



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

i. N

REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NH 00152		
TITLE (and Subtitle)	*. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT *. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) *. TOGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT. TASK *. NUMBER AND	
Rochester Reservoir Dam		INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTIO	N OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
DAMS		
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES		12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS		JULY 1400
424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA.	02254	. 75
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office,		13. SECURITY CLASS. (al this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	UNCLASSIFIED
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	UNCLASSIFIED
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	UNCLASSIFIED 15.4. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE an Report) onal Dam Inspection Program onal Program for Inspection of
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract Cover program reads: Phase I It however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if nece DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED entered in Block 20, if different from respection Report, Nationate for date of report the program is: Nationate for date of report	UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE m Report) onal Dam Inspection Program mal Program for Inspection (
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if nece DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY Coastal Bašin Rochester New Hampshire Howard Brook	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED entered in Block 20, if different fre hspection Report, Nationate for date of report the program is: Nationate for date of report	UNCLASSIFIED 15.4. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE a Report) onal Dam Inspection Program onal Program for Inspection of
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract Cover program reads: Phase I It however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II nece DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY Coastal Bašin Rochester New Hampshire Howard Brook	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED entered in Block 20, if different fre hspection Report, Nati the program is: Nationate for date of report heary and identify by block number;	UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE an Report) onal Dam Inspection Program onal Program for Inspection (

·.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 IS OBSOLETE



E E

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: NEDED

8 OCT 1980

Honorable Hugh J. Gallen Governor of the State of New Hampshire State House Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Dear Governor Gallen:

Inclosed is a copy of the Rochester Reservoir Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Water Resources Board, the cooperating agency for the State of New Hampshire. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, City of Rochester, Rochester, New Hampshire.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Water Resources Board for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely,

Incl As stated

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer ROCHESTER RESERVOIR DAM NH 00152 NHWRB 204.13

•

, ,

ŀ

•

i.

۴ •

Ì

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	X
DTIC S	TAB	
Unana	ounced	
Justi	fication	
By	······	
Distr	ibution/	
Avai	lability	Codes
	Avail an	d/or
Dist,	Specia	1
A/		
γV,	15-	10/
//	1/1	\mathcal{N}

COASTAL BASIN ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No:	NH 00152
Name of Dam:	Rochester Reservoir Dam
Town:	Rochester
County and State:	Strafford, New Hampshire
Stream:	Howard Brook
Date of Inspection:	June 9 1980

The Rochester Reservoir Dam is an earthen embankment dam and consists of two distinct sections. The left section of the dam extends from the left abutment to a natural knob which lies between the two channels in the valley downstream of the dam. The left section is about 213 feet long and about 26 feet high from toe of slope to crest of dam. The right section of the dam extends from the natural knob near the center of the valley to the right abutment. The right section is about 369 feet long and about 21 feet high from toe of slope to crest of dam. The overall length of the dam crest between abutments is about 668 feet including the natural knob near the center of the dam. Normally, no surface discharge occurs at the damsite, although there are two intake structures associated with the dam which feed water to the City of Rochester's water distribution system. A spillway structure is located at the opposite end of the reservoir.

The dam is located at the east end of Rochester Reservoir at the headwaters of Howard Brook. There is a spillway at the west end of the reservoir which discharges outside the Howard Brook watershed to a swampy area immediately southwest of the reservoir and ultimately drains through Lond Pond to the Isinglass River in Barrington, New Hampshire. The dam was originally constructed and is still used to provide a water supply for the city of Rochester. The reservoir is 0.77 miles in length with a surface area of about 56 acres. The maximum storage capacity at top of dam is about 790 acre-feet.

As a result of the visual inspection of this facility, the dam is considered to be in POOR condition. Major concerns are: major active seepage at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam embankment; wet areas at several locations near the downstream toe of both sections of the dam embankment; water lines under continuous pressure beneath the left section of the dam embankment; stumps of recently cut large trees and their associated root systems on the crest of the dam embankment; extensive brush and small trees growing on the downstream slope of the dam embankment; minor erosion of the upstream slope of the dam embankment at the waterline; and inadequacy of the low-level outlets for dewatering the reservoir in an emergency. The dam is classified as SMALL in size and a SIGNIFICANT hazard structure in accordance with the recommended guidelines established by the Corps of Engineers. The test flood for this dam, therefore, ranges from a 100-year flood to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF). Since the dam falls towards the upper end of the small size range for storage, the 1/2 PMF was utilized for this hydrologic analysis. The test flood inflow was estimated to be 530 cfs and resulted in a routed test flood outflow equal to 265 cfs which would not overtop the dam crest. The maximum spillway discharge capacity with the reservoir surface at the dam crest was estimated to be 465 cfs, which exceeds the routed test flood outflow. An assumed breach with the water surface at the dam crest would overtop Estes Road located about 1.3 miles below the dam by 6 to 8 feet and could damage two homes located near the point where Howard Brook passes beneath Estes Road. Water would rise to as much as one foot above the sill level of these homes. The potential for loss of less than a few lives as well as economic loss would exist.

It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified registered professional engineer to: investigate the active seepage and wet areas at the downstream toe of the dam; investigate the condition of the gate valves at the gate house and make them operable; specify and oversee procedures for the removal of trees, stumps and associated root systems from the dam embankment and a zone next to the downstream toe of the embankment; specify repairs for the minor erosion of the upstream slope of the dam embankment at the waterline; inspect the downstream slope of the dam embankment at the waterline; inspect the downstream slope of the dam embankment after brush and small trees have been cleared; and investigate the adequacy of the low-level outlets to drain the reservoir in an emergency. It is also recommended that the owner clear brush and small trees from the downstream slope of the dam and maintain the embankment free of brush, repair the gate house structure and replace the gate house door, and repair cracked and spalled concrete at the spillway.

The recommendations and remedial measures are described in Section 7 and should be addressed by the owner within one year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report, except for the investigation of the active seepage at the downstream toe of the dam which should be addressed immediately upon receipt of this report.



È

ennet M. Stewart

Kenneth M.'Stewart Project Manager N.H.P.E. 3531

S E A Consultants Inc. Rochester, New Hampshire This Phase 1 Inspection Report on Rochester Reservoir Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

Horman atallan

(

ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, MEMBER Geotechnical Engineering Branch Engineering Division

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

RICHARD DIBUONO, CHAIRMAN Water Control Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECONDENDED:

DE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I investigation does <u>not</u> include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespassing and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect	ion		Page
Lett	er of	Transmittal	i
Brief	f Asse	essment	ii
Revi	ew Bo	bard Page	iv
Prefe	ace		v
Table	e of (Contents	vii
Over	view	Photo	ix
Loca	tion 1	Мар	x
1.	PRO	JECT INFORMATION	1-1
	1.1	General	1-1
	1.2	Description of Project	1-1
	1.3	Pertinent Data	1-4
2.	ENG	INEERING DATA	2-1
	2.1	Design	2-1
	2.2	Construction	2-1
	2.3	Operation	2-1
	2.4	Evaluation	2-1
3.	VISU	AL INSPECTION	3-1
	3.1	Findings	3-1
	3.2	Evaluation	3-2
4.	OPE	RATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	4-1
	4.1	Operational Procedures	4-1
	4.2	Maintenance Procedures	4-1
	4.3	Evaluation	4-1

Sect	ion		Page
5.	EVA	LUATION OF HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC FEATURES	5-1
	5.1	General	5-1
	5.2	Design Data	5-1
	5.3	Experience Data	5-1
	5.4	Test Flood Analysis	5-1
	5.5	Dam Failure Analysis	5-2
6.	EVAI	LUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	6-1
	6.1	Visual Observations	6-1
	6.2	Design and Construction Data	6-1
	6.3	Post-Construction Changes	6-1
	6.4	Seismic Stability	6-1
7.	ASSE	SSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	7-1
	7.1	Dam Assessment	7-1
	7.2	Recommendations	7-1
	7.3	Remedial Measures	7-2
	7.4	Alternatives	7-2
		APPENDICES	
APPE	NDIX	A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPE	NDIX	B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
APPE	NDIX	C - SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1
APPE	NDIX	D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1
APPE	ENDIX	E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	E-1

viii

Major seepage is occurring at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam. This seepage could lead to internal erosion and breaching of the dam, if not controlled.

There are also several wet areas with no noticeable discharge of flowing water at the toe of both sections of the dam. These wet areas may be indicative of seepage problems which could eventually cause instability of the dam, if not corrected.

The inoperability of the gates in the gate house do not allow the 16-inch and 12-inch pipes which pass through the dam to be depressurized. Consequently, if a break were to occur in either of these pipes within the dam structure, the lines could not be shut off and internal erosion could compromise the structural integrity of the dam and lead to eventual failure.

Extensive brush and small crees growing on the downstream slope of the dam and in the zone downstream of the toe make it impossible to inspect those areas adequately. Also, if the trees grow to a large size, they could cause seepage and erosion problems if a tree blows over and pulls out its roots, or if a tree dies or is cut and its roots rot.

Several large trees have been recently cut on the crest of the dam, and seepage or erosion problems may develop as their roots rot.

Minor erosion is occurring on the upstream slope at the waterline and could eventually result in breaching of the embankment if it is not controlled.

The condition of the gate house does not allow it to be locked and, thereby, keep intruders out of the gate house. Continued exposure of the interior of the gate house to weather and to vandalism will only worsen the already poor condition of the gate house structure. There is one area at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam where swamp grass is growing and one area near the downstream toe of the left section of the dam where ferns are growing. These types of vegetation indicate that the two areas are somewhat wetter than the surrounding areas.

Both abutments of the dam and the natural knob located near the center of the dam that separates the two sections of the dam consist of soil. There are many large boulders along both sides of the valley.

c. <u>Appurtenant Structures</u>. The principal intake structure gate house has been extensively vandalized. The door has been ripped off and much of the brickwork surrounding the doorway opening has been broken off (See Photo No. 3). The remainder of the brickwork and the portion of the stone masonry foundation which was visible above the reservoir surface appear to be in good condition. Wooden floor supports have rotted and given way and the right rear corner of the floor has sagged 6 to 8 inches. It appears that the primary structural item supporting the floor are cables running from the four corners of the floor inside the structure up to the roof. However, it was reported that the original purpose of these cables was to stabilize the present roof which was installed to replace the original roof structure which blew off some years ago. The gates located in the gate house appear to be inoperable. Consequently, the water lines which originate at this structure are controlled by a series of buried gate valves which are located about 100 feet downstream of the dam.

The secondary intake structure appears to be in fair to good condition (See Photo No. 9). The poured concrete structure is not excessively spalled and the gate valves are operable.

Located at the extreme west end of the reservoir is the principal spillway. There is a longitudinal crack in the concrete slab at the crest of the low-flow spillway and the left training wall is cracked and scalled (See Photo No. 16). The approach channel to the low-flow spillway is wide and unobstructed (See Photo No. 15). Brush and small trees are growing in the channel downstream of the spillway.

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. The slopes of the reservoir appear to be stable. No evidence of significant sedimentation was observed. The spillway for this reservoir discharges to a watershed which is separate from the area downstream of the dam.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. There are two distinct channels downstream of the dam that are separated by a natural ridge in the central part of the valley. These channels join about 500 feet below the dam.

3.2 Evaluation

On the basis of the results of the visual inspection, Rochester Reservoir Dam is considered to be in poor condition.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. <u>General</u>. Rochester Reservoir Dam impounds a reservoir of small size. The drainage basin above the dam is small and consists of moderately sloped terrain surrounding the reservoir. The drainage basin is heavily wooded and almost completely undeveloped. The downstream area is predominantly undeveloped until Estes Road is reached.

The field inspection of Rochester Reservoir Dam was made on June 9, 1980. The inspection team consisted of personnel from S E A Consultants Inc. and Geotechnical Engineers, Inc. Inspection checklists, completed during the visual inspection, are included in Appendix A. At the time of inspection, no water was passing over the spillway. The pool elevation was at approximately 375 feet NGVD. The upstream face of the dam could only be inspected above this water level.

b. <u>Dam</u>. The Rochester Reservoir Dam is an earthen embankment dam and consists of two distinct sections. The left section is about 213 feet long and 26 feet high and extends from the left abutment to a natural knob which lies between the two channels in the valley downstream of the dam. The right section is about 369 feet long and 21 feet high and extends from the natural knob near the center of the valley to the right abutment.

The crest, upstream slope, and downstream slope of the dam are covered with cobbles embedded in soil (See Photo Nos. 7 and 8). It cannot be determined on the basis of the visual inspection alone whether these cobbles were placed as riprap or whether the entire cross section of the dam consists of a cobble-soil mixture.

The crest of the dam is somewhat irregular, but does not show evidence of major movements. Some brush and trees have been cut recently on the crest.

Brush and a few large trees have been cut recently on the upstream slope (See Photo No. 8). There has been minor erosion of the upstream slope at the waterline, but the cobbles are in place everywhere at and above the waterline, as mentioned above.

Extensive brush and small trees are growing on the downstream slope of both sections of the dam (See Photo Nos. 3 and 10). A considerable quantity of brush and some logs, apparently the brush and trees that were cut on the crest and upstream slope, have been dumped on the downstream slope near the crest.

Major active seepage is discharging at a rate of about 5 gpm near the downstream toe of the right section of the dam near the natural knob at the center of the valley (See Plans and Details in Appendix B and Photo Nos. 11 and 12). The discharging seepage water is clear. There is one wet area, with no noticeable discharge of flowing water, at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam near the right abutment.

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

No design data were found for the Rochester Reservoir Dam.

2.2 Construction

No construction records were found.

2.3 Operation

No engineering operational data were found.

2.4 Evaluation

a. <u>Availability</u>. No engineering data were available for the Rochester Reservoir Dam. A search of the files of the New Hampshire Water Resources Board and direct contact with the owner revealed a limited amount of recorded information.

b. <u>Adequacy</u>. The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and sound engineering judgment.

c. <u>Validity</u>. Two state inspection reports, one dated September 27, 1935 and the other not dated, but believed to be prior to 1950, refer to a concrete core. It was not possible to validate the existence of a concrete core. No other engineering data were found to validate.

- (4) Control Mechanism
 - (a) 20-inch pipe discharge through this line is controlled by closing the gate on the pipe leading into the intake structure
 - (b) 16-inch and 12-inch pipes It appears that the gates at the gate house are not operable; however, these pipes can be controlled with buried gate valves that are located approximately 100 feet downstream of the dam. This series of valves also control the operation of the blowoff located at this point.
- (5) Other
 - (a) A 6-inch diameter blowoff is located approximately 100 feet below the left section of the dam. The outlet invert of the blowoff is at an elevation of 345.5 feet. According to Rochester Public Works Department personnel, other blowoffs are located on all three water supply lines at various points between the reservoir and the city.
 - (b) A 6-inch diameter drain line outlets from the intake structure which is located at the right abutment and discharges at the toe of the dam. The inlet invert of this pipe is set at an elevation of 363.8 feet. Operation of this drain line is controlled by a gate valve located within the intake structure.

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

Not applicable

i. Spillway

(1) Type - natural saddle in topography with a low-level spillway consisting of a concrete slab between low concrete training walls

- (2) Length of weir 19.5 feet (low-flow spiliway)
- (3) Crest elevation 375.0 (low-flow spillway) 375.5 (natural saddle)
- (4) Gates N/A

(5) U/S Channel - The upstream channel consists of a natural stream channel bottom approximately 1.5 feet deep with dry stone masonry training walls. This channel extends about 25 feet from the reservoir to the concrete capped spillway. The entire spillway consists of a natural saddle in the topography surrounding the reservoir at which the low-flow spillway has been constructed.

(6) D/S Channel - The spillway discharges to a heavily overgrown swampy area immediately southwest of the reservoir and ultimately drains through Long Pond to the Isinglass River.

j. Regulating Outlets

(1) Invert - Water intake pipes to distribution system estimated by Rochester Public Works Department personnel to have maximum drawdowns of 10 feet and 20 feet below the normal water surface. This results in the following approximate inlet invert elevations.

(a)	20-inch	pipe	-	365+
(b)	16-inch	pipe	-	3557
(c)	12-inch	pipe	-	355+

(2) Size

- (a) 20-inch pipe inlet to intake structure at right abutment
- (b) 16-inch pipe at gate house
- (c) 12-inch pipe at gate house
- (3) Description Cast iron water intake pipes that feed water distribution system

e. <u>Storage</u> (acre-feet)

l

÷

- (1) Normal pool 665
- (2) Flood control pool N/A
- (3) Spillway crest pool 665
- (4) Top of dam 790
- (5) Test flood pool 760

f. <u>Reservoir Surface</u> (acres)

- (1) Normal pool 56
- (2) Flood control pool N/A
- (3) Spillway crest pool 56
- (4) Test flood pool 67
- (5) Top of dam 70

g. Dam

(1) Type - earthen embankment, consisting of two distinct man-made sections

- (2) Length 582 feet (total man-made portion) 668 feet (between abutments)
- (3) Height 26 feet (left section) 21 feet (right section)
- (4) Top Width 12 feet (left section) 11 feet (right section)
- (5) Side Slopes left section, downstream 4V to 9H right section, downstream 3V to 5H
- (6) Zoning unknown
- (7) Impervious Core unknown; two inspection reports refer to a concrete core
- (8) Cutoff unknown
- (9) Grout curtain none
- (10) Other none

1-6

(4) The capacity of the ungated spillway with the water surface at the test flood elevation (Elevation 376.6 feet) was estimated to be 265 cfs.

(5) Gated spillway capacity at normal pool elevation - N/A

(6) Gated spillway capacity at test flood elevation - N/A

(7) The total capacity of the spillway at the test flood elevation x_{-1} evation 376.6 feet) was estimated to be 265 cfs.

(8) The total project discharge at the top of the dam (Elevation 377.0 feet) was estimated to be 465 cfs.

(9) The total project discharge at the test flood elevation (Elevation 376.6 feet) was estimated to be 265 cfs.

c. <u>Elevation</u>. (feet, NGVD) based on a pool elevation of 375.0 shown on U.S.G.S. quad sheet assumed to be the crest of the low-flow spillway.

- (1) Streambed at toe of dam 351
- (2) Bottom of cutoff unknown
- (3) Maximum tailwater unknown
- (4) Normal pool 375

v.

Z

.

6

- (5) Full flood control pool N/A
- (6) Spillway crest 375.0 (low-flow spillway)
- (7) Design Surcharge (Original Design) unknown
- (8) Top of Dam elevation varies 377.0 (min.), 378.2 (max.)
- (9) Test flood surcharge 376.6
- d. <u>Reservoir</u> (Length in feet)
 - (1) Normal pool -4,060
 - (2) Flood control pool N/A
 - (3) Spillway crest pool 4,060
 - (4) Top of dam -4,105
 - (5) Test flood pool -4,095

1.3 Pertinent Data

1

3

a. <u>Drainage Area</u>. The drainage area above Rochester Reservoir Dam is small, covering only about 0.41 square miles (approximately 262 acres). There is evidence from a U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Sheet that a larger area, generally around Berrys River, once drained to the reservoir. However, at present, very little uncontrolled surface runoff flows directly to the reservoir from the vicinity of Berrys River due to the barrier created by New Hampshire Route 202A. A portion of the water flowing through Berrys River is intercepted and piped to the Rochester Reservoir, but this flow is controlled by a gate valve as described in section 1.2 b.

The topography in the drainage basin consists of moderately sloped terrain surrounding the Rochester Reservoir. The area is heavily wooded and almost completely undeveloped. The development which does exist consists of three or four houses that are located on the fringe of the basin along New Hampshire Route 202A.

b. Discharge at Damsite. Normally, there is no surface discharge from the damsite. However, discharge does occur through a series of pipes which feed the city of Rochester's water distribution system. One 16-inch diameter pipe and one 12-inch diameter pipe outlet from the gate house located about 70 feet from the left abutment. Based on information provided by the Rochester Public Works Department, it appears that the inlet inverts of these pipes are set at an elevation of about 355 feet (NGVD). These pipes are cross-connected about 100 feet below the dam, and a series of buried gate valves located at this point control the flow through these water supply lines as well as the operation of a 6-inch diameter blowoff which can be used to flush sediment from either pipe. The invert of the blowoff is set at an elevation of 345.5 feet (NGVD). A 20-inch diameter pipe which also feeds the city of Rochester's water distribution system outlets from a secondary intake structure which is located at the right abutment. Flow into the secondary intake structure is controlled by a valve on the 20-inch diameter inlet pipe on the upstream side of this structure. Based on information provided by the Rochester Public Works Department, it appears that the inlet invert of this 20-inch diameter pipe is set at an elevation of about 365 feet (NGVD). A 6-inch diameter drain which can be used to flush sediment from the intake structure also outlets from this intake structure and discharges at the toe of the dam. A valve which controls the operation of this drain is located within the intake structure. Surface discharge will occur over the spillway located at the extreme west end of the reservoir when the water surface rises above 375 feet (NGVD).

(1) The capacities of the blowoff located below the gate house and the drain pipe located at the right abutment were estimated to be 5 cfs and 3 cfs, respectively, with the water surface at the top of dam (Elevation 377.0 feet).

(2) Maximum known flood at damsite - unknown.

(3) The capacity of the ungated spillway with the water surface at the top of the dam (Elevation 377.0 feet) was estimated to be 465 cfs.

diameter pipe from Berrys River passes under the state highway and is controlled by a gate valve in the other manhole. These pipes connect between the manholes and a single 24-inch diameter pipe passes through a gate house and discharges at a concrete walled sluiceway (See Photo No. 14). This sluiceway, which discharges into the reservoir beneath a boat house, formerly contained bar racks to prevent leaves and twigs from entering the reservoir, but the bar racks are no longer in place.

c. <u>Size Classification</u>. Small (height - 26 feet; storage - 790 acre-feet) based on either heig'st (greater than or equal to 25 feet and less than 40 feet) or storage (greater than or equal to 50 acre-feet and less than 1000 acre-feet) as given in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u>. Significant Hazard. An assumed breach in the Rochester Reservoir Dam would increase the stage along the stream channel near the Estes Road culvert by about 15 feet. The roadway would be overtopped by 6 to 8 feet and water would rise to as much as one foot above the sill of two houses located near the culvert. Beyond Estes Road the stream channel broadens to a wide swampy area before reaching the New Hampshire Route 202 culvert. The stage would quickly be reduced to about 7 to 8 feet through this section of the channel, so that houses located on the periphery of the swampy area and the state highway culvert would not be impacted. The potential for loss of less than a few lives as well as economic loss would exist. Failure of the dam would also result in loss of the city's water supply, since the pipes feeding the entire distribution system originate at the Rochester Reservoir.

e. <u>Ownership</u>. The dam has been continually owned by the city of Rochester, City Hall, Rochester, New Hampshire 03867, Telephone: (603) 332-1167 since it was constructed in the late 1800's.

f. <u>Operator</u>. The dam is maintained and operated by the Rochester Public Works Department, Water Division, 45 Old Dover Road, Rochester, New Hampshire 03867, Telephone: (603) 332-4096.

g. <u>Purpose of Dam</u>. The dam was originally constructed to provide the primary water supply for the city of Rochester and it still serves that purpose.

h. <u>Design and Construction History</u>. No information regarding the original design or construction of the dam was found. It is believed that the dam was constructed in the late 1800's.

i. <u>Normal Operating Procedure</u>. All the valves on pipes near the dam discharging to the city's water distribution system are normally open. These valves are adjusted to flush sediment from the lines through the blowoffs on an as-needed basis. All the valves on pipes near the spillway that control the flow into Rochester Reservoir from auxiliary storage reservoirs are normally open. These valves are adjusted based on daily visual observation to control the level of Rochester Reservoir at or just below the spillway crest (375.0 feet NGVD).

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. The Rochester Reservoir Dam is an earthen embankment dam and consists of two distinct sections (See Plans and Details in Appendix B). The left section of the dam extends from the left abutment to a natural knob which lies between the two channels in the valley downstream of the dam. The left section is about 12 feet wide at the crest, 213 feet long and about 26 feet high from toe of slope to crest of dam with a downstream slope of approximately 4 feet vertical to 9 feet horizontal (4:9). the right section of the dam extends from the natural knob near the center of the valley to the right abutment. The right section is about 11 feet wide at the crest, 369 feet long and about 21 feet high from toe of slope to crest of dam with a downstream slope of approximately 3 feet vertical to 5 feet horizontal (3:5). The overall length of the dam crest between abutments is about 668 feet including the natural knob near the center of the dam.

Located in the reservoir at a point approximately 70 feet from the left abutment and about 12 feet from the upstream crest of the dam is the principal intake structure which consists of a gate house which inlets water from the reservoir into one 16-inch diameter pipe and one 12-inch diameter pipe. Based on information provided by the Rochester Public Works Department, it appears that the approximate invert elevation of these two pipes is 355 feet (NGVD). The valves located in the gate house, and intended to control the inlets to these pipes, appear to be inoperable. These pipes pass through the dam and feed the city of Rochester's water distribution system. At a point approximately 100 feet downstream of the dam crest, these pipes are cross-connected and buried gate valves control the flow through these water supply lines as well as the operation of a 6-inch diameter blowoff which can be used to flush sediment from either line.

Located in the crest of the dam at the right abutment is the secondary intake structure (See Photo No. 9) which consists of a below grade, poured concrete chamber which inlets water from the reservoir into a 20-inch diameter pipe. This pipe passes through the dam and feeds the city of Rochester's water distribution system. Flow into the secondary intake structure is controlled by a valve on the 20-inch diameter inlet pipe on the upstream side of the structure. Based on information provided by the Rochester Public Works Department, it appears that the approximate invert elevation of this inlet pipe is 365 feet (NGVD). A 6-inch diameter drain which can be used to flush sediment from the chamber also passes through the dam and discharges near the toe of the dam. A valve which controls the operation of this drain is located within the concrete chamber.

Located at the extreme west end of the reservoir is the principal spillway which consists of a natural saddle in the topography surrounding the reservoir at which a concrete slab low-flow spillway approximately 20 feet long between 6-inch high concrete training walls has been constructed. Since the low-flow spillway appears to have been constructed at a natural saddle, the area adjacent to the low-flow spillway is not considered to be a dike.

Located approximately 225 feet to the right of the low-flow spillway are gate valves that control the flow into Rochester Reservoir from auxiliary storage reservoirs. A 20-inch diameter pipe from Round Pond passes under the spillway and is controlled by a gate valve in the manhole nearest to the spillway. A 20-inch

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT ROCHESTER RESERVOIR DAM

SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u>. Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. S E A Consultants Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the state of New Hampshire. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to S E A Consultants Inc. under a letter of November 5, 1979 from William Hodgson, Jr., Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract no. DACW33-80-C0008 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose

(1) To perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests.

(2) To encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-federal dams.

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location. The Rochester Reservoir is located on the corporate boundary line between Rochester and Barrington, New Hampshire at the headwaters of Howard Brook. The Rochester Reservoir Dam is located in Rochester, New Hampshire at the east end of the reservoir. There is no spillway at the dam, but any discharge emanating from the dam's low level outlets would flow in an easterly direction through Howard Brook and then Axe Handle Brook approximately 3.6 miles to its confluence with the Cocheco River in Rochester, New Hampshire. There is a spillway at the west end of the reservoir which discharges outside the Howard Brook watershed to a swampy area immediately southwest of the reservoir and ultimately drains through Long Pond to the Isinglass River in Barrington, New Hampshire. The dam is shown on U.S.G.S. Quadrangle, Alton, New Hampshire with coordinates approximately at N43°17'19", W71°02'35", Strafford County, New Hampshire (See Location Plan).



X



÷.,

.

.

.

(

1

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 Operational Procedures

ī

ľ

10

a. <u>General</u>. The Rochester Reservoir Dam is used for the retention of the Rochester Reservoir which is the primary water supply for the city of Rochester. There are no written operational procedures governing the operating facilities of this dam.

b. <u>Description of Any Warning Systems in Effect</u>. No written warning system exists for the dam.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

a. <u>General</u>. The owner; the city of Rochester acting through its Department of Public Works, is responsible for the maintenance of the dam. No formal or written maintenance plan exists. However, the dam is visited frequently and it is reported that maintenance is performed on an as-needed basis.

b. <u>Operating Facilities</u>. No formal plan for maintenance of operating facilities exists.

4.3 Evaluation

The current operation and maintenance procedures for the Rochester Reservoir Dam are inadequate to ensure that all problems encountered can be remedied within a reasonable period of time. The owner should establish a written operation and maintenance procedure, as well as a warning system to follow in event of flood flow conditions or imminent dam failure.

SECTION 5 EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC FEATURES

5.1 General. The Rochester Reservoir Dam is an earthen embankment dam and consists of two distinct sections. The left section of the dam extends from the left abutment to a natural knob which lies between the two channels in the valley downstream of the dam. The left section is about 213 feet long and about 26 feet high from toe of slope to crest of dam. The right section of the dam extends from the natural knob near the center of the valley to the right abutment. The right section is about 369 feet long and about 21 feet high from toe of slope to crest of dam. The overall length of the dam crest between abutments is about 668 feet including the natural knob near the center of the dam. Normally, no surface discharge occurs at the damsite, although there are two intake structures associated with the dam which feed water to the city of Rochester's water distribution system. A spillway that consists of a natural saddle in the topography surrounding the reservoir at which a concrete slab low-flow spillway has been constructed is located at the opposite end of the reservoir. The crest of the low-flow spillway is set at an elevation of 375 feet (NGVD).

The drainage area contributing surface runoff to the reservoir is quite small, about 0.41 square miles. Water discharging from the reservoir to the city's distribution system is replenished by water which is piped to the reservoir from Round Pond and Berrys River. The dam impounding the Rochester Reservoir is classified as small in size and has a maximum storage capacity of 790 acre-feet.

5.2 Design Data. No hydrological or hydraulic design data were disclosed.

5.3 <u>Experience Data</u>. No experience data were disclosed. Maximum flood flows or elevations are unknown.

5.4 <u>Test Flood Analysis</u>. Due to the absence of detailed design and operational information, the hydrologic evaluation was performed utilizing data gathered during field inspection, watershed size and an estimated test flood determined from the Corps of Engineers guide curves. For this dam (small size and significant hazard), the test flood ranges from the 100-year flood to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF). Since the dam falls towards the upper end of the small size range for storage, the 1/2 PMF was utilized for this hydrologic analysis. The drainage area consists of moderately sloping terrain, so the "rolling" curve, from the Corps of Engineers set of guide curves, was used to estimate the maximum probable flood peak flow rate.

Based on an estimated maximum probable flood peak flow rate of 2,600 cfs per square mile and a drainage area of 0.41 square miles, the test flood inflow was estimated to be 530 cfs. The test flood was routed through the reservoir in accordance with the Corps of Engineers procedure for Estimating Effect of Surcharge Storage on Maximum Probable Discharge. The reservoir water surface was assumed to be at elevation 375.0 prior to the flood routing. The routed test flood outflow was estimated to be 265 cfs. This analysis indicated that the dam crest would not be overtopped. The test flood surcharge would reach an elevation of 376.6 feet (NGVD) and leave a freeboard of 0.4 feet below the minimum elevation of the top of dam. The capacity of the spillway with the reservoir surface at the dam crest was estimated to be 465 cfs, which exceeds the routed test flood outflow. 5.5 <u>Dam Failure Analysis</u>. The impact of dam failure was assessed utilizing the "Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs published by the Corps of Engineers. The analysis covered a reach extending approximately 2 miles downstream to New Hampshire Route 202. There is no prefailure flow from the dam, so prefailure tailwater conditions were not considered in the calculations and the dam failure analysis was conducted with the water surface at the dam crest. Based on this analysis, Rochester Reservoir Dam has been classified as a significant hazard.

Due to the major seepage in the right section of the dam, it was determined that this section of the dam represented the most probable place for an assumed breach to occur. Consequently, a total of 117 feet of the right section of the dam (approximately 40 percent of the length at mid-height) was breached with a failure height of about 21 feet. The peak failure discharge was estimated to be 18,900 cfs.

The first 1.3 miles of the channel below the dam is completely undeveloped. However, a few houses are located near the point where Howard Brook passes beneath Estes Road. It appears that two of these houses may be impacted by the failure discharge which would increase the stage along this section of the stream by about 15 feet. Water would flow about 6 to 8 feet deep over Estes Road and would rise to as much as one foot above the sill level of two houses. As the channel passes beyond Estes Road and approaches New Hampshire Route 202, it broadens into a wide swampy area. Consequently, the stage would be reduced quickly to about 7 to 8 feet before it reaches the state highway. It appears that houses located on the periphery of the swampy area and the New Hampshire Route 202 culvert would not be impacted. The potential for the loss of less than a few lives as well as economic loss would exist. Failure of the dam would also result in loss of the city's water supply, since the pipes feeding the entire distribution system originate at the Rochester Reservoir.

SECTION 6 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observations

The visual inspection indicates the following potential structural problems:

- (1) Major active seepage at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam embankment, which could lead to internal erosion and breaching of the dam if not controlled.
- (2) Wet areas with no noticeable discharge of flowing water at several locations near the downstream toe of both sections of the dam embankment, which may be indicative of seepage problems that could eventually cause instability of the dam if not controlled.
- (3) Two water lines are under continuous pressure beneath the left section of the dam embankment. A break in either of these lines beneath the embankment could cause uncontrolled internal erosion of the dam.
- (4) Stumps and root systems of large trees which have recently been cut on the crest of the dam embankment and which could lead to seepage and erosion problems as the roots rot.
- (5) Brush and small trees growing on the downstream slope of the dam embankment which, if allowed to grow to large size, could cause seepage and erosion problems if a tree blows over and pulls out its roots, or if a tree dies or is cut and its roots rot.
- (6) Minor erosion of the upstream slope of the dam embankment at the waterline which could eventually result in breaching of the dam.
- (7) The floor of the gate house is in very poor condition and could easily collapse. This not only presents a safety hazard for operating personnel but would probably effect the operation of the valves located within the gate house.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

No information regarding the original design or construction of the dam was found, although it is believed that the dam was constructed in the late 1800's.

6.3 Post-Construction Changes

There is no record of changes since the construction of the dam.

6.4 Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2 and, in accordance with the Phase I guidelines, does not warrant seismic analysis.

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

E

 \mathbf{D}

a. <u>Condition</u>. The visual examination indicates that Rochester Reservoir Dam is in poor condition. The major concerns with respect to the integrity of the dam are:

- (1) Major active seepage at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam embankment.
- (2) Wet areas with no noticeable discharge of flowing water at several locations near the downstream toe of both sections of the dam embankment.
- (3) Water lines under continuous pressure beneath the left section of the dam embankment.
- (4) Stumps of recently cut large trees and their associated root systems on the crest of the dam embankment.
- (5) Brush and small trees growing on the downstream slope of the dam embankment.
- (6) Minor erosion of the upstream slope of the dam embankment at the waterline.
- (7) Inadequate capacity of the low level outlets to drain the reservoir in an emergency.

b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u>. A dense cover of brush and small trees and some brush and logs which have been cut and dumped on the downstream slope cover most of the downstream slope of the dam and make it impossible to inspect the slope adequately. The information that is available from the visual inspection is adequate to identify the problems mentioned in 7.2. These problems will require the attention of a registered professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams who will have to make additional engineering studies to design or specify remedial measures. No additional information is needed for this Phase I investigation.

c. <u>Urgency</u>. The owner should implement the recommendations in 7.2 and 7.3 within one year after receipt of this Phase I report, except for the recommendation in 7.2 (1) which should be implemented immediately upon receipt of this report.

7.2 Recommendations

The owner should retain a registered professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams to:

(1) Investigate the active seepage at the downstream toe of the right section of the dam embankment and design remedial measures.

- (2) Investigate the wet areas at several locations near the downstream toe of both sections of the dam embankment and design remedial measures, if needed.
- (3) Investigate the condition of the gate values at the gate house and make them operable.
- (4) Specify and oversee procedures for the removal of trees, stumps. and associated roots systems from the dam embankment and a zone next to the downstream toe of the embankment and backfilling of resulting voids.
- (5) Inspect the downstream slope of the dam embankment after brush and small trees have been cleared.
- (6) Specify repairs for the minor erosion of the upstream slope of the dam embankment at the waterline.
- (7) Investigate the adequacy of the low level outlets to drain the reservoir in an emergency and design remedial measures, if necessary.

The owner should carry out the recommendations made by the engineer.

7.3 Remedial Measures

4

.

D

- a. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The owner should:
 - (1) Clear brush from the downstream slope of the dam and maintain the embankment free of brush.
 - (2) Repair the gate house structure and replace the gate house door in order to keep non-authorized personnel out.
 - (3) Repair cracked and spalled concrete at the spillway and maintain the spillway area free of brush.
 - (4) Visually inspect the dam and appurtenant structures once a month.
 - (5) Establish written maintenance and operating procedures.
 - (6) Engage a registered professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams to make a comprehensive technical inspection of the dam once every year.
 - (7) Establish a surveillance program for use during and immediately after periods of heavy rainfall, and also a warning program to follow in case of emergency conditions.

7.4 Alternatives

There are no practical alternatives to the recommendations of Section 7.2 and 7.3 7-2

APPENDIX A

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

K

PARTY OR	GANIZ	ATION	
ECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH		DATE: June 9, 1980 TIME: 1:30 p.m. WEATHER: Sunny, cool W.S. ELEV. 375.0 U.S. N/A DI (NGVD)	٩.5
Y:			
Kenneth Stewart, S E A	6.	Richard DeBold, NHWRB	
Bruce Pierstorff, S E A	7.	Mr. Turcotte, Rochester, W.W.	
Robert Duriee, S E A	8.		
Prilip upton, S E A	9.		
Konald Hirschield, GEI	10.		
PROJECT FEATURE		INSPECTED BY REMARKS	
Structural Stability		K. Stewart/R. Durfee	
Hydrology/Hydraulics	<u></u>	B. Pierstorff	
Soils and Geology		R. Hirschfeld	
······		·	
		······································	
		·····	
		·····	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

ľ

1

I

1
INSPECTION	CHECK LIST
PROJECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH	DATE:June 9, 1980
PROJECT FEATURE: Dam Embankment	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
DAM EMBANKMENT	
Crest Elevation	377.0
Current Pool Elevation	375.0
Maximum Impoundment to Date	Unknown
Surface Cracks	None observed
Pavement Condition	Not paved
Movement or Settlement of Crest	Crest surface is somewhat irregular
Lateral Movement	None observed
Vertical Alignment	See "Movement or Settlement of Crest"
Horizontal Alignment	Good
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Good
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None observed
Trespassing on Slopes	Footpath along crest of dam; no evidence of trespassing on side slopes observed
Vegetation on Slopes	Brush and several large trees recently cut from crest and upstream slope. Brush on downstream slope.
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	None observed
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	Crest, upstream slope, and downstream slope covered with cobbles which could have originally been placed as riprap
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toe	None observed
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	Active seepage discharge in downstream face of right section of dam at STA 4+00
Piping or Boils	See "Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage"
Foundation Drainage Features	None observed
Toe Drains	None observed
Instrumentation System	None

A-2

INSPECTION	CHECK LIST	
PROJECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH	DATE: <u>June 9, 1980</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE: Dike Embankment	NAME:	
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
DIKE EMBANKMENT	No Dike	
Crest Elevation		
Current Pool Elevation		
Maximum Impoundment to Date		
Surface Cracks		
Pavement Condition		
Movement or Settlement of Crest		
Lateral Movement		
Vertical Alignment		
Horizontal Alignment		
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures		
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes		
Trespassing on Slopes		
Vegetation on Slopes		
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments		
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures		
Unusual Movement or Cracking * at or near Toes		
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage		
Piping or Boils		
Foundation Drainage Features		
Toe Drains		
Instrumentation System		

PROJECT. Rochester Reservoir Dam NW DATE. Ture 0 1000		
PROJECT:ROCHESTER RESERVOIR Dam, NH	DATE: <u>June 9, 1980</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE: Intake Channel	NAME:	
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND		
a. Approach Channel		
Slope Conditions	Good	
Bottom Conditions	Not visible beneath reservoir surface	
Rock Slides or Falls	None	
Log Boom	None	
Debris	None observed	
Condition of Concrete Lining	Not visible beneath reservoir surface	
Drains or Weep Holes	None	
o. Intake Structure	Not visible beneath reservoir surface	
Condition of Concrete		
Stop Logs and Slots		

INSPECTIO	N CHECK LIST		
PROJECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH	DATE:June 9, 1980		
PROJECT FEATURE: Control Tower	NAME:		
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:		
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS		
OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER	Brick masonry gate house is control tower		
a. Concrete and Structural			
General Condition	Poor		
Condition of Joints	Good except where masonry has been vandalized		
Spalling	N/A		
Visible Reinforcing	N/A		
Rusting or Staining of Concrete	N/A		
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None		
Joint Alignment	Good		
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	Not visible beneath reservoir surface		
Cracks	Masonry extensively vandalized		
Rusting or Corrosion of Steel	N/A		
. Mechanical and Electrical			
Air Vents	None		
Float Wells	None		
Crane Hoist	None		
Elevator	None		
Hydraulic System	None		
Service Gates	Not visible		
Emergency Gates	None		
Lightning Protection System	None		
Emergency Power System	None		
Wiring and Lighting System	None		

A-5

. . .

.

• •

INSPECTION CHI	ECK LIST	
PROJECT:	DATE:	
PROJECT FEATURE: Transition and Conduit	NAME:	
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:	
ΑΡΕΑ ΕΥΑΙΠΑΤΈΝ	CONDITIONS	

OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT Not visible beneath reservoir surface General Condition of Concrete Rust or Staining on Concrete Spalling Erosion or Cavitation Cracking Alignment of Monoliths Alignment of Joints Numbering of Monoliths

INSPECTION	I CHECK LIST
PROJECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH	DATE:June 9, 1980
PROJECT FEATURE: Outlet Structure	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
DUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	Not visible-underground; outlet structure is city water system
General Condition of Concrete	
Rust or Staining	
Spalling	
Erosion or Cavitation	, · · •
/isible Reinforcing	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	
Condition at Joints	
Drain holes	
Channel	
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	
Condition of Discharge Channel	





PLANS AND DETAILS

. .

	CALCULATION	N SHEET	Date 9-27-35	
Refers to			Made By	
3648				<u> </u>
an a	0	na anti-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
، من <u>محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محمد محم</u>	- KOCHESTER	MATER WO.	RKS-	
	- RESERVOI	R -	7 (¹ ¹ ¹ ¹	· · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• • • • ·	
An amount of	o high	Concrete ((220 35 HIGH	
2751 /				<u></u>
3/3 /60		·····/-		
		`		
		······································	<u> 150 - 150 - 75</u>	<u> </u>
				=
			·	
1				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · ·
				·
∠ 15 ->:			<u> </u>	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
· · · · ·				
			·	
30 Apprex			- محمد المحمد المحم	
No. 1				
······································				— · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	66 10055			
	<u> </u>	······		
				· · · ·
il				
Spillingy at a	opasite end of	reservoir	From dam	<u> </u>
19 1009 - 6" dee	p			
				— , ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				<u> </u>
		and the second second		
۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰				
		<u></u>		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · ·	·
				<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
			<u> </u>	·····
		. '		
مربق به در مربقه میکند. از این مربق میکند. در این میکند در میکند میکند. از میکند. از میکند. م				
and the second	the second states to be a second as the second s			

<u>INV</u>	ENDORY OF DA	MS AND WATER	POWER DEVELO	PLENTS .
AM				
ASIN <u>OCA</u> IVEH <u>Po</u> OWN <u>F</u>	SERVEIN SERVEIN SCHESTER OF DAM	NO. MILES CWNER	<u>3 - 53 -</u> FROM MOUCH Creater (<u></u> D.A.SQ.MI D.A.Fo
UILI	DESCRI	PIION_ Gravit	1 - Earth	- Ford DU EANED
YOND AREA-ASI IEIGHT-NOP TO YERALL LENGY YERMANENT CRI HAILWATER PILLWAT LENG YLASHEOARDS-C MASTE GATES-1	RES 63/51. O BED OF STR IN OF DAM-FT EST ELEV.U.S ELEV.U.S STHS-FT. M COMPE, HEIGHT NC. WIDTH M	0* DRAMDCULL PT Earl-FT 25 1	<u>+ FRE FOID</u> MAX. LOOD HEI HI LCCAL G LOCAL G FREEDOA DEPIH SILL B	CAPACITY-ACRE FT NIN. ABOVE CREST-FT AGE AGE RD-FT ELCW_CREST
-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
EMARKS <u>C</u>	adition p	Tep Ar Tep Ar Album at Pickars	Charles	Leno Rus 44 below Bud reservein fo
EMARKS Control	PMENT RATED HEAD HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE	KW	MAKE
EMARKS CC (NITS NO.	PMENT RATED HEAD HP FEET	C.F.S. FULL GATE	KW	MAKE

DATE 0/27/35

1

B−7

NVER Rochester Reservoir DRAINAGE ID.425,102 AREA ID.425,102 DAM Gravity FOUNDATION Earth MATERIALS OF Earth, Rock CONSTRUCTION Earth MATERIALS OF Earth, Rock CURPOSE POWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY OF DAM OF DAM WEIGHTS, TOP OF ADDTOX. 35' SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS 19' DEFFHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 6'' OPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 6'' TURE, HIGHT ABOVE CREST 0'' DAM ADDTOX. 5' GREATING HEAD 19'' OF DAM ADDTOX. 5' 10'' WHELLS, NUMBER 10'' OF FLASHBOARDS WHELLS, NUMBER 10'' OF CASHBOARDS WHELLS, NUMBER 10'' OF CASHBOARDS REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS, NUMBER 10'' O'', EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS, NUMBER 10'' O'', EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS, REMARKS 10''', EFF. OTTHER- Rochester Water Works OTTHER- Good	NVER Rochester Reservoir RAINAGE 10:42.5,m.' AM Gr2vity AM Gr2vity ATERALS OF Earth ATERALS OF Earth, Rock UPPOSE POWER_CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM Earth UPPOSE POWER_CONSERVATION -DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM Earth UPPOSE POWER_CONSERVATION -DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY FEGATS. TOP OF Approx. 141 EARTHS BELOW TOP OF PAM 191 EARTHS BELOW TOP OF PAM 191 REST TON T. W. 100 P C FLASHBOARDS REST TON T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS REST TON T. W. REFERENCES. CASES. INDS & M. P. EAREMOVE OREST	RIVER ROCI DRAINAGE AREA DAM Gran	nester Reservoir	NO	NU
STREAM 10.425, m/s POND AREA 10.425, m/s AREA 65 Acres DAM Gravity POUNDATION Earth MATERIALS OF Earth, Rock NATURE OF Earth WATERIALS OF Forno Earth Nature of MATERIALS OF Forno Earth OF DAM OF OF FLASHSORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY OF DAM 101 Powers-conservation-comestic-recreation-transportation-public Utility OF DAM 101 Depths stelew top of DAM 101 Pricestow top of DAM 101 Casest to N. T. W. 100 N. T. W. WHELLS. NUMBER 100 N. T. W. Remators. NUMBER 100 N. C. EFF. References. Cases. 100 N. C. EFF.	RAINAGE RAINAGE REA 10.425 G.W.: AREA 65 Acres AREA 65 Acres AM Gravity FONDATION Earth ATTRIALS OF Gravity FOUNDATION - DATE ONSTAUCTION Earth, Rock URPOSE POWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM 50 ED OF STREAM ADDTOX. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAYS. LENGTHS EIGHTS. TOP OF ADAM 64 EIGHTS. TOP OF OF DAM 10 SPILLWAY CRESTS POWER-CONSERVATION - DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM 50 ED OF STREAM ADDTOX. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAYS. LENGTHS EIGHT ABOVE CREST PERATING HEAD REST TO N. T. W. HIGHT ABOVE CREST PERATING HEAD REST TO N. T. W. HISS A K. W. P. SO F. C. TIME INDS S K. W. P. SO F. C. TIME IND RECTIONS. EFFRENCES. CASES. LANS. INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DUTIER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	DRAINAGE AREA DAM GP2			
AREA 10.425, m, AREA 65 Acres DAM Gravity FOUNDATION Earth MATERIALS OF Earth, Rock ECONSTRUCTION EARTH, Rock DONSTRUCTION EARTH, Rock DONER-CONSERVATION-ODMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY OF DAM HEIGHTS, TOP OF AND TO BOO OF STREAM ADDITOX. 351 SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDITOX. 41 SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDITOX. 41 SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDITOX. 5 SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDITOX. 5 SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDITOX. 5 LASH 50 ACR STOP OF DAM 6 UNDER STOP OF DAM 6 CREST TO N. T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. WINELS, NUMBER SINDS 9 K. W AP 90 P. C. TIME 00 P. C. EFF. TOP OF C. TIME 00 P. C. EFF. TOP OF C. TIME 00 P. C. EFF. TOP OF C. TIME TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. TIME TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. TOP OF C. TIME TOP OF C. TIME	REA 10.42.50, m/s AREA 65 Acres AM Gravity FOUNDATION Earth ATERIALS OF Earth, Rock ONSTRUCTION Earth, Rock UPOS2 POWER-CONSERVATION-COMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTLITY F DAM Ecduts. TOP OF ECduts. TOP OF Approx. 351 ATT TO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 ATT TO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 ATT TO POF DAM Splituwar CRESTs PATHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 05 DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS 05 DAM ADDTOX. 500 VPE, HEIGHT ABOVE CREST 05 DAM ADDTOX. 500 PREATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHBOARDS REST TO N. T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS NOS & K. W TOP N. T. W. INDS & H. P. TOP N. T. W. INDS & H. P. TOP N. T. W. INDS & H. P. TOP N. T. W. INDS & S. W. TO N. T. W. INDS & S. W. TO N. T. W. P. C. TIME H. P. 75 P.C. TIME IND P. C. EFF. TOP OF C. EFF. EFFERENCES. CASES. EARTS DOTTLER- Rochester Water Works COCCUTION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	AREA DAM Gran		POND	
DAM Gravity Foundation Earth WYPE Gravity Nature of Earth WATERIALS OF Earth, Rock SURPOSE POWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY PF DAM TOP OF DAM TO HEIGHTS, TOP OF ADDTOX. 351 SPILL WAY S. LENGTHS 191 DEPTH BELOW TOP OF DAM 611 DEPTH BELOW TOP OF DAM 101 DEPTH BELOW TOP OF DAM 100 PERATING HEAD 100 STORE TO N. T. W. 100 REFERENTIONS. 100 <td>AM Gravity FOUNDATION NATURE OF Earth ATERNALS OF OASTRUCTION Earth, Rock Nature of Earth URPOSE FOWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 41 AN TO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 41 ANTO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 41 ANTO BED OF STREAM Approx. 500 Ashboards Spillway CRESTS EARTH ABOVE CREST 58 Approx. 500 Spillway CRESTS PERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHSOARDS FOM ADDIFOX. 500 REST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. HISS & K.W </td> <td>Gran Gran</td> <td>10:42 Sa. m.</td> <td>AREA 65 ACTES</td> <td></td>	AM Gravity FOUNDATION NATURE OF Earth ATERNALS OF OASTRUCTION Earth, Rock Nature of Earth URPOSE FOWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 41 AN TO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 41 ANTO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 41 ANTO BED OF STREAM Approx. 500 Ashboards Spillway CRESTS EARTH ABOVE CREST 58 Approx. 500 Spillway CRESTS PERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHSOARDS FOM ADDIFOX. 500 REST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. HISS & K.W	Gran Gran	10:42 Sa. m.	AREA 65 ACTES	
MATERIALS OF Earth, Rock DNRFOSE POWER-CONSERVATION-OOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY OF DAM HEIGHTS. TOP OF DAM TO BED OF STREAM ADDTOX. 35' IOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS IOF DAM ADDTOX. 5' LENGTH SETURATION OF DAM ADDTOX. 5' LENGTH SETURATION HEAD CREST TO N. T. W. WHEELS, NUMBER KINDS & H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER KINDS & K. W 4. P. 90 P. C. TIME DO P. C. EFF. NUMBER KINDS & K. W 4. P. 90 P. C. TIME DO P. C. EFF. Rechester Water Works CONDITION- Good	ATERIALS OF Earth, Rock ONSTRUCTION Earth, Rock URPOSE POWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-RECREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM EIGHTS, TOP OF DAM ADDTOX. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS 191 LENGTH PILLWAYS, LENGTHS 191 LENGTH SATHOB SECON TOP OF DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS YPE, HEIGHT ABOVE CREST PERATING HEAD REST TO N. T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TO N. T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. TO S. C. TIME INDS S K. W. P. SO P. C. TIME IND P. C. TIME IND P. C. EFF. EFFRENCES. CASES, LANS, INSPECTIONS, EMARKS DUDIER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.		vity	FOUNDATION Earth	
POWER_CONSERVATION_DOMESTIC_RECREATION_TRANSPORTATION_PUBLIC UTILITY DF DAM HEIGHTS, TOP OF DAM TO BED OF STREAM ADDITOX. 35 ! SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS DEPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM CI SEPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM DEPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM CI SEPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM DEPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM CI SEPTHS BELOW TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TO P OF FLASHBOARDS TO N. T. W. WHEELS, NUMBER KINDS & K. W 4, P. 90 P. C. TIME DO P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS. REMARKS O'TI-ZR- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	DURDOUTION POWER_CONSERVATION - DOMESTIC - RECREATION - TRANSPORTATION - PUBLIC UTILITY F DAM TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAYS LENGTHS Approx. 143 An TO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 SPILLWAY CRESTS PILLWAYS. LENGTHS 191 LENGTH EPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 061 Jama Crests ASHBOARDS 191 LENGTH EPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 061 Jama Crests ASHBOARDS 191 LENGTH ASHBOARDS 191 Jama Crests PERATING HEAD 100 OF FLASHBOARDS TOP OF FLASHBOARDS REST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. MASS H. P. NUMBER ION N. T. W. INDS S K. W. IOS P. C. TIME IOO P. C. EFF. EFERENCES. CASES. ISO P. C. TIME IOO P. C. EFF. EFERENCES. CASES. ISO P. C. TIME IOO P. C. EFF. OUTDLER – Rochester Water Works IOO P. C. EFF. OUTDLER – Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	MATERIALS OF Ear	th, Rock		
OF DAM ADDFOX. 35! TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDFOX. 4! DAM TO BED OF STREAM ADDFOX. 35! SPILLWAY CRESTS Approx. 4! DEFTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 0" IENGTH IENGTH DEFTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 0" IENGTH OF DAM ADDFOX. 5 TASHBOARDS 19! IENGTH OF DAM ADDFOX. 5 TOP OF FLASHBOARDS 0" OF DAM ADDFOX. 5 DPERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TOP N. T. W. CREST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. WHSELS, NUMBER KINDS & H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER KINDS & H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER H. P. 75 P.C. TIME 00 P. C. EFF. 100 P. C. EFF. IDO P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS, REMARKS 0/TILER_ Rochester Water Works CONDITION-	F DAM TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY, CRESTS Approx. 41 AM TO BED OF STREAM Approx. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY, CRESTS Approx. 41 PALLWAYS, LENGTHS 191 LENGTH OF DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS 50 0F DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS 100 of FLASHBOARDS 0F DAM ADDTOX. 500 AREST TO N, T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TOP N, T. W. HRELL, NUMBER TOP N, T. W. HERLEN INDS B M. P. ENERTORS, NUMBER TOP N, T. W. INDS B M. P. ENERTORS, NUMBER IDO P, C. EFF. EFFRENCES, CASES, LANS, INSPECTIONS, EFFRENCES, CASES, LANS, INSPECTIONS, EMARKS OUTNER- Rochester Water Works DOP, C. EFF. COUDITION- Good Good IENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	PURPOSE	POWER-CONSERVATION-DOMESTIC-R	CREATION-TRANSPORTATION-PUBLIC L	
Heights, TOP OF Approx. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS Approx. 41 SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS 191 LENGTH OF DAM ADDTOX. 55 SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS 191 OF DAM ADDTOX. 55 STYPE, HEIGHT ABOVE CREST OF DAM ADDTOX. 55 OPERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHBOARDS CREST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. WHSELS, NUMBER TO N. T. W. WHSELS, NUMBER KINDS S H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER H. P. 75 P.C. TIME IOO P. C. EFF. IOO P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS. INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OVIDER – OVIDER – Rochester Water Works CONDITION – Good	EIGHTS. TOP OF AM TO BED OF STREAM ADDTOX. 351 TOP OF DAM TO SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDTOX. 4: AM TO BED OF STREAM ADDTOX. 351 SPILLWAY CRESTS ADDTOX. 4: PERATING BELOW TOP OF DAM 10 F DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS VEC. REST PERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHBOARDS REST TO N. T. W. TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TOP OF	OF DAM			.
DAM TO BED OF STREAM ROUTOR. BUT I SPILLWAY CRESTS II INTERPOLATION IN TO BED OF STREAM ROUTOR IN THE INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION IN THE INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION INTERPOLATION INTER	AM TO BED OF STREAM AUDIOX. 001 Ispillway CRESTS Item PILLways. Lengths 191 Length PILLways. Length 0F DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS 0F DAM ADDTOX. 500 ASHBOARDS 101 PETHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 611 ASHBOARDS 100 of FLASHBOARDS PERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHBOARDS REST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. HISS & H. W. TO N. T. W. HISS & K. W. 100 N. T. W. INDS & H. P. ENERATORS. NUMBER INDS & K. W. 100 P. C. TIME INDS A. K. W. 100 P. C. EFF. FERENCES. CASES. 100 P. C. EFF. EMERATORS. 100 P. C. EFF. EMERATORS. 100 P. C. EFF. EMARKS 0000 OTTHER Rochester Water Works OTTHER Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	HEIGHTS, TOP OF	Approx 351	TOP OF DAM TO ADDTOX	ř:
SPICL WAYS, LENGTHS 191 LENGTH DEPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 61 OF DAM ADDTOX. 5 CASHBOARDS TOP OF FLASHBOARDS TYPE, HEIGHT ABOVE CREST DPERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHBOARDS CREST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. WHSELS, NUMBER KINDS & H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER H. P. 75 P.C. TIME 00 P. C. FF. 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES. CASES. 100 P. C. EFF. PLANS. INSPECTIONS. Remarks OUTNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	PILL VAYS. LENGTHS 19' EPTHS BELOW TOP OF DAM 6" ASHBOARDS OF DAM ADDTOX. 500 YPE, HEIGHT ABOVE CREST FERATING HEAD PERATING HEAD TOP OF FLASHSOARDS REST TO N, T. W. TOP N, T. W. HISLS, NUMBER TOP N, T. W. INDS 5 H. P. ENERTORS, NUMBER INDS 5 K. W.	DAM TO BED OF STREAM	AUUIOX. 35	SPILLWAY CRESTS	
Dispering Becow role of Dam D" T OF Dam A DOTOX. OrlashBooards Top of FlashBoards DPERATING HEAD Top of FlashBoards CREST TO N. T. W. To N. T. W. WHEELS, NUMBER KINDS 3 H. P. Generators, NUMBER KINDS 3 H. P. Generators, NUMBER H. P. 73 P.C. TIME 00 P. C. TIME H. P. 73 P.C. TIME 00 P. C. EFF. 100 P. C. EFF. References, Cases, PLANS, INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OUTDER OUTDER Rochester Water Works	EFFHS BECOW TOP OF DAM	SPILLWAYS, LENGTHS	<u>1</u> 91		LENGTH
CASBOARDS DPERATING HEAD CREST TO N. T. W. WHRELS, NUMBER KINDS § H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER KINDS § K. W 4. P. 90 P. C. TIME 00 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS. PLANS, INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OUTINER ROCHESTER WATER WORKS CONDITION-	Arshonds Top of Flashsoards PERATING HEAD Top of Flashsoards REST TO N. T. W. To N. T. W. INDS § H. P. Enterators, Number INDS § H. W.	DEPTHS BELOW TOP OF	DAMO"		OF DAMADOPOA. DUD
International Problem Top of FlashBoards CREST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. WHEELS, NUMBER TO N. T. W. KINDS § H. P. Generators, NUMBER KINDS § H. P. H. P. 75 P.C. TIME GOO P. C. EFF. IOO P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, IOO P. C. EFF. PLANS, INSPECTIONS Remarks OUTIER_ Rochester Water Works CONDITION_ Good	TOP OF FLASHBOARDS REST TO N. T. W. HERELS, NUMBER INDS & H. P. ENERATORS, NUMBER INDS & K. W. .P. 90 P. C. TIME DO P. C. EFF. EFFENCES, CASES, LANS, INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DUTIER- Rochester Water Works COMDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	TYPE HEIGHT ABOVE CE			
OF OF PERSIDENTS To PERSIDENTS WHEELS, NUMBER To N. T. W. WHEELS, NUMBER To N. T. W. KINDS & H. P. Generators, NUMBER KINDS & K. W H. P. 75 P.C. TIME H. P. 75 P.C. TIME H. P. 75 P.C. TIME 100 P. C. EFF. 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS, REMARKS OUTDER OUTDER Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	REST TO N. T. W. TO N. T. W. INDS § H. P. TO N. T. W. ENERATORS. NUMBER INDS § K. W. INDS § K. W. INDS § C. TIME IND P. C. TIME IND P. C. TIME DP. C. EFF. IOO P. C. EFF. EFFERENCES. CASES. IOO P. C. EFF. EFFERENCES. CASES. IOO P. C. EFF. EMARKS IOO P. C. EFF. DUNIER – Rochester Water Works COUDITION – Good MENACE – Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	OPERATING HEAD			
WHEELS, NUMBER KINDS & H. P. GENERATORS, NUMBER KINDS & K. W H. P. 90 P. C. TIME 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS REMARKS OVINER- ROCHESTER Water Works CONDITION- Good	WHEELS, NUMBER INDS & H. P. ENERATORS, NUMBER INDS & K. W .P. 90 P. C. TIME NOP. C. EFF. INDS PECTIONS. EMARKS DVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	CREST TO N. T. W.		TON T W	
kinds B H. P. generators, number kinds B K. W H. P. 90 P. C. TIME H. P. 75 P.C. TIME 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES, CASES, PLANS, INSPECTIONS, REMARKS OVINER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	INDS & H. P. ENERATORS. NUMBER INDS & K. W .P. 90 P. C. TIME DO P. C. TIME DO P. C. EFF. EFERENCES. CASES. LANS. INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	WHEELS, NUMBER			
GENERATORS, NUMBER KINDS & K. W H. P. 90 P. C. TIME 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES. CASES, PLANS. INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OWNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	ENERATORS. NUMBER INDS & K. W P. 90 P. C. TIME NO.P. C. EFF. EFFRENCES. CASES. LANS. INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DVINER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	KINDS & H. P.			
KINDS & K. W H. P. 90 P. C. TIME 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES. CASES. PLANS. INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OWNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	INDS & K. W P. 90 P. C. TIME NO P. C. EFF. EFFRENCES. CASES. LANS. INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	GENERATORS, NUMBER	······································		
H. P. 90 P. C. TIME 100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES. CASES. PLANS. INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OVINER- CONDITION- Good	P. 90 P. C. TIME H. P. 75 P.C. TIME DO P. C. EFF. 100 P. C. EFF. EFERENCES. CASES. 100 P. C. EFF. LANS. INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DUTIER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	KINDS & K. W			
100 P. C. EFF. REFERENCES. CASES. PLANS. INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OWNER_ Rochester Water Works CONDITION_ Good	DO P. C. EFF. EFERENCES, CASES, LANS, INSPECTIONS, EMARKS DUDER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	H. P. 90 P. C. TIME		H. P. 75 P.C. TIME	
REFERENCES. CASES. PLANS. INSPECTIONS. REMARKS OUTHER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	EFERENCES CASES. LANS. INSPECTIONS. EMARKS DVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	100 P. C. EFF.		100 P. C. EFF.	
OVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	EMARKS EMARKS DVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	REFERENCES, CASES,			
OWNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	DUNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	PLANS, INSPECTIONS.		<u></u>	
OVNER- Rochester Water Works CONDITION- Good	DUNER-Rochester Water WorksCONDITION-GoodMENACE-Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	REMARKS			
OWNER-Rochester Water WorksCONDITION-Good	DVNER-Rochester Water WorksCONDITION-GoodMENACE-Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.				
CONDITION- Good	CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	סי איינס	De ale action Water Ward		
CONDITION- Good	CONDITION- Good MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	0.42R-	Rocnester Water World	KS	
CONDITION- Good	TENACE- Good			•	
	IENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.	CONJITION-	Good		
	IENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.				
MENACE- Yes. Will be subject to periodic inspection.		MENACE-	Yes. Will be subject	ct to periodic inspecti	.on.

To the Public Service Commission:

The foregoing memorandum on the above dam is submitted covering inspection made September 27, 1935, and bill for same is enclosed. Mr. George Dame was present at the inspection.

Samuel J. Lord Hyd. Eng.

Nov. 25, 1935

NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER CONTROL COMMISSION DATA ON DAMS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

ŕ

 v^{\pm}

LOCATION	1		STATE NO204	4. <u>13</u>
TownRoc	bester	St	rafford	•••••
StreamRes	ervoir		******	
Basin-Primary	cean (: Secondary	Cocheco	******
Local Name			····	****
Coordinates—Lat	43 15! 4 1320	20: Long	71 01 + 11400	*************************
GENERAL DATA				
Drainage area: Con	trolledSq. Mi	: Uncontrolled	Sq. Mi.: Total.10.	.42Sq. Mi.
Overall length of da	m	f Construction		
Height: Stream bed	to highest elev35.	ft.: Max. Structure		ft.
Cost—Dam		: Reservoir		*****
DESCRIPTION Gra Waste Gates	vity earth and re	ock concrete som	:e √	
Туре				
Number	: Size	ft. high x	•••••••	ft. wide
Elevation Invert.		:: Total Area	*****	sq. ft.
Hoist				••••••
Waste Gates Condu	iit			
Number	: Mai		<i></i>	••••••
Size	ft.: Length	it.: Area		
Embankment				
Type		£4 . Mi		
Height-Max		It.: Min		16. £1
lopvvidtn			······	······ 16
Slopes-Upstream	n on	: Downstream	QN	******
Length-Right o	I Spillway	:: Left of Spinway	***************************************	*******
Spillway Motoriala of Cou	struction 5 ¹⁰ b	elow ton of onnos	te end reservo	ir (concre
Longth Total		ft · Not		
Height of parma	nent section-Max	ft • Min		16 ++
Flashboards Tr			Haight	f+
Flashboards-Ty	apant Crost	• Ton	of Flashboard	····· 4 6
Elevation-rerm		fa •	ofa/ca v	
A hutmante	······································	LJ		
Materials ·				
Freeboard : Max	4	ft.: Min.		ft
Headworks to Pov	er Devel(See "Data	on Power Development	.")	
OWNER	hester Water Vor	ks.		****
REMARKS Con	dition good Wet	er Supply	67	
	Subject to insp	ection Use conse:	rvation	

NEW HAMPSHIRE WATER CONTROL COMMISSION

5

.

D

¥.

.

REPORT ON DAM INSPECTION

WNER <u>74.</u>	Lichard Maker with	DAM NO.7 <u>4</u> .1.3 ADDRESS	BARREAM Low Ford Provide
In acc. .nspected b;	r me on <u>Juii</u>	of Chapter 13	B, Laws of 1937, the above dam
CTES ON PH Abutmo	SICAL CONDITION		
Spillw	y Gisch		
Gates	Constable.		
Other			
eanges sin	E LAST INSPECTION	1/0	
uture insp	ECTIONS 465		
This d	um (iș) <u>(is rot</u>) a more	ce because	f firstan & Gont
EMARKS		·····	
	Conv to Owner	Date	
			<u>Accusions</u> C. 76655 INSPECTOR

(Additional Notes Over)



State of Rew Hampshire

WATER RESOURCES BOARD 37 Pleasant Street Concord, N.H. 03301

TELEPHONE 271-3405

February 15, 1978

Mr. Paul Clement, City Engineer Old Dover Road Rochester, NH 03867

Dear Mr. Clement:

Under the provisions of RSA Chapter 482, Sections 8 through 15, copy enclosed, on December 2, 1977 an Engineer of the Water Resources Board inspected your dam in Rochester. This dam, #204.13, is classified in the files of this office as a menace structure and as such must be maintained in a manner not to endanger public safety nor become a dam is disrepair.

As a result of this inspection it was noted that items of maintenance or repair are in need of attention.

1. Trees and brush on the dam to be cut.

If you have any questions, please contact us at your convenience.

Very truly yours,

George M. McGee, Sr. Chairman

GMMG:PK:njk

Enc.

L

PAST INSPECTION REPORTS

.

Ē

.

.

•

_

- - -

AVAILABLE ENGINEERING DATA

No Engineering Data other than past inspection reports from the State of New Hampshire Water Resource Board were available.

۰,

lie

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA

S

.

.

.

•

D

.

-

.

INSPECTIO	N CHECK LIST		
ROJECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH	DATE: June 9, 1980		
PROJECT FEATURE: Service Bridge	NAME:		
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:		
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS		
OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE	Service bridge is temporary wooden structure to gate house		
a. Super Structure			
Bearings	See "Bridge Seat"		
Anchor. Bolts	None		
Bridge Seat	Gate house structure and dam embankment		
Longitudinal Members	Wood beams - unpainted		
Under Side of Deck	Wood - unpainted		
Secondary Bracing	Wood beams - unpainted		
Deck	Wood planking - unpainted		
Drainage System	None		
Railings	Wooden 2 x 4's - unpainted		
Expansion Joints	None		
Paint	Not painted		
. Abutment & Piers			
General Condition of Concrete	N/A		
Alignment of Abutment	N/A		
Approach to Bridge	Pathway on crest of dam		
Condition of Seat & Backwall	N/A		

ι

:

•

A-9

PROJECT: Rochester Reservoir Dam, NH PROJECT FEATURE: Spillway Weir	DATE: June 9, 1980
PROJECT FEATURE: Spillway Weir	
	NAME:
DISCIPLINE:	NAME:
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	Spillway is located at opposite end of reservoir from dam.
a. Approach Channel	
General Condition	Good
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None
Trees Overhanging Channel	None
Floor of Approach Channel	Sand, gravel, cobbles
. Weir and Training Walls	
General Condition of Concrete	Fair
Rust or Staining	None observed
Spalling	Left training wall is cracked and spalled; longitudinal crack in concrete slab at spillway weir crest
Any Visible Reinforcing	None
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None
Drain Holes	None
. Discharge Channel	
General Condition	Poor
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None
Trees Overhanging Channel	Trees overhang channel
Floor of Channel	Sand, gravel, cobbles
Other Obstructions	Brush and small trees growing in channel

<u>i</u>n

....

.

• •

APPENDIX C

K

K

Ł

.

٠,

t

SELECTED PHUTOGRAPHS





.

Photo No. 1 - General view of reservoir from center of dam.



Photo No. 2 - General view of dam from left abutment.



Ē

.

Г

K

-

Photo No. 5 - View of crest of right section of dam and right abutment from center of dam.



Photo No. 6 - View of crest of right section of dam from right abutment.



, ś

Ľ

Photo No. 9 - Closeup view of intake structure at right abutment.



Photo No. 10 - Downstream face of right section of dam from right abutment.



5

1

.

ĥ

Ŋ

-

٠.,

, . .

Photo No. 13 - View of downstream channel from toe of right section of dam.



Photo No. 14 - View of concrete walled sluiceway and piped inflow near spillway at west end of reservoir.

C-8



36

ř

Í

.

• ;

•

-

۲ .



D - I

- •

2.2

SIEIÀ CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners	BOSTON , MASS Rochester, N.			
CLIENT AFMy Corps PROJECT Rochester Reservoir Dam DETAIL Hydrologic Colcs	Јов No. <u>274-79</u> Сомрто. Ву <u>З</u> Ск'о. Ву <u>К</u>	<u>р</u> Раде Р Дате 5 Дате	10f 27 6124190 712130	
1. Dasie Lata A. Drainage Area				
1. = 0.41 Square miles and then pla	- as defred	5 S	55. Briet	
2. Chrannage anea wo Estimating PMF	rulai Classit Peak Flow	n as rol Rates	ing -or	
B. Dan and Storage I 1. Size Classification	intermation : SMALL	based or	n either	
regit (Z25 feet (Z50 acre-ft	and $< 40 Left)$ and $< 1000 as$	or Stora (re-ft)	e C	
a height measured b. Storage at Cre	trom to e to crest est of dam ≈	of dom ≈ 2 790 G	cre-feet	
2 Hazard Potential	SIGNIA	FICANT		
3. Storage Information	en			
Descriptive Internal	tion Elevation* feet (UGUD)	Surface freat	Storsage * sue-feet	

	feet (UGUS)	acus	aue-Seet
380' Cintour	380,0	<u>٩</u> ٥	
Crest of dam	377.0	70	7490
Normal Pool (Followy crest eler)	375, 0	56	ಕಾರ
	<u>ر</u> – ع	a an the state of the	

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. BOSTON , MASS. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS ROCHESTER, N.H. CLIENT Army Corps PAGE 2 of 27 JOB NO. 274-7901 PROJECT Rochester Reservoir Dam COMPTO. BY BWP DATE _6/24/90 DATE ______ DETAIL Hutmingie Calcs _ Ск'о. Вт <u>_____КМ́́</u> * Notes : (1) elevations : NGVD (2) Spilling crest elevation taken to correspond with pool elevation of 375 feet Shown in USGS sheet (3) Surface area at normal pool elev. taken to correspond with poolsmin on U.S. G.S Sheet (4) Storage at normal pool was intermine by dividing the final into a stres of each frustrum in order to détermine the total voies of the pond. C. Spillway Information 1. The principal spillway is located at the intreme west end of the reservoir a. Spillway consists of a concrete slab which neasures 19.5 feet between low concrete walls. Crest of spilling set at an elevation of 375 f+ (NGVD) b. Discharge over spullway may be determined with the broad-crested weir equation (i) $Q = CL H^{3/2}$ (Standard Handbook For CE's, Menut) where: Q = discharge, Cts C = desuarre coeff. ~ Z.7 L = lenth of weir, - or H= real over weir, teet II. Estimate Effect of Surcharge Storage on 1/2 Maximum Probable Decharge A. Develop stage-duscharge curve for outflow from dan - spilinar complex

SIEIA CONSULTANTS ENGINEERS / PLANNERS	INC.	BOSTON , MAI Rochester,	SS. N.H.			
CLIENT Grue Corps PROJECT Rocher Re DETAIL Hudrologic G La define St	<u>servoir Dam</u> <u>les</u> ourses of o	JOB NO. <u>274-</u> COMPTO. BY <u></u> CK'D. BY <u></u> Tut f low	7901 PAC WP DAT MÓ DAT	$3E = \frac{3}{6/25/80} + \frac{2}{2}$ $TE = \frac{2}{2} + \frac{3}{3} + \frac{3}{2} $		
a, disc	clarge over as defined	· Spillway above	- above z	levotran 375		
b. Clisc br (at	ange over oad-crested	spilling weir equi	abut ment	ts using		
c. disc u	harge over sing broad C = 2.6 (s	clain Cr - crested with above eburstion	est and c cir equotion 377.0)	iout ments		
d. Water leaving reservoir through First feeling distribution system essentialing seucoelent to water entering reservoir through pipes tran Round Pond and Berrys River. Incretore, this Sources of inflow and outflow will not impact surdlarge storage analysis						
2. Discharg	e over	5 pilling				
Elevation feet (NGVD)	С	L feet	H	Q Cfs		
375.0 375.5	2.7	19.5	0 0.5	0 Zo		

50

100

50

210 275

ł

1.0

1.5

2.0

2.5

З.Э

D-4

376.0

376.5

377.0

377.5

375.0

SEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT	Army Gros	J	08 No	274-7901	PAGE_	4 of 27
 PROJECT	Pockester Reservoir	Dam C	OMPTO. B	· _ BWP	DATE	6125190
DETAIL	Hudrober Cales	C	K'D. BY _	RNS	DATE	7/2/30
	3. Discharge Over	حصللسم	g abut	ments		,

0	Dali	_ <u>_</u> _	1 - []		~ 1 –	tm	= - 00
α.	TOFT.M	07	16++	and ment	ad acent	,0	Sall

Elevation feet (NGVD)	С	L feat	Avg H feet	0 efs
375.5		0	0	0
376.0	2.6	45	0.75	15
376.5			0.75	75
377.0			1.25	165
377.5			1.75	270
373.0	+	+	2.25	39,5
		3	-	

b. Remainder of left abutment

Elevation feet (Novo)	C	L feet	Aug H feet	Octs
3760		0	0	0
376.5	Z.6	8	0.z5	< 5
377.0		16	0.5	15
377.5		24	0.75	40
378.0	ł	32	1.0	95

C. Right abut ment

Elevation feet (Novici)	С	L Sect	Aug H feet	O ets
375.5		0	9	0
376.0	2.6	z8	0.25	10
376.5	1	54	0.5	50
0.555		80	0.75	:35
3.575		126	1.0	Z75
375.0	*	132	1.25	430

D-5

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

GLIENT ATMA COLDS	JOB NO. 274-790	PAGE	5 of 27
PROJECT Rocher Reservoir Dam	COMPTO. BY BUP	DATE	<u> 3125130</u>
DETAIL Hucholsen Cales	CK'D. BY KMS	DATE .	<u></u>
4. Discharge over das	m		, ,

Elevatim feet (NGVD)	С	L feet	Aug. H fect	0 cfs
377.0		0	0	0
277.5	2.6	215	0.25	70
330.0	2.6	340	0.5	310
				•

b. Over left portion of dam

Elevation	C	L	Aug. H	0
feet (NGVD)		feet	feet	Lts
377.1 377.5 380.0	Z.6 Z.6	0 90 160	0 0.2 0.45	0 20 125

5. Total discharge from dam-spellway complex

Elevation feet (NGUD)	0 Esilue	O Spilling Left as it	G Spiriman Left a.c.x.	Continuous Continuous Contratationet	dung Flight Dortum	dan, lett Dort.m	O TOTAL
375.0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
375.5	20	0	\circ	0	0	0	20
376.0	50	15	0	10	0	0	75
376.5	100	75	<5	50) D	0	225
377.0	150	165	15	135	2		465
377.5	20	270	40	275	70	20	395
373.0	275	395	35	450	310	\25	1,670
	,		1	ĺ		• •	•

Linere VS Elevation Elminer zed graphicaling

	Jo. 224-790	<u>)</u>	<u>6/25/80</u>	LM5
TG				3
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
				·····
		TTAL		
CHARGE	VS ELEVA			
	<pre></pre>			
	\			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	- <u>\</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				9
	\rightarrow		: 	<u> </u>
	<u></u>			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				S S
·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		·		
				8
		······		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				·
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- [N-
	······································			·····
a			s	<u>,</u>
	5		5 {	Ĵ
n-1222 (1.11	<u></u>			
	The second secon	CHARGE VS ELEVA	<u>So No. 224-790</u> <u>LGURE 1</u> <u>SCHARGE VS ELEVATION</u>	Charge vs. ELEVATION

Ì

NEERS /	PLANNE	NTS INC. As	BOSTON , N Rochester	ASS.			
Army Corps JECT Rochester Reservor Dam AIL Hydrologic Calcs.			JOB NO. 271	<u>+-7901</u>	PAGE 20 of 37		
			COMPTO. BY_	BWP KMS	DATE7/29/80		
			Ск'о. Вү		DATE _	7/29/30	
D	Reach	4					
1.	STEP 3:	Prepare stag	e-discharge (curve for	Reach		
	a. Per	tinent Data		, I			
	(1)	Reach length	= 1,500 +	eet			
:	(.2.)	Channel slop	e = 0.0045				
	(.3)	Manning n =	0.06	1/50	le slopes	rot constitution	
	. C4)	Channel shap	e - trapezou	hal (con	gentie 1-an	a second refu	
	(5)	Base width =	= 10 feet				
	b. See	Figure 3 for	stage-dischar	rge curve			
2.	STEP 4:	Estimate Rea	ch Outflow				
	a. Det	ermine stage f	for 0 = 15	zoocfs	from Fig	ire 3	
	· an	d find volume	r_{Pq} (\mathbf{C})		110. 125		
-							
	(.1)	Stage (depth	of flow) =	7.6 fee	t		
	(2)	Volume in re	ach = (reach	length)	(cross-se area of	channel)	
		X-area = =	1090 ftz + 3070 ftz	(0.5)(3	.6 ⁽⁴⁾ (52	sf+ + 575	
		Volume = V _l	$= \frac{(3070 ft^{3})}{43,5}$:) (1500 -60 ++/ac	r< (+-)		
			= 106 ac	e-feat			
			$v_1 < \frac{s}{2} \therefore$	reach len	gth OK		
	b. Det	ermine QP 5 (TRI	AL)				
		Qp s(trial	$ = Q_{P4} \left(1 - \right) $	$\left(\frac{v_1}{S}\right)$			
		Q _P s(TRIAL) = (15,20	00 cfs)	$(1 - \frac{1}{7})$	$\left(\frac{06}{40}\right)$	
		$\Theta_{\rm e} = (13101)$	~ 13,20	ocfs			

D-2

 •

<u>___</u>

SEA C	ONSULTANT	S INC.	BOSTON, MASS. Rochester, N.H.				
	Anny Corps		JOB NO. 271	-7901	PAGE_	19 of Z7	_
PROJECT	Pour Pro	Primir Dam	COMPTO. BY	BWP	DATE .	7129190	_
JETAIL	Hydrologic C	ales	Ск'р. Ву	KM 5		7/29/30	_
	c. Compu	te V ₂ using	QP-(CTRIAL)				
	Fr	om Figure 3	determine sta	age for Q	P4(TRIAL)	
		Stage = 13	3.3 +eet		2 (2)	oi + 335	
		X-area = 4 ~	$60 + t^{-} + 2449 + t^{2}$	(0.5)(4	.9**)(~	-	
	V ₂	$= \frac{(2449)}{9}$	f+2) (130 3,560 +12/act	re			
	v ₂	2 = 73.1	acre-ft				•
	d. Avera	age V _l and V	2 and compute	Q _P 4			٠
	(1)	$Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$	<u>v₂</u>				
		$V_{avg} = \frac{78}{78}$	3.3ac-f+ + Z	73.1 ac	-++		
		Vavg = 75	5.7 care-f1				
	(2)	$Q_{P4} = Q_{P3}$	$\left(1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}\right)$			•••	
		$Q_{P4} = (10)$	6,800 c+-)	(1 -	75.7 790)		
		$Q_{P4} = 15$	5,200 0 +	5			

والرافية فترقد فترغيه المستعم

-.-- W
SIEIA C Enginees	on as /	PLANN	NTS	INC.	BOSTO	DN , MASS Ester, N.H	4.			
CLIENT_	Arm	y Corps	5		Jos No	2734-790	11	PAGE_	18 of 27	7
PROJECT	<u>Ko</u>	Chester ?	Reservoir	r Dam	COMPTO	D. By <u>BWP</u>	> 	DATE .	7/29/80	
DETAIL	Hyd	rologi	<u>c Calc</u>	5	Ck'o. E	By	2	DATE .	7/23/35	
Ċ.	K	each	3							
	ι.	STEP 3	: Pre	epare st	age-discha	irge curve	for R	each 3	3	
		a. Pe	ertiner	nt Data			Ŧ			
		C	L) Rea	ach leng	th = 1, J	OO fee	х			
		···· (.:	2) Cha	annel sl	ope = 0.	0045				
		C	3) Mar	nning n	= 0.06	~ (ع علق	úpes r	ist consider	÷γ
		C	+) Cha	annel sh	ape - trape	ezoidal (imput	2 X-ane	2 accordinging)
		C	5) Bas	se width	≈ 10	feet				
		b. Se	ee Figu	ure 3 fo	r stage-di	scharge c	urve			
	2.	STEP 4	Est	tìmate R	each Outfl	.OW				
		a. De	etermin	ne stage	for 0_{-} =	16.800	chfr	om Fig	ure 3	
	-	1. e	and fir	nd volum	e in reach	1		0		
		(·	1) St	age (den	th of flow	r = 13.9	3 Lat			
		<u>~</u>	.,	120 (dop				· .	•	
		C	2) Vo	lume in	reach = (r	each leng	th) (a	ross-s rea of	channel)	Ň
				X-area	= 460 ft = 2625 f	2 + (0.5) t ²	(7.84	+) (Z:0	<u>fi</u> + 345 fi	ز ز
			Vol	lume = V	1 = (262	$\frac{5 \text{ ft}^2}{43.562}$	300			
			_		= 78.3	arre-ft				·
					, • • •					
					v ₁ < 2	: .reach	ı lengt	h OK		
		b. De	etermin	^{le Q} P 4 (T	RIAL)					
				Q _{P4(TRI}	AL) = Q _{P3}	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & - \frac{v_1}{s} \end{pmatrix}$!			
				Q _P 4(TRI	AL) = (16	,8000	(=	(1 - ·	78.3 790)	
				Qpq URIA	nl) = 15	,100 c-	(_			
					D-19					
	~		م موجع موجع							1

SIE A CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners	BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.		
CLIENT Army Cords	JOB NO. 274-7901	PAGE 17 of 2	7
PROJECT Kochester Reservoir Dun	COMPTO. BY BWP	DATE 7/29/90	
DETAILHydrologic Cales	CK'D. BY KMS	DATE 7/29/80	

c. Compute V₂ using Q_{P3(TRIAL)}

From Figure 3 determine stage for QP3(TRIAL)

Stage = 10.0 feet
X-area = 460 ft² + (0.5) (4.0 ft) (2:0¹⁴ + 230 ft)

$$\approx 1440$$
 ft²
 $V_2 = \frac{(1440 ft^2) (1600 ft)}{43,560 ft^2/are}$
 $V_2 = 52.9. acrei-ft$

- d. Average V_1 and V_2 and compute Q_{P3}

(1)
$$Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$$

 $Vavg = \frac{56.0ac-f+ + 52.9ac-f+}{2}$

Vavg = 54.5 acre 1

(2)
$$Q_{P3} = Q_{P2} \left(1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}\right)$$

 $Q_{P3} = \left(18,000 \text{ cfc}\right) \left(1 - \frac{54.5}{790}\right)$

SIEIA C ENGINEE	ON: Rs /	SULTA	ANT	S INC.	BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.	
CLIENT_	Arm	y Corp	<u>s</u>		JOB NO. 294-7901 PAGE 16 0- 2	
PROJECT	<u>ر ج .</u>	<u>chaquer</u>	1500	time Jam	COMPTO. BY DATE DATE	
DETAIL _		rologi	$\frac{1}{2}$	105.	CK'D. BY DATE DATE	
R.	K a	each	6			
	١.	STEP 3	<u>3:</u> :	Prepare stag	ge-discharge curve for Reach 2	
		_				
		a. P	erti	nent Data		
		Ç	11)	Reach lengtl	n = 1,600 feat	
. :		····· (.	(2)	Channel slop	pe = 0.025	
		() C	.3)	Manning n =	0.06 (Sile sispes not consident	t N
			_4 <u>)</u>	Channel shar	pe - trapezoidal (compute x-area accordingin	いと
		Ĺ	-27	Base width a	≈ 10 feet	
		ЪЯ	loo F	iguna 3 for	stage-dischange ourse	
		D . 3	ee r	Igure 5 10r	stage-discharge curve	
	2	STEP 4	4:	Estîmate Rea	ach Outflow	
	د		<u> </u>			
		a. D	eter	mine stage :	for $0_{n_{2}} = B \cap OD c$ from Figure 3	
	-	:	and	find volume	in reach	+
		(.	1)	Stage (dept)	r of flow) = 10.3 feet	
		C	.2)	Volume in re	each = (reach length) (cross-sectional)	
				X-area =	$460 f + 2 + (0.5)(4.3f+)(20 + + 235 + 1524 f+^2)$	4) 🖳
				-	$(1524 + t^2)(1500 + t)$	
				Volume = V_1	43,560 1/a = 10	
					= 56.0 une-++	•
					$v_1 < \frac{s}{2}$: reach length OK	.بس
		ъ. D	eter	mine Q _{P3(TR}	IAL)	
				Q _{P3(TRIAL}	$Q_{p} = Q_{p} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{1}}{S}\right)$	
			·	Q _{P3(TRIAL}	$u = (18,000 \text{ cm})(1 - \frac{56.0}{7.10})$	
				0	$\approx 16,700$ cfs	
				Abs russer		<u>₩.</u>
					V-It	

SIEIA CONS Engineers /	SULTANTS INC. Planners	BOSTON , MASS Rochester, N.	з. .н.		
CLIENT Arm	v Corps	_ Joв No. <u>274-79</u>	101		5 of 27
PROJECT <u>V</u>	chester Keseritoir Dam	_ COMPTO. BY _ BW	<u>IP</u>		129180
	arolegia laies	- CK'D. BY $-$ <u>K'</u>			127130
	c. Compute V using	^{g Q} P2(TRIAL)			
	From Figure 3	determine stage	for 0		
			101 P2(TRIAL)	
	Stage =	9.4 feet			
	X-area =	4(n + 2 + (0.5))	(3.4 f+) z	(10 ft +	270)
		127/ (13			
	~				
	$v_2 = (1276)$	$\frac{1+2}{43}$ (1, 200 + -)			
	$V_2 = 35.1$	acre-++			
-					
2-	d. Average V ₁ and V	2 and compute QPZ	<u>.</u>		
	(1) Vava = $\frac{V_1}{V_1}$	V ₂			
	2				
	$V_{3NG} = \frac{36}{36}$	$-7a_{c-f+} + 35$	Jacre - 1	<u> </u>	
		2			
		59 and -last			
	yavg = O				
	(2) $Q_{P2} = Q_{P1}$	$1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}$			
			35.9		"
	0 - (18	900cfs) (1	790)		
	$\psi_{PZ} = \langle \cdot \cdot$,			
		$\hat{\mathbf{C}}$			
	Qpz = 18,	000015			

. .

•

SEA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS

BOSTON , MASS. ROCHESTER, N.H.

CLIENT Army Corps	JOB NO. 2744-7901	PAGE	14 0 + 27
PROJECT Roclecter Resorvoir Dam	COMPTO. BY BWP	DATE	7129/80
DETAILHydrologic Calcs.	CK'D. BY KMS	DATE	7/23/80

3. STEP 3: Prepare stage-discharge curve for Reach 1

- a. Pertinent Data
 - (1) Reach length = 1,200 fut
 - (2) Channel slope = 0.042
 - (.3) Manning n = 0.06
 - (3) Manning n = 0.06 (4) Channel shape trapezoidal (Side Slopes not constitut)
 - (5) Base width ≈ 10 feat

ь. See Figure 3 for stage-discharge curve

4. STEP 4: Estimate Reach Outflow

a. Determine stage for Q_{P1} = 18,900cfs from Figure 3 and find volume in reach

(1) Stage (depth of flow) = 9.6 feet

D-15

Volume in reach = (reach length) (cross-sectional) area of channel) (2) X-area = 460 f+2 + (0.5)(3.6 f+)(210 f+ + 275 1-) $= 1333 ft^{2}$ Volume = $V_1 = \frac{(1333 \text{ ft}^2)(1200 \text{ ft})}{43.560 \text{ ft}^2/320}$ = 36.7 aure-feet

 $v_1 < \frac{s}{2}$. reach length OK

Determine QPZ(TRIAL) ь.

 $Q_{PZ(TRIAL)} = Q_{P_1} \left(1 - \frac{v_1}{S}\right)$ $Q_{PZ(TRIAL2} = (18, 900 \text{ cfs}) (1 - \frac{36.7 \text{ acres}}{790 \text{ acres}})$ Qpz(TREAL) = 19,000 2+2

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS	BOSTON, MASS. Rochester, N.H.				
CLIENT Army Cords	JOB NO274-7	901 PAG	E 13 of 27	-	
PROJECT Rochester Reservoir Dam			E 7/23/30		
DETAILHydrologic Calos	Ск'р. Ву	KMS DAT	E 7/23/30		
III. Using "Rule of Thumb" Guidance Hydrographs examine impact of	e for Estimatin dam failure	ng Downstream	Dam Failure		
1. Pertinent Data				,	
a. Failure occurs who dam - elevation =	en reservoir le 377.0 feet	evel at crest (NGVD)	of		
b. Storage at crest 790 acres	elevation estim	ated to be a	pproximately		
A. Reach l					
1. <u>STEP 1</u> : Determine rea	servoir storage	at time of	failure		
from prev	ious cales. sto	orage = 790	acre-feet		
2. <u>STEP 2</u> : Determine Pe	ak Failure Outf	low Q _{pl}			
Q _{Pl} = (8/27) W _b	√g Y ₀				
where	: Wb = Bre	ach width (use 40% st mid-	, (° - (°, «, () - (°, «, ()	
•	= (293	"height length z feet) (0.4)	of right section;		
,	≈ 117	feet - ass f right section	umas portun fails, since this will		
	φ $V = \tau_{0} I$	roduce the wor	st failure situation		
:	~ 2	d to pool 1 feet -	level at failure mar recent of		
		Fight se	<u>ction</u>		
$Q_{\rm Pl} = (9/27)($	(117 feet) (32.2	$2)^{1/2}$ (21 fea	+)		
$Q_{p_1} \approx 19,90$	o cfs				

D-14

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. Engineers / planners	BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.			
CLIENT COMU COROS	_ JOB NO. <u>274-79</u>	01 PAGE_	12 of 27	برسید ا
PROJECT Productor Provident - Dam	_ COMPTO. BY	DATE .	6/25/90	
DETAIL Hydrologic Calles	CK'D. BY	DATE _	-//2/30	
STOR ₄ and	STORANG agree to	within al	xrut 2%,	سنت هودی
therefore a	ccept routed tes	t flood c	rut+ our	
equal to	s cot cts at c	r surchan	rge elleration	
01 010.0	o tell			
7. In Conclusion				
a. The Routes	d Test Flood O	utilow ≈	265	
Will NOT	overtop the d	am		.
	J.			•
b. Spillway C	apacity			
(1) uniter sur	here at crest at d	an - phoir	= 377.0 f	
(a) discharge	between training usils			
		3/2		
$Q = \langle z \rangle$	2.7)(19.5 f+)(377.0'-	375.0)	\approx 150cts	
		· 1/1	, - (
by between Even	1 2 465 cf	gudday arsur	rents , trom	
(2) water surf	ace at test flood	elevation-	= 376.611	
		<u>^</u>		
(a) discha	rge between trainin	y walks		
$n - l_{n}$	7/19=0/2011	55 23/2	1250	
لي = <i>ل</i> ي	(+)(-1)(+)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3)(-3		10008	
(b) between	training wails + a	mer soullive	y abutments	
frem	Figure 1 ~ 2	65 cts		
	V			
C. blow off cape	rethes whing other	es disting	- Internet	
			17	
(1) for an equilibrium of a start of a	[(0.75)2 m][(2)(22.7)]	377.3- 745.7	5 12 × =	
$(\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{q})$			-1 -0.5	
(2) at right	abutment		1.	
C=(0.0)[0.25)2~~][1)/2))/22		1/2 ~ ~ ~ _	

	والمحافظ المحافظ والمحافظ والم		
	SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. BOST IN ENGINEERS / PLANNERS BOCHES	N MASS. STER, N.H.	
-	CLIENT Army Corps Jos No.	PAGE 11 of 27	•
	PROJECT - Minster Reservar Dam COMPTO.	BY _ BWP DATE _ 6/25/80	-
2	DETAIL Hydrologic Cales CK'D By	Y KM5 DATE 7/2/30	_
	STOR ₃ = 4.51 inches	S	
3	c. determine STOR	ŕ	
	$STOR_{AVG} = \frac{4.91 \text{ in}}{100000000000000000000000000000000000$	+ 4.51 m	
	STORANC = 4.71 inch.	es	
	d. determine 0		:
		4.71"	•
	$Q_{P4} = (530 cfs)(1)$	9.5"	
	$Q_{P4} = 267 cfs$	*	-
	- 6. <u>STEP 5</u> : Determine survive ge	height for $0_{\rm F}$ and ${\rm STOR}_{\rm H}$	
	a. From Figure 1 sur harsen	wight for $o_{pu} \approx 26.5 cfs$	ר ר
	Surch	harge elevation = 376.6 ft	•
D.	Crest	t of spilling = 375.0ft	-
•	Su	urchange height = 1.6 feet	
•			2
	Mina Dui take area (le surcharge elevation ~67acre	ເ ນີ້ 1
- -	b. determine STOR		
	$STOR_{4} = \frac{\int (56ac + 67c)}{2}$	(1.6ft) $(12'/ft)$	
	<u> </u>	LUCRS .	

$$STOR_{4} = 4.51$$
 inclus

determine STOR_{AVG} c.

.

$$STOR_{AVG} = \frac{4.71 \text{ in } + 4.51 \text{ in}}{2}$$

= 4.61 incles

-12



r

6

.

•----

surcharge height = 1.6 ft

pond surface area @ surcharge elev. ~ 6720res

b. deter in STOR₃
STOR₃ =
$$\frac{\left(\frac{56 ac + 67a^2}{2}\right)(1.6 \text{ fr})\left(12^{"}/\text{fr})}{262 \text{ acres}}$$

D-11

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS CLIENT_Army Cords PROJECT_ROCKECTOR RESERVOIR DAM COMPTO. BY BWP DATE 6/25/80 DETAIL_Hydrologic Calcs CK'D. BY KMS DATE 7/2/80

 $STOR_{1} = \frac{Volume of storage one acreminches}{drate je area}$ $STOR_{1} = \frac{(56 cores + 70.5 core)}{2} (2.1 \text{ ft}) (12"/\text{ft})}{2}$

to licenny equation

(3) multiply awage surface area by surcharge height, inserting appropriate values in the

STOR: = 6.08 inches

determine Qp2 c.

-

2

.

.

 $Q_{P2} = Q_{P1} \left(1 - \frac{STOR}{9.5''} \right)$ $Q_{P2} = (530 \text{ cfs}) \left(1 - \frac{6.08''}{9.5''} \right)$ $Q_{P2} = 190 \text{ cfs}$

STEP 3: Determine surcharge height and STOR, to pass Q_{P2} and then Q_{P3}

a. From Figure 1 determine surcharge height to pass $Q_{p_2} \approx |90cfs|$

Surcharge elevation = 376.4 ft crest of spillway = 375.0 ft surcharge hereit = 1.4 feet

Sind surface area @ surcharge elevation ~ 65.5 aves

D-10



r

۲.

63

MOTRATION

ŝ

Z

ā

usard Hd

N3021310

01-1

Tre: JN

ł

D-9

JINEERS	PLA	NNERS		POCHEST	FER, N.H.		
CLIENT Army Corps PROJECT Reservoir Dam				Jos No	JOB NO. 274-7901		7.f27
				Сомрто, В	3Y <u>BWB</u>	DATE .	6 [25/80
TAIL _Hyd	rolog	ic Cal	<u>cs</u>	CKO. BY	KW S	DATE -	7/2/32
3. Ef	fect	of sur	charge sto	prage promise.	prob. dis	charge	
٦	Pom	+ • • • • • • •	D-+-				,
֥	rer		Data	0 / 7			
	a. L	Drain	age area =	: 666 acres	mode-tely	shout to	errain use
	с.	Test	flood = V	- DME	"rolling" cu	rue	,
	d.	Follo	w Army Cor	2 TIM	2		
			((<i>111</i>				
2.	STE	<u>P 1</u> :	Determine	Peak Intern	Q _{ti} from	Guide Tr	17e
	a.	the m	aximum pro	bable die he	1 KO WAS 43	timates -	`
		De	L, 600 C	-13/5g.mi.			
		•	PMF = (7	267 00-02 ()			
		• •	– 🤇 🤇		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	es.)(2,60	00 cts/sg.m.)
·			≈ /	,060 cfs			
			•.				
			1/z PMF	= 530	cfs		
. 3.	STE	P 2:	Determine	SUPCIDE	-11 12 22	c b b b	т
			and O		1		" 1 "
			*P2				
	a.	from	Figure 1 d	letermin s,	range hei	- 1	
		QDI	= 530 c	fs	and the second sec		
		ΓL	•	SUR	- o Davet	· - 3	77.1 11
				Creat	of a non		575.0 ft
					- 1 Spicket		
					urcharge he	= + لئي	2.1 teet
	L	40+					_
	. ب	ue ter:	mine voium noff	ie of s' C: i	je Stor	in inche	s of
			, , ,	. 7,	((
		tirst	determin	a ametrov a	ot surchin	ze in ce	re-feet
		(L)	determin.	e surface	area of	t pond	Correctoria.
		/*	to Surd	erze elevation	an from F	Equine 2	:~ 70.5ac.
		ر ڪ	distinguine	- Illunge E	urbace and	ea interes	in survice
			C 1: A +		1	/	

X

X

l.

] (

SIEIA CONSULTAN Engineers / planner	ITS INC. BOSTON , MASS. RS ROCHESTER , N.H.
CLIENT Army Corps	JOB NO. 294-7901 PAGE 220f 27
PROJECT Rocher T	Compto. By BWP DATE 7/29/90
DETAIL Hydrologic	Calcs CK'D. BY KMS DATE30
E. Reach 5	
. <u>STEP 3</u> :	Prepare stage-discharge curve for Reach 5
a. Per	tinent Data
(1)	Reach length = 2,200 feet
	Channel slope = 0.0045
(.3)	Manning n = 0.06 / Side slope, ret cusit ent
C4 Σ	Channel shape - trapezoidal (compute X-ance accordinging
(5)	Base width ≈ 10 feat
b. See	Figure 3 for stage-discharge curve
2. <u>Step 4</u> :	Estimate Reach Outflow
a. Det - <u>:</u> an	ermine stage for $Q_{P5} = 13,300c$ from Figure 3 d find volume in reach
(1)	Stage (depth of flow) = 15.8 feet
(2)	Volume in reach = (reach length) (cross-sectional)
	X-area = 20f+2 + (0.5)(13.8f+)(10f+ + 305f+)
	Volume = $V_1 = \frac{(2,194 \text{ fr}^2)(\text{ZZCO fr})}{43.5(2.011)}$
	= 111 acre-feet
	$v_1 < \frac{S}{2}$: reach length OK
b. Det	ermine Q _{PG} (TRIAL)
	$Q_{PG(TRIAL)} = Q_{PS} \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S} \right)$
	$Q_{PG(TRIAL)} = (13, 300 \text{ cfs}) (1 - \frac{111}{790})$

۲

. . .

Ì

•

K

♦

QP6 (TREAL) = 11,400 cfs

. مور می ا

D-23

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. BOSTON , MASS. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS ROCHESTER, N.H. CLIENT Army Corps _ JOB NO. 274-7901 PAGE Z3 of 27 PROJECT Roclester Reservoir Dam COMPTO. BY BWP --- DATE 7/29/80 DETAIL _____ Hydrologic Cales DATE ______ - CK'D. BY -- XMS c. Compute V₂ using Q_{P6(TRIAL)} From Figure 3 determine stage for QPG(TRIAL) Stage = 14.9 feet X-area = 20 + + + (0.5)(12.9 + +)(10 + + 290 + +)≈ 1955 f+2 $V_2 = \frac{(1955 \text{ ft}^2)(2,200 \text{ ft})}{43,560 \text{ ft}^2/610}$ V2 = 98.7 acre-f+ d. Average V_1 and V_2 and compute Q_{PG}

-

ĺ

(

-

R

•

(1) $Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$ $Vavg = \frac{111 \text{ ac-ft} + 98.7 \text{ ac-ft}}{2}$

Vavg = 105 acre-ft

(2) $Q_{PG} = Q_{P5} \left(1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}\right)$ $Q_{P5} = \left(13,300 \text{ c}+5\right) \left(1 - \frac{105}{790}\right)$

QP6 = 11,500 cts

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS	BOSTON , N Rochester	ASS. R, N.H.	er e	*****************	
CLIENT Army Cords	JOB NO. 25	4-7901	PAGE	Z4 of 27	
PROJECT Rochester Reservoir Dam	COMPTO. BY	BWP		7/21/20	
DETAIL Hydrologic Cales.	Ск'о. Ву	KMS	DATE	7/29/30	
F. Reach G					
1. <u>STEP 3</u> : Prepare stag	e-discharge	curve for	Reach 4	•	
a. Pertinent Data					•
(1) Reach length	- 2.900	feet			
	= 0.004	5			
(2) Channel Slop	a = 0.00				
(3) Manning n =	0.06	10/50	عم عثث عل	not conscient	
(4) Channel shap	e - Trope to	dax (ca	quill X-an	en accordingly	/
(5) Base width a	= 20 feet				
D. See figure 3 for	stage-discha	rge curve			
\mathbf{C} . <u>SILP 7</u> : Estimate Rea	ich Uutflow				
		(
a. Determine stage f	$\operatorname{for} Q_{PG} = [1],$	500ct	from Figu	ire 3	
- : and find volume	in reach				
	•	• •			
(1) Stage (depth	of flow) =	7.9 tea	± .		
(2) Volume in re	ach = (reach	length)	(cross-se	channel)	
			Varea or	channer	
X-area =	$4044^{2} + (1)^{2}$	0.5)(5.9)	(20 f+ +	1080 ++)	
=	5685 44-	\rightarrow			
Volume = V,	= (3285+	$\frac{1^2}{240}$	$\frac{1}{100}$		
· 1	43,.	$560 + \frac{1}{4}$	e		
	= 219 acr	e-ft			
		- · .			
	$v_{-} \leq \frac{s}{2}$				
	1 2	reach len	gth OK		
b Dotormine O					
D. Determine (P7(TRI	AL)				
2	~ 1	. v. \			
QP7(TRIAL	$\Sigma = Q_{PG} \left(1 - \right)$	$\frac{1}{S}$			
	•	- /	~	、 、	
2	(1) =0	Difil	$1 - \frac{219}{219}$	- 1	
QP7(TRIAL	$\Sigma = \langle 11, 50 \rangle$		1 790		
	\frown	- (-			
Qp 7 (TRIAL)	= 0,310	cts			-

D-25

والمراجع والمحافظ وال

Ì

•---

.

•

(

L

. .

SIEIA CONSULTANTS INC. ENGINEERS / PLANNERS

r

(

Č

•

R

•

. .

BOSTON , MASS. Rochester, N.H.

CLIENT Army Cords	JOB NO. 274-7901	PAGE.	25, 4 2=
PROJECT Rocin-Ler Rosarroir Dam	COMPTO. BY BWP	DATE	7129190
DETAILHydrologic_Cales	CK'D. BYKM5	DATE.	7/29/30

c. Compute V₂ using Q_{P7}(TRIAL)

From Figure 3 determine stage for QP (TRIAL)

Stage = 7.2 feet X-area = 40 fr² + (0.5) (5.2 f+) (20. f+ + 955 f+) = 2575 f+² $V_2 = \frac{(2575 f+^2) (2900 f+)}{43,560 f+^2/acre}$ $V_2 = 171$ acre feet

d. Average V_1 and V_2 and compute $Q_{p,7}$ (1) $Vavg = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2}$ $Vavg = \frac{219 \ \alpha e - f + + 171 \ \alpha e - f + }{2}$ $Vavg = 195 \ \alpha e - e - f +$ (2) $Q_{p,7} = Q_{p,6} \left(1 - \frac{Vavg}{S}\right)$

$$Q_{P7} = (11, 500 \text{ cfs}) (1 - \frac{195}{790})$$

$$\varphi_{P7} = 8,660 cfs$$





D-29

•

. .

.

IC X IC PER INCH

k

ŀ

Ċ

•

APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

		©		Θ			DEMAY NATE		
0 10	ON STATE COUNTY DIST STATE	COUNTY CONGU		NAME	LATITUDE (NORTH)	LONGITUDE (WEST)	DAY MO YR		
Image: state of the state o	0 VH 01 7 01	RUCT	ESTER RESERVL	JIP DAM	4317.5	7102.0	16JUL80	-	
The formula Multi- Multi Ge and multi multi multi formula Multi mu					-3				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		POPULAR NAME		NAM	e of Impoundment				
Officient Martine Martin Martine Martine	•			POCHESTER RESER	VOIR				
Image: state in the state i		€				Ċ.			
10 0	FEEDNBASN	RIVER OR ST RE	AM	NEAREST DOWNSTR CITY - TOWN - VILI	REAM LAGE	FRONDAN (MI.)	POPULATION		
Three or Bun Three or Bun Three or Bun NED N N Ucie 1900 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Ucie 1900 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Ucie 1900 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No No <	01 00 HUMAR	D BROOK		KOCHESTER		2	20419		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(R)		() () () () () () () () () () () () () (() ()				A VFR /01
Normality Net 19(0) 3 2e 2e) 7e(1) 0 Net Net 20-1017 311E Et visit 22-94 7e(1) 0	TYPE OF DAM	YEAR COMPLETED PUI	POSES HELEN	HE GHT MARINUNG C	APAULI IES ()	101			
21-1017 31-10 ERMINS 21-1017 31-10 ERMINS 21-1017 31-10 ERMINS 21-101 ERMINS ERMINS	PGRE	1900 5	Şt	26 790	609	NED . N	Z	z	
20-1971 31aft (FNSIS 22-9P10H Amount (FNSIS 22-9P10H 20-1971 31aft (FNSIS 22-9P10H Amount (FNSIS 22-9P10H 20-1971 31aft (FNSIS 22-9P10H Amount (FNSIS 22-9P10H 20-1971 2110 Amount (FNSIS 22-9P10H 0 Amount (F							[
One-1017 3.13.12 C.13.12 C.2.4.10.1 C.0.6.10.1 C.0.6.10.1 Image: Segn_Interpretent and Interpretent			10.100				т-		
Image: Construction of the second of the	20-1977 STAT	LE CENSUS 22					, 	(
Old Statility MAXIMUM WORFLAND 2 0.00 1 21 0.0 0 1.0 21100 21100 0.0 0 0 1.1 20 21100 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0	(R)			•	•	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (๏	
2 0.06 11 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	D/S SPILLWAY	WIDTH DISCHARGE	OF DAM	POWER CAPACITY	ADTH WIRTH LENG		POTH WIRTHLEYS	H WIDTH	
Owner Endmetand BY CITY OF HOCHESTEH Owner O Montende BY CITY OF HOCHESTEH Owner O Acconstruction BY Acconstruction BY Acconstruction BY Marcel RES BOARD NH water RES BUAND NM mater RES BOARD NH water RES BUAND Marcel Name Actionality Masection BY Actionality Masection BY Actionality Marcel Name Actionality Marcel Name Actionality	2 068 U	20 150	21100						
ONNEH ENGINEERING BY CONSTRUCTION BY CITY OF HOCHESTEM Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Construction]	
CITY OF HOCHESTER Image: Construction of the second of t	MO	NER	ENGI	NEERING BY	CONSTRU	CTION BY			
Image: Stand of the stand o	CITY OF HOCH	4ESTEH							
REGULATION'AGENCY MAINTENANCE UM MATEN RES BOARD NH MATEN RES BOARD NH MATEN RES BOARD M M Maten Res Board Marcellon BY Marcellon Varie Authority For inspection Maspection BY Day Main PL 92-307 Marks Marks				۲		9] [•
DESIGN CONSTRUCTION DEFINITION MAINTENANCE M MATEN RES BOAPD NH WATEN RES BOAPD NH WATEN RES HOAPD M M M NH M MSPECTION BY M MSPECTION N M MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY M M MSPECTION BY M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M			REGUL	ATORY AGENCY					
Image: Total and the state of the state	ZH MATER RES	HN DAADE 2	CONSTRUCTION	AND NH WATEN KES	BUAND NH	MAINIENA .	ES HUARD		
NSPECTION BY AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION SEA CONSULTANTS INC 09JUNAII B 09JUNAII PL 92-367 B B REMARKS B					•				
SEA CONSULTANTS INC 09JUNAI) PL 92-307 BEMARKS REMARKS		INSPECTION BY		VSPECTION DATE	AUTHORITY FOR	INSPECTION			
BEMARKS	SEA CONSULT	ANTS INC		09JUNA) PL 92-3	07			-	
BEWARKS									
			REM	ARKS					
							•		
							<u>د</u>		

END

. .

FILMED

8-85

DTIC