1	56 384	NA Gri Ma	TIONAL EAT EA NEW E	PROGR St Lak Ngland	AM FOI E DAM DIV	R INSP C. CU JAN 7	ECTION CORP	OF NO S OF E	N-FED Nginei	RAL DI RS NAI	ANS THAM	1/	1	
UNCLA	SSIFIE	0									3/13	NL		
										20 20		ar Gan		
			8											
			_											



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS (96) A

PISCATAQUA RIVER BASIN WAKEFIELD, NEW HAMPSHIRE GREAT EAST LAKE DAM NH 00111 NHWRB NO. 241.14 PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM Rops around the s MTC Ber 19 Rement fully include

AD-A156 384

FILE COPY

C.)



1817 A

O A

12

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

JANUARY 1979

85

06

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (MININ Data	Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
I. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	J. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NH 00111	L	
4 TITLE (and Subilite) Great East Lake Dam		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Great Last Lake Dam		INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF	NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(#)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEE	DC	12. REPORT DATE
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	ĸs	January 1979 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 0225	4	. 57
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilleren	t from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRI	BUTION UNLIMITED	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered	in Block 20, if different fro	ø Report)
Cover program reads: Phase I Inspec however, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date f	program is: Natio	onal Program for Inspection of
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde it necessary and DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	id identify by block number)	
Piscataqua RIver Basin Wakefield New Hampshire Salmon Falls River		
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number)	
The dam is a 68 ft. long, 15 ft. H concrete. The dam is in excellent is intermediate in size with a sig is recommended that the owner engo the potential for overtopping and	t condition, base gnificant hazard age a qualified e	d on the visual inspection. It potential classification. It ngineer to evaluate further

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS DESOLETE

.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

NEDED

APR 1 2 1979

Honorable Hugh J. Gallen Governor of the State of New Hampshire State House Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Dear Governor Gallen:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Great East Lake Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Water Resources Board, the cooperating agency for the State of New Hampshire. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, New Hampshire Water Resources Board, 37 Pleasant Street, Concord, New Hampshire 03301, ATTN: Mr. George M. McGee, Sr., Chairman.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Water Resources Board for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl As stated JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer GREAT EAST LAKE DAM

Accession For NTIS GRA&I

Justification_

Distribution/

Availability Codes

Spreight

t j

DTIC TAB Unanneum fod

By_

Dist

NH 00111

C

NHWRB 241.14



PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

D

FROM THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS TO THE STATE TO BE SUPPLIED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No.: 00111

Name of Dam: Great East Lake Dam

Town: Wakefield

County and State: Carroll, New Hampshire

Stream: Salmon Falls River

Date of Inspection: November 16, 1978

Great East Lake Dam is a 68 foot long, 15 foot high composite structure consisting of stone and concrete. This dam, originally constructed in about 1825, was reconstructed by the New Hampshire Water Resources Board in 1972. The present dam has a vertical concrete wall at the upstream face and two spillway sections, one section on each side of the outlet works structure. The outlet works consists of a six foot wide sluiceway regulated by a mechanically operated gate. Engineering data available consisted of several sketches and past inspection reports. No construction data or design calculations were available.

The visual inspection indicated that, from the geotechnical and structural standpoints, the dam is in excellent condition. The inspection did reveal, however, minor bulging and misalignment of the vertical, dry-masonry walls on the sides of the discharge channel.

Based on the dam's intermediate size and significant hazard classification in accordance with the Corps guidelines, the test flood is one-half the PMF. The spillway will pass only about 39 percent of the test flood and is considered inadequate. Under test flood conditions, the dam would be overtopped by approximately 1.5 feet.

It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified engineer to evaluate further the potential for overtopping and the inadequacy of the spillway. Also, provisions should be made by the owner to inspect the condition of the vertical, dry-masonry walls on the sides of the discharge channel from the dam to Canal Road at least once a year and make repairs when needed.

The recommendation and remedial measures are described in Section 7 and should be addressed within two years after receipt of this Phase I - Inspection Report by the owner.



5

Gordon H. Slancy, Jr., P.E.

Project Engineer

Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff Boston, Massachusetts

This Phase I Inspection Report on Great East Lake Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

phu. Fine OSEPH W. FENEGAN, JR., MEMBER rer Control Branch

Ingineering Division

Ω

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

sach q. Mr. Elroy

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, CHAIRMAN Chief, NED Materials Testing Lab. Foundations & Materials Branch Engineering Division

APPENTAL IT SHOULD END

The Friday sur

Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

ה

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there by any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential. TABLE OF CONTENTS

E

Section	Page
Letter of Transmittal	
Brief Assessment	
Review Board Page	
Preface	i
Table of Contents	- ii-iv
Overview Photo	v
Location Map	vi
REPORT	
1. PROJECT INFORMATION	1-1
1.1 General	1-1
a. Authority b. Purpose of Inspection	1-1 1-1
1.2 Description of Project	1-1
 a. Location b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances c. Size Classification dzard Classification e. Ownership f. Operator g. Purpose of Dam h. Design and Construction History i. Normal Operational Procedure 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1-1\\ 1-2\\ 1-2\\ 1-2\\ 1-2\\ 1-2\\ 1-3\\ 1-3\\ 1-3\\ 1-3 \end{array} $
1.3 Pertinent Data	1-3
2. ENGINEERING DATA	2-1
2.1 Design Data	2-1
2.2 Construction Data	2-1
2.3 Operation Data	2-1
2.4 Evaluation of Data	2-1

Sec	tion		Page
3.	VISU	JAL INSPECTION	3-1
	3.1	Findings	3-1
		 a. General b. Dam c. Appurtenant Structures d. Reservoir Area e. Downstream Channel 	3-1 3-1 3-1 3-2 3-2
	3.2	Evaluation	3-2
4.	OPEF	RATIONAL PROCEDURES	4-1
	4.1	Procedures	4-1
	4.2	Maintenance of Dam	4-1
	4.3	Maintenance of Operating Facilities	4-1
	4.4	Description of any Warning System in Effect	4-1
	4.5	Evaluation	4-1
5.	HYDF	RAULIC/HYDROLOGY	5-1
	5.1	Evaluation of Features	5-1
		 a. General b. Design Data c. Experience Data d. Visual Observation e. Overtopping Potential f. Dam Failure Analysis 	5-1 5-1 5-1 5-1 5-1 5-2
6.	STRU	ICTURAL STABILITY	6-1
	6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	6-1
		 a. Visual Observation b. Design and Construction Data c. Operating Records d. Post-Construction Changes e. Seismic Stability 	6-1 6-1 6-1 6-1 6-1

Π

Sec	tion		Page
7.	ASSE	SSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	7-1
	7.1	Dam Assessment	7-1
		 a. Condition b. Adequacy of Information c. Urgency d. Need for Additional Investigation 	7-1 7-1 7-1 7-1
	7.2	Recommendations	7-1
	7.3	Remedial Measures	7-1
	7.4	Alternatives	7-2

D

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX	Α	-	INSPECTION CHECKLIST
APPENDIX	В	-	ENGINEERING DATA
APPENDIX	С	-	PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX	D	-	HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS
APPENDIX	E	-	INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS





NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT GREAT EAST LAKE DAM

SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of New Hampshire. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff under a letter of October 23, 1978, from John P. Chandler, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-78-C-0356 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose

(1) To perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.

(2) To encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location. Great East Lake Dam is located on the Salmon Falls River approximately 5.3 miles upstream of Milton Mills, New Hampshire, across the Maine-New Hampshire State line in Wakefield, New Hampshire and Newfield, Maine. The dam is shown on U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Newfield, Maine-New Hampshire with coordinates approximately N43^O34'06", W70^O58'30" Carroll County, New Hampshire, York County, Maine. The location of Great East Lake Dam is shown on the Location Map immediately preceding this page.

b. <u>Descrition of Dam and Appurtenances</u>. Great East Lake Dam is a composite structure, approximately 68 feet long, consisting of stone and concrete. The maximum structural height of the dam, according to field measurement, is about 15 feet measured from the base to the top of the concrete wall. This dam, originally constructed in about 1825, was reconstructed by the New Hampshire Water Resources Board in 1972. The present dam has a vertical concrete wall at the upstream face and two spillway sections, one section on each side of the outlet works structure.

The appurtenant structures consist of a spillway with flash boards, outlet works structure consisting of sluiceway with wooden gate, concrete block gate house, service deck and intake and discharge channels. The sluiceway outlet works is located at the original Salmon Falls River bed.

Figure 1, located in Appendix B, shows the plan of the dam and its appurtenant structures. Photographs of each structure are shown in Appendix C.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Intermediate (hydraulic height -15 feet high, storage - 27,700 acre-feet) based on storage (≧ 1,000 to 50,000 acre-feet) as given in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. The dam's potential for damage rates if as a significant hazard classification. A major breach could result in a maximum flood wave stage of 7.2 feet in Milton Mills, 5.3 miles downstream. The flood wave includes spillway flow at the top of dam. Between Great East Lake and Milton Mills there are few structures, except around Horn Pond 2,000 feet downstream, which would be affected by the anticipated rise in water level. Horn Pond would probably increase about 7.6 feet in level, thus flooding of homes surrounding the pond with the possible loss of a few lives, could be expected. See Section 5 of this report for details.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. This dam is owned by the New Hampshire Water Resources Board, Concord, New Hampshire 03301. Prior to 1963, the dam was owned by the Public Service Company of New Hampshire.

f. Operator. This dam is maintained and operated by the State of New Humpshire Water Resources Board, 37 Pleasant Street, Concord, New Hampshire 03301. Chairman of the Water Resources Board is Mr. George M. McGee, Sr.; Mr. Vernon Knowlton is Chief Engineer. Telephone No. (603)271-1110. g. <u>Purpose of Dam</u>. The purpose of this dam is primarily to provide a recreational lake with some flood control benefits and water supply for power generation which are described in Section 4, Operational Procedures.

h. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. The dam at Great East Lake was originally constructed in about 1825 for the Great Falls Manufacturing Company to regulate the supply of water for power generation. No plans are available. About 1972 the dam was reconstructed by the New Hampshire Water Resources Board. No design or construction data were disclosed for this dam.

i. <u>Normal Operational Procedure</u>. Great East Lake Dam is used to control water levels on Great East Lake for recreational, flood control and power generating purposes. During the summer the outlet gate is closed and the lake level is controlled by the spillway. Following the recreational season, the level is dropped four to five feet to provide water for power generation downstream of Milton, N.H. and to provide flood control storage for winter and spring runoff.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area. The area above Great East Lake Dam consists of 16 square miles of undeveloped area except for dwellings along the lake shore. Elevation through the basin varies from 900 to 570 feet MSL with sharp relief at the edges of the watershed and some flat areas in the central basin west of the lake.

The reservoir area of 1,800 acres takes up 18 percent of watershed area. It is heavily wooded with rolling terrain on the east and south sides. There are many cottages and docks located along the shore.

b. Discharge at Dam Site.

(1) The outlet works for Great East Lake Dam consist of one six (6) foot wide gate, set at invert 566.3 three feet above the streambed.

(2) No records of maximum discharge were disclosed.

(3) The spillway capacity with the water surface at the top of dam is approximately 350 cfs at elevation 578.5.

(4) The spillway capacity with the water surface at the test flood elevation of 580.0 is approximately 770 cfs.

(5) The total project discharge at the test flood elevation of 580.0 is approximately 900 cfs.

- c. Elevation (feet above MSL)
- (1) Streambed at centerline of dam 563.1.
- (2) Maximum tailwater 571.4.
- (3) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel none.
- (4) Recreation Pool 576.3
- (5) Full flood control pool 578.5.
- (6) Spillway crest (permanent spillway) 576.3.
- (7) Design surcharge unknown.
- (8) Top Dam 578.5.
- (9) Test Flood Surcharge 580.0.
- d. Reservoir (miles)
- (1) Length of Maximum Pool 3.8.
- (2) Length of Recreational Pool 3.8.
- (3) Length of Flood Control Pool 3.8.
- e. Storage (gross acre-feet)
- (1) Recreation Pool 19,600.
- (2) Flood Control Pool 27,700.
- (3) Spillway Crest Pool 23,760.
- (4) Top of Dam 27,700.
- f. <u>Reservoir Surface</u> (acres) vertical sides assumed.
- (1) Recreation Pool 1,800.
- (2) Flood Control Pool 1,800.
- (3) Spillway Crest 1,800.
- (4) Test Flood Pool 1,800.
- (5) Top Dam 1,800.

- g. Dam
- (1) Type concrete gravity dam.
- (2) Length 67.8 feet, overall.
- (3) Height 15.4 feet (maximum).
- (4) Top Width varies.
- (5) Side Slopes US = vert.; DS = variable.
- (6) Zoning unknown.
- (7) Impervious core none.
- (8) Cutoff unknown.
- (9) Grout Curtain Unknown.

(10) Other - none.

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

None.

- i. Spillway
- (1) Type concrete broad crest weir.
- (2) Length of Weir total 41 feet.
- (3) Crest Elevation 576.3.
- (4) Gates stoplogs.
- (5) U/S Channel none.

(6) Downstream Channel. Through an 1,800 foot downstream reach of channel, the stream bed consists of a 13 foot bottom width channel with 10 foot high vertical banks all lined with stone masonary. About 700 feet downstream of the dam is a stone arch bridge for Canal Road.

j. <u>Regulating Outlets</u>. Water levels on Great East Lake can be controlled through a six (6) foot wide opening in the dam with a concrete invert set at elevation 566.3. The outlet is regulated by a gate which is mechanically operated from a gate house set on top of the dam. In addition, stoplogs can be placed immediately in front of the gate. The maximum discharge capacity of the gate with the water surface at the top of dam is approximately 650 cfs at elevation 578.5.

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

1

The dam at Great East Lake was originally constructed in about 1825 for the Great Falls Manufacturing Company to regulate the supply of water for power generation. No plans or design data for the original construction are available. In 1972, the dam was reconstructed by the State of New Hampshire Water Resources Board. No design data were disclosed for this reconstruction. The only design data located were some hydraulic calculations for an emergency spillway design, dated 1971, and past inspection reports. The emergency spillway, however, does not appear to have been constructed.

2.2 Construction

No construction records were available for use in evaluating the dam.

2.3 Operation

No engineering operatic.al data were disclosed.

2.4 Evaluation

a. <u>Availability</u>. Little engineering data were available for Great East Lake Dam. A search of the files of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board revealed only a limited amount of recorded information.

b. <u>Adequacy</u>. The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and sound engineering judgment.

c. Validity. The field investigation indicated that the external features of Great East Lake Dam substantially agree with those sketches and photos made during past inspections.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

Π

a. <u>General</u>. The field inspection of Great East Lake Dam was made on November 16, 1978. The inspection team consisted of personnel from Howard, Needles, Tammen & Bergendoff and Geotechnical Engineers, Inc. Inspection checklists, completed during the visual inspection are included in Appendix A. At the time of the inspection, the water level was approximately 2 feet - 4 inches below the permanent spillway elevation. No water was passing over the spillway. The upstream face of the dam could only be inspected above this water level.

b. Dam. Visual inspection indicates that the entire length of the dam between the abutments is concrete and that there is no embankment section between the ends of the concrete dam and the natural ground at the abutments.

It is not possible to determine from the visual inspection whether the concrete dam is founded on bedrock or soil.

At the time of the visual inspection there were no signs of seepage discharging from the foundation or abutments at the downstream side of the dam, which is consistent with the statement made in a New Hampshire Water Resources Board letter dated June 24, 1974 that "reconstruction (within the past couple of years) sealed off a considerable amount of leakage through the dam." (See Appendix B for referenced letter).

c. Appurtenant Structures. Visual inspection of the concrete spillway, outlet works structure and spillway/outlet works discharge channel did not reveal any evidence of stability problems. The concrete structures are in good sound condition. At the time of the visual inspection there were no signs of any concrete surface deteriorations.

The spillway structure consists of two 20-foot wide, flat slab sections, with one on either side of the outlet works structure. Each spillway slab has flash boards installed on the upstream face, as shown in Photos 2, and 3. The concrete spillway slabs were placed over a dry-masonry foundation and, in some areas, were probably founded on bedrock. The concrete surface of the spillway structure is in good condition.

The outlet works consists of a wooden, mechanically operated gate, gate house and concrete sluiceway through the dam. The sluiceway has a maximum effective opening of 6 feet wide by 12 feet high. The gate was not operated but visual inspection indicated that it was in good condition. The outlet works structure is located at the original Salmon Falls River bed. The concrete block gate house, located over the outlet works channel, is in good condition.

The service deck over the left spillway section and outlet works consists of a concrete deck, tube railing and concrete supports. The deck and the supports are in very good condition as shown in Photo 4.

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. The reservoir area is heavily wooded, rolling terrain. A more detailed description of the drainage area is included in Section 1.3 of this report. Many cottages and docks were observed along the shores. The area immediately behind the dam forms an approach channel to the spillway and outlet structures. The amount of siltation within the reservoir is unknown.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. The discharge from the dam to the Canal Road, several hundred feet downstream, is about 10 feet deep and 10-15 feet wide. The sides of the channel are vertical, dry-masonry walls as shown in Photos 11 and 12. These dry-masonry walls have bulged locally and deviate slightly from a straight alignment, but no collapses have occurred.

There are some trees growing adjacent to the channel.

3.2 Evaluation

1

From the geotechnical and structural aspects of the inspection, Great East Lake Dam is considered to be in excellent condition.

There is no visual evidence of seepage through the foundation and abutments, which, according to the records, had been a problem prior to reconstruction of the dam in 1972.

The vertical, dry-masonry walls on the sides of the discharge channel, which have bulged locally and deviate slightly from a straight alignment, will continue to deteriorate with time. They should be insepcted periodically and be repaired, as needed, as part of the routine maintenance program.

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedure

The Great East Lake Dam is used primarily for the retention of Great East Lake which is used for recreational purposes. Secondary purposes of the dam and its resulting reservoir area is for control of winter and early spring runoff and water supply for power generation. The normal operational procedure for this dam is to remove the stoplogs in the sluiceway and open the sluiceway gate sometime in the month of October or November of each year thus lowering the reservoir level approximately 4 feet. The resultant available storage is used to control snowmelt and heavy runoff during the winter and spring months. In May of each year, the stoplogs are then reinserted into the sluiceway and the gate closed, thus returning the reservoir level to its summertime recreational level.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

This dam is visited by one of the State of New Hampshire Water Resources Board's dam operators approximately once per week. During these visits water levels are recorded, grass is cut as necessary, painting is done as necessary and any major deficiencies that may be noted are reported to the Water Resources Board.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

Maintenance on the outlet works facilities is done on an as needed basis.

4.4 Description of Warning Systems

There are no warning systems in effect at this facility.

4.5 Evaluation

The current operation and maintenance procedures for Great East Lake Dam are inadequate to insure that all be determ encountered can be remedied within a reasonable procedure of the The owner should establish a written operation of the term procedure as well as establishing a warming by the term of in event of flood flow conditions or insurence to the

SECTION 5 HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

5.1 Evaluation of Features

General. Great East Lake Dam is a composite structure а. consisting of concrete and stone having a total length of approximately 68 feet and a maximum structural height of about 15 feet. The appurtenant structures consist of two 20'-6"spillway sections, one either side of the outlet works and the outlet works, itself. The outlet works consist of a 6 foot wide sluiceway regulated by a mechanically operated gate. In addition, stoplogs can be placed immediately in front of the gate. The dam is located in the Salmon Falls River and creates an impoundment of water primarily used for recreational purposes. By lowering the reservoir level during the winter. the storage created behind the dam is also used to provide some control over snewmelt and stormwater runoff during the winter months. Great East Lake Dam is classified as being intermediate in size having a maximum storage of 27,700 acreferit

b. Design Data. No hydrologic or hydraulic design data were disclosed for Great East Lake Dam.

c. Experience Data. The maximum discharge at this dam site is unbucker.

d. Visual Observations. No evidence of damage to any portion of the project from overtopping was visible at the time of the inspection.

Overtopping Potential. As no detailed design and е. operational information are available, hydrologic evaluation was performed using dam information gathered by field inspection, watershed size and an estimated test flood equal to 1/2the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) as determined by guide curves issued by the Corps of Engineers. Based on a drainage area of 16 square miles, it was estimated that the test flood inflow at Great East Lake Dam would be 5,200 cfs. Following the guidance for Estimating Effect of Surcharge Storage on Maximum Probable Discharge results in a test flood discharge As the maximum spillway capacity of the top of 900 cfs. of the dam is 350 cfs (approximately 39 percent of the test flood discharge flow), the test flood will cause the dam to be overtopped by approximately 1.5 feet.

Dam Failure Analysis. The impact of failure of the f. dam at maximum pool (top of dam) was assessed using the "Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs issued by the Corps of Engineers. The analysis covered the reach extending from the dam to Milton Mills, 5.3 miles downstream. Failure of Great East Lake Dam would probably result in an increase of 7.7 feet in the pond level of Horn Pond located 2,000 to 7,000 feet downstream of the An increase in depth of this magnitude would probably dam. flood many of the cottages along the shore. Hazard to life resulting from the rise in water level should be minimal as it would rise at a rate of about one foot per hour. Between Horn Pond and the Town of Milton Mills 3.9 miles downstream, there are very few structures effected by any rise in stream stage. At Milton Mills, 5.3 miles downstream of the dam, the breach of dam outflow plus spillway discharge would probably result in a river stage of about 7.2 feet which would appear to cause no damage.

It should be noted, in regards to overtopping and dam failure, that because the dam is constructed entirely of concrete and stone, it is possible that the dam could withstand some overtopping without dam failure.

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

1

a. <u>Visual Observations</u>. The visual observation did not indicate any stability problems with respect to sliding and overturning of the concrete dam, or seepage through the foundation and abutments.

Minor bulging and misalignment of the vertical, drymasonry walls on the sides of the discharge channel downstream of the dam indicate that the stability of those walls is deteriorating with time, and that they will need to be repaired from time to time as part of the routine maintenance program.

b. Design and Construction Data. No design or construction data are available. Therefore, the evaluation of the structural stability must be based primarily on the information from the visual inspection.

c. Operating Records. The records that were reviewed indicate that significant seepage had occurred through the foundation and/or abutments of the dam, and that this seepage had stopped after the reconstruction of the dam in 1972. No other operating records pertinent to the structural stability of the dam were available.

d. <u>Post-construction Changes</u>. The records that were reviewed indicate that the dam was refaced and the spillway modified in 1972.

e. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2, and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines does not warrant seismic analysis.

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

П

a. <u>Condition</u>. From the geotechnical and structural standpoints, this dam is considered to be in excellent condition. However, as hydraulic analysis reveals that the dam cannot pass the required test flood, the overall condition of the dam is considered good. The inspection revealed only minor bulging and misalignment of the vertical, dry-masonry walls on the sides of the discharge channel.

b. Adequacy of Information. The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and sound engineering judgment.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. This dam is in good condition. The recommendations and remedial measures described in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 should be accomplished within two years after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report by the owner.

d. <u>Need for Additional Investigation</u>. The findings of this inspection indicate that there is no need for additional investigation.

7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified engineer to evaluate further the potential for overtopping and the inadequacy of the spillway.

7.3 Remedial Measures

(a) Inspect the condition of the vertical, dry-masonry walls on the sides of the discharge channel from the dam to Canal Road at least once a year and make repairs when needed.

(b) Develop a written operational procedure and warning system to follow in the event of flood flow conditions or imminent dam failure. The warning system should discuss the operation of the gates during flood flow conditions and the steps to be taken by local officials for altering downstream residents in case of emergency.

(c) Institute a technical inspection program on a biennial basis.

7.4 Alternatives

ה

There are no practical alternatives to the recommendations in Section 7.2 and 7.3 except that on an interim basis the owner may consider operating the reservoir at a lower level throughout the year so as to provide more storage for extreme flood events.



APPENDIX A

D

VISUAL CHECKLIST WITH COMMENTS

PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE Nov. 16, 1978
	TIME_ 3:00 P.M.
	WEATHER Sunny, Cool
	W.S. ELEV. <u>574.1</u> U.S. <u>564</u> DN.S
PARTY:	
. Gordon Slaney	6
2. <u>Stan Nazur</u>	7
3. Ronald Hirschfeld	8
· ·	9
5	10
PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY REMARKS
1 Dam	Ronald Hirschfeld
2. Spillway/Outlet Works	Stan Mazur
3	Gordon Slaney
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
n	

ľ

PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE, Nov. 16, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Dam	NAME R. Hirschfeld
DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
DAM FMBANGMENT	
Crest Elevation	No embankment.
Current Pool Elevation	
Maximum Impoundment to Date	
Surface Cracks	
Pavement Condition	
Movement or Settlement of Crest	
Lateral Movement	
Vertical Alignment	
Horizontal Alignment	
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	
Trespassing on Slopes	
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	s
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seconde	
Piping or Boils	
Foundation Draininge Features	
Toe Drains	
Instrumentation System	

PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE Nov. 16, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Intake Channel/Structur	e NAME R. Hirschfeld
DISCIPLINE_Structura/Hydraulic/Geotech Engine	nical NAME S. Mazur, G. Slaney
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
DUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHARGEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE	
a. Approach Channel	
Slope Conditions	Good.
Bottom Conditions	Good.
Rock Slides or Falls	None.
Log Boom	
Debris	
Condition of Concrete Lining	
Drains or Weep Holes	None apparent.
b. Intake Structure	
Condition of Concrete	Good.
Stop Logs and Slots	Good.

PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE Nov. 16, 1978						
PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Morks/Controls	NAME S. Mazur						
DISCIPIINE_Structural_Engineer	NAME	,					
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION						
OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER	Concrete-sluiceway structure with mechanically controlled wooden gate.						
a. Concrete and Structural	meenanically concrossed woolen gate.	'					
General Condition	Good.						
Condition of Joints	Good.						
Spalling	None.	,					
Visible Reinforcing	None.						
Rusting or Staining of Concrete	None observed.						
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None observed.	'					
Joint Alignment	Good.						
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	None observed.	,					
Cracks							
Rusting or Corrosion of Steel							
b. Mechanical and Electrical							
Air Vents	Mechanical control for wooden gate. Good condition.						
Float Wells							
Crane Hoist							
Elevator							
Hydraulic System							
Service Gates		- ·					
Energence Gates							
Lightning Protection System							
Evergency Power System							
Wiring and Lighting System							
PERIODIC INSPECT							
--	--	------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	-------	-----------	--
PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH PROJECT FEATURE Transitions & Conduit DISCIPLINE		DATE Nov. 16, 1978 NAME NAME					
				AREA EVALUATED		CONDITION	
				OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDULT	None.		
General Condition of Concrete							
Rust or Staining on Concrete							
Spalling							
Erosion or Cavitation							
Cracking							
Alignment of Monoliths							
Alignment of Joints							
Numbering of Monoliths							

PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE Nov. 16, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Structure/Channel	NAME R. Hirschfeld
DISCIPLINE_Structural/Hydraulic/Geotechnical Engineers	NAME S. Mazur, G. Slaney
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	Sluiceway which is only way of outlet- ting water other than the spillway con- sists of mechanically controlled wooden
General Condition of Concrete	gate. Gate and concrete in good con- dition.
Rust or Staining	Good. None observed.
Spalling '	None.
Erosion or Cavitation	None.
Visible Reinforcing	None.
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None observed.
Condition at Joints	
Drain Holes	None apparent.
Channel .	
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	Some trees overhanging canal.
Condition of Discharge Channel	Bulges in dry masonry canal wall, but otherwise in good condition.

PROJECT Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE Nov. 16, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Spillway/Channel	NAME R. Hirschfeld
DISCIPLINE Structural/Hydraulic/Geotechn Engine	ical NAME S. Mazur, C. Slaney
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
DUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	
a. Approach Channel	
General Condition	Good.
Loose Rock Overhanding Channel	None.
Trees Overhanging Channel	None.
Floor of Approach Channel	Boulders, sand and gravel.
• Weir and Training Walls	
General Condition of Concrete	Good.
Rust or Staining	None observed.
Spalling	None.
Any Visible Reinforcing	None.
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None observed.
Drain Holes	None apparent.
c. Discharge Channel	
General Channel	Good.
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	Walls of canal are dry masonry.
Trees Overhanging Channel	Some trees.
Floor of Channel	Boulders.
Other Obstructions	None.

PROJECT_ Great East Lake Dam, NH	DATE Nov. 16, 1978
PROJECT FEATURE Service Deck	NAME S. Mazur
DISCIPLINE Structural Engineer	NAME
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE	
a. Super Structure	Service-deck over spillway consist of a
Bearings	concrete deck and railing.
Anchor Bolts	
Bridge Seat	
Longitudinal Members	
Under Side of Deck	· · · ·
Secondary Bracing	
Deck	Good.
Drainage System	None.
Railings	Good.
Expansion Joints	None.
Paint	
b. Abutment & Piers	Service-deck is supported on spillway walls and short concrete piers.
General Condition of Concrete	
Alignment of Abutment	
Approach to Bridge	
Condition of Seat & Backwall	

APPENDIX B

1. LIST OF DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS

2. PLANS AND DETAILS

3

3. PAST INSPECTION REPORTS

AVAILABLE ENGINEERING DATA

Π

.

No engineering design data, plans or construction data were found to be available for Great East Lake Dam.



ىرىنى ئەرىپىلىدى. يەرىپىيە ۋۆۋەتتە ۋۆۋەتتە تەرىپىيە تەرىپىيە تەرىپىيە تەرىپىيە تەرىپىيە تەرىپىيە تەرىپىيە تەرىپ

PERMANENT DATE DE STATE DE STATE WILLESTE DE STATE DE STATE DE STATE DE STATE DE STATE DE STATE

SECTION B-B



PAST INSPECTION REPORTS

n

í,

MEMORANDUN

October 1, 1970

TO: Verson A. Enswitcon, Mater Resources Engineer (Copy to Mr. Eckloff, Mater Supply & Pollution Control Commission)

RE: Proposed Fill on Land Adjoining Great Epst Lake in Wakefield

Л

The following measurements were taken and information coupilad to establish an elevation for filling in areas of land around the lake for future projects such as seways systems, buildings, beaches, etc.

On September 30, 1970, I want to the dum at the outlet of the lake and observed that there are steel pins in the concrete on the upstream side of the dam, one on the New Mampshire side and one on the Naine side and that they are both the same elevation as the spillway.

The elevation that we have for these plus to elevation 100.0 which was given by the Public Service Company of New Marpshire and is used in all past and present lake level recording and is documented in the court decree of 1940 which set the limits for drawdown. In the court decree under paragraph \$4, it notes for flowage rights as the height of the water "at which it stands at any season when the flow of the stream is running over the top of the respondent's said daw, the top of said spillway being at an elevation known as elevation 100.0",

Investigation into all recorded lake level readings on hand show relatively few instances of water in the lake exceeding elevation 109.0 and in the instances of the higher readings, elevation 100.25 would appear to be average. The highest recordings on hand are 100.5 on 4/19/38 and 100.8 on 5/23/57 and both were of short duration.

It is my conclusion from the information available that any ereas above elevation 100.0 adjoining this lake can be filled without encroaching upon the flowage rights of this loke.

It should be noted here that algorithm 574 on Great Best Lake as shown on the U.S.C.S. map is not recorded as having been established as "full lake" or equal to elevation 100.0 as we know it.

> Peter J. Verbas Mator Resources Engineer

State of New Hampshire WATER RESOURCES DOARD

37 Pleesant St.

Ι.

June 24, 1974

Mr. Villiam Haubrich, Vice President Great East Lake Association Tan Subset Avenue Cencord, NH 03301

Dear Mr. Haubrich:

n

In regard to your letter of May 8, 1974, and our conversation of this date relating to the Water Resources Board's operation of Great East Lake, I am supplying the following data which may be of interest to members of the Great East Lake Association for discussion at your annual meeting.

The Great East Lake dam was originally built by Great Falls Manufacturing Company about 150 years ago to supplement several other storage reservoirs on the Salmon Falls River to furnish power to operate downstream mills in Rochester, Somersworth, etc. These rights were sold to Public Service Company of New Hampshire 45 years ago for water conservation for hydroelectric generation along the Salmon Falls River. With taxes on both storage reservoirs and dams and labor for hydroelectric generation increasing, Public Service Company of New Hampshire in 1963 sold for one dollar seven dams and reservoirs in Nev Hampshire and Maine to the State of New Hampshire for operation by the Water Resources Eoard.

Since 1963 the N. H. Water Resources Board has maintained and operated the dam at the outlet of Great East Lake in general for the recreational interests of this lake. Following the recreational season the level of this lake is dropped four to five feet during the fall months to provide water for generation downstream of Milton, N. H., and to provide flood control storage for future runoff conditions. This operation and the operation of six other reservoirs on the Salmon Falls River during the recreational season provide a minimum flow for process water, and during the remainder of the year a supply of water for power generating plants downstream of the Milton dam. The flow of vater in the river is gauged by the use of a Talemark device located on the Milton Three Ponds Dam. These measurements are monitored daily, and gates and stop logs on the dams upstream are operated to meet the downstream requirements.

Throughout the year, dam operators average a weekly visit to Great East Lake, and during periods of high flow as many as four trips may be made. Over these past ten years, $t' \in W$ for hences a Board in conjustion with the State of Maine and the different interacts in the watershel, has transferred all of its find at the order of Great Hass help to the State of Maine, which cointains this property is public use for boat lamoching and other assorted uses.

Within the past couple of years, the Loard coepletely rebuilt the s den at the outlet, thereby providing a larger spillway creat which will result in a more stable water level; the creat being raintained by flashboards which under flood conditions can pass a substantial flow automatically, thereby relieving the Board of constant operation. East year the Board installed locking devices on the stop logs on this day to prevent unauthorized use of the structure. This reconstruction plan scaled off a considerable amount of leakage through the day which will result in further stabilizing the lake level.

Great East Lake has a vater surface of approximately 1800 acres, and a draiuage area of approximately 17 square miles which provides a lake rise of 5.4 inches of water on the lake for every inch of runoff on the drainage basin. During the fall and winter months the Water Resources Board lowers the lake to an amount which will store the spring runoff (possibly eight to ten inches), which is capable of raising the level of Great East Lake four or more fest in an average year. This storage provides relief from high water conditions to the area downstream of Great East Lake, as well as providing an economical use of the water released from this storage in the fall. In these past few years, the Board in cooperation with the lake property owners, the Fish and Game Departments and water users downstreas have adjusted its flow operation so as to lower the level of Great East Lake to benefit the fish spawning. Due to the vast abount of water stored on this lake. it has been necessary to begin the fall draudown right after Labor Day in order to accomplish the drawdown before October 15 without wasting the beneficial use of the water being discharged. In the past year of our operation, it appears that our operation has not the requirements of the association and will continue to do so in the future unless it is the interest of the different concerns to revise this operation.

Very truly yours,

Vernon A. Knowlton Chief Engineer

vak:js

enclosure: Rules and regulations for dredging and filling in the vaters of the State.

APPENDIX C

ה

PHOTOGRAPHS

FOR LOCATION OF PHOTOS, SEE FIGURE 1 LOCATED IN APPENDIX B



D

PHOTO NO. 1 - View of approach channel and reservoir.



PHOTO NO. 2 - View of approach channel and upstream side of dam.



PHOTO NO. 3 - View of dam from right abutment (upstream side).



PHOTO NO. 4 - View of dam from left abutment (upstream side).



PHOTO NO. 5 - View of upstream side of the dam.



PHOTO NO. 6 - View of downstream side of dam (outlet works structure).



H

R

ĥ

PHOTO NO. 7 - View of right section of spillway.



PHOTO NO. 8 - View of left section of spillway.



PHOTO NO. 9 - Detail of right spillway section.



PHOTO NO. 10 - Detail of left spillway section.



PHOTO NO. 11 - View of discharge channel, looking upstream.



PHOTO NO. 12 - View of discharge channel, looking downstream.

APPENDIX D

D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

	Made by	RY	Dat 11/30/78	JULIN 5627-11-,
IOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFI	Checked by	1.1919	Date 1111-12	
" GREAT EAST LAKE	DAM		1	

GREAT EAST LAKE DAM Located in Wakefield

N.H on the Maine-New Hampshire Boundry across the Salmon Falls River tributary to the Atlantic Ocean.

<u>Classification</u> size : Intermediate Hazard : Significant

J

Basic Data D.A. = 16 sq.mi. (HNTB checked) Upstream Basin: use flat coastal as a 4070 of basin is either lake, swamp, or flat area Reservoit: Normal Pool 574.0 uses elev. Storage 19600 acre-ft Max. Fool 578.5 uses elev. 27,700 acre-ft. Surface Alea: 1800 acres

> Dam : Concrete 15.4' max.' height. 67.8' Long

Spillway: Z sections of broad crest weir 20.5' long each 576.3 crest elev.

Justiet: one 6ft. unde gate invert 566.3



......

HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF CONVARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF COREDIT EAST LAKE STEP1 Calculation of Spillway Design Flood (S. Classification Size: Interneediate Hazard: Significant Hydrologic Evaluation Guideline Recommends 1/2 PMF to PMF As size classification in the mid range of values for storage and low range for height use 1/2 PMF as much of basin i lakes or swamps and flat land adjacent to reservoir. FMF=650 Gi/sqm × 16 sqmi = 10400 cfs Spillway design flood : 5200 cfs. Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (K) Consider: Gate in closed position	可
Classification Size: Internediate Hazard: Significant Hydrologic Evaluation Guideline Recommends 1/2 PMF to PMF As size classification in the mid range of values for storage and low range for height use 1/2 PMF as much of basin i lakes or swamps and flat land adjuent to reservoir. FMF=650 flysgm × 16 sqmi = 10400cfs Spillway design flood 5200cfs. Stap2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (1/2)	5
HZZARD: Significant Hydrologic Evaluation Guideline Recommended 1/2 PMF to PMF As Size classification in the mid range of values for storage and low range for height use 1/2 PMF as much of 625 in i lakes or swamps and flat land adjacent to reservoir. PMF=650 flysqm × 16 sqmi = 10400 cfs Spillway design flood = 5200 cfs. Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (1/2)	5
1/2 PMF to PMF As Size classification in the mid range of values for storage and low range for height use 1/2 PMF as much of 625 in i lakes or swamps and flat land adjacent to reservoir. PMF=650 disagm × 165gmi = 10400cfs Spillway design flood 5200cfs. Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (1/2)	5
As SIZE classification in the mid range of values for storage and low range for height use 12 PMF as much of 625 in i lakes or swamps and flat land adjacent to reservoir. PMF=650 fillson X 16 sqmi = 10400 cfs Spillway design flood 5200 cfs. Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (12)	
of values for storage and low range for height use V2 PMF as much of basin i lakes or swamps and flat land adjacent to reservoir. PMF=650 fillson X 16 sqmi = 10400 cfs Spillway design flood 5200 cfs. Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (1/2)	
Spillway design flood 5200 cfs. Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (1/2)	
Step2 Calculation of Surcharge by PMF (12)	
Consider: Gate in closed position	
Stop logs in place Minimal flow ground sides of da	רזו
Spillway: Broad crested weir Q=CLH32	
Le 2.65 Le 2.4(20.5) = 4/1 pt Lett elev. 576.3	
Q5 = 108.6 H 3/2	

].

¥ i

	Madrity RY	Date 11/30/78 JANK 5622-11-
HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF	Charles the second second	Date 1/11: 1 Strettio 1
FOR GREAT EAST LAKE		

Flow over center portion of dam crest elev. 578.5 Broad crest weir Q CLH³R C=2.65 L=10.4+6+10.4=28.8.9t minus width of gate house 7.31 L=19.5 ft

Q=51.7 H32

D

		Stage - 1 see fig	Jischar	rge	
Elev <u>W.S.</u>	Spil	lway Q	Б	м	QTotal
578.5 580 582 584 584 586 588	2.2件 3.7 5.7 7.7 9.7	350dø 770 1480 2320 3280 4350	0 1.5 ft 3.5 5.5 7.5 7.5 9.5	100 do 340 670 1060 1510	350 870 ds 1820 2990 4340 5860

Maximum TW @ 5200 cfs = elev. 582.5

Step3 Effect of Surcharge on PMF PP, = SZOOCES - SPF : KIPMF Surcharge, 10.8 ft Stor, = 10.8 ft × 17. 10% pt × 1800 nores = 22.8 inches 165g mi × Europeine = 22.8 inches



	Made by	RY	Date 1/15/79	Juli Nu 5628-11-;
HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF	Checked by	Ville	Date 117 14	SheetNo 6
For GREAT EAST LAKE				

CONCLUSIONS

n

- 1. Reservoir Storage will reduce the SDF at the outlet from 5200cfs to 900 cfs of Ly 83%
- 2. The spillway and storage capacity can safely pass 3970 of the test flood.
- 3. At the test discharge of 900 ets the dam crest will be overtopped by 1.5 ft.



ESTIMATE	05 Doces	nstream D	emage	
STEDI Reservoir				
		1800 seres		
Elev.	depth	Increment Storage arre-At	Total Storage	
563.	-ίt O	0	as:e-ft O	
566.3 gate invert	3.2	5760	5760	
574.0 Normalwater	10.9	13860	19620	
576.3 spillway crest	13.Z	4140	23760	
578.5 TopoFdam	15.4	3960	27720	

D

 $W_0 = 40\% \text{ of dam length at mid stream = 40% (67.8)}$ $Y_0 = \text{height stream bed to max.pool = 15.4}$ $Q_{P_1} = 8/27\sqrt{9} (40)(67.8)(15.4)^2 = 2753 \text{ of s}$

Use Rp. = 2750=ft.

Step 3 Downstraam Rating Curve Reach Characteristics L = 18004t S = 0.0114t/4tR = .04

I THE REPORT	Checked by	ζ <u>γ</u>	1/30/78 3.1. 55	2 2 - 11 - 8:
" GREAT EAST LAKE				
Stage		Dis	charge	
	<i>c.</i>		2	
5 - 1 <i>0</i> .2	(2.		15 cfs 30	
14		21	90	
16		30	70	
Str. D.4 Downstrea	im Danage	Rout	Tra	
$Q_{\rm P_{i}} = 2750$	rofs Stag	Je: 15.25	ft	
Area =	· 252 b'			
$V_1 = \frac{252 \times 18}{43566}$	300 = 10.4 ac	ze-ft < 2	27700	
R	'each length	0.K.		
$Q_{P_2 TRIAK} = Q_{P_1} \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S} \right)$	$) = 2750 (1 - \frac{1}{2})$	(7.4 1700) = Z	750 fet	
No channel	Storage			
	utflow 27: Stage 15.25			
Step 5 Reach	2 Horn F	Pond		
Use Sur Determin elev 554	eharge stora	Horn H	ing method to iond Normal	>
Assume PUTLET is	5=0,00	24 2	n = .04	
NATURAL Channel	Sta	<u>9</u> e	Discharge	
11 20' BIN	i elev 7	<u>qe</u> <u>Xt</u> :	322 cfo 11114	
2 • • • •	~ 554 1C	> ● ●	3170	٠

ł



	Made by	RУ	Date 11/30/72 5628-11-1
HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENDOFF	Checked by	W Y	Date 1111 Shouthy 12
FO SREAT EHST LAKE		(· · · ·	······································

ה

 $R_{p_{4}} = R_{p_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{5tor_{AVE_{Z}}}{5o2}\right) = 2750 \left(1 - \frac{1.84}{5o2}\right) = 1742 efs$ Surcharge - 7.6 ft Story = 7.6 × 12×200 = 1.78 inches StorAVE3 = Story+ StorAVE3 - 1.78+1.84 = 1.81 mehis Stor Values close to within 2% use Qp5 as outflow Pp= = 2750 (1- 1.81) = 1760 efs Stage = 7.65.ft



1130

Stage Discharge 3年 1150 ds 2010

	Muderby Checkerd by	<u>RY</u>	Date 11/30/78 JULIN 5628	-11
HOWARD NEEDLES TAMMEN & BERGENOOFF		<u>17717</u>		<u></u>
$Q_{P_i} = 1760$	' cfs	stage,	=6.45 Pt	
Hrea,=Z	277='			
$V_1 = \frac{277 \times 23,00}{43560}$	= /	46 sere-fi	$t < \frac{27,700}{2}$	
RPZTRIAL = RPI (- S)	= 1760	$(1 - \frac{146}{27700})$) = 1750 g/s	
Stage = 6.40) {t	Areaz=2'	74 ='	
$V_z = \frac{274 \times 23}{43,560}$	<u>,000</u> -	. 145 aere	eft	
$V_{AVE} = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2} =$	146+ 1 Z	145 = 145	5 socre-ft	
$Q_{P_Z} = Q_{P_1} \left(1 - \frac{V_{AVE}}{S} \right) =$	1760 ((1- <u>145.5</u> 	: 17.50cfs Stage = 6.40 ft	
	_			

SUMMARY

5+290

ה

At Dam

1200st downstream of dam at head of Hosn Fond

At outlet of Horn Pond

At Milton FALLS Milton falls Rd 32,000 ft als of dam <u>Discharge</u> 15.25 ft 15.25 ft

7.65Pt 6:40 ft







APPENDIX E

ก

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

INVENTORY OF DAGS IN THE UNITED STATES . -

.

-

. •

The Depine are considered in the second s ÷ TATT I LOT TOOL POINT AND 2 . . -the second second second MARKED CONCERNANCE AND CONCERNANCE r ۲ • CARLED FOR BURNORS r, CALE OR CLAIM • 1 6,14 -----i. • New Joseph 1 . .

02 - 312

z

ç 2 . . Ab 1.11.000 1.2003 ÷ -. F 181 18X -:

RETARIES

Take totoky wall on Y

JUDAN HIMINT

1.1.1.1.1.1

÷

÷.

... ... /

•

DFTARK1

. -

.

Antidenty Fush, Profiles

• • • • • • • • • •

•



DTIC

المرياج ويرجع المني تشكرانها المراجلين المرجين المرجلية المعاد المحار التشر