



÷...

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

.2

.

CONNECTICUT *IVER BASIN ATHOL MASSACHUSETTS

ATHOL MANUFACTURING DAM MA 00932

.

804

AD-A155

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCI
1. REPORT NUMBER	
MA 00932 710-715	580T
4. TISLE (and Sublillo)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
Athol Manufacturing Dam	INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
DAMS	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER()
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAI
	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED	March 1979
424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254	60
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling O	flice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	UNCLASSIFIED
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADIN SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM	
	ITED
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM	ITED
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM	ITED
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enfored in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the obstract enformed in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the obstract enforced in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the obstract enforced in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the obstract enforced in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the obstract enforced in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the obstract enforced in Black 20, if difference in the statement of the s	ITED rant fram Raport)
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetreet entered in Block 20, 1f diffe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: 1	ITED rent free Report) National Dam Inspection Program Vational Program for Inspection
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract enfored in Black 20, if difference in the second second in Black 20, if difference in the second s	ITED rent free Report) National Dam Inspection Program Vational Program for Inspection
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, 11 diffe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re	ITED rent free Report) National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observect enfored in Block 20, if difference of the program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re-	ITED rent free Report) National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM ^{17.} DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, 11 diffe ^{18.} SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re ^{19.} KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds II necessory and identify by block of DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River BAsin	ITED rent free Report) National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on Block 20, 11 diffe 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	ITED rent free Report) National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM ^{17.} DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, 11 diffe ^{18.} SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re ^{19.} KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block of DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River BAsin Athol, Massachusetts	ITED rent from Report) National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed entered in Block 20, 11 diffe 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block of DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River BAsin Athol, Massachusetts Millers River 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds If necessary and identify by block of The dam is a concrete, stone masonry and earth	ITED National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport. Number) embankment dam. It is 396+ ft.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIM 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Black 20, 11 diffe 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, however, the official title of the program is: I Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of re 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River BAsin Athol, Massachusetts Millers River 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 11. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 12. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 13. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 14. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 15. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 16. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 17. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessory and identify by black of 18. ABSTRACT (Continue of the	ITED National Dam Inspection Program National Program for Inspection eport. umber) embankment dam. It is 396 <u>+</u> ft. sual inspection indicated the dat



E:

•-

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF NEDED

MAY 2 1979

Honorable Edward J. King Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State House Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Athol Manufacturing Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, Union Butterfield Drill Corporation, Athol, Massachusetts 01331.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

Incl As stated

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

analahan atau atau atau at

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No:	MA 00932
Name of Dam:	Athol Manufacturing Dam
Town:	Athol
County and State:	Worcester County, Massachusetts
Stream:	Millers River
Date of Inspection:	November 14, 1978

This dam is a concrete, stone masonry and earth embankment dam. It is composed of three main sections. These are a primary spillway, a side channel overflow spillway and and embankment section. The primary spillway is 79 feet long while the overflow spillway is approximately 170 feet long. A sluiceway, which can be used in electric power generation, and wasteway is also incorporated within the limits of this dam. The overall length is 396± feet and the maximum height is 19± feet. There is no record as to when the dam was originally built. Construction modifications were made in 1923, 1936 and 1956. The dam is owned by the Union Butterfield Corporation of Athol, Massachusetts.

The visual inspection indicated the dam and appurtenant structures to be in generally good condition.

The dam is categorized as small in size and its hazard classification is high. According to the Corps guidelines for a Phase I investigation, the test flood is one half

Probable Maximum Flood. The inflow is 20,000 cfs, including an allowance for Birch Hill Dam base flow. The spillways for this dam are capable of passing this inflow without the dam overtopping. It is a run-of-the-river type project. Its storage capacity is very small, thus inflow and outflow are the same. Peak outflow from Birch Hill and peak inflow from the 25 s.m. drainage area are not assumed to coincide. Indepth engineering data was not available and therefore, the assessment of the dam is based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance history, and engineering judgement.

This dam is in generally good condition. It is felt, however, that certain items are in need of attention. These include the removal of small trees and mortaring of cobble joints at the overflow spillway downstream floor; removal of brush and trees at the upstream face of the dam; and removal of overhanging trees and repairing of spalling at the powerhouse canal training walls. The owner should also develop a formal system for warning immediate downstream areas in case of emergency.

After receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report, the above maintenance considerations should be implemented by the owner within 2 years and the warning system should be implemented within 1 year.



malal & Cheney

Ronald H. Cheney, F.E. Associate

Hayden, Harding & Buchanan, Inc Boston, Massachusetts

This Phase I Inspection Report on Athol Manufacturing Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

Joseph q. Mc Elroy

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, MEMBER Foundation & Materials Branch Engineering Division

armen M

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

JØSEPH V FINEGAN, JR., CHAIRIA Chief, Reservoir Control Center Water Control Branch Engineering Division

INSPECTED 1 Accession For NTIS GRAAI DTIC TAB Unangeunced **Justification** By_ Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail and/or Special Dist

DTIC COPY

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

B. FMa JOE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Inspections. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation: however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

i

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends or numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

ľ

E

l

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

CONTENTS

Section	Page
Letter of Transmittal	· •
Brief Assessment	
Review Board Page	
Preface	i
Table of Contents	iii-v
Overview Photo	vi
Location Map	vii

REPORT

1.	PROJI	ECT INFORMATION	
	1.1	General	1
		a. Authority b. Purpose of Inspection	1 2
	1.2	Description of Project	2
		 a. Location b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances c. Size Classification d. Hazard Classification e. Ownership f. Operator g. Purpose of Dam h. Design and Construction History i. Normal Operating Procedures 	2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6
	1.3	Pertinent Data	6
2.	ENG	INEERING DATA	
	2.1	Design Data	11
	2.2	Construction Data	11
	2.3	Operation Data	11
	2.4	Evaluation of Data	11

.

Sect	tion	Page
3.	VISUAL INSPECTION	
	3.1 Findings	13
	a. General	13
	b. Dam	13
	c. Appurtenant Structures	14
	d. Reservoir Area	16
	e. Downstream Channel	16
	3.2 Evaluation	17
4.	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
	4.1 Procedures	18
	4.2 Maintenance of Dam	18
	4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facili	ties 18
	4.4 Description of any Warning Syst in Effect	iem 18
	4.5 Evaluation	18
5.	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
	5.1 Evaluation of Features	. 19
	a. General	19
	b. Design Data	19
	c. Experience Data	19
	d. Visual Observation	20
	e. Overtopping Potential	20
	f. Dam Failure Analysis	21
6.	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
	6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stabil	lity 22
	a. Visual Observation	22
	b. Design and Construction Data	a 22
	c. Operating Records	23
	d. Post-Construction Changes	23
	e. Seismic Stability	23

iv

SectionPage7. ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES7.1 Dam Assessment24a. Condition24b. Adequacy of Information24c. Urgency24d. Need for Additional Investigation247.2 Recommendations247.3 Remedial Measures25a Operation and Maintenance Procedures257.4 Alternatives25APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLISTA-1APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATAB-1APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHSC-1APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSD-1APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMSE-1		•		
7.1 Dam Assessment24a. Condition24b. Adequacy of Information24c. Urgency24d. Need for Additional Investigation247.2 Recommendations247.3 Remedial Measures25a Operation and Maintenance Procedures257.4 Alternatives25APPENDIX A- INSPECTION CHECKLISTA-1APPENDIX BAPPENDIX B- ENGINEERING DATAAPPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHSAPPENDIX D- HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSAPPENDIX D- HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSAPPENDIX E- INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THEE-1-	Sec	<u>stion</u>	Page	
A. Condition 24 b. Adequacy of Information 24 c. Urgency 24 d. Need for Additional Investigation 24 7.2 Recommendations 24 7.3 Remedial Measures 25 a Operation and Maintenance Procedures 25 7.4 Alternatives 25 APPENDIXES 25 APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA B-1 APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHS C-1 APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D-1 APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE E-1	7.	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES		
a. Condition 24 b. Adequacy of Information 24 c. Urgency 24 d. Need for Additional Investigation 24 7.2 Recommendations 24 7.3 Remedial Measures 25 a Operation and Maintenance Procedures 25 7.4 Alternatives 25 APPENDIXES 25 APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST A-1 APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA B-1 APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHS C-1 APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D-1 APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE E-1		7.1 Dam Assessment	24	
7.2 Recommendations247.3 Remedial Measures25a Operation and Maintenance Procedures257.4 Alternatives25APPENDIXESAPPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLISTA-1A-1APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATAB-1APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHSC-1APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSD-1APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THEE-1		b. Adequacy of Informationc. Urgency	24 24	
a Operation and Maintenance Procedures 25 7.4 Alternatives 25 APPENDIXES 25 APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST A-1 APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA B-1 • APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS C-1 • APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D-1 • APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE E-1 •			24	
7.4 Alternatives 25 APPENDIXES APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHS APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D-1 APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE		7.3 Remedial Measures	25	•
APPENDIXES APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST A-1 APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA B-1 • APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS C-1 • APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D-1 • APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE E-1 •	•	a Operation and Maintenance Procedures	25	• .
APPENDIX A- INSPECTION CHECKLISTA-1APPENDIX B- ENGINEERING DATAB-1APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHSC-1APPENDIX D- HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSD-1APPENDIX E- INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THEE-1		7.4 Alternatives	25	●
APPENDIX B- ENGINEERING DATAB-1APPENDIX CPHOTOGRAPHSC-1APPENDIX D- HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSD-1APPENDIX E- INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THEE-1		APPENDIXES		
APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS C-1 APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS D-1 APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE E-1		APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1	
APPENDIX C $=$ - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONSD-1APPENDIX E- INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THEE-1		APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1	•
APPENDIX $D = HIDROLOGIC AND HIDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS = = APPENDIX E = INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE E-1$		APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1	
APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE		APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1	
			E-1	



grass and small bushes, photo (2). As a result of the flat topography, the downstream toe was poorly defined. Seeps were not observed on the downstream slope of the dam.

The right abutment of the dam is the junction of the dam and overflow spillway. The junction appeared to be in good condition. The left abutment is a concrete retaining wall that serves as a training wall for the powerhouse canal gate structure.

c. Appurtenant Structures

Primary Spillway

The primary spillway abuts the right side of the river. The structure is bounded on the right by bedrock capped with a concrete block which serves as a training wall and on the left by a concrete training wall, photo(5). The upstream face, crest, downstream face, and apron of the spillway were under water and could not be observed. Flashboards were not present at the time of inspection. Overflow Spillway

The overflow spillway is a masonry structure capped with concrete along the crest and upstream face, photo (4). This structure is bounded to the right by the primary spillway and to the left by the concrete earth-abutment dam. The water level was about 2 feet below the crest of the overflow spillway at the time of inspection. As a result, the upstream face of the spillway

Athol Manufacturing Dam

-14-

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General

The Phase I field inspection of this dam was made on November 14, 1978. Water was overtopping the main spillway during inspection. The upstream face of the dam could only be inspected above this water surface.

b. Dam

Visual inspection of the dam indicated it is in good condition.

The upstream face of the dam is a reinforced concrete retaining wall, which is in good condition. Cracks that were observed on the face of the wall appeared limited to construction joint locations. The ground surface at the toe of the upstream face of the dam was densely covered with brush and small trees, photo (1). As a result, riprap on the ground surface was not observable.

The crest of the dam is the top of the concrete retaining wall and is about 24 inches wide. Minor cracks, at apparent construction joints, were observed along the crest. No evidence, however, of cracking or misalignment that could be attributed to wall movements was observed.

The downstream slope of the dam is an earth embankment that is relatively flat and covered with hay,

-13-

b. Adequacy

The lack of indepth engineering data does not allow for a definitive review. Therefore the adequacy of this dam, structurally and hydraulically, can not be assessed from the standpoint of review of design calculations, but must be based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance history, and sound engineering judgement.

c. Validity

The visual field inspection of this dam indicates the external features to agree with those shown on the 1936 and 1956 plans.

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

Initial design data for construction of the original pre-1923 dam were not discovered. Subsequent dam modification plans were available for the years 1923, 1928, 1936 and 1956. These plans were found at the Worcester County Court House, Engineering Department.

2.2 Construction

No construction data regarding this dam was discovered.

2.3 Operation

The dam is operated by the Union Butterfield Drill Corporation of Athol, Massachusetts. No formal operation outline regarding this dam was available.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability

Dam modification plans, Inspection Reports prior to 1972, a County fact sheet and limited County correspondence were available at the Worcester County Court House, Engineering Department. A State Inspection Report from 1972 was available at the State Department of Environmental Quality Engineering Division of Waterways office at Boston, Massachusetts. A 1936 plan showing the then existing location plan and cross sections of the downstream retaining walls was made available by the owner.

Athol Manufacturing Dam

-11-

j. Regulating Outlets

This dam has 3 regulating outlets. A 12' wide sluiceway channel located at the left end of the dam. Flow through this outlet is regulated by a manually operated 5' high, full width wooden sluice gate. The purpose of this sluiceway is to supply water for electric power generation during periods of high flow. A 6' wide by full height waste gate is located between the primary spillway and overflow side channel spillway. The waste gate is closed to top of overflow spillway by stop logs which can be removed manually. A 6' wide by 4' high trash gate is located near the southern end of the overflow spillway. Stop logs which could be removed manually, are in place for 1/2 the height of gate. In addition, the spillway has provisions for up to 2' of flashboards.

-10-

.

The discharge capacity over the spillways at the top of dam elevation of $578 \pm$ is approximately 20,000 cfs. For the test flood of 20,000 cfs ($\frac{1}{2}$ PMF), the stage elevation at the dam is $578 \pm$. (See Section 5.1.e)

c. Elevation (ft. aove MSL) (1) Streambed at centerline of dam ----- 559± Maximum tailwater----- 586± (2) (3) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel----- none (4) Normal pool-----570± (5) Full flood control pool-----N/A (6) Spillway crest (ungated)-----569± (7) Design surcharge (Original Design) ----- unknown Top Dam ----- 578± (8) (9) Test flood design surcharge-----578± d. Reservoir (1)Length of maximum pool-----(1/2 PMF pool) 2700' (2)Length of normal pool-----400' (3) Length of flood control pool----- N/A e. Storage (acre-feet) (1)Normal pool-----290

(2) Spillway crest pool-----290
 (3) Flood control pool-----N/A
 (4) Top of dam------360±
 (5) Test flood pool------360±

-8-

Athol Manufacturing Dam

•

. .

.

, ¹. •

_

Directly below the dam is the City of Athol. There are a number of buildings and homes adjacent to the Millers River as it flows through this city. Downstream of Athol, there is little development adjacent to the river until it nears the City of Orange, about 3.5 miles downstream.

b. Discharge at Dam Site

ī

The outlet works for this dam consist of a 12 foot wide sluiceway channel regulated by a 5 foot high, wooden sluice gate (invert elevation 560), a 6 foot wide by 4 foot high (invert elevation 567) trash gate blocked by stop logs, and a 6 foot wide waste gate (invert elevation 558), also blocked by stop logs. All are operated manually. The primary spillway has provisions for up to 2 feet of flashboards.

The dam was reconstructed in 1936 after the original dam at this site was washed out during the 1936 flood. Modifications were made to the spillway face and flashboard facilities in 1956. Specific records of maximum impoundment and spillway discharge are unavailable. A U.S.G.S. gaging station, No. 1-1640 is located about 7 miles upstream of the dam at South Royalston. This gage, which has a drainage area of 187 square miles, has been in operation since 1939. The maximum discharge recorded at the gage was 4,400 cfs for a stage height of 8.4 feet on April 13, 1940. Floodmarks at the site indicate that the September 1938 flood had a stage height of 15.9 feet.

-7-

i. Normal Operational Procedure

There is no formal operational procedure for this dam. The height of the pond can be regulated at low flow periods by placement of flashboards and stop logs. However, the height of water is usually dependant upon outflow of upstream dams and power generation demand. Flashboards were last installed about two years ago, and power generation last occurred during the spring of 1978.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area

The drainage area (128,000 acres - 200 s.m.) is generally comprised of wooded, rolling hills. The main streams contributing drainage to this area are the Millers River, Otter River and North Branch Millers River, along with a number of smaller streams. Flow on these streams is regulated by a number of lakes, ponds, small dams, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Birch Hill Flood Control Dam on the Millers River at South Royalston which regulates runoff from a 175 s.m. area.

Development within the area is generally rural in nature. The cities of Winchendon, Gardner, and Athol, as well as a number of smaller towns, are located adjacent to the major streams. The area is serviced by rail and air facilities, in addition to a number of State highways and local roads.

g. Purpose of the Dam

j

.

I

The canal and sluiceway feed water to a electric generation station some 300 feet downstream. This station is put on line when sufficient flow is available. Power is used by the owner. The station was last on line in the spring of 1978.

h. Design and Construction History

There is no record as to when the original dam was constructed. Prior to 1923, the dam had a wooden spillway. The composition of the overflow spillway and embankment at that time is unknown. In 1923, a concrete spillway with wood cribbing outlet, at about the same spillway location, was constructed. In 1927, "cement" head gates were installed for the canal. Further modifications were proposed at that time and shown on plans found at the Worcester County Commissioner's office, however, it is questionable as to whether these improvements were ever instituted. In 1936, the dam was rebuilt resulting in the general overall configuration of the existing structure. The work done in 1936 was designed by C.M. Allen and constructed by M.M. Day in the summer of 1936. Further modifications were made on the spillway face and flashboard facilities in 1956. This work was designed by Howard M. Turner, Consulting Engineer-Boston, Massachusetts. (see Section 6.1b)

of the dam could receive 3 to 4 feet of floodwaters due to dam failure based on Corps guidelines. The stream stage would be about 8 feet. Since this water raise would be rapid and workmen in the lower elevations would have little or no warning the hazard is classified as high.

It must be noted however that the present condition of the river upstream of the dam is heavily silted. This was alluded to by Mr. J. Hayden of Union Butterfield Drill Corporation owners of the dam. Outflow due to dam failure under existing conditions could be considerably less.

e. Ownership

The dam is presently owned by the Union Butterfield Drill Corporation. The dam was originally built by the Athol Manufacturing Company and owned by them until 1962. In 1962 the dam was taken over by Union Twist Drill Company who have since changed their name to Union Butterfield Corporation.

f. Operator

The caretaker of the dam is Mr. James Hayden; superintendant of the Union Butterfield Drill Corporation, Athol, Massachusetts 01331. Telephone (617) 249-3221.

left side. The waste gateway is a 6 foot wide by 15 foot high full stop logged structure. Adjacent and to the left . of this gateway is the side channel overflow spillway. This structure has a height of 12 to 15 feet, a plan width of It is comabout 20 feet and a length of about 170± feet. prised of mortared stone masonry with a concrete cap. Contained within the overflow spillway, at the left end is a 6 foot long by 4 foot deep trash gate which contains slots for for stop logs. The dam embankment contains a 1 to 3 foot wide, 19± foot high, 120 foot long concrete wall. The downstream embankment in front of the wall contains rock and miscellaneous soil backfill and is presently highly vegetated. Located at the left end of the embankment is a 12 foot wide, 16± foot high canal sluiceway. The sluice gate controls water to a channelway which can be used in power generation. The gate structure has concrete wingwalls, a metal frame walkway and provisions for raising the gate.

c. Size Classification

[

- .

I

The dam is categorized as small according to its storage capacity of 360 acre feet and its height of 19 feet.

d. Hazard Classification

This dam has a high hazard classification. Dam failure analysis shows that the mill buildings downstream

- 7 -

b. <u>Purpose</u>

(1) To perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.

(2) To encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location

Athol Manufacturing Dam is located in the Town of Athol in Worcester County, Massachusetts. The dam spans the Millers River east of the town center. It is shown on the U.S.G.S Athol, Massachusetts Quadrangle, with coordinates approximately at North 42° 35' 48", West 72° 13' 6".

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The 396± foot dam consists of 3 main sections. These are the primary spillway, the overflow spillway, and the dam embankment. The primary spillway consists of a 79 foot long, 13 foot high stone core concrete capped spillway and a waste gateway. The spillway contains provisions for 2 feet of flashboards. It has a 15 foot concrete abutment founded on rock outcrop on the right side and a 2 foot wide concrete training wall adjacent to the waste gateway on the

-2-

PHASE I NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM NAME OF DAM: ATHOL MANUFACTURING DAM

SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

t

a. Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Hayden, Harding & Buchanan, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued Hayden, Harding & Buchanan, Inc. under a letter of 28 November 1978 from Max B. Scheider, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-79-C-0012 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

-1-



could not be observed. The crest of the overflow spillway had cracks which appeared limited to construction joint locations. Cracks and misalignment of the crest are minor and not considered attributed to movements of the structure. The downstream face of the structure is mortared stone, photo (6). Weep holes present in the downstream face, and several feet below the upstream water surface, did not discharge any water. The downstream toe and channel of the overflow spillway consisted of cobbles grouted in place. The grouted cobble area is generally in good condition with some erosion, small trees and vegetation, photo(4). Seeps were not observed along the toe of the downstream face.

Outlet Trash Gate

A stop log structure exists at the junction of the overflow spillway and dam junction. This gate is approximately 6 feet wide by 4 feet high and consists of stop log grooves and stop logs. Stop logs were in place for about ½ height. Grooves are constructed of steel "H" sections, photo (7).

Outlet Waste Gate

This waste gate is a 6' wide by full height outlet consisting of an upstream concrete box inlet structure and downstream stop logs. It is shown to the right of the primary spillway in photo 5. The plans dated 1956 show major

-15-

repairs made to the stop log groove on the left side. Stop logs are normally kept in place for full height.

Outlet Sluice Gate (Powerhouse Canal)

This facility consists of concrete training walls, steel framed, hand operated wooden sluice gate and a service walkway. The training walls were in fair to poor condition with some spalling. The sluice gate and walkway appeared to be in good condition and were last used during the spring of 1978.

d. Reservoir Area

The visual inspection showed the area in the vicinity of the dam to be in general agreement with the U.S.G.S. map. Mr. Jim Hayden of Union Butterfield Corporation stated that the upstream river is full of silt and waste from upstream plants; and that water behind the dam is is not very deep. A description of the drainage area is given in Section 1.3 of this report.

e. Downstream Channel

The downstream channel is free and clear. The right side of the channel is stone lined with heavy vegetation. The left side is also stone lined and vegetated with a concrete retaining wall located parallel to the shoreline 10 to 15 feet inward. A steel framed truss roadway bridge is located approximately 500 feet downstream of the dam.

-16-

3.2 Evaluation

Visual inspection indicates the dam and appurtenant structures to be in good condition, except the training walls of the powerhouse canal, and the area downstream of the overflow spillway. Items observed that could affect the future stability of the dam are:

- Roots from trees and bushes on the upstream face of the dam could gradually deteriorate the concrete retaining wall.
- Spalling of powerhouse canal training walls could reduce stability of the walls.
 Failure of these walls could obstruct flow in the canal.

-17-

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

There is no formal written operational procedure for Athol Manufacturing Dam. No flashboards have been used on the main spillway, or the overflow spillway in the past 2 years. Operation of the outlet sluice gate for power generation has not been attempted since the spring of 1978. The Union Butterfield Drill Corporation is responsible for the general maintenance and operation of the dam.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

There is no formal written maintenance procedure for this dam. No known recent maintenance has been performed on the dam.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

There is no formal written maintenance of the operating facilities for this dam. The power generation sluice gate was last operated during the spring of 1978. Operation of the waste gate and installation of flashing for the main spillway has not been attempted in over two years.

4.4 Description of any Warning System in Effect

There are no warning systems in effect at this facility.

4.5 Evaluation

The dam should be inspected every two years by qualified personnel who can identify conditions of concern which if left unchecked could jeopardize the safety of the dam.

-18-

SECTION 5 HYDRAULIC/ HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. General

This dam basically consists of concrete-stone masonry gravity structure with a 79' long primary spillway, a 6' wide waste gateway and a 170[±] overflow spillway. In addition, it has provisions for possible electric power generation, trash removal, and release of the upstream pond. The sluiceway for power generation is separated from the primary and overflow spillways by an earth embankment.

The initial inventory sheet classifies this dam as a flood control structure, but there is little additional storage available other than from the flood surcharge behind the dam. The backwater from a roadway bridge several hundred feet downstream of the dam tends to reduce the head differential above and below the dam.

b. Design Data

Hydraulic/Hydrologic data, criteria, and calculations used in the design and subsequent modifications of this dam are not available. Plans showing the proposed and actual modifications of 1927, 1936 and 1956 are available.

c. Experience Data

Information obtained from State and County Inspection Reports indicate that the original dam at this site was washed out during the 1936 flood. Additional data on maximum impound-

-19-

ments and discharge rates at this dam are unknown. A U.S.G.S. gage, No. 1-1640 located on the Millers River about 7 miles above the dam at South Royalston, has been in operation since 1939. The record flood for this gage, which has a drainage area of 187 square miles, was 4,400 cfs on April 13, 1940. The gage height was 8.4'. Highwater marks from the 1938 flood indicated a stage height of 15.9' at this site. Since 1942, flow on the river at and below this gage has been regulated by the Birch Hill Flood Control Dam located several miles upstream. Birch Hill regulates flow from a 175 s.m. area.

d. Visual Observations

Visual observations of the drainage area and general vicinity of the dam show them to be in general agreement with U.S.G.S. maps of the area. A description of the drainage area is given in Section 1.3 of this report.

e. Overtopping Potential

The dam carries a small classification for size with a high hazard potential, and as such, should be capable of passing a ½ PMF. This test flood was computed by obtaining the watershed drainage area from State Inspection Reports and using Corps discharge guide curves. A ½ PMF inflow of 17,500 cfs from an uncontrolled drainage area of 25 s.m. was developed. Birch Hill's base outflow is assumed to contribute 2,500 cfs , yielding a test flood of 20,000 cfs at elevation 578, approximate top of dam. Birch Hill controls runoff from a 175 s.m. area. Its large storage capacity,

-20-

Athol Manufacturing Ha

5.

.

174,000. a-f, would have a significant affect on controlling runoff. Accordingly, peak flows at Athol are not assumed to coincide. Only a 2,500 cfs base flow is applied to the peak discharge from the lower 25 s.m. drainage area. Due to the low storage capacity, inflow equals outflow for this dam. This dam will not overtop under the test flood.

f. Failure Analysis

If the dam should fail with water at elevation 578.1, top of south abutment, 1412 cfs of water would be released from storage. The base flow would be 20,000 cfs. The failure flow then becomes 21,412 cfs. Damage in this case has already occurred, due to the existing high base flow. Failure flow is not significant.

With water at elevation 571, top of side channel overflow spillway. 7340 cfs of water is released from storage. The base flow is 700 cfs over the primary spillway, elevation 569. The failure flow is 8040 cfs. Damage appears to be limited to mill buildings about 1500 to 3000 feet downstream. Between 3 and 4 feet of inundation could occur. The stream stage would be about 8 feet. The dam, 300 feet downstream, could cause a backwater condition and deepen floodwaters. Beyond 3000 feet downstream, no damage appears to occur. The failure flow is dissipated within the river channel. However, buildings in this area are located along the stream channel, close to the level of flooding.

-21-

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations

T

The visual observations did not disclose any immediate geotechnical or structural stability problems.

b. Design and Construction Data

Available records indicate the Athol Manufacturing Dam was constructed prior to 1923 and underwent extensive rehabilitation in 1923, 1936, and 1956. The reinforced concrete retaining wall serving as the upstream face of the dam was constructed in 1936. A drawing dated May, 1936 and traced in 1960, shows that a "sand fill" was placed to form an earth embankment on the downstream face of the wall. In addition to the retaining wall, a 12 inch thick concrete slab was constructed in front of the powerhouse canal gate structure and an existing stone retaining wall at the left abutment was faced with concrete.

The records indicate the concrete cap on the crest and downstream slope of the overflow spillway existed or was constructed in 1936.

Drawings dated June 20, 1956, show renovation details of the primary spillway. A new reinforced apron was constructed at the downstream toe of the primary spillway. The vertical face of the spillway is a

-22-
concrete retaining wall for about 64 feet and granite block wall for 15 feet. In 1956, the renovations included underpinning of the concrete wall footing and placement of 3 inch diameter steel pipes under the footing to relieve water pressures. Available drawings indicate the primary spillway is founded on bedrock.

c. Operating Records

Available records indicate a log apron at the downtream toe of the spillway was washed out in 1955.

A representative of the Athol Manufacturing Company indicated that one time, the dam was overtopped by several feet of water with only surficial damage to the dam.

d. Post Construction Changes

Post construction changes entailed renovations of the dam and appurtenant structures as presented in Section 6.1 b.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2, and according to USCE guidelines, it is assumed that there is no hazard from earthquake loading.

Athol Manufacturing Dam

_

-23-

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition

The visual inspection indicates the dam and appurtenant structures to be in generally good condition.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information made available along with the visual inspection, are adequate for a Phase I level of investigation.

c. Urgency

After receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report remedial measures presented in Section 7.3 should be implemented by the owner within 2 years. The exception is the remedial measure 7.3.a (4) which should be implemented within 1 year.

d. Necessity of Additional Investigations

No additional investigations is needed to complete this Phase I inspection.

7.2 Recommendations

There is no need for further engineering studies.

Athol Manufacturing Dam

7.3 Remedial Measures

a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures

1. The small trees growing from between the mortared cobble joints at the overflow spillway downstream floor should be removed. All joints should be mortared as required.

 The brush and trees located at the upstream face of the dam should be removed and the resulting excavations backfilled.

3. Spalling of the powerhouse canal training walls should be corrected and overhanging trees should be removed.

4. The owner should develop a formal system for warning immediate downstream areas in case of emergency.

5. The dam should be inspected every two years by qualified personnel who can identify areas of concern which left unchecked could jeopardize the safety of the dam.

-25-

7.4 Alternatives

Not applicable to this dam.

Athol Manufacturing Dam

APPENDIX A

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

. . .

VISUAL INSPECTION		
PARTY ORGAN	IZATION) •
	Neverher 14 1079	
ROJECT <u>Athol Manufacturing Dam</u>		-
	TIME 1:00 PM	- • •
	WEATHER cloudy	-
	W.S. ELEV. <u>569.2</u> U.S D	N.S.
ARTY:		
R. Cheney HH&E 6		
077	•	
		۰.
J. Hayden Union Butterfield 10.		
PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY REMARKS	
1. Dam embankment	Daniel P. LaGatta	
2. Control Spillway	Ron H. Cheney	
3High Water Spillway	Ron H. Cheney	
4.		•
5		
6		
7		•
8		
9	·····	
0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •

PROJECT Athol Manufacturing Dam	DATE <u>November 14, 1978</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE Concrete Earth Embank	ment NAME Ron. H. Cheney	
DISCIPLINE Structural Engineer Geotechnical Engineer	NAME Daniel P. LaGatta	
Geolecimical Engineer		
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
AM EMBANKMENT		
Crest Elevation	578.06	
Current Pool Elevation	2"± over top of primary spillway	
Maximum Impoundment to Date	unknown	
Surface Cracks	appear limited to construction jc_r	
Pavement Condition	Not applicable	
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None observed	
Lateral Movement	None observed	•
Vertical Alignment	None observed	
Horizontal Alignment	None observed	
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete •Structures	Generally good-some cracking and spalling of concrete	
Indications of Movement of Structural . Items on Slopes	Not applicable	
Trespassing on Slopes	None observed	•
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	None observed	
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures		•
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	Unable to inspect toe of dam due to overflowing	
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	None observed	
Piping or Boils	None observed	
Foundation Drainage Features	Weep holes in overflow spillway	
Toe Drains	not discharging water Not known to exist	•
Instrumentation System Vegetation	None Considerable brush and trees on small embankment in front of concrete wall. Trunk diameters 6-in	n

TOUM Attrict.	DAM NO. 02-07	
LCCATION Millers River.	STREAM	•
	Y ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT ER, MASSACHUSETTS	
	ECTION REPORT	•
Owned by Ather Arta (A. Supt. Ed. Clair		
Inspected by L.O.M F.T. Tell	2 Date June 13, 1960	•
Type of Dam <u>Farth-Stone & Con</u> Store & Concrete in Ca	anal to Turbuc	
SPILIWAY	•	•
Flashboards in Place Carrying CV top of Embank Ment!	an with Recent Repairs Alone	
Condition <u>Remove 24" of F</u>	Tashbuards	
Repairs Needed Appears O.K.		
		•
and Repairs <u>Mar</u>		•
mition there ore		
contrs Needed NM		
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•
ATES		
ecent Repairs Nou - appear one	به در است. در است.	•
ondition carrying water t	to high aquist embarking ant & corre	•
spairs Needed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	•
- *: 1		
autous Nove usible		
1 1 <u>7. 1760</u>	C. C. Hurles Conaty Engine and Starker Starker Starker Starker	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	γ	
		A

LOCATION <u>Athel Ma (s Daw</u> STREAM <u>Miller R</u> WORCESTER COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS DAM INSPECTION REPORT Owned by <u>Mbs/Mfg (c</u> Place <u>Athel</u> Use	FOUN <u>Altral</u>			• • •
WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS <u>DAMINSPECTIONREPORT</u> Ouned by <u>Atha Massachuserts</u> Taspected by <u>LOM</u> Date <u>Feb. 711957</u> Type of Dam <u>Condition</u> <u>SPTILIMAX</u> ~ Full of rice - We Evidence of Hood Jamage Plashboards in Flace <u>None</u> Recent Repairs <u>3+4 yet en</u> Condition <u>Replanked Jown Stream Inca and Gutting att</u> Repairs Needed <u>Incut</u> <u>HTFS</u> Necent Repairs <u>John Jamage</u> Longition <u>Incut</u>	LOCATION <u>ATHOL Min La Dean</u>	STHEAM	MIIICHER K.	
Nemed by				
Nomed by	DAM TNS 1	ресттол F	EPORT	
Inspected by <u>LOM</u> Date <u>Feb 211957</u> Type of Dam Condition SPILIMAX - Full of ric - We Evidence of Hord damage. Recent Repairs <u>3-4 yet an</u> Recent Repairs <u>3-4 yet an</u> Negairs Needed MATES Recent Repairs Recent Repairs Recent Repairs				
Spie of Dam				
EFILIMAY - Full of ice - Wo Evidence of Hood damage Flashboards in Flace None Rondition Regularized down stream frace and futtion of the strenge of the s				2
Flashboards in Flace None Recent Repairs 3-4 4/1 40 Condition ReplayKed down stream face and Gatting att Repairs Needed None Administry Second Repairs Second Repairs Second Repairs None Second Repairs None Second Repairs None Second Repairs Second Repairs Second Repairs	Type of Dam	. Cor	dition	
Flashboards in Flace None Recent Repairs 3-4 4/1 composition Condition Replanked down stream face and Gatter, att Repairs Needed None Administry Second Repairs Second Repairs Second Repairs Needed Second Repairs Negairs Needed Second Repairs Attract Second Repairs Second Repairs Second Repairs Second Second Second Repairs				
Sondition Replayled Lowe Stream face and Gutters, alt Nopairs Needed ATTES Nocent Repairs Nopairs Needed ATTES Nepairs Needed	Plashboords in Place - Wo	Evidence of the	d damage	4 115
Apairs Needed <u>Area</u>		. · · ·		
ATTES Accent Repairs		WO J TREAM STAR	and toutoned	<u>y at i</u>
ATTS Accent Repairs Condition Condition Condition Condition Contend Co	repairs needed			<u>, `</u>
Auguins 3-4-40 An Millon 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		<u></u>		
ATFS Accent Repairs Condition Condition Copairs Needod				
Arres Accent Repairs Condition Condition Condition Condition Consignation Consignat				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ATES Accent Repairs Condition Appairs Needod	•			
locent Repairs	lepairs Needed			
Accent Repairs				
locent Repairs				
Condition				
lepairs Needod	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
euroiens			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	lepairs Needod			•
	- Conious	• .		•
County Fristneer				
	a a construction and a construction of the second se	and general statemeters and the many statemeters and the	County	Fruinsor

O'TI Athel DAM NO. CE- 147 OCATION 600 and sele of Thanknut Hill PLSTREAM Millers River WORCESTER COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS <u>DAM INSPECTION REPORT</u> (Findy) Athol Ming Co. old Mill P. med by Unien Traist Drill Co. Place Atach Use Strange Pond. ispected by _____ Date _____ Date _____ The of Dam <u>Earth stone and concrete</u> Condition <u>fond</u> PILLWAY 36" of pin boards in ashboards in Place the costorie saction Recent Repairs indition discremental boards (") pour the washing and of the sollars of roirs Norded a start growing. The entrop stores belies the spilling and the construction outrate. ···· • ispairs _____ and and brisk on the upstorner starter 1999 - Martin the min pailings have been porter from pairs heeded this all will site. <u>TFR</u> sout Repairs The cours actes are in and condition - The 20 will ton _____ Fick proved easters to the pawer house with read strong airs Noodad and rather type litting apparentes, and perting apparentes 1.11 County Factneer

27, 1969_P.F.P. Millars_ River. · . · Twist Drill Co Flood Potro 1's flash boords in place 150 Concrete spill way and concrete wall ... ••• . • := e e . . .

	Attal			• • •	
Ch. WOR	CESTER COUNTY EN WORCESTER, 1	gineering Massachus	Department Etts		
	<u>M INSPEC</u>	• •			
	in Twist Drill Co.		1	Us	
	P.P- P.P EM	/	•		
Type of Dam	Stora-carth-c.	encreta	Condition	Good	
SPILLWAY	•	•	•		
Flashboards in	n Place	Recent	t Repairs		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Repairs Needed	•				
	• •			Flood Part	<u> </u>
TURDELINENT					
Pocene Repairs	الم مر		· · · ·		
Condition					
Repairs Needed			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	الإذارة الجرير ولاكره مينيو والارتفاع مريون	
e de la companya de l					
GATES			41 • •	en e	
Recent Repairs					
Condition					
Repairs Needed		•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
				<u></u>	
<u>Vevke</u>					
Mor Carlous			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1958 <u>;</u>		· ·			·
			(County Engi	neer or
				• •	• •



LIST OF AVAILABLE ENGINEERING DATA

1. Construction Drawings dated 1923, 1928, 1936, and 1956.

Location: Worcester County Court House Engineering Department Worcester, Massachusetts 01009

No design calculations were located.

•

Athol Manufacturing Dam

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA

i

ł

•

•

-

.

.∵. Ţ

PROJECT FEATURE Service Bridge	_ NAME <u>Ron H. Cheney</u>	
DISCIPLINE Structural Engineer		
Geotechnical Enginee	r	·
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE	· .	•
a. Super Structure	There is no service bridge.	
Bearings	•	
Anchor Bolts		
Bridge Seat		
Longitudinal Members		
Under Side of Deck		•
Secondary Bracing		<u>,</u>
Deck		
Drainage System	•	
Railings		
Expansion Joints		nna Rais
Paint	•	•
b. Abutment and Piers		
General Condition of Concrete		-
Alignment of Abutment		•
Approach to Bridge		•
Condition of Seat and Backwall		-
Condition of Seat and Backwall		
		·*
		-
		•
		÷-
	1	. •

PERIODIC INSPEC	IIUN UNEUN LISI	
PROJECT Athol Manufacturing Dam	DATE November 14, 1978	
PROJECT FEATURE	NAME Ron H. Cheney	
DISCIPLINE Structural Engineer	NAMEDaniel P. LaGatta	
Geotechnical Engineer	•	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	CONDITIONS	
a. Approach Channel	Approach channel is the Millers River itself which was free	•
General Condition	and open.	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel		
Trees Overhanging Channel	•	•
Floor of Approach Channel		
b. Weir and Training Walls	Water was flowing over the main spillway and therefore the spill-	
General Condition of Concrete	way could not be closely inspected. The high water side	
Rust or Staining	overflow spillway is of stone masonry with upstream concrete cap.	
Spalling	Downstream face and top surface were in good condition. No	
Any Visible Reinforcing	water discharge from weep holes. Some small trees and erosion at	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	downstream cobble floor. Dis- charge channel is the Millers	
Drain Holes	River which was free and open. River bottom- is exposed bedrock	
c. Discharge Channel	and gravel. Immediately downstream of overflow spillway, cobbles have	
General Condition	been grouted into place. Good.	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None.	
Trees Overhanging Channel	None.	
Floor of Channel		
Other Obstructions		
		•

İ

I

•

PROJECT_Athol Manufacturing Dam	DATE November 14, 1978	-
PROJECT FEATURE Sluiceway Channel	NAME Ron H. Cheney	_
DISCIPLINEStructural Engineer Geotechnical Enginee	NAME Daniel P. LaGatta	
		-
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL		
General Condition of Concrete	There is no outlet structure.	
Rust or Staining		
Spalling		
Erosion or Cavitation		
Visible Reinforcing		
Any Seepage or Efflorescence		
Condition at Joints		
Drain Holes		
Channel (Sluicegate to canal to	Training walls severely spalled in local areas.	
power station) Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	None observed	
Condition of Discharge Channel	Condition of Raceway channel is fair to poor.	
	Some small trees on banks above sluiceway walls of no serious concern. Sluiceway channel is open and free.	
	<u> </u>	

PROJECT FEATURE Outlets

,!

.

E

·____

....

1

DISCIPLINE <u>Structural Engineer</u> Geotechnical Engineer

NAME	Ron	н.	Chene

NAME Daniel P. LaGatta

	1	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
DUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT		
General Condition of Concrete	There is no transition and conduit.	
Rust or Staining on Concrete		
Spalling		
Erosion or Cavitation		
Cracking		
Alignment of Monoliths		•
Alignment of Joints		
Numbering of Monoliths		
		•
		•
		•
		•
		-
		•
	_L	.
	•	

PROJECT Athol Manufacturing Dam	DATE <u>November 14, 1978</u>	
PROJECT FEATURE <u>Sluiceway</u>	NAME Ron H. Cheney	- 1
DISCIPLINE Structural Engineer		-
. Geotechnical Enginee	L	
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS	
OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER	CONDITIONS	
a. Concrete and Structural	Control tower at sluice gates on	
General Condition	sluiceway is steel framed- wood deck. This tower is in	
Condition of Joints	generally good condition.	i
Spalling		-
Visible Reinforcing		
Rusting or Staining of Concrete		
Any Seepage or Efflorescence		:
Joint Alignment		
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber		
Cracks		
Rusting or Corrosion of Steel		
b. Mechanical and Electrical	System is manually operated.	
Air Vents	Last operated in Spring of 1978. System appears in generally	-
Float Wells	good condition and operable. Purpose of system is to supply	
Crane Hoist	water for electric power generati during periods of high flow.	-n
Elevator		
Hydraulic System		
Service Gates		-
Emergency Gates		-
Lightning Protection System		
Emergency Power System		
Wiring and Lighting System in		:::
Gate Chamber		

PROJECT FEATURE Outlets	NAME Ron H. Cheney
DISCIPLINE <u>Structural Engineer</u> Geotechnical Engineer	NAME Daniel P. LaGatta
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE	·
a. Approach Channel	No Approach Channel
Slope Conditions	
Bottom Conditions	
Rock Slides or Falls	
Log Boom	
Debris	
Condition of Concrete Lining	
Drains or Weep Holes	
b. Intake Structure	There is a 6' wide by full height
Condition of Concrete	stop log waste gate located between the control spillway and
Stop Logs and Slots	the high water side channel spillway. The upstream concrete intake structure was submerged and not inspected. Stop logs in place full height of dam. There is another waste gate 6' wid by 4' high at left end of high water side channel spillway. Stop logs were in place to ½ the height.
· ·	

	WORCESTER COUNTY WORCESTER			
	DAM INSPE		••	
	ol NHy Co.		and the second	
	LONG		•	1
SPILINAY		·		
Flashboards in	Place <u>None</u>		Recent Repairs	2411 292
Condition	Looks yor			
Repairs Needed	Nore			
· Repairs				
Condition				
Ropeirs Needed				
CATES				
Recent Repairs	Rebuilt gete	e wing	uto 11	
Condition	67.1	. 4 	·····	
Repairs Needed				
T T N				
L'a lour Lour	Cant tell accl	flow	14-8	
14 July 14 Jul	1/2 19.18	A.0. 3110	<u> </u>	unt <u>r Inciro</u>

ſ

đ

C

Ľ

LODATION	STREAM	Asilleri	River	
WORCESTER COUN WORCES	TY ENGINEERIN TER, MASSACH		ENT	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			•	
<u>DAMINSP</u>	ECTION	REPOI	<u>t T</u>	-
Comed by Att. 1 ML 1.	D]	An		
Owned by <u>Athol Mfg (a.</u>	Place	<u>ATHOP</u>	Use / <u>///</u>	Sup by
Inspected by <u>L.O.M</u> _				
Typs of Dam <u>Spillurg</u> upj Concrete walls - 1	Hean (Condition _		
SPILIMAY		•		
Flachboards in Flace Yes	F	Recent keps	irs R-hld	t.
Condition 6mf		•	Wystill	**
Repairs Needed Nov				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	<u>،</u>			
			:	
a Regelt & Alter	an ann an tha an tall ann an tall an ta	·		
677 (677)				
lopours NeededMak				
10 T-4	· · ·			
<u>2773</u>				•
coent Repairs Rebuilt on	- Abt wa	rel to t	ate Structs	
condition				
condes Needed Arma				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
	4	•		
man mante	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
and the second				
De Call	E our	2.00 com	County Basi	1.200
	• • •	_	· · · · .	

1.

7

l.si

DAM NO. 02 34 TONN Alle LUCATION Northers River STREAM WORCESTER COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT -WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS DAM INSPECTION REPORT DWNED BY 1500/ 1959 Co. PLACE Mikol- USE poster etc MASSEDTED BY 10 M. Julian Warte Date May 24 1956 TYPE OF DAM CONDITION Section spillway Clare Ing apron- Warted out is poor. STULMAY FLASHBOARDS IN PLACE HAM RECENT REPAIRS None repairs needed " to be rebuilt D D D M M M M D H T UNIT REPAIRS LEMARS NEEDED RECENT REPAIRS condition good encype at section hear log aport REPAIRS NEEDED Recardle concrete will 57713 Man visible HOW SERIOUS Q.D. H.









APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS

C-1









PHOTO NO. 2 - Slope of earth embankment downstream of concrete dam viewed from the right abutment.





PHOTO NO. 4 Overflow spillway viewed from junction with the dam. Note spalling of concrete at the bend in the structure and cobbles grouted in place along the toe.



PHOTO NO. 5 - Primary spillway. Note right abutment on bedrock and position of flash board pins.



PHOTO NO. 6 - Downstream face of overflow spillway. Note water is not discharging from drain pipes.



PHOTO NO. 7 - Downstream face of outlet trash gate.



 Downstream channel of main spillway viewed from downstream roadway bridge.

18----

K)



PHOTO NO. 9 - Millers River downstream of roadway bridge viewed from bridge.



PHOTO NO. 10 - Downstream view of outlet sluice gate and service walkway. Facility is used in power generation.

HAIULH, HANDING Y DUUIIMIMA IIIU **B** CONSULTING ENGINEERS BUBJECT Atte BOSTON. MASSACHUSETTS VD CLIENT _COTIS Water @ 571 (TOP OF OVERFLOWS SPICEWAY) State = 700 cfs depth in stradm about 0.5 ft. Q= = 8/27 × 105 × \$32.2 × (12)"= 7340 cfs ₹= tz1 Failure Profile Base Profile 1 Brie Q Fail Q 700 RrAD Base Elev Fail Elev 8:40 555.5 563.5 8040 540,5 555 700 6555 525 543.5 554 517,5 522.5 4000 320 510.5 514.5 2300 185 anage the to dan failura: Sect 1 nothing Sect 2 mill bilds (a) 552± WATER DEPTH 3'± " 3 mill bilds (a) 550 " " 4'± " 4 nothing " 5 11 1 5 nothing 11 Non @ Sort 3 and cause high backwater -more flooding, need bldg elevations!

44.1 SHEET NO. 12 Dang RDING € BUCHANAN. INC. JOB SUBJECT Atto TOD BOSTON Cor 175 Failure Analysis $Q_F = \frac{8}{27} (.4 \times 262) \sqrt{32.2} (4)^{1.5} = 14.12 \text{ cfs}$ Top Dam = 578.1 Flow = 20300 cfs just River Bed = 559.1 prior to failure water Released From Storage, -Unter @ Elav 578 (TOP LEFT SECTOR 580 OF DAM) Xo= 4-1 570 15' Tai water 10100 700 550 40 #2 Section # Bess Q Base Elou Fail Elen FailuraQ 21260 21672 570.0 570.5 z 345 21672 559.0 559.5. 20260 557,5 16259 15 200 558 9200 9840 524.5 525 5669 516.5 5300 517 Qp = 20300, Stor, = 3602-For 0.034" QP2 = 22,227 Gas = 20260± Kamppone to Fleading prior to dom failing
€ BUCHANAN, INC. HAYDEN JOB Dem Safety HARDING mA Past Flood and Other Hydrologic Data . D. A. Peak Flood Gage Location No. Comment Discharge 59. mi Date Millers River neur 8,500 cfs 9/22/38 38 CSM = 102 cfs/sm 83 0 Winchendon, Mass. Millers River @ 10 4,400 ets. 4/13/40 187 HAX . GAGE EL , RECORD = 15.9 (6ge Ht = 8.81) 9/21 or 22/39; no discharge anolable S. Rowalston, Mass 5 29,000 15 138 csm = 77 cfs/sm Millers River @ 375 9/22/38 Farky Mass Rescrubins Maximum Probable Flood Flaws -NED nearby Project D.A. MPF Dischance 57. m. che / sq. ini cfs. Tully 50 940 47,000 Birch Hill 175 505 89, 500



AN. INC. JOB Dave (n E ma Approximately 1600 ' downstream of X-Section #5 the Tully River joins the Millers River Flooding below this point would result from a combination of the flows from both streams. Flooding upstream curlealso be increwed due to the potentially backwater at the confluence of these two higher rivers. The analyses required to determine the the combined flood flows from Millers River effect of and Tully River are beyond the scope of this study. Several homes and structures along North. Orange Road and South Main St. in the vicinity of the suffer Flood confluence of these two rivers could daman



79.244 HH &B BUCHANAN, INC. Saleta Dam JOB _ YDEN, HARDING ŧ deri's SUBJECT Athal Man CONSULTING BOSTON. MA ENGINEERS FDS MASSACHUSETTS COF CLIENT ____ MA Water QeE. H 312 LSt С H, 54 Elev. 19,340 91 64.0 3.32 16.0 585.1 32,000 184 11 14.0 52.38 2470 15 49.60 •1 13.5 7190 117 4 18.52 7.0 61,000 21,180 91 17.0 70.09 3.37 586.1 35,490 184 ١, 15.0 55.09 2750 15 •• 14.5 55.21 8,796 117 ٤. 22.63 8.0 68,210 22,850 91 75 73 3.32 17.9 587.0 38 730 ٠, 184 15.9 63.40 3,010 •, 15 60.43 15.4 10,310 117 26.55 ۰. 8.9 74,930 24, 820 91 62.17 3.32 18.9 589.0 42,440 184 44 61.48 16.9 3,510 15 66.41 ۰, 16.4 12,100 117 3115 vi. 9.9 52,670

-70°244 1212.178 FDD	HH BB	HAYDEN. HA	RDING & BUCI		BHEET NO 6	
Y NA		BOSTC	DN MASSACHU	IEERS ISETTS	SUBJECT	COF C
	-		معدد کھینی ہو وہ محمد ن			
						——————————————————————————————————————
•			•			
					•	–
	Water	11	. 3 .	-		
	Elev	H' 11	H 3/2	C	L,Fr	Qrfs
	572.1	3' 0	5.20	2.92	91	1380
		1.0	1.0	2.65	184	490
		0.5	0.35	2.63	15	10
			•		_	1880
	574.1	٢٠٥	11.18	3.32	.91	3390
	3 19.1			2.92.		2790
		3.0	5,20		184	
		2.5	3, 95	2.91	15	170
						6340
	578.1	9.0	27.0	3.32	91	8160
		7,0	18.52	3.32	184	11,310
		6.5	16.57	3,32	IS	830
						20300
	579.1	10.0	31.62	3.32	91	9 550
	- • • • • •	8.0	22,63	3.32	184	13620
			20.54	3.32	15	1020
		7.5				
		40	1.0	2.65	117	310
						² 4, 700
1	581.1	15.0	41.57	3.32	91	12,560
		10. 0	31.62	3.32	194	19,320
		9.5	29.28	3,32	15	1,460
		3.0	5.20	2.92	117	1780
						35,120
	583.1	14:0	52.38	3.32	۹.	15, 830
	-	12.0	41.57	3.32	184	25,390
		11.5	39.0	3.32	15	1940
		Sco	1.18	3.32	רו רוו	4340
				2.36	11 <i>F</i>	
		•	• • •	•	• •	47,500

2121125 ULN, NAKUINO 'Y DUUHAHAH, INO. ASTERY INSPECT CONSULTING ENGINEERS SUBJECT Attal Man. Dam FPD 1110 BOSTON. MASSACHUSETTS CLIENT _____ (OE Determine Overflow Capacity of Dam length "weirs" at several heights and Huve varios leng ths To simplify calcs, assume "split weins" equivalent to weir equal to their combined length. Also, to simplify determination of weir coefficients use coefficients for broad crested weir Cfrom Table 5-3 Kings "Handbook of Hydraulics) Use max length weir = 407' Use wir formula to determine ducharge: $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ with H vorying, and COL depending upon H For conditions when have weirs of different height determine Q for each elev. & use the sum to get total overflow discharge. water H 3/2 H fr C L fr Qete Elcv. 569.1 0 0 0 240 570.1 1.0 1.0 2.65 71-12-91 91 2.83 700 571.1 2.0 2.72 571.6 2.5 3.95 1010 2.81 91 184 0.5 0.35 170 2.63 1180



70 244 SHEET NO. JOB Dom Joich Inspech HARDING °€ BUCHANAN, INC. 12/2/172 CONSULTING ENGINEERS SUBJECT ATAIL Mara Dam BOSTON. MASSACHUSETTS COF CLIENT ___ InA Test Flood Birch Hill intercepts runoff from 175 s.m. grac Storage consacity 174,000 a.f. Will cause significant reduction of Flow at Athol ME Dam Park discharge from Birch Hill and thet. of 25 sm. Lirect runoff drea are not assumed to coincide. Time las in reservoir & 4 to 5 hr river Flow time are significant. Allow For Birch Hill discharge of 2500 ets. 11. See Moster Manual of Reservoir Regulation Appendix F, Dopt of Army 1) Guide Curves (Mfn-Rolling) for Zisme elle PMF = 17,500 cfs 3) Allowance for Birch Hill Flow as Edge Flow = + 2,500. Test Flord = 20 000 ; cfs Dy= 20,000 El;=578.0 5tor,= 350 a-f-285= 65 = .05" Storage 10 QPz= Qp, = 20,000,cts Spillway crest = 3.0' mee ---- use For max length for overflow cales ----602 The Store the Q ~ S6. Ł VI. Riverbed 45 @ 567.1 (2' backsor x 90 580 600 578.1 w N Sat 5-11 1 551 - 121 5641 Stars jak 134' autien DIS River bod 12 * man gate tier (S59.1 connects for white 3-12 White gate on For low flow conditions unique agree & in present in a signi For worst conditions assume all gave closed Gu time of flood Also neglect walking piers, & gate structure at sluce gate outlet

79 744 HAYDEN, HARDING 😫 BUCHANAN, INC. 12 21173 JOB Dam Salet ONSULTING ENGINEERS SUBJECT Athal Man C. CLIENT ____ COE SIZE CLASSIFICATION Height = 12 - Small Max. Imp. Cop. = 345 x - + - Small :. Use Small Size Classification HAZARD POTENTIAL CLASSIFICATION Developed area of Tourn of Athol located downshies on both sides of River. Potential ter high piopering damage and possible loss of life exists, depending upon depth of flooding downstream For now use High Hazard Potential may revise downword later on. Test Flood Histi Hazard, Small Size Range : 12 PMF to PMF USE "2 PMF Total drainage area above dam 200 t 5, m

19 NO. 79 244 HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC. JOB Down S. Frt 75 SUBJECT Athol Min. Co Dan JD. 12/28 11:A CLIENT COE Athol Manufacturing Co. Dam Hydradic Data I a COE Inventory of Dam. in M.S. & State & G. Inspection Reports Pom Crest Length = 309' (Co. Rpt = 305') Spilling cratwith = 150 (Corpl 79') Impoundment Copyrig = Man = 345+-- Ff normal = 290 erift (E Structures Height = 20' = 17' ((c. Ret = 11 5') Hydra lie " Hydroulie Data Form Field investigations & plans Dam Creet Length = 392 (inc. Einenkannt) Spill way width = 791 ligtraaler Height = 121 stars gale 117 005 7.5 E Embio: Ament Milin Kiver 51:

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS





APPENDIX E

1

• • • •

.

ŗ

.

Į

Ļ

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

E

									-	>	07MAR79																		
										8CS A	Z																		
								•	ļ	PRV/FED	z			0	Twing the].												
•	REPORT DATE	23FEB79	ſ]@	POPULATION	11181]	FED H PI	z			6				[]		ן ן					-]			
- H				-		•	FROMDAM PO	0		IT OWN	z				SATION LOCK			N BY			MAINTENANCE		1 1	CTION .					• (
•	LATITUDE LONGITUDE	4235.5 7		JNDMENT						0151	290 NED			C	NAVIC		•	CONSTRUCTION BY			MA	NOME	۲	AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION	2-367				
	-2	7	3	NAME OF IMPOUNDMENT	E.R.		/NSTREAM VILLAGE		E	MARIMUN CAPACITIES	500			•				0			NO I			AUTHORIT	IC LAW 92				
		-			ERS RIVER	€	NEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY-TOWN-VILLAGE				1 I									®	OPERATION	NUNE		^u «	B PUBLIC				
Θ	NAME	URING DAM			MILLERS			ATHOL	€	HYDRAU- HEIGHT	61	DKS	AAA	e	POWER CAPACITY	(WW)	0	ENGINEERING BY		REGILI ATORY AGENCY		ž	S DECTION DAT	DAY MO YR	14NUV78		RKS		
		MANUFAÇTUR							€	ALANC.	22	BFMARKS						ENGIN	C.M.ALLEN		CONSTRUCTION				• •		REMARKS		
		ATHOL MAN		E			TREAM		8	PURPOSES	 										CONSTR	NONE			EUCHANAN, INC.				
Θ	<u> </u>	4	€	POPULAR NAME		9	RIVER OR STREAM	HIVER			1923 CH			0	MAXIMUM DISCHARDE	20300			רם מאורר			 	8	INSPECTION BY	+ EUCH				•
0 0	TATE COUNT			ğ	L DAM			MILLERS 1		YEAR	-			3	(a) (a) (a) SPILLWAY	C 79		OWNER	HUTTERFIELD		GN			INSPE	HAKDING				
000	E COUNTY CONCIN	20 220			11140 1	9	ş	08 411		TYPE OF DAM	EHC TGHPG			¢	SPILLW	376 TY					DESIGN	1			HATOEN HA				D
Θ	1 VIS	x			14151	0	Z	6	- 1	IΥΡ	EXC				(#)				101.0			JNÛN			A H				
Θ	8	52 NE D		ĺ																									
Θ	STATE DENTITY	MA 932						-																					



FILMED

8-85

DTIC