



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

TANK AND AND TAXABLE IN A SALARY



	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
REPORT NUMBER		BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
1A 00741		
TITLE (and Subtitle)	<u>_</u>	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		INSPECTION REPORT
Sudbury Dam		
ATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION	OF NON-FEDERAL	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AMS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS EW ENGLAND DIVISION		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADD	RESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
EPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGI	NEERS	September 1978
EW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 24 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. O	2254	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSI I di		18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
PPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS		SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) PPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES over program reads: Phase I Ins owever, the official title of t	pection Report, Nati	(onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES over program reads: Phase I Ins owever, the official title of t on-Federal Dams; use cover dat	pection Report, Nati he program is: Natic e for date of report	(onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of
PPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES over program reads: Phase I Ins owever, the official title of t on-Federal Dams; use cover dat	pection Report, Nati he program is: Natic e for date of report	(onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of
PPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES over program reads: Phase I Ins	pection Report, Nati he program is: Natic e for date of report	(onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of
PPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES over program reads: Phase I Ins owever, the official title of t on-Federal Dams; use cover dat KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessor DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Merrimack river Basin Southborough, Massahcusetts	pection Report, Nati he program is: Natic e for date of report	(onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of
PPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIS DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract on supplementary notes over program reads: Phase I Ins owever, the official title of t on-Federal Dams; use cover dat KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necession DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	pection Report, Nati he program is: Natic e for date of report	(onal Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV SB IS OBSOLETE

٢.

٠.

 $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

NEDED

Honorable Michael S. Dukakis Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts State House Boston, Massachusetts 02133

NOV 2 9 1978

Dear Governor Dukakis:

I am forwarding to you a copy of the Sudbury Dam Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and mecommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is mwitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental-Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, the Metropolitan District Commission, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, 80 Somerset Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108, ATTN: Mr. Martin Weis, Chief Engineer.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,

JOHN P. CHANDLER

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer

Incl As stated



ľ.

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

PHASE I INVESTIGATION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

Identification No.:	MA 00741
Name of Dam:	Sudbury Dam
Town:	Southbo rough
County:	Worcester
State:	Massachusetts
Stream:	Stony Brook
Date of Site Visit:	30 June 1978

.

t

L

Sudbury Dam is a dam of intermediate size, consisting of an earth embankment approximately 70 ft. high and a central stone masonry ogee spillway, together approximately 2000 ft. long. The dam, constructed for water supply in 1896, is classified in the "high" hazard potential category.

The dam is generally in good condition. There were no obvious signs of failure or conditions which would warrant urgent remedial treatment.

Hydraulic analyses indicate that the spillway is adequate in size to safely pass the test flood, determined to be the probable maximum flood, without overtopping the dam.

The MDC should perform additional investigations to determine the stability of the spillway weir, especially the top ten feet at the crest, under loading from the test flood and from seismic forces. An investigation to evaluate seepage and embankment slope stability left of the spillway should also be undertaken.

Recommendations for remedial work include cutting of grass and brush on the downstream slope and immediately downstream of the toe, and pointing of facing stones at the spillway crest and elsewhere as required. The recommendations and remedial measures described in Section VII should be implemented by the owner within 24 months after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

HALEY & ALDRICH, INC. by:

Ê

ľ

.

.

. .

.

Ĺ

Harl Aldrich President



This Phase I Inspection Report on Sudbury Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection</u>. <u>of Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

Charles

CHARLES G. TIERSCH, Chairman Chief, Foundation and Materials Branch Engineering Division

Karn

FRED J. RAVINS, Jr., Member Chief, Design Branch Engineering Division

۱

SAUL COOPER, Member Chief, Water Control Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

B. Fryan JOE B. FRYAR

Chief, Engineering Division



PREFACE

ľ

.

C

.

Ì

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testting, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I investigations are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the test flood is based on the estimated "probable maximum flood" for the region (greatest resonably possible storm runoff), or a fraction thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

i. K

t

.

E

•

j.

		Page No.
	TER OF TRANSMITTAL	
	FASSESSMENT	
	EW BOARD SIGNATURE PAGE	
PREF		
	E OF CONTENTS	iii
	VIEW PHOTO	v
LOCA	ATION MAP	vi
I.	PROJECT INFORMATION	
	1.1 GENERAL	1
	1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
	1.3 PERTINENT DATA	- 3
		Ū
II.	ENGINEERING DATA	
	2.1 DESIGN RECORDS	7
	2.2 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	7
	2.3 OPERATION RECORDS	7
	2.4 EVALUATION	7
TTT	VISUAL EXAMINATION	
111.	3.1 FINDINGS	9
	3.2 EVALUATION	12
		10
IV.	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
	4.1 PROCEDURES	13
	4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM	13
	4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACIL	ITIES 13
	4.4 DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTI	EM IN 13
	EFFECT	
	4.5 EVALUATION	13
v.	HY DRAULIC/HY DROLOGIC	
••	5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES	14
VI.	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
	6.1 EVALUATION OF EMBANKMENT	16
	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
	6.2 EVALUATION OF SPILLWAY	17
	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		Page No.
VII.	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND	
	REMEDIAL MEASURES	
	7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT	19
	7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	19
	7.3 REMEDIAL MEASURES	20

- APPENDIX A INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION AND CHECK LIST
- APPENDIX B LIST OF AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS AND PRIOR INSPECTION REPORTS
- APPENDIX C SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT
- APPENDIX D OUTLINE OF DRAINAGE AREA AND HYDRAULIC AND STRUCTURAL STABILITY COMPUTATIONS
- APPENDIX E INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

Ì

۰_



Overview Photo of Sudbury Dam (Roll C11, Frame 19A)



PHASE I INVESTIGATION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM SUDBURY DAM IDENTIFICATION NO. MA 00741

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

.

A. <u>Authority</u>. Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region.

Haley & Aldrich, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Haley & Aldrich, Inc. under a letter dated 26 April 1978 from Colonel Ralph T. Garver, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-78-C-0301 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work. Camp, Dresser & McKee, Inc. was retained as consultant to Haley & Aldrich, Inc. on the structural, mechanical/electrical and hydraulic/hyrologic aspects of the investigation

B. <u>Purpose</u>. The primary purposes of the National Dam Inspection Program are to:

1. Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.

2. Encourage and prepare the states to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.

3. To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Ê

A. Location. The dam is located in the town of Southborough, MA approximately one-half mile north of Route 9 and just west of the boundary between Worcester and Middlesex Counties, as shown on the Location Map, page vi. Overflow from the dam is carried by Stony Brook to MDC Framingham Reservoir No. 3.

B. <u>Dam and Appurtenances</u>. Sudbury Dam consists of an earth embankment, an ungated granite-faced spillway near the middle of the embankment, and a gate house structure. The total length of the dam is about 2000 ft. Plans, profiles and sections are shown on drawings in Appendix B.

The right and left embankments are approximately 45 ft. and 70 ft. high, respectively. Slopes are 2 horizontal to 1 vertical on the upstream side and the upper part of the downstream side. The lower part of the downstream slope is 2.5 to 1. The embankments consist of a concrete core wall bearing on rock and earth fills as shown by Appendix B-4. The upstream face is protected by riprap and stone paving. There is a gravel service road at the crest and the downstream slope is covered by grass, weeds and brush.

The spillway is an ogee type founded on rock. It is 300 ft. long, with a maximum height of approximately 71.5 ft. A cross-section of the spillway is shown in Appendix B-2.

A gate house structure is located at the left end of the spillway. The structure consists of three intake chambers each connected to 48-in. diameter outlet conduits. Details of the gate house are shown in Appendix B-2, 3 and 5. Note that only one of the 48-in. conduits presently discharges at the toe of the spillway upstream of the access road bridge.

C. <u>Size Classification</u>. Sudbury Dam has an estimated storage to the top of the dam of 33,020 acre-feet, and a maximum height of about 70 ft. Storage between 1,000 and 50,000 acre-feet and a height of from 40 to 100 ft. classifies Sudbury Dam in the "intermediate" size category, according to guidelines established by the Corps of Engineers.

D. Hazard Classification. Sudbury Dam is currently classified as having a "high" hazard potential in the Corps of Engineers National

Inventory of Dams. A dam failure analysis, Appendix D, indicates the potential for loss of lives and extensive damage to homes, buildings, bridges and roadways downstream. Therefore, it is recommended that this classification be retained.

E. <u>Ownership</u>. The dam is owned by the Massachusetts Metropolitan District Commission, 20 Somerset Street, Boston, MA 02108.

F. Operator. The operation of the dam is the responsibility of the Sudbury Section of the MDC, 311 Hollis St., Framingham, MA. The Superintendent of the Sudbury Section is Mr. Edward Ginsburg (phone: (617) 872-4383). The plant engineer at the site is Mr. Joseph P. Young (phone: (617) 872-3793).

G. <u>Purpose of the Dam</u>. The dam was constructed to create a water supply reservoir for the Boston Metroplitan area. In about 1915, the gate house was modified for power generation, believed to be two 275 K.V.A. generators and one 900 K.V.A. generator. The facility is now used for water supply only.

H. Design and Construction History. Sudbury Dam was completed in 1896. Five record drawings dated 1899 are included in Appendix B. Some repairs were made on the spillway in 1956 and the outlet conduits from the gatehouse were re-routed at some unknown time. The circular outlet downstream of the spillway no longer exists in the form shown on the record drawings. However, no major structural changes to the embankment and spillway have been made since the dam was constructed.

I. <u>Normal Operational Procedures</u>, The water impounded by the dam is used as part of a water supply by the Metropolitan District Commission of Massachusetts. The spillway flashboards normally remain in place. Water is not taken directly into the transmission system from the dam, but is released into the downstream channel which flows to Framingham Reservoir #3. Water is usually taken from this complex only in periods of high demand.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

-

r

.

Ē

All record plans for the Sudbury Dam are on Boston City Datum. However, elevations reported hereinafter are on the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). To convert to NGVD, subtract 5.65 ft. from elevations which are on the Boston City Datum.



B. Discharge at Dam Site.

į

•

٢

ι

Ē,

1. Outlet Works.		3 48-in. pipes (see Section 1.3J for details)
	own impoundment at dam	El. 256.12 on 19 August 1955 (ac- cording to the MDC)
3. Ungated spills	way capacity at top of	
	flashboards removed)	23,200 cfs at El. 260.35
4. Ungated spilly pool elevat	way capacity at test flood tion	
-	ashboards)	11, 100 cfs at El. 259. 5
(without	t flashboards)	11, 100 cfs at El. 257.8
5. Gated spillwa	y capacity at normal	
	tion	N/A
	y capacity at test flood	
•	tion	N/A
•	y capacity at test flood	
	tion (with flashboards)	11,100 cfs at El. 259.5
8. Total project	discharge at test flood	
pool eleva	tion (with flashboards)	11,100 cfs at El. 259.5
C. Elevation (ft. abo	ove MSL, NGVD Datum)	
1. Top dam		260.35
2. Test flood poo	ol-design surcharge	
	boards)	258.6
-	ashboards)	257.1
	arge - original design	Unknown
4. Full flood cor	ntrol pool	259.35 assuming 1 ft. freeboard
5. Water supply	pool	253.35

	 Spillway crest (with flashboards)	254.52 253.35
	Upper Level Middle Level Lower Level 8. Streambed at centerline of dam 9. Maximum tailwater	236.85 217.35 196.44 196.4 Unknown
D.	Reservoir	
	 Length of maximum pool (at PMF) Length of water supply pool (Normal). Length of flood control pool 	22,500 ft. (Est.) 22,500 ft. (Est.) N/A
E.	Storage (acre-feet)	
	 Top of dam. Test flood pool. Flood control pool. Water supply pool. Spillway crest. 	33,020 (Est.) 27,980 (Est.) N/A 22,260 (Est.) 22,260 (Est.)
F.	Reservoir Surface (acres)	
	 Top of dam. Test flood pool (at PMF). Flood-control pool. Water supply pool. Spillway crest. 	1780 (Est.) 1570 (Est.) N/A 1280 (Est.) 1280 (Est.)
G.	Dam Embankment	
	 Type Length Height Top Width Side Slopes 	Earth embank- ment Approx. 2000 ft., less spillway Approx. 70 feet Approx. 12 feet 2:1 U/S, 2:1 and 2.5 D/S

•

k.

.

i

L

6. Zoning	"Boulder Clay Puddle" U/S; "Sand and Grav- el" D/S
7. Impervious core	
8. Cutoff	Concrete Core Wall
9. Grout curtain	None

H. Diversion and Regulating Facilities. Not applicable.

I. Spillway

1. Type	Ungated masonry ogee weir (gran- ite faced)
2. Length of weir	300 ft.
3. Crest elevation	253.35
4. Flashboards	14 in. high
5. U/S Channel	50-ft. depth
	behind spillway
6. D/S Channel	Shaped discharge
	channel
7. General	Excellent hydrau-
	lic condition

J. Regulating Outlets. The intake inverts at the outlet structure are elevation 191.35, 217.35 and 236.85. There are presently four 48-in. pipes from the reservoir. One of the pipes is capped and is unuseable. One of the pipes outlets immediately downstream of the spillway at approximately El. 185. The two remaining pipes flow through increasers, 60-in. pipes, an underground vault, and additional piping to discharge through a rectangular outlet in the invert of the channel downstream of the access roadway bridge. The pipe lines are controlled by sluice gates and valves in the outlet structure. The pipe lines to the rectangular outlet have additional valve control away from the main body of the dam. These outlets could be used to lower the reservoir level although no estimates are available of their discharge capacity.

II. ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN RECORDS

Five record drawings dated 1899, prints of which are included in Appendix B, indicate the general configuration of the dam when it was constructed. With the exception of a drawing showing details of the spillway channel and stone masonry wall, no detailed design drawings, calculations or other records for the original project were located.

In about 1915, the control house was modified to accommodate power generation equipment. Several drawings are available to show this "Proposed Hydro-Electric Plant". No other modifications to the original design are believed to have occurred.

A list of available documents is included in Appendix B.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

Record drawings for the original construction in the 1890's, are included in Appendix B.

The only other construction records for the dam located involved the repointing of the spillway in 1956 and removal of the power generating equipment in 1970. See Appendix B-7 for a list including the contracts for this work.

2.3 OPERATION RECORDS

Monthly reservoir water surface elevations and daily water supply records were the only operational records located during the investigation.

2.4 EVALUATION

A. <u>Availability</u>. Available design, construction and operation records are located at Sudbury Dam in Southborough, MA and at the MDC, 20 Somerset Street, Boston, MA 02108.

B. <u>Adequacy</u>. The 1899 Record Drawings appear to provide sufficiently accurate data which in combination with the visual examination described in the following section, are adequate for the purposes of the Phase I Investigation.

C. <u>Validity.</u> There is no reason to doubt the validity of the available data.

Ì

.

ſ

•

.

E.

.

Ĺ.



· 8

III. VISUAL EXAMINATION

3.1 FINDINGS

A. <u>General</u>. The Phase I visual examination of Sudbury Dam was conducted on 30 June 1978.

In general, the dam embankment and spillway were found to be in good condition. Some minor deficiencies which require correction were noted.

A visual inspection check list is included in Appendix A and selected photographs of the project are given in Appendix C.

B. Dam. The earth embankment located right and left of the spillway is generally in good condition. There was no evidence of settlement, lateral movement or other serious defects. The upstream slope is paved with large cut stones and is in excellent condition, Photo No. 6.

The following specific items were noted:

- 1. Wet areas at the downstream toe were noted at two locations. At the toe of the embankment immediately adjacent to the downstream end of the right spillway training wall, there is a wet area approximately 30 to 40 ft. long and 20 ft. wide. There was no active flow. A large wet area occurs at the toe of the embankment left of the spillway. The location is shown in Photos No. 3, 4 and 17. Cattails cover most of the area which extends a few feet up the embankment slope. The presence of blackberry bushes on the slope above the cattails suggests a moist area, Photo No. 4. Again, no active flow was noted.
- 2. The embankment slopes are generally covered by knee-high grass, weeds and brush. In addition to the blackberry bushes noted above, there were patches of sumac and several 6 to 8 ft. high oak saplings. Growth adjacent to the right training wall is shown in Photos No. 8 and 9.
- 3. There are numerous animal holes, believed to be fox and woodchuck, on the downstream slope. One of the holes is shown in Photo No. 2. Since the dam embankment has a

central concrete core wall to rock, animal holes should not endanger the embankment.

4. A few 2-man size stones have been plucked from the upstream stone paving, right of the spillway.

Ė

ĩ

•

 Considerable seepage occurs through the masonry wall at the downstream end of the left training wall, Photos No. 17 and 18. In addition, water was flowing from 2 of 3 one to two-inch diameter pipes located at the base of the wall.

C. Appurtenant Structures. The weir, sidewalls and discharge channel walls are all faced with granite masonry. The smooth faced granite masonry at the top of weir exhibited loss of mortar from joints. Vegetation is also prsent in the joints, Photo No. 7. Seepage through the weir, outletting in the upper courses of the quarry faced stone was evident. Water trickling or flowing from the upper joints was noted in more than twelve locations, evident to the unaided eye of the observer standing in the discharge channel below. No leakage was observed to be discharging under full hydrostatic pressure. At and below the point of seepage, on the downstream face, the granite face was wet, moist, stained and contained deposits of efflorescence. These conditions are shown in Photos No. 9, 10 and 11.

Concrete placed to form a transition between the weir and rock surface of the discharge channel has been severely eroded where it has remained in place. Some of the areas have become loose and the concrete displaced into the discharge channel, Photo No. 16.

The left spillway training wall contains three weep holes at the lower end, with the two weepholes closest to the weir discharging water. More water was observed flowing through the joints in the lower portion of the wall than through the weep holes. The seepage, efflorescence and moss growth are primarily in the lower regions of the wall, Photos No. 17 and 18.

The right spillway training wall, Photo No. 9, has no weepholes. There appears to be a drain discharging water at foundation level at the junction of this wall and the back wall of the side discharge channel. The wall has moist spots and efflorescence present in the lower regions. The back wall of the side discharge channel has some vegetation growth in the joints and requires repointing.

The side discharge channel bottom is exposed bedrock. Deeper depressions in the rock have been filled with mortared cut stones. Soil has accumulated in the channel and lush vegetation is present in the form of tall grass, weeds, reeds and brush. At the beginning of this channel, against the right spillway training wall, water is percolating in one spot up from the rock below. The beginning area of the side discharge channel contains considerable rust staining. Rust stains are also present along the channel. Loose rocks and concrete debris from the fillet between the weir and channel are lying in the channel. The outlet channel adjacent to the weir has vegetation growth between the stone paving. The invert stones are in place from the weir to the outlet of the 48-inch discharge pipe. Downstream of this pipe the paving shows some areas of displaced stones. Minor debris is present in the downstream channel. These conditions are shown in Photos 14, 15, 16, 19 and 20.

•

Ľ

۰.

Ł

The control house exterior masonry is in good condition, Photo No. 12. The upper joints in the masonry indicate the loss of some mortar. The windows and doors are in poor condition. The interior main floor of the structure has been modified a number of times. Grating and steel plates cover abandoned openings in the slab. One area of the floor adjacent to a covered opening shows intentional or unintentional distortion. The shafts below the main floor were not available for observation during the inspection. However, the one shaft viewed from the top indicated that the shaft was bricklined and in good condition (in the upper region).

D. <u>Reservoir Area</u>. The area around Sudbury Reservoir is generally wooded. While some slopes are steep, there is no possibility that landslides into the reservoir would cause waves which would overtop the dam. No conditions which might result in a sudden increase in sediment load into the reservoir were noted.

E. <u>Downstream Channel</u>. The channel immediately downstream of the spillway is in satisfactory condition. The floor of the side channel is irregular bedrock with a considerable cover of brush, Photos No. 14 and 15. While some paving stones have been displaced in the channel immediately downstream of the left end of the spillway, Photos No. 19 and 20, the floor is generally in good condition. A low masonry wall on the left side of the channel and a paved slope and ma-

sonry wall on the right, extend a short distance downstream of the roadway bridge, Photos No. 21 and 22. Conditions further downstream are shown in Photo No. 21.

3.2 EVALUATION

Based on the visual examination during the site visit on 30 June 1978 the dam and appurtenant structures are in good condition with the exception of the observed line of seepage approximately 10 ft. below the spillway crest and a few minor deficiencies as described in Section VII. This seepage line may indicate the presence of a crack through the spillway which would be a concern. Otherwise, the minor deficienies noted require long term action and should not have an immediate effect on the performance or safety of the project.

IV. OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

In general, the operation of the dam is controlled by instructions from the MDC Framingham office. The operation appears to be based on water demand.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

It appears that the dam embankment, the spillway weir and other components of the structure have received little maintenance since about 1956 when the toe of the weir was gunited. The earth embankment has not been mowed for several years.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

The operating facilities appear to have received maintenance only when such maintenance has become a necessity. The dam supplies water only during periods of high demand, and then the water is discharged into the stream to flow into another reservoir at a lower elevation. All gates and valves in the control house are hand operated. There are no gauges or indicators present in the building. Electric power to or from the structure has been discontinued.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT

There is no established warning system or emergency preparedness plan in effect for this structure.

4.5 EVALUATION

At least a portion of the control outlets are working, as personnel indicated they had closed the outlets approximately one week prior to the inspection. Plans of the outlet works should be updated and kept at the site. The amount of leakage by the gates observed during the inspection and the condition of the interior of the control structure indicates that the structure should probably be reconditioned and a periodic maintenance program be instituted. The condition of the side discharge channel and the masonry weir and walls indicate that a periodic maintenance program should be instituted. The embankment slopes should be mowed.

V. HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

A. <u>Design Data</u>. The Sudbury Dam was designed and constructed in the late 1890's by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Metropolitan Water Works (MDC) to create a water supply reservoir. Some record drawings have been found but no hydrologic data have been located.

- 1. Recent hydraulic/hydrologic data which have been generated under the Department of Housing and Urban Development Flood Insurance Administration (HUD/FIA) Program is in a preliminary state and is presently unavailable.
- 2. The recommended test flood for the size (intermediate) and hazard potential (high) classification of this dam is the probable maximum flood (PMF).

B. Experience Data. The PMF was determined by conservatively using the peak flow rate for rolling terrain as developed by the New England Division, Corps of Engineers. The peak inflow PMF of 33,500 cfs was then routed through the reservoir. The resulting maximum reservoir outflow at the Sudbury Dam was determined to be 11,100 cfs.

C. <u>Visual Observations</u>. Since the original construction of the dam, approximately 14 in. of flashboards have been added to the crest of the spillway and are held in place every 10 ft. by hinged iron pins.

D. Overtopping Potential. A stage-discharge relationship was developed for the spillway. The maximum spillway discharge at the top of the dam [El. 260.35 National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD)] is 23,200 cfs. The spillway water surface at the PMF is El. 257.8 (2.5 ft. below top of dam) with the flashboards removed and El. 259.5 (0.8 ft. below top of dam) with the flashboards in place. Therefore, the spillway is adequate for the test flood.

E. <u>Evaluation</u>. Although the spillway is hydraulically capable of passing the test flood, it appears unlikely that the discharge channel immediately downstream of the spillway would be adequate to contain this peak flow. Consequently, some minor flooding would occur,

particularly with regard to the control and treatment facilities belonging to the MDC which are located at the foot of the dam.

Dam failure analysis based on a 40 percent breach width of the earth dam resulted in a peak failure outflow of 232,000 cfs. The watershed downstream of the dam consists of water supply Reservoir No. 3 which is spanned by the Massachusetts Turnpike and regulated by a dam which discharges to Reservoir No. 1. Reservoir No. 1, which is also controlled by a dam, discharges to the Sudbury River which flows through Framingham Center.

Analysis of the first reach from the Sudbury Dam to the Massachusetts Turnpike resulted in an overtopping of the Turnpike with a water-surface elevation in excess of 200.0. At this stage, severe damage and loss of life would occur in the MDC buildings at the foot of the dam and in newly constructed residences (not shown on present USGS Quadrangle) along Thomas Drive and in the new development located between Reservoir No. 3, Route 30, Route 90, and Marist College.

In conclusion, the spillway is adequate to pass the test flood (both gated and ungated) and in the event of a dam failure, a high hazard exists for loss of life in many homes located between the dam and the Massachusetts Turnpike.

VI. STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF EMBANKMENT STRUCTURAL STABILITY

r.

Г

r

Ċ

A. <u>Visual Observation</u>. Although the embankment slopes were difficult to examine due to vegetation, there was no visible evidence of embankment instability during the site examination on 30 June 1978. There was no evidence of erosion or piping where seepage occurs at the downstream toe left of the spillway. Therefore, the seepage is not considered to pose an immediate hazard to the stability of the downstream slope.

B. Design and Construction Data. MDC Record Drawings of Sudbury Dam, Appendix B, show the design cross-section for the embankment. However, no other design and construction data are available which would indicate the physical properties of earth fills in the embankment. Therefore, a theoretical analysis of the structural stability of the dam was not possible.

The upstream portion of the embankment is "boulder clay puddle" with two horizontal to 1 vertical slopes and a minor berm. The stability of this 70-ft. high slope during rapid drawdown of the reservoir level is questionable. The downstream section of the embankment is "sand and gravel", having slopes of 2 to 1 and 2-1/2 to 1 with a small berm. In the absence of significant seepage, this slope would be expected to be adequately stable under static loading conditions.

The concrete core wall which separates the two sections of the embankment is founded on bedrock, according to the record drawings. While the condition of the wall is unknown, it is probable that the wall along with the "boulder clay puddle" upstream will effectively control seepage through the embankment. It is probable, therefore, that water in the wet areas which occur downstream of the toe, especially at the left side, originates from bedrock which occurs at shallow depths below existing ground. Some seepage from the rock into the "sand and gravel" of the downstream portion of the embankment is also occurring. The line of seepage appears to be somewhat above the toe. Further evidence of seepage and high water levels left of the spillway is given by seepage through the downstream end of the left training wall, Photo No. 18.

C. <u>Operating Records</u>. There are no records of embankment settlement, lateral movement, pore water pressures or other information from field instrumentation.

D. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. It does not appear that there have been any post-construction changes to the dam embankment since it was constructed in the 1890's.

E. Seismic Stability. Sudbury Dam is located in Seismic Zone 2 and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines does not warrant siesmic analyses.

6.2 EVALUATION OF SPILLWAY STRUCTURAL STABILITY

A. <u>Visual Observations</u>. There was no visual evidence that movement or distress in the spillway, side discharge channel and control structure has taken place. However, abnormal seepage was observed exiting from the weir crest. In addition, seepage from the lower portions of the side walls indicates that water is present behind these walls.

B. <u>Design and Construction Data</u>. Design data in the form of record drawings of the original construction (dated 1899) are available and some of the drawings for the modification of the control structue were also located.

Calculations based on the original contract drawings indicate that the spillway weir, if in good structural condition, is safe for the PMF. However, a seepage line was observed approximately ten feet from the crest. If a horizontal crack in the weir occurs at this level, the upper portion of the weir should not be considered safe for sliding under PMF conditions.

C. <u>Operating Records</u>. There are no records which would indicate the magnitude and nature of past structural movements, if any. There are no records of uplift water pressures or other information from field instrumentation.

D. <u>Post-Construction Changes</u>. The present spillway was constructed in approximately 1897. Since that time, there have been no major alterations to the spillway. The placement of a concrete transition at the toe of the weir in about 1956 has been reported and the visual inspection tends to confirm that the work was performed. The outlet structure was modified in about 1915 to generate electricity and later on to remove the generation equipment.

E. <u>Seismic Stability</u>. The top of the weir cannot be considered safe for seismic loading until the path of the water surfacing on the downstream face of the dam is determined and its effect on the stability evaluated. The trial section of the weir indicated that the factor of safety against sliding is marginal with uplift pressures and a wave height approaching the PMF level. Wave heights of this magnitude during seismic activity would not be unreasonable.

Since the dam is located in Seismic Zone 2, the spillway as a whole can be considered adequately safe under seismic loadings.

VII. ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

A. <u>Condition</u>. The visual examination of Sudbury Dam and review of available documents, did not reveal any evidence of failure or conditions which would warrant urgent remedial treatment. The project is generally in good condition.

Sudbury Dam is capable of safely passing the test flood, estimated to be 8,100 cfs based on the PMF, without overtopping the dam. Passage of the PMF, however, will result in moderate flooding downstream.

Nevertheless, some maintenance should be performed and additional investigations should be undertaken as outlined hereafter.

B. Adequacy of Information. Generally, available drawings and other information were adequate for the Phase I Investigation. However, there is insufficient information for a detailed evaluation of the stability of the spillway weir and of the downstream embankment slope left of the spillway, for static loads and forces due to earthquakes.

C. <u>Urgency</u>. The recommendations for additional investigations and remedial measures outlined in Sections 7.2 and 7.3, respectively, should be undertaken by the MDC within 24 months after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

D. <u>Need for Additional Investigation</u>. Additional investigations should be performed by the Owner as outlined in Section 7.2.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

l

1. An investigation be performed to evaluate seepage and embankment slope stability left of the spillway weir. The investigation should include test borings and installation of groundwater observation wells, after the embankment and area immediately downstream of the toe are cleared of brush and grass and weeds are mowed.

2. A stability investigation of the upper portion of the spillway weir be performed under loading from the test flood and seismic conditions. The visual examination of the spillway weir indicated a line of seepage approximately ten feet down from the crest of the weir. The upper portion of the weir should not be considered safe without a detailed evaluation of the path of the seepage and its effect on the weir stability.

7.3 REMEDIAL MEASURES

A. Alternatives. Not applicable.

B. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The following remedial work should be undertaken by the MDC, in addition to the investigations outlined in Section 7.2, to correct deficiencies noted during the visual examination:

- 1. Clear brush and saplings and mow grass and weeds on the embankment at least once a year. Areas which are wet downstream of the toe should also be cleared to allow visual examination.
- 2. Repoint granite masonry at crest of spillway, on the downstream face of the spillway weir and elsewhere, as required.
- 3. Renew the concrete fillet between the toe of the weir and rock surface in the side spillway channel.
- 4. Remove brush and loose rock from the side channel at bottom of spillway weir.
- 5. Repair and maintain the control house to protect the contained equipment, ensure safety of personnel, ensure the equipment is operational and minimize leakage through the structure.
- 6. Due to the height of the dam and its "high" hazard potential classification, develop a formal emergency preparedness plan and warning system, in cooperation with local officials in communities downstream of the project.

7. Drawings of the outlet works including outlet pipes, gates, etc. as they presently exist, should be prepared and kept at the dam site. In addition, an O. & M. manual should be prepared to assure that controls will be operated periodically, grass on the embankment will be mowed and other procedures required to maintain the structure in good operating condition will be followed. Funds for the work should be allocated annually by the MDC.

.

_

-

6

.

.

с. С. Б

.

- 8. Until the recommended investigations are completed, the MDC should provide surveillance of the dam during periods of unusually heavy precipitation and high reservoir levels.
- 9. Continue periodic inspections on a bi-annual basis.

APFENDIX A
INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION AND CHECK LIST

b

d'

ľ

1

İ

.

	Page No.
VISUAL INSPECTION PARTY ORGANIZATION	1
VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST	
Dam Embankment	2
Outlet Works - Spillway Weir, Approach and Discharge Channel	3
Outlet Works - Control Tower	4

•

•
APPENDIX B LIST OF AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS AND PRIOR INSPECTION REPORTS

Ì

1

	Page No.
Record Drawings for Sudbury Dam - Southborough (Sheets 1 through 5)	1
LIST OF AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS	6
PRIOR INSPECTION REPORTS	(none available)

















	LOCATION	MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA	MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA (Appendices B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5)	MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA	MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA	MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA	MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA
LIST OF AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS SUDBURY DAM	CONTENTS	Elevation and se ctions of spillway channel and stone masonry wall	Record drawings showing ele- vations, plans and sections of dam and appurtenances (5 sheets)	Profile, sections and plan	Plan showing location of 48-in. pipe from Well "A"	Sections showing existing struc- ture and changes and additions	Sections showing existing struc- tures and changes and additions
1.011	DOCUMENT	"Spillway Channel Sudbury Dam", Metropolitan Water Works (MWW), 18 October 1898	"Sudbury Dam - Southborough", MWW, 1899	"Proposed Arrangement of Hydro-Electric Plant", MWW Drawing C684, 20 April 1915	"Sudbury Dam", MWW Draw- ing C683, 1 May 1915	"Surge Tanks and Wheel Pits for Weston Aqueduct Service", MWW Drawing C685, 24 June 1915	"Surge Tanks and Wheel Pit for Reservoir No. 3 Service", MWW Drawing C686, 24 June 1915

DOCUMENT

CONTENTS

Plan, profile and details "Underground Transmission Line", MWW Drawing C700,

MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA

LOCA TION

ļ

-

.

Ì

 MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA

Plan showing three gener-

ators, transformers, etc.

"Arrangement of Plant Adopted Dec. 10, 1915", MWW Drawing

19 October 1915

C710, 14 December 1915

Plan, scale 1'' = 100'

"Sudbury Reservoir", MWW

Drawing C835, undated

MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA

Contract document for re-

pointing of spillways (no modifications of existing

structures)

Framingham", MDC Contract

229, 1956

"Repairing Spillways of Sud-

bury Dam in Southborough

and Dams No. 1 and 2 in

MDC, 20 Somerset St., Boston, MA

"Dismantling, Removing and Dis- Contract document posing of Machinery from the Sudbury Power Station in Southborough", MDC Water Division Contract 296-W, 1970

APPENDIX	C
SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS	OF PROJECT

LOCATION PLAN	Page
	No.
Site Plan Sketch	1

PHOTOGRAPHS

•

-

-

I

11

.

<u> </u>			•		
			_	Page	
<u>No.</u>		Roll	Frame	No.	
1.	Downstream Side of Embankment Right	11	23A	2	
_	of Spillway, Viewed from Right Abutment			_	
2.	Animal Burrow on Downstream Slope	11	24A	2	
3.	Downstream Side of Embankment Left of Spillway	10	14	3	
4.	Wet Area at Toe of Embankment,Left of Spillway	10	16	3	
5.	Dam and Reservoir, Upstream Side	10	3A	4	
6.	Stone Paving, Upstream Slope	10	13	4	
7.	Crest of Spillway Weir Showing Flash- boards	C17	7A	5	
8.	Spillway Weir and Right Training Wall	11	19A	5	
9.	Right Training Wall	11	20A	6	
10.	Seepage and Staining on Face of Granite, Right End of Spillway	11	21A	6	
11.	Left End of Spillway Weir and Gate House	10	17	7	
12.	Gate House	10	1A	7	
13.	Spillway Weir From Gate House	10	4A	8	
14.	Side Channel at Bottom of Weir	10	5A	8	
15.	Side Channel at Bottom of Weir	10	· 21	9	
16.	Contact Between Bottom of Weir and Rock in Side Channel	10	19	9	
17.	Downstream End of Left Training Wall	10	18	10	
18.	Seepage Through Downstream End of Left Training Wall	10	22	10	
19.	Outlet Structure for 48-inch Pipe and Channel	10	6A	11	
20.	Channel Immediately Downstream of Spillway	10	8A	11	
21.	Channel and Bridge Downstream of Dam	10	7A	12	
22.	Channel Immediately Downstream of Bridge	10	23	12	

•

1. 1411 1,1 SUDBURY Ω. · Earth Embankment L Т **A**____ 2 27 NOTE: LEGEND: 1. Plan developed from Sheet 1, Photograph above direction of view. 1899 Record Drawing (Appendix B-1) and from Holey & Aldrich. Inc. field observations on 30 July 1978. FILE 4160 827 10/1 HALEY & ALDRICH INC. CAMBRINGE MASSACHUSETTS

ESERVOIR Spillway Weir Southane Wall Wall Mall Mall Mall Subbury Dam Southborough, MA SITE PLAN SKETCH No scale July 1972		 A specific state in the second st
Sudbury Dam Southborough, MA SITE PLAN SKETCE	Spillway Weir 6 Spillway Channel 9 Wall 10 10 18 18 12 13 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	
	and I ()	Sudbury Dam Southborough, MA
	. 1	



1. Downstream Side of Embankment Right of Spillway, Viewed from Right Abutment



2. Animal Burrow on Downstream Slope



Ì

t

· ·

-

t

•

) } Ł

.

3. Downstream Side of Embankment Left of Spillway



4. Wet Area at Toe of Embankment, Left of Spillway



5. Dam and Reservoir, Upstream Side

.

•



6. Stone Paving, Upstream Slope



È

.

्रः

ſ

•

Ì

Ī

8. Spillway Weir and Right Training Wall



9. Right Training Wall

.



 Seepage and Staining on Face of Granite, Right End of Spillway



 Left End of Spillway Weir and Gate House

12. Gate House

Ī

.

Ţ

÷,

•



13. Spillway Weir From Gate House



14. Side Channel at Bottom of Weir



ļ

.

.

!

1



Ī

. .

,---,

٢.

.

Ĩ

Ĵ.

17. Downstream End of Left Training Wall



18. Seepage Through Downstream End of Left Training Wall



Ľ

•

Ē

•

ļ

19. Outlet Structure for 48-inch Pipe and Channel



20. Channel Immediately Downstream of Spillway



21. Channel and Bridge Downstream of Dam

Ì

÷.

ľ

Ż

1

İ,

.



22. Channel Immediately Downstream of Bridge

APPENDIX D OUTLINE OF DRAINAGE AREA AND HYDRAULIC AND STRUCTURAL STABILITY COMPUTATIONS

OUTLINE OF DRAINAGE AREA

Page No.

1

Drainage Area Map

COMPUTATIONS

Contract of the

5

E

.

ŗ

•

Ĺ

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
19







6

Ē

Ê

.

.

1

.

ĵ.

APPENDEX D-3

•

Environmental El Soston. Ma		ECT_SUPPLY	¥ 285, DAN	DATE CHEC			0 8Y)
	Assume t	Xesign (Candition	s were	Cy = 3.	8 @ Ho =	40 A.	
	W.S. Elev.	HEAD (Fi.)	H/Ho	C/C.	C	(cfr)	_	
	240.0	.0	9.25	0.80	3.27	980		•
	261.0	2.0	0.50	0.92	3.50	2,970		.*•
Σ	2620	3.0	0.75	0.97	3.69	3,750		Ī
DATUM	263.0	4.0	1.00	1.01	3.64	9,220		
	264.0	5.0	1.25	1.05	3.99	13,380		
כוגל	265.0	6.0	1.50	1.08	4.10	18,080		į.
Y	266.0	7.0	1.75	1.10	4.18	23,220		
BOSTON	267.0	8.0	2.00	1.12	4.26	28,920		
β	<i>2</i> 67.25	8.25	2.06	1.12	4.26	30, 2 80		•
(FI) ON DALLWAR (FI)	į	RASHEDAS IN-RACE	FLAS	HBCARDS CVED	Max. Spilling dischurde 23200cfs			
6	5		0 15 10 ³ CFS 147 DIS	20 CHARGE	. 2	25 3	-	

•

+

•

ſ

-

Ľ

. . .

L.

HALEY & HURICH 501-8-27 CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE CLIENT_ 308 NO.-PAGE DATE CHECKED 8-18-78 SUCBLRY DAM PROJECT_ DATE tai Engin Æ CHECKED BY_ Ξ OETAR COMPUTED BY ASSUME C= 33 WHEN FLACH BOARDS 261.0 262.0 253.0 264,0 265.0 2600 260.0 ----W.S. ELEV. Siru Datum 4.83 5.83 HEAD (icet) 3.83 0.83 1.83 2.83 20 4,710 - 7,420 10,510 13,940 150 2,450 Q. 267.25 (261.60) BOSTON CITY ONTUM _____ 266.0 (260,35) TOP OF FLASH BOARDS 260. (7 (254.52) V W.S.EL. 264.25 (258.6)-W/-FLASH BOARDS V-W.S. EL_ 262.75 (257.10) W/O FLASHBOARDS CREST EL 259.9 (253.35) PINS 10.0' O.C. PARTIAL SECTION + . .

ſ

CLIENT HEALLOT 108 NO 5/11-8-3 CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE PAGE PROJECT TROUCH REA DATE CHECKED 021-18 7/5/78 Environmental Engineers DATE. DETAIL CIAMICA 1 Augusta 1 Boston, Mass. CHECKED BY. COMPUTED BY. Calculation of PHF Drainage Area - 22.25 39. mi Colling Terrain DIAF- 1505 253/ 39.m. 50 PMF · 33,434 2F3 i - 1 Ĩ. ÷ 1 1 Ĩ i í 1 ----APPENDIX D-6

j

•

ſ

•

Ł.

. .

Ĵ

CLIENT HEA. L. O. - 40001 .08 VO 561-8-P.T CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE PROJECT AUG burg Pas DATE CHECKED DATE. ronmental Engineers DETAIL AMO CHECKED BY CHECKED BY Boston, Mass. AREAT AREA 2 -----. PASS: AREA: 33.79___ 38.25 1, 38,25 33.84 38.05 2. 76.30 2.67.63 3 101.38 38.29 _3. 114.59 _AVE. 33.79 38.20 AREA 4 49.96 33.09 1, 33.09 1_ 49.96 49.95 2. 66.20 33.11 2 99.91 50.07 3. 99.32 33.12 3. 149.98 49.99 33.11 TOTAL = 155.09 50. IN. $(2000^2) = 22.25 50. mi.$ 155.09 52802/ = 14,042 ACRES FOE

<u>م، ود</u> CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE CLIENT. 308 NO. DATE CHECKED BUTTE nonental Engineers PROJECT CHECKED BY COMPUTED BY ton. Viasa. OETAHL. SUDBURY RESERVOIR -----.EL. 252 - - ---1. 0.03 1. 1.08 1....12-12 1.54 3. 2.62 + 2. 0.07 2 24.32 3. 3.96 Ave. 112.16 3 0.12 Ave 1.44 Ave = .04 NEWTON IS Pine IS. 1. 0.49 1_ 0.47 - J. 0.96 - 20.95 Total = 12.71 3.1.42____3.1.40 - SQIN. Ave 0.47 Ave 0.46 =1167 A: - 1,825.mi EL. 260.0 1. 1.8.05 1. 1.50 1. 0.18 1. 0.06 2 36.13 2.3.04 2.0.30 2.0.13 Ave. 118.07 + Ave. 1.52 + Ave a15 - Ave. 0.06 1. 0.27 0.15 0.12 2. _____0.57 0.28 0.24 Ave -0.03 - 0.28 - 0.14 - 0.12 19.11 SQ. N. = 1755 A · 2,753.m

PAGE 6 CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE CLIENT. .08 NO. ... DATE CHECKED DATE. PROJECT nmanial Engineers CHECKED BY COMPUTED BY OETAIL SUDBURY RESERVOIR 270 EL. _____ • • • • • • • • • • • 1. 23.45 1.65 0.18 2 46.95 3.26 0.35 Ave. 123.40 + 1.63 + 0.18 = 25.29 = 2322 A ' = 3,63 s.m. ----------

ł

1

.

CLIENT HEALIAT. GOLDI JOB NO. 561-3-CT CAMP ORESSER & MOKEE DATE TILS ITR nvironmental Engineers PROJECT JUSING PISCE DATE CHECKED 821-78 CHECKED BY CHURCE COMPUTED BY dibd DETAIL Hydrology Soston, Mass. Times of Travel Logth in 3+100 = 16,150' Length in Reservoir . 6500' Length in Swamp = 6200' Longth in Overland Flow - 4750' 1. Assume stream width = 100' 5/000 275'-259'= 16 - 000991 10,150 16150 V=1.386 2213 511e Assume n= 030 for natural strams Area_ surrounding strams according to U.S.G.S. 15 by majority step. Assume side slopes of streams are 2:1 100 101 ---101 L 10 10' -> 10 80'-> 10'--> 10-A a deptte = 10', A = 80x10 - 2x 1/2 x 10x10 WP A- 900 dt A- 900 # WP - 80 + 2×10 [2 = 108.31 R - 900 - 8,31____ . 30 V = 1.986 (8.31)88 (.000991)12 .030 V= 6.413

ł
CLIENT HEA. U.D.T. SOUDI JOB NO 56+3-87 -AGE 8 CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE DATE 7/10/120 PROJECT JUCIALICY PASE CIC DATE CHECKED 82 Environmental Engineers DETAIL HICKO 1094 CHECKED BY CFULCE COMPUTED BY Boston, Mass. TIMES OF Travel (cont.) Time in stream = 16,150' = 25243 = 42 min Car Q 1/2 e Time in Eservoir section 1363, 4000 Q, Chapter 15-11 Assume 10' deep (average) Vie 120m = 32,2/1/2×10' - 18/12 (Wave velocity) Time 10 Reservoir " 12500 . 3613 = Lemin. 18 15 3 TIME In Juans Assume 2' deca average - 7733 213 min Timein Swamp - 1200' -+32:21/52 4. Time For Overland Flow Since section of ana involved in overland flow 13 6 2000 acres, I'm going to use Jes Fig. 15-2 5100C = 350 -280 = ,0149 = 1.570 -4700' Use short grass, pasture curre - 191, - 26's Time For overland flow = 4750' = 55233 = 92 mm 8615 Tatal Te= 153 min = 2.55 hg Lag = 0.40 Te = 92 min = 1.53 hrs

ī

PAGE 9 DATE 7/4/73 CLIENT HEA. L.O.I. GOUD 1 JOB NO 5/0/-8-87 CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE PROJECT TUSALC, CIMPULIC DATE CHECKED BUTB Environmental Engineers DETAIL HIDO 1071 CHECKED BY CETTELE COMPUTED BY d160 Boston, Mass. Prak Flow From PHF = 33,486 cts acurs a +=1,53 hrs_ Use Triangular Hydrogroph for In Flow Hydrogrop Alabury Ceservoir Inflow 40,000 50,000 63 P 1 +0,900-÷, Ł 4 Time his) 40-Tp-AD+L TA-3AD . T6= 2, 67 Tp L= 5 40 = 92min_ AD= 37min To= 111min (1.85 hrs) Tb=295min (4,9 hrs)

LI. D. T. Gonis Z 108 NO. 5/21-8-10 CLIENT HEA. PAGE. DATE CHECKED 8-21-7 7171:72 11.5. PROJECT SUCCESSION Environmental Engineers DATE_ CHECKED BY COMPUTED BY Boston, Mass. DETAIL -2500-. 2300 - - ----2100-Staph of Ara of Liservoir is. Elevation of Water in L'scrubin Area lacres) - 1500----. +300--1100---260_____270_____280 Elevation (Feet,) NGVO ----t Elevation of spillway crest - 259-5.65-253.35 Nove . -- ----

Ċ . ſ

Ė

Ł

CLIENT HER U.D. T STOLDI CAMP DRESSER & MCKEE PROJECT TUSIOUS 1:3 Environmental Engineers DETAIL HUDODA Boston, Mass.

į

÷ . •

•

ľ

÷.,

L.

Ļ

11

DATE 717 72

Calicular of Functional Rates of Storage -

Elevation	Ho on_	Coscribir_		Jorge -	 	<u>z</u> 2+ z	$\frac{1}{\Delta r} + \frac{2}{2}$
Soullway	1 A.)	Area, A	Qlafs)	Spilling	(cFs)	27 Z_ 12F3)	<u>47</u> <u>2</u>
7					1		
253,35	O	1280			0		
25435	/	1350	980	_13.15	63646	43156_	6.413
255.35	2	1435	2970	2708	131,067	129582	13255
25635	3	1510	5750	4180	202312	199437	205137
257.35	4	1570	9220	5720	276848	2.72238	281452
258.35	5	1645	13380	7328	354,675	34.7935	ې د د د د
259,35	6	1720	18080	9010	436.084	427040	4512
260.35	7	1780	23220	10750	520,784	509174	53239
2 61.35		1850	28.920	12575	608630	594170	423090
					·		
		·					
4+	= 15 mi	n <u>• 900</u>	sec			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		÷	·····	·····	
· - ·							

. رومیوردو دین و را و را رویومند بین به استها مدر و در و معاد در ا - --. - -_ _ __ ----------____ -----------... • • • • • •

------ستوريع بمتدعين المرزيعين المروم متعادات

CAMP ORESSER & MCKEE mental Engineers

Ť

.

-

•

•

. ľ

• •

.

r t

Ľ

E

CLIENT CLIENT CHARTER AND NO. 361-9-27 ROJECT CART - Success Economicate Checked 7/2/2/78 PROJECT ad Partino DETAIL A CHECKED BY ALLA

PAGE DATE ALS 17 COMPUTED LT 15-14

CALCULATION OF RESERVOIR OUTFLOUDS

Ma.	Obscored Infine	Avereace Inflow	<u>5</u> 474 - <u>2</u>	5 + Q Ar 2	Heid et Spilwig	Contileu 2:
いいいでのうみらたらいしていののちらいこ	000066666666666666666666666666666666666	0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	///,200 //5,356 /23,853 /26,540 /53,243 /13,529 /13,529 215,218 215,218 215,218 215,218 205,242 307,846 305,281 305,281 305,281 305,281 305,281 305,281 305,281 212,848 214,380 214,380	//1900 /24656 /59,908 /57,140 /13_13 203,179 229,506 253,150 253,150 253,150 253,150 253,150 253,868 201,334	1.75 1.91 2.22 2.23 2.33 2.34 2.34 2.44 2.44 2.53 2.53 2.53 2.53 2.53 2.53 2.53 2.53	2380





MY & ADRICH CLIENT AP OBSSER & MARKET NCEURY DAM PROJECT DATE CHECKED. DETAN CHECKED BY_ COMPUTED BY TALWATER ANALYSIS - 4'-0" CHANNEL LENGTH 0 ... UNDER BRIDGE 31'-PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOED = 8,100 cfs AREA BELOW ADGH = $G' \times 3I' = 186 \text{ ft.}^2$ AREA OF ARCH: (assume half ellipse) $A = 1/2 \pi (15.5) (5.83) = 142 \text{ ft.}^2$ 328ft² TOT 328ft2 TOTAL IF Q 13 TO PASS THRU BRIDGE OPEN'S ONLY, THEN VEL = 4,00 cfs/328 TL & 38 fps - Too. HIGH NOT POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE DEPTH OF TLOW . _WITHOUT_KNOWING_DOWNSTREAM_TOPOGRAPH APPENDIX D-18

ľ

K

Ľ

CLIENT HALEY & ALDRICH CAMP ORESSER & MOKEE JOB NO .. PROJECT NAT'L DAM SUSP DATE CHECKED. SUDPLEY DAM OETAIL CHECKED BY COMPLITED BY DAM FAILLRE ANALYSIS 1. STORAGE WITH W.S. AT TOP OF DAM (EL. 200.35) = 32 857 ac-A. 2. Qp = 8/27 Wb (2) 1/2 Yo Ye = 50 ft. L = Rt. Dann = 275' } L = Rt. Dann = 275' } L = 75' } Spillway = 300'Spillway = 300'Qp, = 5/27, × 390 × (32.2) (50) = 231, 532 500 220 55 3. Let Reach No. 1 be from Seary Dam to Muss. Tompital NS EL & Mass. Tumpike = 204 USGS (from next page of End Further analysis not repid since) severe damage & loss of line will result in reach No. 1 (see uses good)) Data not available for druitstram controls - - -- - ---..... -- . -- -- - Copy available to DTIC does not permit fully legible reproduction **~ .** . . . APPENDIX D-19

ľ

ŧ

ſ

JOB NO SEI-R JLIENT HALEY'S LITZCH RT. 90 - MASS. TURNPIKE OVER RESERVOR NO.3. 194.9--ROD SURFLES ... Cies ----B' STEEL 13.37 3 211 🛈 🖣 - 9.5 Ì 21825 136.6 10' _ TOTAL AREA OF OPEN'S $10' \times 32. + (63 \times 32 \times 1/2) \times 2 = 3.5 \times 22. \times 2 = 2182 5.7$ W.S. $\mathcal{Q} = \frac{197.0}{9} = \frac{1450}{1450} (1.7)^{1/2} + (9.6)^{1/2} (2.5)(2.5)(1450)(1.7)^{1/2} + (9.6)^{1/2} = 8.000 + 42.030 = 50,070 =$ W.S. E EL 198.5 : Weir Length = 1550' Q=(2.5)(1550)(3.2)²+(2.3)(2182)(2.3)¹= 22,180+45,390 = 67,570 570 575 W.S. EL. 200.0: Weir lengt = 1/20 W.5. EL. 205.0. Weir Length = 2650 Q= 125) (2650) (9.7) 3/2 + (9.6) (2182) (210×17) 1/2 = 220,150+57,72.0 = 257, 910_00 -: 2 Qp, = 232,000 cts_____ W.S. EL = (232,00-89,300) /(257,900-89,300) × 5.0 - 200.0 = 0.85 15 +200 = 204.2 _____ APPENDIX D-20

.

ľ

t I

Ľ

ĺ

APPENDIX E INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

.

Ι.

Circi.

.

_

•

Į

Ref form MARE	main marrine marrine mountur law mountur uni		Instrument Marker Marker Marker Image: Second Secon		Плиненски самит Паки самит П	LONGITUDE REPO	
u 02 juoduury DAN will be in 112% of 005.879 a monta Nuke monta Nuke will be in 112% of 005.879 a monta Nuke monta Nuke will be in 112% of 005.879 a monta Nuke monta Nuke will be in 112% of 0.055.70 a monta Nuke monta Nuke will be in 112% of 0.055.70 a monta Nuke monta Nuke will be in 112% of 0.055.70 a monta Nuke monta Nuke will be in 112% of 0.055.70 a monta Nuke monta Nuke monta Nuke	up 027 02 up 027 02 up 027 02 up 027 02 up 027 02 monuner numer or monuner up 021 02 up 021 02 up 021 02 monuner 100 0047 stel stel vol R up 021 02 up 021 02 monuner 100 0047 stel stel vol R up 021 02 up 021 02 monuner 100 0047 stel stel vol R up 021 02 up 021 02 monuner 100 0047 up 021 02 up 021 02 up 021 02 monuner 100 0047 up 021 02 up 022 00 up 021 02 monuner 100 0047 100 0046 up 021 02 up 022 00 monuner 100 0046 100 0046 up 021 02 up 022 00 monuner 100 0046 100 0046 up 020 0046 up 020 0046 monuner 100 0046 100 0046 up 020 0046 up 020 0046 monuner 100 0046 100 0046 up 020 0046 up 020 0046 monuner 100 0047 100 0046 up 040 0046 up 040 0046 monuner 100 0046 100 0046 up 040 0046 up 040 0046 monuner 100 0047 100 0046 up 040 0046 up 040 0046 monuner 100 0047 100 0047 up 040 0046 <th>up (p21) (02) up (02)</th> <th>up 021/02 puoleury Dax mater serveduent mount with automoter automoter mount automoter automoter automoter mount auto</th> <th>at p21/02 p000utry Tax p13124-p 055279 at p21/02 attraction attraction attraction attraction attraction<th>rs 027 027 027 027 027 000<th>(WEST) DAY</th></th></th>	up (p21) (02) up (02)	up 021/02 puoleury Dax mater serveduent mount with automoter automoter mount automoter automoter automoter mount auto	at p21/02 p000utry Tax p13124-p 055279 at p21/02 attraction attraction attraction attraction attraction <th>rs 027 027 027 027 027 000<th>(WEST) DAY</th></th>	rs 027 027 027 027 027 000 <th>(WEST) DAY</th>	(WEST) DAY	
FOULUNAMIE MAME EF MECHANIER FOULUNAMIE SUDBURY REERVOIR FOULUNAMIE SUDURISI FOULUNAMIE SUDURISION FOULUNAMIE SUDURISION FOULUNAMIE MANUERING FOULUNAMIE MANUERING FOULUNAMIE SUDON FOULUNAMIE SUDIN FOULUNAMIE <	multiple multiple multiple multiple 310BURY REEROIS multiple 310BURY REEROIS multiple 300BURY REEROIS multiple multiple multiple multiple <	Image: Stand	Image: Constraint Name Image: Constraint Name Image: Constraint Name SUDDUATY RESERVOIA Image: Constraint Name SUDUATY SUDUATY RESERVOIA Image: Constraint Name SUDUATY SUDUATY RESERVOIA Image: Constraint Name SUDUATY SUDUATY RESERVOIA Image: Constraint Name SUDUATY SUDUATY SUDUATY SUDUATY RESERVOIA	Image: Constraint of the second se	CORULAR MARE SOULAR MARE MARAERT OF MARE MARERT OF MARAERT OF	7124.6	
Mould have Mould have Mould have 0 31004 45.8 row BROK 30004 45.8 row RE. P.O.18 0 31004 0 0 0 0 0 31004 0 0 0 0 0 31004 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<	POULN MAIE JUDBURY RE SE PULI R 05 510NY 3R00K 300UNY RE SE PULI R 05 510NY 3R00K 001Km50K 05 510NY 3R00K 001Km50K 05 510NY 3R00K 001Km50K 05 510NY 3R00K 001Km50K 06 000Km1 001Km50K 07 000Km1 001Km50K 08 000Km1 001Km50K 09 000Km1 001Km50K 000Km1 000Km1 000Km1 000Km1 000Km1 000Km1 </td <td>POULAR MEE MARIE REVOLATION 1000 MT RELEVOLA 3000 MT RELEVOLA 1000 MT RELEVOLA 1000 MT RELEVOLA 1000 MT RELEVOLA</td> <td>POULAR MARE Avail de ancondent • •</td> <td>POLIA HALE JUDBURY RELAVISION 05 3100H7 RELAVISO 05 3100H7 31010 05 3100H7 31010 05 3100H7 31010 05 3100H7 31010 06 3100H7 31010 07 31010 31010 08 31010 31010 09 31010 31010 09 31010 31010 09 31010 31010 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0</td> <td>O O O SUDBURY REI 0 0 0 0 1000 Head 1000 Head 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 70 0</td> <td></td>	POULAR MEE MARIE REVOLATION 1000 MT RELEVOLA 3000 MT RELEVOLA 1000 MT RELEVOLA 1000 MT RELEVOLA 1000 MT RELEVOLA	POULAR MARE Avail de ancondent • •	POLIA HALE JUDBURY RELAVISION 05 3100H7 RELAVISO 05 3100H7 31010 05 3100H7 31010 05 3100H7 31010 05 3100H7 31010 06 3100H7 31010 07 31010 31010 08 31010 31010 09 31010 31010 09 31010 31010 09 31010 31010 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0 0 09 0	O O O SUDBURY REI 0 0 0 0 1000 Head 1000 Head 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 70 0		
BUTHADING SUDBURY RESERVOIR BUTHADING BUTHADING BOS STOWY BROCK DS STOW	Image: Story BROK SUDBURY RESERVOIR Image: Story BROK Story BROK	Image: set of the set of th	Image: stand of the stand o	Allongeneration Subgeneration Subgeneration Allongeneration Subgeneration Allongenerati	Image: Fight of the state of the s		
O O <tho< th=""> <tho< th=""> <tho< th=""></tho<></tho<></tho<>	O O O Holds meter on streka Grive Town victor O5 5 10 ov 15 rector 0 0 0 326 O5 5 10 ov 15 rector 0 0 0 326 O5 9 10 victor 0 0 330.20 2 22.00 0 victor Name Name Name Name Name Name Nam Name Nam Nam	O O	05 970/4 0 <td< td=""><td>O O O O 105 570H 50H 60H <t< td=""><td>O O O MAREET RAREET MAREET <t< td=""><td></td></t<></td></t<></td></td<>	O O O O 105 570H 50H 60H 60H <t< td=""><td>O O O MAREET RAREET MAREET <t< td=""><td></td></t<></td></t<>	O O O MAREET RAREET MAREET MAREET <t< td=""><td></td></t<>		
Must 0.5 Refit of the state Catholic Light Catholic Light<	Mixed Inter of stream Converting Converting Converting 05 310 NY BROOK 300 Trado Noucley 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Yre or ou Within 0 0 0 0 0 0 Yre or ou Within 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 Gleve 100 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Allow Refer on st recku Convertise Convertise<	Music metric on stream constrained constrained constrained constrained <thconstrained< th=""> <th constra<="" td=""><td>Mission Recess constraints Constraint Constraint</td><td>Макем ВКООК ВКООК ВСОИТНАОК ВСОИТНАОК ВСОИТНАОКОН 0</td><td>۲</td></th></thconstrained<>	<td>Mission Recess constraints Constraint Constraint</td> <td>Макем ВКООК ВКООК ВСОИТНАОК ВСОИТНАОК ВСОИТНАОКОН 0</td> <td>۲</td>	Mission Recess constraints Constraint	Макем ВКООК ВКООК ВСОИТНАОК ВСОИТНАОК ВСОИТНАОКОН 0	۲
05 \$10W BROCK 50U FIABCHOUCH 0	05 310MV BROK 30Urrenoruci 0 0.224 06 0 0 0 0 0 0 70 33020 22240 NED N N 70 33020 22240 22240 NED N N 70 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1540 1940 70 33020 22240 NED N N 1540 1940 70 33020 22240 22240 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1540 170 33020 23020 22240 140 N N 1540 170 100 100 100 100 100 1540 100 100 100 100 100 100 1540 100 10 114 101 100 100 1540 100 100 10 100 100 100 1540 100 10 10 100 100 100 1640 10 10 10 100 100 100	05 37 ONY BROOK 30 UTHBORUCH 0 0324 06 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 700 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	05 STONY BROOK SOUTHBORUGH 0 0.328 06 0 0 0 0 0.328 VK Grouw Warm Willing Willing <t< td=""><td>05 STONY BROX 901 912 05 STONY BROX 912 912 912 912 7 Re Num 0 913 0.44 70 813/12 612 112 <</td><td>05 STONY BROOK 30UTH90K0UGH 0 <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""> 0 <th0< th=""></th0<></th0<></th0<></td><td></td></t<>	05 STONY BROX 901 912 05 STONY BROX 912 912 912 912 7 Re Num 0 913 0.44 70 813/12 612 112 <	05 STONY BROOK 30UTH90K0UGH 0 <th0< th=""> <th0< th=""> 0 <th0< th=""></th0<></th0<></th0<>		
0 0 <td>0 0<td>Icher Icher Icher</td><td>0 0 0 131 044 FE <t< td=""><td>O O O N</td><td>0 0</td><td>0</td></t<></td></td>	0 0 <td>Icher Icher Icher</td> <td>0 0 0 131 044 FE <t< td=""><td>O O O N</td><td>0 0</td><td>0</td></t<></td>	Icher Icher	0 0 0 131 044 FE FE <t< td=""><td>O O O N</td><td>0 0</td><td>0</td></t<>	O O O N	0 0	0	
YFE 65 LAW VAREAR UNPOSES TANKER TANKER UNPOSES TAN	Yre of cual Yread	YFE OF DUM WEREIR NUMBERS HIGHY - MERDING MERDING A V YEE OF DUM YEE OF DUM N	YFE OF DUM WERTER NIMORES Higher Marketing MERTER NIMORES Higher Marketing NIMORES Higher NIMORE NIMORE </td <td>Yre for Lux Owner Frage Fundrosse Highly fundrose Highly fundrose Hi</td> <td>YFE OF CAM VEAR PURPOSES REMARKS HERVERT PURPOSES REMARKS HERVERT REMARKS S33 C 1 G K PG 1 8 9 0 5 6 3</td> <td></td>	Yre for Lux Owner Frage Fundrosse Highly fundrose Highly fundrose Hi	YFE OF CAM VEAR PURPOSES REMARKS HERVERT PURPOSES REMARKS HERVERT REMARKS S33 C 1 G K PG 1 8 9 0 5 6 3		
CTGRFG 1896 5 04 70 33020 22200 MED N N N CTGRFG 1896 5 04 0 N N N CTGRFG 1896 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ГІСКРЕС 1896 5 0 1996 1 0 33020 22200 №	Гіснес Івчь 5 <u>84</u> 70 33020 22260 №D ^N ^N ^N	Гібняб 1896 5 84 70 33020 22260 №0 N N N СТеняб 1896 5 84 70 33020 22260 №0 N N N 841400 841400 2000 C 300 C 300 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ГІСКРЕ 1896 5 84 70 33020 22260 ЧЕО N N N СТЕКРЕ 1896 5 84 70 33020 22260 ЧЕО N N N КЕМЛЕКТИКИ ПОСК СТЕКЛИСТИКИ ПОСК 2000 C 300 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	СТ G R PG 33 94 70 33 9 94 70 33 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	MPOUNDING CAPACITIES DIST OWN FED R PRV/FED SC3 A V (Xcreugy) (<u>Ncreugy)</u> (<u>Ncreugy)</u>	
 	 	BEMARKS BEMARKS B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	BEBARKS BEBARKS B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B <t< td=""><td>B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B <t< td=""><td>22260 NED N N N</td></t<></td></t<>	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B <t< td=""><td>22260 NED N N N</td></t<>	22260 NED N N N	
B B <td>BEMARKS BOWER BOWER BOWER BOWER COOD C BOO COM ME BOORER MSPECTION RE REGULATORY AGENCY MSPECTION BY NONE MSPECTION BY MSSPECTION BY DATE MSSPECTION BY DATE RMARKS</td> <td>Image: Set Link of the set</td> <td>A A A A A A A A A A A A A A SHLWAY Inscrimted and a mark and a</td> <td>B B B B B B B SHLWAY AXXIMUS AXXIMUS BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY AXXIMUS AXXIMUS BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY AXXIMUS ORCUME BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY AXXIMUS OF COME BOWER CARACITY SPLUAN DIST, COME BOWER CARACITY BOWER CARACITY 2000 C 300 23200 BOWER CARACITY OWNER BOWER BOWER CARACITY BOWER CARACITY B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B <td< td=""><td>B B B B B B B SHLWAY ARXANUAR ARXANUAR BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY SPLUAN DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY 2000 C 300 23200 B B DWNER REGULATORY AGENCY B C B B B B DOULITAN DIST, COMM REGULATORY AGENCY B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B</td><td></td></td<></td>	BEMARKS BOWER BOWER BOWER BOWER COOD C BOO COM ME BOORER MSPECTION RE REGULATORY AGENCY MSPECTION BY NONE MSPECTION BY MSSPECTION BY DATE MSSPECTION BY DATE RMARKS	Image: Set Link of the set	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A SHLWAY Inscrimted and a mark and a	B B B B B B B SHLWAY AXXIMUS AXXIMUS BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY AXXIMUS AXXIMUS BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY AXXIMUS ORCUME BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY AXXIMUS OF COME BOWER CARACITY SPLUAN DIST, COME BOWER CARACITY BOWER CARACITY 2000 C 300 23200 BOWER CARACITY OWNER BOWER BOWER CARACITY BOWER CARACITY B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B <td< td=""><td>B B B B B B B SHLWAY ARXANUAR ARXANUAR BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY SPLUAN DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY 2000 C 300 23200 B B DWNER REGULATORY AGENCY B C B B B B DOULITAN DIST, COMM REGULATORY AGENCY B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B</td><td></td></td<>	B B B B B B B SHLWAY ARXANUAR ARXANUAR BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY SHLWAY DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY SPLUAN DISTANDA DISTANDA BOWER CARACITY 2000 C 300 23200 B B DWNER REGULATORY AGENCY B C B B B B DOULITAN DIST, COMM REGULATORY AGENCY B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B		
B B <td>B B<td>B B<td>B B<td>B B<td>B B<td></td></td></td></td></td></td>	B B <td>B B<td>B B<td>B B<td>B B<td></td></td></td></td></td>	B B <td>B B<td>B B<td>B B<td></td></td></td></td>	B B <td>B B<td>B B<td></td></td></td>	B B <td>B B<td></td></td>	B B <td></td>		
B B <td></td> <td>B B<td> </td><td>B B</td><td> </td><td></td></td>		B B <td> </td> <td>B B</td> <td> </td> <td></td>	 	B B	 		
SPILIMAY ENGLY, TVM WIRTE DISCHANDER VOLVME FORECAPACITY 2000 C 300 23200 CCVM RELATED FRACE 0 CCVM 0 53200 CCVM BY 0 CCVM 0 53200 CCVM 0 512 COMM 0 CCVM 0 53200 CCVM 0 512 COMM 0 CCVM 0 512 COMM 0 C	SPILLMAY DOMER CAPACITY CSPLLMAY DISCHANDER VICUME 2000 C 300 23200 2000 C 300 23200 0 32200 23200 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SPILLMAY DOWER COLUME POWER CAPACITY 2000 C 300 23200 23200 2000 C 300 23200 300 0WNER 0WNER ENGINEERING BY 0 0 8 0 0 8 0 0 23200 0 3200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td>SPILLWAY DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER RECURRENING BY 2000 C 300 23200 23200 0 80 0 2000 C 300 23200 0 80 0 0 0 0 23200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <</td> <td>SPILLWAY ENGENT TYPE DISCHANGE OF CAME AND IN POWER CAPACITY 2000 C 300 23200 00000 0000 0000 0000 00</td> <td>SPILLWAY SPILLWAY ESTANDAR 2000 C 300 23200 CCVM 2000 C 300 23200 CCVM 843.0.1.ED FRAGES 0 23200 CCVM 0 23200 CCVM 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0</td> <td></td>	SPILLWAY DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER DOWER RECURRENING BY 2000 C 300 23200 23200 0 80 0 2000 C 300 23200 0 80 0 0 0 0 23200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 200 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <	SPILLWAY ENGENT TYPE DISCHANGE OF CAME AND IN POWER CAPACITY 2000 C 300 23200 00000 0000 0000 0000 00	SPILLWAY SPILLWAY ESTANDAR 2000 C 300 23200 CCVM 2000 C 300 23200 CCVM 843.0.1.ED FRAGES 0 23200 CCVM 0 23200 CCVM 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0000 0 0 0 0		
LENDM TYPE IT-1 MMD MMD 2000 C 300 23200 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LENDM TYPE IT-L MMD MMD 2000 С 300 23200 32200 	LENDIN LENDIN LENDIN LENDIN 2000 C 300 23200 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 23200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LENDIN I VER (FT.1) 2000 C 300 23200	LENDIN IVER IFT. LENDIN IVER IFT. COMPANY OF TROPOLITAN DIST.COMM ME ENGINEERING BY OWNER CONSTRUCTION OF TAN DIST.COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST.COMM ME MUSPECTION BY MO YA MO YA MO YA MO YA MO YA MO YA MO YA MO YA MU YA AGENCY OPERATURAN AGENCY OPERAT	LENDIA IVER (FL) 2000 C 300 23200 BENGINERING BY CONSTRUCTION NE DESIGN NE DESIG	PROFICE PROFICESED	
Image: Construction of the co	Image: Construction Image: Construction OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Constructing Image: Constructing	Image: Construction Image: Construction OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Constructing Image: Constructing	Image: Comparison of the construction of the cons	Image: Construction of the co	OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ENGINEERING BY ONNER OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM CONSTRUCTION 		
DWNER ENGMEERING BY CONSTRUCT 0POLITAN DIST, COMM ME TROPOLITAN DIST, COMM CONSTRUCT 	OWNER ENGMEERING BY CONSTRUCT Image: Communication Image: Communication Image: Communication Image: Communication Image: Communication Image	DWNER ENGMEERING BY CONSTRUCT 0POLITAN DIST, COMM ME TROPOLITAN DIST, COMM CONSTRUCT 	DWNER ENGMEERING BY CONSTRUCT OPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME TROPOLITAN DIST, COMM CONSTRUCTON 	DWNER ENGINEERING BY CONSTRUCT Image: Comparison of the stand of	DWNER ENGINEERING BY CONSTRUCT OPDLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM ME FROPOLITAN DIST, COMM 		
OPOLITAN DIST,COMM METROPOLITAN DIST,COMM 	OPOLITAW DIST,COMM METROPOLITAN DIST,COMM 	OPOLITAN DIST_COMM METROPOLITAN DIST_COMM 	OPOLITAN DIST_COMM METROPOLITAN DIST_COMM 	OPOLITAN DIST_COMM METROPOLITAN DIST_COMM 	OPOLITAN DIST_COMM METROPOLITAN DIST_COMM 		
Image: Sign for the sign of the sign	Image: Sign besign besign Image: Sign besign bestign bestign besign besign besign besign besign besign besign be	Image: Sign of the system o	Image: Sign back of the sign of the sin the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign	Image: Sign bare bare bare bare bare bare bare bare	Image: Sign of the state of	L. CORH	
DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY DESIGN NONE NONE Image: Construction NONE NONE Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Constredin Image: Construction Image: C	DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY DESIGN NONE NONE Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Construction Image: Construction back Image: Construction Image: Construc	DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>•</td>	DESIGN CONSTRUCTION REGULATORY AGENCY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•	
V + ALDHICH, INC. Netection BY MSPECTION B	V + ALDHICH, INC. REMARKS	UESIGN NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NO	U DESIGN MONE MONE MUSPECTION BY MUSPECTION BY	U LESIGN MONE MONE MUCHON BY MUCHON BY	UESIGN NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NO	ABER ATION	
MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION BY MSPECTION MSPE	MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY MSPECTION BY MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION DATE MSPECTION MSPECTION	(a) (b) (c) (c) MSPECTION BY MSPECTION DATE (c) (c) MSPECTION BY (c) (c) (c) MSP	Image: Construction and the section and the s	Image: State of the state o	Image: State of the state o		
W + ALDHICH, INC, BOJUN78 PUBLIC L REMARKS	W + ALDHICH, INC, BUBLIC L BOJUN78 PUBLIC L BEMARKS	WERECTION BY MOY MOY AND YA UNSTECTION BY MOY AND YA UNC. 30 JUM78 PUBLIC L	MISPECTION BY MISPECTION BY MO YA MU YA MU	MISPECTION BY MI	MISPECTION BY MI		
V + ALDHICH, INC. 30JUN78 PUBLIC LAM 92 	T + ALDHICH, INC. 30JUN78 PUBLIC LAM 92 REMARKS	Y + ALDHICH, INC. 30JUN76 PUBLIC LAM 92	A + ALDHICH, INC. BUUN76 PUBLIC LAH 92 REMARKS REMARKS	INC. JOJUN76 PUBLIC LAH 92 Image: State of the stat	A ALDRICH, INC. BEMARKS REMARKS		
BEMARKS	I REMARKS					PUBLIC LAM 92	
REMARKS	UENARKS						



FILMED

8-85

DTIC

化学学学生 化化学学 化化学学 化化学学学