title of the Ion-Federal Dams; use cover dat VILUAL KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Louden, New Hampshire and Sanborn Brook.	spection Report, Nati the program is: Natio the program is: Natio the for date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotrect on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Over program reads: Phase I Ins Nowever, the official title of t ion-Federal Dams; use cover dat <u>VISUAL</u> KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necesso DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Louden, New Hampshire and Sanborn Brook.	TRIBUTION UNLIMITED fored in Block 20, 11 different free spection Report, Nation the program is: Na	ONCLASSIFIED The DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING ACHEDULE onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of		

DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

. . . . . .

. . . .



## NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No: NH 00182

Name of Dam: Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam

Town: Loudon

County and State: Merrimack, New Hampshire

Stream: Sanborn Brook

Date of Inspection: March 25, 1980

Sanborn Pond Outlet dam is an earthen embankment structure about 265 feet in overall length and a maximum height of 16.5 feet from crest of dam to downstream toe. The upstream and downstream faces consist of a 2 feet thick vertical dry stone masonry wall which extends the full height of the dam. Located about 105 feet from the left abutment is the principal spillway which consists of a 19 feet long spillway structure with vertical dry stone masonry training walls and flashboards. The top of the permanent crest and the faces of the training walls are lined with rough cut lumber. Located to the right of center of the dam is the principal intake structure and low level outlet which consists of a U-shaped concrete wall and a cast iron penstock which passes through the dam and discharges under the mill building.

The dam impounds Sanborn Pond and the discharge flows through Sanborn Brook in a southerly direction approximately 5.5 miles to the Suncook River. The dam was originally constructed to supply water power to a grist mill, but presently serves industrial and recreational purposes. The pond is 0.77 miles in length with a surface area of about 125 acres. The maximum storage capacity is about 1,420 acre-feet.

As a result of the visual inspection of this facility, the dam is considered to be in POOR condition. Major concerns are: extensive irregular settlement of the crest of the embankment; poor condition of the vertical dry stone masonry walls which retain the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment; and a wet area at the downstream toe of the dam near the right abutment.

This dam is classified a INTERMEDIATE in size and a SIGNIFICANT hazard structure in accordance with the recommended guidelines established by the Corps of Engineers. The test flood for this dam, therefore, ranges from one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF) to the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Since the dam falls on the lower end of the intermediate size range, the 1/2 PMF was Ē

utilized for this hydrologic analysis. The test flood inflow was estimated to be 3,225 cfs and resulted in a routed test flood outflow equal to 1,990 cfs which would overtop the dam crest by about 3.7 feet. The maximum spillway capacity (with flashboards in place) with the water level at the dam crest was estimated to be 200 cfs, or about 10 percent of the routed test flood outflow. An assumed breach with the pond surface at the dam crest would cause appreciable damage to the saw mill located just below the dam and the possible loss of a few lives of individuals working at the saw mill.

It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified registered engineer to investigate the cause of the irregular settlement of the crest of the dam and the poor alignment of the dry stone masonry face walls; investigate the wet area at the downstream toe of the dam near the right abutment; specify and oversee procedures for the removal of trees and their root systems from the dam and downstream toe; and perform a detailed hydrologic-hydraulic investigation to assess further the potential of overtopping the dam and the need for and the means to increase project discharge capacity. It is also recommended that the owner repair the foundation of the mill building in the discharge channel, remove the brush that has been dumped on the upstream and downstream sides of the embankment between the spillway and the left abutment, replace the wood plank lining at the spillway training walls, repair the service bridge, remove waterlogged debris from the spillway approach channel and remove the wood beam spanning the spillway approach channel.

The recommendations and remedial measures are described in Section 7 and should be addressed by the owner within one year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.



Hewart

Kenneth M. Stewart Project Manager N.H.P.E. 3531

S E A Consultants Inc. Rochester, New Hampshire

## PREFACE

Γ.-

1.

þ

•

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

L) K

.

.

r

<u>\_\_\_\_</u>

7

**\*** 

ſ

The Phase I investigation does <u>not</u> include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespassing and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

Vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. . .

٣

.

**....** 

.

r

<u>C</u>

ŧ

١.

Section	on		Page
Lette	er of	Transmittal	i
Brief	Asse	ssment	ii
Revie	ew Bo	ard Page	iv
Prefa	ce		v
Table	e of (	Contents	vii
Over	view	Photo	ix
Loca	tion I	ſlap	x
1.	PRO	JECT INFORMATION	1-1
	1.1	General	1-1
	1.2	Description of Project	1-1
	1.3	Pertinent Data	1-3
2.	ENG	INEERING DATA	2-1
	2.1	Design	2-1
	2.2	Construction	2-1
	2.3	Operation	2-1
	2.4	Evaluation	2-1
3.	VISU	AL INSPECTION	3-1
	3.1	Findings	3-1
	3.2	Evaluation	3-3
4.	OPE	RATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	4-1
	4.1	Operational Procedures	4-1
	4.2	Maintenance Procedures	4-1
	4.3	Evaluation	4-1

víi

Section	Page
5. EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC FEATURES	5-1
5.1 General	5-1
5.2 Design Data	5-1
5.3 Experience Data	5-1
5.4 Test Flood Analysis	5-1
5.5 Dam Failure Analysis	5-2
6. EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	6-1
6.1 Visual Observation	6-1
6.2 Design and Construction Data	6-1
6.3 Post-Construction Changes	6-1
6.4 Seismic Stability	6-1
7. ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURE	S 7-1
7.1 Dam Assessment	7-1
7.2 Recommendations	7-1
7.3 Remedial Measures	7-2
7.4 Alternatives	7-2
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
APPENDIX C - SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1

viii

D-1

APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

. **K** 2 r . 1

Ì



Ĉ

**F** 

....

•

**I**.

ſ

OVERVIEW PHOTO - SANBORN POND OUTLET DAM

# **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



. . . . . . . . .

.

والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمحتا والمحاص والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والم

÷\*.

## NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT SANBORN POND OUTLET DAM

## SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

## 1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. S E A Consultants Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of New Hampshire. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to S E A Consultants Inc. under a letter of November 5, 1975 from William Hodgson, Jr., Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-80-C0008 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

## b. Purpose

(1) To perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests

(2) To encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-federal dams

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams

## 1.2 Description of Project

a. Location. Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is located in the town of Loudon, New Hampshire, at the southeast corner of Sanborn Pond. The dam impounds water from Sanborn Pond and the spillway discharge flows in a southerly direction through Sanborn Brook for about 60 feet where it passes under Sanborn Road and discharges into a saw mill retention pond. Sanborn Brook continues in a southerly direction for about 5.5 miles to the Suncook River. The dam is shown on U.S.G.S. Quadrangle, Gilmanton, New Hampshire, with coordinates approximately at N 43°20'03", W 71°23'05", Merrimack County, New Hampshire (See location plan).

b. <u>Description of Dam and Appurtenances</u>. Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is an earthen embankment structure with a maximum height of approximately 16.5 feet high from crest of dam to downstream toe and about 265 feet long overall. The upstream and downstream faces consist of a 2 feet thick vertical dry stone masonry wall which extends the full height of the dam. The crest width is approximately 16 feet. Located about 105 feet from the left abutment is the principal spillway which consists of a 19 feet long spillway structure with vertical dry stone masonry training walls and flashboards. The flashboards have been securely nailed to wood supports for the service bridge and cannot be easily removed. The top of the permanent crest and the faces of the training walls are lined with rough-cut lumber.

Located to the right of center of the dam is the principal intake structure which consists of a U-shaped concrete wall about 18 inches thick. A 30-inch diameter and 28-inch diameter cast iron penstock are located through the face of this wall and were formerly used to supply water to power an old grist mill. The waterwheel to the mill has since been removed and the 28-inch diameter penstock has been made inoperable by planking over the inlet.

Located directly on top of and behind the intake structure is the old grist mill which is supported in part by the intake structure and dam, and in part by dry stone masonry walls in the downstream channel.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Intermediate (Height - 16.5 feet; storage - 1,420 acre-feet) based on storage (greater than or equal to 1,000 acre-feet and less than 50,000 acre-feet) as given in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

3

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. Significant Hazard. An assumed breach in the Sanborn Pond Dam would increase the stage of the pond immediately below the dam by nearly 10 feet. The dam impounding this pond would be overtopped by 6 to 7 feet, and the saw mill at this dam would be inundated. The failure discharge would cause appreciable damage to the saw mill and may result in failure of the dam at the saw mill. The loss of a few lives of individuals working at the saw mill is possible.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. The dam was built in 1830 as part of a grist mill and is owned by John A. Sanborn, RFD #2, Salmon Mill Farm, Pittsfield, New Hampshire 03263. Telephone No. (603) 435-8608.

f. Operator. The dam is maintained and operated by John A. Sanborn, RFD #2, Salmon Mill Farm, Pittsfield, New Hampshire 03263, Telephone No. (603) 435-8608.

g. <u>Purpose of Dam</u>. The original purpose of the dam was to supply water power to a grist mill. The waterwheel and gears have since been removed, and the dam is now used for industrial purposes to regulate the water level of the saw mill retention pond immediately downstream. The dam also serves recreational purposes.

h. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. No information regarding the original design or construction of the dam was found. It is believed that the dam was built in 1830 to supply power to a grist mill. The waterwheel was removed and the mill abandoned sometime before 1934.

i. <u>Normal Operating Procedures</u>. The Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is used primarily for the retention of Sanborn Pond, which acts as an industrial supply of water for a saw mill immediately downstream. The normal operating procedure for this dam is to keep the flashboards permanently in place and to regulate the penstock gate as required to maintain the water level in the saw mill retention pond.

## 1.3 Pertinent Data

a. <u>Drainage Area</u>. The drainage area above Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam covers nearly 4.3 square miles (approximately 2,750 acres). The topography in the basin is quite variable, consisting of steeply to moderately sloped terrain surrounding Sanborn Pond and swampy areas which are found in the upper (northern) two-thirds of the drainage area. The drainage basin is predominantly tree covered and generally undeveloped. The development which does exist consists primarily of residences located along the town roads and NH Route 129 which transect the drainage basin.

b. <u>Discharge at Damsite</u>. Discharge at the damsite normally occurs over the flashboards (set at an elevation of 670.0 feet) which have been installed above the 19 feet long permanent spillway weir crest. Wood supports for the deck over the spillway occupy approximately 2 feet of the space between the spillway training walls; consequently, the effective spillway weir length is 17 feet. The flashboards are securely nailed to these wood supports, and cannot be easily removed. A 30-inch diameter penstock gate is located in the dam face between the spillway and the right abutment. The gate was operable and slightly open at the time of inspection. This gate would allow the pond to be lowered to an approximate elevation of 658.5 feet.

(1) The capacity of the penstock gate was estimated to be 84 cfs with the water surface at the top of the dam (elevation 672.5 feet) and 96 cfs with the water surface at the test flood elevation (elevation 676.2 feet).

(2) Maximum known flood at damsite - unknown.

(3) The ungated spillway capacity with the water surface elevation at the top of the dam (elevation 672.5 feet) was estimated to be 200 cfs with the flashboards in place and 315 cfs with the flashboards removed.

(4) The ungated spillway capacity with the water surface elevation at the test flood elevation (Elevation 676.2 feet) was estimated to be 710 cfs with the flashboards in place and 905 cfs with the flashboards removed.

(5) Gated spillway capacity at normal pool elevation - N/A

(6) Gated spillway capacity at test flood elevation - N/A

(7) The total capacity of the spillway (flashboards in place) at the test flood elevation (Elevation 676.2 feet) was estimated to be 710 cfs.

1-3

(8) The total project discharge at the top of the dam (Elevation 672.5 feet) was estimated to be 200 cfs. (with penstock closed)

(9) The total project discharge at the test flood elevation (Elevation 676.2 feet) was estimated to be 1990 cfs. (with penstock closed)

c. <u>Elevation</u>. (feet NGVD) based on an elevation 670.0 shown on U.S.G.S quad sheet assumed to be pool elevation at top of flashboards.

- (1) Streambed at toe of dam 657.8
- (2) Bottom of cutoff unknown
- (3) Maximum tailwater unknown
- (4) Normal pool 670.0
- (5) Full flood control pool N/A
- (6) Spillway crest (flashboards in place) 670.0 (flashboards removed) 668.9
- (7) Design surcharge (Original Design) unknown
- (8) Top of dam elevation varies 672.5 (min.), 674.3 (max.)
- (9) Test flood surcharge 676.2
- d. Reservoir (Length in feet)
  - (1) Normal pool -4,060
  - (2) Flood control pool N/A
  - (3) Spillway crest pool 4,025 (permanent crest)
  - (4) Top of dam -4,140
  - (5) Test flood pool 4,255
- e. Storage (acre-feet)

ľ

- (1) Normal pool 1,100
- (2) Flood control pool N/A
- (3) Spillway crest pool 965 (permanent crest)
- (4) Top of dam -1,420
- (5) Test flood pool 1,915

1-4

# f. <u>Reservoir Surface</u>(acres)

- (1) Normal pool 125
- (2) Flood control pool N/A
- (3) Spillway crest 123 (permanent crest)
- (4) Test flood pool 138
- (5) Top of dam 130

## g. Dam

- (1) Type earthen embankment between two dry stone masonry walls
- (2) Length 265 feet overall
- (3) Height 16.5 feet maximum
- (4) Top Width 16 feet minimum
- (5) Side Slopes vertical dry stone masonry walls upstream and downstream
- (6) Zoning unknown
- (7) Impervious Core unknown
- (8) Cutoff unknown
- (9) Grout curtain none
- (10) Other none
- h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

Not applicable (See Section j below)

i. Spillway

ŀ

- (1) Type dry stone masonry with wood plank lining
- (2) Length of weir 19 feet (total length) 17 feet (effective length)
- (3) Crest elevation ~ 670.0 (top of flashboards) 668.9 (top of permanent crest)
- (4) Gates N/A

(5) U/S Channel - The upstream channel basically consists of Sanborn Pond with a short approach channel just prior to the flashboards. The banks of the pond are tree-lined and appear to be stable. The short approach channel consists of dry stone masonry training walls, with a wood beam extending between the training walls, and set less than 1 foot above the water surface. The wood beam appears to function as a debris catcher. Considerable waterlogged debris was observed on the bottom of the approach channel.

(6) D/S Channel - The left side of downstream channel bottom is defined by ledge outcroppings for approximately the first 30 feet, and the right channel edge is defined by the dry stone masonry foundation wall for the mill building. About 60 feet downstream, the channel converges with the discharge from the penstock and passes beneath Sanborn Road through a stone abutment and wood planked bridge into a small pond. This small pond is created by a dam associated with a saw mill. Discharge over this dam enters Sanborn Brook, which flows in a southerly direction until its confluence with the Suncook River.

## j. Regulating Outlets

.

÷.,

- (1) Invert Penstock invert 658.5+
- (2) Size Penstock; 30 inches diameter
- (3) Description cast iron penstock that passes through the dam embankment and discharges under the mill building
- (4) Control Mechanism penstock gate with geared lifting mechanism in mill building
- (5) Other 28-inch diameter cast iron penstock adjacent to 30-inch diameter penstock; inlet is planked over and is not functional

1-6

## SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

# 2.1 Design

No design data were disclosed for Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam.

# 2.2 Construction

No construction records were disclosed.

# 2.3 Operation

No engineering operational data were disclosed.

## 2.4 Evaluation

-

a. <u>Availability</u>. No engineering data were available for Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam. A search of the files of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board and direct contact with the owner, revealed a limited amount of recorded information.

b. <u>Adequacy</u>. The final assessments and recommendations of this investigation are based on the visual inspection and the hydrologic and hydraulic calculations.

c. <u>Validity</u>. No engineering data were disclosed to validate.

## SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

## 3.1 Findings

Ì.

a. <u>General</u>. Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam impounds a pond of intermediate size. The drainage basin above the dam consists of steeply to moderately sloping terrain surrounding Sanborn Pond and swampy areas which are found in the upper (northern) two-thirds of the drainage area. The drainage area is predominantly tree covered and generally undeveloped.

The field inspection of Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam was made on March 25, 1980. The inspection team consisted of personnel from S E A Consultants Inc. and Geotechnical Engineers, Inc. Inspection checklists completed during the visual inspection are included in Appendix A. At the time of inspection, water was passing approximately 4-1/4 inches deep over the 19 feet wide spillway. The pool elevation was at approximately 670.4 NGVD. The upstream face of the dam could only be inspected above this water level.

b. Dam. Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is an earthen embankment structure approximately 16.5 feet high from crest of dam to downstream toe and about 265 feet long overall. The upstream and downstream faces consist of a 2 feet thick vertical dry stone masonry wall which extends the full height of the dam. The crest width is approximately 16 feet. In the central portion of the dam, there is a spillway and a penstock intake structure. The penstock passes under an old mill building, which is supported partly by the dam and partly by dry stone masonry foundation walls in the downstream channel.

The crest of the dam between the penstock intake structure and the right abutment is covered with grass. Planks of rough-cut lumber about 2 inches thick and 12 inches wide appear to have been driven more or less vertically into the crest of the embankment from the penstock intake structure for a distance of about 8 feet toward the right abutment. The crest of the embankment appears to have settled irregularly in the vicinity of these driven planks, and the alignment of the planks is irregular.

The crest of the dam between the penstock intake structure and the spillway is covered with grass and weeds. Planks of rough-cut lumber about 2 inches thick and 12 inches wide appear to have been driven more or less vertically into the crest of the embankment between the penstock intake structure and the spillway. The crest of the embankment appears to have settled irregularly and the alignment of the planks is irregular.

The crest of the embankment between the spillway and the left abutment is covered with grass, weeds, and small brush. Some larger brush has been cut. The crest of this section of the embankment appears to have settled irregularly, and the owner stated at the time of inspection that fill had been added on the crest of this section.

The vertical dry stone masonry walls which retain the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment are poorly aligned and have fallen down and are in a state of disrepair in several locations, apparently as the result of long-term displacement and deterioration.

One tree is growing on the upstream side of the embankment crest between the spillway and the left abutment. Many trees are growing at the downstream toe of the embankment between the spillway and the left abutment.

Downstream of the embankment section, near the right abutment, there is a swampy area, with some standing water between the toe of the dam and the dirt road (Sanborn Road) immediately downstream of the dam. It is not possible to determine on the basis of the visual inspection alone whether this swampy area is the result of seepage through and under the dam, or of natural groundwater discharge from the site of the valley at the abutment.

ŝ.

Ë,

|

5

رم. رو رو

Ľ

Some cut brush has been dumped on the upstream and downstream sides of the embankment between the spillway and the left abutment.

c. <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. Located about 105 feet in from the left abutment is the principal spillway which consists of a 19 feet long spillway structure with vertical dry stone masonry training walls and flashboards. The top of the permanent crest is lined with planks of rough-cut lumber about 2 inches thick and is in good shape. The same type of planks line the faces of the stone masonry training walls. The training wall planking is old and deteriorating.

A service bridge extends over the spillway between the left and right training wall. This is a wood structure with no railing and several planks missing between two main wood beams. Most of the bridge planking that remains is rotted.

Vertical wood members between the permanent crest of the spillway and the service bridge above support the flashboards which are located on the upstream face. These flashboards appear to be permanently fixed to the vertical supporting members and appear to be in good shape.

A wood beam extends between the stone masonry walls which define the approach channel to the spillway. This beam is set less than 1 foot above the water surface and appears to function as a debris catcher.

Located to the right of center of the dam is the principal intake structure which consists of a U-shaped concrete wall about 18 inches thick. The intake was formerly used to supply water to power the old grist mill. The concrete structure is in good shape, and the gate is maintained and in operating order.

Located directly on top of and behind the intake structure is the old grist mill which is supported in part by the intake structure and dam, and in part by dry stone masonry walls in the downstream channel. These supporting walls are in such a deteriorated condition that the mill building could collapse into the discharge channel.

3-2

d. <u>Reservoir</u>. The slopes of the pond appear to be stable. There appears to be very shallow water upstream of the dam, but it is not possible on the basis of the visual inspection alone to determine whether this is the result of significant sedimentation in the pond.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. The downstream channel bottom is partly ledge outcroppings for approximately the first 30 feet, and the right channel edge is defined by the dry stone masonry foundation wall for the mill building. About 60 feet downstream, the channel converges with the discharge from the penstock and passes beneath Sanborn Road through a stone abutment and wood planked bridge.

3.2 Evaluation

ŝ

On the basis of the results of the visual inspection, Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is considered to be in poor condition.

Extensive irregular settlement of the crest of the embankment, the poor condition of the vertical dry stone masonry walls which retain the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment, and the presence of what appears to be a wooden plank cutoff wall in the crest, in the vicinity of the penstock intake structure, all indicate that the embankment is in poor condition and may be unstable.

The presence of a wet area at the downstream toe of the dam near the right abutment may be evidence of seepage through and under the dam.

Trees growing at the downstream toe of the embankment and on the upstream side of the crest may cause seepage and erosion problems if a tree blows over and pulls out its roots, or if a tree dies and its roots rot.

The deteriorating condition of the wood planking lining the spillway training walls, which if allowed to continue, would begin to deteriorate the dry stone masonry training walls behind the wood.

The deteriorating condition of the service bridge over the spillway represents a hazard to anyone using the bridge.

The poor condition of part of the foundation of the mill building in the discharge channel may lead to collapse of the building into the channel.

3-3

## SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

#### 4.1 Operational Procedures

1

F

1

a. <u>General</u>. The Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is used primarily to create Sanborn Pond. There are no written operational procedures pertaining to the penstock gate.

b. <u>Description of any Warning Systems in Effect</u>. No written warning system exists for the dam.

# 4.2 Maintenance Procedures

a. <u>General.</u> The owner, Mr. John A. Sanborn, is responsible for the maintenance of the dam. No formal maintenance plan exists, although it should be noted that at the time of the inspection the owner was in the process of repairing the spillway planking and penstock gate stem.

b. <u>Operating Facilities</u>. No formal plan for maintenance of operating facilities was disclosed.

### 4.3 Evaluation

The current operation and maintenance procedures for the Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam are inadequate to ensure that all problems encountered can be remedied within a reasonable period of time. The owner should establish a written operation and maintenance procedure, as well as a warning system to follow in event of flood flow conditions or imminent dam failure.

## SECTION 5 EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC FEATURES

5.1 General. Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is an earthen embankment structure approximately 16.5 feet high from crest of dam to downstream toe and about 265 feet long overall. Located about 105 feet from the left abutment is the principal spillway. The spillway measures 19 feet between the training walls and has an effective weir length of 17 feet. The permanent crest of the spillway is set at an elevation of 688.9 feet. Flashboards have been installed above the permanent crest to an elevation of 670.0. These flashboards have been securely nailed to the wood supports for the service bridge, and cannot be easily removed. Located to the right of the spillway is a U-shaped concrete intake structure with a 30-inch diameter penstock gate. The gate was operable at the time of inspection.

A number of swampy areas and one small pond are located in the upper (northern) two-thirds of the drainage area. Consequently, stormwater deposited in the upper portion of the drainage basin would be intercepted by these storage areas before flowing to Sanborn Pond. The dam impounding Sanborn Pond is classified as intermediate in size and has a maximum storage capacity of approximately 1,420 acre-feet.

-

.

-

5.2 Design Data. No hydrological or hydraulic design data were disclosed.

5.3 Experience Data. No experience data were disclosed. Maximum flood flows or elevations are unknown.

5.4 <u>Test Flood Analysis</u>. Due to the absence of detailed design and operational information, the hydrologic evaluation was performed utilizing data gathered during field inspection, watershed size and an estimated test flood determined from the Corps of Engineers guide curves. For this dam (intermediate size and significant hazard), the test flood ranges from one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF) to the full Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Since the dam falls on the low end of the intermediate size range, the 1/2 PMF was selected for this hydrologic analysis. Since the drainage area consists of a combination of steeply to moderately sloped and flat terrain, and since there is a considerable amount of storage available in the swampy areas upstream from Sanborn Pond, a point about mid-way between the "rolling" curve and "flat" curve, from the Corps of Engineers set of guide curves, was used to estimate the maximum probable flood peak flow rate.

Based on an estimated maximum probable flood peak flow rate of 1,500 cfs per square mile and a drainage area of 4.3 square miles, the test flood inflow was estimated to be 3,225 cfs. The test flood was routed through the reservoir in accordance with the Corps of Engineers procedure for Estimating Effect of Surcharge Storage on Maximum Probable Discharge. The reservoir water surface was assumed to be at elevation 670.0 prior to the flood routing. Also, since the penstock gate is normally closed or only slightly open, it was assumed that the discharge through the penstock would be neglible and, therefore, was not included

5-1

in the analysis. The routed test flood outflow was estimated to be 1,990 cfs. This analysis indicated that the dam crest would be overtopped by 3.7 feet. The maximum spillway capacity (with flashboards in place) with the water level at the dam crest was estimated to be 200 cfs, which is only about 10 percent of the routed test flood outflow.

. .

5.5 <u>Dam Failure Analysis</u>. The impact of dam failure with the reservoir surface at the dam crest was assessed utilizing the "Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs published by the Corps of Engineers. The analysis covered a reach extending approximately 4 miles downstream. Based on this analysis, the Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam has been classified as a significant hazard.

A major breach in the Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam would increase the stage of the pond immediately below the dam by nearly 10 feet. The dam impounding this pond would be overtopped by 6 to 7 feet, and the saw mill at this dam would be inundated. The failure discharge would cause appreciable damage to the saw mill and may result in failure of the dam at the saw mill. The loss of a few lives of individuals working at the saw mill is possible. Below the saw mill dam, the stream channel passes beneath a series of town roads and one state highway before converging with the Suncook River. Youngs Hill Road, which is located about 0.7 miles below the dam, would be overtopped by about 6 feet of water. This could result in significant damage to the road. Beyond this road, the stream channel widens and the failure discharge reduces considerably due to the storage along the channel. Consequently, it is not anticipated that appreciable damage would result further downstream, since no structures are located near enough to the channel to be damaged.

## SECTION 6 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

### 6.1 Visual Observations

The visual examination indicates the following potential structural problems:

- (1) Extensive irregular settlement of the crest of the embankment, the poor condition of the vertical dry stone masonry walls which retain the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment, and the presence of what appears to be a wooden-plank cutoff wall in the crest in the vicinity of the penstock intake structure all indicate that the embankment is in poor condition and may be unstable.
- (2) The presence of a wet area at the downstream toe of the dam near the right abutment may be evidence of seepage through and under the dam.
- (3) Trees growing at the downstream toe of the embankment and on the upstream side of the crest may cause seepage and erosion problems if a tree blows over and pulls out its roots, or if a tree dies and its roots rot.
- (4) The deterioration of the wood planking lining the spillway training walls which could collapse and expose the stone training wall behind the wood to erosion.
- (5) The poor condition of the mill building foundation in the discharge channel that may lead to the collapse of the building into the discharge channel.

The presence of cut brush on the upstream and downstream sides of the embankments makes it impossible to inspect those areas adequately.

6.2 Design and Construction Data. It is believed that the dam was built in 1830 to supply power to a grist mill. No information regarding the original design or construction of the dam was found.

6.3 <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. The dam was originally built to supply power to a grist mill. The waterwheel was removed and the mill abandoned sometime before 1934.

6.4 <u>Seismic Stability</u>. This dam is located is Seismic Zone 2 and, in accordance with the Phase I guidelines, does not warrant seismic analysis.

### 7.1 Dam Assessment

a. <u>Condition</u>. The visual examination indicates that Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam is in poor condition. The major concerns with respect to the integrity of the dam are:

- (1) Irregular settlement of the crest of the embankment.
- (2) Poor condition of the dry stone masonry walls which retain the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment.
- (3) Wet area at the downstream toe of the dam near the left abutment.
- (4) Trees growing at the downstream toe and on the upstream edge of the crest of the embankment.
- (5) Poor condition of the wood plank lining of the spillway training walls.
- (6) Poor condition of the foundation of the mill building in the discharge channel.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. Brush dumped on the upstream and downstream sides of the embankment between the spillway and the left abutment makes it impossible to inspect those areas adequately. With this exception, the information available from the visual inspection and hydraulic computations is adequate to identify the problems mentioned in 7.2. These problems will require the attention of registered professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams who will have to make additional engineering studies to design or specify remedial measures. No additional information is needed for the purposes of this Phase I investigation.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. The owner should implement the recommendations in 7.2 and 7.3 within one year after receipt of this Phase I report.

### 7.2 Recommendations

-

The owner should retain a registered professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams to:

(1) Investigate the cause of the irregular settlement of the crest of the dam, the poor alignment of the dry stone masonry walls which retain the upstream and downstream faces of the embankment, and the condition of the embankment in the vicinity of the spillway and penstock intake structure, and design remedial measures if needed.

- (2) Investigate the wet area at the downstream toe of the dam near the right abutment and design remedial measures if needed.
- (3) Specify and oversee procedures for removal of trees and their root systems from the dam and downstram toe.
- (4) Inspect the spillway under no-flow conditions.
- (5) Perform a detailed hydrologic/hydraulic investigation to assess further the potential of overtopping the dam and the need for and the means to increase project discharge capacity.
- 7.3 Remedial Measures
  - a. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The owner should:
    - (1) Repair the foundation of the mill building in the discharge channel (or remove the building).
    - (2) Monitor the wet area at the downstream toe of the dam between the mill building and the right abutment until the recommendation made in 7.2 (2) has been carried out.
    - (3) Remove the brush that has been dumped on the upstream and downstream sides of the embankment between the spillway and the left abutment.
    - (4) Replace the wood plank lining at the spillway training walls.
    - (5) Repair the service bridge.
    - (6) Remove the waterlogged debris from the spillway approach channel.
    - (7) Remove the wood beam spanning the spillway approach channel, assuming that it does not function as a debris catcher to keep the spillway clear.
    - (8) Visually inspect the dam and appurtenant structures once a month.
    - (9) Establish written maintenance procedures.
    - (10) Engage a registered professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams to make a comprehensive technical inspection of the dam once every year.
    - (11) Establish a surveillance program and gate operating procedure for use during and immediately after heavy rainfall and also a warning program to follow in case of emergency conditions.

#### 7.4 Alternatives

There are no practical alternatives to the recommendations of Section 7.2 and 7.3

APPENDIX A INSPECTION CHECKLIST

 ·..•

والمترجع ومراجع والمترجع والمراجع

.

Ē

.

4

. \_\_\_\_\_

.

5

ſ

INSPECTIO PARTY O	)N CHECK LIST )RGANIZATION
DJECT: <u>Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam, NH</u>	DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u> TIME: <u>2:30 p.m.</u> WEATHER: <u>Cool, partly cloudy</u> W.S. ELEV. <u>670.4</u> U.S. <u>658.6</u> (NGVD)
RTY:	
<u>Kenneth Stewart, S E A</u>	6
Robert Durfee, S.E.A	(
Philin Ricardi, S.F.A	°
Bonald Hirschfeld, GEI	10
	· ·
PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY REMARKS
Structural Stability	K. Stewart/R. Durfee
Hydrology/Hydraulics	B. Pierstorff/P. Ricardi
Soils and Geology*	R. Hirschfeld
·	
	· · ·
·	
	•
	A-1

• 

**r**---Ż 

1,

i

INSPECTION	CHECK LIST
ROJECT: <u>Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam, NH</u>	DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u>
ROJECT FEATURE: Dam Embankment	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
AM EMBANKMENT	
rest Elevation	672.5
current Pool Elevation	670.4
laximum Impoundment to Date	Unknown
urface Cracks	None observed
avement Condition	Not paved
lovement or Settlement of Crest	Crest of embankment is irregular
ateral Movement	Vertical dry stone masonry walls which retain embankment have irregular alignment
ertical Alignment	Crest is irregular
lorizontal Alignment	Fair
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Fair
ndications of Movement of Structural tems on Slopes	None observed
respassing on Slopes	No evidence of trespassing observed
egetation on Slopes	Trees growing close to downstream edge of embankment
loughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	Some erosion of slopes
lock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	Vertical dry stone masonry walls retain embankment
Inusual Movement or Cracking t or near Toe	None observed
Inusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	None observed
'iping or Boils	None observed
oundation Drainage Features	None observed
'oe Drains	None observed
nstrumentation System	None

6

1 4

.

-

•

•

ł

.-

•

Ĺ

1.

.

INSPECTION	CHECK LIST	
PROJECT: <u>Sanborn Pond_Outlet Dam, NH</u>	DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE: Dike Embankment	NAME:	
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
DIKE EMBANKMENT	No dike	
Crest Elevation		
Current Pool Elevation		
Maximum Impoundment to Date		
Surface Cracks		
Pavement Condition		
Movement or Settlement of Crest		
Lateral Movement		
Vertical Alignment		
Horizontal Alignment		
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures		
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes		
Trespassing on Slopes		
Vegetation on Slopes		
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments		
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures		
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes		ł
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage		
Piping or Boils		
Foundation Drainage Features		
Toe Drains		
instrumentation System		

i

••

· ', •

ľ

.

4

i

DDATEOR. Sanbows Dond Outlat Day Mil	
PROJECT: Samborn Pond Outlet Dam, NH	DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u>
PROJECT FEATURE:Intake_Channel	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE	Concrete U-shaped intake structure under mill building for cast iron penstock
a. Approach Channel	
Slope Conditions	Good
Bottom Conditions	Not visible beneath pond surface
Rock Slides or Falls	None observed
Log Boom	None
Debris	None observed
Condition of Concrete Lining	Not applicable
Drains or Weep Holes	None
b. Intake Structure	
Condition of Concrete	Good
Stop Logs and Slots	No stoplogs or slots

÷.,

· · · ·

..

. . . .

i

•

I

Z

•

.

•••• `.

\_

. . [

1

۰.

.

PROJECT: Sanborn Bond Outlot Dam NU	DATE: March 25 1090			
PROJECT FEATURE: (ontrol Tower NAME:				
PROJECT FEATURE: <u>Control Tower</u>				
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:			
	·····			
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS			
OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER	Control works located on top of concrete intake structure inside mill building			
a. Concrete and Structural				
General Condition	Good			
Condition of Joints	None			
Spalling	None			
Visible Reinforcing	None			
Rusting or Staining of Concrete	None			
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None visible			
Joint Alignment	Good			
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	Unknown - gate partially open at time of inspection			
Cracks	None visible			
Rusting or Corrosion of Steel	None visible			
b. Mechanical and Electrical				
Air Vents	None			
Float Wells	None			
Crane Hoist	None			
Elevator	None			
Hydraulic System	None			
Service Gates	Gate partially open at time of inspection -mechanism operable			
Emergency Gates	See service gates			
Lightning Protection System	None			
Emergency Power System	None			
Wiring and Lighting System	None			

Í

•••

•

•

ľ

-

•---

•

Î

,

•

. . . .
INSPECTION (	CHECK LIST	
PROJECT: Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam, NH	DATE: <u>March 25, 1930</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE: <u><u>Transition and Conduit</u></u>	NAME:	
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT	Transition through mill building	
General Condition of Concrete	Good	}
Rust or Staining on Concrete	None	
Spalling	None visible	
Erosion or Cavitation	None visible	
Cracking	None visible	
Alignment of Monoliths	Not applicable	
Alignment of Joints	Good	
Numbering of Monoliths	Not applicable	
		[
		ł
		ļ
		ł
		1

.

i

.

۲

.

E

•

-

•

1

.

!

INSPECTION	
PROJECT: Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam, NH	DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u>
PROJECT FEATURE: Outlet Structure	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	Outlet under mill building constructed of dry stone masonry walls
General Condition of Concrete	Not applicable
Rust or Staining	Not applicable
Spalling	Not applicable
Erosion or Cavitation	None visible
Visible Reinforcing	Not applicable
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None visible
Condition at Joints	Dry stone masonry
Drain Holes	None
Channel	
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	Some trees overhang channel
Condition of Discharge Channel	Fair

.

**F**\*

•

.

t

ł

• . .

PROJECT: <u>Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam, NH</u>	DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE: NAME:		
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS		
a. Approach Channel		
General Conditions	Fair - Considerable debris in approach channel; owner reports some beaver activity	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None	
Trees Overhanging Channel	None	
Floor of Approach Channel	Not visible beneath pond surface	
b. Weir and Training Walls	Training walls are constructed of dry stone masonry with wood plank facing	
General Condition of Concrete	Not applicable	
Rust or Staining	Not applicable	
Spalling	Not applicable	
Any Visible Reinforcing	Not applicable	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None	
Drain Holes	None	
c. Discharge Channel		
General Condition	Fair	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None	
Trees Overhanging Channel	Some trees overhang channel	
Floor of Channel	Natural - ledge and stone	
Other Obstructions	None observed	

í.

F

•

r

K

.

•

l

Ľ

ومرجع بالمرجع والمرجع والمرجع والمعاد معادم والمعالم والمعالية والمعالية والمعالية والمعالية والمعالية والمعالي

· • ·

.

. . .

.

.

٠..

÷\_\_

. . . .

PROJECT: <u>Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam, N</u>	<u>H</u> DATE: <u>March 25, 1980</u>
PROJECT FEATURE: <u>Service Bridge</u>	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE	Service bridge (walkway) located over spillway weir
a. Super Structure	
Bearings	Longitudinal members bear on dry stone masonry training walls
Anchor Bolts	No anchor bolts
Bridge Seat	Dry stone masonry in fair shape
Longitudinal Members	Two 10-inch deep wood beams
Under Side of Deck	Longitudinal members in fair shape
Secondary Bracing	Vertical members from spillway crest in fair shape
Deck	Wood planks, several rotted and missing
Drainage System	None
Railings	No railings
Expansion Joints	No expansion joints
Paint	No paint. All wood members weathered.
b. Abutment & Piers	Dry stone masonry training walls act as service bridge abutments
General Condition of Concrete	Not applicable
Alignment of Abutment	Good
	Good
Approach to Bridge	

.

L

.

----

1

• . . • . •

# APPENDIX B

•

,

1

Í

•

.

(1

ļ

i

.1

## ENGINEERING DATA

.

No Engineering Data other than past inspection reports from the State of New Hampshire Water Resource Board were available.

1

ĺ

## PAST INSPECTION REPORTS

Ľ

ſ.

. -

N. H. WATER RESOURCES BOARD Concord, N. H. 03301

#### DAM SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT FORM

E

1

Town: Loudon Dam Number: 143.10
Inspected by: Date: Date: 1974
Local name of dam or water body: Sanborn Pond Outlet
Owner: <u>Sanborn</u> Address:
Cwner was was not interviewed during inspection.
Drainage Area:sq. mi. Stream:
Pond Area:Acre, StorageAc-Ft. Max. HeadF
Foundation: Type, Seepage present at toe - Yes/No,
Spillway: Type <u>Standby</u> , Freeboard over perm. crest:
Width, Flashboard height
Max. Capacityc.f.s.
Embankment: Type, Cover Width
Upstream slopeto 1; Downstream slopeto 1
Abutments: Type Stonewall, Condition: Good Fair, Poor
Gates or Pond Drain: Size <u>4×4</u> Capacity Type
Lifting apparatusOperational condition 9000
Changes since construction or last inspection:
Downstream development: Bridge Below
This dam would/would not be a menace if it failed.
Suggested reinspection date:
Remarks: Old Grist Mill
B-3

· . • .

#### NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER CONTROL COMMISSION

REPORT ON DAM INSPECTION

TOWN Loudon DAM NO. 143.10 STREAM Sanborn Brook
OWNER <u>Albin J. Sanborn</u> ADDRESS <u>Littsfield</u> , N.H.
In accordance with Section 20 of Chapter 133, Laws of 1937, the above dam was inspected by me on accompanied by
NOTES ON PEYSICAL CONDITION. Abutments Good
spillway Good
Gates Not used - leak a little
<u>Other</u>
CHANGES SINCE LAST INSPECTION NonC
This dam (is) (1000) a monace because from this hours bridge
REMARKS 9" Water over spillway, staplogged.
Copy to Owner Dato INSPECTOR
(Additional Notes Over)
B-4



Form No. E61A NO. EDIA NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER CONTROL COMMISSION RECORD OF DAM NO 43.10: Town \_\_\_\_\_: County III imache Local Name Sanfrom Function of Dam Strange Figure Figure Wall Primary Basin The march P. Sec. Basin Suncorps R: Local Stream Se Estimated Maximum Probable Flood ...... cfs.: REMARKS Small leaks three stones under blank spilling now tem Checked by ......: Approved for File ....... Date 29/39 Checked by Card Prepared by 4. J. Sanim : ADDRESS attafield m **Construction Record** Date Office-Routine Inspection During Construction Application Received ..... Memo Date Inspector Memo Date Inspector Board Approval -----..... Authorization Sent ------Final Plans Rec'd ----Final Approval-Board ..... Final Approval-Sent Case Closed \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Why Russ Indans **Dam Inspection Record** Memo Memo Sent Prepared To Owner Comments Inspector dition -C.D.C. Good L \*\*\*\*\*\*\* With and the second of the star was a start of the R-6

### NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER CONTROL COMMISSION DATA ON DAMS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

1.

LOCATION	STATE NO. 143.10
Town Loudon : County	Merrimack
Stream Senborn Pond Outlet	
Basin-Primary Herrimack 3 Secon	dary Suncook Siver
Local Nama	
Local Name	$21^{\circ} + 5' - 85^{\circ}$
Coordinates—Lat	<u> </u>
GENERAL DAIA	ad Sa Mi Tatal 3 5 Sa Mi
Overall length of dom $258^{\checkmark}$ ft : Date of Construction	1830
Usight, Stream had to highest alor 16 / st . Mar	Stausture 111 / ft
Cost-Dam	
DESCRIPTION D Stonewall On Ledge Found	dation <
Waste Gates	
Туре	
Number ft. high x	ft. wide
Elevation Invert: Total	Area
Hoist	•
Waste Gates Conduit	
Number	<b>`</b>
· Sizeft.: Lengthft.: A	rea
Embankment	
Туре	
Height—Max ft.: Min.	ft.
Top-Width: Elev.	
Slopes-Upstream	stream on
Length-Right of Spillway: Left	of Spillway
Spillway	
Materials of Construction	*****
Length—Totalft.: N	et
Height of permanent section-Max	in
Flashboards-Type Fixed	Height 21 high
Elevation-Permanent Crest	:: Top of Flashboard
Flood Capacity	cfs/sq. mi.
Abutments	
Materials:	*****
Freeboard: Max	
Headworks to Power Devel(See "Data on Power I	evelopment")
OWNER A J Sanborn Pittsf	leld N H
REMARKS Man Concernation Beene	stion Emailsont Condition ;
USE COUSELASTON RECISS	
menace <sup>B</sup> ridge below too smal	1
Tabulation ByA.A.N&.R.L.T	January 25, 1939
34B21284 B-7	

stend i	12		£	
100075000		$\pm$	/	_
		Í		
Return ic	)			_
Tiled				
File No.				_

G

WATER CONTROL COLLISSION

STATE OF NEW HAMPCHIRE

Concord, New Hampshire October 17, 1933.

A J Senborn, Pittsfield N H

RE: Sanborn Pond OutDam. M. C. C. No. 143.10

Gentlemen:

In order that we may determine the magnitude and extent of the flood of September 21-24 just passed, we are requesting the various dam owners in the State to supply us with the following information:

1.	Was this dam injured?	Ans.	no
2.	If so, to what extent?	Ans	pone
3.	Did all flashboards go out?	Ans	none
4.	What was the maximum height of water over the permanent crest of spillway?	Ans	none

5. At what day and hour did the maximum flood height reach your dam?

6. Any other interesting information regarding the flood or rain fall may be given on the back of this sheet, or attach sheets.

Will you please return this letter with as much information as you can give us as promptly as possible. A selfaddressed envelope is attached hereto.

We thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours, Richard S. cherry

CDC:GMB Enc. Richard S. Holmgren Chief Engineer

B-8

INVENTORY OF DAM	AS AND WATER POWER D	EVELOPMENTS
STU Merrymærk	NO. 10 -	29/- 5-3539
VERSanborn Pond	MILES FROM MO	J'THD.A.SQ.MI.
MAL NAME OF DAM	CWNER <u>A. J. J. Z.</u>	mborn, Pittstick
UILT 1830AE DESCRIP	PITON Double Stone y	vell - ?
APPA_A-875		
ICHT-TOP TO BED OF STRE	EAM - FT - 16 MA	KNIN
ERALL LENGTH OF DAM-FT. RMANENT OREST ELEV.H.S.	258 MAX.FLOOD HE	IGHT ABOVE CREST-FT.
ILTAGER ELEV.U.S.	3.3 LO	JAL GAGE
ILLWAY LENGTHS-FT.	FR	EEBOARD-FT.
STE GAUES-NC. WIDTH MA	X. OPENING DEPTH S	ILL BELCW CREST
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MIRKS		
GBALFIAN GOA	α	
Mouth Sanborn Bk	16.63 mi. from Mo	uth Sun cook R
hto Sanborn	BR I Suncack R.	كالتكافية بتنكر ويستجرب بالموسانيان والبكر والمتري وارتدا ويستشرون
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Co-ordinates from AE
		Co-ordinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds
ER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD	C.F.S.	Co-ordinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds.
IER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-ordinatos from AE 43º 20' + 100yds 71º 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
TER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD TS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-ordinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-ordinatos from AE 43º 20' + 100yds 71º 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
NER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-ordinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-ordinatos from AE 43º 20' + 100yds 71º 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-ordinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET 	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-crdillotes from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET 	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT         RATED         HP         FEET         Conservation         Canservation         MARKS	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
VER       DEVELOPMENT         RATED       HEAD         HP       FEET         HP       FEET         Caaser vation         Caaser vation         HARKS       Wheels have	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET 	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
WER DEVELOPMENT         RATED         HP         FET         E         Conservation         MARKS	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' - 2800 yds. MAKE
MER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS <u>NO. HP</u> <u>FEET</u>  E E MARK3 Wheels have	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW	Co-crdinates from AE 43° 20' + 100yds 71° 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
MER DEVELOPMENT RATED HEAD ITS NO. HP FEET E Can Ser vation MARKS Wheels have	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW Recreation been taken out	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
VER       DEVELOPMENT         RATED       HEAD         HP       FEET         HP       FEET         Can Ser vation         HARKS       Wheels have	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW	Co-crdinotes from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
VER DEVELOPMENT         RATED       HEAD         ITS       NO.       HP         FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW Recreation been taken out	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
$E = \frac{7/12/34}{12/34}$	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW Recreation Deen taken out	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE
$\frac{\text{ER DEVELOPMENT}}{\text{RATED HEAD}}$ $\frac{\text{RATED HEAD}}{\text{TS NO. HP FEET}}$ $\frac{\text{RATED HEAD}}{\text{RATED HEAD}}$	C.F.S. FULL GATE KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW KW	Co-crdinates from AE 430 201 + 100yds 710 25' = 2800 yds. MAKE

17 P

## PLANS AND DETAILS

5

<u>.</u>

.

r

•

•

i. L

(

. . . . . .

...





### APPENDIX C

ł

ľ

•

•

i

1

### SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS





r

.

Ē

**م**ه ب

ľ

Photo No. 1 - General view of pond from right shoreline.



Photo No. 2 - General view of dam from pond.



.

ľ

•

**j**\_

Photo No. 5 - Wood plank sheet piling and depression in crest of dam to the left of the mill intake structure.



Photo No. 6 - Wood plank sheet piling and depression in crest of dam to the right of the mill intake structure.



Ľ

**r**...

L

1

Photo No. 9 - View of downstream face of spillway.



Photo No. 10 - View of mill foundation wall at discharge channel.

#### APPENDIX D

### HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

6

.

•



į

. . . . .

S E A CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners	BOSTON , I Rocheste	MA58. A, N.H.		_	•
CLIENT Arny Comps	JOB NO. 23	14-7901	PAGE	<u> </u>	-
PROJECT Sanborg Pond Outlet Day	<u>м_</u> Сомрто. Ву	BWF	DATE	4/14/80	_
DETAIL Hydrologic Calculations	Ск'р. Ву	KMS	DATE	41:5:30	_
I. Basic Data A. Drainage Area					
1. 4.3 5guare ma and plani	les - as d netered	efined on	u	sos sheet	
2. Dramage area 1 Sloped terram area 5	nas portions Surrounding	of Steepl broader	y to flat	noder-tely swampy	•
B. Dam and Storage	Informat	-con,			•
1. Size Classification: INTERMEDIATE based on Storage (≥1000acre-ft and < 50,000 acre-ft)					
as indicated below - storege at crest of dam estimated to be 1,420 me feet					
2. Hazard Potential: Significant hazard					
Failure of dam would cause appreciable damage to saw mill and dam at caus null. Potential coss of a faw lives of induciduals working at saw mill					
3. Storage Inf	anation				
Descriptive Information	Elevation * (+02+)	Surface * Area (ane:)	$\mathbf{x}$	Storage + aire - + - )	
700' contour	700	187			
Test flood elevation	676.2	138		1,915	
Top of dam	672.5	130		1,420	•
Spulling crest (typ of flash acords)	670.0	125		1,100	

₽-z

. . . .

 ۰.

#### SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners

Ż

K.

•

•

į

ļ

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

ENGINEERS / PLANNERS	ROCHESTER, N.H.
CLIENT Army Corps	JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE 2 0 + 35
PROJECT Somborn Pond Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BY BUP DATE 4/14/90
DETAIL Hydrolagic Celes	CK'D. BY DATE 4/12/30
* Notes : (1) (2) (3) (4)	elevations: NGVD spilling crest elevation taken to correspond with pool elevation of 670 shown on USGS sheet surface area at spilling crest taken to correspond with planinaters' pool shown on USGS sheet storage at spilling crest determined by dividing pond into a series of pynamidal frustrums and computing the volume of each frustrum in order to determine the total volume of the pend.
C. Spiceway Inform 1. Principal spillway a Spillway we with an e to vertical of training we to an ellew spillway of	ation located approve 107 feet from left abutant as a total length of 19 feet, Affective weir length of 17 feet due wood supports installed actueen the US. Flash boards have been installed ation of 670 feet, above a wooden clacke (elevation = 663.9).
(1) the flash place an remain n analysis	counds are securely fastered in a it was accured that they consist in place for the surcharge storage
b. Discharge ove with the s	r the spullway may be determined harp-crested weir equation
(1)  Q = C	LH" (Smondard Handborrin For CF's Marr. 4)
	where: $Q = discharge, cts$

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. BOSTON , MASS. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS ROCHESTER, N.H. GLIENT Army Gros JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE 3 04 35 PROJECT San born Pond Outlet Dam COMPTO. BY BUP DATE 4/14/80 DATE \_\_\_\_\_\_.32 DETAIL Hydrologic Cales \_ CK'D. BY \_\_\_\_\_KMS C = discharge coefficient L= length of weir, feet H= head over weir, feet (2) By the time the water surface reaches ---top of the training walls, the spilling weir will be functioning as a submerged weir. Consequently, a C = 3.3 was used for the initial discharge calculation at elevation 671 and above That point C will reduce to 2.7, on a O.Z incremental basis, since the Spillway discharge will approx. broad-crested weir discharge by the time the water depth reaches to top of the training walls II. Estimate Effect of Surcharge Storage on Maximum Probable Discharge A. Develop stage - discharge curve for outflow from dan complexi 1. define sources of outflow a discharge over spillway - above elevation 670.0 as defined above b. discharge over dam crest and abutment (1) assume grist will structure remains intact. therefore approximately 75 feet of dam crest not available for discharge (2) use broad-crested war equation to calculate clischarge over dam and abut ments - same as sharp-crested wer equation defined acove with C = 2.6C. assume that penstruks are closed.

## SELA CONSULTANTS INC.

ł.

Ľ

7

BOSTON , MASS Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT_	Hrma	(mos				_
PROJECT	r <u>Sara</u>	270 52	ind.	0,+	ot Dru	•
DETAIL _	Hudro	sole	G	les		_
-		51				

JOB No. 27	4-7901	_ PAGE_	4 of 35
COMPTO. BY	Bwp	DATE _	4/14/90
Ск'о. Вү	LMS	DATE	+116/32

Elevation (feet)	С	(feet)	H (feet)	(Lfs)
670.0 671 672 673 674 675 676 676 678	3.3 3.1 2.9 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7	17	0   Z 3 4 5 6 7 8	0 55 150 255 370 510 675 850 1040
	1	1	I	1

3. Discharge over dam and abutments

a. dam crest to left of grist will

Elevation	C	Total L	Aug. H	Q
(feet)		(feet)	(feet)	(cts)
674.4 675 676 677 678	2.6	110	0 0.4 1.4 2.4 3.4	0 70 475 1,060 1,790

b. left abutment

Elevation (feet)	C	L (feet)	Aug, H (+eet)	(CB)
674.8	2.6	0	0	)
675		4	0.1	2
676		19	0.6	20
677		32	1.1	95
678		45	1.6	240

D-5

#### SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS

BOSTON , MASS. ROCHESTER, N.H.

CLIENT_	Army (	anos	
PROJECT	Sarourn	Final Outlet	Dam
DETAIL	Hu dro la	on Cales	
	<u> </u>		

JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE 5 of 35 COMPTO. BY BUP DATE 4/14/80 CK'D. BY KMS DATE 4/16/32

c. dam crest to right of grist mill

Elevation (feet)	С	L (fee+)	H (teet)	(cts)
673.9	2.6	65	0	0
675			1.1	195
676			Z.1	515
678	ł	•	4.1	1400

d. right abutment

Elevation (feet)	C	L (teet)	Aug H (feet)	(45)
673.9	2.6	0	U	0
674		1	0.05	~
675		IZ	0.55	15
676		Z5	1.05	70
677		37	1.55	135
678		50	2.05	390
				(

4. Total discharge from dam site - summarized graphically

	111 1-1401					
Elevation (feat)	Q Spill way	Q lefdam crest	Q left abut	Q right dam cheit	() rusht abut	Q TOTAL
670	0	0	0	0	0	0
671	55	0	0	0	0	55
672	150	0	0	0	0	150
673	Z55	0	0	0	$\mathcal{O}$	255
674	370	0	0	5	<	575
675	510	70	4	195	15	790
676	675	475	ZD	515	70	1,755
677	850	1,060	95	920	185	3,110
678	1,040	1,790	240	1,400	380	4,955
			D-6			



#### BEA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS

BOSTON , MASS. ROCHESTER, N.H.

CLIENT_ PROJEC	Army Cont	nd Outlet Dam	<b>јов N</b> o. <u>2</u> Сомрто. Е	74-7911	PAGE	7 of 35 -1/14/80
DETAIL.	Hydrologic	Calcs	CK'D. BY	KMS	DATE	4/16/32
В.	Effect of	surcharge stora	ge on max.	prob. discha	arge	
	l. Perti	nent Data				

- Drainage area = 4.3 Square miles a.
- ь.
- Characteristics of basin Portions of steeply sloping to Test flood = 1/2 PMF croader flot swampy areas
- c.
- Follow Army Corps' procedure d.
- Determine Peak Inflow Q<sub>P1</sub> from Guide Curve 2. <u>STEP 1</u>:
  - the maximum probable discharge was estimated to be 1,500 cfs/59.mi. - this naximum probable flood peak flow rate lies between the rolling and flat curves and was selected to reflect the variability of terrain in the drainage area. · PMF = (1,500 cfs/sqmi)(4.3 sq.mi) = 6,450 cts 1/2 PMF = 3,225 cfs

STEP 2: Determine surcharge height to pass Qp1, STOR, З. and  $Q_{p_2}$ 

a. from Figure 1 determine surcharge height to pass Qp1 = 3,225 cfs

> eler spilway weir crest = 677.1 - 677.1Surcharge height = 7.1 feet

b. determine volume of surcharge STOR, in inches of runoff

- (1) first determine volume of storage in acre-ft in following manner
  - (a) determine surface area of pond corresponding to surcharge elevation from Figure 2 ~ 140 acres
  - (b) determine average surface area setuien survivir. élevation and élévation of sprinsing view crest.



D-9

## SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC.

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

\_\_\_\_ JOB NO. 274-7901 \_\_\_\_ PAGE \_\_\_\_ 9 of 35 CLIENT Army Corps PROJECT Danborn Pond Outlet Dam COMPTO. BY BWP DATE 4/14/80 \_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ TAIL \_\_\_\_Hydrologic Calcs \_\_\_\_\_ CK'D. By \_\_\_\_\_KMS\_\_\_ (C) multiply average surface by sircharge hereit and insert in equation below

$$STOR_{1} = \frac{Volume of storage (as acre-inches)}{drainage area}$$

$$STOR_{1} = \frac{\left(\frac{125 acres + 140 acres}{2}\right)(7.1 \text{ feat})(12"/\text{ft})}{(4.3 \text{ sg.m.})(640 \text{ acres}/\text{sg.m.})}$$

STOR, = 4.10 inches

c. determine  $Q_{P2}$ 

$$Q_{P2} = Q_{P1} \left( 1 - \frac{\text{STOR}_1}{9.5''} \right)$$
  
 $Q_{P2} = \left( 3.225 \text{ cfs} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4.10''}{9.5''} \right)$   
 $Q_{P2} = 1,830 \text{ cfs}$ 

- 4. STEP 3: Determine surcharge height and STOR<sub>2</sub> to pass  $Q_{\rm P2}$  and then  $Q_{\rm P3}$ 
  - a. From Figure 1 determine surcharge height to pass  $Q_{P2} = 1, 830$  cfs

Surcharge clauation  $\approx 676.1$  feet elev. splitting were crest = 670.0 -eet Surcharge height = 6.1 feet

surface area at surcharge clauation = 139aires

D-10

#### SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

LIENT Army Corps	JOB No. 274-7901	_ PAGE _ 10 of 35
PROJECT Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BY BWP	DATE 4/14/90
DETAIL Hydrologic Calcs.	Ск'о. Ву	_ DATE
b. determine STOR STOR <sub>2</sub> = $\frac{12}{12}$	$\frac{5ac + 138ac}{2} (6.1 ft) (4.3 sg.m) (640a)$	(12"/ft) =res/sq.m.)
= 3.5	50 inches	
c. Average STOR and	STOR <sub>2</sub>	

$$STOR_{AVG} = \frac{STOR_1 + STOR_2}{2}$$

$$STOR_{AVG} = \frac{4.10 \text{ m.} + 3.50 \text{ m}}{2}$$

$$STOR_{AVG} = 3.30 \text{ mches}$$

d. determine Q<sub>P3</sub>

$$Q_{P3} = (3,225 \text{ cts})(1 - \frac{3.80''}{9.5''})$$
  
 $Q_{P3} = 1,940 \text{ cfs}$ 

5. STEP 4: Determine surcharge height for  $Q_{P3}$  and STOR<sub>3</sub>

a. from Figure 1 surcharge height for  $Q_{P3} = 1.935$  cm

Surcharge elevation = 676.1 elev. Spillway weir crest = 670.0 ft Surcharge height = 6.1. fect Surface area at Surcharge elevation ~ 139 scrit

b. determine STOR<sub>3</sub>  
STOR<sub>3</sub> = 
$$\frac{\left(\frac{125 \text{ ac} + 138 \text{ ac}}{2} \left(6.1 \text{ 4}\right)\right) \left(12^{\prime\prime} (\text{ fr})\right)}{\left(4.3 \text{ sg.m}\right) \left(640 \text{ acres}/\text{sg.m}\right)}$$

D-11

#### SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners

ſ

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester , N.H.

CLIENT Army Corps JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE 11 of 35 PROJECT Sandorn Pond Outlet Dam COMPTO. BY BWP DATE 4/14/80 DETAIL Hydrologic Calcs CK'D. BY KMS DATE 4/16/30

$$STOR_3 = 3.50$$
 inches

c. determine STOR<sub>AVG</sub>

$$STOR_{AVG} = \frac{3.80 \text{ in } + 3.50 \text{ in}}{2}$$

d. determine Q<sub>P4</sub>

$$Q_{P4} = (3, 225 \text{ cfs})(1 - \frac{3.65''}{9.5''})$$
  
 $Q_{P4} = 1,990 \text{ cfs}$ 

6. STEP 5: Determine surcharge height for  $Q_{p_{\mu}}$  and STOR<sub>4</sub>

a. From Figure 1 surcharge height for  $Q_{P4} = 1,990$  crs

Surcharge elevation = 676.2 ft elevation spilling weir crest = 670.0 ft surcharge height = 6.2 feet surface area at Surcharge elevation = 133 aires

b. determine STOR<sub>4</sub>  
STOR<sub>4</sub> = 
$$\frac{\left(\frac{125 \text{ ac} + 138 \text{ ac}}{2}\right)(6.2 \text{ ft})\left(\frac{12^{\prime\prime}/\text{ft}}{2}\right)}{(4.3 \text{ sq.mi})(640 \text{ acres}/\text{sq.m.})}$$
STOR<sub>4</sub> =  $3.56 \text{ incluss}$ 

c. determine STOR<sub>AVG</sub>

$$\frac{3.65 \text{ in } + 3.56 \text{ in}}{3}$$
= 3.61 inches

D-12

.

SIE A CONSULTANTS INC. BOSTON , MASS. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS ROCHESTER, N.H. JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE 12 of 35 CLIENT Army Corps DATE \_ 4/14/90 PROJECT Sim Our Pord Oudlet Dam COMPTO. BY BUP KMS 4116132 TAIL \_ Hu chrologic Calcs CK'D. BY \_\_\_\_ DATE \_ De STORA and STORAVG agree to within 2% therefore accept routed test flood out flow equal to 1,990 cts and surcharge elevation equal to 676.2 feet 7. In Conclusion a. Routed test flood out flow = 1,990 cts will overtop dam (low point - elevation = 672.54) by 3.7 feet b. spillway capacity -(1) water surface at top of dam - elevation = 672.5 fr (a) flash boards in place  $Q = (3.0)(17 \text{ fr})(672.5'-670.0')^{3/2} \approx 200cts$ b. flash ocards removed  $Q = (2.7)(174)(672.5' - 668.9')^{3/2} \approx 315ct$ (1) water surface at test flood elevation - 676.2A (a) flash boards in place  $Q = (2.7) (17 \text{ ft}) (676.2' - 670.0')^{3/2} \approx 710 \text{ cts}$ (b) flash obards removed  $\varphi = (z.7)(17f+)(676.2'-668.9)^{3/2} \approx 905cts$ c. penstock capacity-(1) computed with critice discharge equation, only 30" operable (2) water surface at top of dam - elev = 6725- $Q = (0L)(\pi)(1.25)^{2} [(2)(32.2)(672.5'-659.75')]^{2} \approx$ 84 6 (3) water surface at test - [ local elevation - 676.2ft  $\varphi = (0.6) (\pi) (1.25)^{2} (2) (32.2) (626.2' - 651.25) (12 \approx 10^{10})^{1/2} \approx 10^{10}$ 36 cfs
SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners BOSTON , MASS. ROCHESTER, N.H.

CLIENT Army Cords	Jos No. 274-7901	PAGE.	13 0+ 35
PROJECT Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam_	COMPTO. BY BWP	DATE	4/14/90
DETAILHydrologic Cales	CK'D. BY	DATE	4/16/30

III. Using "Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs examine impact of dam failure

1. Pertinent Data

- a. Failure occurs when reservoir level at crest of dam elevation = 672.5
- b. Storage at crest elevation estimated to be approximately 1,420 acre-fee+

A. Reach 1

1. STEP 1: Determine reservoir storage at time of failure

from previous calcs. storage = 1,420 acre-feet

2. <u>STEP 2</u>: Determine Peak Failure Outflow Qp1

$$Q_{P1} = (8/27) W_{b} \sqrt{g} Y_{0}^{3/2}$$

where:  $W_b$  = Breach width (use 40% of total length = (0.4) (265 feet) = 106 feet

> Y<sub>0</sub> = Total height from channel bed to pool level at failure

 $Q_{P1} = (8/27)(106 \text{ feet})(32.2)^{1/2}(14 \text{ feet})$  $Q_{P1} = 9,340 \text{ cfs}$ 

The prefailure discharge is negliale compared to the failure discharge the consequently was not considered with their calculations.

D - 14

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. BOSTON , MASS ENGINEERS / PLANNERS ROCHESTER, N.H. CLIENT HEMA (0005 Jos No. 274-7901 14 of 35 PROJECT SACOUTA Pord Outlet Dan COMPTO. BY BUP PAGE\_ 4/ DATE DETAIL \_ Hydrobau Cales \_ CK'D. BY \_\_\_\_KMS\_\_\_ DATE \_ 4/15/20 3. STEP 3: Prepare Stage - discharge Curve for Reach 1 a Pertiment Data (1) Discharge through reach controlled by dam at saw mill (2) discharge calculations over the spillway, dam, and abutments included in Section IV of the Hydrologic Calcs. (3) see Figure 3 for stage-discharge curve A. STEP 4: Estimate Reach Outflow a Datermine Stage for  $Q_{p_1} = 9,340$  cfs from Figure 3 and find volume in reach (1) stage = 9.6 feet (2) Volume in reach = (Stage) (average surface) (area of pond \*) \* see Figure 7 in Section IV of Hydrolog Calcs. for Surface area vs Elevation  $Volume = V_1 = (9.6f+) \left( \frac{0.3 \text{ acres } + 1.2 \text{ acres}}{2} \right)$ V, = 7.2 aue -ft V, < S .. reach length OK D-15

### SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. EQSTON , MASS. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS ROCHESTER, N.H. CLIENT ATME CORDS 15 of 35 JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE PROJECT SLADOR Pond Outlet Dam COMPTO. BY BUP Ск'о. Ву \_\_\_\_ КМЭ TAIL Hadrologic Gles b. Determine Prz(TRIAL) $Q_{PZ(TRIAL)} = (Q_{PI}) (I - \frac{V_i}{5})$ $Q_{P2(TRIAL)} = (9,340 \text{ cts})(1 - \frac{7.2}{1420})$ QPZ(TRIAL) = 9,290 cfs C, Compute Vz Using Opzetrial) From Figure 3 determine stage for Apz(TRIAL) Stage = 9.6 feet $V_z = (9.6 \text{ ft}) \left(\frac{0.3 \text{ acres } + 1.2 \text{ acres}}{2}\right)$ $V_{2} = 7.2$ acre-ft d. Average V, and Vz and compute Opz (1) Varg = $\frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$ $Varg = \frac{7.2ac-ft + 7.2ac-ft}{2}$ Voug = 7.2 aure - ft $(2) Q_{PZ} = Q_{PI} \left( 1 - \frac{Varg}{3} \right)$ $P_{PZ} = (9,340 \text{ cts})(1 - \frac{7.2}{1420})$ Qpz = 9,290 cts

D-16

CLIENT_Army Corps			ROCHESTER, N.H.			
			_ Jos No27	JOB No. 274-7901 PA		
	moorn ton	d Outlet Dam	COMPTO, BY	BWP	DATE _	4/14/90
	D- 1	Cales.	_ CK'D. BY	KINS	DATE _	-+/16/30
D	Reach	6				
	. <u>STEP 3</u> :	Prepare stag	ge-discharge	curve for	Reach 2	
	a. Per	tînent Data				
	(1)	Reach lengt	h = 4,300	feet		
	(.2)	Channel slop	pe = 0.019			
	(3)	Manning n =	0.05	-		
	(4)	Channel shap	pe - trapezo	idal		
	(5 <u>)</u>	Base width	≈ 10 feet			
	b. See	Figure 3 for	stage-dischar	rge curve		
		<b>m</b>				
Z.	<u>STEP 4</u> :	Estimate Rea	ach Outflow			
	a. Dete	unmine stage (	5om 0 - 0	290 - L	•	
	and Dete	find volume	$\frac{\operatorname{or} Q_{P2}}{\operatorname{or} Q_{P2}} = 1$	LIUCE	from Figu	re 3
•	4110	TING VOIdme	in reach			
	(1)	Stage (denth	of flow) -	8.7 fee	4	
		B cacher	. 01 110w/ -			
	(2)	Volume in re	ach = (reach	length) (	cross-sec area of c	ctional) channel)
		X-area =( =	(0.5)(8.2 ft	)(10ft	+ 210	) ++)
		Volume - V	_ (902 f+2)	(4300	t+)	
		vorume - vl	43,560	Of+2/acre		
			- 890 -			
				re-tee	7	
		1	$v_1 < \frac{s}{2}$	each lan-	+h 0V	
			- 2 •• :		Sen OK	
	b. Dete	rmine QP3(TRT	AL)			
		- <b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>	· /	`		
		QP3(TRIAL)	$= Q_{P2} (1 -$	<u> </u>		
			· - \	!		
			,	~ /	Qa n	$\mathbf{X}$
		Q <sub>P</sub> 3(TRIAL)	a = (9, 290)	ctx 1 -	$-\frac{01.0}{420}$	-
		•		- Î-	,	
		Vas(Estal)	∞ D(+10	CTE		

d. Average  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and compute 1.3

C:

i.

•

(1) 
$$Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$$
  
 $Vavg = \frac{89.0 ac-f+}{2}$ 

Vavg = 85.9 and - ++

(2) 
$$Q_{P3} = Q_{Pz} \left(1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}\right)$$
  
 $Q_{P3} = \left(9, 290 \text{ cfs}\right) \left(1 - \frac{85.9}{1420}\right)$   
 $Q_{P3} = \left(9, 290 \text{ cfs}\right) \left(1 - \frac{85.9}{1420}\right)$ 

١

D-18

21 121	NGINEE	RS / PLA	NNER	5	POCHEST	ER, N.H.		
С	LIENT_	Army Co	rps		_ <b>Jos N</b> a2	74-7901	PAGE_	19 04 32
P	ROJECT	Sanbor	Por	LOutlet Dam	_ Сомрто, В	✓ BWP	DATE .	4/14/90
	ETAIL _	Hydrolo	<u>gic C</u>	alcs.	_ Ск'о. Вт _		DATE _	
	C	. Rea	ch.	3				
		I. STE	P3:	Prepare sta	ige-discharge	curve for	Reach 3	
			Bomt	Short Data				
		a.	(1)	Perch long		feet		
				Reach leng	$\frac{1}{2} = 0$	٩		
			(.2)	Mannel SIG				
			(3)	Channel sh	= 0.000	20,1,0		
			(+1 (=1	Race width	~ ZA	L		
			(31	Dase Width	$\sim$ 20 tee			
		ъ.	See	Figure 3 fo	r stage-disc	harge curve	e	
			EP 4 .	Estimate R	each Outflow			
		<i>L.</i> <u>51</u>	<u>.</u>					
		a.	Det	ermine stage	for $0_{\rm pe} = 0$	3,730cfs	from Fig	gure 3
		<u>.</u> .	an	d find volum	e in reach	-,		
	•		<b>u</b>					
			(1)	Stage (dep	th of flow)	= 5.2 +	et	
			~~ /					、
			(2)	Volume in	<b>re</b> ach = (rea	ch length)	(cross-s area of	sectional) f channel)
				X-area	= (0.5) (5	(2 + 1)(2)	) f+ +	390 f+)
				V-di ca	= 1066 ft	2	``	·
				Volumo - N	(1066	$f^{+2}(1,2)$	00 44)	
				vorume = v	1	43,560 ft	2/aure	
					= 29.4	acre-fe	et	
							-	
					V, < S	· march le	ngth OK	
				1	1 2		ingen ok	
		Ъ.	Det	ermine Q <sub>P4(1</sub>	TRIAL)			
-		معد السد		•	1	v.N		
				Q <sub>P4(TRI</sub>	$(AL) = Q_P 3 (I)$	$-\frac{1}{3}$		
					Ň		- 4	<i>a</i> `
•					1-	$( \setminus / )$	_ 29.	4
				QP4(TR	(8,73) = (8,73)	5 cts)(1	142	20)
					-			
				Qpq (Tat	3345 = 8,5	50 cts		
					<b>—</b>			

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners

C

(

-

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT Army Corps	JOB No. 274-7901	PAGE.	<u> 9 c+35</u>
PROJECT Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam	COMPTO BY BUT		4/14/90
DETAIL Hydrologic Cales	CK'D. BY KMS		4/16/30

c. Compute V<sub>2</sub> using Q<sub>P4(TRIAL)</sub>

From Figure 3 determine stage for QP4(TRIAL)

Stage = 5.2 feet X-area = (0.5) (5.2 f+)(20 f+ + 390 f+) = 1066 f+<sup>2</sup> V<sub>2</sub> =  $\frac{(1.066 \ f+^2)(1.200 \ f+)}{43.560 \ f+^2/ane}$ V<sub>2</sub> = 29.4 acre-feet

d. Average  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and compare  $\frac{1}{2}$  4

(1)  $Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$  $Vavg = \frac{29.4 \text{ ic-f+} + 29.4 \text{ ic-f+}}{2}$ 

Vavg = 29.4 acre-ft

(2) 
$$Q_{P4} = Q_{P3} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{avg}}{S} \right)$$
  
 $Q_{P4} = \left( 8,730 \text{ cts} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{29.4}{1420} \right)$ 

$$\varphi_{Pq} = 8,550 cfs$$

1	1				
SIEIA CONSULTANT Engineers / planners	S INC.	BOSTON , N Rochester	1455. 9, N.H.		
Curry Anmy Conns		Jos No 27	4-7901	DAGE	20 of 35
BROJECT Sanborn Powl	Outlet Dam		BWF	DATE	4/14/80
DETAIL Hydrologic Ca	alcs.	CK'D. BY	KMS		1/16/30
D. Reach 4					
(. <u>STEP 3</u> :	Prepare stag	e-discharge	curve for	Reach 4	
a. Perti	inent Data		•		
(1)	Reach length	= 4,100	feet		
(.2.)	Channel slop	e = 0.0049	)		
(.3)	Manning n =	0.05			
(4)	Channel shap	e - trapezo	dal		
(5)	Base width :	= 20 feet			
b. See	Figure 3 for	stage-discha	irge curve		
	_	-			
2. <u>STEP 4</u> :	Estimate Rea	ich Outflow			
a. Dete:	rmine stage f	for $Q_{P4} = 8,$	5 <b>50</b> C <sup>+</sup> S	from Figu	ire 3
• and	find volume	in reach			
(1)	Stage (dept)	a of flow) =	6.8 fee	.+	
(2)	Volume in re	each = (reach	n length)	(cross-se	ctional)
	X-area =	(0.5) (6.8	(20	-+ + 5	510 ft)
	=	1802 ft2		<b>^</b> _`	
	Volume = V <sub>1</sub>	= (1802 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	60 f + 2/am	++) e	
		= 170 air	e-ft		
		$v_1 < \frac{s}{2}$ .	reach l <b>er</b>	ngth OK	
b. Dete	rmine QPS(TR)	(AL)			
	Q <sub>P5(TRIAL</sub>	.) = Q <sub>P</sub> 4(1 -	)		
	Q <sub>P</sub> s(TRIAL	,) <u>-</u> ( 8,550	o c+s) (	$1 - \frac{1}{14}$	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 20\end{array}\right)$
	Qp SLTRIAL	, = 7,530	o cts		
		D-21			

K

ŀ

BOSTON , MASS BOCHESTER, N.H.

CLIENT Army Cords	JOB No. 274-7901	PAGE	Z1 of 35
PROJECT Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BY	DATE	4/14/90
DETAIL Hydrologic Cales	CK'D. BY	DATE .	4/16/30

c. Compute V<sub>2</sub> using Q<sub>P5(TRIAL)</sub>

From Figure 3 determine stage for Qps(TRIAL)

Stage = 6.4 feet X-area = (0.5)(6.4 ft)(20 ft + 480 ft)= 1600 ft<sup>2</sup>  $v_2 = \frac{(1600 \text{ ft}^2)(4100 \text{ ft})}{43,560 \text{ ft}^2/acre}$  $v_2 = 150 \text{ acre} - \text{ft}$ 

d. Average  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and contract 1 5

(1) 
$$Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$$
  
 $Vavg = \frac{170 \text{ ac-ft} + 150 \text{ ac-ft}}{2}$ 

Vavg = 160 acre-feet

(2) 
$$Q_{PS} = Q_{P4} \left( 1 - \frac{Vavg}{S} \right)$$
  
 $Q_{PS} = \left( 8,550 \text{ cfs} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{160}{1420} \right)$ 

LIENT_	Arm	v Corr	s			Jos No.	274-7	901	PAGE	·	22  of  35
ROJECT	Sani	Som ?	ond	Justlet Da	<u>im</u>	COMPTO.	8vB	WP	DATE	: <u>-4</u>	14/90
ETAIL _	Hyd	rologi	<u>c</u> C	alcs		CK'D. BY	·	MS	DATE	4	16/30
E	.R	each	n 5								
	1.	STEP	3:	Prepare	stage	e-dischar	ge cur	ve for	r Reach	5	
		a. H	Pert	inent Dat	a		•				
		(	(1)	Reach le	ngth	=`800	feet	-			
		(	(.2)	Channel	slop	= = 0.0	25				
		(	(3)	Manning	n = (	0.05					
			(4)	Channel	shap	e - trape	201da	Q			
		i	(5)	Base wid	ith a	= 20	feet				
		ь.	See	Figure 3	for	stage-dis	scharge	e curve	e		
	Z.	STEP	4:	Estimate	e Rea	ch Outflo	W				
		a. 3	Dete	rmine sta	age f	or Q <sub>P5</sub> =	7,590	octs	from Fi	igure	3
•			and	find vo	Lume	in reach					
			(1)	Stage (	lepth	of flow	) = 4.	7 fe	et		
			(2)	Volume :	in re	ach = (re	each le	ength)	(cross-	-sect of ch	ional)
				X-are	ea = ( =	(0,5) (4	.7 f+)	(zo f	+ ÷ 3.	50 f	+)
				Volume	= V <sub>1</sub>	<u>(870</u>	$\frac{f_{+2}}{2}$	(800	$\frac{(1)}{(1)}$		
					T		5,560.	ri Javi	۷		
						=  6.0	acre-	<del>+</del> +			
				1		v <sub>1</sub> < <u>s</u>	:.re	ach le	ngth OK		
		Ъ.	Dete	ermine Q <sub>P</sub>	6(TRI	AL)					
			•	Q <sub>P6</sub> (	FRIAL	) = Q <sub>P5</sub>	$\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right)$	$\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$			
				Q <sub>P6</sub> (	FRIAL	) = (7, 4	590 C	.ts) (	$1 - \frac{1}{12}$	6.0	)
				QpGL	TRIAL)	= 7,5	5000	<u>ts</u>			
				• -		-					

• 1

#### SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT Army Cords	JOB No274-7901	PAGE_ 23 of 35
PROJECT Sanson And Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BYBWP	DATE 4/14/30
DETAIL Hydrologic Cales	CK'D. BY KMS	DATE 4/11/32

c. Compute V<sub>2</sub> using Q<sub>P4</sub>(TRIAL)

From Figure 3 determine stage for QPG(TRIAL)

Stage = 4.7 feet X-area = (0.5)(4.7 ft)(20 ft + 350 ft)= 870 ft<sup>2</sup> -  $(870 \text{ ft}^2)(800 \text{ ft})$ .

$$V_2 = \frac{(870 \text{ f} + 2)(800 \text{ f} + 1)}{43,560 \text{ f} + 2/\text{acre}}$$
  
 $V_2 = 16.0 \text{ acre} - \text{f} + 1000 \text{ cre}$ 

d. Average  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and compute  $Q_{PG}$ 

(1) Vavg = 
$$\frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$$

$$V_{avg} = \frac{16.0 \text{ ac-f+} + 16.0 \text{ ac-f+}}{2}$$

Vavg = 16.0 ac-f+

(2) 
$$Q_{P6} = Q_{P5} \left(1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}\right)$$
  
 $Q_{P6} = \left(7,590 \text{ cts}\right) \left(1 - \frac{16.0}{1920}\right)$   
 $Q_{P6} = 7,500 \text{ cts}$ 



BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

LIENT <u>A</u>	rmy (	Corps		JOB NO7	4-7901	PAGE_	24 of 35
	<u>Sun bon</u>	n Pond O	ullet Dam	COMPTO. BY	BWP	DATE _	4/14/90
DETAIL <u>H</u>	ydro	<u>logic C</u>	alcs	CK'D. BY	KMS	DATE _	4/16/32
F.	Re	ach 6					
	S	TEP 3 :	Prepare stag	e-discharge	curve for	Reach 6	
·	• –						
	a	. Pert	inent Data		0		
		(1)	Reach length	= 6,200	teet		
		(2)	Channel slop	e = 0.002	٩		
		(.3)	Manning <b>n</b> =	0.05	. 0		
		(4) (4)	Channel shap	pe - trapezou	Jak		
		(5)	Base width :	$\approx$ 20 feet			
	Ъ	See	Figure 3 for	stage_dicoba	ngo cunuo		
		. 966	TERIS 2 101	stage-discha	inge curve		
- 2	. S	TEP 4:	Estimate Rea	ich Outflow			
	a	. Dete	rmine stage f	For $Q_{p_{1}} = 7$ ,	500 cts	from Figu	ire 3
		and	find volume	in reach			
					— . C .		
		(.1)	Stage (depth	n of flow) =	f. l teet		
		(2)	Volumo in no	and a franch	. los - +	(cross-se	ectional)
		(2)	volume in re	ach = (reach	i length)	area of	channel)
			X-area =	(0.5)(7.1	f+)(zof	+ + 5	30 ++)
			=	1953 ftz		•	
			Volume = V <sub>1</sub>	$= \frac{(1,953+)}{43}$	$\frac{+^2}{540}$ (6, 20	$\frac{100}{100}$ ++ )	
			_			acre	
				= 278 ac	re - ft		
				v s			
				1 2	peach len	igth OK	
	Ь	. Dete	rmine Qparter				
			r <del>+</del> \ 1 K1	лц) ,			
			QPI(TRTAL	$r = Q_{PG} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)$	. <u>.</u> )		
					3 /		<b>,</b>
			-	/		278	- 1
			Q <sub>P</sub> 7(TRIAL	,) = ( 4,500		1420	)

 $Q_{p7}(c) = 6,030 cfs$ D-25

• •

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester , N.H.

CLIENT Army Corps	JOB NO. 274-7901	PAGE_	25 0+35
PROJECT Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BY	DATE .	4/14/80
DETAIL Hydrologic Cales	Ск'о. ВтКМЗ	DATE _	4/15/30

c. Compute V<sub>2</sub> using Q<sub>P7(TRIAL)</sub>

From Figure 3 determine stage for QP4(TRIAL)

Stage = 6.5 feet X-area = (0.5)(6.5 ft)(20 ft + 490 ft) = 1658 ft<sup>2</sup> V<sub>2</sub> =  $\frac{(1658 ft<sup>2</sup>)(6200 ft)}{43,560 ft<sup>2</sup>/acre}$ V<sub>2</sub> = 236 acre-ft

d. Average  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and compute 2 - 7

(1)  $Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$  $V_{avg} = \frac{278 \text{ ac-ft} + 236 \text{ ac-ft}}{2}$ 

Vavg = 257 acre-ft

(2) 
$$Q_{P7} = Q_{P6} \left( 1 - \frac{Vavg}{S} \right)$$
  
 $Q_{P7} = \left( 7,500 \text{ cfs} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{257}{1420} \right)$   
 $Q_{P7} = 6,140 \text{ cfs}$ 

D-26

	Arm	y Corps		Jos No27	4-7901	PAGE	260+35
TOJECT	<u>_Sa</u>	nborn Pon	nd Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BY	BWP	DATE	4/14/80
ETAIL	<u>Hyd</u>	<u>rologic</u>	Calcs.	Ск'о. Вт	KMS	DATE	+/15/87
9	s le	<i>ceach</i>	4				
	۱.	STEP 3:	Prepare stag	e-discharge	curve for	Reach 7	
		a. Per	tinent Data		<b>O</b> .		
		(1)	Reach length	= 5,600	feet		
		(.2)	Channel slop	e = 0.011			
		(.3)	Manning <b>n</b> =	0.05			
		C41	Channel shap	e - trapez	ordal		
		(5)	Base width :	$\approx$ 20 feet	F		
		b. See	Figure 3 for	stage-discha	rge curve	2	
	2.	<u>STEP 4</u> :	Estimate Rea	ch Outflow			
		a. Det an	ermine stage f d find volume	for $Q_{P_{7}} = 6$ , in reach	140 cts	from Figur	ne 3
		(1)	Stage (depth	of flow) =	7.4 [	eet	
		(2)	Volume in re	ach = (reach	length)	(cross-sec area of c	ctional)
			X-area = =	(0.5)(7.2)	4 f+)(z	0f+ +	195 ft)
			Volume = V <sub>l</sub>	= (759 ft 43	(2)(5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5	600  ft	
				= 97.5 an	ne-ft	, ,	
				v _ < <u>s</u>	reach ler	ngth OK	
		b. Det	ermine Q <sub>PB(TRI</sub>	AL)			
				,	·· <b>\</b>		
			Q <sub>PB(TRIAL</sub>	$) = Q_{p_2} [1 -$	)		

 $Q_{PB(TRIAL)} = (6, 140 \text{ cfs}) (1 - \frac{97.5}{1420})$ Qpg ( .... = 5,720 cfs D-27

BOSTON , MASS ROCHESTER, N.H.

CLIENT Army Cords	JOB NO. 114-7901	PAGE	Z7 0+35
PROJECT Sanborn Pond Outlet Dam	COMPTO. BY	DATE	4/14/80
DETAILHydrologic_Calcs	CK'D. BY KMS	DATE	4/16/30

c. Compute V<sub>2</sub> using Q<sub>PB(TRIAL)</sub>

From Figure 3 determine stage for QpB(TRIAL)

Stage = 7.2 feet X-area = (0.5)(7.2 f+)(20 f+ + 180 f+)= 720 f+2  $V_2 = \frac{(720 f+2)(5600 f+)}{43,560 f+1^2/acre}$  $V_2 = 92.5 acre-f+$ 

d. Average  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and compute  $D_2$ 

(1)  $Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$  $V_{avg} = \frac{97.5 \, ac-ft}{2}$ 

Vavg = 95 ame - ft

(2) 
$$Q_{PB} = Q_{P7} \left( 1 - \frac{Vavg}{S} \right)$$
  
 $Q_{P8} = \left( 6, 140 \text{ cfs} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{95}{1420} \right)$ 

$$\varphi_{PB} = 5,730$$
 cfs





6

PAPER

1-17E

ğ

į

•

D-30

. . . .\*



5

2

b

•

~

D-31

-

Strange Destate ( A.D.C.	
PRIERT FILME COPS	_ JOB NO. <u>6+4-1101</u> PAGE PAGE JI 01 33
JETAIL Hydrologic Cales	CK'D BY KMS DATE 4/16/0
Il Stage - Visclarge Callend	lations for Reach 1 - Lower Dam
	) I will be an tollar in de
A. Discharge trom lease	- 1 week we controlled by cham
Sin law Drad	in the Substitute and a substitute of the substi
Jane of Find	
1 Portinent Dat	ta
a spillway -	
(n) flat woo	och deck
(2) = 15 f	Feet long
(3) elevation	of crest ≈ 658
b. dam	
$(n \approx 150 + $	eet long
(Z) Sou mill	L reduces extentive weir lawith by Zites
(3) Cross Sec	ction Shown in Figure 6
B Discharge for lower	dom site
1. Discharge over sp.	Ilway, dam and abutments computed
with broad-	crested weir equation
Q =	CLH
	where Q = discharges cts
	C = discharge coett = 2.6
	L = length of weir, ft.
	M = need over weir, tt.

- - -

۵

ļ

Ì

.

-

.

ł

I

.

•

•

. .

.....

۰.

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT	Hrmy Corps
PROJECT	San orn Pond Outlet Dam
	Hydrologic Coles

JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE 32 of 35 COMPTO. BY BUP DATE 4/14/90 CK'D. BY KMS DATE 4/16/80

2. Discharge	Over	spilleray
--------------	------	-----------

Elevation (feet)	C	L (feet)	it (teet)	(cts)
658	2.6	15	0	0
660			z	110
66Z			4	310
664			6	570
666			8	880
668			10	1,230
670		1 1	12	1,620

3 Discharge over dam

5

•

•

• •

.

Ì

Elevation (feet)	С	L (teet)	H (fact)	Q (c ts)
660	2.6	110	0	0
66Z			z	810
664			4	Z290
666			6	4,200
668			8	6,470
670	•	•	01	9,040

4. Discharge over abut ments

Elevation (teet)	С	Total L (feet)	Aug H. (feet)	
660 662 66 <del>1</del> 666 668	2.6	0 40 65 90 115	0 1 2 3 4	0 100 480 1,220 2,390
670	4	140 D-33	5	4,070

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT Army Corps	Ja
PROJECT San Dorn Pind Outlet Dam	Co
DETAIL Hydrologic Cilcs	C+

JOB NO. <u>274-7901</u> PAGE <u>33 of 35</u> Compto. By <u>BWP</u> Date <u>4114180</u> Ck'O. By <u>KMS</u> Date <u>4116/30</u>

5 Total Discharge from "Lower Dam" site

r

ł

ļ

Elevation (feet)	Q Spilling	0 aam	0 abutments	Q TOTAL
658	0	0	0	0
660	110	0	0	110
662	310	810	100	1,220
664	570	2,290	490	3, 340
666	380	4,200	1,220	6,300
668	1,230	6,470	2,390	19090
670	1,620	9,040	4,070	14,730

Stage-Disclarge data summarized graphically in Figure 3, Section III of the Hydrologic Calculations



D-35

341-10 DIETZGEN GRAPH PAPER 10 x 10 per Inch

ġ

. . .

DIETZGEN CORPORATION MADE IN U 8.4.



D-36

.

. . . .

341ġ

1

Į

. . . .

. . . . . . .

÷

•

# END

## FILMED

8-85

DTIC