


NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM (..U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV MAY 79


UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL







NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

AD-A156 270

MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN
HARRISVILLE, NEW HAMPSHIRE

LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

NH 00066

NHWRB 109.10

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

Copy available to DTIC does not
permit fully legible reproduction



DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 08 1985
S G

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

MAY 1979

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

85 06 13 035

DTIC FILE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NH 00066	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Lake Skatutakee Dam NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE May 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 75
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack River Basin Harrisville New Hampshire Nabanusit Brook		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The dam is a dry rubble masonry dam, capped with concrete and with a concrete slab constructed over the upstream face. It has a maximum height of 13 ft. and is about 125 ft. long. The dam is judged to be in fair condition. At the time of inspection the gate controlling the flow through the sluice conduit was not operable. The dam fall under the category of high hazard potential and it is intermediate in size.		

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:
NEDED-E

AUG 17 1979

Honorable Hugh J. Gallen
Governor of the State of New Hampshire
State House
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Dear Governor Gallen:

I am forwarding for your use a copy of the Lake Skatutakee Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. The report is based upon a visual inspection, a review of past performance, and a preliminary hydrological analysis. A brief assessment which emphasizes the inadequacy of the project spillway under test flood conditions is included at the beginning of the report.

The preliminary hydrologic analysis has indicated that the spillway capacity for the Lake Skatutakee Dam would likely be exceeded by floods greater than 2 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF), the test flood for spillway adequacy. Screening criteria for initial review of spillway adequacy specifies that this class of dam, having insufficient spillway capacity to discharge fifty (50) percent of the PMF, should be adjudged as having a seriously inadequate spillway and the dam assessed as unsafe, non-emergency, until more detailed studies prove otherwise or corrective measures are completed.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to indicate the same degree of emergency as would be associated with "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening and preliminary computations there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity. This could render the dam unsafe in the event of a severe storm which would likely cause overtopping and possible failure of the dam, significantly increasing the hazard potential for loss of life downstream from the dam.

NEDED-E

Honorable Hugh J. Gallen

It is recommended that within twelve months from the date of this report the owner of the dam engage the services of a professional or consulting engineer to determine by more sophisticated methods and procedures the magnitude of the spillway deficiency. Based on this determination, appropriate remedial mitigating measures should be designed and completed within 12 months of this date of notification. In the interim a detailed emergency operation plan and warning system should be promptly developed. During periods of unusually heavy precipitation, round-the-clock surveillance should be provided.


I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7, with qualifications as noted above. I request that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement these recommendations since this follow-up is an important part of the non-Federal Dam Inspection Program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to Water Resources Board, the cooperating agency for the State of New Hampshire. This report has also been furnished to the owner of the project, Lake Skatutakee Association, P.O. Box 102, Harrisville, New Hampshire 03450.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request to this office, under the Freedom of Information Act, thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Water Resources Board for the cooperation extended in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,



WILLIAM E. HODGSON, JR.
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Acting Division Engineer

2

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A/1	23/1

LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

NH 00066

NHWRB 109.10

MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN

HARRISVILLE, NEW HAMPSHIRE

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No.: NH 00066
Name of Dam: Lake Skatutakee Dam
Town: Harrisville
County & State: Cheshire, New Hampshire
Stream: Nubanusit Brook
Date of Inspection: May 18, and May 26, 1978

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Lake Skatutakee Dam is a dry rubble masonry dam, capped with concrete and with a concrete slab constructed over the upstream face. The dam has a maximum height of 13 feet and is approximately 125 feet long. The concrete capped spillway is divided into two parts, each 49 feet long, and located on each side of a centrally located sluice gate. The crest of each spillway is 12 inches lower than top of dam.

Based on visual inspection, the dam is judged to be in fair condition. At the time of the inspection the gate controlling the flow through the sluice conduit was not operable. Water was observed seeping out of the west abutment. Continuance of this classification depends on proper operations and maintenance of the dam.

This dam falls under the category of high hazard potential, and it is intermediate in size.


The test flood peak inflow is equal to the Probable Maximum Flood, 21,235 cfs, and the test flood peak outflow is 16,606 cfs. Hydraulic analyses indicate that the maximum surcharge pool elevation is 1213.4, approximately 11.4 feet above the spillway crest. The spillway will pass approximately 2% of the test flood peak outflow without overtopping the dam, and therefore, the spillway capacity is inadequate. The test flood would overtop the dam by 10.4 feet.

As stated in Section 7.2, within 1 year of receipt of this Phase I report the owner, Lake Skatutakee Association, should retain the services of a competent engineer and implement the results of his evaluation of the following:

1. The modification necessary to improve the hydraulic and hydrologic condition of the dam.
2. Extent of damage in Eastview in the event of failure of the dam.

The following operating and maintenance measures, as stated in Section 7.3, should also be implemented:

1. Existing service gate should be made operational.
2. Leaks through the face of the dam should be monitored until such time it can be repaired.
3. All concrete surfaces should be repaired.
4. Upstream slope of dam should be inspected at low water.
5. An operating and maintenance manual for the project be prepared.
6. A program of technical biannual periodic inspection of the project features should be prepared and initiated.
7. Surveillance and a warning system be developed for periods of unusually heavy rains and runoff.



FAY, SPOFFORD & THORNDIKE, INC.
By

Jurgis Gimbutas
Jurgis Gimbutas, P.E.
Project Engineer

Richard W. Albrecht
Richard W. Albrecht, P.E.
Vice President

This Phase I Inspection Report on Lake Skatutakee Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.



CHARLES G. TIERSCH, Chairman
Chief, Foundation and Materials Branch
Engineering Division




FRED J. RAVENS, Jr., Member
Chief, Design Branch
Engineering Division



SAUL COOPER, Member
Chief, Water Control Branch
Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:



JOE B. FRYAR
Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonable possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition, and the downstream damage potential.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal	
Brief Assessment	i
Review Board Signature Sheet	iii
Preface	iv
Table of Contents	v
Overview Photograph	viii
Location Map	ix
REPORT	
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1 General	1
a. Authority	1
b. Purpose	1
1.2 Description of Project	1
a. Location	1
b. Description of Dam	2
c. Size Classification	2
d. Hazard Classification	2
e. Ownership	2
f. Operator	3
g. Purpose of Dam	3
h. Design and Construction History	3
i. Normal Operational Procedure	4
1.3 Pertinent Data	4
a. Drainage Area	4
b. Discharge at Dam Site	4
c. Elevation (Feet above MSL)	5
d. Reservoir	5
e. Storage (Acre-Feet)	5
f. Reservoir Surface (Acres)	6
g. Dam	6
h. Spillway	6
i. Regulating Outlets	7
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	8
2.1 Design	8

	<u>Page</u>
2.2 Construction	8
2.3 Operation	8
2.4 Evaluation	9
a. Availability	9
b. Adequacy	9
c. Validity	9
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	10
3.1 Findings	10
a. General	10
b. Dam	10
c. Appurtenant Structures	10
d. Reservoir Area	11
e. Downstream Channel	11
f. Channel Between Skatutakee Lake and Lower Pond	11
3.2 Evaluation	12
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	13
4.1 Procedures	13
4.2 Maintenance of Dam	13
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	13
4.4 Description of any Warning System in Effect	13
4.5 Evaluation	13
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	14
5.1 Evaluation of Features	14
a. Design Data	14
b. Experience Data	14
c. Visual Observations	14
d. Overtopping Potential	15

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

- 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability
 - a. Visual Observations
 - b. Design and Construction Data
 - c. Operating Records
 - d. Post-Construction Changes
 - e. Seismic Stability

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS &
REMEDIAL MEASURES

- 7.1 Dam Assessment
 - a. Condition
 - b. Adequacy of Information
 - c. Urgency
 - d. Need for Additional Investigation
- 7.2 Recommendations
- 7.3 Remedial Measures
- 7.4 Alternatives

APPENDIX A - VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LISTS

APPENDIX B - EXISTING AVAILABLE INFORMATION

APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS


APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL
INVENTORY OF DAMS

OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH



LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM, LOOKING EAST
Negative No. 3-23A



SCALE 1:62500 (ACTUAL)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

NEW HAMPSHIRE
MONADNOCK QUADRANGLE
1949
AMS 6569 1-SERIES V 712

LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Fay, Spofford & Thorndike, Inc., Engineers, have been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of New Hampshire. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to Fay, Spofford & Thorndike, Inc., under a letter of May 3, 1978, from Mr. Ralph T. Garver, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-78-C-0308 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose

- (1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
- (2) Encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
- (3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location

Lake Skatutakee Dam is located in the southwestern part of the State of New Hampshire in the Town of Harrisville on Nubanusit Brook, a tributary of Contoocook River, which flows into the Merrimack River. The site is 1 1/2 miles upstream from the village of Eastview and 11 miles east of Keene, New Hampshire.

Lake Skatutakee discharges through a rock channel into the Lower Pond. This pond has been created on the left side of Hancock Road by constructing Lake Skatutakee Dam.

b. Description of Dam

The dam consists of dry rubble masonry capped with concrete with a length of approximately 125 feet and a maximum height of 13 feet above the stream bed. The spillway crest is approximately 2 feet in width with a vertical downstream face and an upstream face inclined at about 45 degrees. Field observation indicates that a concrete slab has been constructed over the upstream face.

The concrete capped spillway is divided into two parts, each 49 feet long, and located on each side of a centrally located sluice gate. The crest of each spillway has a freeboard of 12 inches. The outlet consists of a gate controlled sluice conduit approximately 4 feet by 4.5 feet with the sill located approximately 9 feet below the spillway crest. According to Mr. Edward Rogers, President of the Lake Skatutakee Association, this gate has not been operable for approximately 8 years (Photograph No. 3, Appendix C).

c. Size Classification

The storage capacity at the spillway crest is 1,680 acre-feet which falls in the range $\geq 1,000$ and $< 50,000$ acre-feet; therefore, the dam is classified as intermediate in size.

d. Hazard Classification

In the event of failure of this dam, Eastview Village, which is at a distance of approximately 1 1/2 miles downstream of the dam, will be in danger of being flooded. It is estimated that in the event of failure of this dam, loss of more than a few lives and excessive property damage might be threatened. Therefore, this dam falls in the category of high hazard potential.

e. Ownership

Prior to 1930, the owner was the White Mills of New Hampshire. Prior to 1937, the local name of this dam was Harrisville Pond Dam.

In 1954, the owners were the Verney Mills of West Peterborough. In 1958, the flowage rights at Lake Skatutakee were acquired by Messrs. B. Harold Erskine and Walter J. Ransburg, of the former Peterborough Mills. They also had the duty of maintaining the dam.

On October 1, 1970, this dam and the water rights were transferred to Lake Skatutakee Association. The next year, it was proposed that the Water Resources Board of New Hampshire acquire water rights and the dam; but the House Bill No. 460, introduced by Representative Trowbridge of Cheshire District 4, was not passed. Consequently, the dam is still owned by the Lake Skatutakee Association, whose current president is Mr. Edward Rogers.

f. Operator

Mr. Edward Rogers, Lake Skatutakee Association, P.O. Box 102, Harrisville, New Hampshire 03450, telephone 603-827-3491.

g. Purpose of Dam

Since its construction in the 19th century, this dam and the reservoir were utilized to store water for power used by mills downstream. Currently, Lake Skatutakee, surrounded by 73 cottages (1962), is a recreational reservoir. Property owners have expressed concern regarding the maintenance of a minimum water level.

h. Design and Construction History

The earliest date of construction of a dam at this site appears to have been in 1823. A deed by Mr Alexander Ernes to Phoenix Cotton and Paper Factory, granted in 1823, (Volume 93, page 374), permitted the factory "to build, raise and maintain forever a dam across such outlet" at the east end of the North Pond in Dublin. This information was supplied to the Lake Skatutakee Committee by Mr. John R. Goudnow, an attorney in Keene, New Hampshire. In his letter, dated September 22, 1961, Mr. Goodnow assumed that "since 1823, there have undoubtedly been various changes in the height of the dam as well as in the depth of the channel of the outlet."

A brief inspection of the memorandum of June 24, 1930, stated that "this is a timber and stone dam, and gates are O.K."

In 1937, the dam was capped with a 2-foot wide concrete crest, and a concrete slab was placed on the old masonry upstream slope. A centrally located sluice gate was renovated, presumably at the same time. Concrete abutments were constructed 12 inches higher than the spillways. As there are no construction plans available, all information about the improvements done in 1937 was taken from an unsigned sketch dated October 8, 1937.

i. Normal Operational Procedure

Since the gate controlled sluice conduit is inoperable, there is no control of the flow over the spillway, and the water level in the lake cannot be lowered.

In 1968, the dam was in need of repairs due to deterioration. These repairs were described as "minor in nature and the structural stability of the dam is not in danger" (letter dated June 21, 1968, signed by Mr. Vernon A Knowlton, Water Resources Engineer). On March 15, 1971, Mr. Francis C. Moore, P.E., Water Resources Engineer, recommended the following alterations be made:

- (1) raising of abutments by 2 feet;
- (2) installation of a stop log sluiceway at one end of the dam;
- (3) repair of existing gate and any other needed repairs and channeling.

The cost of this work was estimated to be approximately \$30,000. At that time, a cubic yard of reinforced concrete in place was estimated to cost \$200. There is no evidence that any of these repairs were made. Inspections in 1974 and 1977, revealed that the dam has not been altered since 1937 or possibly earlier.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area

Skatutakee Lake as shown on the U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Sheet is located on Nubanusit Brook. It has a total drainage area of 13.7 square miles. The watershed is highly wooded, undulated and rolling.

b. Discharge at Dam Site

- (1) Outlet works (sluice conduit) - size 4 feet by 4.5 feet at Invert Elevation 1193 (Photograph No. 4, Appendix C). Estimated discharge capacities of this conduit are given below:

372 cfs at test flood Maximum Pool Elevation 1213.4
244 cfs at top of dam Elevation 1203.0
228 cfs at spillway crest Elevation 1202

- (2) Maximum known flood at dam site - Flood of September 21-24, 1938. Magnitude not recorded.

(3) Ungated spillway capacity at top of dam is 294 cfs at Elevation 1203.0.

(4) Ungated spillway capacity at test flood is 11,316 cfs at maximum pool Elevation 1213.4.

c. Elevation (Feet above MSL)

(1) Top dam - 1203.

(2) Test flood maximum pool level - 1213.4. This value is obtained by approximate routing of spillway test flood peak inflow. Refer to Appendix D.

(3) Full flood control pool - 1203. In the absence of pertinent data, it is assumed that full flood control elevation coincides with the top of dam.

(4) Recreation pool - 1202. It is assumed that the recreation pool elevation is the same as the spillway crest elevation.

(5) Spillway crest (ungated) - 1202.

(6) Stream bed at centerline of dam - 1189.

(7) Maximum tailwater - 1191 (estimated).

d. Reservoir

(1) Length of maximum pool - 1.6 miles (estimated).

(2) Length of recreation pool - less than 1.6 miles (estimated).

(3) Length of flood control pool - 1.6 miles (estimated).

e. Storage (Acre-Feet) - The following values are estimated:

(1) Top of dam - 1950 acre-feet.

(2) Test flood maximum pool elevation - 4758 acre-feet.

(3) Flood control pool - 1950 acre-feet.

(4) Recreation pool - 1680 acre-feet.

(5) Spillway crest - 1680 acre-feet.

f. Reservoir Surface (Acres)

- (1) Top of dam - 270 acres (estimated).
- (2) Maximum test flood pool level - 345 acres (estimated).
- (3) Flood control pool - 270 acres (estimated).
- (4) Recreation pool - 261 acres (estimated).
- (5) Spillway crest - 261 acres.

g. Dam

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| (1) Type | Dry rubble masonry |
| (2) Length | 125 feet |
| (3) Height | 13 feet |
| (4) Top width | 2 feet |
| (5) Side slopes | |
| (a) Upstream | Approximately 1 vertical
to 1 horizontal |
| (b) Downstream | Vertical |
| (6) Zoning | Not applicable |
| (7) Impervious core | Not applicable |
| (8) Cutoff | Concrete facing on up-
stream side prevents wa-
ter seepage through the
dam |

h. Spillway

- | | |
|---------------------|---|
| (1) Type | Ungated concrete weir |
| (2) Length of weir | Two sections, 49 feet
each, total length 98 feet |
| (3) Crest elevation | 1202 |
| (4) Gates | None |

- | | |
|-----------------------|--|
| (5) U/S Channel | Pond |
| i. Regulating Outlets | |
| (1) Invert | 1193 |
| (2) Size | Width 4.5 feet; depth 4 feet; length and width of dam 9 feet below crest of spillway |
| (3) Description | Concrete sluice conduit |
| (4) Control mechanism | Gate control, manually operated (presently non-operable) |

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

No original design data was disclosed for Lake Skatutakee Dam.

2.2 Construction

No engineering data are available on the construction of this dam.

2.3 Operation

Except for sketchy information, past flood details are not available for this dam; but rainfall records for the area are available for the years 1892 to 1941. It is noted that significant monthly rainfalls were recorded in March, 1938 and September, 1936. Rainfall recorded in the month of September, 1938 was 12.43 inches, which was more than 3.5 times the monthly average rainfall. The flood of September 21-24, 1938, is considered to be the maximum flood that has occurred in the area. On the basis of regional frequency studies, the flood of 1938 corresponds to a 100-year flood.

On October 11, 1938 a questionnaire issued by the Water Control Commission in Concord, New Hampshire, revealed that this dam was not damaged by the flood of September, 1938. The maximum height of water over the permanent crest of the spillway was not measured because the person entrusted with the responsibility of taking the measurement could not get to the dam.

After this flood, the channel between Skatutakee Lake and the Lower Pond to the east side of Hancock Road was blasted and deepened in order to allow more flow of water through the channel. This information was obtained from a letter dated May 12, 1954, to W. White, Chairman, New Hampshire Water Resources Board.

Records of operation of this dam and of performance observations are not available.

History of previous failures or deficiencies and pending remedial measures for correcting known deficiencies and the schedule for accomplishing remedial measures are not known, except that seepage through the downstream face of right abutment has not been remedied.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability

Except for sketchy data mentioned elsewhere in this report, pertinent geotechnical and hydrologic and hydraulic data, which formed the basis of the design of the dam, are not available from the project records. However, structural data is available on a limited basis.

b. Adequacy

Sufficient engineering data are available for a Phase I inspection.

c. Validity

The available engineering data is considered valid on the basis of the results of the visual inspection.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

The Phase I inspection of the dam at Lake Skatutakee was performed on May 18, and May 26, 1978. A copy of the inspection check list is included in Appendix A.

a. General

In general, the soil and rock features are in fair condition. The concrete was observed to be in poor condition, see subparagraph c.

b. Dam

No evidence of vertical or horizontal misalignment was observed. There is no indication of sloughing, bulging or movement of the slopes, nor is there any evidence of piping.

Field measurement indicates that the right abutment, roadway side, is approximately 14.5 feet long with a maximum height of about 8.5 feet. Water was observed seeping out of this abutment, and the rate increased as the height of the dam increased. The discharge water was clear and it is estimated to be less than 1/2 cfs. Available records indicate that this seepage was first observed in 1977 and probably existed a number of years prior to this date.

The upstream face of the dam could not be observed during the inspection due to the fact that water was flowing over the spillway. Observation, from the top of the spillway indicates that the upstream face is inclined at about 45 degrees.

c. Appurtenant Structures

At the time of the inspection, the gate controlling the flow through the sluice conduit was not operable. It was learned that the gate had not been in operation for approximately 8 years.

The top of the gate structure shows severe spalling and cracking of the concrete, especially on the downstream side (Photograph No. 3, Appendix C).

The crest of the spillway and both abutments are in fair condition. Several vertical cracks and some spalling was observed in the concrete cap of the dam. At the left abutment, grass was growing in a crack approximately 6 feet from the roadway.

The exposed faces of the rubble masonry wall appear to be sound. Vertical cracks were observed in the concrete slab on the upstream face.

d. Reservoir Area

Lake Skatutakee is at the lower end of Nubanusit Brook between Harrisville Village and Bonds Corner. There are three conservation reservoirs draining into Lake Skatutakee, including Spoonwood Lake, Nubanusit Lake and Harrisville Pond.

Lake Skatutakee has a pond area of 261 acres, a length of 1.6 miles, a minimum width of 1/3 mile and a shoreline of 3.8 miles. There are about 73 cottages scattered around Skatutakee Lake. The shoreline of Skatutakee Lake is heavily wooded and the lake area is rocky.

e. Downstream Channel

The downstream channel and side slopes are in good condition.

The concrete capped spillway is divided into two parts each 49 feet long. Field observations indicate that the bottoms of the channel immediately below the western spillway varies from approximately 8 to 13 feet below the crest of the dam and the eastern spillway approximately 4 feet. All indications are that this channel was constructed in this manner (Photographs No. 2, 5 and 6, Appendix C).

Debris was observed in this channel with bushes overhanging. The quantity of debris is small and will not impede the flow in the channel (Photographs No. 7, 8 and 10, Appendix C).

f. Channel Between Lake Skatutakee and Lower Pond

The discharge from Lake Skatutakee was observed to flow through a rock channel into the Lower Pond. This pond was created by constructing Lake Skatutakee Dam. This channel was widened and deepened by blasting sometime between 1919 and 1921, and again in 1938, according to available records. A reinforced concrete bridge was constructed across the outlet channel to accommodate local traffic.

This act of deepening and widening the channel would naturally permit more flow from Skatutakee Lake into Lower Pond and eventually would result in the lowering of the water surface level in Skatutakee Lake only in the absence of the dam. During high flows, the water surface level in Skatutakee Lake is controlled by the spillway of the dam, unaffected by the artificial outlet channel (Photographs No. 13 and 14, Appendix C).

3.2 Evaluation

The observed condition of the dam is fair. The potential problems observed during the visual inspection are:

1. Leaks through the face of the west abutment.
2. Potential for overtopping.
3. Inability to drain the pond because of the inoperable slu gate.
4. Concrete corrosion.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

Lake Skatutakee Association has operated Lake Skatutakee Dam since 1970. The pond level is maintained by an ungated spillway of 98 feet in length. The pond level can not be lowered due to the inoperable sluice gate.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

The maintenance of Skatutakee Lake Dam is the responsibility of the Lake Skatutakee Association.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

No written maintenance procedures were disclosed for Lake Skatutakee Dam. Maintenance of the gate operating facilities controlling the opening of the undersluice in the middle of the spillway is non-existent. Consequently, the gate is inoperable.

4.4 Description of any Warning System in Effect

A flood warning system is non-existent.

4.5 Evaluation

The current operation and maintenance procedure for Lake Skatutakee Dam are inadequate to ensure that all problems can be remedied within a reasonable period of time.

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design Data

- (1) This dam falls under the category of high hazard potential and it is intermediate in size. Using the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", the recommended spillway test flood peak inflow is equal to the Probable Maximum Flood. The spillway test flood inflow hydrograph, estimated, is furnished in Appendix D. The spillway test flood peak inflow is 21,235 cfs.
- (2) The estimated maximum peak outflow corresponding to the spillway test flood is 16,606 cfs. Refer to Appendix D for details.
- (3) Lake storage capacity versus elevation - an estimated capacity curve is included in Appendix D.
- (4) Estimated discharge rating curve for the spillway is furnished in Appendix D.
- (5) Composite discharge rating curve for pool levels above the top of dam (assuming dam remains intact) is furnished in Appendix D.
- (6) Hydrologic map of the watershed above the dam site, including reservoir area, is included in Appendix D.

b. Experience Data

No records on previous floods and their magnitude at the dam site are available. For operational experience refer to Section 1.1.i.

c. Visual Observations

The crest of the non-overflow section is 1 foot above the crest of the spillway. At the time of inspection, water was flowing over the spillway at a depth of 2 inches. The hydraulic design of the spillway is very poor, and there are no energy dissipation works below the dam. Water is allowed to fall freely on the channel bed downstream of the spillway. The stream bed is lined with boulders in a random, pattern.

d. Overtopping Potential

The spillway test flood peak inflow for Lake Skatutakee Dam in view of its size and hazard category is 21,235 cfs, and the test flood peak outflow is 16,606 cfs. Assuming the dam remains intact after being overtopped, the estimated surcharge height above the crest of the spillway is about 11.4 feet; and the corresponding maximum pool elevation would be 1213.4. The spillway will pass only 2% of the test flood peak outflow without overtopping the dam, and therefore, the spillway capacity is inadequate. The test flood would overtop the dam by 10.4 feet. Refer to Appendix D for further particulars.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations

The upstream slope could not be seen due to the fact that it was under water. The slopes do not show any erosion or weak areas. The only evidence of possible stability problems revealed by the visual inspection are the leaks through the face of the west abutment.

b. Design and Construction Data

There are no design computations or drawings available. Only free-hand sketches made in 1937, after the reconstruction of the dam, are available.

c. Operating Records

Except memorandums and correspondence, listed in Appendix B, other records are not available.

d. Post-Construction Changes

Presumably, the last improvements were done in 1937. No later changes were noted.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2 and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines does not warrant seismic analysis.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS & REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition

The visual inspection and operational history indicates that this dam is in fair condition and functioning unsatisfactorily.

b. Adequacy of Information

An adequate assessment of the dam consistent with the scope of a Phase I investigation has been made based upon the visual inspection and available information.

c. Urgency

The recommendations and remedial measures enumerated in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 should be implemented within 1 year of receipt of this Phase I report.

d. Need for Additional Investigation

The information available from the visual inspection is adequate to identify the potential problem of overtopping. This problem will require the attention of a competent engineer who will have to make additional engineering studies to design or specify remedial measures to rectify the problem.

7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the Lake Skatutakee Association retain the services of a competent engineer to perform a study to improve the hydraulic and hydrologic condition of the Dam. As a general guide, the study should include the raising of the abutment and the installation of a sluiceway at one end of the dam. Due to the inadequate spillway capacity, it should also include the extent of damage in the village of Eastview in the event of failure of the dam.

7.3 Remedial Measures

It is considered important that the following operating and maintenance procedures be attended to as early as practical:

- a. The existing sluice gate should be made operable and access to it from the right abutment should be made safe and easy.

- b. Leaks through the face of the west abutment should be monitored regularly until such time as they can be repaired.
- c. All concrete surfaces should be repaired as continued deterioration could develop into a serious problem.
- d. Upstream slope of dam should be inspected at low water.
- e. An operating and maintenance manual for the project should be prepared.
- f. A program of technical biannual periodic inspection of the project features should be prepared and initiated.
- g. Because the location of the dam is upstream of a populated area and items of concern with respect to the design of the dam, round-the-clock surveillance should be provided during periods of high precipitation.
- h. The owner should develop a formal warning system. An operational procedure to follow in event of an emergency should also be adopted.

7.4 Alternatives

Until the hydraulic and hydrologic condition of this dam is improved, the pond should be operated at a lower level to provide more storage during extreme flood events and spring runoff.

APPENDIX A

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LISTS

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST
PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT	<u>Lake Skatutakee Dam</u>	DATE	<u>May 18, & 26, 1978</u>	
			<u>May 18, - 1300-1500</u>	
		TIME	<u>May 26, - 900-1330</u>	
			<u>May 18, - Rain</u>	
		WEATHER	<u>May 26, - Sunny</u>	
			<u>2" above spillway</u>	
		W.S. ELEV.	1202.2	U.S. DN.S.

1. <u>Jurgis Gimbutas, P.E.</u>	<u>Team Captain - Structural and Concrete</u>
2. <u>Harvey H. Stoller, P.E.</u>	<u>Soils, Geology and Foundations</u>
3. <u>V. Rao Maddineni, P.E.</u>	<u>Hydraulics and Hydrology</u>

PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY	REMARKS
1. <u>Dam Embankment</u>	H. H. Stoller	Fair
2. <u>Outlet Works</u>	J. Gimbutas	Fair
3. <u>Spillway Weir</u>	J. Gimbutas	Good
4. <u>Approach & Discharge Channels</u>	V. Rao Maddineni H. H. Stoller	Good
5. <u>Lake and Downstream Channel</u>	V. Rao Maddineni	Good

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lake Skatutakee Dam DATE May 18, & May 26, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Dam Embankment

DISCIPLINE Soils & Foundations

NAME *Henry H. Heller*

PROJECT FEATURE _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED

CONDITION

DAM EMBANKMENT

Crest Elevation	1203
Current Pool Elevation	1202.2
Maximum Impoundment to Date	Unknown
Surface Cracks	Minor cracks in concrete cap (see narrative)
Pavement Condition	None
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None observed
Lateral Movement	None observed
Vertical Alignment	No visual vertical misalignment observed
Horizontal Alignment	No visual horizontal misalignment observed
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Normal

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lake Skatutakee Dam DATE May 18, & May 26, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Dam Embankment

DISCIPLINE Soils & Foundations

NAME Henry H. Hill

PROJECT FEATURE _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	No structural items on slope
Trespassing on Slopes	None observed
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	None observed
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	None
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or Near Toes	None observed
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	Seepage at the left abutment (see narrative)
Piping or Boils	None observed
Foundation Drainage Features	None
Toe Drains	None
Instrumentation System	None

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lake Skatutakee Dam DATE May 18, & May 26, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Works

DISCIPLINE Structures & Concrete

NAME H. M. T. J.

PROJECT FEATURE _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED

CONDITION

OUTLET WORKS

a. Concrete and Structural

General Condition	Fair condition
Condition of Joints	None observed
Spalling	Minor spalling in capping
Visible Reinforcing	None observed
Rusting or Staining of Concrete	None observed
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None observed
Joint Alignment	None observed
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	Minor seepage
Cracks	Minor cracks in the gate chamber
Rusting or Corrosion of Steel	None observed

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lake Skatutakee Dam DATE May 18, & May 26, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Works

DISCIPLINE Structures & Concrete

NAME W. J. Minter

PROJECT FEATURE _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED

CONDITION

b. Mechanical and Electrical

Service Gates

Not operable for approximately
8 years (verbal information)

Emergency Gates

None

Lightning Protection
System

None

Emergency Power
System

None

Wiring and Lighting
System

None

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lake Skatutakee Dam DATE May 18, & May 26, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Spillway Weir

DISCIPLINE Structures & Concrete

NAME K. M. M. M.

PROJECT FEATURE Approach Channel

DISCIPLINE Soils & Foundations

NAME Henry H. Miller

DISCIPLINE Hydraulics & Hydrology

NAME W. P. M. M.

AREA EVALUATED

CONDITION

OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS

a. Approach Channel

General Condition Good condition

Loose Rock
Overhanging Channel None observed

Trees Overhanging
Channel None observed

Floor of Approach
Channel Could not be seen

b. Weir and Training Walls

General Condition
of Concrete Fair, some cracks were seen
through the water flowing over
the crest

Rust or Staining None observed

Spalling None observed

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT Lake Skatutakee Dam DATE May 18, & May 26, 197

PROJECT FEATURE Spillway Weir

DISCIPLINE Structures & Concrete

NAME W. G. M. L. L.

PROJECT FEATURE Discharge Channel

DISCIPLINE Soils & Foundations

NAME Henry H. L.

DISCIPLINE Hydraulics & Hydrology

NAME W. G. M. L. L.

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
----------------	-----------

Any Visible
Reinforcing

None observed

Any Seepage or
Efflorescence

None observed

Drain Holes

None observed

c. Discharge Channel

General Condition

Good condition

Loose Rock
Overhanging Channel

None observed

Trees Overhanging
Channel

Small bushes in places

Floor of Channel

Good condition

Other Obstructions

None observed

APPENDIX B
EXISTING AVAILABLE INFORMATION

APPENDIX B

1. Listing of Design, Construction and Maintenance Records

In the files of New Hampshire Water Resources Board in Concord, New Hampshire, there is one folder, numbered 109.10. It contains these documents of significance, not counting the previous inspection reports:

- (1) October 11, 1938. Questionnaire by the New Hampshire Water Control Commission regarding the flood of September 21 and 24 of that year. The dam was not injured.
- (2) October 21, 1949. Lake Skatutakee plan with soundings of depth, done by Messrs. T. Frost and J. Richards. Scale: 1" = 651'. Size: 13 inches by 20 inches.
- (3) May to August 1954 and later in 1962. Several letters regarding maintenance of a reasonable level of water during the summer months.
- (4) September 22, 1961. A letter from Mr. John R. Goodnors, Attorney at Law, to Mr. Beland Pierce, Secretary of Lake Skatutakee Association, examining the records at the Registry of Deeds from 1823, and discussing the rights of the Water Resources Board.
- (5) May 22, and 29, 1962. Letters from the Lake Skatutakee Association to the New Hampshire Water Resources Board, seeking an agreement on a minimum water level on the lake and discussing the old channel connecting the Lake Skatutakee and the Lower Pond (location of the dam).
- (6) June 1968. Exchange of letters between Mr. Howard B. Lane, Attorney at Law, representing the owner, and the Public Utilities Commission. It concerns the need to repair the dam at the outlet of Lake Skatutakee and the regulations regarding the lowering the level of the lake.
- (7) March 15, 1971. Memorandum on recommended repairs and improvements to the dam, written by Mr. Francis C. Moore, P.E., Water Resources Engineer. It includes an estimate of construction costs, totaling \$30,000.

- (8) 1971 - House Bill No. 460. An act by the Senate and House of Representatives of the State of New Hampshire proposing to transfer the dam to the Water Resources Board. The bill was never passed.

2. Copies of Past Inspection Reports

Included with this report are:

- (1) June 24, 1930. Unsigned, one-half page.
- (2) October 8, 1937, by the New Hampshire Water Resources Board. Two pages including sketches with some dimensions of the dam.
- (3) December 12, 1938, by the New Hampshire Water Control Commission, signed by AAN&RLT, one page.
- (4) March 12, 1976. Letter, signed by Mr. George M. McGee, Sr., Chairman of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board, indicates that Dam No. 109.10 was inspected on October 18, 1974.
- (5) July 22, 1977, by the New Hampshire Water Resources Board, three pages, including sketches with some dimensions of dam.

Harrisville (Cheshire)
Page 3 #10

Inspected June 24, 1930.

White Mills of New Hampshire

This is a timber and stone dam capped with concrete apron. Gates O. K. 115 feet wide, mostly spillway. Used principally for control on the lower dams. Dam in good condition.

DIVI-41

DAM

BASIN	<u>Merrimack</u>	NO.	<u>10</u>	<u>109.10</u>
RIVER	<u>Skaflitarsa Lagoon</u>	MILES FROM MOUTH	<u>B.A.M.M.</u>	<u>-</u>
TOWN	<u>Merrimack</u>	OWNER	<u>W. L. S. Merrimack, Merrimack, N.H.</u>	
LOCAL NAME OF DAM	<u>(Formerly Merrimack Dam)</u>			
BUILT	<u>1927</u>	DESCRIPTION	<u>Timber & Stone (Concrete & S)</u>	

POND AREA-ACRES 240.95 DRAWDOWN FT. 9' POND CAPACITY-ACRE FT. 12
HEIGHT-TOP TO BED OF STREAM-FT. 12 1/2 MAX. MIN. 12
OVERALL LENGTH OF DAM-FT. 145 MAX. FLOOD HEIGHT ABOVE CREST-FT. 10
PERMANENT CREST ELEV.U.S.O.S. _____ LOCAL GAGE _____
TAILWATER ELEV.U.S.O.S. _____ LOCAL GAGE _____
SPILLWAY LENGTHS-FT. 425 FREEBOARD-FT. 10'
FLASHBOARDS-TYPE, HEIGHT ABOVE CREST _____
WASTE GATES-NO. 1 WIDTH MAX. OPENING 2 DEPTH STILL BELOW CREST 0

REMARKS Plum Island Road
3110 N. Highway 222, Cape Cod, Mass.
Plum Island Road 3110 N. Highway 222, Cape Cod, Mass.

POWER DEVELOPMENT

Co-ordinates from AE
420 55' + 2700 yds
720 60' + 5700 yds.

[illegible]




REMARKS A. E. and William Lewis, Jr. 11/19/37 C 224,225
Shown by J. H. Brown, Sup't. Mills, 12/12/37

DATE 10/26/30 AS
1925 P22
10/8/37 1/1 J.N.S. B-4

SKATUTAKEE LAKE OUTLET DAM - HARRISVILLE

12.5.37

109.10



NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER CONTROL COMMISSION
DATA ON DAMS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

LOCATION STATE NO. 109.10
Town Harriaville : County Cheshire
Stream Skatutakeo Lake
Basin-Primary Merrimack R. : Secondary Mubanusit R.
Local Name _____
Coordinates—Lat. 42° 55' ± 8,100 : Long. 72° 00' ± 17,100
GENERAL DATA
Drainage area: Controlled _____ Sq. Mi.: Uncontrolled _____ Sq. Mi.: Total 12 ± Sq. Mi.
Overall length of dam 125 ft.: Date of Construction _____
Height: Stream bed to highest elev. 14 ft.: Max. Structure 13.0 ft.
Cost—Dam _____ : Reservoir _____
DESCRIPTION Stone Concrete Cap & Gate Masonry Dam
Waste Gates
Type _____
Number 1 : Size 4 ft. high x 4 1/2 ft. wide
Elevation Invert 9 : Total Area 18 sq. ft.
Hoist _____
Waste Gates Conduit
Number _____ : Materials _____
Size _____ ft.: Length _____ ft.: Area _____ sq. ft.
Embankment
Type _____
Height—Max. _____ ft.: Min. _____ ft.
Top—Width _____ : Elev. _____ ft.
Slopes—Upstream _____ on _____ : Downstream _____ on _____
Length—Right of Spillway _____ : Left of Spillway _____
Spillway
Materials of Construction Masonry
Length—Total _____ ft.: Net 98 ft.
Height of permanent section—Max. 13.0 ft.: Min. _____ ft.
Flashboards—Type _____ : Height 1.0 ft.
Elevation—Permanent Crest _____ : Top of Flashboard _____
Flood Capacity 245 cfs.: _____ cfs/sq. mi.
Abutments
Materials: _____
Freeboard: Max. 1.0 ft.: Min. _____ ft.
Headworks to Power Devel.—(See "Data on Power Development")
OWNER White Mills of N H
REMARKS Use— Storage Condition Good

Tabulation By A. A. N. & R. L. T. Date December 12, 1938.
B&B21234

State of New Hampshire

WATER RESOURCES BOARD

37 Pleasant St.
Concord 03301

March 12, 1976

Lake Skutumpah Association
Harrisville
New Hampshire

Gentlemen

Under the provisions of RSA-Chapter 482, Sections 8 through 15, the New Hampshire Water Resources Board is authorized to inspect all dams in the state which by reason of their physical condition, height, and location may be a menace to the public safety.

The dam structure (Dam # 109.10) located on your property in Harrisville , N.H. was inspected on 10/13/74

and as a result of this inspection no discrepancies were found at the time of the inspection which would require any corrective measures.

This letter is provided for your information only. If you have any questions, please feel free to call or write.

Sincerely,

George McGee Sr.

George A. McGee, Sr.
Chairman

GMM/SCB:L

cc: Board of Selectmen
Harrisville

NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER RESOURCES BOARD

INSPECTION REPORT

Town: HARRISVILLE Dam Number: 109.10

Name of Dam, Stream and/or Water Body: Lower Satturage

Owner: Lower Satturage Association Telephone Number: _____

Mailing Address: HARRISVILLE

Max. Height of Dam: 47.3 ^{→ P. 114 APRON & STREAM 1984} Pond Area: 2601 Length of Dam: 110

FOUNDATION: GRAVEL, SAND & LIMESTONE

OUTLET WORKS:

SPILLWAY W/ WATER GATE @ CENTER
DAM IS LAY-UP STONE W/ 6" CONCRETE CAP
VERTICAL DOWNSTREAM WALL

ABUTMENTS:

1 1/2" THICK CONCRETE CAP ON STONEWORK

EMBANKMENT:

LAY-UP STONE W/ VERTICAL DOWNSTREAM WALL

Note: Give Sizing, Condition and detailed description for each item, if applicable.

SPILLWAY:

Length: 47 + 47

Freeboard: 1'

SEEPAGE:

Location, estimated quantity, etc.

25 SEEP. PHENOLANES - SEEPAGE UP TO 10 CM

Changes Since Construction or Last Inspection:

Tail Water Conditions:

ROLLING STEAM CHANNEL

Overall Condition of Dam: FAIR TO GOOD

Contact With Owner: NO

Date of Inspection: 22 July 1977

Suggested Reinspection Date 1982

Class of Dam: MINOR

Signature [Signature]

Date 22 July 1977

-3-

Dam No. _____


COMMENTS:

- 1) DEPTH OF FLOW 0.05' WITH SPILLWAY CRIST
- 2) SEEPAGE 10000 SEEPAGE AND REPAIR

B-9

SECTION OF DAM

(Show Plan, Elevation & Cross Sections)




APPENDIX C
PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX C

REPRESENTATIVE PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT

		<u>Page</u>
<u>LOCATION PLAN</u>		
Plan 1 - Location of Photographs taken on May 26, 1978		C-3
<u>PHOTOGRAPHS</u>		
<u>No.</u>	<u>Negative No.</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Overall view from the road at right abutment.	3-23A	C-4
2. Spillway on the right half of dam.	3-28A	C-4
3. Top part of the gate structure at center of dam.	4-4	C-5
4. Sluice gate conduit, looking upstream. Gate closed.	4-3	C-5
5. View of the dam from the left bank.	4-8	C-6
6. The left half of the dam, showing remnants of an older structure downstream.	4-5	C-6
7. Debris in the downstream channel near left bank.	4-12	C-7
8. Downstream channel looking from the right bank near the dam.	4-7	C-7
9. Reservoir embankment by the road, on the right bank, looking from the left abutment.	4-10	C-8
10. Debris near the left abutment.	4-11	C-8

- | | | | |
|-----|--|-------|----|
| 11. | The "Lower Pond" of Lake Skatutakee looking upstream from the right abutment. | 3-26A | C. |
| 12. | Lake Skatutakee northeast end near the channel with bridge, looking towards the "Lower Pond" downstream. | 3-33A | C. |
| 13. | Bridge over the channel from Lake Skatutakee to the "Lower Pond," looking upstream. | 3-29A | C. |
| 14. | Same bridge, looking upstream (west) towards Lake Skatutakee. | 3-30A | C. |





1. Overall view from the road at right abutment.



2. Spillway on the right bank of dam.



3. Top part of the gate structure at center of dam.



4. Sluice gate conduit, looking upstream. Gate closed.



5. View of the dam from the left bank.



6. The left half of the dam, showing remainder of structure downstream.

120




7. Debris in the downstream channel near left bank.



8. Downstream channel looking from the right bank near the dam.

C-7



9. Reservoir embankment by the road, on the right bank, looking from the left abutment.



10. Debris near the left abutment.



11. The "Lower Pond" of Lake Skatutakee looking upstream from the right abutment.



12. Lake Skatutakee northeast end near the channel with bridge, looking towards the "lower Pond" downstream.



13. Bridge over the channel from the Lake Skatutakee to the "Lower Pond," looking upstream.



14. Same bridge, looking upstream (west) toward Lake Skatutakee.

APPENDIX D
HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

SUBJECT NATURAL DAM INSP. PROGRAM -
LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

Total drainage area of Lake Skatutakee = 13.7 square miles

The drainage area of Lake Skatutakee is characterized by rolling topography. Hence, from guide curves furnished by the Army Corps of Engineers, it is found that:

$$\text{Probable Maximum}_{\text{INFLOW}} \text{ Flood Peak} = 1,550 \times 13.7$$

$$= 21,235.0 \text{ CFS}$$

According to size classification, Lake Skatutakee dam is intermediate.

According to hazard classification, it falls under the category of high hazard dam.

$$\therefore \text{SPILLWAY TEST FLOOD PEAK INFLOW} \\ = 21,235.0 \text{ CFS.}$$

PROJECT EN-606 (11)

FILE NUMBER EN-11

SHEET NUMBER 2 of 2

DATE 7-17-1953

COMPUTED BY W.S.K.

CHECKED BY

SUBJECT LAKE SUTHERLAND DAM

SPILLWAY TEST FLOOD INFLOW HYDROGRAPH
(BASED ON SCS DIMENSIONLESS UNIT HYDROGRAPH)

lake length of travel = 34,000 ft.
eff. in duration = 700'

$$\begin{aligned} \text{time of concentration, } t_c &= \frac{(34000)^{1.15}}{7700 \times (700)^{0.38}} \text{ hrs.} \\ &= \frac{162130}{7700 \times 12.054} \\ &= 1.75 \text{ hrs.} \end{aligned}$$

SPILLWAY TEST FLOOD PEAK INFLOW (Q_p)

$$= 21,235 \text{ cfs.}$$

SUBJECT LEE SLAT TAKER

CHECKED BY

SPILLWAY TEST FLOOD INFLOW HYDROGRAPH
(BASED ON 6.5 DIMENSIONLESS UNIT HYDROGRAPH)

$$T_c = 1.75 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$Q_p = 21235.6 \text{ CFS.}$$

<u>T (hrs)</u>	<u>T / T_c</u>	<u>Q / Q_p</u>	<u>Q (CFS)</u>
0.44	0.25	0.05	1062.0
0.88	0.50	0.18	3822.0
1.31	0.75	0.73	15501.0
1.75	1.00	1.00	21235.6
2.19	1.25	0.80	16988.0
2.62	1.50	0.40	8494.0
3.06	1.75	0.25	5309.0
3.50	2.00	0.17	3610.0
4.80	2.75	0.06	1274.0
6.12	3.50	0.02	425.0
7.00	4.00	0.01	212.0

FILE NUMBER EM-016
SHEET NUMBER 4 of 5
DATE 2-12-70
COMPUTED BY WRI
CHECKED BY _____

SUBJECT

SUBJECT ALICE SMITH - THE FORM
AND - DISCUSSION OF THE FORM

COMPUTED BY Lili

CHECKED BY

1. The date of our discussion is 11/11/2011.
 2. The subject is "The Role of the Teacher".

San Jose, California - Apr. 21-22, 1902

$$= 261.0 \text{ Acres}$$

66-12216

Storage = 16.00 MB

EL. 1203.0

Shuffle = 1000000

6 EL 1204.0

1954. 10. 20. 11

46,5000

$$\text{Stress} = 2760.0 \text{ "}$$

EL 1206.0

225. 276 = 276.0000

SUBJECT LAKE SPETH-KEE DAM.

COMPOSITE DISCHARGE RATING CURVE

Length of Spillway = 98 feet.

Length of structure for gate operation = 7.0 feet

Length of non-overflow section of dam = 20 feet.

Total length of dam = 125 feet.

Spillway crest elevation = 1202.0

Elevation of top of dam = 1203.0

Elevation of gate structure = 1203.25.

Width of adjacent roadway = 24 feet.

Width of shoulders on both sides of the road = 6 feet.

Abutment width of spread on left side of dam.
= 5 feet.

It is assumed that the total effective length of spill = 166.0 feet when the lake water surface elevation exceeds 1205.0

SUBJECT LUKE SKATUTAKEE DAM
COMPOSITE DISCHARGE RATING
CURVE.

SPILLWAY: $L = 98 \text{ feet} ; C = 3.0$

ELEV. 1202.5 $H = 0.5$ $Q = 104 \text{ cfs.}$

ELEV. 1202.75 $H = 0.75$ $Q = 191 \text{ cfs.}$

ELEV. 1203.00 $H = 1.00$ $Q = 294.0 \text{ cfs.}$

SPILLWAY + DAM: $L = 98 + 12 + 8 = 108 \text{ feet.}$

$C = 3.0$

ELEV. 1203.25 ; $Q = 3 \times (1.25)^{3/2} \times 98 + 3 \times 20 \times (.25)^{3/2}$

$$= 3 \times 1.397 \times 98 + 3 \times 20 \times 0.125$$

$$= 411 + 7.5 = 418.5$$

$$\approx 419 \text{ cfs.}$$

SPILLWAY + DAM + GATE STRUCTURE:

ELEV. 1203.5 ; $Q = 3 \times 98 \times (1.5)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (0.50)^{3/2} + 3 \times 7 \times (.25)^{3/2}$

$$= 3 \times 98 \times 1.837 + 60 \times 0.353 + 21 \times 0.125$$

$$= 540 + 21 + 2.62$$

$$= 563.62$$

$$\approx 564 \text{ cfs.}$$

SUBJECT LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

COMPOSITE DISCHARGE RATING CURVE

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ELEV. 1205.0; } Q &= 3 \times 98 \times (3)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (2)^{3/2} + 3 \times 7 \times (1.75)^{3/2} \\ &= 3 \times 98 \times 5.196 + 60 \times 2.828 + 21 \times 2.315 \\ &= 1527.624 + 169.68 + 48.615 \\ &= 1745.919 \text{ cfs} \\ &\approx 1746.0 \text{ cfs.} \end{aligned}$$

SPILLWAY + DAM + GATE STRUCTURE + OVERBANKS.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ELEV. 1206.0; } Q &= 3 \times 98 \times (4)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (3)^{3/2} + 3 \times 7 \times (2.75)^{3/2} \\ &\quad + 2.6 \times 41 \times (1)^{3/2} \\ &= 2352 + 60 \times 5.196 + 21 \times 4.56 + 106.6 \\ &= 2352 + 311.76 + 95.76 + 106.6 \\ &= 2866.12 \\ &\approx 2866.0 \text{ cfs.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ELEV. 1208; } Q &= 3 \times 98 \times (6)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (5)^{3/2} + 3 \times 7 \times (4.75)^{3/2} \\ &\quad + 2.6 \times 41 \times (3)^{3/2} \\ &= 3 \times 98 \times 14.696 + 60 \times 11.180 + 21 \times 10.352 \\ &\quad + 106.60 \times 5.196 \\ &= 4320.6 + 670.8 + 217.392 + 553.894 \\ &= 5762.686 \text{ cfs} \\ &\approx 5763.0 \text{ cfs.} \end{aligned}$$

SUBJECT LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM
COMPOSITE DISCHARGE RATING
CURVE

ELEV. 1210.0 :

$$Q = 3 \times 98 \times (8)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (7)^{3/2} + 3 \times 7 \times (6.75)^{3/2} + 2.6 \times 41 \times (5)^{3/2}$$

$$= 3 \times 98 \times 22.627 + 60 \times 18.52 + 21 \times 17.537$$

$$+ 2.6 \times 41 \times 11.180$$

$$= 6652.53 + 1111.2 + 368.277 + 1191.788$$

$$= 9323.595$$

$$\approx 9324.0 \text{ cfs (say)}$$

ELEV. 1212.0

$$Q = 3 \times 98 \times (10)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (9)^{3/2} + 21 \times (8.75)^{3/2} + 2.6 \times 41 \times (7)^{3/2}$$

$$= 3 \times 98 \times 31.622 + 60 \times 27 + 21 \times 25.882 + 2.6 \times 41 \times 18.52$$

$$= 9296.868 + 1620 + 543.522 + 1974.232$$

$$= 13434.622$$

$$\approx 13435.0 \text{ cfs}$$

SUBJECT LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM
COMPOSITE DISCHARGE RATING
CURVE.

ELEV. 1214.0

$$Q = 3 \times 98 \times (12)^{3/2} + 3 \times 20 \times (11)^{3/2} + 21 \times (10.75)^{3/2} + 2.6 \times 41 \times (9)^{3/2}$$

$$= 3 \times 98 \times 41.569 + 3 \times 20 \times 36.482 + 21 \times 35.246 + 2.6 \times 41 \times 27.0$$

$$= 12221.286 + 2188.92 + 740.166 + 2878.2$$

$$= 18028.572$$

$$= 18029.0 \text{ cfs.}$$

$$Q_s = 3 \times 98 \times (11.4)^{3/2}$$

$$= 11,316.0 \text{ cfs.}$$

SUBJECT LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

COMPOSITE DISCHARGE RATING CURVE

ELEVATION OF SPILLWAY CREST = 1202.0

ELEVATION

Q. (CFS)

1202.5	104.0
1202.75	191.0
1203.00	294.0
1203.25	419.0
1203.50	564.0
1205.00	1746.0
1206.00	2866.0
1208.00	5763.0
1210.00	9324.0
1212.00	13435.0
1214.00	18029.0

SUBJECT LAKE SCATUTAKEE DAM
TO DETERMINE PEAK CUTFLOW.

SPILLWAY TEST FLOOD PEAK INFLOW (Q_p)

$$= 21,235.0 \text{ cfs}$$

TRIAL #1:

Assume inflow volume = 19" of runoff from D.A.

Available surcharge storage upto the top of dam

$$= \frac{270 \times 1.0 \times 12}{13.7 \times 640} \times 12$$

$$= 0.369 \text{ inches.}$$

$$\frac{\text{Lake Surcharge Storage}}{\text{Inflow Runoff Volume}} = \frac{0.369}{19}$$

$$= 0.019$$

Referring to Figure 17-11 in SCS NEH, Section 4

$$\frac{\text{Outflow Peak Rate}}{\text{Inflow Peak Rate}} = 0.98$$

$$\text{Outflow Peak Rate} = 0.98 \times 21,235$$

$$= 20,810 \text{ cfs.}$$

(1)

SUBJECT LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

--- DETERMINE PEAK OUTFLOW.

TRIAL #2:

From the composite rating curve, the above outflow peak rate corresponds to ELEV. 1215.40

i.e. surcharge height above the spillway crest
= 13.4 feet.

∴ Vol. of surcharge storage (SFCR₁)

$$= \frac{270 \times 13.4}{13.70 \times 640} \times 12$$

$$= 4.95 \text{ inches of runoff from D.A.}$$

$$\therefore \text{Peak outflow } Q_{P_2} = Q_{P_1} \left(1 - \frac{4.95}{19}\right)$$

$$= 21,235 (1 - 0.261)$$

$$= 21,235 \times 0.739$$

$$= 15,692 \text{ cfs.} \quad (2)$$

TRIAL #3:

From the composite rating curve, the above outflow peak rate corresponds to ELEV. 1213.0

i.e. surcharge height above spillway crest
= 11.0 feet.

SUBJECT LHICE SKATUTALEE DAM
TO DETERMINE PEAK OUTFLOW.

$$\therefore \text{Volume of Surchage (stor}_1\text{)} = \frac{270 \times 11.0}{13.70 \times 640} \times 12$$
$$= 4.064 \text{ inches of runoff.}$$

$$\therefore \text{Peak outflow } Q_p = 21,235 \left(1 - \frac{4.064}{19}\right)$$
$$= 21,235 (1 - 0.2138)$$
$$= 21,235 \times 0.7862$$
$$= 16,695.0 \text{ cfs.} \quad (3)$$

TRIAL # 4:

FROM the composite rating curve, the above
outflow peak rate corresponds to ELEV. 1213.40

i.e. Surchage height above spillway crest = 11.4 ft.

$$\therefore \text{Vol. of Surchage (stor}_2\text{)} = \frac{270 \times 11.4}{13.70 \times 640} \times 12$$
$$= 4.212 \text{ inches of runoff.}$$

$$\therefore \text{Peak outflow rate} = 21,235 \left(1 - \frac{4.212}{19}\right)$$
$$= 21,235 (1 - 0.2217)$$

SUBJECT LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM
TO DETERMINE PEAK OUTFLOW

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Peak outflow rate} &= 21,235 \times 0.7753 \\ &= 16,527 \text{ cfs}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Average of } \text{SVR}_1 \text{ and } \text{SVR}_2 &= \frac{4.664 + 11.212}{2} \\ &= 4.138 \text{ inches.}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \text{PEAK OUTFLOW} &= 21,235 \left(1 - \frac{4.138}{17}\right) \\ &= 21,235 \times (1 - 0.243) \\ &= 21,235 \times 0.757 \\ &= 16,066 \text{ cfs.}\end{aligned}$$

∴ The corresponding maximum PRTL
ELEV. 1213.40.

∴ Maximum surcharge height = 11.40 feet

Dam would be overtopped by 10.40 feet.

At maximum PRTL elevation 1213.40, the
spillway can pass about 68% of PEAK OUTFLOW.

But, the spillway can pass only about 2% of the
Test Flood Peak outflow without overtopping
the dam. Therefore, the spillway capacity is
grossly inadequate.

Cross-sectional area of outlet = 4×4.5
= 18.0 sq. ft.
Inlet Elevation of outlet = 1193.0

Assume $C_d = 0.6$

At ELEV. 1202

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= 0.60 \times 18 \times \sqrt{2gh} \\ &= 0.60 \times 18 \times 8.02 \sqrt{7} \\ &= 228.57 \text{ cfs} \\ &\approx 228.0 \text{ cfs (say)} \end{aligned}$$

At max. pool ELEV. 1213.40

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= 0.60 \times 18 \times 8.02 \sqrt{18.4} \\ &= 371.54 \text{ cfs} \\ &\approx 372.0 \text{ cfs (say)} \end{aligned}$$

SUBJECT LAKE SKUTATAKEE DAM
ESTIMATION OF DEPTH OF FLOOD

WATERS IN THE VICINITY OF DAMAGE
IMPACT AREA DUE TO BREACH IN THE DAM
AT RESERVOIR FULL CONDITION.

As explained in Section 1.2d, it is not
possible to generate downstream dam
failure hydrograph in the vicinity of damage
impact area, using USGS topo map on which
the contours are at 20-foot intervals.


Besides, no other topographic map is
available for the area.

From the knowledge of the damage impact
area in the vicinity of Eastview village
which is at a distance of $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles downstream
from the dam, a ball park estimate
has been made as follows:

Depth of water above the river bed at F.R.L.
 $= 1202.0 - 1189.0$
 $= 13.0$ feet.

Height of flood wave at damage impact area
is estimated to be 9.0 feet.

Width of water spread at damage impact area
is approximately indicated on the USGS map
included in APPENDIX-D.



SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

CHAS. JOHNSON & SONS, INC.
BOSTON, MASS.
U.S. ARMY ENGINEERING NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MASSACHUSETTS


NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS

LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

MUSKOGEE BROOK


NEW HAMPSHIRE

DATE	10/11/50	BY	W. S. WAIN
CHECKED		BY	
REVIEWED		BY	



STORAGE CAPACITY - ELEVATION CURVE

FAY, SPOFFORD & THORNDIKE, INC. ENGINEERS BOSTON, MASS.		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS.	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS			
LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM			
NUBANUSIT BROOK		NEW HAMPSHIRE	
		SCALE	AS SHOWN
		DATE	AUGUST, 1978



RATING CURVE FOR SPILLWAY AND DAM


DAY, STAFFORD B. THORNDIKE, INC.
ENGINEERS
BOSTON, MASS.

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WASH. D.C.

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NO - FED DAMS

LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM

NUBANUSSET BROOK
SCALE AS SHOWN
DATE JULY 15, 1959
NEW HAMPSHIRE



SCALE 1:62500 (ACTUAL)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

NEW HAMPSHIRE
MONADNOCK QUADRANGLE
1949
AMS 6569 I-SERIES V 712

APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES

STATE	COUNTY	DIST.	CORNER	NAME	LATITUDE (NORTH)	LONGITUDE (WEST)	REPORT DATE
NH	005	02		LAKE SKATUTAKEE DAM	4256.3	7203.9	15 AUG 78

POPULAR NAME	NAME OF IMPONDMENT
	LAKE SKATUTAKEE

REGION BASIN	RIVER OR STREAM	NEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY - TOWN - VILLAGE	DIST FROM DAM (MI.)	POPULATION
01 08	NUBANUSIT BROOK	EASTVIEW	1	200

TYPE OF DAM	YEAR COMPLETED	PURPOSES	STRUCTURAL HEIGHT (FT.)	HYDRAULIC HEAD (FT.)	IMPONDING CAPACITIES (ACRES-FT.)	NEAREST DAM (MI.)	POPULATION
RACTPG	1937	R	14	13	1950	1680	200

REMARKS

D/S HAS LENGTH	SPILLWAY TYPE	WIDTH (FT.)	MAXIMUM DISCHARGE (CFS)	VOLUME OF DAM (CU YD)	POWER CAPACITY (MW)	INSTALLED PROPOSED (MW)	NAVIGATION LOCKS
1	125 U	98	245	500			

OWNER	ENGINEERING BY	CONSTRUCTION BY
LAKE SKATUTAKEE ASSOC		

DESIGN	CONSTRUCTION	OPERATION	MAINTENANCE
NH WATER RES BD	NH WATER RES BD	NH WATER RES BD	NH WATER RES BD

INSPECTION BY	INSPECTION DATE	AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION
FAY SPOFFORD + THORNDIKE, INC	26 MAY 78	PL 92-367

REMARKS

VER/DATE
8CS A
N N N
10 AUG 78

END

FILMED

8-85

DTIC

... ..