



K

- 7

.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
IA 00738/00737		
TITLE (and Subilio)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Joronoco Mills (29 Ft) Dam		INSPECTION REPORT
ATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF	NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(a)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
J.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
EW ENGLAND DIVISION		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEE	RS	February 1979
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 124 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM MA 0225	٨	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dilteren	t from Controlling Öffice)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		- Recentl
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspec nowever, the official title of the	in Block 20, 11 dillorent fre tion Report, Nati program is: Natio	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Nowever, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for	in Block 20, 11 different fre tion Report, Nati program is: Natio or date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Nowever, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary on DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY.	in Block 20, 11 dillorent fro tion Report, Natio program is: Natio or date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abeliact enforce Cover program reads: Phase I Inspectionever, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for KEY WORDS (Continue on revorce olde if necessary on DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River Basin	in Block 20, 11 different fro tion Report, Nati program is: Natio or date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered over program reads: Phase I Inspec owever, the official title of the on-Federal Dams; use cover date for KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary an DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River Basin Russell, Massachusetts	in Block 20, 11 dillorent fre tion Report, Natio program is: Natio or date of report	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about of an invest over program reads: Phase I Inspect owever, the official title of the on-Federal Dams; use cover date for KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary on DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River Basin Russell, Massachusetts Westfield River	in Block 20, 11 different fro tion Report, Nati program is: Natio or date of report of identify by block number)	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Nowever, the official title of the Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side II necessary on DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River Basin Russell, Massachusetts Westfield River	in Block 20, 11 dillorent fre tion Report, Natio program is: Natio or date of report d identify by block number)	onal Dam Inspection Program; nal Program for Inspection of

.

;

4

14/5 73 DITION OF

. . .

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

i. N



Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, Strathmore Paper Co., South Broad Street, Westfield, Massachusetts 01085.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

Incl As stated

JOHN P. CHANDLER Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

WORONOCO MILLS (60 FEET) DAM MA 00738

10100 C 10100 C 1010

Ľ

.

ľ

r

ľ

WORONOCO MILLS (29 FEET) DAM MA 00737

> CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN RUSSELL, MASSACHUSETTS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I INSPECTION PROGRAM

Identification No.: MA 00737 and MA 00738 Name of Dams: WORONOCO MILLS (29 feet and 60 feet) Town: RUSSELL County and State: HAMPDEN COUNTY, MA Stream: WESTFIELD RIVER Date of Inspection: 14 September 1978

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

The Woronoco (60 foot) Dam and the Woronoco (29 foot) Dam are in series (end to end) across the Westfield River. Each of the dams is over 300 feet in length and are approximately 60 feet and 29 feet high, respectively. They are separated by a ledge outcrop island in the center of the river. Each of the dams has a remote controlled sluice gate and outlet incorporated in the structures. A 680 foot long dike forms the closure from the 29 foot dam to the east side of the river valley while a small concrete dam, outlet works, screen house, and a wide earth embankment form the closure to the west side of the river valley. The west abutment area contains a large diameter penstock to the downstream hydro-electric station and two separate gated outlets.

The dams are in fair condition, due to the potential overtopping of the dams during the occurrence of the test flood and the reported overtopping of the dams during prior floods. There is some eroding of concrete joints in the dam, deteriorated concrete on appurtenant structures and observed seepage both from the joints in the concrete and from the embankments. The east dike is heavily overgrown with brush and young trees.

The dams are classified as having a "significant" hazard potential based on results of the dam failure analysis. There is essentially no development of the impacted area downstream of the dam. The City of Westfield is protected by state-constructed dikes and the flood wave would be dampened by flood plain storage between the dams and the City of Westfield. Only minor flood damage at the Westfield River - Little River confluence is expected.

Based on the size and hazard classifications, in accordance with Corps of Engineers Guidelines, the test flood selected for both dams is the 3/4 Probable Maximum Flood (3/4 PMF). This flood flow is slightly in excess of the estimated historical flood of record. The estimated peak discharge during the test flood is 110,000 cfs while the flood of record would have had a peak discharge of approximately 87,500 cfs under present day conditions. Hydraulic analysis indicates that the test flood stage would be at elevation 240.3 which is approximately 4.3 feet above the top of the right embankment. Approximately 95 percent of the test flood would pass over the 60 foot dam, 29 foot dam and the small dam spillways. The remaining flow would be over the right embankment between the screen house and the mill building. Investigations are recommended to determine methods for providing additional spillway capacity, the adequacy of the earthfill at the west end of the facility, the source and effect of seepage at the east side of the downstream channel of the 29 foot dam and the structural repairs or modifications required on the 29 foot dam left abutment wingwall. Remedial measures recommended include the clearing of brush and trees from the dike, the repairs of eroded areas in the dams, the repair of minor eroded areas in the embankments and riprap, the removal and resurfacing of deteriorated concrete at the appurtenant structures and the performing of maintenance tasks, including the removal of minor vegetation from the concrete joints, cutting of grass and repainting of the screen house. The Owner should develop a formal maintenance procedures program, emergency preparedness plan and warning systems. The Owner should institute a program of annual technical inspections.

The Owner should institute the additional investigations and the remedial measures within 1 year of receipt of this report.

CAMP DRESSER & McKEE INC.

Roger R. Wood

Roger H. Wood Vice President

١.

-

.



This Phase I Inspection Report on Woronoco Mills Dams has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

OSEPH W. FINEGAN, JR., MEMBER Wayer Control Branch ngineering Division

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

Ĩ

W

÷.,

-

-

Ĺ

q. Mr Elroy

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, CHAIRMAN Chief, NED Materials Testing Lab. Foundations & Materials Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECONCEENDED:

DE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I Investigations are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the test flood is based on the estimated "probable maximum flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or a fraction thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

PREFACE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section

1.

2.

L

Letter of Transmittal Brief Assessment Review Board Page Preface Table of Contents **Overview Photos** Location Map

i ii & iii iv & v

vi

1-1 1-1

1 - 1

1 - 21-3

1-3 1 - 3

1-4

1-4

1 - 4

1-4

1 - 4

2 - 12-1

2-1

2-1

3-1

3-1

3-2

3-2

3-2

3-3

REPORT PROJECT INFORMATION 1.1 General a. Authority b. Purpose of Inspection 1.2 Description of Project a. Location b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances c. Size Classification d. Hazard Classification Ownership e. Operator f. Purpose of Dam g. Design and Construction History h. Normal Operational Procedures i. 1.3 Pertinent Data ENGINEERING DATA 2.1 Design 2.2 Construction 2.3 Operation 2.4 Evaluation 3. VISUAL INSPECTION 3.1 Findings a. General Dam b. Appurtenant Structures с. d. Reservoir Area Downstream Channel e.

3.2 Evaluation

4. OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1	Procedures	4-1
4.2	Maintenance of Dam	4-1
4.3	Maintenance of Operating Facilities	4-1
4.4	Description of any Warning System in Effect	4-1
4.5	Evaluation	4-1

ii

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

1

.

l

Ľ

			Page
5.	HYDR	AULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
	5.1	Evaluation of Features	5-1
		a, General	5-1
		b. Design Data	5-1
		c. Experience Data	52
		d. Visual Observations	5-2
		e. Test Flood Analysis	5-2
		f. Dam Failure Analysis	5-3
6.	STRU	CTURAL STABILITY	
	6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	6-1
		a. Visual Observation	6-1
		b. Design and Construction Data	6-1
		c. Operating Records	6-2
		d. Post-Construction Changes	6-2
		e. Seismic Stability	6-2
7.	ASSI	SSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
	7.1	Dam Assessment	7-1
		a. Condition	7-1
		b. Adequacy of Information	7-1
		c. Urgency	7-1
		d. Need for Additional Investigation	7-1
	7.2	Recommendations	7-1
	7.3	Remedial Measures	7–2
		a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures	7–2
	7.4	Alternatives	7–3
		APPENDIXES	
APF	PENDI	K A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APF	PENDI	K B – ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
ΔΡΕ	ENDT	C - PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1

APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE MATIONAL

INVENTORY OF DAMS

D-1 E-1





2. OVERVIEW OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM FROM LEFT ABUTMENT.

v

ł.



NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

WORONOCO MILLS (29 feet) DAM - MA 00737 WORONOCO MILLS (60 feet) DAM - MA 00738

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. <u>Authority</u> - Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region.

Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. under letters of 12 July 1978 and 23 October 1978 from Colonel John P. Chandler, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-78-C-0354 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work. Haley and Aldrich, Inc. has been retained by Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. for soils and geological portions of the work.

- b. Purpose The primary purpose of the investigation is to:
 - (1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
 - (2) Encourage and assist the States to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
 - (3) Update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location - The Woronoco Dams are located on the Westfield River in the Town of Russell, Massachusetts, as shown on the report's location map. The dams are in the Woronoco portion of the Town of Russell which is approximately 2-1/2 miles downstream of the center of the Town of Russell. The Woronoco dams are approximately 4 miles upstream of the City of Westfield on the Westfield River and approximately 15 miles upstream of the confluence of the Westfield River and the Connecticut River. Access to the dams is by local roads off of U.S. Route 20.

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances - The dams at Woronoco Mills consist of two concrete dams, each over 300 feet long, separated by a rocky knob in the river valley. The more southerly dam, on the west side of the valley, is referred to as the 1950 Dam or the 60-foot dam; this dam has a small dam at its right end and a screenhouse and abutment area with concrete walls and earth embankments adjacent to the mill building on the right side of the river valley. On the east side of the valley, the more northerly dam is referred to as the 1938 Dam or the 29-foot dam. The three dams (60 foot, 29 foot and the small dam) are concrete gravity dams constructed for full length overflow. An earth dike, approximately 680 feet long, extends from the left end of the 29-foot dam to the easterly valley slope.

All dams are mounded on steeply dipping foliated metamorphic rocks of the Goshen Formation; typically appearing as a gneissic schist. The presently exposed rock below the dams is generally sound and has very irregular surface contours, as would be expected where it has been exposed to highly erosive river flows.

The 60-foot dam has an outlet works control platform above the crest of the dam, approximately 1/3 of the distance in from the left abutment. The platform occurs at the highest point of the dam. A small concrete gravity dam is present at the right end of the 60-foot dam between the dam and the screenhouse. This small dam appears older than the 1950 dam. Two sluice gates are present at the right abutment of the small dam. A wooden screenhouse on a concrete foundation controls the intake of an 11-foot I.D. diameter penstock. The screenhouse is positioned between the small dam and the west side of the river valley. The training walls to the screenhouse are of concrete of an older vintage than the dam constructed in 1950. Upstream of the 1950 dam is the submerged remains of an old timber crib dam. This dam was purposely breached after the construction of the dam in 1950.

To the right of the 60-foot dam, between the screenhouse and the mill building, an irregular and relatively wide earth embankment is retained by concrete walls that extend about 7 feet above the adjacent dam crest. It is understood that at one time the mill owner had planned to construct an additional building in this area, using the walls for foundations. The portion of the embankment that is closest to the mill building is believed to be more recent fill in an old sluiceway. In general, the embankment and the upstream end of the sluiceway fill are approximately level with tops of the walls, but the sluiceway fill has a gradual downhill slope along the face of the mill building. The embankment area has a cover of grass, weeds and some brush.

The 29-foot dam extends from the island at the centur of the river to the east shore. A dike starts at this location and

Ĩ

extends to the easterly valley wall. The concrete gravity dam contains an outlet works at the left abutment. The operating platform for the outlet works sluice gate is raised above the crest of the dam and is approximately at the elevation of the top of dike.

The long earth dike extends across the river flood plain from the left abutment of the 29-foot concrete dam to the left valley slope below a garage access road. Much of the length of the dike is approximately 10 feet high, but close to the dam abutments the height is about 40 feet with respect to river channel below the dam. The uike has a relatively narrow 10-foot wide crest and upstream and downstream slopes that appear to be roughly 2-1/2 to 3 horizontal to 1 vertical. There is upstream and downstream cobble and rock slope protection, and a gravel roadway on the dike crest; both are partly obscured by vegetation.

The river channel curves to the right below the 29-foot dam, and the left bank has been cut to approximately a 1-1/2 to 1 slope and protected wit riprap to a considerable distance downstream from the dam. In ediately below the bedrock that is exposed at the toe of the dam and extending downstream along the toe of the riprap bank protection, the channel bottom has a cover of cobble and boulder size broken rock. Further downstream, the channel bottom has either exposed sand or a general cover of trees and brush.

- c. <u>Size Classification</u> The 60-foot high dam and the 29-foot dam impound 393 acre-feet at elevation 229. Based on guidelines established by the Corps of Engineers, the higher dam is classified in the intermediate category while the lower dam is classified in the small category.
- d. <u>Hazard Classification</u> The results of the dam failure analysis indicates that a flood wave resulting from a failure of either dam would be essentially dissipated prior to its arrival at any built-up areas, causing only economic loss due to minor flooding at the confluence of the Little River with the Westfield River. Consequently, it is recommended that both dams be classified as having a significant hazard potential.
- e. <u>Ownership</u> The dams are owned by Strathmore Paper Co., South Broad Street, Westfield, Massachusetts, a division of Hammermill Paper Co., A Penn Corp., East Lake Road, Erie, Pennsylvania. The Owner is represented by Mr. Jack Mudget at the South Broad Street Office in Westfield, Massachusetts, Telephone 413/568-9111, Ext. 333.

- f. <u>Operator</u> Mr. Daniel LaBombard, employed at the mill in Russell, Massachusetts, operates the dam. The operator can be contacted by phone at 413/568-9111.
- g. <u>Purpose of Dam</u> The dams were constructed to provide power for the adjacent mills.
- h. Design and Construction History The date of construction of the original dams at the site is unknown. The original dams may have been timber crib structures, as evidenced by the remains of one such structure which is submerged, upstream of the Woronoco (60 foot) dam. The present Woronoco (29 foot) dam was constructed shortly after the September 1938 flood. At the same time, a closure earthen dike was constructed from the left abutment of this dam to the easterly side of the Westfield River Valley. The present Woronoco (60 foot) dam was constructed in 1950, replacing the upstream timber crib dam. Both Woronoco dams (60 foot and 29 foot) were designed by Chas. T. Main, Inc. of Boston, Massachusetts.

The structures to the right of the Woronoco (60 foot) dam, including the screenhouse with its training walls and a small concrete dam, appear to be of earlier vintage than the other dams but no plans were located to indicate their age.

i. <u>Normal Operational Procedures</u> - There is no formally established operational procedure for the dams. The outlet gates of both dams in the screenhouse are maintained and checked at frequent intervals to assure that they remain operational. Debris is removed from the screens in front of the penstock entrance at frequent intervals. The reservoir pool is usually dewatered once a year during employee vacation at the Owner's mills.

1.3 Pertinent Data

Į

Elevations given in this report are on National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD) formerly referred to as Mean Sea Level (MSL).

a. <u>Drainage Area</u> - The drainage area above the dams is approximately 346 square miles. There are two major flood control dams within the basin--Knightville Dam which has a tributary drainage area of 162 square miles and Littleville Dam which has a tributary area of 52 square miles. The presence of the two flood control dams will reduce the flood flows on the Westfield River above the dam site by approximately 40 percent.

- b. <u>Discharge at Dam Site</u> Historic records of the Westfield River Basin indicate that 15 damaging floods occurred between March, 1776 and February, 1900. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Data Records show that floods might occur during any month of the year. Major floods in the Westfield River Basin occurred in November 1927, March 1936, September 1938, December 1948, August 1955, and October 1955. The August 1955 flood of record crested at the Woronoco Mills dams at elevation 238.8 or 9.8 feet above the spillway crest. This height corresponds to an estimated discharge of 87,500 cfs under present conditions.
 - Outlet works size 60-ft dam: 6-ft by 6-ft sluice gate at invert elev. 200.0; ?9-ft dam: 6-ft by 6-ft sluice gate at invert elev. 217.0
 - (2) Maximum known flood at damsite 87,500 cfs (estimated)
 - (3) Ungated spillway capacity at top of dam 50,100 cfs at elev. 236.0
 - (4) Ungated spillway capacity at test flood elevation <u>104,600</u> cfs at elev. <u>240.3</u>
 - (5) Gated spillway capacity at normal pool elevation-----N/A
 - (6) Gated spillway capacity at test flood elevation-----N/A
 - (7) Total spillway capacity at test flood elevation <u>104,600</u> cfs at elev. <u>240.3</u>
 - (8) Total project discharge at test flood elevation 110,000 cfs at elev. 240.3
- c. <u>Elevation</u> (ft. above MSL)

-

.

i.

L.

٠.

•

(1)	Streambed at centerline of dam: 60-ft dam - 175.0 29-ft dam - 205.0
(2)	Test flood tailwaterBelow elevation 229.0
(3)	Upstream portal invert diversion tunnelNone
(4)	Recreation pool229.0
(5)	Full flood control poolN/A
(6)	Spillway crest229.0
(7)	Design surcharge (Original Design): 60-ft dam - 236.0 29-ft dam - unknown

	(8)	Top of dam236.0
	(9)	Test flood design surcharge240.3
d.	Rese	rvoir
	(1)	Length of test flood pooll mile (Est.)
	(2)	Length of recreation pooll mile (Est.)
	(3)	Length of flood control poolN/A
e.	<u>Stor</u>	age (acre-feet)
	(1)	Recreation pool393 (Est.)
	(2)	Flood control poolN/A
	(3)	Spillway crest pool393 (Est.)
	(4)	Top of dam960 (Est.)
	(5)	Test flood pooll,350 (Est.)
f.	Rese	rvoir Surface (acres)
	(1)	Recreation pool59 (Est.)
	(2)	Flood-control poolN/A
	(3)	Spillway crest59 (Est.)
	(4)	Test flood pool120 (Est.)
	(5)	Top of dam93 (Est.)
g.	<u>Dike</u>	
	(1)	TypeEarth embankment
	(2)	LengthApproximately 680 ft
	(3)	HeightTypically 10 to 15 ft
	(4)	Top width10 ft
	(5)	Side slopesEst. 2.5 to 3:1 U/S and D/S
	(6)	ZoningUnknown
	(7)	Impervious coreUnknown

Į

Ī

ĺ.,

-

. .

È-

	(8)	CutoffUnknown
	(9)	Grout curtainUnknown
h.	<u>Di ver</u>	rsion and Regulating TunnelNone
i.	Spillway	
	(1)	TypeConcrete Parabolic Weir
	(2)	Length of weirsmall dam = 63'; 60' dam = 400'; 29' dam = 307'
	(3)	Crest elevation229.0
	(4)	GatesNone
	(5)	U/S channelWestfield River
	(6)	D/S channelTwo forks of the Westfield River which are separated by a natural rock island

j. <u>Regulating Outlets</u>

İ

1.

 Both the 60-ft and the 29-ft dams have 6-ft by 6-ft box outlets with remote controlled sluice gates on their upstream sides. The invert elevations for the 60-ft and 29-ft box outlets are 200.0 and 217.0, respectively. The control tower for the 60-ft dam outlet is located approximately 85 ft from the left abutment whereas the 29-ft dam outlet is located at the left abutment. Overhead electric cables run from the control towers to the mill building on the right bank of the river from which the sluice gates are controlled.

The right abutment for the small dam located to the right of the 60-ft dam contains two manually-operated sluice gates controlling a 3-ft by 5-ft box outlet and a 3-ft by 3-ft box outlet. The intake for an 11-ft diameter penstock is within the screenhouse to the right of the small dam. The invert elevation of the penstock is Elev. 214.5. The penstock supplies water to a hydroelectric static: downstream from the dams.

SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

- 2.1 Design Data Design records for this dam are available at the Office of Chas. T. Main, Boston, Massachusetts, and the Office of Strathmore Mills, South Broad Street, Westfield, Massachusetts. The design records are the contract plans for both the Woronoco (29 foot) dam and the Woronoco (60 foot) dam. Record drawings contain some of the subsurface exploration data obtained during design of the dams.
- 2.2 <u>Construction Data</u> No construction records for either dam were located during the investigation.
- 2.3 <u>Operational Data</u> No operational records other than inspection reports on the facilities and river level elevations were located during this investigation.
- 2.4 Evaluation

Ĺ

Γ

.

ĺ

- a. <u>Availability</u> Documents described above are generally available at the office of the Design Engineer, Chas. T. Main, Prudential Center, Boston, Massachusetts, and the owner, Strathmore Paper Co., South Broad Street, Westfield, Massachusetts.
- b. <u>Validity</u> The record drawings viewed were in excellent agreement with the features observed in the field.
- c. <u>Adequacy</u> The available data, in combination with the visual evaluation described in the following section, is adequate for the purpose of the Phase I investigation.

SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

Ţ.

I

a. <u>General</u> - The visual examination of the Woronoco Mills dams was conducted on 14 September 1978. In general, the concrete dams and outlet facilities were observed to be in excellent to good condition. The earth dike was observed to be in good to fair condition due to tree and brush growth and the presence of seepage at the downstream toe of the base embankment. The heavy vegetation growth on the dike may have concealed other problems.

Visual inspection checklists for the dams are included in Appendix A and selected photographs are given in Appendix C.

Dams and Dike - The three dams; 60-foot dam, 29-foot dam, and b. the small dam are generally in good condition. There is some minor vegetation growth in the joints and cracks on the dams as shown in Photos 9 and 10. Construction joints and cracks in the structures, especially in the small dam and the 29-foot dam, have started to erode with seepage occurring at these locations, as shown on the 29-foot dam in Photo 20. Minor erosion of concrete has taken place at the crest of the dams as shown in Photos 2 and 14. Minor rusty seepage was observed at the concrete-ledgerock interface at all the dams as shown in Photos 16 and 23. The box screen at the 29-foot dam was discharging a small amount of rust stained water. Minor efflorescence was observed at the downstream face of all dams including the operating structure of the 60-foot dam. The top surface of the 29-foot dam's right concrete abutment has deteriorated. The left abutment structure of the 29-foot dam is in fair condition. There is noticeable efflorescence present on the face of the wing walls and a vertical crack in both upstream and downstream wing walls as shown in Photo 24. There is a transverse concrete wall below the outlet for the 29-foot dam which is in deteriorated condition as shown in the lower left corner of Photo 25. This wall may be the remains of an earlier structure or could be serving as an impact wall.

The short earth embankment at the right end of the 60-foot dam is generally in thir condition. There is no visible evidence of lateral movement, settlement or erosion, and no seepage that appears to come from the upstream pond. However, the somewhat irregular configuration of the embankment surface and the heavy weed growth, as shown in Photo 7, could obscure problems. In particular, the fill in the area that is believed to be an old sluiceway is generally lower than the rest of the embankment, has an irregular surface, and shows scattered debris at the surface. Seeping water is evident at several locations in the sluiceway, but each is close to an active mill builling drain.

The earth dike embankment to the left of the 29-foot dam is generally in fair condition. There is no visible evidence of lateral movement, settlement, or erosion, but the heavy growth of brambles, brush and young trees obscures most of the embankment surface. There is no seepage apparent at the dike, with a pond level below the upstream toe; however, the seepage flow that is emerging from the riprap at the toe of the left bank at the channel bend, downstream from the dam, may be passing through the flood plain deposits that underlie the dike. The following specific items were noted:

- The dike has a heavy cover of brambles, brush, young trees and previously-cut brush that limits observation of its condition, as shown in Photos 28 and 27.
- (2) One animal burrow was observed by chance in the upstream slope; there may be others that were not seen.
- (3) There are wheel ruts in the crest, as shown in Photos 28 and 27, that offer some potential for concentration of runoff and slope erosion; however, the only location with potential for significant surface flow appears to be in the slope area from the road at the abutment.
- c. <u>Appurtenant Structures</u> The screenhouse is in good condition but it is starting to need some maintenance work such as painting the interior of the structure. The debris from the screens is being disposed of at the downstream side of the structure. While the present level of debris does not impede discharge at the two gates to the left of the screenhouse, a continued build-up of the material may reduce the capacity of these outlets. The right training walls, including the wall along the westerly pool of the reservoir, have general deterioration as shown in Photo 4.
- d. <u>Reservoir Area</u> There is no specific enlargement of the river channel to delineate the reservoir area of the Woronoco Mills dams. The river is bordered by forested moderate to steep banks that are essentially undeveloped. No development in the immediate upstream area was noted that would be affected by a river level at test flood elevation. The Penn Central Railroad follows the left bank of the river but is 15+ feet above the test flood pool elevation.

No significant potential was observed for landslides into the general pool area of the dams which could create waves that might overtop the dams. No conditions were noted that would result in a sudden increase in sediment load into the upstream pool.

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u> - Downstream of the 29-foot dam there is a considerable seepage flow entering the channel at the toe of the ripraped left slope below the dike, as shown in Photos 26 and 25.

I

Close to the dam, the seepage is flowing over exposed bedrock, and the seepage area extends over 250 feet downstream from the dam. Two locations, about 100 feet apart, have flow estimated at 10 gallons per minute or more. There is no evidence of current or recent soil movement with the flow, but there is extensive "rust staining" in flow areas, particularly those closest to the dam, as shown in Photos 26 and 25. Where there are pockets of water, a rust colored algae-like material is associated with the staining. About 250 feet downstream from the dam the seepage flow area has algae without the staining.

A sample of rust-colored, algae-like material was examined by microscope and subjected to laboratory analysis. By microscope it appears to be an iron-rich colloidial suspension, probably bacterial growth concentrating the iron. There are very fine fibres and a gelllike substance, without soil particles. The laboratory analysis, included in Appendix A, showed 1,000 milligrams per liter iron and 0.91 milligrams per litre manganese. The relatively high iron concentration can be derived from either metallic iron (rusting steel) or deterioration of the iron-rich minerals of the bedrock, but the low concentration of manganese indicates that it probably is not from a natural deposit.

In addition to the seepage that appears from the riprap, there is an unstable area of channel-bottom sand deposits about 200 feet downstream from the 29-foot dam. A 10 to 20 foot wide area of wet sand, shown in Photos 21 and 22, apparently has a slight upward seepage flow and will not readily support foot traffic. No actual soil movement with the flow was observed. Whether the seepage has its origin close upstream in the channel, or further away in the rock foundation of the concrete dam or the soils on either side of the channel is not known.

The Westfield River downstream of the dams to the confluence with the Little River in the City of Westfield is in a relatively deep valley. The overbanks of the river widen in the City of Westfield to provide significant flood plain storage. Essentially, the only developed area adjacent to the river is in the City of Westfield where the State has constructed flood dikes to protect the developed area.

3.2 <u>Evaluation</u> - While the concrete portions of the dams are generally in good condition, the erosion of the joints, seepage at the concreterock interface and the condition of Woronoco Mills (29 foot) dam left abutment along with the embankment portions of the dams limit the condition to fair. The screenhouse area needs maintenance and the right concrete training wall to the screenhouse is in deteriorated condition.

The limited embankment area to the right of the 60-foot Woronoco Mill Dam appears to be performing satisfactorily at the present time, although the uncertain quality and geometry of the fill in the old sluiceway could offer potential for dam failure in the event of unusually high water levels. The long dike to the left of the 29-foot Woronoco Mills Dam is on the river flood plain, and will retain water only during high river levels. Thus, there would be no reason to expect evidence of unsatisfactory dike performance at the present time. However, the heavy vegetation on the dike can conceal defficiencies in the slopes or the erosion protection, and the seepage into the channel below the dam may result from flow under the dike embankment. Either of these conditions could lead to failure of the dike during a period of unusually high water levels.

1

•_`

!

E

•

.

.

Ł

.

SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

- 4.1 <u>Procedures</u> In general, there is no formal established routine for the operation of the dams. Sluice gates are remotely operated on the dams and at the appurtenant structures to aid in the passage of flood flows.
- 4.2 <u>Maintenance of the Dam</u> There is no established formal procedure for the maintenance of the dam. The dam and dikes receive maintenance upon demand. The storage pools are dewatered once a year during mill shutdown and the dams are inspected on a yearly basis. The present tree and brush growth on the east dike indicates little maintenance has been performed on this structure in the past.
- 4.3 <u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u> There is no formal procedure for maintenance of operating facilities. Maintenance is performed frequently and on the basis of need. The screens in front of the penstock are cleaned at frequent intervals. The sluice gates at the facility are operated to aid in the passage of large flows.
- 4.4 <u>Description of Any Warning System in Effect</u> There is no established warning system or emergency preparedness plan in effect for these dams.
- 4.5 <u>Evaluation</u> Formal operational procedures, maintenance programs, warning system and an emergency preparedness plan should be established for the dams. Periodic observation (yearly) should be continued for these dams. The tree and brush growth at the dike should be brought under control. Maintenance of the structures should be performed at regular intervals.

SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

Ľ.

٥.

General - The Woronoco Mills dams are located on the Westfield a. River in the Town of Russell. The dams consist of concrete spillways cast and embedded into the ledgerock of the riverbed and separated by a natural rocky knob in the river valley. Additionally, there is an earth embankment to the left of the 29-foot dam with a top elevation of 245.0. The reservoir created by these dams has a water surface area of approximately 59 acres at spillway crest elevation 229.0 and an estimated total storage capacity of 393 acre-feet. Both dams are constructed of concrete and have a parabolic shape. The crest length of the 29-foot dam is 307 feet. At the left end of this dam is a 6-ft. by 6-ft. sluice gate at invert elevation 217.0. The 60-foot dam consists of a concrete spillway having a total length of 463 feet. Of this total, the concrete cast spillway at elevation 229 makes up a total of 400 feet. A 29-foot length of spillway with a raised crest at elevation 233 ties into a natural rock projecting to elevation 233 which is considered to be another 34 feet of spillway. Approximately 255 feet from the right end of the 60-foot dam is located a 6-ft. by 6-ft. sluice gate at invert elevation 200.0. At the right end of the 60-foot dam is an 11-foot diameter penstock which conveys water downstream to a powerhouse which is no longer used. Indications are, however, that this powerhouse will be placed back into service in the near future.

The drainage area above the dams is approximately 346 square miles. Within this drainage basin are located two major flood control dams and reservoirs: Knightville Dam which was constructed in 1949 on the Westfield River with a tributary drainage area of 162 square miles and Littleville Dam, constructed in 1958 on the Middle Branch of the Westfield River with a tributary drainage area of 52 square miles. In Design Memorandum No. 1, Westfield Local Protection Project, the Corps of Engineers presented hydrographs of past flood events showing how the Knightville and Littleville Dams would reduce peak flood discharges. The effect of these flood control dams is to reduce the natural flood flow by about 40 percent on the Westfield River in the vicinity of the Woronoco Dams.

b. <u>Design Data</u> - Pertinent design plans were obtained from Charles T. Main Inc., the design engineers for both of these dams. The plans are entitled "Strathmore Paper Company, West Springfield, Massachusetts, Woronoco Mills" (dated 1938), and "Strathmore Paper Company, Woronoco, Mass., New Concrete Dam," (dated 1949). The 1949 plans indicate that the 60-foot dam, constructed in 1950, was designed to discharge flow over its crest which would cause the water surface to reach elevation 236.0, or 7.0 feet above the spillway crest. The plans were utilized in this investigation to develop Area-Elevation-Storage Capacity data for the two dams together with field measurements made during the visual inspection and information shown on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle sheet. No specific hydraulic or hydrologic design information was found for either of the dams.

- c. Experience Data The flood of record on the Westfield River occurred on August 19, 1955 when Hurricane Diane produced a total rainfall of 19.75 inches in less than 36 hours in nearby Westfield. The river crested at the Woronoco Mills dams at elevation 238.8 or 9.8 ft. above the spillway crest. This is the maximum known level of the river since records were kept. This height corresponds to an estimated discharge of 87,500 cfs.
- d. <u>Visual Observations</u> The inspection of these dams was made on 14 September 1978. At that time, the water level was 3.75 inches below the spillway crest or elevation 228.65. All river flow at that time was passing through the 11-foot diameter penstock to the hydroelectric station some 600 feet downstream. The spillway crest for both dams was noted to be in good to excellent hydraulic condition. Downstream of the spillway the natural rock channel was observed to have a moderate to steep slope.
- Test Flood Analysis Based upon Corps of Engineers Guidelines, e. the recommended test flood for the 60-foot dam, which is in the intermediate size classification and significant hazard category, is within the range of 1/2 PMF to the PMF (Probable Maximum Flood). For the 29-foot dam, the hazard is again considered significant but the size is small, thereby resulting in a test flood of between the 100-year flood and 1/2 PMF. The PMF was determined using the Corps of Engineers Guideline curves for estimating Maximum Probable Discharges in the Phase I, Dam Safety Investigations. Using these guidelines, a value of 700 cfs per square mile was selected which results in a PMF inflow of 242,200 cfs. After taking one-half of this value and reducing it by 40 percent, to account for the flow reduction afforded by the Littleville and Knightville Flood Control Dams, an out f^{1} ow of 73,000 cfs was determined for the 1/2 PMF. Since this value is less than the flood of record (87,500 cfs) and because of the importance of this river to the downstream community of Westfield, a test flood value equal to three-quarters of the PMF was adopted. This results in a test flood value of 110,000 cfs after accounting for storage reduction afforded by the upstream flood control reservoirs. Because the available storage above the dams is not substantial enough to require storage routing of the test flood flow, the value of 110,000 cfs would result in a water surface elevation of 240.3, or about 11.3 ft. above the

spillway crest. At elevation 236.0, both dams have a combined spillway capacity of 50,100 cfs (45.5 percent of the test flood flow). Between elevation 236.0 and elevation 245.0 (top of earth embankment on left bank) increasing amounts of flow are discharged over the overflow wall between the mill building, the ll-ft. diameter penstock and the Screening Building. At the test flood flow of 110,000 cfs, approximately 5,400 cfs is discharged over the overflow wall, leaving a total of 104,600 cfs to pass over the combined spillways.

f. Dam Failure Analysis - Dam Failure Analysis was performed based on Corps of Engineers Guidelines for Estimating Dam Failure hydrographs and assuming that only one of the two dams would fail at any given time. Analysis of the 29-foot dam assumed that the failure would take place with the water surface at elevation 236 and that the breach width would be 100 feet long. This produced a failure flow of 17,350 cfs which, when combined with the total flow over the spillways of 43,050, results in a total flow of 60,400 cfs. Analysis of the 60-foot dam, based on the same water surface elevation of 236 and a breach width of 80 feet, results in a failure flow of 18,900 cfs which, when combined with the total spillway flow of 44,500 cfs, results in a total flow of 63,400 cfs. This being the larger of the two flows, a value of 64,000 cfs was adopted for the dam failure flow. The 64,000 cfs was routed through no less than six sections in a 1.2 mile reach downstream of the dam and calculations show that significant overbank storage would vastly reduce the peak rate of flow. By the time the failure flow reaches the state-constructed dikes in the City of Westfield and the railroad tracks on the flood plain, the flow would be essentially assimilated resulting in very minor flooding damage to structures in the vicinity of the confluence of the Little River with the Westfield River. For this reason, it is recommended that the high hazard classification be significant for this dam.

.

٤.

SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

•

.

<u>ج</u>.

ę -

6.

a. <u>Visual Observations</u> - There was no visible evidence of dam or dike embankment instability during the site examination on 14 September 1978. No movement or settlement was observed during the site examination of the concrete portions of the structures with the exception of the wing walls at the left abutment of the 29-foot dam. The wing walls exhibited two vertical cracks, one in each wall, indicating that movement has taken place. However, the probable cause of the cracks is that the dam provided resistance to deflection of the walls tried to deflect as normal cantilevered walls. The crack is, therefore, probably due to details of design rather than a result of basic structural instability.

The seepage at the toe of the channel riprap in the area below the dike has been previously reported, and it showed no evidence of currently active erosion or piping. Thus, it is not considered to pose an immediate hazard to the stability of the dike.

b. Design and Construction Data - Available Charles T. Main, Inc. drawings for the Woronoco Mills dams and dike, while providing information on the concrete portion of the dams, do not provide information on the embankment cross sections at the project or the materials used in the construction of the embankments. Thus, theoretical analysis of the structural stability of the dam and dike embankments is not possible. The concrete portions of the dams shown on the drawings indicate cross sections which would be expected to be adequately stable under normally expected static loading conditions.

The embankment area to the right of the 60-foot dam is relatively wide, and would be expected to have adequate stability under static loading conditions. The dike to the left of the 29-foot dam is relatively low, with a 10 foot top width and flatter than 2 horizontal to 1 vertical side slopes, and in the absence of seepage problems would also be expected to have adequate stability under static loading conditions. Whether the seepage that flows from the channel riprap is related to the dike foundation, and whether the dike itself has an effective impervious core cutoff is not known at this time. The rust stain in the seepage flow at the channel could be the result of flow through interlocks or breaks, or under the tips of a steel sheet piling cutoff wall at the dam abutment or under the dike. It could also indicate deterioration of such a cutoff. c. <u>Operating Records</u> - There are no operating records for the dams other than river water levels and yearly inspection reports.

Þ

.

Į.

.

, ,

_

.

Į,

- d. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u> The facility at the site has been changed a number of times as evidenced by the observed differences in the type of construction present and by the presence of an older submerged dam upstream of the present structure. However, the observed conditions are in excellent agreement with design plans for the dams designed in 1938 and 1950, indicating that there has been no material changes since those dates. There is no information on post-construction changes to the dam and dike embankments, although there has evidently been past filling in of the old sluiceway area between the mill building and the screenhouse.
- e. <u>Seismic Stability</u> Woronoco Mills dams are located in seismic zone no. 1 and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines do not warrant seismic analysis.

6-2

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

- a. <u>Condition</u> The visual examination of the Woronoco Mills Dams and the review of available Charles T. Main information, did not reveal evidence of failure or conditions which would warrant urgent remedial treatment. The dam and dike embankments are generally in fair condition while the concrete portions of the dams are generally in good condition. However, due to the concrete joints, seepage and indicated overtopping of the dam during floods equal to the test flood and the past overtopping of the dam during recorded floods, the dam can only be considered in fair condition. Additional maintenance and investigations should be undertaken, particularly with respect to the seepage, as outlined hereinafter.
- b. <u>Adequacy of Information</u> Generally, the information obtained from visual examination and limited measurements at the site, supplemented by available drawings, was adequate for the Phase I investigation. However, there is insufficient information for a detailed evaluation of the seepage that is occurring around the left abutment of the 29 foot dam and/or under the dike.
- c. <u>Urgency</u> The recommended additional investigations outlined in Section 7.2 and the recommended remedial measures outlined in Section 7.3 should be undertaken by the Owner within 1 year of the receipt of this report.
- d. <u>Need for Additional Investigation</u> Additional investigations should be performed by the owner as outlined in the following section.

7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the following additional investigations be performed by the owner:

- a. A detailed hydraulic/hydrologic investigation to determine methods of increasing the spillway capacity, providing an emergency spillway, and/or the protection of the earthen portions of the dam.
- b. An investigation to attempt to determine the source and whether or not there are changes in the seepage that is occurring at the toe of the riprap downstream slope and out in the channel bottom below the 29-foot dam. This would include further research into available information and records, systematic observation of

conditions in the seepage areas during changes in pond levels, and, if necessary, the use of observation wells to monitor the phreatic surface and/or the introduction of tracer substances into the dike foundation area. This investigation would determine whether there should be corrective measures or continued regular monitoring of the seepage.

- c. An investigation to confirm the adequacy of the fill in the old sluiceway area in the event of high water levels. This would include determining the character and condition of the fill, and the effective embankment cross section along the sluiceway.
- d. An investigation to determine the necessary repairs to the cracks and/or modifications required to prevent further crack-ing in the Woronoco (29 foot) dam left abutment wing wall.

7.3 Remedial Measures

Í

L

- a. <u>Operation and Maintenance Procedures</u> It is recommended that the following operation and maintenance procedures be adopted by the Owner to correct deficiencies noted during the visual examination.
 - (1) Clear brambles, brush and young trees, including stumps, and any trash and debris from the dam and dike embankments and backfill any resulting holes with compacted fill.
 - (2) Cut grass and weeds on the embankments at least once a year.
 - (3) Repair gaps in erosion protection and animal burrows that are revealed by the clearing operation.
 - (4) Clean and fill with epoxy mortar eroded joints, eroded cracks and eroded panels in the concrete which have eroded to a depth greater than 1-1/2 inches for cracks and 1 inch for panels. Larger size voids can be filled with peastone added to concrete bonded to the existing concrete with epoxy.
 - (5) Repair those concrete joints which are presently seeping water (especially on the 29-foot dam) and seal all suspicious locations on the upstream end of these joints, including the concrete-ledgerock interface joints, with epoxy or epoxy mortar during summer shutdown. Remove deteriorated concrete surface from the screenhouse right training wall, including the wall at the west side of the reservoir pool, the west abutment wall of the 29-foot dam and the transverse wall downstream of the 29-foot dam outlet (if the transverse wall is providing a definite function) and resurface the walls.
(7) Include in the maintenance work on the facilities the removal of minor vegetation from the concrete cracks and joints, the removal of screening debris that may be piled up downstream of the screenhouse, and the repainting of the screenhouse as necessary.

The Owner should also develop a formal maintenance procedures program for this facility, including the maintenance procedures listed above and a testing and maintenance program of all gates and outlets at a frequency not to exceed 90 days. A formal emergency procedures plan and warning system should be developed in cooperation with local officials in downstream communities. Finally, it is recommended that the Owner institute a program of technical inspections on a yearly basis.

7.4 Alternatives - Not applicable

APPENDIX A

INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION AND CHECKLIST



VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Ţ

••••

•...

•

l.

L

.

Dam Embankment, Dike	A-2
Spillway, Small Dam Rt. of Woronoco (60 Ft.)	A-3
Spillway, Woronoco (60 Ft.)	A-4
Spillway, Woronoco (29 Ft.)	A-5
Outlet Works	A-6
Outlet Works (cont.)	A7
Hydrologic-Hydraulic Considerations (60 Ft.)	A-8
Hydrologic-Hydraulic Considerations (60 Ft.)(cont.)	A-9
Hydrologic-Hydraulic Considerations (29 Ft.)	A-10
Certificate of Laboratory Analysis	A-11

]
VISUAL INSPECTION PARTY ORGANIZATION	
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM	
DAM: WORONOCO MILLS	
DATE: SEPTEMBER 14, 1978	
TIME: 9:45 A.M.	
WEATHER: CLEAR & CRISP, 45°- 50° F, LT. VAR. WINDS	
WATER SURFACE ELEVATION UPSTREAM: $\frac{4-1}{4''}$ below spillway crest (229.00-0.35 = E1. 228.65) STREAM FLOW: All flow thru 11' dia, penstock	
to hydroelectric station 600' d.s.	
INSPECTION PARTY:	
1. Roger H. Wood - CDM	
2. Joseph E. Downing - CDM	
3. Charles E. Fuller - CDM	
4. Peter LeCount - Haley & Aldrich	
5.	
6	
PRESENT DURING INSPECTION:	2
]Danny Labombard - Woronoco Mills	
2. <u>Bill Warren - Woronoco Mills</u>	
3	
4	
	£
APPENDIX A-1	

· . . .

1

•

P

•••

Ĺ

.

.

i.

.

1

.

TE: 9/14/78 brush & weeds, pre- n slope. have cover of or most of length observed). nce at toe, approx. roaching on narrow weeds except where & gravel.
TE: 9/14/78 brush & weeds, pre- n slope. have cover of or most of length observed). nce at toe, approx. roaching on narrow weeds except where & gravel.
brush & weeds, pre- n slope. have cover of or most of length observed). nce at toe, approx. roaching on narrow weeds except where & gravel.
brush & weeds, pre- n slope. have cover of or most of length observed). nce at toe, approx. roaching on narrow weeds except where & gravel.
brush & weeds, pre- n slope. have cover of or most of length observed). nce at toe, approx. roaching on narrow weeds except where & gravel.
<pre>ke, but extensive ike from lower part pe on left side of t appears to be OK, on of movement, ssible to closely . movement</pre>

i

ľ.

9

: : {

.

1

•

VISUAL INSP NATIONAL DA	PECTION CHECK LIST M INSPECTION PROGRAM
DAM: <u>Woronoco Mills (60 feet)</u>	DATE: <u>14 September 1978</u>
SPILLWAY: Small Dam Rt. of Worono	<u>co (60 feet)</u>
CHECK LIST .	CONDITION
 Approach Channel General Condition Obstructions Log Boom etc. 	1. a. Good b. None observed c. None observed 2.
a. Flashboards b. Weir Elev. Control (Gate) c. Vegetation d. Seepage or Efflorescence e. Rust or Stains f. Cracks g. Condition of Joints h. Spalls, Voids or Erosion i. Visible Reinforcement j. General Struct. Condition	 a. None in place b. No weir elev. controls c. Minor moss growth d. Slight seepage at crack lines, efflorescence at apparently cold joints. e. Rust in seepage f. Two vertical cracks, appear to be pour jts. g. Fair, have been patched, deteriorated h. Surface erosion, erosion at joints
 Discharge Channel Apron Stilling Basin Channel Floor Vegetation Seepage Obstructions General Struct.Condition 	and horizontal lines. i. None observed j. Good overall 3. a. Natural ledge rock b. None c. Ledge rock d. Trees D/S of rock
<pre>4. Walls a. Wall Location (1) Vegetation (2) Seepage or Efflorescence (3) Rust or Stains (4) Cracks (5) Condition of Joints (6) Spalls, Voids or Erosion (7) Visible Peinforcement (8) General Struct.Condition</pre>	 e. None observed f. Logs & debris from screens g. Good 4. N/A

AM: Woronoco Mills (60 feet)	DATE: <u>14 September 1978</u>
SPILLWAY: WORONOCO (00 TEEL)	
. Approach Channel a. General Condition b. Obstructions c. Log Boom etc.	<pre>1. a. Good b. Remains at old breached dam beneath water surface upstream. c. None observed</pre>
 Weir a. Flashboards b. Weir Elev. Control (Gate) c. Vegetation d. Seepage or Efflorescence e. Rust or Stains f. Cracks g. Condition of Joints h. Spalls, Voids or Erosion i. Visible Reinforcement j. General Struct. Condition 	 2. a. None in place b. No weir elev. controls c. Minor isolated growth in joints d. Slight seepage at concrete - ledge rock interface & isolated spots above. Efflorescence below operator pier. e. Rust in seepage f. Minor vertical cracking g. Erosion starting-minor-deep near
 B. Discharge Channel a. Apron b. Stilling Basin c. Channel Floor d. Vegetation e. Seepage f. Obstructions g. General Struct.Condition 4. Walls a. Wall Location 	 band (see h). h. General light surface erosion-one band on D/S face toward rt. abut. i. None observed j. Good 3. a. Natural ledge rock b. None c. Ledge rock d. None observed
 Vegetation Seepage or Efflorescence Rust or Stains Cracks Condition of Joints Spalls, Voids or Erosion Visible keinforcement General Struct.Condition 	e.&f. None observed g. Good to excellent 4. N/A

:

D

AM:Woronoco Mills (29 feet)	DATE: 14 September 1978
PILLWAY: Woronoco Mills (29 feet)
HECK LIST .	CONDITION
HECK LIST Approach Channel a. General Condition b. Obstructions c. Log Boom etc. Weir a. Flashboards b. Weir Elev. Control (Gate) c. Vegetation d. Seepage or Efflorescence e. Rust or Stains f. Cracks g. Condition of Joints h. Spalls, Voids or Erosion i. Visible Reinforcement j. General Struct. Condition Discharge Channel a. Apron b. Stilling Basin c. Channel Floor d. Vegetation e. Seepage f. Obstructions g. General Struct.Condition Walls a. Wall Location (1) Vegetation (2) Seepage or Efflorescence (3) Rust or Stains (4) Cracks (5) Condition of Joints (6) Spalls, Voids or Erosion (7) Visible Reinforcement (8) General Struct.Condition	<pre>CONDITION 1. a. Good b. None observed c. None observed 2. a. None in place b. No weir elev. controls c. None observed d. Water seeping from some joints & dam-rock interface. Efflorescence on operator structure & local spots on dam. e. Rust in seepage, rust in drain dis- charge. f. No major cracks observed g. Joints definitely eroded and seepage from few horiz. joints. h. General erosion especially at joints top surface of rt. abut. deteriorated j. Good 3. a. Natural ledge rock b. None c. Ledge adjacent to dam - broken rock & sand downstream. d. Minor adjacent to dam, brush & young trees downstream. e. Sand D/S saturated and may have some upward movement of water. Water coming out of channel lt. bank. f. None adjacent to dam. Brush etc. D/S g. Good 4. a.(1) None noted (2) Efflorescence on walls & control tower. (3) None noted (4) Deteriorated vertical cracks U/S wing wall. Vertical crack in D/S wing wall. (5) Good (6) Deteriorated concrete impact walls D/S of sluice gate outlet.</pre>

-

,•

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST NATIONAL DAM [NSPECTION PROGRAM

_

•

Ľ

DAM: <u>Woronoco Mills Dam</u> OUTLET WORKS:	DATE: 14 September 1978
CHECK LIST	CONDITION
 Screen House Outlets at Right Abutmen of Small Dam 	 The outlet works of the screen house supplies water to an 11 foot internal diameter con- crete lined steel penstock
3. Woronoco (60 foot) Dam Drain	which feeds the downstream hydroelectric station. There are screens at the entrance of the penstock. The right con-
4. Woronoco (29 foot) Dam Outlet	crete training wall has consi- derable surface deterioration. The intake channel is clear and no obstructions were observed in either the channel or up the intake. The wooden screen house is on a concrete foundation. The wooden build- ing is indeed of paint. The exterior of the penstock appeared to be in good condi- tion. The penstock outlet was not observed.
	2. The intakes are on the screen house intake channel. No obstructions were observed at the inlet. The concrete structure appears to be in good condition. There are 2 manually operated gates, each controlling separate box outlets. The gate operators appear to be in good condition and maintained. Debris from the screens in the screen house is piled up below the outlets but it appears that the debris would not impede the discharge from the outlets
	3. Electric operated sluice gate in operating condition. The gate is remotely operated from the mill. The concrete sur- face platform is in good condi- tion - (see also spillway checklist). The gate is at the upstream face of the

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

DAM: Wonoroco Mills Dam

.

l

.

DATE: 14 September 1978

CHECK LIST	
	spillway. No obstructions
	were observed in the inlet
	or outlet. The gate is not
	accessible and water is being
	discharged over the spillway.
	4. Electrically operated sluice
	gates in operative condition.
	from the mill The concrete
	surface nlatform is in fair
	condition - (see also spillway)
	checklist). The gate is at
	the upstream face of the spill
	way. No obstructions were
	observed at the inlet or out-
	let. A concrete wall, possibly
	an old baffle wall, immediately
	downstream from the wall is
	severely eroded and deterior-
	ated. The operator for the
	gate can be reached from the
	earthen dike during periods of
	high water.
	ADDENDIY A-7

	······································
VISUAL INSP NATIONAL DAM	ECTION CHECK LIST INSPECTION PROGRAM
DAM: WORONOCO MILLS 60 FEET DAM HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC CONSIDERATION	DATE: September 14, 1978
 Upstream Watershed Type of Terrain Hydrologic Controls Reservoir Type of Terrain Development 	<pre>Ia. Very steep to mountainous; very neavily wooded. lb. Two flood control reservoirs by Corps of Engineers: (1) Knightville Reservoir (1941) on the Westfield River with 49,000 acre-ft. of storage. (2) Littleville Reservoir (1965) on the Middle Branch of the Westfield River with 32,400 acre-ft. of storage.</pre>
3. Spillway a. Adjacent Low Points b. Spillway Approach (Slope) c. Spillway Discharge (Slope) d. Spillway Type	2a. Mountainous with reservoir on gorge with 30-40% ground slopes adjacent. 2b. Very sparse development; Strathmore Park 0.8 mi. upstream. Strathmore Paper Co. mill buildings downstream of No. 1 Mill on reservoir. Some houses downstream of reservoir but not on flood plain.
 4. Downstream Watershed a. Reach No. 1 (1) Control (Bridge, dam, culvert, etc.) (2) Channel Characteristics (3) Development (4) Visible Utilities (5) Special Problems (Hospital, etc.) 4. Downstream Watershed b. Reach No. 2 (1) Control Bridge, dam, culvert, etc. (2) Channel Characteristics 	 3a. Spillway founded on bedrock with extremities tied into adjacent rising bedrock. No low points adjacent. Bedrock as deep as 50-ft below dam crest. 3b. Spillway approach consists of 10-20-ft deep pool on bedrock which shallows to 5-10 ft. at spillway. 3c. Spillway discharge is over a curved concrete crest dropping an average of 10-30 ft to bedrock below and more than 50-ft to tailwater. 3d. Spillway is a concrete parabolic shaped crest and anchored into bedrock below. 4a. REACH NO. 1 1. Control is Strathmore Paper Co. Bridge 1500 ft. downstream. 2. Channel is bedrock with boulders and cobbles and bottom slope of 3-5%. 3. No development within river flood plain-few residences on left bank above crest of dam. 4&5. No utilities or special problems. 4b. REACH NO. 2 1. Control is channel constriction 3000-ft downstream. 2. Channel is bedrock with boulders and cobbles in very steep gorge. Channel bottom slope is 3%

.

.

APPENDIX A-8

· `

DAM: WORONOCO MILLS 60 FEET DAM	DATE: <u>September 14, 1978</u>
HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC CONSIDERATIO	
4. Downstream Watershed (cont.) b. Reach No. 2 (3) Development (4) Visible Utilities (5) Special Problems (Hospital, etc.)	3. No development along river bank within expected limits of flow. 4&5. No utilities or special problems.

.

Z

Ē

.

•

•

ľ

.

<u>_</u>....

....

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

DAM: WORONOCO MILLS 29 FEET DAM HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC CONSIDERATIONS:

DATE: September 14, 1978

HTDRULUGIC-HTDRAULIC CONSIDE	
CHECK LIST	CONDITION
 Upstream Watershed Type of Terrain Hydrologic Controls Reservoir Type of Terrain Development 	<pre>1a. Very steep to mountainous; very heavily wooded. 1b. Two flood control reservoirs by Corps of Engineers: (1) Knightville Reservoir (1941) on the Westfield River with 49,000 acre-ft. of storage. (2) Littleville Reservoir (1965) on the Middle Branch of the Westfield River with 32,400 acre-ft. of storage.</pre>
 Spillway Adjacent Low Points Spillway Approach (Sleet, Spillway Discharge (Sleet, Spillway Discharge (Sleet, Spillway Type) 	2a. Mountainous with reservoir on gorge with 30-40% ground slopes adjacent. 2b. Very sparse development; Strathmore Park 0.8 mi. upstream. Strathmore Paper Co. mill buildings downstream of No. 1 Mill on reservoir. Some houses downstream of reservoir but not on flood plain.
 Downstream Watershed Reach No. 1 (1) Control (Bridge, date culvert, etc.) (2) Channel Characteris (3) Development (4) Visible Utilities (5) Special Problems	 3a. Spillway founded on bedrock; no low points adjacent as structures at abutments tie into rising ground. 3b. Spillway approach consists of 15-20 ft. deep pool on bedrock which shallows to 5-15 ft. at spillway. 3c. Spillway discharge is over a curved concrete crest dropping an average of 10- 20 ft. to the bedrock below. 3d. Spillway is a concrete parabolic shaped crest cast and anchored into bedrock below.
 b. Reach No. 2 (1) Control Bridge, dan culvert, etc.) (2) Channel Characteris (3) Development (4) Visible Utilities (5) Special Problems (Hospital, etc.) 	 4a. REACH NO. 1 1. Control is Strathmore Paper Co. Bridge 1500 ft. downstream 2. Channel is bedrock with boulders and cobbles and bottom slope of 3-5%. 3. No development within river flood plain-few residences on left bank above crest of dam. 4&5. No utilities or special problems.
	 4b. REACH NO. 2 1. Control is channel constriction 3000 ft. downstream 2. Channel is bedrock with boulders at cobbles in very steep gorge. Channel bottom slope is 32. 3. To development along river bank within expected limits of flow. 4&5. No utilities or special problems.

CAMP DRESSER & McK	EE	INC.
--------------------	----	------

January 8, 1979

CERTIFICATE OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Sample: Rust Deposit, CDM Lab. No. 3945

Submitted By: Haley and Aldrich, Inc. U.S. Corps of Engineers Dam Inspections Woronoco No. 20 (File No. H&A 4208; CDM 380-5-RT-20)

Date Received: 28 November 1978

Analysis:

CDM Lab. No. 3945

Total Iron, mg/l 1000.

Total Manganese, mg/l 0.91

The sample was analyzed for total metals according to procedures outlined in <u>Standard Methods</u>, 14th Edition.

ane M. Chaplick

Muldoon, Manager Donald G.

File No. 7021-0

APPENDIX B

LIST OF AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS AND PRIOR INSPECTION REPORTS

Page No.

Ξ.

LIST OF AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS

B-1

PRIOR INSPECTION REPORTS

List of Documents

DATE	BY	
1. September 22, 1969 2. June 29, 1971	Tighe & Bond Mass. Dept. of Public Works	B-2,3,4,5 B-6,7
DRAWINGS		
NO.	TITLE	
1.	Woronoco Mills: Topographical Map Showing Location of Dam, Dike and Riprap	B-8
2.	60 Ft. Dam: General Plan	B-9
3.	60 Ft. Dam: Sections	B-10
4.	29 Ft. Dam: Plan and Sections of Spillway and Dike	B-11

LIST OF DOCUMENTS

DOCUMENTS

Ţ

I

.

.

.

.

LOCATION

WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM

1.	Drawings by C. T. MAIN Inc. entitled "Strathmore Paper Co. Sheet Nos. 1393-1 Plans and Sections dated Oct. 1938 1393-1A Plans and Sections of Spillway & Dike	A	
	dated Oct. 28, 1938	A	§ Β
	Dam Dike & Pinran dated Nov 9 1938	د ۵	L R
	1393-3 Miscellaneous Details dated Nov.14,1938	A 8	S B
2.	Drawings by F. T. Ley Co. dated 1938		
	Topographic Map	Α	
	Elev. & Section of Spillway and Abut. File No 1527	A	
3.	Drawing by A. D. Donald Co. Reinforcement of Stand # 1425	Α	
WOR	ONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM		
4.	Drawing by Ley Const. Co. dated 1948 entitled Proposed Dam		
	Site.	A	
5.	Drawings by C. T. Main Inc. entitled "Strathmore Paper Co. Woronoco, Mass. New Concrete Dam" dated Oct, 3, 1949		
	Sheet Nos. 1393-4-1 Location Plan	A	ΣB
	1393-4-2 General Plan	Α (ΣB
	1393-4-3 Sections	A	§Β.
	1393-4-4 Stability Analysis	A a	§Β
	1393-4-5 Diversion Sluice	A 8	ΣB
	1393-4-6 Small Scale Sections	A 8	SΑΒ

Location A is Strathmore Paper Co., South Broad Street, Westfield, Massachusetts. Location B is Charles T. Main, Inc. Prudential Center, Boston, Mass.

GEORGE H.MCDONNELL • FHILIPW'SHERIDAN EDWARD J.BAYON

TIGHE EBOND

CIVIL SAN TARY AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS, REPORTS, PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUPERVISION OF CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION

CONSULTING ENGINEERS.

BOWERS AND PEOUOT STREETS HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS TEL.JEFFERSON 3-3991

CD Russell September 22, 1969

The Honorable the Board of County Commissioners 52 State Street Springfield, Massachusetts

Gentlemen:

•••

I.

Inspections carried on recently within the Town of Russell have now resulted in all dams in that community having been inspected at least once during the present year. The following is a report on the general condition of the various dams situated within Russell.

(TIGHE & BOND'S COMMENTS ON OTHER DAMS IN THE AREA INCLUDED ON PAGE 2 AND A PORTION OF PAGE 1 ARE OMITTED FROM THIS REPRODUCTION)

MPPENDIX B-2

. TIGHE 4BOND consulting engineers

D. Strathmore Paper Co. Dam - 1938 Structure

At the time of the inspection the water level in storage was just above the crest of the overflow dam and water was passing over the dam. No flashboards were on the crest. An inspection of the toe was made by closely examining this area thru and under the overflowing water. There is some minor concrete surface erosion on the downstream face of the overflow dam but the toe itself shows little evidence of erosion. The vertical construction joints show some opening and wear, but this is of a very minor nature. The crest is well shaped and shows no excessive wear.

The gate structure and the left concrete abutment were noted to be in very good condition. The right abutment consisting mainly of natural ledge and a small concrete wall was in good condition.

In the opinion of the undersigned, this dam is safe.

E. Strathmore Paper Co. Dam - 1950 Structure

The concrete masonry forming this dam is in very good condition. Joints were o.k. The crest concrete is good and no flashboards are on the crest. Water level in storage was passing over the crest. The toe area was noted to be satisfactory. The gate structure out on the dam was o.k. Concrete abutment walls on each side and the natural abutment ledge were o.k.

In the opinion of the undersigned, this is a very good dam and it is safe.

F. Strathmore Paper Co. Dike

The shape of the dike is satisfactory. However, it has not been maintained properly in that brush growth is becoming quite high and thick. All brush growth on the slopes should be kept cut down. The toe area appears to be good. Examination of the toe area was difficult because of the thick brush growth. Seepage at the toe, just to the left of the 1938 dam, seems to be about normal. No soil moves with the seepage water.

The owner should be advised to remove all brush growth and to keep the fike clear of this growth.

(TIGHE & BOND'S COMMENTS ON OTHER DAMS IN THE AREA INCLUDED ON PORTIONS OF PAGE 3 AND 4 ARE OMITTED FROM THIS REPRODUCTION)

Respectfully submitted,

George H. McDonnell County Hydraulic Engineer

APPENDIX B-3

3.

Page 2 of 2

TIGHE **BOND** CONSULTING ENGINEERS

.

The last routine inspections of all dams situated within the Town of Russell were conducted in the late summer of 1969. A letter-report on the conditions noted at each of the dams was sent to the Board of County Commissioners on September 22, 1969.

×

Of all the dams listed, only two required maintenance. Russell Pond Dam and the dike located to the left of the 1938 dam.

A copy of my report to the Commissioners of Hampden County is attached hereto for your information and file. Letters outlining the recommended maintenance and repair work at the Russell Pond Dam and at the Dike were sent to the Strathmore Paper Co. by the Commissioners of Hampden County.

х

George/H. McDonnell County Hydraulic Engineer Hampden County

1-7-256-5

INSPECTION OF DAMS Hampden #4-5
City or Tournagery Russell Date June 29, 1971
Name of Dam Strathmore 1950 Inspector P. Fezzie
Owner Strathmore Paper Co. Address Russell
Caretaker Strathmore Address Russell
Location on Westfield River - Woronoco - Behind #1 Mill
Type of Dimensions concrets - 350' long - 15' high - built on ledge
270' long - 4' freeboard south - 4' freeboard-75'long Spillway, type and size north - concrete - 80' long - 4' freeboard - Center
Outlets, type and size 6' x 8' and slide gate at center of dam-at gate house southend - 3' x 5'-3' x 3'-10' dia. pipe all with slide gates Flashboards, type and height
Date Built 1950 Condition good - except as noted
When last repaired By whose orders
Nature of Repairs
Purpose of Dam <u>mill</u> Approximate storage of water <u>1</u> mile of river
Approximate area of water shed Possible damage due to failure of dam
Remarks <u>no water ponded</u> - gate open - large cracks in south end of
dam - concrete spalling and deteriorating
Recommandations repair Magonry
Corrective Action
APPENDIX B-6

-

-

!

I	NSPECTION OF DAMS Hampden #4-6
City or Town of <u>Russell</u>	Date June 30, 1971
Name of Dam <u>Strathmore Dik</u>	n. northrup Inspector P.Fezzie
Owner Strathmore Paper	Co. Address Russell
Caretaker <u>Strathmore</u> Paper	Co. Address Russell
Location extension of 1938	dam - northerly along westfield river
Type of Dimensions <u>earth</u> emba	ankment - 10' high - 10' wide at top - 400' long
Spillway, type and size	
Outlets, type and size	none
Flashboards, type and height	none
Date Built	Condition good
When last repaired	By whose orders
Nature of Repairs	
Purpose of Dam <u>to divert</u> w	ater to dams below during flood conditions
Approximate storage of water	none
Approximate area of water shed	
Possible damage due to failure	of dam to mill and property below in
flooded condition	
Remarks entire embankment	covered with growth - no water within at
least 150' of upstream	toe - this area is overgrown with trees
and brush	
Recommendations <u>clear</u> empa	Strems
Compactions Aution	
Set In Children In Inchil	

.

L

5

Ţ

•

i. L

}

ş









.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE









APPENDIX C

.

二日 あいたいたい たいない たいたいたい 日本

Ľ

•

.

l

L

SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT

LOCA	ATION PLAN	Page No.
Lo	ocation of Photographs	C-1
<u>РНОТ</u>	TOGRAPHS	
<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	Page No.
1. 2.	Overview of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam from Downstream Overview of Woronoco (29 foot) Dam from Left Abutment	
	WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM	
3.	Overview of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam from Left Abutment	C-2
	(ISIANU) Dicht Entrenes Training wall of Server Neuro	C-2
4. E	Right Entrance Ifaining wall of Screen house	C-2
.	Gate and Screen Operators within Screenhouse	U-3
ο.	Gate Operators on Left Entrance Training wall of	0.3
7	Screennouse	C-3
/.	Looking Downstream From Right Adulment. Mill Building	0 /
0	Shown on Right and Penstock on Leit.	C-4
8.	Screenhouse (Left) and Downstream Face of Small Dam	. .
•	(Center). Mill is in background.	C-4
9.	Joint Deterioration and Surface Erosion on Small Dam	C-5
10.	Downstream Face of Small Dam Left Abutment Showing Slight	
	Seepage, Deteriorated Cold Joint and Slight Efflorescence	C-5
11.	Overview of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam from Right Abutment	C-6
12.	View of Downstream Channel Below Woronoco (60 foot) Dam	C-6
13.	Gate Operator and Operator Platform on Woronoco (60 foot)	
- /	Dam	C-/
14.	Crest of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam Showing Minor Erosion.	_
	Screenhouse in background	C-7
15.	Downstream End of Sluice Gate Opening of Woronoco (60 foot)	
	Dam	C-7
16.	Seepage and Rust Stain at Concrete-Ledge Rock Interface	
	at Downstream Face of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam Near Right	
	Abutment	C-8
17.	Eroded Downstream race of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam. Note	
	Deterioration at Joints and Cracks	C-8
18.	Crest of Old Timber Crib Dam Upstream of Woronoco (60 foot)	
	Dam	C-9
19.	Remains at Old Timber Crib Dam Upstream of Woronoco (60 foot Dam. Breach Made in Old Dam after the Construction of Woronoco (60 foot) Dam is Evident at Right.	:) C-9
	WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM	
20.	Overview of Woronoco (29 foot) Dam from Right Abutment	
	(Island).	C-10

PHOTOGRAPHS (cont'd)

Ţ

.

Ľ

-

l

.

Ľ

No.	Title	Page No.
21.	Channel Downstream of Woronoco (29 foot) Dam.	C-10
22.	Downstream Face of Woronoco (29 foot) Dam. Sand in Foreground is Saturated and Very Loose.	C-11
23.	Surface and Joint Erosion in Downstream Face of	
	Woronoco (29 foot) Dam.	C-11
24.	Sluice Gate Operator and Left Abutment of Woronoco	
	(29 foot) Dam.	C-12
25.	Seepage and Rust Stain from Downstream Channel Left	
	Bank Just Below Left Abutment of Woronoco (29 foot) Dam	n. C-13
26.	Seepage and Rust Stain from Left Channel Bank	
	Approximately 300 Feet Downstream of Woronoco	
	(29 foot) Dam Left Abutment.	C-13
27.	Crest of Dike on East Bank of Woronoco River. View	
	is Approximately at Midpoint of Dike Looking South.	C-14
28.	Left End of Dike as Viewed from Roadway Looking West.	C-14







Ľ

•

3. OVERVIEW OF WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM FROM LEFT ABUTMENT.



4. RIGHT ENTRANCE TRAINING WALL OF SCREEN HOUSE.



1-.-

Ķ.

5. GATE & SCREEN OPERATORS WITHIN SCREEN HOUSE.





7. LOOKING DOWNSTREAM FROM RIGHT ABUTMENT. MILL BUILDING SHOWN ON RIGHT AND PENSTOCK ON LEFT.



8. SCREEN HOUSE (LEFT) AND DOWNSTREAM FACE OF SMALL DAM (CENTER). MILL IS IN BACKGROUND.



9. JOINT DETERIORATION AND SURFACE EROSION ON SMALL DAM.



10. DOWNSTREAM FACE OF SMALL DAM LEFT ABUTMENT SHOWING SLIGHT SEEPAGE, DETERIORATED COLD JOINT AND SLIGHT EFFLORESCENCE.


11. OVERVIEW OF WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM FROM RIGHT ABUTMENT.

Ì.



12. VIEW OF DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL BELOW WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM.



Ľ

.

16. SEEPAGE AND RUST STAIN AT CONCRETE - LEDGE ROCK INTERFACE AT DOWNSTREAM FACE OF WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM NEAR RIGHT ABUTMENT.



17. ERODED DOWNSTREAM FACE OF WORONORO (60 FOOT) DAM. NOTE DETERIORATION AT JOINTS AND CRACKS.



18. CREST OF OLD TIMBER CRIB DAM UPSTREAM OF WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM.



ł,

9. REMAINS OF OLD TIMBER CRIB DAM UPSTREAM OF WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM. BREACH MADE IN OLD DAM AFTER THE CONSTRUCTION OF WORONOCO (60 FOOT) DAM IS EVIDENT AT RIGHT.



20. OVERVIEW OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM FROM RIGHT ABUTMENT (ISLAND). NOTE SURFACE EROSION OF RIGHT CONCRETE ABUTMENT IN FOREGROUND.



21. CHANNEL DOWNSTREAM OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM.

1

· • •



22. DOWNSTREAM FACE OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM. SAND IN FOREGROUND IS SATURATED AND VERY LOOSE.



23. SURFACE AND JOINT EROSION IN DOWNSTREAM FACE OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM.



24. SLUICE GATE OPERATOR AND LEFT ABUTMENT OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM.



25. SEEPAGE AND RUST STAIN FROM DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL LEFT BANK JUST BELOW LEFT ABUTMENT OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM.



26. SEEPAGE AND RUST STAIN FROM LEFT CHANNEL BANK APPROXIMATELY 300 FEET DOWNSTREAM OF WORONOCO (29 FOOT) DAM LEFT ABUTMENT.



Ĩ

٠.

[

27. CREST OF DIKE ON EAST BANK OF WESTFIELD RIVER. VIEW IS APPROXIMATELY AT MIDPOINT OF DIKE LOOKING SOUTH.



28. LEFT END OF DIKE AS VIEWED FROM ROADWAY LOOKING WEST.

APPENDIX C-14

OUTLINE OF DRAINAGE AREA AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

	Page No.
OUTLINE OF DRAINAGE AREA	
Drainage Area Map	D1
COMPUTATIONS	
Classification; Hazard Potential Classification	D-2
Storage Volumes and Area Curve	D-3
Test Flood	D-4 D-5
rmr Spillway Charactoristics	D-5 D-67
Stage-Discharge Relationship	D-0,7
Surcharge-Storage Routing	D-9
Downstream Flood Profile	D-10

D-24

D-11 - D-23

T . -----. Ì

Ī

•.'

Dam Failure Analysis

Tailwater Analysis





JOB NO 380-5-8720 COE PAGE -MP ORESSER & Maker CLIENT. DATE 21 NOV 78 WORDHOCO DATE CHECK PROJECT. SIZE CLASSIFICATION 1949 DAM Crestel 229 low point el 175 greatest height 54 Intermediate category by height At crost el 229 storage = 393 ac-ft - small calogory by storage Height controls size classification : Dam IS INTERMEDIATE SIZE DAM 1938 DAM Crest el 229 low point el 205 (appror) 24 - Small categoing by height At crest el 229 storage = 393 Ac-ft - small category by storage : Damis SMALL SIZE DAM HAZARD POTENTIAL CLASSIFICATION Several structures would be damaged as well as the potential for lass of a few lives. Large storage area just upstream of built up area of town helps to reduce Flow : Low to Significant Hazard Potential For both dams TEST FLOOD Hezerd 1949 Dam: Laksignificant hazard; intermediale size - SANF to AFF 1938 Dam: Late significant hazard; small size - boy to SANF 100g to SARF 50% 100yr USE 1/2 PMF FOR TEST FLOD because: 1. 1949 dam is at lover limit of its classification : APPENDIX D-2

ntal Engin



•

AMP DRESSER & MCKEE CLI Environmental Engineers PROJ Boston Mass DE1	NT <u>C.O.E.</u> CT <u>MIG.20NOC</u>	0	JUB NO <u>30-5-84</u> DATE CHECKED CHECKED BY	PAGE	1/ NOV 72 SW	
TEST They are the south to to to to to to to to to to	FLOOD Knightvill As to si sy. Com el is the clim bec is isduction in sill b	clams one lo c and hitles fore and sa porc hydro ic is a b ausc of th ausc of th c used to	red in Westfield ille. The dams fill pass the pro- prophs of various prophs of variou	River Ubla were desig IF through Flad even frige in fi ome perco same per scharge at	nshed, nsci by their nts bay encontage encontage	
<u>WORC</u> EVEI	T L	<u>s.</u> DCATION	Q P K Natura l	Q PIL Mod. by Knvl + Lthul	% of Qpx Natural	
1. Sert	938 Vicsifick	likiver@Elm	st 81,000 cfs	47, oco-	58%	
2. Sept 1	se Wrstfiria Inflew to	River USGS Go Wesificial flood pla	ge 87,000 Zin	54,000	62%	
3. Aug 19	55 West Sinla	I kia @Elm S	5/ 82,000	56,000	68%	
4. Aug 19	55 Westfield outflow from	River USGS Go WestSield floor	3e 77,000 plain	62,500	61%	
5 Sept 1	2 Wirst Sield R Out Dow From	wer USGS Gage m WestSteld flood p	lain 55,500	36000	65%	
	FROM	Diecharga	-FREQUENCY CU	RVE		
E77101	Loc	ATION	Qpx Natural	apk Moclisind by Knul + LIVI	% of OpeNoing!	
1. 5 0 y	Viestfiel	HRiver @ Elm :	64,000	90,000	46%	
2. 100 y	4	4	120,000	60,000	50%	
3. 600 ;	s 1	1,	270,000	147,000	54%	
4 50	R Westfind	RIME @ USGS	Goge 65,000	42,000	65%	
5 100	⁽ k 1)	<i>i</i> 1 37	90,000	57,000	63%	
6 :00	R :	Di Di	000,081	112,000	62%	
	2 Rohnling	1- Ptr	Z 1191500	713500	60%	
	6 IN NO-1109	· 0 ·				

	ALL COE	380.5- Van	PAGE 7	
AMP DRESSER & McKEE Environmental Engineers	PROJECT_LORONOCO	JOB NO DATE CHECKED	DATE 2/ NOV 1978	
Boston, Mass.	OETAIL			• • •
	.			
	D.A. Tributary to Knight	"He Dam 162 mc ²		
	DA. tributory to Littler	Ille Dam <u>52 mi</u>		
		214 met		ية من المعالمية المساقلية في 19 - ب
	D.A. Tribulary to Waronce	· Day 346 mc -		
	From PMF curves , 0	ising mountainous ter	rain	
	PMF. @ Woromeo Dam:	J		
	$=(246ms^2)/(226ms^2)$	(725 CSM) (60) = 150 5	nn c Ec	
-				
	because of flood	@ dam - control dams		
	PMF. Q Wormoco Dam	.;		
	Assume Knightville	+Little ville hald back of	lows in their	
	Tributary U.A. (340	6-214 met) = 132 met .	 	
	(132	me2) (1075 csm) = 1.41,90	00 [. The second states we are
			•	
	15 PMF = (150 500 + 141 000	. (-)		
	(<u>130,500,111,100</u>		•	
	= (146,200)(15) =	73,100 cfs @ el 237.8	•	
		•	•	
	· · · · · · · · · · ·			
		· · ·		
	_			
	-			
			APPENDIX D-5	

-

			· v. • 4. • • • 4. • •	•," •," • / •," • "			ta tina ang kata ting kan	
ŗ	CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE Environmental Engineers Boston, Mass		F Esquente di uco III de Da uny Detrog Di	La checke	а но. <u>380-5-08</u> скед <u>20 Гся 77</u> о ву <i>Э</i> Ш	B PAGE	toto 1579 Briter	
	236] 4	Gerlang C	42002 fewer 0,922 m	re-1950 Da	e ^{239,0}		
	1025 22 ^{9,0} 22 ^{3,0}	0 223.0		 *#**			340	
		C C	•	 	·	-• • • • • • • •	Hart Test	
	lla En	- Sert. 2=	338' 338'	oithway "B" L= 22'	Epilleosey "C L'2049 "C" Head be	" Solway D" 25 L. 34 "C" Hal Q (Total Discharge D+R+C+D	
ł	22	9.0 3.8	0 0 -	- 0 0				
	22: 22:		5 454 2.	12 05 51			511	
	230	.5 (].	5 2360 26	6 15 303	•		2663	
	231	0 2	0 3633 29	0 20 473	• •	· · · ·	4106	
	231	5) 2	5 5077 2.7	6 2,5 676	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5,753	
	232	i (3	0 6694 28	8 3.0 912			7,586	
	232	.5 .3	5 8410 28	6_3.5 /161		• · · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	233	0 4	C 19275 21	3 4.0 1953	- 0	0	11,728	
	234	40 5	0 14,360 31	0 5.0 2/49	267 1.0. 71	2.5 1.0 85	16,671	
•	285	5.0 6.	0 18,871 3.2	0 6.0 2,916	268 2.0 220	2.6 2.0 250	22,263	
	_ 286	50 33 <i>7</i> .	0 23,787 3.3	2 7.0 3,812	2,73 3.0 4.1	2.7 3.0 477	28,487	
	237	0) 8.	0 29,063	8.0 4,658	2.79 4.0 64	2.75 4.0 748	35,116	
	236	3,0) 2.	0 34,679	9.0 5,558	3.07 5.0 99	5 3.0 5.0 1140	42,392	
	23.9	0.0 3.8 10.	0 40,616 3.3	2 10,0 6,509	3.32 6.0 1.44	3.3 6.0 1649	50,189	
	240	.0 3.8 11.	0 46,859 3.3	2 11.0 7,510	3.32 7.0 1.78	3 3.3 7.0 2070	58,230	
						AF	PPENDIX D-6	

AP DRESSER vironmental E Boston, Mi	& MCKEE CLIENTI Engineers PROJECT. ass. DETAIL.	Corres of Expine Decemptor Alit Sillary Kathy	<u>s Don hope</u> <u>S Don</u> 0 <u>Cinic</u> 0	JOB NO. 4 ATE CHECKED CHECKED BY	20 Feb 79 Ju		e <u>Sor 1019</u> e <u>Son 1019</u> or <u>CFiller</u>	
•	Epilany L	kongeneries -	1938 Dave		···· · · · · · · · · ·	Spilase	Total	
	Water- Surf. Grev.	Epilany 2=307 <u>Chead</u>	, aun	lew walt-l Typ & Clav 2. Head <u>Q</u>	* <i>180</i> ' 3 6	1950 Day 1950 Day (A+B+C+1	2011 0004 <u>Caperty</u> 1938 and <u>1950 Dames</u>	
	229.0	3,8 0 0				0	0	
	229.5	0.5 112.	•		• .	511	923 do	
	230,0	1.0 1167		•	····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	1449	2616	•
	230.5	1.5 2/43				2,663	4,806	-
. .	231.0	20 3300	• •	<u> </u>		4,106	7,406	•
	231.5	2.5 4,611	i 			5,753	10,364	•
	232,0	3,0 4,462	i .			7,586	13,648	
· · · ·	232,5	3,5 7,639	• •			9,571	17,210	
	2330	4.0 9.333	:			11,728	21,061	
	234.0	5.0 12043				16,671	29,714	
	235.0	6.0 17/45	1	· · · ·		22,263	39,408	
	236,0	7.0 21606		00		28,481	50,093	
	237:0	8.0 26,397	2,95	1.0 495	Gate	35,116	62,008	
	238.0	9.0 31,498	3.0	2.0 1,527	C Hard C	2 42, 31 2	15,397	
	2390	8 100 34,891	3,3	3,0 3,086	263 0	0 50,189	_90,166	-
· ·····	240.0	11.0 42,561	33	4.0 4,752	1.0	18 58,230	105,556	
	241.0	12.0 48,495	{	5.0 644	2.0	37 66,667	121,840	
	242.0 3,	8 13,0 54,6e1	3,5	6.0 8720	2,63 3.0 6	8 75,480	138,959	-
	243.0				,	:	156,940	
	244.0	·· · ·					195,800	
			!			: 		

FENDIX D=/



- - -

•

CLIENT CONTRACTO Der MOR JOB NO 300-5-08 (20) PROJECT CONTRACTO ANIS DESC. DATE CHECKED 2-6-79 Consider PUTF to be average of 2 ggroceles by Seal Willows: PUF = 150,500+14,900 = 146,200 chs - any 146,000 chs Her & PUF = 13,000 chs and \$ PMF = 107,500 cts - say 110,000 cts Causider check at surcharge-storage at returnese of park the sucharge tot. the 110,000 do = Eta 240,3 storage C Etw. 2403 = 1369 20 4. (53.33 (132 4. m) = 0.194 "2.0. Qp2 = 110,000 cts (1 - 0.194)= 108,574 cts Pez Stor- (is) Star (se-A) Eter. 108,000 0.273 1920 244.0 108,000 0.2045 1440 240,8 109,000 0.136 960 235,8 -212 -240 110,00 12020 90,000 100 000 Tobel Discharge in CFS.



CLIENT Core Engrang - Dan Argent. JOB NO 30-5-18 620 PROJECT Dan work fills Dans DATE CHECKED 2-6-79 5 <u>6</u>cf CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE PAGE DATE CHECKED 2-6-79 vironmental Engineers DATE DETAIL Dan Failure Bureas CHECKED BY COMPUTED BY BE Boston, Mass DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS 1950 Done Sto. 0+50 to 2+50 Las soc. bodrock & Elw, 201 Assume dow with water worker & Elev. 236, There W = (0.1 /200 = 80' Q = 1 B (80) (522) (21) 15 = 18871 chs 1950 dane $Q_{3} = \frac{328 - 20}{38} + 3212 + 411 + 477 + 21,606 = 44,463 cb$ any 64,000 de 1938 Doul Asseme down tails with unter serface Etw. 236 and Wy = (0,4)(250') = 100' $Q_{p} = \frac{18}{27} \left(100 \right) \left(\frac{1}{32.2} \left(\frac{22}{22} \right)^{1.5} - 17,350 \right) = 100 \ \frac{1950 \ dowl}{1950 \ dowl} = \frac{1950 \ dowl}{1950 \ dowl} = \frac{1950 \ dowl}{307} \left(\frac{21606}{21606} \right) + 28,487 = 43,055 \ ch^{2}$ 16=226-214=221 Quelas = 60,405 ch < 63,334 ch to ase 64,000 che have above Because both dams are more or less similar in length and hight and because they are at the same breations, the use of 21,000 CHs for dam failure flow was used as an average flow. The assumption made is that only one dam would fail at one time. Failure was assumed to have occured in the middle of the structure. Note the configuration of the 1950 daws in the menty of the 29 101 19 el 239 (cust) If dam were to fail by sluce gate : $Q = \frac{B}{27} (.4) (58) \sqrt{32.5} (55)^{1.5}$ AS 2 22 310 G450 Q = 15, 910 cfs which is less Lel 175 than 64000 cfs which is planned to be used. Note = lipstican of the 1948 dam is an old timber crit which has been breeched. If the 1948 dam failed instead of the 1938 dam, the failure discharge of 21,000 cfs would be reduced. By using othe 21,000 cfs clischarge more consensatione of results are obtained. APPENDIX D-11



JOB NO 380 - 5 - 8/20 COE PAGE. CLIENT_ CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE PROJECT WORDNOCO DATE CHECKED 1-10-79 DATE 21 NOV 78 roomental Engineers 34 *A* COMPLITED BY DETAIL CALL BY Boston, Mass surcharge stage - discharge for reach * 1 $Q = \frac{1.49}{n} A R^{42} 3^{1/2}$ h= 0.025 5= 0.01 A= 1254 R= 1254 = <u>1.49</u> (.01) 12 (125) 4 (1254 24 - 125 - 24 24+125 = 665.18 y (1254) y= 14 Q= 47,275 = 16 = 58,051 2 - 4 Q= 0494 e1= 189 e1-199 = 193 - 8 Q= 19772 =201 = 9 - 18 = 69,468 Q = 23843 • 194 =203 Q= 15972 .192 = 6 Q= 12467 - 191 Q= 27,986 Q= 37,245 = 195 10 2 1a = 197 Route Dam Failure Flow through Reach * 1 at Q=64000 cfs e1 = 237 5=1073 ac-ff for QP, = 64 000 04 21= 202 V,= 108.500.64 $Q_{P_2}(trial) = O_{P_1}\left(1 - \frac{v_i}{s}\right)$ = \$ 000 (1- 1085) = 57528 @ 57528 of el = 200.9 V2 = 98.5 Verg. (108.5+98.5)=1035 Qp: = Qp(1-100) = 64,000 (1-103.5) = 57,827 e el 200.76 APPENDIX D-13











MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



310-5-8/20 CLIENT COE O DRESSER & MCKEE 27 1001 WORDNOCO 14 Surcharge Stage discharge for reach #4 Q= 1.41 AR " S'2 h= 0.028 5-0.002 A=1600+85y2+2004 R= 1600+ 854 +2004 216+ 170.024 Q = 2.38 (1600+85y+2009 2) [1600+85y+2009 2) [1600+85y+2009 2) = 2.38 (1600+85y2+200y)).67 (216+110.03 y) 2/3 Q= 14,567. el- 160 $Q = \frac{149}{0.003} (000)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2002)^{1.67} (\frac{1}{23+200})^{.67}$ el · 157 Q: 6771 9123 -158 = 2.38 (2003) 147 (1 23+200) .67 = 11728 = 159 = 14567 -160 = 14 587 = 167 = 23,400 = 30,500 = 39,560 4 = 164 - 165 5 6 = 166 Route Flow through Reach +4 @Q=33,147 cp. d 165.2 V, = 335ac-ft Qf (Trial) = 33147 (1-335) =22798 4 Qel 163.9 1. = 262 alt Vaug= 262+335= 298.5 Qp = 33147 (1- 273) = 23,926 cfs @ el 164 APPENDIX D-18





Ş

E

+.

 $\left(\right)$

E.

(. .

[::

ſ

r L

5

[

|

•

JOB NO. 5 80-5-8/00 COF CLIENT_ DRESSER & MCKEE DATE DE NOV 7 BOJECT WORDNOCO ATE CHECKED. Surcharge Stage- Discharge For Reach 45 A= 1043+19984+153 Q= II49 AR 25 5 VE n=0.028 8=,0014 R= 1043+1998y+15y2 Q = 1,99 (1043 + 19984 + 154) 167 (- 2005+314) 2005+314 (R= 10+2+2000 # for el.2150) Q= 6173 el·150 @ el \$150 Q= 1.99 (1002+722) (1007152) e| = 15/ e| = 152 e| = 150 Q = 778 4 Q = 185EO Q = 6173 Q = 4633 Z= 5 Q= 3318 el: 148 149 el ¥= 3+ Q = 32520 ef = 153 Qp (11121) = 23926 (1-415) = 14,672 cf2 49475 el = 154 @ 14,672 of el = 151.4 V2 - 330 Vary = (32+ 4/5) = 372.5 Of: = 23926 (1- 372.5) = 15620 \$ @ el 151.5 APPENDIX D-21

ť.

.



ŕ,

Γ.

JOB NO 380 -5-8/20 CLIENT COE PROJECT WORDNOCO DATE 28 NOV 75 MP DRESSER & MCKEE 6-79 PROJECT_ nronmental Engineers CHECKED BY COMPUTED BY JW DETAIL Surcharge Slage - discharge for Reach # 6 Q= 1149 AR 33 5 n= 0.008 5= 0.0007 $Q = 1.42 \left(200 y + 43.5 y^{3}\right)^{1.67} \left(\frac{1}{200 + 87.1 y}\right)^{.67}$ y = 5 Q : 6576 el = 140 y = 7 = 13452 el - 142 y • 4 5639 el • 139 9 • 3 2350 el = 138 9 • 9 23430 el = 144 Route Flow through reach #6 $\mathcal{Q} = 15,620 \quad e|= 142.5 \quad V_1 = 525 \quad Ac - ft$ $\mathcal{Q}_{f_0} (T_{rial}) = 15,620 \left(1 - \frac{525}{1073}\right) = 7977 \quad ga \quad @e| \quad 139.7 \quad V_2 = 200 \quad Ac \cdot ft$ Verg= 200+525 = 362.5 $Q_{P_3} = 15620 \left(1 - \frac{342.5}{1073}\right) = 10,342 q 0 0 1 141.2$ At this point in the river, the failure flow is contained within the limits of the river bank and the state constructed dike. Downstream of this point there is edditional storage ovailable to further reduce the failure flow -before passing through the raiload bridge and Elm st bridge. Once through the state dike and the high ground by the raiload tracks. In the Vicinity of the confluence with the Little River and in the flow plain on the left bank of the Westfield River at this confluence, there are existing structures which may be affected by the failure flow which reaches this points 1 APPENDIX D-23



1.

{


									A VER/DATE															
۲	UNT DATE	016879	}				PULATION	33000	FED R PRV/FED SCS /	z		(© © © © 8 мрдтнісе удтя мурля] [[
	LATITUDE LONGITINE RD	4210.0 7249.6. 0(-3	JE MAPOUNDMENT		•	E FROM PO	1	(1) NGTTIES DWN NGTRES DWN	593 NED N						D T LEY CONST CO	۲	MAINTENANCE	NONE	HORITY FOR INSPECTION				
Θ	AME	FT DAM		NAME O	MESTFILD RIVER	۲	NEAREST DOWNSTREA CITY - TOWN VILLAG	ESTFIELD	(*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)	54 096 72	S		DWER CAPACITY () () () DWER CAPACITY () CLED FROMORED NO (LENDY)			AIN CO INC FRE	(8)	DRY AGENCY OPERATION	NONE	CTION DATE AUT	456P78 PL 92=367	9	AM DNLY	
	Z	DHONDCO MILLS 29		AME			I ST REAM	3	(8) (8) PUAPOSES HELO	29	(e) Remark		NUM VOLUME PI	009	9	CHARLES T M	۲	CONSTRUCTION	NONF	INSPE	INC	REMARK	FT DAM 33 29 FT D	
	IE COUNTY DIST, STATE, COUNTY DIST.	10 214		PORULAR N	PAN DAY	i) (ii)	CINEASIN RIVER OF	OR WESTFIELD HIVE	(a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	PGCTGA 1939			BOLLWAY SPILLWAY S	1560 C 307 21	۲	UWWER 	۲	DESIGN	DNF	INSPECTION BY	AMP ORESSER + MCKEE		ALSO INCLUDES 40	

Multi from find find find find find find find find		() ()	UNEN INVEN	ر 100 OF D/ © @	AMS IN TH		ТЕ ВТАТІ	e E S	e	E				*• • · · ·
Image: serie of the serie		NURTITY DIVISION	STATE COUNTY DIST. STATE COU	NTY CON 015)	Ň	AME		LATITUDE (NORTH)	LONGITUDE (WEST)	REPORT DATE DAY MO YR				
0.100000000000000000000000000000000000		TIR MED	HA DIT 01	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	MILLS 60 F	T DAM		1.909.1	7249.6	001EB79				• * •
Important Important Important Important Important 1010000000000000000000000000000000000				۲				(1)						• •
Under the set of the set			-	UPULAR NAME			NAME UP 1	MIPUUNDMENT	•					
Image: market nome Image: market nome			1 950 DAM (a) (a)	E		WESTFIE	LD RIVER			۲				••••
Image: Sector of the sector	Implementation Imple		FE CLON BASIN	RIVER OR STREAM		NEA	REST DOWNSTREAM Y-TOWN-VILLAGE		FROM DAM (ML)	POFULATION				
Tree to rain Tree to rain <th< td=""><td>Tree from With the initial initiali initial initial initiali initial initial in</td><td></td><td>01 08 WESTFIEL</td><td>N HIVER</td><td>I</td><td>ESTFIELD</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>33000</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>• • • •</td></th<>	Tree from With the initial initiali initial initial initiali initial initial in		01 08 WESTFIEL	N HIVER	I	ESTFIELD			-	33000	•			• • • •
RELACT 195 hED N N N RELACT 155 hE N N N Note N	LEACT 1950 H R.		(n) TYPE OF DAM COMI	(1) (1) EAR PURPOSES PLETED PURPOSES	H LEANC	() ADRAU- FEGHT	(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c		51 OWN	FEU R	PRV/FED	8CS A	VER/DATE	
Image: Construction of the state of the	Image: Section of the section of t		ECGACT 1	950 H	69	54	960	393 NE	z	z	z	Z		
Image: Constrained in the second in the second in the second interval	Image: Service of the service of th				REMARKS	S								
Instruction Inst	Initial and the service of the serv									, , ,				• •
Distribution Construction 00NIER ENGINEERING BY 00ES N 00ES N 00NIE NONE 0 NONE </td <td>Introduction on the induction of the induct</td> <td></td> <td>(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)</td> <td>(E) MAXIMIM VOL (FT.) (C) (C) (C)</td> <td>UME PO</td> <td>NER CAPACI</td> <td><u>)</u> () () 17 () 03£Б мојсерруни</td> <td>O O O</td> <td>O NIGATION LI</td> <td>OCKS OCKS Jorker Jorkor Jorker Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor</td> <td>Э Димирун Ф</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Introduction on the induction of the induct		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	(E) MAXIMIM VOL (FT.) (C) (C) (C)	UME PO	NER CAPACI	<u>)</u> () () 17 () 03£Б мојсерруни	O O O	O NIGATION LI	OCKS OCKS Jorker Jorkor Jorker Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor Jorkor	Э Димирун Ф			
SIRATHHOHE PAPEN CC GHANLES T MAIN CO INC OESI M CONSTRUCTION DESI M CONSTRUCTION MSPECTION BY MONE MSPECTION BY MONE Cave DRESSER + MCKLE INC MSPECTION BY MONE MSPECTION BY MONE MAINTENANCE MAIN	Stallwright East Iwright Califier		() () () 0000ER		ENGINEER	RING BY		CONSTRUCT	LION BY		-]. 			
DESI: N REGULATORY AGENCY DESI: N CONSTRUCTION OF NOME M NOME M MOME M MOME M MOME M MOME M MOME MSPECTION BY MOM	none none none 0 0 0 0 0		SIRATHMONE PAPE	СО СН	AHLES T MA	I CO I	NC NC					-		
UEN IN CONSTRUCTION NONE MANTERNAL Image: Im	LEAN CONTRACTION BY UNSPECTION BY UNSPECTION AND UN				REGULATO	RY AGENCY	OBCBATION		UAINTENAN					
(a) (b) (c) (c) INSPECTION BY INSPECTION BY INSPECTION OATE AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION Camp Dresser + mcmle inc 1 usepted 1 usepted Instruct 1 usepted 1 usepted Instruct 1 usepted 1 usepted Instruction 1 usepted (c) Instruction 1 usepted (c) Instruction 1 usepted (c) Instruction 1 usepted (c) Instruction (c) (c)	Image: Control of the state		OLESISM			3NON	UTERALIUN	NON		2				
Camp Dresser + Mckef inc 1456P78 PL 92-367 (a) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c)<	Cave DRESSER + MCKLF INC Cave DRESSER + MCKLF INC BEMARKS REMARKS REMARKS AEMAR		IdSNI	(B) ECTION BY	INSPEC DAY	(W) TION DATE MO YR	AUTHO	(#) IRITY FOR INS	SPECTION					
REMARKS 32-400F1 AT EL 229. 65FT AT EL 233 30-ALSO INCLUDS 29FT 33-29FT DAM ONLY	REMARKS REMARKS IS-400F1 AT EL 229. DIFT AT EL 233 30-ALSO INCLUDS 29FT JAM ONLY		CAMP DRESSER +	MCKEF INC		ISEP78	PL 92-367							
32-400FI AT EL 229, 65FT AT EL 233 30-ALSO INCLUDS 29FT 33-29FT DAM ONLY	32-400FI AT EL 233 30-ALSO INCLUDS 29FT 33-29FT DAM ONLY				REMARKS	S				·				
		,	32-400F1 AT EL	2294 65FT AT	EL 233 30-	AL SO IN	CLUDS 29FT 3	3-29FT	DAM ONL					
											• • • • • •			

