AD-A156 453	NAT	I ONAL	PROGRI	AM FOR ILLS D	INSPE	CTION CORPS	OF NO	N-FEDE Nginee	RAL DA	RMS Tham	1/	1	Y
UNCLASSIFIED	ппі	NEW EN	IULINID	014	NEK (3				F/G 1	13/13	NL		
	ы									Х сл			
						N N		and a	k	•			
													5
													é.



C

Ì

. .

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN WILTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Ň

Į.

AD-A156 453

HILLSBOROUGH MILLS DAM

N H 00258 NHWRB 254.01

# PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

**APRIL 1979** 

DTIC FILE COPY

A THE LOS Approved to public a heavy Distribution Unhambed

REPORT DOCUMENTATIO	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
NH 00258			
TITLE (and Sublille)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
Hillsborough Mills Dam		INSPECTION REPORT	
ATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION O	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
AUTHOR()		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)	
J.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRE	\$5	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINE	EERS	April 1979	
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	DEA -	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(11 dillo	204 rent from Centroliting Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		UNCLASSIFIED	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST	RIBUTION UNLIMITED	SCHEDULE	
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onlog DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onlog Cover program reads: Phase I Inspe however, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date	RIBUTION UNLIMITED ad in Block 20. 11 different free ection Report, Nation program is: Nation for date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of	
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercise DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercise Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection however, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	RIBUTION UNLIMITED and in Block 20. If different free ection Report, Nation for date of report and identify by block member)	onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of	
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercise DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercise of the Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection however, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Wilton, New Hampshire	RIBUTION UNLIMITED and in Block 20. If different free ection Report, Nation for date of report and identify by block number)	onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of	
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTR DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the exercised enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspe- nowever, the official title of the ion-Federal Dams; use cover date KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Wilton, New Hampshire Souhegan River	RIBUTION UNLIMITED od in Block 20, 11 dillorent fre ection Report, Nati e program is: Natic for date of report and identify by block number)	onal Dam Inspection Program; anal Program for Inspection of	
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection however, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Wilton, New Hampshire Souhegan River ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary	RIBUTION UNLIMITED ed in Block 20, 11 different fre ection Report, Nation for date of report and identify by block number; end identify by block number;	onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of	

• 1

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 IS OBSOLETE

•

.

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



# HILLSBOROUGH MILLS DAM NH 00258

Ť,

. .

~'

Ē

2

•

# MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN WILTON, NEW HAMPSHIEF

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I REPORT

Identification No.:NH 00258NHWRB No.:254.01Name of Dam:HILLSBOROUGH MILLS DAMTown:WiltonCounty and State:Hillsborough County, New HampshireRiver:Souhegan RiverDate of Inspection:November 8, 1978

.

E.

# BRIEF ASSESSMENT

The Hillsborough Mills Dam is a concrete and stone masonry gravity dam with an overall length of about 200 feet. The dam is 23 feet high. The spillway is 128 feet long and 14 feet high. The gate leading to the canal is operable but is not used since no power is generated at the downstream mill. The sluice gate in the left end of the spillway is operable, but there is no established operating procedure and is commonly silted or otherwise blocked.

The dam, which lies on the Souhegan River in Wilton, N.H. was once used to supply power to downstream mills. Power was generated as recently as 1977. The drainage area is 97 square miles of forested and hilly terrain. The dam's maximum impoundment of 70 acre-feet and height of 23 feet place the dam in the SMALL size category. In the event of a dam failure, the resulting property damage is not considered significant, and no loss of life would be expected. A LOW hazard potential classification is therefore warranted.

Based on the size and hazard potential classifications, and in accordance with the Corps' of Engineers guidelines the Test Flood would be between the 50 and 100-year floods. Since the hazard potential is on the high side of the LOW category, the Test Flood flow at the dam is taken as the 100-year flood.

The selected TF inflow of 7750 cfs is also taken as the flow at the dam because of the small storage at the dam. The peak test discharge with no flashboards in place would result in a stage 6.8 feet above the spillway crest or 2.2 feet below the top of the dam. However, it would create 2.8 feet depth of flow around the gate house at the left abutment.

Hillsborough Mills Dam is in POOR condition at the present time. It is recommended that a registered professional engineer be retained by the owner to perform additional studies. These studies should include the investigation of the possible settlement of the spillway, investigation of the undermining of the spillway toe, investigation of raising the low portion of the dam at the left bank, investigation of the cause of the destruction of the concrete apron, preparation of stability calculations for the dam, and investigation of settlement and seepage through the left downstream training wall. Remedial measures recommended as a result of these studies should be implemented.

The remaining flashboards on the dam should be removed immediately and should not be replaced until all remedial measures have been completed. Recommended remedial measures to be performed within one year include repair of all vertical and horizontal construction joints in the spillway, repair all spalled and eroded concrete on the various structures, and institution of a program of annual technical inspections.

The recommendations and improvements outlined herein should be implemented within one year of receipt of this report by the owner.



Г

6

William S. Zoino N.H. Registration 3226



Michalas a. Compagne, J

Nicholas A. Campagna, Jr. California Registration 21006

# PREFACE

.

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action. while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the Test Flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The Test Flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

iv

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

.

5

÷

-

•

-≣. ∳

	Page
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	
REVIEW BOARD SIGNATURE SHEET	
PREFACE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
OVERVIEW PHOTOS	vii
LOCATION MAP	viii
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	
<pre>1.1 General 1.2 Description of Project 1.3 Pertinent Data</pre>	1-1 1-2 1-5
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	
<ul> <li>2.1 Design Records</li> <li>2.2 Construction Records</li> <li>2.3 Operational Records</li> <li>2.4 Evaluation of Data</li> </ul>	2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1
SECTION 3 - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS	
3.1 Findings 3.2 Evaluation	3-1 3-2
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1 Procedures 4.2 Maintenance of Dam 4.3 Maintenance of Operating	4-1 4-1
Facilities 4.4 Description of Warning	4-1
4.5 Evaluation	4-1

•••

v

Table of Contents - Cont. Page SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGY 5-1 5.1 Evaluation of Features SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY 6.1 Evaluation of Structural 6-1 Stability ٦. SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES 7 - 17.1 Dam Assessment 7.2 Recommendations7.3 Remedial Measures 7-1 7 - 27 - 27.4 Alternatives APPENDICES APPENDIX A - VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST A-1 APPENDIN B - FIGURES AND PERTINENT RECORDS B-1 APPENDIX C - SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS C-1 APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D = 1APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS E-1

١...

D

£...

. :-



i.

Ľ

•

٢.

• .

.

----

.

•

ŧ

Overview from right abutment



Overview from right side of downstream channel showing spillway and gatehouse

vii



#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### HILLSBOROUGH MILLS DAM

#### SECTION 1

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General

ζ. ί

#### (a) Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Goldberg, Zoino, Dunnicliff & Associates. Inc. (GZD) has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of New Hampshire. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to GZD under a letter of November 28, 1978 from Colonel Max B. Scheider, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-79-C-0013 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

#### (b) Purpose

(1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests.

(2) Encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-federal dams.

(3) Update, verify, and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

#### (c) Scope

The program provides for the inspection of nonfederal dams in the high hazard potential category based upon location of the dams and those dams in the significant hazard potential category believed to represent an immediate danger based on condition of the dam.

# 1.2 Description of Project

#### (a) Location

Hillsborough Mills Dam lies on the Souhegan River in Wilton, N.H. The dam is located approximately 0.7 miles downstream from the confluence of the Souhegan River and Stony Brook in Wilton and is about 100 feet north of N.H. Route 101 at this location. The portion of the USGS Milford, N.H. quadrangle presented previously shows this locus. Figure 1 of Appendix B presents a detail of the site developed from the inspection visit and the map.

#### (b) Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The dam is a concrete gravity dam with some stone masonry sections. The overall length of the dam is about 200 feet and the concrete ogee spillway is about 128 feet long. The dam also has training walls on the right and left sides, an end wall on the right side, a cutoff wall on the right side, and a gate house with a canal on the left side. There is one operable sluice gate and one sealed sluiceway in the spillway.

# (1) Right Abutment Structure

This structure consists of a cyclopean concrete upstream training wall, a concrete cut-off wall extending into the right bank, and a gunit( faced stone masonry downstream training wall. The training walls are approximately normal to the spillway axis, and the stone masonry wall has been capped with cyclopean concrete.

# (2) Spillway

Ð

The concrete ogee spillway is about 128 feet long and 12 feet high. Two waste gates are in the spillway as is a pressure relief drain. Available plans indicate that a timber sheet pile cut-off wall was constructed at the heel of the structure. The remains of a concrete apron, which was constructed as an extension to the downstream toe of the structure, are located in the downstream channel.

An operable horizontal sliding steel waste gate approximately 4 feet wide and 6 feet high is located approximately 5 feet from the left abutment. Operation of

this gate is with a 3 foot, 8 inch diameter hand wheel located within the adjacent gate house.

An ungated sluice opening approximately 3 feet square is located approximately 3 feet from the right end of the spillway. This opening has been sealed.

The pressure relief drain is located approximately 40 feet from the left end of the spillway.

### (3) Left Spillway End Structure

T

This structure (Photo 2) is approximately 5.5 feet wide and 10 feet long and is constructed of squared stone masonry. The stone masonry has been faced with gunite and has a cyclopean concrete cap that is about 5 feet high. The structure extends approximately 6 feet upstream from the spillway crest. The top of the wall slopes downward from the spillway axis at approximately a 2 horizontal to 1 vertical slope. The downstream training wall ties into the spillway end wall and extends downstream for about 40 feet. Approximately a 25 foot section of wall nearest the spillway is faced with gunite. The remaining portion of the wall is constructed of random dry stone masonry.

#### (4) Left Upstream Training Wall

This wall is about 25 feet long and is located at the upstream end of the gate house. The downstream end of this wall is also the foundation for the gate house. The wall skews upstream into the left bank.

# (5) Gate House

This is a wood framed structure that is located on the left bank. The structure is about 42 feet long and 28 feet wide. The roof is supported by a timber truss upstream, and the walls are metal clad. An opening, approximately 27 feet long, is located on the right side of the building. Vertical steel trash racks are installed over the entire length of the opening from at least 4 feet below spillway crest level to the building sill.

The structure houses an electrically operated sluice gate. The timber gate is 8 feet wide, but its height could not be determined. The outlet through the building is a reinforced concrete elliptical conduit 9' x 4" wide and 7' 5" high. The conduit

connects to a dry stone masonry walled flume.

# (c) Size Classification

The dam's maximum impoundment of 70 acre-feet and height of 23 feet place the dam in the SMALL size category as defined by the "Recommended Guidelines."

# (d) Hazard Potential Classification

The hazard potential classification for this dam is LOW. This is because that the dam failure outflow (in the event a failure should occur) will not affect downstream structures unless the river is already at high flood stages. Under these conditions, a dam failure would add an insignificant increment to the flood level.

#### (e) Ownership

F

The dam is owned by Hillsborough Mills Company of Wilton, N.H. Mrs. Mary Abbot is an officer of the firm and can be reached by telephone at 603-654-6659. The listed telephone number for Hillsborough Mills is 603-654-2951.

#### (f) Operator

At present no operation is performed at the dam. Mrs. Abbot is presently overseeing the dam and its operation.

#### (g) Purpose of Dam

The dam was originally constructed to provide power to a mill located downstream from the dam. The water was diverted at the gate house into a canal leading to the mill. No power is generated at the site, but the gate at the gate house is still operable.

#### (h) Design and Construction History

According to available records the dam was built in 1912 to supply power to the downstream mill. Appurently, the concrete apron, which is now destroyed, was added to at a later date but when this work was done. is not known. The last power generation was in 1977.

#### (i) Normal Operating Procedure

The dam is not operated.

# 1.3 Pertinent Data

()

(a) Drainage Area

The drainage area for Hillsborough Mills Dam is 97 square miles and is predominantly forested, hilly terrain with narrow drainage channels. However, there are numerous ponds, reservoirs, and wetland areas which tend to detain run-off and thus reduce peak flows. In particular, the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) has constructed 13 flood control dams in this watershed which are designed to reduce flood peaks in the Souhegan River. These dams control 50.6 square miles of drainage area.

#### (b) Discharge at Damsite

(1) The two outlet works at the site are the gate which controls the flow into the downstream canal leading to the Hillsborough Mill and the sluiceway in the spillway which is operated by a sliding horizontal gate. The sluiceway outlet is about 4 feet wide by 6 feet high. The ungated sluiceway is approximately 3 feet square and is sealed.

(2) The maximum known flood at the site occurrent in 1938 when the owners filled out a questionnaire stating that the water level was approximately 8.5feet above the spillway crest. This corresponds to a flow of about 11,000 cfs.

(3) The spillway capacity with no flashboards and water level to the top of dam elevation 330 is 11,400 cfs.

(4) The spillway capacity with no flashboards and water at test flood elevation 327.9 is 7600 cfs.

(5) Spillway capacity with flashboard at normal pond elevation - Not applicable

(6) The spillway capacity with flashboards to Elevation 325 and water at test flood elevation 327.9 is 2200 cfs.

(7) The total spillway capacity at test flood condition is the same as (4) above (7.600 cfs).

(8) The total project discharge at test flood elevation 327.9 is 7750 cfs.

- (c) <u>Elevation</u> (ft. above MSL)
  - (1) Streambed at centerline of dam: 307 +
  - (2) Maximum tailwater: Unknown
  - (3) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel: Not applicable
  - (4) Recreation pool: Not applicable
  - (5) Full flood control pool: Not applicable
  - (6) Spillway crest (gated): 325 (top of flashboards)
  - (7) Design surcharge (original design) Unknown
  - (8) Top Dam: 330 (top of RR embankment at left side)
     325 (lowest ground at left side)
  - (9) Test flood design surcharge: 327.9

#### (d) Reservoir

- (1) Length of maximum pool: 2000 ft. +
- (2) Length of normal pool: 1500 feet ±
- (3) Length of flood control pool: Not applicable
- (e) <u>Storage</u> (acre-feet)
  - (1) Normal pool: 30 +
  - (2) Flood control pool: Not applicable
  - (3) Spillway crest pool: 30 +
  - (4) Top of dam: 70 +
  - (5) Test flood pool: 60 +

(f) <u>Reservoir Surface</u> (acres)

- (1) Recreation pool: Not applicable
- (2) Flood-control pool: Not applicable
  - 1-6

- (3) Spillway crest:  $5 \pm$
- (4) Test flood pool:  $7 \pm$
- (5) Top dam: 7 <u>+</u>

(g) <u>Dar</u>

G

<u>{</u>-.

X

ţ.

Ì

1.

P

-

(

- (1) Type: Concrete gravity and stone masonry
- (2) Length: 200 ft.
- (3) Height: 23 ft.
- (4) Top width: 2 ft. at spillway
- (5) Side slopes: Not applicable
- (6) Zoning: Not applicable
- (7) Impervious Core: Not applicable
- (8) Cutoff: Unknown
- (9) Grout curtain: Unknown
- (h) Diversion and Regulating Tunnel
  Not applicable
- (i) <u>Spillway</u>
  - (1) Type: Concrete gravity
  - (2) Length of weir: 128 feet
  - (3) Crest elevation: 321.0
  - (4) Gates (see regulating outlets)
  - (5) U/S Channel: Width of river
  - (6) D/S Channel: Width of river
  - (7) General:
- (j) <u>Regulating Outlets</u>

The operable regulating outlets consist of a 4 foot wide, 6 foot high sluiceway outlet in the left side of the spillway and an 8 foot wide gate which discharges through an elliptical conduit 9 feet, 4 inches wide and 7 feet, 5 inches high into a diversion canal that leads to Hillsborough Mill.

E

5

Ø

1.

The invert elevations are 312.6 for the sluiceway and 313.2 for the elliptical conduit. The sluiceway is controlled by a 3 foot, 8 inch diameter hand wheel which operates a horizontal sliding steel waste gate. The hand wheel is located in the adjacent gatehouse. The 8 foot wide gate is controlled by an electrically driven multiple gearing system which activates two rack gears.

1 - 8

# SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

# 2.1 Design Records

1

C

Ð

•

The design of the dam is quite simple and incorporates no unusual features. Several design drawings dated in 1912 are available for the dam and are included in Appendix B. None of the original design calculations are available.

#### 2.2 Construction Records

No construction records are available for this dar.

# 2.3 Operational Records

The only operational record of value is that the waterlevel at the dam was about 8 feet above the spillway crest during the 1938 flood.

# 2.4 Evaluation

#### (a) Availability

The absence of detailed design drawings and calculations is a significant shortcoming. An overall unsatisfactory assessment for availability is therefore warrante.

# (b) <u>Adequary</u>

The lack of in-depth engineering data does not permit a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of the dam cannot be assessed from the standpoint of review-ing design and construction data. This assessment is based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance, and sound engineering judgment.

#### (c) <u>Validity</u>

Since the observations of the inspection team generally confirm the information contained in the files of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board, a satisfactory evaluation for validity is indicated.

#### SECTION 3 - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

#### 3.1 Lindings

# (a) <u>General</u>

The Hillsborough Mills Dam is in POOR condition at the present time.

- (b) <u>Dam</u>
  - (

#### (1) Right Abutment Structure (Photo 8)

The upstream training wall is in fair condition. Minor surface erosion is visible and is attributed to ice damage. The gunite facing on the downstream training wall is cracked at stone joints. Efflorescence was observed at these cracks. The cyclopean concrete cap on the downstream training wall is spalled and has traces of efflorescence. The spalling is attributed to moisture intrusion which has been subjected to alternating freeze and thaw cycles.

#### (2) Spillway (Photos 4 through 8)

The spillway was constructed in three sections of approximately equal length. The right third of the structure (Photo 8) appears to have settled. All vertical construction joints are eroded to depths of 2 inches and widths of 2 inches. This erosion is attributed to cavitation and ice damage. The pressure relief drain (Photo 7) is located within the toe of the spillway adjacent to the vertical joint which is closest to the left end of the spill-The concrete at this location is eroded for a wav. length of 4 feet, a width of 12 inches, and a depth of 2 feet. The downstream face of the spillway. including the toe cut-off, is eroded in several places. Two horizontal construction joints (Photo 6), which extend over the entire length of the spillway, have opened. In some places these joints have eroded to widths of 12 inches and depths of 6 inches. The underside of the toe cut-off wall for the right and middle thirds of the spillway (Photo 6), has eroded to within 6 inches of its top surface. This erosion is attributed to scour and ice damage. The toe of the spillway at these locations is undermined. The left spillway section does not appear to be undermined.

After spillway construction a concrete apron was placed over rubble stone at the same elevation as the toe of the spillway. This apron has been destroyed (Photo 2).

Two rows of flashboard stanchion sockets are located over the entire length of the spillway crest (Photo 2). These sockets are 26 inches deep. Solid flashboard stanchions, which are 1.5 inches in diameter and extend 3 feet above crest elevation, are in place over the entire length of the structure. Most of the stanchions are bent from ice damage. Flashboards are located over approximately 50 percent of the spillway crest. The flashboards are in poor condition.

Đ

(D)

The horizontal sluice gate adjacent to the left end of the spillway is operated by a hand wheel in the gate house. The operating mechanism for this gate is in good condition, and representatives of the owner indicated that this gate is operable. Seepage at the rate of 1 to 2 gpm was flowing through the seated end of the gate at the time of inspection (Photos 4 and 5).

The ungated sluice opening located on the right end of the spillway (Figure B-3) is sealed with lumber planking set on the upstream face of the spillway. Available drawings indicate that a manually operated timber sluice gate was once in place at this location. There is no evidence of this past construction. This opening is used to lower the impoundment pool if the horizontal sliding gate is jammed or clogged with debris. The planking is either removed manually or with a small charge of dynamite. The sluice invert is about 6 feet below crest level.

#### (3) Left Spillway End Structure

The top surface of the cyclopean concrete cay has spalled over 20 percent of its surface area to a depth of up to 2 inches (Photo 2). This spalling is attributed to moisture intrusion which has been subjected to alternating freeze and thaw cycles. The gunited portions of the structure and downstream training wall are in good condition with no evidence of displaced stones, bulges, or other signs of distress. The dry stone masonry wall extension is in poor condition with displaced stones, settlement, and bulges. Seepage at the rate of 10 to 20 gpc

is flowing through the joints of the dry stone masonry wall (Photo 3). The source of the seepage appears to be the adjacent millrace flume.

#### (4) Left Upstream Training Wall (Overview Photo)

The upstream face of this wall is spalled over 20 percent of its vertical face. Most of the spalling is concentrated at the spillway crest elecation and is attributed to ice damage. There are numerous random cracks on this wall.

The downstream end of the wall is eroded at the normal water level. This erosion is 1.5 feet high, 12 inches wide, and up to 6 inches deep. This erosion is attributed to ice damage.

#### (5) Gate House (Overview Photo)

The gate house is in fair condition. There is surface erosion of the interior face of the concrete foundation. This erosion is attributed to ice damage. The steel trash racks are rusted.

The sluice gate mechanical drive consists of a multiple gearing system which actuates two rack gears. The electrically driven mechanical drive system is well maintained. The gate was operated using this system. The owner's representative indicated that manual operation of the gate was extremely difficult.

#### 3.2 Evaluation

•

The Hillsborough Mills Dam is in POOR condition at the present time. The spillway is severely deteriorated and in need of repair. The remaining components of the dam are in fair condition with varying degrees of concrete deterioration.

#### SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

# 4.1 Procedures

At present there is no set procedure for operating the dam. The gate leading to the canal is operable as is the horizontal sliding sluice gate. In the past the sealed sluiceway at the right of the spillway has been opened by removing planking either manually or with a small charge of dynamite. The practice of dynamiting should be discontinued.

# 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

There is no scheduled maintenance program for the dam. The deteriorated condition of the concrete is evidence of the lack of maintenance. When the horizontally sliding sluice gate becomes jammed the right sluiceway is opened to lower the pool so the left sluice gate can be cleared.

# 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The operating facilities have been maintained in operating condition although there is no set prescribed maintenance procedure.

# 4.4 Description of Warning Syster.

There is no formal warning system in effect for this dar.

# 4.5 Evaluation

۰.

•

The dam's present POOR condition is largely a result of the lack of maintenance performed at the dam. The spillway is deteriorated, undermined, and portions appear to have settled. The protective concrete apron is destroyed. The operating mechanisms are maintained in operating condition. The present maintenance program is not adequate for continued long-term use of the dam.

#### SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGY

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

# (a) <u>General</u>

The Hillsborough Mills Dam is a concrete gravity structure on the Souhegan River in Wilton, N.H. It is a run-of-the-river dam. It was built in 1912 and provided power to a downstream mill.

The drainage area is 97 square miles of predominantly forested, hilly terrain with steep slopes and narrow channels. There are numerous ponds, reservoirs, and wetland areas to detain run-off and thereby reduce peak flows.

#### (b) Design Data

Data sources include prior inventory and inspection reports and a Flood Insurance Study (FIS) performed by Anderson-Nichols Company (ANCO). The New Hampshire Water Control Commission's "Data on Dams in New Hampshire" (September 26, 1939) the Public Service Commission of New Hampshire's "Dam Record" (August 31, 1936); and the New Hampshire Water Resources Board's "Inventory of Dams and Water Power Developments" (August 28, 1936) and "Survey of Existing New Hampshire Dams" provide much of the basic data for the dam. Inspection reports dated June 6, 1940; July 11, 1951; and July 24, 1975 are also available.

ANCO provided copies of field data, computations, and drawings developed for an FIS which included the Souhegan River at the dam. Included were cross-section data and 10, 50, 100 and 500-year peak discharges at the dam. A topographic map and water surface pr files of the Souhegan River as it passes through Wilton and a flood boundary map of the river downstrear of the dan were also included.

#### (c) Experience Data

A Water Control Commission questionnaire was corpleted by the dam's owners concerning the peak flood level experienced during September 21 through 24, 1938. The reported peak level was 8.5 feet above the spillway crest.

#### (d) Visual Observations

At the left abutment a concrete training wall rises 9.6 feet above the spillway crest. To the left of the gatehouse, however, the ground surface is only 4 feet above the spillway crest level. From this point the ground surface rises to the left at a moderate slope until it reaches a height of 9 feet above the spillway crest at the top of a small railroad embankment. Beyond the railroad embankment the ground surface drops off rapidly to a depression.

Downstream of the dam the Souhegan River has a moderate slope and is confined by steep, high banks. The stream bed is strewn with cobbles and boulders and is approximately 40 feet from toe-to-toe of the confining banks. Approximately 1.3 miles downstream the banks begin to recede and the channel becomes less confined. The transition continues until a point about 2 miles downstream from the dam, there is an extensive flood plain on each side of the river.

The Souhegan River is crossed by three bridges within 1.4 miles downstream of Hillsborough Mills Dam. The first bridge is a railroad bridge with two 18 foot high by 30 foot wide openings. A highway bridge near the Hillsborough Mills has two 40 foot wide arches with a maximum height of about 22 feet. Further downstream there is another highway bridge with a single 80 foot span approximately 18 feet above the stream bed. Other structures in this area are sufficiently high to be out of danger of flooding. About 1.5 miles downstream from the dam there are 5 or 6 buildings located 11 or 12 feet above the stream bed.

#### (+) Test Flood Analysis

D

The hydrologic conditions of interest in this Phase I investigation are those required to assess the dam's correspondential and its ability to safely allow on appropriately large flood to pass. This requires which the discharge and storage characteristics of the 'return to evaluate the impact of an appropriately superflect Flood. None of the original hydraulic and records are available for use in this

Condelines for establishing a recommended Test Lie t based on the size and hazard classifications of a declare specified in the "Recommended Guidelines" of the torps of Engineers. The impoundment of 70 acretest and height of 23 feet place the dam in the SMALL Size category. The hazard potential for the dam is in the LOW category. As shown in Table 3 of the Corps' of Engineers "Recommended Guidelines," the appropriate Test Flood for a dam classified as SMALL in size with LOW hazard potential would be between the 50 and 100 year floods. Where a range of values for the Test Flood is indicated, the magnitude should be related to the hazard potential. Because the dam is located in a developed area, the hazard potential is considered on the high side of the LOW category. The Test Flood flow is therefore based on the 100-year flood.

The previous ANCO FIS results provide estimated values for the 10, 50, 100 and 500-year discharges at the dam. These were computed by the SCS using the convex routing method and considering the storage effects of flood control dams built in this watershed by SCS. The computed 100-year flow rate is 7550 cfs. The surcharge storage volume of this dam is too small to have a significant effect on the peak rate of discharge. For this reason a stage-storage relation has not been calculated for the Hillsborough Mills Dam.

A stage-discharge curve is developed by defining discharge as the sum of flow over the spillway and over the side slope to the left of the spillway. The calculations determining this curve are documented in Appendix D.

The peak discharge of 7550 cfs with no flashboards in place would result in a stage 6.8 feet above the spillway crest with a flow depth of about 2.8 feet around the gate house at the left abutment. If the 4 feet high flashboards are in place across the entire length of the spillway, and assuming that these do not fail under flood conditions, the Test Flood would reach a stage more than 9 feet above the spillway crest. This would overtop the railroad embankment at the left bank. The extent and depth of overtopping is unknown.

#### (f) Dam Failure Analysis

The peak outflow that would result from a dam failure is estimated using the procedure suggested in the Corps' of Engineers New England Division April 1978 "Rule of Thumb Guidelines for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs." Failure is assumed to occur at the time the railroad embankment to the left of the

dam is just overtopped. This level was chosen, rather than the low area beside the gate house which is five feet lower, because historical flood stages have been as high as 8.5 feet above the spillway crest or 4.5 feet above the low spot without evident damage to the dam. Also past inventory reports and design data indicate freeboard of 8 to 9.5 feet above spillway crest. Based on the rating curve, the discharge at the dam would be 12,100 cfs with no flashboards in place for this elevation, which is 9.0 feet above the spillway crest and 9.1 feet above the tailwater at this discharge. Assuming a 51 foot gap is opened in the dam, the peak failure outflow through the gap and over the remainder of the dam would be 14.400 cfs.

This dam failure outflow should not be a hazard to the railroad bridge located one-half mile downstream or to either of the two highway bridges within a mile further downstream. All three have openings higher than the estimated flow depth of 16.8 feet, and none constrict the channel significantly.

Following the "Rule of Thumb Guidelines" for storage routing, it is estimated that, at the end of the first 1.5 mile reach downstream of the dam, the flood peak would be attenuated to 13,500 cfs. With an estimated depth of just over 11 feet, this flow should not cause serious flood damage to the buildings in this vicinity, although some minor flooding is a possibility. More significantly, the component of flow caused by a dam failure is only 1400 cfs, which represents incremental flood depths of about 0.5 feet above the level prior to dam failure. Beyond these buildings, the diminished dam failure flood wave will be essentially attenuated in the extensive flood plain.

# SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

# 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

#### (a) Visual Observations

# (1) <u>General</u>

D

The field investigations revealed the possibility that the right end of the spillway may have settled. The cause of the destruction of the downstream concrete apron warrants further investigation. After these investigations, structural stability calculations should be prepared.

#### (2) Right Abutment Structure

This structure is in fair condition with some erosion and spalling of concrete. The gunite facing on the downstream training wall is cracked at stone joints.

### (3) Spillway

The right third of the spillway has apparently experienced some settlement. All the vertical construction joints in the spillway are eroded. Some erosion has taken place in the downstream face and toe of the spillway. Two horizontal construction joints have opened. Undermining of the spillway toe has occurred under the right two-thirds of the structure. The concrete apron downstream of the toe has been destroyed.

#### (4) Left Spillway End Structure

The cyclopean concrete cap is spalled over 20 percent of its surface area. The gunited portions of the end wall and downstream training walls are in good condition. The dry stone masonry wall extension is in poor condition.

#### (5) Left Upstream Training Wall

The upstream face of the wall is spalled over 20 percent of its vertical face. The downstream end of the wall is eroded at the normal water level.

# (6) Gate House

The gate house is in fair condition. There is surface erosion of the interior face of the concrete foundation.

# (b) Design and Construction Data

The plans for this dam would be of some assistance in performing a stability calculation. Most of the dimensions would have to be checked in the field. No calculations of value to a stability analysis are available.

#### (c) Operating Records

A questionnaire filled out by the owners in 1905 indicated that the 1938 flood caused a stage at the dam of 8.5 feet above the spillway crest. It is not clear that the dam is in the same condition now as it was at that time. It is also not clear whether the flashboards were in place then.

#### (d) Post Construction Changes

The primary post construction change was the addition of the concrete apron downstream from the spillway. It is not known when this apron was built or when it was destroyed.

#### (e) Seismic Stability

**.**``

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and, in accordance with recommended Phase 1 guidelines, does not warrant seismic analysis.

#### SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS,

# AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

# 7.1 Dam Assessment

# (a) <u>Condition</u>

The Hillsborough Mills Dam is in POOR condition at the present time.

#### (b) Adequacy of Information

The lack of in-depth engineering data does not permit a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam cannot be assessed from the standpoint of revieting design and construction data. This assessment is based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance, and sound engineering judgment.

#### (c) Urgency

The recommendations and improvements contained herein should be implemented by the owner within one year of receipt of this report.

#### (d) Need for Additional Investigations

Additional investigations should be performed by the owner as outlined in Paragraph 7.2 below.

# 7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the services of a registered professional engineer be retained to perform the following:

- a) Investigate the possible settlement of the spillway. Borings to determine soil conditions and possible seepage conditions should be part of this study.
- b) Investigate the undermining of the spillway toe.
- c) Investigate the cause of the destruction of the concrete apron.
- d) Prepare stability calculations for the dam.
- e) Investigate settlement and seepage through the left downstream training wall.
- f) Raise the low portion of the dam at the left side.

# 7.3 Remedial Measures

I.

Ł

1-

[

;.. ;.

It is recommended that the following remedial measures be performed:

- a) Repair all vertical and horizontal construction joints in the spillway.
- b) Repair all spalled and eroded concrete on the various structures of the dam. These include the spillway, right and left abutment structures, the gate house, and the left upstream training wall.
- c) Institute a program of annual technical inspections.
- d) Discontinue the procedure of dynamiting open the sluice opening near the right end of the spillway.
- •) Immediately remove existing flashboards until remedial measures have been completed.

### 7.4 Alternatives

If it is decided to maintain the dam in continued use. there are no meaningful alternatives to these recommendations and remedial measures.

 $\langle \rangle$ 



8

.

1

•

•

:

.....

ł



# INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION

Date: November 8, 1978

.

•

5

NH 00258 HILLSBOROUGH MILLS DAM Wilton, New Hampshire Souhegan River NHWRB No. 254.01

Weather: Overcast,  $55^{\circ}F \pm$ 

# INSPECTION TEAM

Nicholas Campagna	Goldberg, Zoino, Dunnicliff & Associates, Inc. (GZD)	Team Captain
Robert Minutoli	GZD	Geotechnical
Andrew Christo	Andrew Christo Engineers (ACE)	Structural
Paul Razoha	ACE	Concrete
Guillermo Vicens	Resource Analysis, Inc.	Hydrology

The inspection team was accompanied by Mr. Pattu Kesavan of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board, and Messrs. Norm Draper and Ray Smith of the Abbott Machine Tool Company. Mr. Smith operated the sluice gate for the canal.
ī

#### November 8, 1978 NH 00258

	CHECK LISTS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION				
	AREA EVALUATED	В	ĩ	CONDITION & REMARKS	
AM S	UPERSTRUCTURE				
. G	eneral				
V ( me	ertical alignment and ovement	AC	-	Right third of spillway has settled 1 to 2 inches	
H¢ al	orizontal alignment nd movement			No deficiencies noted except in downstream left training wall (see below)	
B. R	ight Abutment Structure				
C	ondition of concrete			Fair	
S	palling			Concrete cap exhibits minor spalling	
Ŀ	rosion			Minor on upstream training wall	
C	racking			Gunite facing cracked at location of joints in stone masonry	
Ru	usting or staining of oncrete			None noted	
V:	isible reinforcing			None noted	
E	fflorescence			In cracks of gunite facing. Downstream training wall effloresced	
Se	eepage			None noted	
C. L€	eft Abutment Structure				
Су	clopean concrete cap			Spalled over 20% of its top	
St gu	tone masonry walls, inite faced	A		No deficiencies noted	

November 8, 1978 NH 00258

ARFA FUALVATED		BY	CONDITION & REVARKS
	Dry stone masonry wall	AC.	Displaced stones, settlement and bulging. Seepage at the rate of 10 to 20 gpm
D.	Left Upstream Training Wall		
	Condition of concrete		Fair
	Spalling		Over 20% of upstream vertical face
	Erosion		Downstream end, $1.5' \times 1.0' \times 0.5'$ deep
	Cracking		Random cracks
	Rusting or staining of concrete		None noted
	Visible reinforcing		None noted
	Efflorescence		None noted
	Seepage	AC	None noted
OUTL	ET WORKS		
Α.	Spillway		
	Condition of concrete	PR	Poor
	Spalling		See erosion
	Erosion.		All vertical construction joints eroded 2" wide x 2" deep. Erosion at pressure relief drain 4' long x 1.0' wide and up to 2' deep. Hori- zontal joints eroded up to 12" wide and 6" deep. Underside of toe eroded to within 6" of top surface. Minor erosion of entire downstream face of

A-4

h

Q

November 8, 1978 NH 00258

		·····	
	AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION & REMARKS
	Cracking	PR	Open construction joints
	Rusting or staining of concrete		None noted
	Visible reinforcing		None noted
	Efflorescence		None noted
	Seepage		At the rate of 5 to 10 gpm through pressure relief drain
	Left sluice gate		Good operating condition; seepage at the rate of 1 to 2 gpm due to unseated condition. Steel gate exhibits minor rusting
	Right sluiceway		Operable when lumber planks removed
	Flashboards		Poor condition
E.	Flashboard stanchions Gate House		Poor condition
	Condition of building		Fair
	Concrete foundation		Surface erosion on inside face of wall
	Steel trash racks		Surface rust
	Sluice gate	PR	Good operating condition
RES	ERVOIR		
Υ.	Shoreline		
	Evidence of slide	NAC	None noted
	Potential for slides		Shoreline stable
3.	Sedimentation		Siltation at the left side of spillway extends about one
		NAC	foot above spillway crest behind flashboards

۲ بر

#### November 8, 1978 NH 00258

AREA EVALUATED	BZ	CONDITION & REMARKS	
C. Upstream Hazard Areas in the Event of Back- flooding	NAC	None noted	
D. Changes in Nature of Watershed	NAC-	None noted	
			-
	A-6		

### APPENDIX B

ĩ

(

ł

K

• ]

1

		Page
FIGURE 1	Site Plan	B-2
	Plan and Section of Dam	В-3
	Plan and Section of Flume	B1
	Drawings of Water Power Development	B-5
	Plan, Elevation and Section of Dam	B-C
	List of Pertinent Data Not Included and Their Location	B-7













The New Hampshire Water Resources Board (NHWRB) located at 37 Pleasant Street, Concord, N.H. 03301, maintains a correspondence file for this dam. Some of the data included in this file are listed below:

1

6

- 1) Inspection reports from June 6, 1940: July 11, 1951; and July 24, 1975.
- 2) The New Hampshire Water Control Commission's "Data on Dams in New Hampshire" (September 26, 1939) and "Data on Water Power Developments in New Hampshire" (September 26, 1939).
- 3) The New Hampshire Public Service Commission's "Dar Record" (August 31, 1936).
- 4) NHWEB'S "Inventory of Dams and Water Power Developments" (August 28, 1936) and "Survey of Existing New Hampshire Dams."

Anderson Nichols Company performed a Flood Insurance Study of the Souhegan River including cross-section data and calculation of various design flood flows.

### APPENDIX C

•

B

.

٤.

D

•

•

5

.

### SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS





.

Ľ

í

E

. . .

1.

1. View of downstream channel from right abutment



2. View from right abutment of left abutment showing guniting of squared stone masonry training wall and displaced apron

C-3



•

4

•

1

.

.

£

1





**.**.

.

İ.

11

7. Detail of jointing and cracks in spillway and of pressure relief drain at toe



8. Right abutment structure and spillway

C-7

9

ļ

#### APPENDIX D

-

Ľ

•

L

### HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

La Laty Hills osrough RHF 1/2 I Dam Rating Curve See page 2 for a schenatic sketch of the Hillsboragh Dan overflow setion, based mainly on FIS survey data and recent imposed at the site. Flashboards, roughly 4' high ise in place (tenucusly) across the top of approx half the spill way crest. These are ignored in the skeich and in the following calculations. This will affect the ac-L K curacy of the dam rating at low stages. However, for flash boards will be destroyed in a flood and so in not arrest the dam rating at high stages. Spillway Outstloh  $Q_1 = CL H^{3/2}$ C = 3.3D L=128' H = head on crest (datum - div. 321.0 Q1 = 3.3 × 123 + H3/2 Left Bank Over New  $Q_2 = CL H_2^{-3/2}$ C = 2.8L = 12(H-4)lang. head ]  $H_{2} = 0.5(H-4)$  $Q_2 = 2.8 + 12(H-4) + (0.5(H-4))^{3/2}$ 



165 Dum Satety Hillsborough KHT 3/20 3/12/79 Sluicemay 0 Insperable Q3=0 D 41114 11114 Canal Headquite assume that the outlet to the flume and canal is closed at time of flooding. This is normally the Case. Q1=0 The resulting values calculated in this way agree guite closely with values taken tion FIS profiles. D-4

STORED ON TAPE 18, FILE 54 Stage-discharge function for Hillsborough Dam LEFT BANK" "DISCHARGE FROM HILLSBOROUGH DAM" USING 150: SPILLWAY 2=2.8\*12\*(H-4)\*(8.5\*(H-4))+1.5 2-01+0-2 "HEAD" 30T"DISCHARGE" JSING 270:H, 03, 01, 02 27, 20. 20, 90, 8X, 100, 110 (FEET)"32T" (CFS)" 0.5 с Ш 259 176 98 128×H↑ THEN 6 × H<=4 8= REMARK: REMARK: I MAG NEXT 01=3. 0=C PAGE PRIN 8= PRIN PRIN PRIN MAX END MAC FOR Ē

È

5

. .

Ð

D-5

4/20



D-6

Dan Saftey Hillsborough CHF (Collows 5/20) Assuming that 4' flash boards are in place acres the entire length of the spillways and assuming that they do not "trip" or fail under flood conditions, the dam rating curve would be revised as follows Spillway Overthew  $Q_{1} = CLH_{1}^{3/2}$ C = 3.3L=128' H = H-4 (head on Plashboard crost with H measuret from the permanent. crest -- elev. 321.0 Q1 = 3.3 × 128 × (H-4) 2/2 Q2, Q3, and Q4 will be unchanged I rating table for this case is shown on The next page. For higher stages (H29'), the sailroad enco antment which runs along for left bank of the civer would be overlopped for a considerable disiance upstream, and a flow channel porollel to it river would develop on the for side of this em-

2

Д



D-8





RHF 145 Dam Salety Hillsborough 7/4:20 3/12/29 I Dam Failure analysis Unt flow at Failure = Calculated aut flow through breach + Normal outflow under assumed precaditions to failure assume that failure occurs when the railroad track to the left of the dom is createpped at elev. 330 \* H=9' Normal Outflow  $Q_{\text{lurmal}} = 12070 \text{ cfs}$ Spillway Ciest 13.8' 1 der. 307.2 Simplified Sectional View of Dam \* This level has been chosen because historic floot stages as great as 8.5' above the spillway crest have been reported without evident damage to the dam. also, to NHLIDR - P AE report freeboard for this dam 8'

12

: 1

\_\_\_\_

1.5 Dim Sifety Hillsborough 
$$\frac{10000}{1000}$$
  $\frac{10000}{1000}$   
and 9.5' above the spillway creat.  
Breach Outflow  
Qpi = 3/27 + 1/6 NJ3 + Y,  $\frac{7}{2}$   
 $V_{i} = breach width$   
 $= 0.44 (width of dain ut 1/2 haight)$   
 $was V_{i} = 0.44 \cdot 128 = 51'$   
 $V_{0} = depth$  from 1-p of pool to tail-  
water at failure  
 $water at failure$   
 $water at failure$   
 $V_{0} = 3.000 cfs$   
 $Y_{0} = 3.00 - 3.20.9 = 9.1'$   
Qpi =  $3/27 + 5/\sqrt{3} + 9.1^{2} = 2.350 cfs$   
Total Outflow in River Chaned  
Q = 1.2070 + 2350 = 144.20 eVs

Ľ

•.•

D

÷

-

165 Dan Safety Hillsborough KHF 9/20 3/12/29 Downstream Flooding See map on following page. FIS water surface prodites or computations vere not at hand to supplement the comp-utations which follow Reach 1 9=.00% h= . 07 13=,27) (0,22) 1:2 ا ⁄ 22 1:2 (45,2) 42' Approx. Section of Souhezan R. **E** ) d/s of Hillsbors Dam a simple BASIC program was used to cal-culate a rating table based on the section sketched above. The table is shown on page 11. D-13



	11/20
	- -
42333222222222222222222222222222222222	-
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	C DAM
Т >> Оод	HEGAN RIVE
Х С С С С С С С С С С С С С	D/S OF 0
А 1480/2000 Ф 14	
$\mathbf{H}$	
а п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п п	STREAM

1.

K

u

K

D-15

KHF 165 Dam Safety Hillsborough 12/20 3/12/79 (thormal = 12070 cls Flood depth ~ 15.5' Q dam break = 14420 els Flood depth ~ 16.8' Railroad Bridge 1/2 mile 2/5 of dam Flow area at depth of 16.8' = 60+16.8 = 1008'2 Flow area of natural channel at depth of 16.8' ~ 1070 12 Bridge represents little constriction. Flow Septy should not reach lower chard. D-16

il

165 Dam Safety Hillsborough 3/12/29 13/25 Highway Bridge 3000' d/s of dam 6 221 40' -> -- 40 Flow area of depth of 16.8' 2 33+16.8 + 33+14 = 1016.12 Bridge represents little constriction. Flow depths should not reach top of opening Ľ Estimate attenuated peak 1.5 miles d/s . Volume of impounded water The quantity of water released by the dan failure is that volume above the normal flow level. Since the tailwater is nearly at the spillway crest under the assumed failure conditions, estimate this volume equal to the normal surface area times the head on the crest.

KHF .165 Dam Satety Hillsborough 14/20 3/12/77\_ Surface Brea = 5 acres (COE, hormal (ion of H = 9'use S = 9×5=45 AF Volume of storage in the channel 83 Length ≈ 1.5 miles = 8000' Surface width = 40 + 2×2×14 (Based on section = 96' aug. depth above normal flow host of the reach)  $\approx 1'$ quess Vol = 8000 + 96 × 1.0 ÷ 43560 = 17.6 AF Dambreak flow peak component  $Q_{p_{d}} = Q_{p_{1}} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{o}I}{S} \right)$  $= 2350 \left(1 - \frac{17.6}{45}\right) = 1430 \text{ cfs}$ Total attenuated peak flow Q= 12070 + 1430 = <u>13500</u>, cfs dreve: flow depth = 16.3' (-from stream rating, p. ) aug depth = 16.8+16.3 = 16.55 aug depth above normal flow . = [6.55-15.5=1.05' OK
165 Dam Safety Hillsborcugh 3/12/79 16/120 Flood Depths 1.5 miles d/s n=0.04 house (15°,1)) (55°,1)) (5°,1) 5 = .003 (4)5,12), (0,B) \_ 240'\_\_\_ (575,12) 1:30 (300,3) 12' 42 184 100 541 15 2 (380g) - - - 150' ----> (30,0) ← 70' -K approx. Section of Souhegan R. ~1.5tmiles d/s of Hillsboro Dam . . a stream rating table based on the 2 section sketched above is shown on the following page, Quernal = 2070 cts Flood depth = 10.6' Qdan break = 13500 cts Flood depth = 11.1' These flow depths appear consistent with FIS flood. Boundary · Wash Maps

	16/20	
	·	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	MAD	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- SBOROUGH	
<u>Т</u> > Фоочччийиииииииииииииииииииииииииии воочччийииииииииииииииииииииииииииииииии	IEGAN RIVER D/S OF HILI	•
Д С С С С С С С С С С С С С	SOUH SOUH	•
А МАЛИЧИТСКИ Ф М М М М Ф М М М Ф М М М Ф М М М Ф М М Ф М М М Ф М Ф		
Щ Тааччууууу 4 кукуууу 6 ачччччч Пороколонуу 4 кукуууу 6 ачччччч Пороколонуу 6 айраан 6 ачччччч Эририририририририририририририририририри	RATING	
т т в в ч ч и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	STREAM	

D

Ā

•••

RHF 3/12/79 165 Dam Satery Hills borough 17/20 Test Flood Onalysis 6 Size Classification -- Small Storage < 1000 AF Height < 40' Hazard Classification -- Low His unit rul ( · Dam failure will not damage railroad and highway bridges d/s . In vicinity of structures 1.5 miles db, flood levels would be increased only K about 0.5' by dam failure. Test Flood Selection Per COE guidelines, a small dam with Low hazard potential should use a 50-yr. to 100-yr. test flood. As the dam is located in a developed area, choose 100 - yr, flood. **D-21** 

•	165 Dam Safety Hillsborough 3/12/29 18/20
	a 1978 FIS study by ANCo estimated 10, 50, 100, and 500 year dis- charges at the Hillsborough Mills Dam as follows:
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
	Drainage Area = 97 sq. mi See maa on fellowing page
2	$Q_{100} = 7550  \text{efs}$ = 7550/97 = 78 CSM
	The discharges shown are somewhat low for a drainage area of this size. This might be explained by the fact that the watershed includes humerous pands and reservoirs to detail run off.
	D-22

165 Dam Safety Hills borough 3/12/75 2/20 The 100-yr. discharge of 5700 cts was computed at the dam, so that storage souting through the reservoir need not be considered. In any case, the surcharge storage available is too small to 11 have significant effect. For these reasons, a stage-storage function has not been calculated. Test Flood Summary Size -- small 6 Hazard - - low Test Flood -- use 100 yr. peak Q100 = 7550 cfs (FIS) Head on spillway = 6.8' (Dam Rating) There will be overtopping flows with a max. depth of 2.8' around the gate house at the left aboutment under test flood conditions. D-23

21 RHF Dan Salety Hillsborough 6-5-29 With 4' flashboards in place and intact under flood conditions, the Test Flood would reach a stage greater than 9' about the spillway crost and fe railroad embankment along the left bank of the Soundgon R. upstream of the dam would be overtapped. The extent and depth of overtopping is Unknown. D

D-24

## APPENDIX E

i

, ·

C

Ĩ.

E

.\*

## INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN

## THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

