

*	1.0	4.5 50 50 50 3.2 103	<sup>2</sup> 2.2	
	<u>      . </u>		2.0	
			1.8	
	1.25	1.4	1.6	

÷,

.

ł

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A AD-A156 437

F

MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN MILFORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE

MILFORD TOWN DAM NH 00312 NHWRB 159.02

# PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

DTIC FILE COPY APRIL 1979 85 06 17 015

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

# REPRODUCED AT GOVE MENT EXPENSE

<b>é</b> .	
UNCLASSIELED	
TY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS	PAGE (When Date Entered)

- - - -

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Who	n Date Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTAT		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NH 00312		
4. TITLE (and Sublille)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Milford Town Dam		INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION	OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS		1
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD	DRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	s	12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENG	INEERS	April 1979
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	0005	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
424 INATELU NUMUS MALITARES PART	UCC04 dillerent from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		SCHEDULE
T DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstreet of		- Babarti
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (or me content	A 10705 IA 81052 27, 11 911-1	
Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da	spection Report, Nati the program is: Natio te for date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	sary and identify by block masher)	
Merrimack River Basin		
Milford, New Hampshire		
Sounegan kiver		
0. ABSTRACT (Continue en reverse side in nussue 	dly and identify by block manoor)	
The dam is a 195 ft. long Conc is small in size with a low ha yr. and 100 yr. flood. The da technical inspections should b	rete gravity dam with zard potential. The m is in fair conditio e instituted,	a 173 ft. long spillway. It test flood is between the 50 n. A program for annual

.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

.

••••



Ľ

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: NEDED

JUN 0 3 1979

Honorable Hugh J. Gallen Governor of the State of New Hampshire State House Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Dear Governor Gallen:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Milford Town Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Water Resources Board, the cooperating agency for the State of New Hampshire. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, Town of Milford, Milford, New Hampshire 03055.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Water Resources Board for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

MAX B. SCHEIDER

Incl As stated

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

#### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No.:NH 00312NHWRB No.:159.03Name of Dam:MILFORD TOWN DAMTown:MilfordCounty and State:Hillsborough, New HampshireRiver:Souhegan RiverDate of Inspection:November 1, 1978

Ľ

.

.

Ľ

#### BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Milford Town Dam is a 195 foot long concrete gravity dam with a 173 foot long spillway. A stop log controlled sluice gate structure is located at the left end of the dam, and an abandoned intake structure to an old mill building is located at the right end of the dam. The dam, which has a maximum height of 12 feet, is owned by the town of Milford. The dam, which was originally constructed in 1935 to provide power to the mill building on the right bank, was completely reconstructed in 1966 to bring it to its present configuration.

The dam lies on the Souhegan River just downstream from the Route 13 bridge in the town of Milford. The dam presently improves the aesthetics of the Souhegan River at this point. The drainage area consists of 138 square miles of moderately to steeply sloping forested terrain. Developed areas are only a small portion of the overall drainage area. The dam's maximum impoundment of 130 acre-feet and maximum height of 12 feet place the dam in the SMALL size category while the small chances for property damage or loss of life in the event of a dam failure result in a hazard potential classification of LOW.

Based on the size and hazard potential classifications and in accordance with the Corps' of Engineers guidelines, the Test Flood (TF) is between the 50 year flood and the 100 year flood. Since the hazard potential classification is on the low side of the LOW category, the 50 year flood was chosen as the TF resulting in a flow of 8850 cfs. Under this flow the peak flow elevation would overtop the sluice gate structure at the left end of the dam by one foot. The dam is in FAIR condition at the present time. It is recommended that a qualified registered engineer be retained to determine if the right intake structure is adequately sealed. Recommended remedial measures include repair of the deteriorated concrete on the right upstream and downstream training walls, repair of the concrete on the right intake structure, and removal of vegetation growing between the two right downstream training walls. The amount and turbidity of the seepage observed at the right end of the dam should be monitored by visual observation for any changes that might require remedial action. A program of annual technical inspections should be instituted.

The recommendations and improvements outlined above should be implemented within one year of receipt of this report by the owner.



Ī.

-



William S. Zoino N.H. Registration 3226



Michiles 2. Campagne, +

Nicholas A. Campagna, Jr. California Registration 21006



This Phase I Inspection Report on Milford Town Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

buch 9. Mc Elroy

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, MEMBER Foundation & Materials Branch Engineering Division

ίĒ

D

.

.

T

Corney M. Vezian

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

maa reph W SEPH V. FINEGAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Chief, Reservoir Control Center Water Control Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

Man JOE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

## PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the Test Flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The Test Flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

iv

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

İ

Ľ

.

.

ł

• •

Ī,

.

	Page
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	
REVIEW BOARD SIGNATURE SHEET	
PREFACE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
OVERVIEW PHOTOS	vii
LOCATION MAP	viii
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 General 1.2 Description of Project 1.3 Pertinent Data	1-1 1-2 1-4
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	
<ul> <li>2.1 Design Records</li> <li>2.2 Construction Records</li> <li>2.3 Operational Records</li> <li>2.4 Evaluation of Data</li> </ul>	2-1 2-1 2-1 2-1
SECTION 3 - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS	
3.1 Findings 3.2 Evaluation	3-1 3-4
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1 Procedures 4.2 Maintenance of Dam 4.3 Maintenance of Operating	4-1 4-1
Facilities 4.4 Description of Warning	4-1
System 4.5 Evaluation	4-1 4-1

v

Table of Contents - Cont.

5

۵

.

1

£

1

•

· ·

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGY	
5.1 Evaluation of Features	5-1
SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	6-1
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
<ul> <li>7.1 Dam Assessment</li> <li>7.2 Recommendations</li> <li>7.3 Remedial Measures</li> <li>7.4 Alternatives</li> </ul>	7-1 7-1 7-1 7-2
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A - VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPENDIX B - FIGURES AND PERTINENT RECORDS	B-1
APPENDIX C - SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1
APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	E-1

Page



t

.

-

Ţ

Ľ

... ...

•

,

₹ ₹

Overview of dam from upstream road bridge



Overview of dam from right side of downstream channel

vii



#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

#### MILFORD TOWN DAM

#### SECTION 1

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General

#### (a) <u>Authority</u>

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Goldberg, Zoino, Dunnicliff & Associates, Inc. (GZD) has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of New Hampshire. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to GZD under a letter of November 28, 1978 from Colonel Max B. Scheider, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-79-C-0013 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

#### (b) Purpose

(1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests.

(2) Encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-federal dams.

(3) Update, verify, and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

(c) Scope

The program provides for the inspection of nonfederal dams in the high hazard potential category based upon location of the dams and those dams in the significant hazard potential category believed to represent an immediate danger based on condition of the dam.

### 1.2 Description of Project

## (a) <u>Location</u>

1

Milford Town Dam lies on the Souhegan River in Milford, New Hampshire. The dam is located approximately 200 feet downstream from the bridge carrying New Hampshire Route 13 over the Souhegan River in Milford, New Hampshire. The portion of the USGS Milford, N.H. quadrangle presented previously shows this locus. Figure 1 of Appendix B is a site plan prepared from the map and the site visit.

#### (b) Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The dam is a 195 foot long concrete gravity structure founded on bedrock. The dam has a maximum height of 12 feet and has one operable control structure. The control structure is a sluice gate with a stop log weir located at the left end of the dam. An abandoned intake structure is located on the right end of the dam. The spillway is a 173 foot long concrete gravity structure founded on bedrock.

Upstream and downstream training walls are located on the right side of the dam. The upstream wall is a cemented squared stone wall. A similar wall is located on the left upstream bank. Both walls extend to the Route 13 bridge located upstream from the dam. A dry and cemented stone masonry wall is located on the right downstream side. This wall serves as the foundation for the building on the right side. A concrete capped dry rubble stone masonry wall jutting out into the stream at a 30 degree angle is located at the end of the building. The building was formerly a mill building which is now being converted to other uses.

#### (c) <u>Size Classification</u>

The dam's maximum impoundment of 130 acre-feet and maximum height of 12 feet place the dam in the SMALL size category as defined in the "Recommended Guidelines."

#### (d) Hazard Potential Classification

The town of Milford lies below the dam but because of the small size of the dam and the flow characteristics

of the downstream channel, no damaging flooding is expected in the event of a dam failure. For this reason a LOW hazard potential classification is warranted.

## (e) <u>Ownership</u>

1

ġ

ţ

The original dam was built in 1935 to provide power for the mill building on the right bank. The Public Service Company of New Hampshire owned the dam in 1940 according to records of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board (NHWRB). At some time before 1966 the town of Milford acquired the old dam and in 1966 reconstructed the dam to its present configuration. The construction was performed through the auspices of the Town Conservation Commission. At present the town of Milford owns the dam.

## (f) Operator

The dam is operated through the town Department of Public Works. Mr. Robert Courage is the superintendent of public works and can be reached by telephone at 603-673-1662.

#### (g) <u>Purpose of Dam</u>

The original dam was built to provide power for the mill building. At present the dam improves the aesthetics of the Souhegan River at this point.

#### (h) Design and Construction History

The original dam was a combination concrete gravity and wood dam with a total height of approximately 20 feet. Through many years with no maintenance the dam fell into a state of disrepair. In 1966 the town of Milford constructed the present dam which has a maximum spillway height of approximately 7 feet. The former intake openings to the mill had been sealed previously and the sluiceway on the left side was added in 1966.

#### (i) Normal Operational Procedures

At the present time no operational procedure is in effect at the dam.

### 1.3 <u>Pertinent Data</u>

0

## (a) Drainage Area

The total drainage area for the dam is 138 square miles. Most of this area is moderately to steeply sloping forested terrain. Only a small fraction of the entire drainage area is developed.

#### (b) Discharge at Damsite

(1) The only operable outlet at the dam is the sluiceway on the left side of the dam. At the present time stop logs are in place to approximately the spillway crest elevation and are apparently not removed at any time. The invert elevation of the sluiceway is elevation 230.8.

(2) No records of flow or stage are known to be available for Milford Town Dam. The nearest gage on the Souhegan is the USGS gage No. 01094000 at Merrimack, N.H. This gage is downstream of Milford Town Dam and has a drainage area of 171 square miles. The peak discharge at the gage in 78 years of record is 16,900 cfs on March 19, 1936. The peak at Milford Town Dam is estimated to be 14,400 cfs based on drainage area relationships.

(3) The ungated spillway capacity with water level at the top of the dam, elevation 240.4 is 6870 cfs.

(4) Ungated spillway capacity at test flood elevation - Dam overtopped by test flood

(5) Gated spillway capacity at recreational pool - NA

(6) Gated spillway capacity at test flood level - NA

(7) Total spillway capacity at test flood elevation - See (4) above

(8) Total project discharge at test flood - see(4) above

(c) Elevation (ft. above MSL)

(1) Streambed at centerline of dam: 228.3

	(2)	Maximum tailwater: Unknown	
	(3)	Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel: NA	
	(4)	Recreation pool: NA	
	(5)	Full flood control pool: NA	
	(6)	Spillway crest: 235.2	
	(7)	Design surcharge (original design): Unknown	
	(8)	Top dam: 240.4	
	(9)	Test flood design surcharge: 241.4	
(d)	Reser	rvoir	
	(1)	Length of maximum pool: 3400 ft. <u>+</u>	
	(2)	Length of normal pool: 1700 ft. $\pm$	
	(3)	Length of flood control pool: NA	
(e)	Stor	age (acre-feet)	
	(1)	Normal pool: 40 <u>+</u>	
	(2)	Flood control pool: NA	
	(3)	Spillway crest pool: 40 <u>+</u>	
	(4)	Top of dam: 140 <u>+</u>	a ta
	(5)	Test flood pool: 165 <u>+</u>	
(f)	Rese	rvoir Surface (acres)	
	(1)	Normal pool: $8 +$	
	(2)	Flood-control pool: NA	
	(3)	Spillway crest: 8 ±	
	(4)	Test flood pool: 20 ±	
	(5)	Top dam: 18 <u>+</u>	
		1-5	
		1-0	
			₹.

•

•••• ŕ.

. . .

7

.

· · ·

•

<u>ا</u>

1

(g) <u>Dam</u>

D

•

- (1) Type: Concrete gravity
- (2) Length: 195 ft.
- (3) Height: 12 ft.
- (4) Top width: 1.5 ft.
- (5) Side slopes: NA
- (6) Zoning: NA
- (7) Impervious core: NA
- (8) Cutoff: None
- (9) Grout curtain: Unknown
- (10) Other: NA
- (h) Diversion and Regulating Tunnel: NA
- (i) <u>Spillway</u>
  - (1) Type: Concrete gravity
  - (2) Length of weir: 173 ft.
  - (3) Crest elevation: 235.2
  - (4) Gates: None
  - (5) U/S channel: Width of river
  - (6) D/S channel: Width of river
  - (7) General: NA

## (j) Regulating Outlets

The sluiceway at the left side of the dam is the only operable regulating outlet. The sluiceway is 3.5 feet wide with invert elevation 230.8. Stop logs are in place to elevation 235.2. There is no control mechanism for removing stop logs.

## SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

#### 2.1 Design Records

۵

.

The design of the dam is quite simple and incorporates no unusual features. Several design drawings are available for the reconstructed dam (1966). These drawings show plans and cross sections of the dam and spillway. The pertinent drawings are included in Appendix B.

#### 2.2 Construction Records

No as-built construction drawings are available for the dam.

#### 2.3 Operational Records

There are no operational records for the dam.

#### 2.4 Evaluation of Data

#### (a) Availability

Because of the availability of the design drawings, an overall satisfactory assessment for availability is warranted.

#### (b) Adequacy

The lack of in-depth engineering data does not permit a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of the dam cannot be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data. This assessment is thus based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance, and sound engineering judgment.

#### (c) Validity

Since the observations of the inspection tear generally confirm the information contained in the design drawings, a satisfactory evaluation for validity is indicated.

#### SECTION 3 - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

## 3.1 Findings

D

#### (a) <u>General</u>

Milford Town Dam is in FAIR condition at the present time. The gated intake structure is in poor condition.

## (b) Dam

#### (1) Left Abutment Structure and End Wall

This structure (Photos 4 and 6 and Figure B-4) is a reinforced concrete faced and capped dry stone masonry structure. A sluiceway with a stop log weir is located in the structure. The sluiceway is located next to the left bank, and the spillway is connected to the right end of the abutment structure. The spillway axis is splayed at a 60 degree angle upstream of the structure. A concrete training wall extends approximately 12 feet upstream and a buttress pier 3 feet 9 inches long and 18 inches wide also extends upstream from the structure.

Stop log slots 4 inches wide are cast into the training wall and buttress pier. The clear opening between the training wall and buttress pier is 3.5 feet. At the time of inspection 3 inch thick stop logs were in place to the spillway crest elevation. The outlet tunnel has a sloping concrete sill 4 inches thick with dry squared stone masonry side walls and headers. The tunnel invert elevation is 4.4 feet below the spillway crest.

The concrete facing, cap, and sill are in good condition with no evidence of spalls, cracks, or efflorescence. The exposed dry stone masonry is in good condition with no evidence of displaced stones, bulging, or other signs of distress. The stop logs and guides are in good condition.

#### (2) Spillway

The spillway (overview photo) is a concrete gravity structure with a total length of 173 feet. The spillway is "V" shaped with an apex angle of

approximately 20 degrees. The apex is approximately 85 feet from the left abutment structure. Approximately 10 feet from the structure housing the old dual sluice gates the spillway axis rotates approximately 45 degrees downstream and abuts the building wall at a 90 degree angle. The downstream face is constructed at a 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical slope while the upstream face is vertical.

Some minor erosion at the base of the structure was observed. This is attributed to difficult construction forming. In general, however, the structure is in good condition with no evidence of spalls, cracks, or efflorescence.

## (3) Right Intake Structure

T

.

Ł

The structure houses two timber sluice gates 9 feet wide, 8.5 feet high, and six inches thick (Photo 2). The downstream wall of the structure connects to the spillway. The other end of the structure is adjacent to the old mill building on the right bank. The sluice gates were formerly used as intakes for power generation in the building.

At present both sluice gates are rotted and inoperable. The operating mechanism of the gate on the downstream side consists of 2 hand wheels. The other gate was operated by a steel rod placed in a sprocket which actuated a spindle gear. Upstream of the sluice gates are timber stop logs six inches thick set in "Z" shaped guides at an approximate batter of 4 horizontal to 12 vertical. The tops of the stop logs are approximately 3 feet above the crest elevation. The stop logs are in good condition.

Vertical steel trash racks are set between the gates and the stop logs. These trash racks are rusted, and one panel is missing from the upstream intake. Penstocks connect the sluice gates to the former power generation equipment. The method used to seal the penstocks could not be observed. The outlet from the building is located approximately 150 feet downstream of the spillway.

The intermediate pier above the stop log guides and the downstream wall of the structure is spalled over 10 percent of its surface area.

The roof has spalled over 50 percent of its surface area. This spalling is attributed to moisture intrusion which has been subjected to alternating freeze and thaw cycles. The downstream wall has a continuous horizontal crack approximately 3 feet above crest level which is effloresced. The exposed concrete of this structure is eroded for a vertical distance of 2 feet above crest level. The erosion is attributed to ice damage.

## (4) Upstream Training Walls

1

The stone masonry wall adjacent to the intake structure (Photo 2) is founded on a 10 foot long concrete foundation. The concrete is eroded over the entire interface with the cemented stone masonry wall. This erosion is up to 12 inches deep. Approximately 10 feet of the adjacent upstream wall has been undermined and settled. Stepped cracks up to 3 inches high are visible. Random fill has been placed in front of the wall. The remainder of the wall does not show any evidence of displaced stones, bulges, or other signs of distress. The mortared joints are effloresced.

The left upstream wall with the exception of minor joint efflorescence, is in good condition with no evidence of displaced stones, bulges, or other signs of distress.

#### (5) Downstream Training Walls

The concrete (Photo 2) located between the right intake structure and the cemented stone masonry wall has a series of horizontal cracks and is effloresced. The cracks are located about 5 feet above the spillway crest. The downstream continuation of the wall is approximately 15 feet high and serves as the building foundation wall. The wall is in good condition with no evidence of displaced stones, bulges, or other signs of distress. The mortared joints are effloresced.

A low stone masonry wall in front of the aforementioned wall has begun to unravel.

Trees up to four inches in diameter are growing at the interface of these walls.

The downstream end of the building (Photo 5) consists of a two span concrete rigid frame. The openings served as an outlet for the power generating facilities. The training wall, which was located immediately upstream of the outlets, has been completely demolished.

The left downstream dry stone masonry training wall is in fair condition with no evidence of displaced stones, bulges, or other signs of distress.

## 3.2 Evaluation

D

Ţ

É

.

٩

Milford Town Dam is in FAIR condition. The spillway and left abutment structure are in good condition although some minor erosion at the downstream base of the spillway was noted. The right intake structure is in poor condition, and the various upstream and downstream training walls are in varying degrees of condition as discussed in Section 3.1 (b) 4 and 5 above.

### SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

## 4.1 Procedures

ĵ

Ţ

•

ť

No operational procedures are performed at the dam. The stop logs in the sluiceway are left in place at approximately the spillway crest elevation. There are no hooks on the stoplogs to remove them.

## 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

No maintenance of the dam is presently performed.

## 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The only operable structure is the stop log structure. Although the structure is not maintained, the structure is operable.

#### 4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

No warning system is in effect for this dam.

## 4.5 Evaluation

The operating and maintenance procedures for the dam need to be more explicitly defined as to whom has the responsibility for operation and maintenance. In general, no operation of the dam is necessary because of the low head and long spillway of the dam. Apparently, no one has accepted the responsibility for maintaining and operating the dam although available data indicates that Mr. Robert Courage of the Department of Public Works is responsible for the dam and its operation.

#### SECTION 5 - HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGY

## 5.1 Evaluation of Features

#### (a) <u>General</u>

Milford Town Dam is a concrete, gravel, run-ofthe-river structure on the Souhegan River in Milford, New Hampshire. The reservoir it forms is basically for aesthetic purposes.

The Souhegan River at the dam has a drainage area of 138 square miles. Runoff from a portion of this area is affected by numerous ponds and reservoirs including 13 Soil Conservation Services flood control dams.

#### (b) Design Data

£

Data sources available for Milford Town Dam include prior inventory and inspection reports, plans for the dam's 1966 reconstruction, and a 1977 Flood Insurance Study (FIS). The prior inventory reports available are the New Hampshire Water Control Commission's "Data on Dams in New Hampshire" (March 29, 1939); the New Hampshire Water Resources Board's "Inventory of Dams and Water Power Developments" (July 5, 1935); and the Public Service Commission of New Hampshire's "Dam Record" (August 27, 1935). Inspection reports dated June 13, 1940; July 5, 1951; and July 19, 1974 are also available.

However, since the dam was completely reconstructed in 1966, most of the earlier information is not useful. Most of the basic data available are from the plans for this reconstruction. There is also a 1974 letter from the New Hampshire Water Resources Board to the Town of Milford concerning repairs needed at the dam.

More recent data includes a 1977 Flood Insurance Study by Anderson-Nichols and Company, Inc. (ANCO) which covers this portion of the Souhegan River. This work includes 10, 50, 100, and 500-year peak flows; cross-section data at various points on the Souhegan River (including the dam and bridge just upstream); and backwater calculations (using the WSP-2 computer program) for selected flows.

The appropriate hazard classification for this dam is LOW. Failure of Milford Town Dam would cause an increase in downstream water surface elevation of 0.5 foot or less. It is unlikely that failure of Milford Town Dam would cause loss of life or serious economic damage.

As shown in Table 3 of the Corps of Engineers' "Recommended Guidelines," the appropriate Test flood for a dam classified as SMALL in size with a LOW hazard potential would be between the 50-year flow and the 100-year flow. The ANCO Flood Insurance Study gives a 50-year flow of 8850 cfs and a 100-year flow of 10,500 cfs at the dam. Since the hazard classification is on the low side of LOW, the 50-year flow of 8850 cfs is appropriate for use as the Test Flood for this dam. The peak water surface elevation created by the flow of 8850 cfs would be 241.4 feet MSL or 6.2 feet above the spillway, 1.0 foot above the left abutment structure, and 0.6 foot below the right intake structure. Thus, the spillway is inadequate to pass the test flood. The spillway capacity is 6870 cfs which is 78 percent of the test flood flow.

The estimated flow from the 1936 flood is 14,400 cfs at the dam site. This would have created a water surface 8.6 feet above the present spillway crest or 3.4 feet above the left abutment structure.

Milford Town Dam would be overtopped by the Test Flood, which is the 50-year flow. However, it would appear from the hydraulics of this low head dam that high flows would result in submergence of the dam. If the dam were to fail when the water surface elevation reaches the top of the dam, the flow would increase by roughly ten percent while the stage downstream would increase by about 0.5 feet.

#### (f) Dam\_Failure Analysis

The peak outflow that would result from the failure of Milford Town Dam is estimated using the procedure suggested in the Corps of Engineers New England Division's April 1978 "Rule of Thumb Guidelines for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs," as expanded on at a December 7, 1978 meeting. Failure is assumed to occur with the water surface elevation at the top of the left abutment (5.2 feet above the spillway crest) at an elevation of 240.4 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL).

The discharge prior to failure with the water level at the dam crest (5.2 feet above the spillway) would be 6870 cfs as determined from the Stage-Discharge curve developed as described in Appendix D. The tailwater prior to failure would be 236.4 feet MSL, 1.2 feet above the spillway crest.

D

With a seventy-foot gap opened in the spillway, dam failure would cause flow to increase by 940 cfs to 7720 cfs. This would cause the tailwater to rise 0.5 foot to 236.9 feet MSL. This small rise would not cause a significant increase in downstream flooding, and would be quickly attenuated.

5-4

## SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

## 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

## (a) <u>Visual Observations</u>

The field investigations revealed no significant displacement and/or distress that would warrant the preparation of structural stability calculations based on assumed sectional properties and engineering factors.

## (b) Design and Construction Data

No stability calculations are available for this dam. The plans show several design cross sections but no as-built cross sections are available.

## (c) Operating Records

No operating records are available for the dam.

#### (d) Post Construction Changes

Since the reconstruction of the dam in 1966, no changes have been made.

## (e) Seismic Stability

+

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and, in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines, does not warrant seismic analysis.



#### SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

#### 7.1 Dam Assessment

## (a) Condition

Milford Town Dam is in FAIR condition at the present time. The spillway and left abutment structure are in good condition while the right intake structure is in poor condition.

#### (b) Adequacy of Information

The lack of in-depth engineering data does not permit a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of the dam cannot be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data. This assessment is thus based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance, and sound engineering judgment.

#### (c) Urgency

The engineering studies and improvements described herein should be implemented by the owner within one year of receipt of this Phase I report.

#### (d) Need for Additional Investigation

Additional investigations are required as described in Paragraph 7.2.

#### 7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the owner retain a registered professional engineer to determine if the right intake structure is adequately sealed. Appropriate remedial measures should be taken following the investigation.

#### 7.3 Remedial Measures

The following remedial measures should be instituted by the owner:

- (1) Repair the deteriorated concrete on the right intake structure.
- (2) Monitor by visual observation the seepage at the base of the intake structure and building for changes in flow quantity or turbidity which may require remedial action.

- (3) Repair the concrete on the right upstream training wall.
- (4) Repair the concrete on the right downstream training wall.
- (5) Remove trees and other brush growing between the two downstream training walls.
- (6) Institute a program of annual technical inspections.

## 7.4 Alternatives

ľ

Æ

İ

٠.

There are no meaningful alternatives to the above recommendations and improvements.



## APPENDIX A

ĩ : :

.

-

•

Ţ

.

(

ť

.

## VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

## INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION

Date: November 1, 1978

NH 00312 MILFORD TOWN DAM Milford, New Hampshire Souhegan River NHWRB 159.03

Weather: Clear,  $55^{\circ}$  F +

## INSPECTION TEAM

Nicholas Campagna	Goldberg, Zoino, Dunnicliff & Associates, Inc. (GZD)	Team Captain
Robert Minutoli	GZD	Soils
Andrew Christo	Andrew Christo Engineers, Inc. (ACE)	Structural
Paul Razgha	ACE	Concrete
Guillermo Vicens*	Resource Analysis, Inc.	Hydrology

\*Mr. Vicen's site inspection was made on November 8,1978.

Milford Town Dam Milford, N.H.

## November 1, 1978 NH 00312

	AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION & REMARKS
)AM	SUPERSTRUCTURE		
<b>.</b>	General		
	Vertical alignment and movement	AC	No deficiencies noted
	Horizontal alignment and movement		No deficiencies noted
в.	Left End Wall		
	Condition of concrete		Good
	Spalling		None noted
	Erosion		None noted
	Cracking		None noted
	Rusting or staining of concrete		None noted
	Visible reinforcing		None noted
	Efflorescence		None noted
	Seepage		None noted
с.	Upstream Training Walls		
	Right bank		
	Stone masonry		Ten foot section adjacent to concrete wall settled. Open joints 3' high. Random fill placed in front of wall. Balance of wall does not show any evidence of distress
	Efflorescence		Mortared joints highly effloresced
	Concrete	AC	Poor. Interface with sup- ported stone masonry is ero- ded up to 12" deep

A-3

Milford Town Dam Milford, N.H.

÷

, ' .

# November 1, 1978 NH 00312

CHECK LISTS 1	FOR VIS	UAL INSPECTION
AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION & REMARKS
C. Upstream Training Wall- (cont.)		
Left bank		
Stone masonry	A.C.	No deficiencies noted with the exception of minor joint efflorescence
D. Downstream Training Walls		
Right Bank		
Cemented stone masonry		No deficiencies noted with the exception of minor joint efflorescence
Dry stone masonry		Slightly unravelled
Concrete		Poor. Series of horizontal cracks with associated efflorescence. No other deficiencies noted
Tailrace training wall		Destroyed
Vegetation		Trees up to 4" dia. and brush flourishing in interface between dry and cemented stone masonry walls
Left Bank		
Dry stone masonry	PC	Fair. No visible signs of distress
OUTLET WORKS		
A. Left Abutment Structure	PR	
Condition of concrete	-	Good
Spalling	PIL	None noted

A-4
Milford Town Dam Milford, N.H.

i

# November 1, 1978 NH 00312

AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION & REMARKS
Erosion	PR	None noted
Cracking		None noted
Rusting or staining of concrete		None noted
Visible reinforcing		None noted
Efflorescence		None noted
Seepage		None noted
Condition of dry stone masonry		Good
Stop logs		Good
3. Spillway		
Condition of concrete		Good
Spalling		None noted
Erosion		Minor at downstream base
Cracking		None noted
Rusting or staining of concrete		None noted
Visible reinforcing		None noted
Efflorescence		None noted
Seepage		None noted
C. Right intake structure		
Condition of concrete	RK	Poor

Milford Town Dam Milford, N.H.

.

ţ ۱

November 1, 1978 NH 00312

	CHECK LISTS	FOR VISU	JAL INSPECTION
	AREA EVALUATED	BY	CONDITION & REMARKS
	Spalling	PR	Intermediate pier and down- stream wall spalled over $10\%$ of surface area, roof spalled over $50\%$ of its surface area
	Erosion		Over a vertical height of 2' starting at spillway crest level
	Cracking		Continuous horizontal crack on downstream face, 3' above spillway crest level
	Rusting or staining of concrete		None noted
	Visible reinforcing		None noted
	Efflorescence	PR	At horizontal crack
	Seepage	NAC	Under foundation of old mill building 8 feet downstream of the spillway at the rate of 4 to 8 gpm
	Condition of gates	F.C	Inoperable
	Gates		Rotted
	Operating equipment		Inoperable
	Stop logs		Good
	Trash racks	AC	Rusted: one panel missing from upstream intake
RESI	ERVOIR		
Α.	Shoreline		
	Evidence of slides	NAC	None noted
	Potential for slides		Shoreline stable
B.	Sedimentation	NAC	Leaves and silt behind spillway

A-6

# Milford Town Dam Milford, N.H.

•

# November 1, 1978 NH 00312

AREA EVALUATED		BY		CONDITION & REMARKS
c.	Debris	N	AC	Temporary debris along crest of spillway; will be washed away when flow in- creases
D.	Upstream Hazard Areas in Event of Backflooding			None noted
Ε.	Changes in Nature of Watershed			None noted
DOW	INSTREAM CHANNEL			
Α.	Trees Overhanging Channel		- 	Several trees up to 4 inch diam. and brush overhanging right side on the downstream training wall
в.	Channel Bottom			Bedrock exposed at toe of spillway
OPE FEA	RATION AND MAINTENANCE TURES			
Α.	Reservoir Regulation Plan			
	Normal procedures			Stop logs are maintained at spillway crest elevation
	Emergency Procedures			Stop logs can be pulled to lower reservoir level
	Compliance with desig- nated plan			No operations have been per- formed
в.	Maintenance			
	Quality			Does not appear to have been any maintenance since dam was rebuilt in 1966
	Adequacy	N	I AC	Situation requires a regular

A-7

### APPENDIX B

1

0

E

		Page
FIGURE 1	Site Plan	B-2
	Plan of Dam	B-3
	Details of Dam	B-4
	Sections of Dam	B-5
	Layout of Dam	B-6
	List of Pertinent Data not Included and Their Location	B-7























The New Hamsphire Water Resources Board (NHWRB), 37 Pleasant Street, Concord, N.H. 03301, maintains a correspondence file on the dam including prior inventory and inspection reports. Most of these reports pertain to the previous dam existing at the site and not the present dam although there is an inspection report for a 1974 inspection at the dam.

1

•

E

## APPENDIX C

;

j T

i K

.

İ

I

#### SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS

C-1

i K

•





i





÷

- `

1

Ľ

ł

• •

5. View of old mill building from left abutment showing old discharge channel from power plant in building



#### APPENDIX D

•

ł

## HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

Dam Safety Millord Town Dam, #13 TCG, 3/6/79 p.1 :- 5 Stage-Discharge Curve. The information used to establish the crosssection at Milford Town Dam was determined from field notes, old plans, and FIS survey notes. P(0,260) (-195,240.4) (177,240.4) (-4,242) (0,242) (-4,235,2) (177,235.2) G2 Q, 173 4'~1 h=6.8 15 h=5.21 173' h=0 Flow through the gate is considered to be negligible, as the gate is almost closed by stoplogs, and there is no established procedure for operation in a storm.

165 Dam Safety Milford Town Dam #13

for h= 0 to 5.2  $(\lambda_3 = 3.3 (173) h^{3/2}$ 

 $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_4 = O$ 

Γ

A P. P. March 1115 A Links

T

 $h = 5.2 \pm 6.8$   $(a_{-} = 2.8(2.23) (h - 5.2) (.5(h - 5.2))^{3/2}$   $Q_{2} = 3.0 (18) (h - 5.2)^{3/2}$  h = 6.8 up  $Q_{4} = 3.0 (4) (h - 6.8)^{3/2}$ 

our Flows of interest, dam s a sharp esterweir,

I(6,3/6/74, 22

Broad-crested earthweir, C=2.8
Broad-crested concrete weir, C=3.0

<u>Tailwater Submergence</u> At high flows the tailwater on the Souhegan River Submerges the spillway at Milford Town Dam. This tailwater Submergence reduces flow over the spillway. The Bureau of Reclamation's <u>Design of Small Dams</u>, figure 254 gives a plot of the reduction factor for an ogee spillway. Reduction for a sharp-crested weir is similar. The reduction depends on Hz/H, with Hz and H as defined in This sketch:



The relationship in Figure 254 for Hz/H, 7.15 can be approximated:

**D-3** 

165 Dam Safety \_ Milford Town Dam, #13 \_\_\_\_\_ IC6 \_ 3/6/79 p 3 C, = reduction factor = 1.0 for H1/H =.7  $C_1 = 1.063 - .04096 (H/H_2)$ 15 & Hz/ E.7 H2 = H-H, (see sketch, p. 2), where H, is taken from a linear estimated based on ANCO WSP-2 runs (see p.4):  $H_1 = \frac{1}{1200} Q + 233.33 - 235.2$ = 1/2200 Q- 1.87 If H. LO, there is no reduction (water is below the spill-Way). IF H, 70, The reduction factor applies. A BASIC subroutine to calculate the reduction factor (C,) is in lines 380-540 of the stage-Discharge calculation program on 2 27.5-8 D-4



P.S ("TOTAL"5X"LEFT BANK"5X"RIGHT BANK"5X "SPILLWAY" TEP 0.5 FROM MILFORD TOWN DAM AS A FUNCTION OF HEAD" 165 STAGE DISCHARGE PROGRAM FOR MILFORD TOWN DAM, JOB On tape 10, file 61 (0.5\*(H-5.2))11.5 20,150,140 HEAD" 301"DISCHARGE" "(CFS)" 20,80.20 3.3\*173\*H11.5 ) # 32T STEP 350: H DISCHARGE 60: 88 1+04+03 8 380 1 USING 103 N. -X>\*+\* (=6.8 2041 MAGE Z MAG MAG MAG 2=0 С П 81=0 1 **DSOD** PRIN ZIZO Z 9=4 ŧ 1 . Ľ Ħ ŝ ۵L 0000000 0000000 348 348 88 28 68 300 19 30 48 50 Ø

Ĵ

\_

•

Ê

D-6

3-235.2 33.33 488 NUH. Ū RETURN Ħ u 528 538 548 480 510 588 20 490 58

.

Í

D-7

P.6

HEAD FUNCTION OF æ AS DAM MILFORD TOWN FROM DISCHARGE

í

SPILLUAY RIGHT ¥ DISCHARGE (CFS) LEFT BAN ~~~©©©©©©©©©©©©©©©© • . . . . . • • . • . . - A HEAD FEET; 00---NNWW4455000/--NNWW4

Þ.7

D-8

Ĩ . ŗ • X • • . ť

.





165 Dam Safety Milford Town Dam, #13 T26, 3/6/79, p. 9. Storage - Elevation Curve The Storage - Elevation curve for Milford Town Dam is given on p. 10. This curve is based on a surface area of 8 acres and the assumption that the pond does not spread as it rises. 1" of runoff over 138 sq. mi , : -1''(138) (640 acres)  $\left(\frac{1}{12''}\right)$ = 7360 Ac-Ft. 1 Ac-Ft. Stores <u>1</u>.000136" of runoff 7360 1' rise will store 8 ac (1 ft) (.000136"/ = 00109 of runoff. D-10



165 Dam Safety Milford Town Dam,#13 TCG\_3/6/79, p. 1

## Dam Failure Analysis

- Assume that the dam fails when the water level reaches the left abutment, h=5.2 (elevation 240.4). From The stage-discharge curve, this would require a discharge of 6780 cfs. The Tailwater Elevation curve on p.4 indicates that this flow would create a tailwater elevation of 236.41 MSL.

Peak Failure Outflow: Normal outflow + Dreachoutflow Normal outflow= 6790 cfs Breachoutflow= QZ,= Wb 8/27 (g 1/3/2 yo=height of water surface above tailwater. =240.4 -236.4=4' Wb=width of breach = . 4 (width of dam) = .4 (173) = 70'  $Q_{p_1} = 70 (8/27) \sqrt{g} + \frac{3}{2} = 940 \text{ cfs}$ 7720 cfs, which would increase Peakoutflow: the tailwater elevation . 51, to 236.9' MSL. P. 12 shows the path of the Souhegan River downstream of Milford Town Dam. An extensive analysis of the downstream dam failure hazard potential is not required, since The increase in Flooding caused by dam failure is negligible at The dam, and would quickly attenuate downstream.



165 Dam Safety Millord Town Dam # 13 TCG\_3/6/79 p-13

TEST FLOOD AWALYSIS

Size Classification: Small Hazard Classification: Low

The hazard classification is low because the failure of Milford Town Damwould create little or no significant increase in downstream flooding. The failure would cause a rise of 1/2' or less in The downstream water surface.

Test Flood: 50 to looyear

ANCO gives a fifty year flow at the dam of 1850 cfs. The 100 year flow is 10,500 cfs. Because the hazard is on the low side of low, we will use 8850 cfs. (<u>8850 cfs</u> = 64.1 csm)

The flow of 8850 cfs would produce a stage about 6.2 feet above the spillway crest (1.0 feet above the left aboutment, .6 feet below the right about ment, at elevation 241.44 MSL).

Amap of Milford Town Dam's Location is shown on p.14.


165 Dam Safety Milford Town Dam #13 Dww, P-15 Gage at Merrimack, New Humpshire (USGS) Transposition of gauge peak discharge to dam site: As = drainage area alsite Ag = drain age area at gablge.  $Q_5 = Q_G \left(\frac{A_S}{A_G}\right)$ Assume T=.75 for New England Qs = 16,900 (138).75 = 14,400 cfs. This flow would produce a stage about 8.6 ft. above the spill way crest ( 3.4 ft above left abutment, 1.8 ff above the right abutment, at ľ elevation 243.8 MSL) D-16

## APPENDIX E

1

.

. .

Ľ

.

1

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN

THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

NTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES	OD (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	MILFUXU TUMN DAM 425" . 1138.8 C44479	POPULAR NAME OF MEDUNDMENT	RIVER OR ST REAM CITY - TOWNSTREAM FROM POPULATION	IN NIVER MILFOWU C BIUL	(с) (с) (с) (с) (с) (с) (с) (с) (с) (с)	1400 0 12 12 130 60 E0 N N N N . 2447714	REMARKS	5-24-57 HE 71C	D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	73 0670 (cr.) (cr.) (cr.) "Shipted monthly we with the first of the fi	ENGINEERING BY CONSTRUCTION BY	AU MH HATER HESOUNCES PU	CONSTRUCTION OPERATION MAINTENANCE	DU AN MATER YES DU AT MATER YES BU 'I MATER ALS DU	(a) (b) (c) (	C DUNHICLIFF ASSUC UINCV78 PUBLIC LAN 42-507 HAUG1472	REMARKS	
	DN STATE COMMENT	11 02		<b>REGION BASIN</b>	CI JS SUUFE	TYPE OF DAM	1954		21 - LUNCHE IE	BILLWAY	HAS FREET TYPE	) MO	TUNN OF MILE	DESIGN	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		601 -105 MG 201		)

Ъ,



## FILMED

8-85

DTIC

- Stand of the state of the set o