



\$

2

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

¥.



REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ME 00347		
TITLE (and Sublille)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Noyes Brook Dam		INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION	N OF NON-FEDERAL	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		5. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AC	DDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRES	\$	12. REPORT DATE
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENG	GINEERS	Settember 1981
124 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA.	02254	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	INGLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR FUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebotreet Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED aniored in Block 20, 11 different is hspection Report, Nat the program is: Nati ate for date of repor	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about of DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about of SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds II necess DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED aniored in Block 20, 11 different & hspection Report, Nat the program is: Nati ate for date of repor	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Centimus on reverse ofde II necess DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Saint John River Basin Limestone Maine Noyes Brook	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED entered in Block 20, 11 dillerant is hspection Report, Nat the program is: Nati ate for date of repor	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR FUBLIC RELEASE: D DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Abotroct DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotroct Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side If messo DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Saint John River Basin Limestone Maine Noyes Brook ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If messo	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED entered in Block 20, 11 different in hspection Report, Nat the program is: Nati ate for date of repor	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection of t.
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: Di SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I In however, the official title of Non-Federal Dams; use cover da KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necession DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Saint John River Basin Limestone Maine Noyes Brook ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necession The earthfill embankment is 100 found in good condition. It in No urgent or emergency actions	ISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED entered in Block 20, 11 different is hspection Report, Nat the program is: Nati ate for date of repor every and identify by block number overy and identify by block number boot date of report overy and identify by block number boot date of report overy and identify by block number boot date of report boot date of report bo	ional Dam Inspection Program; onal Program for Inspection o t.

73 14/5 EDITION OF 1 NOV 85 IS OF

A. A.

#### REPRODUCED AT COVERNMENT EXPENSE REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

# **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



1

Í

ŧ

Ł

Ŧ

Ť

1

3

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: NEDED

SEP 23 1981

Honorable Joseph E. Brennan Governor of the State of Maine State Capitol Augusta, Maine 04330

Dear Governor Brennan:

Inclosed is a copy of the Noyes Brook Dam (ME-00347) Phase I Inspection Report, prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. I approve the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is vitally important.

Copies of this report have been forwarded to the Department of Agriculture and to the owner, Town of Limestone. Copies will be available to the public in thirty days.

I wish to thank you and the Department of Agriculture for your cooperation in in this program.

Sincerely

Incl As stated C. E. EDGAR, III Colonel, Corps of Engineers Division Engineer



NOYES BROOK DAM

ME 00347

÷

ł

I

E

## ST. JOHN RIVER BASIN LIMESTONE, MAINE

## PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

t

#### NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No.	:	ME 00347
Name of Dam	:	Noyes Brook Dam
Town	:	Limestone
County & State	:	Aroostook, Maine
Stream	:	Noyes Brook
Date of Inspection	:	November 8, 1979

#### BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Noyes Brook dam is a ten year old submerged sediment storage pool and flood water retarding structure designed by the USDA Soil Conservation Service. The earth fill embankment is 1000 feet long and 31 feet high. The downstream slope, the crest and the upstream slope above the pool are grass covered. A reinforced concrete drop inlet principal spillway leads to a 30 inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe conduit under the dam that ends in a reinforced concrete impact basin. A grass lined earth cut emergency spillway is provided 950 feet north of the left abutment. The pool is maintained behind the dam at a normal elevation of 594 NGVD (approximate lepth of 14 feet).

The embankment dam, principal spillway drop inlet, principal spillway impact basin and emergency spillway were found in good condition. In the embankment itself, there were no abnormal dips, sags or other evidence of distress. The reinforced concrete structures were sound with no evidence of deterioration. The grass cover on the embankment and emergency spillway was well developed. A point of seepage at the maximum section downstream toe was observed at 1.5 gal/sec. This seepage was free of suspended or transported solids.

Based on a maximum storage of 350 acre-feet and a height of 31 feet, Noyes Brook Dam falls within the small size classification. The dam's hazard classification has been established as high based on the potential for loss of more than a few lives in the event of a dam failure. The test flood used was the probable maximum flood. The test flood was estimated for the 2.85 square mile drainage area of rolling terrain using the "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharges in Phase I Safety Investigations", New England Division Corps of Engineers, March 1978. This yielded a peak inflow of 3900 cfs (1370 csm) and a peak routed outflow of 3470 cfs (about 11% reduction). The computed maximum reservoir level E1. 608.9 was below the embankment crest E1. 611.2 NGVD and no overtopping of the embankment would occur.

**P** - 1

No urgent or emergency actions are required for Noyes Brook Dam based on this inspection. Remedial measures include monitoring the seepage at the toe of the dam, monitoring the project during periods of intense rainfall, developing a downstream warning system and conducting bi-annual technical inspections. These measures should be initiated within two years.

ļ

E

ſ

es 0 .E. Giles, Jr., P.E. Project Manager Massachusetts PE No. 1643

This Phase I Inspection Report on Noyes Brook Dam (ME-00347) has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgement and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

august Batter

ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, NEMBER Geotechnical Engineering Branch Engineering Division

amey M. I. Rizian

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

JOSEPH W. FINEGAN JR, CHAIRMAN Water Control Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

Fuyin In B.

JOE B. FRYAR Chief, Engineering Division



## This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of Phase I investigation: however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservior was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

PREFACE

- i -

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Į,

ł

i

Section			Page
Letter of 3	[rans	mittal	
Brief Asse	ssmen	t	
Review Boa	rd Pa	ge	
Preface			i
Table of C	onten	its	ii-iv
Overview P	hoto		v
Location M	ap		vi
		REPORT	
1. PROJ	ECT ]	INFORMATION	
1.1	Gene	eral	1-1
	a.	Authority	1-1
	b.	Purpose of Inspection	1-1
	c.	Scope of Inspection Program	1-1
1.2	Des	cription of Project	1-2
	a.	Location	1-2
	b.	Description of Dam and Appurtenances	1-2
	c.	Size Classification	1-2
	d.	Hazard Classification	1-3
	e.	Ownership	1-3
	f.	Operator	1-3
	g٠	Purpose of Dam	1-3
	h.	Design and Construction History	1-3
	i	Normal Operational Procedure	1-3

- ii -

9.54

Sectio	on			Pa	ge
	1.3	Pert	inent Data	1-	3
2.	ENGI	NEERII	NG DATA		
	2.1	Desi	gn Data	2-	1
	2.2	Const	truction Data	2-	1
	2.3	Opera	ation Data	2-	1
	2.4	Eval	uation of Data	2-	1
3.	VISU	AL IN	SPECTION		
	3.1	Find	ings	3-	1
		a.	General	3-	1
		b.	Dam	3-	1
		c.	Appurtenant Structures	3-	1
		d.	Reservoir Area	3-	2
		e.	Downstream Channel	3-	2
	3.2	Eval	uation	3 <b>-</b>	2
4.	OPER	ATION	AL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES		
	4.1	0per	ational Procedures	4-	1
		a.	General	4-	1
		b.	Description of any Warning System in Effect	4-	1
	4.2	Main	tenance Procedures	4-	1
		a.	General	4-	1
		b.	Operating Facilities	4-	1
	4.3	Eval	uation	4-	1
5.	EVAL	UATIO	N OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES		
	5.1	Gene	ral	5-	1
	5.2	Desi	gn Data	5-	1

1

1

1

ما بناها ا سهد

- iii -

and the second sec

Section	<u>n</u>	Page
5	5.3 Experience Data	5 <b>-</b> 1
3	5.4 Test Flood Analysis	5-1
-	5.5 Dam Failure Analysis	5-2
6. H	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	6-1
ŧ	6.1 Visual Observation	6-1
	6.2 Design and Construction Data	6-1
(	6.3 Post-Construction Changes	6-1
(	6.4 Seismic Stability	6-1
7	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
	7.1 Dam Assessment	7-1
	a. Condition	7-1
	b. Adequacy of Information	7-1
	c. Urgency	7-1
	7.2 Recommendations	7-1
	7.3 Remedial Measures	7-1
	7.4 Alternatives	7-2
	APPENDIXES	
APPEND	IX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPEND	IX B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
APPEND	IX C - PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1
APPEND	IX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1
APPEND	IX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	E-1

- iv -

and the second

•

19.15

3

1



OVERVIEW PHOTO



1

i

;

and the second sec



ł

## NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NOYES BROOK DAM, LIMESTONE MAINE

#### SECTION I

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General

- <u>Authority</u> Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972 authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Chas. T. Main, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Maine. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Chas. T. Main, Inc. under a letter of November 6, 1979 from Max B. Scheider, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-80-C-0011 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.
- b. Purpose The purposes of the inspection program are:

(1) To perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.

(2) To encourage and prepare the states to initiate effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

c. <u>Scope of Inspection Program</u> - The scope of this Phase I inspection report includes:

(1) Gathering, reviewing and presenting all available data as can be obtained from the owners, previous owners, the state and other associated parties.

(2) A field inspection of the facility detailing the visual condition of the dam, embankments and appurtenant structures.

(3) Computations concerning the hydraulics and hydrology of the facility and its relationship to the calculated flood through the existing spillway.

(4) An assessment of the condition of the facility and corrective measures required.

It should be noted that this report does not pass judgment on the safety or stability of the dam other than on a visual basis. The inspection is to identify those features of the dam which need corrective action and/or further study.

## 1.2 Description of Project

- a. Location The Noyes Brook Dam is located on Noyes Brook, one half mile above its confluence with Limestone Stream and 1.5 miles northeast of the Town of Limestone, Aroostook County, Maine. The dam location is included on U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute series Quadrangle, Limestone, Maine with approximate coordinates N46°55'50", W67°50'50".
- b. <u>Description of Dam and Appurtenances</u> The project is a dual purpose recreation and floodwater retarding structure. It consists of three principal features: an earthfill dam, a principal spillway, and an emergency spillway. The dam is 1000 feet long, 31 feet high, and 14 feet wide at its crest. Material excavated from the emergency spillway channel was used for the fill in the dam. The fill materials are of glacial till origin with zoning limited to placing the more impervious material in the core and the more pervious material in the outside shells. The structure has a toe drain system with collector pipes and a central cutoff trench.

The principal spillway is a double 7'-6" wide ungated drop intake to a 30 inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe under the dam. The 30incL pipe is provided with anti-seep collars and discharges into a reinforced concrete impact basin (energy dissipator). The dam has a 15" drain that discharges into the 30" conduit. The emergency spillway is an excavated, grass lined, earth channel approximately 950 feet from the left abutment. It is 226.4 feet wide at crest elevation of 607 feet with 2 horizontal to 1 vertical side slopes. The discharge from the emergency spillway is directed away from the downstream channel into Limestone Stream to the east (see drawing 2 of 18, page B-3).

Plans, profiles, and sections of the dam and its appurtenent structures are included in Appendix B. Photographs are shown in Appendix C.

- c. <u>Size Classification</u> The maximum embankment height is 31 feet above the stream channel and the maximum storage is 350 acre feet at El. 611.2. This gives the dam a small size classification (less than 1000 ac.-ft and less than 40' high) according to the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.
- d. <u>Hazard Classification</u> This facility is classified as a high hazard potential dam based on the potential for loss of more than a few lives in the event of a dam failure in six occupied dwellings 1200 to 4200 feet downstream of the dam.
- e. <u>Ownership</u> The dam and associated works are owned by the Town of Limestone, Maine.
- f. <u>Operators</u> The project is designed for unsupervised operation. No manual operations are required to pass a flood flow. The project is operated and maintained by the Town of Limestone, Maine. The responsible person is Mr. Thomas Stevens, Town Manager, Limestone, Maine 04750, Telephone (207) 325-3131.
- g. <u>Purpose of Dam</u> ~ The project is a floodwater retarding structure of standard USDA SCS design. The reservoir drain intake sluice gate is currently closed and the reservoir maintained at El. 594 NGVD for fish and recreation purposes.
- h. <u>Design and Construction History</u> The project was designed by the USDA Soil Conservation Service and constructed by Hornbrook, Inc. in 1970.
- i. <u>Normal Operating Procedures</u> The reservoir is normally maintained at E1. 594. All flood flows are passed through the principal and emergency spillways which are designed for uncontrolled discharge. No other operating procedures are in evidence.
- 1.3 Pertinent Data

E.

- a. <u>Drainage Area</u> Noyes Brook Dam controls a drainage area of 2.85 square miles. The watershed is approximately 65 percent wooded and 35 percent agricultural. The slopes are gentle with one large swamp area upstream. The watershed ranges from Elev. 720 to Elev 580.
- b. Discharge at Damsite

(1) Outlet Works - A screw operated sluice gate and 15"Ø CMP provide the capability to drain the reservoir to El. 582.5 NGVD. This drain discharges into the base of the principle spillway riser.

- (2) Maximum known flood Unknown.
- (3) Principal spillway capacity at top of dam 155 cfs @ El. 611.2.

	(4) elev	Principal spillway capacity at eme ation - 143 cfs @ El. 607.	rgency spillway crest
	(5)	Gated spillway capacity at normal ;	pond elevation - N/A.
	(6) El.	Principal spillway capacity at tes 608.9.	t flood elevation - 148 cfs @
	(7) 608.	Emergency spillway capacity at tes 9.	t flood elev 3470 cfs @ El.
	(8)	Total project discharge at top of	dam - 13,748 cfs @ El. 611.2.
	(9) El.	Total project discharge at test fl 608.9.	ood elevation - 3,625 cfs @
2.	Elev	ations (feet above NGVD)	
	(1)	Streambed at toe of dam	580.0
	(2)	Bottom of cutoff	576.0
	(3)	Maximum tailwater	Not available
	(4)	Normal pool (Max. Depth = 14')	594.0
	(5)	Full flood control pool	607.0
	(6)	Spillway crest	
		(a) Principal	594.0
		(b) Emergency spillway crest	607.0
	(7) Desi	Design surcharge (Original gn)	unknown
	(8)	Top of dam	611.2
	(9)	Test flood surcharge	608.9
d.	Rese	rvoir (Length in feet)	
	(1)	Normal pool	1000
	(2)	Flood control pool	2800

1 - 4

ł

	(3)	Spillway crest pool	
		(a) Principal	1000
		(b) Emergency spillway crest pool	2800
	(4)	Top of dam	3400
	(5)	Test flood pool	3100
e.	Stor	age (acre-feet)	
	(1)	Normal pool	94
	(2)	Flood control pool	255
	(3)	Spillway crest pool	255
	(4)	Top of dam	350
	(5)	Test flood pool	265
f.	Rese	ervior Surface (acres)	
	(1)	Normal pool	4
	(2)	Flood-control pool	33
	(3)	Spillway crest	33
	(4)	Test flood pool	38
	(5)	Top of dam	45
g٠	Dam		
	(1)	Туре	Earthfill
	(2)	Length	1000 feet
	(3)	Height	31 feet
	(4)	Top Width	14 feet
	(5)	Side Slopes	Upstream 3 Hor. to 1 Vert. Downstream 2.5 Hor. to 1 Vert.
	(6)	Zoning	2 zones

1 - 5

- 100

	(7)	Impervious Core	Most impervious toward the core
	(8)	Cutoff	5' trench
	(9)	Grout curtain	None
	(10	Other	None
h.	Dive	rsion and Regulating Tunnel - None	
i.	<u>Spil</u>	lway (Principal)	
	(1)	Type - Reinforced concrete riser to	o 30" ø conduit
	(2)	Length of weir - 15'	
	(3)	Crest elevation - El. 594 NGVD	
	(4)	Gates - Ungated	
	(5)	U/S Channel - N/A	
	(6)	D/S Channel - Natural	
	(7)	General - Reinforced Concrete Impa	ct Basin at Outfall
	<u>Spil</u>	lway (Emergency)	
	(8)	Weir crest - El. 607 NGVD	
	(9)	Length of weir - 226.4'	
	(10)	U/S Channel - Grass lined earth cha	annel
	(11)	D/S Channel - Grass lined earth cha	annel
	(12)	General - 2 Hor. to 1 Vert. side si	lopes
j.	Regu	lating Outlets	
	(1)	Invert - El. 582.5 NGVD	
	(2)	Size - 15" ø CMP	
	(3)	Description - Sluice gate to drain	reservoir
	(4)	Control Mechanism - 15" ø Sluice ga	ate w/screw operator
	(5)	Other - None	

1 - 6

٠

.

#### SECTION 2

#### ENGINEERING DATA

## 2.1 Design

As built drawings of Noyes Brook Dam are on file at the GSA Federal Archives and Records Center, 380 Trapelo Road, Waltham, MA 02154 (617-223-2657). Design calculations and specifications were not available. The December 1964 Limestone Stream Watershed Work Plan indicates that:

". . .hydrology and hydraulics analyses followed procedures given in the National Engineering Handbook of the Soil Conservation Service, Section 4, Supplement A, Hydrology (NEH 4A) and Section 5, Hydraulics (NEH 5)."

and for civil works:

"All designs are in accord with the latest Soil Conservation Service design criteria as set forth in Engineering Memoranda SCS-27, 31, 4D and 42; Technical Release No. 10; Section 3.21, Hydrology, Supplement A of the National Engineering Handbook; U.S. Weather Bureau Technical Paper No. 40; and other sources of recognized engineering material."

### 2.2 Construction

The Noyes Dam and appurtenances were constructed in 1970 by Hornbrook, Inc. No construction records or photographs were available to the inspection team. A set of "as built" construction prints was reviewed. Those pertinent to this report are included in Appendix B.

2.3 Operation

No formal operational procedures were available for review. The principal and emergency spillways are uncontrolled structures requiring no manual operations.

## 2.4 Evaluation

- a. <u>Availability</u>: A set of project design (SCS) drawings and a set of typical Soil Conservation Service Construction Specifications for nearby Durepo Brook Dam were reviewed.
- b. <u>Adequacy</u>: The evaluation was based on visual inspection, past performance history and engineering judgment and experience.
- c. Validity: The limited data available restrict evaluation of the Noyes Brook Dam and appurtenances to the visual inspection and

engineering judgment. The field inspection indicated that the external features of Noyes Brook Dam substantially agree with those shown on the available plans.

-



1.70

#### SECTION 3

## VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings

- <u>General</u> The field inspection was conducted by L. Seward and J. Jonas of Chas. T. Main, Inc. on 8 November 1979 and J.E. Giles, Jr., August 12, 1981. On the date of inspection, the Noyes Brook Dam and appurtenances were in good condition. No urgent or emergency actions are required at this time.
- b. <u>Dam</u>

(1) Crest - The embankment crest was true to line with no abnormal dips, sags, cracks or other evidence of distress (Photos 2, 7 and 9). The as-built camber was observed and appears unchanged. At the left abutment, adjacent to Noyes Road, there is a low point which can be seen in the original design (see drawing number 2 of 18, page B-3; at station 13+00) and is apparent visually (Photos 7 & 8). It is understood from speaking with the local residents that during times of high water, there is a considerable flow (say 500-1000 cfs) that flows over Noyes Road at the left abutment. This flow runs down the road for a short distance and then turns back in towards the downstream channel. Wheel tracks were observed on the crest. The crest is grass covered with no pavement.

(2) Upstream slope - The upstream slope riprap appeared in good condition. The slope above the normal pool El. 594 has a well developed tight grass cover (Photo 1). There was no evidence of sloughing or erosion on the slope.

(3) Downstream slope - The downstream slope (Photo 7) has a well developed, tight grass cover. No significant gully action was observed on the slope. No slides or sags were observed.

(4) Downstream toe - The downstream toe is generally dry with no boils or seeps observed except at the toe drain (Photo 3). On the right side of the riprapped toe drain near sta. 25+00, a 1 1/2 gal/sec seep was issuing from the riprap. The flow carried no sediment or suspended fines (Photo 6).

(5) Underdrain system - Two 6-inch diameter toe drain collector pipes issue from the dam adjacent to the principal spillway outlet. These outlets both had minor clear flows.

(6) Instrumentation - No instrumentation was observed.

c. Appurtenant Structures

(1) Principal Spillway - The principal spillway intake (Photo 4) was observed from shore. The exposed concrete and trashrack steel appeared in good condition.

(2) Outlet works - The outlet impact basin (Photo 5) was found in good condition. All construction joints were tight. No spalling was observed. The reservoir drain inlet was submerged and could not be inspected. The outlet conduit could not be inspected. It was reported by the Project Operator (Limestone Town Manager) that the drain had not been recently operated.

(3) Emergency spillway - The emergency spillway was clear of debris and in good condition with a well developed grass cover.

- d. <u>Reservoir Area</u> No areas of potential or actual shoreline movement were observed.
- e. <u>Downstream Channel</u> The downstream channel (Photo 6) was clear with no evidence of erosion.
- 3.2 Evaluation In general, the dam and appurtenances are in good condition. The toe seepage at the time of the inspection was within acceptable limits. The slopes are stable and the crest is in good shape. The concrete structures are sound. The low point at the left abutment is at approximate Elev. 608 which is one foot above the emergency spillway crest. Any water which flows over at this low point will flow down the road for a short way and then back towards the downstream channel. Erosion of the downstream toe is not considered a problem in this area. No urgent or emergency repairs are required.

1

14

#### SECTION 4

#### OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

### 4.1 Operational Procedures

- a. <u>General</u>: The principal and emergency spillways are uncontrolled crest structures. No manual operations are required to insure safe passage of a flood flow. No recent operation of the reservoir drain is reported.
- b. <u>Description of Downstream Warning System</u>: No warning system or emergency evacuation plans are in effect for this project.

## 4.2 Maintenance Procedures

- a. <u>General</u>: The Town of Limestone has an operation and maintenance agreement with the Soil Conservation Service. Each dam is inspected at least once annually and after every major storm. An inspection report is prepared and any required maintenance is then performed by the town.
- b. <u>Operating Facilities</u>: There are no manual operating facilities at this structure except for the reservoir drain gate on the principal spillway riser. No regular maintenance procedures for the project operating facilities are specified. Repairs are made as required.

## 4.3 Evaluation

The operating and maintenance procedures are limited for this project. The owner should establish procedures to inspect the structures regularly, to monitor the seepage at the toe of the dam, to keep the embankment free of brush and trees, and to monitor the project during periods of intense rainfall. The owner should arrange to have a technical inspection made on a bi-annual basis and establish a warning system to follow in the event of emergency conditions.

4 - 1

#### SECTION 5

## EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC FEATURES

- 5.1 <u>General</u> The watershed is 2.85 square miles of undeveloped rolling terrain. The dam is located on the Noyes Brook, about 0.5 miles upstream from the confluence with Limestone Stream. The earth embankment develops sufficient storage to reduce the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) peak from 3900 cfs (1370 csm) to 3470 cfs (about 11% reduction).
- 5.2 Design Data - The dam was designed by the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. The top of the dam elevation varies according to the as-built drawing (page B-3) from 611.7 feet at center to 611.2 feet at both abutments. This 0.5 foot varience, is the allowance for natural settlement at the center of the dam. The maximum height of the dam is 31.2 feet (capacity 350 ac. ft.) and is classified as a small dam. The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser, a gated reservoir drain, a principal spillway conduit with anti-seep collars and an energy dissipating structure at the outlet with a rip-rapped channel. The dam is equipped with a remote emergency spillway located approximately 950 feet north of the left abutment. The plans show that the emergency spillway channel bottom width is 226.4 feet which has a crest elevation of 607.0 feet. The plans indicate a channel depth at the crest of 9-12 feet, with channel side slopes of 2:1. The emergency spillway discharges away from the downstream channel (Noyes Brook) directing the flow into Limestone Stream to the east. At the left abutment of the dam there is a low spot (Station 18+00) which was designed to allow for water to flow over during the Design High Water (Elev. 608.8). This flow will then be directed over Noyes Road and back into the downstream channel.
- 5.3 Experience Data There are no records of past floods or any overtopping of the dam.
- 5.4 Test Flood Analysis Based upon "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharge", dated March 1978, the watershed classification (rolling), and our hydraulic computations, the test flood for this high hazard, small size dam is estimated to be equivalent to the PMF of 3900 cfs (1370 csm). The flood routing starting elevation was selected to be the recreation pool elevation (594 ft), and the inflow hydrograph peak was reduced by the volume between emergency spillway crest and principal spillway intake elevations. For this particular portion of Maine, the PMF runoff is assumed to be 13". The routed test flood outflow was determined in accordance with Corps of Engineers "Guidance for Estimating Effect of Surcharge Storage on Maximum Probable Discharges", and the hydraulic characteristics of the reservoir. The emergency spillway discharge was computed as open channel flow. The routed test flood outflow was determined as 3470 cfs, and corresponding water surface E1. 608.9 ft. The top of the dam elevation is 611.2 ft and thus the dam

ζ.,

е: ж

would not be overtopped. The emergency spillway capacity is more than 100 percent of the test flood. As a check, a second test flood routing was performed assuming weir control in the emergency spillway and the dam was not overtopped under these conditions.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis - The volume in the reservoir corresponding to the water surface elevation 608.9 ft is 260 ac. - ft. which is considered at the time of dam failure. The impact of failure of the dam was assessed using the "Rule of Thumb Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs" prepared by the Corps of Engineers. The breach discharge was estimated with the maximum water surface elevation during the test flood. The breach width was selected to be 35 percent of the length of the dam at mid-height. The discharge through the emergency spillway was not considered in the downstream prefailure flow since it is directed away from Noyes Brook and into Limestone Stream. An estimated flow of 1000 cfs was assumed for the downstream prefailure flow due to the low point at the left abutment and the principal spillway discharge. The total peak discharge during breach was estimated to be 84,200 cfs.

The results show that prior to dam failure there will be no flooding of the two houses located at Reach 4 (1200 feet downstream), about eight feet above the channel bed. Further downstream at Reach 14 (4200 feet downstream) the prefailure flow will cause some minor flooding of two houses located very near the channel bed but no flooding in the remaining four houses located some six to eight feet above the channel bed. The prefailure flow is assumed to be 1000 cfs. This results in , water depth of approximately four feet in the downstream channel through Reach 14 (4200 feet from the dam). In the event of a dam failure, the initial wave was calculated to reach a depth of 16.7 feet at Reach 4 where two houses will be impacted by about nine feet of water and a depth of 10.9 feet at Reach 14 where the four previously unflooded houses will be impacted by about four to six feet of water. In view of these results it is concluded that more than a few lives could be lost in the event of dam failure. Thus this dam constitutes a high hazard potential.

## SECTION 6

## EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

## 6.1 Visual Observation

The visual inspection of November 8, 1979 revealed no dips, sags, depressions or other evidence of instability. Seepage of 1.5 gallons per second of clear water was observed at the toe of the downstream slope.

#### 6.2 Design and Construction Data

Design calculations and construction records were not available for review in preparing this report. The construction drawings for the dam were reviewed. A typical construction specification for Durepo Brook Dam was reviewed as it was reported to be similar to the Noyes Brook specification. The Noyes Brook and Durepo Dam designs and specifications are according to SCS standard practice for floodwater retarding structures.

## 6.3 Post Construction Changes

No evidence of modification to the dam since construction was observed.

## 6.4 Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and, in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines, does not warrant seismic analysis.

## SECTION 7

#### ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

## 7.1 Dam Assessment

- a. <u>Condition</u> The visual inspection indicates that Noyes Brook Dam is in good condition. The inspection revealed that there is a seepage of about 1.5 gallons per second at the downstream toe of the dam near Station 25+00.
- <u>Adequacy of Information</u> The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data but is based primarily on visual inspection, past
   performance history and sound engineering judgment.
- c. <u>Urgency</u> The recommendations and remedial measures presented below should be implemented by the owner within two years of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.
- 7.2 Recommendations None
- 7.3 Remedial Measures The owner should:
  - a. Monitor the seepage at the toe of the dam on at least a monthly basis. If any significant change in the flow volume or coloration is observed, engage a qualified registered professional engineer to determine its significance.
  - b. Implement a monthly visual inspection program of the dam and appurtenances. Observations should be recorded in a maintenance log.
  - c. Establish a system to monitor the project during periods of intense rainfall.
  - d. Develop a downstream warning plan in the event of an emergency at the dam.
  - e. Conduct bi-annual technical inspections of the project.
  - f. Establish regular maintenance procedures at the project and continue to keep the embankments free of brush and trees.
  - g. Remove the brush and trees from the downstream toe to a distance approximately 25' downstream.

- h. Obtain and maintain a set of as-built drawings and technical investigation reports.
- i. Insure the operability of the reservoir drain.
- 7.4 Alternatives

ł

There are no practical alternatives to the recommendations of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

4

APPENDIX A

FIELD INSPECTION CHECK LIST

• • •,

.

30

• ¢

11

[]

INSPECTION PARTY ORG	ANIZATI	on On			
PROJECT <u>Noves Brook Dam</u>		DATE	Nov.	8, 1979	
		TIME	12:00	Noon	
		WEATH	ER <u>Fair</u>	- 40 <sup>°</sup> F	
		U.S.	ELEV	U.S	DN.S.
PARTY:					
1. <u>Lewis B. Seward - Hydrologist</u>	_ 6				
2. Jan N. Jonas - Civil Engineer	7	<u> </u>			
3. Peerless J. Snow - Project Oper	atos.				
(Town Manager, Limes 4J.E. Giles, Jr Project Manager	<u> </u>			<u></u>	
5 August 12, 1981	10.				
PROJECT FEATURE		IN	SPECTED	BY REM	ARKS
PROJECT FEATURE All of the project features were	e inspe	IN cted by	SPECTED each of	BY REMI the party	ARKS
PROJECT FEATURE All of the project features were	e inspe	IN cted by	SPECTED each of	BY REMI the party	ARKS
PROJECT FEATURE All of the project features were members. 2	e inspe	IN cted by	SPECTED each of	BY REMI the party	ARKS
PROJECT FEATURE All of the project features were	e inspe	IN cted by	SPECTED each of	BY REMI	ARKS
PROJECT FEATURE All of the project features were	e inspe	IN cted by	SPECTED each of	BY REMI the party	ARKS
PROJECT FEATURE All of the project features were	e inspe	IN cted by	SPECTED each of	BY REMI the party	ARKS

ACT AN EL

38.2

4

2.

1.1

.

t

.

i

•

INSPECTION CH	IECKLIST		
PROJECT Noves Brook Dam	DATE Nov. 8, 1979		
PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam	NAME Lewis B. Seward		
DISCIPLINE Hydro	NAME Jan N. Jonas		
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS		
DAM EMBANKMENT			
Crest Elevation	611.2		
Current Pool Elevation	594.0		
Maximum Impoundment to Date	214 Ac./Ft.		
Surface Cracks	None Visible		
Pavement Condition	Riprap on u/s thick grass on d/s		
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None noticable		
Lateral Movement	None noticable		
Vertical Alignment No change noticed			
Horizontal Alignment No change noticed			
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Riprap at concrete struc.; undis Structures			
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None		
Trespassing on Slopes	Seeps at d/s rt. of outlet structure		
Vegetation on Slopes	Thick grass		
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	No sloughing noticed		
Rock Slope Protection - Ríprap Failures	Riprap in good condition		
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	No cracking noticed		
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	Concentrated outflow of about 15 gal./sec from riprap toe rt. side		
Piping or Boils	None		
Foundation Drainage Features	Outflow from both outlet structure		
Toe Drains	Drain openings		
Instrumentation System	None noticed		

A-3

INSPECTION CHECKLIST				
PROJECT Noves Brook Dam DATE Nov. 8, 1979				
PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam		NAME Lewis B. Seward		
DISCIPLINE Hydro		NAME Jan N. Jonas		
	AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS		
OUTI INTA	LET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND AKE STRUCTURE			
a.	Approach Channel	None		
	Slope Conditions			
	Bottom Conditions			
	Rock Slides or Falls			
	Log Boom			
	Debris Condition of Concrete Lining			
	Drains or Weep Holes			
Ъ	Intake Structure	Concrete overflow with gate value		
5.	Condition of Concrete	Good		
	Stop Logs and Slots	None		
		-		
		<u> </u>		
	INSPECTION CH	IECKLIST		
-----	---	-------------------------------------		
	PROJECT Noves Brook Dam	DATE Nov. 8, 1979		
	PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam	NAME Lewis B. Seward		
	DISCIPLINE Hydro	NAME Jan N. Jonas		
	AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS		
OUT	LET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER			
a.	Concrete and Structural			
l	General Condition	Very good		
	Condition of Joints	Tight		
	Spalling	None		
	Visible Reinforcing	None		
	Rusting or Staining of Concrete	None		
	Any Seepage or Efflorescene	None		
{	Joint Alignment	Good alignment		
	Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	Not applicable		
	Cracks	None		
	Rusting or Corrosion of Steel	None		
ь.	Mechanical and Electrical			
1	Air Vents	None noticed		
	Float Wells	Not applicable		
	Crane Hoist	Not applicable		
Į	Elevator	Not applicalbe		
{	Hydraulic System	Not applicable		
	Service Gates	Not applicable		
	Emergency Gates	Manually operated from top of struc		
	Lightning Protection System	None		
	Emergency Power System	None		
	Wiring and Lighting System in Gate Chamber	None		

PROJECT NOYES Brook Dam PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam		DATE	Nov. 8. 1979 Lewis B. Seward
DISCIPLINE Hydro		NAME	Jan N. Jonas
AREA EVALUATED		cc	ONDITIONS
TLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CON-			
neral Condition of Concrete	Good		
t or Staining on Concrete	None		
lling			
sion or Cavitation			
sking			
Inment of Monoliths			
nment of Joints			
		-	
	-6	·····	

### INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Noves Brook Dam

PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam

DISCIPLINE Hydro

DATE	Nov.	8.	1979	

NAME\_\_\_Lewis\_B. Seward\_\_\_

NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	
General Condition of Concrete	Very good
Rust or Staining	None
Spalling	None
Erosion or Cavitation	None
Visible Reinforcing	None
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None
Condition at Joints	Joints were tight
Drain Holes	Two circular openings at outlet, rip
Channel	raped bed for seeping water at toe.
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	Some small trees overhanging brook channel
Condition of Discharge Channel	Grassed banks with shrubs and small trees
	_

A-7

201

4 -4-2 -4 ~8-

· · ·	
PROJECT Noves Brook Dam	DATE Nov. 8, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam	NAME Lewis B. Seward
DISCIPLINE Hydro	NAME Jan N. Jonas
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	
a. Approach Channel	None
General Condition	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	
Trees Overhanging Channel	
Floor of Approach Channel	
b. Weir and Training Walls	
General Condition of Concrete	
Rust or Staining	
Spalling	
Any Visible Reinforcing	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	
Drain Holes	
c. Discharge Channel	Not applicable - fields and meadows
General Condition	
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	
Trees Overhanging Channel	
rioor of Channel	
Uther UDStructions	

Į

INSPECTION C	HECKLIST
PROJECT Noves Brook Dam	DATE Nov. 8, 1979
PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam	NAME Lewis B. Seward
DISCIPLINE Hydro	NAME Jan N. Jonas
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE	
-	
a. Super Structure	Not applicable
Bearings	
Anchor Bolts	
Bridge Seat	
Longitudinal Members	
Under Side of Deck	
Secondary Bracing	
Deck	
Drainage System	
Railings	
Paint	
b. Abutment & Piers	
General Condition of Concrete	
Alignment of Abutment	
Approach to Bridge	
Condition of Seat & Backwall	

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA

Note: 1. All design records are in storage at the:

National Archives and Records Service GSA Federal Archives and Records Center 380 Trapelo Road, Waltham, Massachusetts 617-223-2657

 No past inspection reports were available for review.

3. The following drawings are as built prints.



HEREINE CONTRACTOR STREET



.

COACHINERY EXERN 1 6 

•



**OVER-MEN** 

TT CAR

-1<sup>.1</sup>.1



. 7 %

1



ł

とうまた ていたいの

Star Barris •.\*\*

501 - P ¥ U.S. DEPART L GE 99 236 ş 11 1 ĝ ĝ 93 Ĵ 89 ğ đ П Market Market and another of a so-market and a so-to to and, and to to market Market and to some of provide Market and some of some of provide the survey should be Real of American All and American American and some other picture of ALC: No. \_ š 1 1014 ----Red . ..... • -; 2 17 (F 23 T 1 18-19-3 ŝ Ē ŝ ŝ3 Ţ 28 đ Ĩ 82 T ŝ ŝ <u>.</u> ŝ Construction of the second sec Manual and A contrast in the second s The set of ţ, THE REPORT OF STREET Service of the servic Note the transmit of a second fight of the 1 BLAC AND STATE AND A LONG A Date if the cost is the ..... Barrey and . ! 1.4.4. ; ÷ 1.1.1 . . . -5 2 . <u>:</u> \_: ---2 ----~ • 11 . 1 Ì 12  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ 11 ÷ 56 ž 1 ŝè A second ų A second se ÿ THE 1 CHARGE IS TALKED F BALLER Colomb designation of the second second Print in summer live and from it factors Stration for the second ş .... . ..... : • • • 24.44 ŝ ÷ ---11 · 11 · 4 THE PARTY 1.11 i. 0.1 2 ÷ 83 🛔 51 jî, ŝ, 83 32 5 ۲. ĝ Ē Î ș ş ŝ Ì Ĩ A second 1 ALL AND ALL AND A REAL all of the sold of the - interest 10111448 ADUNE V BALLY متعدية عمالته 1 5 2 ŝ - -- : ł ÷ j,

AX3 TNAMNR3VUU TA U3(AU00H44H



..

•

ł

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS

Ŀ





Photo 1 Upstream Slope From Right Abutment



Photo 2 Crest From Right Abutment



. .

Photo 3 Downstream Toe Seepage Area & Toe Drain Detail



Photo 4 Principal Spillway



Photo 5 Impact Basin & Underdrain Outfalls





7

. . .



Photo 7

Crest of Dam Toward left Abutment



## Photo 8

View of Noyes Road Looking Downstream at Left Abutment of Dam



Photo 9 Downstream Slope from Right Abutment





#### Photo 10

Emergency Spillway from left embankment. Noyes Brook Dam is beyond the red house.



an anna an a

#### Photo 11

Emergency Spillway viewed from left embankment looking downstream. DIX D

D-1

#### AULIC COMPUTATIONS

ESTIMATING EFFEC ON MAXIMUM INFLOW Qpı **Q**рз Q OUTFLOW T Determine P STEP 1: Curves. STEP 2: a. Determine ''Qp1''. b. Determine (STOR1) In c. Maximum I England e Qp2 = STEP 3: a. Determine "STOR 2" b. Average Determine Resulting

# "RULE OF THUMB" GUIDANCE FOR ESTIMATING DOWNSTREAM DAM FAILURE HYDROGRAPHS



- STEP 1: DETERMINE OR ESTIMATE RESERVOIR STORAGE (S) IN AC-FT AT TIME OF FAILURE.
- STEP 2: DETERMINE PEAK FAILURE OUTFLOW (Qp1).

 $Qp_1 = \frac{8}{27} W_b \sqrt{9} Y_0 \frac{3}{2}$ 

WD= BREACH WIDTH - SUGGEST VALUE NOT GREATER THAN 4J" OF DIM LENGTH ACROSS RIVER AT MID HEIGHT.

Y = TOTAL HEIGHT FROM RIVER BED TO POOL LEVEL AT FAILURE.

- **STEP 3:** USING USGS TOPO OR OTHER DATA, DEVELOP REPRESENTATIVE STAGE-DISCHARGE RATING FOR SELECTED DOWNSTREAM RIVER REACH.
- **STEP 4:** ESTIMATE REACH OUTFLOW  $(Q_{p2})$  USING FOLLOWING ITERATION.
  - A. APPLY  $Q_{p1}$  to stage rating, determine stage and accopmanying volume  $(v_1)$  in reach in AC-FT. (Note: if  $v_1$  exceeds 1/2 of s. select shorter reach.)
  - B. DETERMINE TRIAL Q.2.
    - $Qp_2(TR;AL) = Qp_1(1-\frac{v_1}{s})$
  - C. COMPUTE V2 USING Q02 (TPIAL).
  - D. AVERAGE V1 AND V2 AND COMPUTE Q02.

$$Qp_2 = Qp_1 (1 - \frac{v_{max}}{3})$$

STEP 5: FOR SUCCEEDING REACHES REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4.

APRIL 1978

D-3

## SURCHARGE STORAGE ROUTING SUPPLEMENT

- STEP 3: a. Determine Surcharge Height and ''STOR2'' To Pass ''Qp2''
  - b. Avg ''STOR1'' and ''STOR2'' and Compute ''Qp3''.
  - c. If Surcharge Height for Qp3 and 'STORAVG'' agree O.K. If Not:
- STEP 4: a. Determine Surcharge Height and ''STOR3'' To Pass ''Qp3''
  - b. Avg. "Old STOPAvg" and "STOR<sub>3</sub>" and Compute "Qp4"
  - c. Surcharge Height for Qp4 and ''New STOR Avg'' should Agree closely

2...

D-4





 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}$ 

ſ ) )

ŧ

.

į

	Client	CORPS	DE_	ENI	BINEEP	25		Job He. 131	45-072	sheet 2	H_23_	
<i>، ،</i>	Subject_	NOYE	s B	RAX	RELE	FRUDIR		By T.OT	-015	Date _2-	3-81	
· )		CAPAC	117		MRVE	•		Ckd		flev		
-		य ्रे विद्यु	597	487 77	$\overline{\nabla}$		- 512. Y		1			
	•	신 IN 4국 영립 - 17	Y 594. V	нат 72 Чат	<b>ا</b> یت ا	450-00 1011	6:3 <sub>,</sub>	39 987				
		20 99 20 99	ĒPĒ. Y	57 HAT		500 00 2010 550.00	- €14 7 - €14.	13 'HAT 89			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·
		FF 88 0010	526. V	34 467	• •	. XCI) 500-00 XCI)	۲ 615 ر	997 199 1997			····	
			537.	94 987 891	•	850 00 X117	615	24 48T				• •
		n In Tā āğ Krīn	538. V	987 29 <sup>3</sup> 467		700 00 X-I) 757 00	616.	34 ' 'HAT 74		 	, ,	
		75 00 31 1	598	84 997		ରଷ୍ଡ କୁର୍ବ ନ୍ରତ୍ମର ନ୍ରତ୍ମର	517 517	HAT :	•			 
• <b>•</b> •		20 99 12 37 99	199  -  -  -	36 487 85		250 00 250 00 2410	613 1	чн 49 'НАТ			<b>-</b>	
1		2610 20 80 1 80	606. ,	HAT 31 HAT		କନ୍ତି ହିନ୍ ଅନ୍ତି ବିନ	£18 ,	35 '48-	•			<b>-</b> .
• ·		95 39 -	- 100	<sup>-</sup> ⊥ -{-		950 00 X(I) 1900 00	519. - 	30 447 71			- · ·	
,		2011 198 88	9 601.	HAT 15					an a			
1		150 30	504	 4 <u>2</u> 4 <u>8</u> 7			•	•		·		
1		204 4 <u>3</u> 119	ett.	55 947 								
1 [		520 <sup>°</sup> 56 746 56	203 	-4 487 54								
7		300 00 I	-510. 21	 0: 44	- ·							
		777 gg	₹11 	25		D-7						~

. . 



Client	CORPS OF	ENGI	NEERS	Job No. 1345-072	sheet 4 of 23	
Subjec	NOYES R	ROOK	RESERVOIR	- NT. OTOVA	Bate 2-3-81	-
/	FMERGENCY	SPIL	WAY RATING	Ch£	. Rev	
			CURVE			
	EMERGE	NCY	SPILLWAY	RATING	CURVE	
	Ely CHA			•		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	£20.0002					- ELY. 611.
7	2				2	111111
	7 <u>7</u>	]1		· · · · · · ·		
			- ELV. 607.0			
				<u>3</u> ,1'		
	Q.4	ft	narli	<b>L</b> L	81, H.	
	¥	¥	2 26.4	· · ·	0.4 0 ×	
	8.4	ft	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	×		234.8 ft	· · · · · ·		
	¥		243.2	£4.	······	
) -	<i>Δ</i> . ΔΙ			°∙isa an		
)	Open Ch	anny)	Formula:	0 1.49.2 K	+ R <sup>2/3</sup> + 5	/z
	Open Ch m-203	anna)	Formula:	$     \theta = \frac{1.49 \times K}{100} $	+ R <sup>2/3</sup> + S	12
	Open Ch M=0.03 S= 0.01	(assu (assu )	Formula: med from	$     \theta = \frac{1.49 \times K}{4} $ the drawing	$f \star R^{2/3} \star s'$	
	Open Ch M=0.03 S=0.01 (Computat	(assu (assu (aven tions to.	Formula: med myco from s sed on open	$     \theta = \frac{1.49  d}{1.49  d}  K $ the drawing channel flow	$A \times R^{2/3} \neq S$ M as being	Iz Marc
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation	( assu ( assu ( aven rions to ve than	Formula: med mod from s sed on open the broad	De - 1.49 + H the drawing channel flow crested we	A × R <sup>2/3</sup> + S M as being ir opproach.	nare
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation FLOPE-AREA ME	( assu ( assu ( autro tions to. ve than	Formula: med med from s sed on open the broad	De - 1.49.2 K the drawing channel flan Crested we	$A \times R^{2/3} \neq S$ M as being ir approach.	nore)
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE-AREA ME NO OF CROSS-S	( assu ( assu ( aver tions to. ve than it too	Formula med sed on open the broad	De 1.49.0 K the drawing channel flan Crested we	$A \star R^{2/3} \star S$ M as being ir approach.	/2 /nore )
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE-AREA ME NO OF OROSS-S DLOPE = 01	CONNY CONSTON TONS TO. THOD EC. POIN	Formula med sed on open the broad	De 1.49.2 K the drawing channel flan Crested we N & ELEW	A * R <sup>2/3</sup> + S M as being ir approach. DISI	/nore /nore /Harge
-	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE-AREA ME NO OF OROSS-S OLOPE = 01 HO 1 VE 511.02	EC. POIN	Formula med sed on open the broad	He drawing channel flan crested we EBT	A × R <sup>2/3</sup> + S M as being ir opproach. DISI	/2 /more ) Charge
-	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE = 01 NO OF OROSS-S OLOPE = 01 M = 507 M = 507 M = 507	EC. POIN	Formula med sed on open the broad	He drawing channel flan crested we EDT EDE	A × R <sup>2/3</sup> + S M as being ir opproach. DISI a 11	/nore /more /Harge
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation BLOPE - AREA ME NO OF CROSS-S DLOPE = 01 M = 511.2 M = 511.2 M = 511.2 M = 511.2	EC. POIN	Formula med sed on open the broad		A × R <sup>-1</sup> 3 × S M as being ir opproach. DISC a 11 35	/2 ///////////////////////////////////
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE-AREA ME NO OF OROSS-S DLOPE = 01 M = 5112 M = 512 M	EC. POIN	Formula: med sed on open the broad		$A \times R^{2/3} \star S$ M as being in opproach. DISI $a113571$	/2 //2 ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C ////C /////C /////C /////C //////
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation BLOPE-AREA ME NO OF CROSS-S DLOPE = 01 M = 511 = 311 M =	EC. POIN	Formula: med sed on open the broad		$A \times R^{2/3} \star S$ M as being ir approach. DISI a 11 36 71 11	/2 //2 //// /// /// // // // // // // //
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation BLOPE-AREA ME NO OF OROSS-S SLOPE = 01 M = 511.2 M = 51.2 M = 51	EC. POIN	Formula: med sed on open the broad		$A \times R^{2/3} \times S$ M as being ir approach. DISI a 11 351 11 12	/2 //2 ///////////////////////////////
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE-AREA ME NO OF CROSS-S PLOPE = 01 M = 511 = 511 M = 51	EC. POIN	Formula: med sed on open the broad		$A \times R^{2/3} \times S$ M as being ir approach. DISI $a11350711112$	/2 ///////////////////////////////////
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE-AREA ME NO OF OROSS-S SLOPE = 01 M = 5112 M = 512 M	EC. POIN	Formula med sed on open the broad		$A \times R^{2/3} \times S$ M as being ir approach. DISC a 11 36 71 11 12	/2 ///// //// /// /// /// // // // // //
	Open Ch M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation Conservation PLOPE = 01 M = 0.03 PLOPE = 01 M = 0.03 M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation PLOPE = 01 M = 0.03 M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation PLOPE = 01 M = 0.03 M = 0.03 S = 0.01 (Computation PLOPE = 01 M = 0.03 M = 0.01 M = 0.03 M = 0.03	EC. POIN	Formula med sed on open the broad		$A \times R^{2/3} \times S$ M as being ir opproach. DISC a 11 350 71 11 12	/2 ///////////////////////////////////



EMERGENCY SPILLWAY RATING CURVE

D~10

11 187.82

3

Jat No. 1345-072 same 5 23 CORDS OF FNGINEERS -7-81 TOTIVA Subject NOYES DAM BROOK RATING TABLE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY ł PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY The formula used in these calcul stions is presented in the Burea 9 of reclamation's DESIGN OF SMALL DAMS (1977) Page 557.Figure 8-10 . H+ = E 2.5204≭(1+Ke//E^4 + 455 18≭n2≭L/D^(15/3) ]≭(Q/10)^2 ۰. Where. Ht = Head in feet ÷... . . ٦. Ke = Entrance loss coefficient 0 = Diameter of pipe in teet ~ = Mannings roughness coeffici تر جدی ا ent 10 = Lenght of culvert in feet = Design discharge rate in cf · · · Ξ  $\bigcirc$ ġ Ke = . . . . £ ... . . . . . . 0 2.5 (ft) = متواستنا وأساره . 01 = . . . . . . . . . . **.** .... . . . . . . . . . Ł Ξ 140 (ft) ENTRANCE ELV = 594 (++) 1 . . . OUTLET ELV = 581 (ft) DISCHARGE (cts) ELEVATION (ft) ----------149 141 142 143 . . 144 145 14E 147 ī48 143 150 151 152 153 154 155 D-11 , فستعدد -----÷., 3 

MAI

	client CORPS 0	NE ENEL	NEERS	into No. 134	-072 sheet 6 of 23
-	Subject MOYES	BROOK	RESERVOIK	By T. DTDY	-015 Data 2-3-81
-	FLOOD	BOUT 1	N6	Ckd	Rev

Drainage Anea = 285 sq. mi. For 19" numoff and for nulling terrain the PMF turnes filler Jeme = 2000 cfs/sq. mi. Them, RPMF = 2.85 × 2000 = 5700 (fs. The Depth - Area - Direction curves for this part of MAINE show a 13" MM MOFF. The revised peak discharge, PPMF = 5700 × 13 3900 cfs. The test flood is selected to be 3900 efs.

()

MAIN 100 No. 1345-077 Sheet 7 of 23 CORPS OF ENGINEERS Client Data 2-3-81 T. DTOVA RESERVOIR NINES BROOK Subject. Rev ROUTIN 6 FLOUD 1 ...... CALCULATIONS Ā - - --E T E P 1 ی .... \_\_\_\_\_ ESTIMATING Reduction of the QF1 due to \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ starting elevation at EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STORAGE Principal Spillway crest elev. IN MARIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES Molume at 594 (fr.) Volume1 = ExectELV1-mit/n/ Trese calculations are Volume1 = 41 137 (ac-ft) certorned according to the Cores of Engineers Elizelines \_Volume at 607 (ft.) Volume2 =Exe((ELV2-m)/n) Velume2 = 206.485 (ac-++) HARES BROCK DAM Diff. of Volumes. 0 A T A : ( Diff.Wolume = 165.347 (ac-st) ors (Ditt Volume) D= 1.08 (in.) DRAINAGE AREA. F= 2 35 (se mi.) REAK INFLOW, 0e1= 7300 (cfs) NEW QP1=QP1\*(1-0/R) NEW 001 = 3573 (cfs) PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY OPEST ELEW . ELV1= 594 (+t.) EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST ELEVI, FLU2= 607 (Att) 'ятер 2 Emergency Spillway Rating Curve is defined as . Surcharge Height, ビニュネルへも H = 3 \* QP1 ~ b H = 1 98 (++.) э = 99377588 765791 - = Surcharge Volume: The Caracity - Elw. curve 토님있루도님까요 + H 13 09+1760 33-Elv = m + n \* Log(Wolume) Molume = 264 193 (ac-ft) 사후 중국과 경과명 STORI =Volume - Volumel is≖ 3 259 D-13 STOP: = 57.708 (ac+f\* TOTEL EME FUNDER F≈ 13 - in. 970F1 = 337 (inc) ج الله، سبو م

	Clien CORPS OF ENGINEERS	Job No./345-072 Shoot_& of 23
	Subject NOYES BROOK REVERVOIT	By T. OTOVA Data 2-3-81
-	FLOOD ROUTING	GL4 Rev
	Sirresponding Bischarge, 24 -	
	<pre>% CP2 = 0P1*(1-STOR1/P)</pre>	NEW STO AME.= ( OLO STO AME 3  Torg 2 - 2  NEW STO AME.= .37 (in )
	2 T E F 3	: @p4 = @p1 # ( 1 - NEW ST0.AVE. /  @p4 = 3471 (cfg)
	Brancherse Height,	Surcharge Height
	프 국 # (@P2 ~ 번 프 프 1 34 《++))	Ча = в ж 0р4 ° р Ча = 1 ра (ft.)
	Svribarge Wolume,STOP2.	
	ELV = ELV2 + H ELV = 608.94 (ft.)	E2 = H4 +/H2 E2 = 608,34 (ft.)
	Molowe = 262.736 (ac-ft)	
	Cirt Volume = Volume − Volume2.	CHEKING:
	[1++ Molume = 56 25 (ac+ft) :- :TOR2 = .37 (in.)	jE3 + E2 = @ Ktt N
	CLE STOR AVE. = ( STOR1 + STOR2 )	RESULTS:
	CLE STOR AVE = .37 (in )	
	897 =001≭0 1 - OLD STOLAVE, 00 R	AVERAGED DIBCHARGE= 34/0 (CHS)
	0=7 = 3470 (d+d)	(++,)
		SUPCHARGE HEIGHT = 1.94 (ft.)
	P T E P 4 	CREST ELEM OF THE DAME Ede 511 2 (ft.)
	Surcharge Height	VOLUME AT DAM CREST ELEW:
	HT = = # QET ~ 5	NOLUME AT MAK, WATER SUPPACE ELS
	·····································	- 1. NW = 262.759 (ac+++)
	Dt++ Volume-STORE,	
	日1 キー43 キー42 日1 キービの2 34 くせんます。 - 11906 キービンド・ビゴナのシンド・ - 101908 キービビン 755 くらせードかい	
	D-14 17197 = Volume - Volume2 17197 = 36 169 (ac+++)	
	17177 = 77 1A	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

	RRAAF TAM	1	TAM: =015 pm 1-2-2.
	BRUK DIN		
F=161		<u>163</u>	LAR
	· .		• • • • •
			• • · · · · · · • • · · · · · · · · · ·
	<b>.</b> . <b></b> .	· · · · · · · · ·	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	<b>-</b> • .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	. •	•	. <b> </b>
			a a second a supervise second seco
	- ····	· • ·	•
		بديد ديده وريو . ايد	د. موجد میتومیسید درون ایسی محمدینی این اور مراجع در ا
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
en men			3 Ma
· .	a internet of the destination of the second s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	ار دارد از ایندی همی را می بیش از این . موجه معدنی از باره می <del>ند و این از این از</del> این . ا		and a second
TER ERO			From above equation
DAM FAIL	ICK RE ANALYSES		From above equation RP1 = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross section are simplified as triangular
These cal	CK RE ANALYSES  culations are p a to the RULE C	erformed of THUMS	From above equation Prom above equation Prom above equation Prom above equation (Cfs) The matural channel cross section The matural channel cross section cross sections
These cal sizedure tree of	CX RE ANALYSES culations are p to the RULE C es of the Engineers	erformed OF THUMS	From above equation Prom above equation Prove a 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross section ns are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as
These cal scordine tors of	Culations are F a to the RULE ( Engineers 	erformed OF THLMS	From above equation Prom above equation Prove a 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 h = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1
These cal stordure tores of Constores	Culations are F a to the RULE U es of the Engineers St discharge: 17 : Wb # gr0.5	erformed OF THUMS	From above equation Pri = 33197 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 as(a)^2/3 / S^ 5 ]^3/8 = (1)
These cal scording tricedure tricedure tricedure tricedure	Culations are p to the RULE ( a to the RULE ( a sof the Engineers discharge: t & Wb # gr0.5	* Yo-3/2	From above equation Pri = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = E 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 5 os(a)^2/3 / S^.5 ]^3/8 = (1)
These cal stored re stored re transfor transfor transfor dhere Yo is the trom rive	culations are F a to the RULE ( es of the Engineers discharge: 17 : Wb : gr0.5 e beight of the er bed to the m	<pre>performed DF THUME * YoA3/2 breach ( ax. pool</pre>	From above equation Pri = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections ross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 os(a)^2/3 / 3^.5 ]^3/8(1) Where S = Discharge (cfs) a = Side slope angle (geg) S = Channel slope
These cal SAM FAIL These cal stronding tres of These of These of these No is the treel Who is To am. cr	culations are p a to the RULE ( a to the RULE ( a sof the Engineers discharge: If a Wb & gr0.5 e height of the er bed to the m of the leagth I = 35 % 40	* YoA3/2 breach ( ax. Pool of the d	From above equation RPI = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = E 1.063 * n * Tan(a) * 0 cs(a)^2/3 / S^ 5 ]^3/8 (1 where 3 = Discharge (cfs) a = Side slope angle (deg) S = Channel slope The cross section Area
These cal These cal strondure total of These cal strondure total of These cal strondure total of total of	Culations are p a to the RULE C as of the Engineers discharge: If # Wb # gr0.5 e height of the er bed to the m of the leagth acceleration o 1 2 ft/sechi	<pre>* YoA3/2 breach ( ax. Pool of the d f the ara</pre>	From above equation Pri = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 2 os(a)^2/3 / 3^.5 ]^3/8(1 where i = Discharge (cfs) a = Side slope angle (deg) S = Channel slope The cross section Area A = h^2 / Tan(a)(II)
These cal These cal stronding trop ones trop ones trom num teset We is the trom cr t is the time call t = 13	Culations are p a to the RULE ( as of the Engineers discharge: If # Wb # gr0.5 e height of the er bed to the m of the leagth acceleration o I 2 ft/sect2 A (ft)	* Yor3/2 breach ( ax. Pool of the d	From above equation Pri = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections the stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = E 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 = 2 os(a)^2/3 / 3^.5 ]^3/8(1) where 3 = Discharge (cfs) a = Side slope angle (deg) 5 = Channel slope The cross section Area A = h^2 / Tan(a)(11) The Volume of the Reservair V = 152 759 (ac-ft)
These cal SPM FAIL These cal stording treadure trea	Culations are F a to the RULE U es of the Engineers discharge: 1 Wb	* Yon3/2 breach ( ax. pool of the d	From above equation Pri = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplified as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as; h = [ 1.068 ± n ± Tan(a) ± 0 = 0 os(a)^2/3 / 3^.5 ]^3/8 = 0 (i where: J = Discharge (cfs) a = Side slope angle (deg) S = Channel slope The cross section Area: A = h^2 / Tan(a) = 0(11) The Volume of the Reservoir V = 252 759 (ac-ft) Prime 11445752 04 (cub-ft)
These cal PAM FAIL These cal strendure tres of These cal strendure tres of These cal tres of tres of	CULATIONS ARE F RE ANALYSES is to the RULE C a to the RULE C a discharge: If a Wb & gr0.5 e height of the er bed to the m of the leasth acceleration o 1 2 ft/secol	* Yor3/2 breach ( ax. Pool of the d f the ara	From above equation Pri = 33137 (cfs) The natural channel cross sections are simplyfied as triangular cross sections The stage-discharge relationship becomes as h = [ 1.068 * n * Tan(a) * 0 as(a)^2/3 / 3^.5 ]^3/8 (1 where i = Discharge (cfs) a = Side slope angle (deg) S = Channel slope The cross section Area A = h^2 / Tan(a) (11) The Volume of the Reservoir V = 252 759 (ac-ft) i = 11445782 04 (cub-ft)

NOTES BROOK UAM	by 7.070VH Bate 2-3-81
FAILURE ANALISES	Ct.d Rev
-	🕽 – Opl = Qpl + 0 1 – 01 – 0
	( 0e2 = 39794 (cfs)
	From Formula (1).
	0=Qe2+Q+
	$7 = 40794 \ (cfs)$
	h = 13 (41)
	From Formula (II)
F E A C H ( 5 > CALCULATIONS	A = 3910 (++)
	Pesidual Area,
Test flood discharge	A2 = A - A1
9• <del>-</del> 1000 (cfs)	92 = 3668 (+t)
a = 5 (dea) 3 = 0125 4 = 07	V2 = A2 * L
1 = 300 ((())	V2 = 1100404 (cub-ft)
From Formula (I),	Vave = ( V1 + V2 ) / 2
Prefailure height,	Vave = 1149955 (cub-++)
約1 年 4 6 《平t》	$\frac{1}{2} = 2 = 1 + (1 - 1)$
From Formula (II) ,	$a_{1}a_{2}a_{1}a_{2}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}a_{4}a_{5}a_{4}a_{5}a_{4}a_{5}a_{4}a_{5}a_{4}a_{5}a_{5}a_{5}a_{5}a_{5}a_{5}a_{5}a_{5$
91 = 242 (sq.ft.)	
	From Formula (I),
0 = Qel + Qt	0 = 0p2 + 0t
From Formula (I), Total Height, h = 19.2 (ft)	h2 = 18.5 (ft)
From Formula (II), Total Area, A = 4240 (sq+ft)	RESULTS
Peridual Area, A2 = A - A1	(1.) Prefailure Height = 4 (+t)
H2 = 3998 (39-+1)	2 > Postfailure Height = Cft>
Pesicual Volume,	7 - Regard Districted a - Ta
91 = L # R2 D-2	0, ferse 10, ferse

.

C	- NOYES BRMK DAM	TOTOVA	2-3-87	
200	FAILIRE ANALYSES			
		<b>668</b>	#87	
		0p2 = 0p1 * (	1 = V1 > 0.	
		0≈2 =` 36318 (cfs)		
-		From Formula	From Formula (I), 0=Qp2+0t	
		0=Q⊳2+0t		
•		Q = 37318 (c	÷3)	
		5 = 17.0+19		
		From Formula	6 <b>11</b> 34	
	Tast iland disebase	A = 3477 (++	. <mark>8 = 3477 (++)</mark>	
	let sibud discharse St = 1000 fofs)	: Residual Area	: Residual(Area)	
	a = 5 (gea)) S = 24147	92 = A - A1		
	300 (++)	'A2 = 3 <u>2</u> 47 (+	<b>†</b> )	
	<u>.</u>	92 = A1 * L		
	From Formula (I),	92 = 974189 (	(cub++t)	
	Prefailure height,			
	h1 = 4 + (++)	Vava = ( V1 +	V2 ) / 2	
	From Formula (II) ,	Nava = 101219	99 (cub-++)	
	A1 = 230 (sa.ft)	Qe2 = Qe1 * (	1 - Vave / V	
	0 = 0=1 + 0t	0P2 = 36451 (	082 = 36451 (cfg)	
	From Formula (I). Total Hajah:	From Formula (	15.	
	h = 18 (ft)	0 = Qp2 + Qt		
	From Formula (II), Total Area, A = 373° (carit)	h2 = 17.4 (+1	h2 = 17.4 (++)	
	Pesidual Area, A2 = $A - A1$ A2 = 3500 (setfr)	PESULTS		
	Pesidual Volume.	1.) Pretailure ((f))	Height = 1	
	91 = L ¥ 62	2.) Posttailur (++)	e Height = 🔅	
	91 = 1050210 (cup+tt)	D-21 (T.) Breach Dis	charae = 380	
•	· · ·	-y_u Portan 1 - 4 0 Reach Lena	ith = 300	

!



Sheet 16 et 23 1 2-3-81 lev.\_ Ch4 Qe2 = Qe1 \* < 1 - Q1 / **U**5 0p2 = -33114 (cfs) From Formula (I), 0=0p2+0t i) = 34114 (cfs) h = 15 (ft) <sup>9</sup> From Formula (II), F E A C H ( 7 ) CALCULATIONS A = 2487 (ft) Pesidual Area, Test (lood discharge) 82 = 8 - 'A1 1000 (c+s) ∰+ = 3.77 (dea.) R2 = 3240 (ft) = = 0143 -= 07 12 = A2 \* L 200 (ft) = 972007 (cub-it) :W2 ≠ ( |From Formula (I)/ (V1 + V2) / 2 Vave = Frefailure height, -1009931 (cub-++) Vava =  $b_1 = -4 (4t)$ 0p2 = Qp1 \* ( 1 - Vave / V > From Formula (II) . 33234 (cfs) 91 = 247 (eq.(t))0e2 ≠ From Formula (I), 0 = 0 = 1 + 0 +Q = Qp2 + QtFrom Formula (I). Total Height, h = 15.6 (ft) h2 = 15.1 (+t) From Formula (II), Total Area, A = -3739 (sq-ft)RESULTS -Residual Area, A2 = A - A11.) Prefailure Height = 4 (++) 3492 (sa-ft) A2 = 2.) Postfailure Heisht (ft) 15.1= Feridual Volume: 3.) Breach Discharge = 33234 (cis) 1. = L X A2 10-2 01 = 1047855 (cub+t\*) **`** Reach Length = 300 (ft)
sheet 17 of 23 Date 2-3-81 Rey. Chd 0e2 = 0e1 \* ( 1 - 91 / 3)  $Q_{P2} = -39214$  (cfg) From Formula (I)) Q=Q₽2+Qt Q = 31214 (c+s) h = 13(+1)From Formula (II) PEPCH(8) CALCULATIONS A = 3480 (++) Pesidual Area/ Test flood discharge: Q÷ \_ **=** (000 (cts) A2 = A - A1 Ш Ш 3 (deg ) Ξ 92 = 3217 (ft) 914 = 97 Ξ 17 390 (ft) -W2 = A2 \* L V2 = 965155 (dub−+t) From Formula (I),  $V_{avg} = (V_1 + V_2) > 2$ Prefailure height, - 1002599 (cub-ft) Vawa = h1 = 3.7 (ft) From Formula (II) > Re2 = Re1 ★ ( 1 - Vave / V ) A1 = 263 (sq.ft.)Qp2 = 30323 (cfs) 0 = 0e1 + 0tFrom Formula (I). From Formula (I), 0 = 0e2 + 0tTotal Height, h = 13.9 (ft) h2 = 13.5 (ft) From Formula (11), Total Area, A = \_3730 (sa-ft) PESULTS Pezidual Area, A2 = A - A1 A2 = 3466 (sa-ft) 3 7 1 ) Pretailure Heistr = (+t) 17 5 2 ) Postfailure Height # Regidual Volume: Č4+5 リ1 = 1 本 62 7.) Breach Discharge = 30313 -(cfs) V1 = -1040043 (cub-+t) P-23 . L. 300 0--1 Reach Length # ? A CARTAN 3

11

÷ 🐑

🔊 ( N.

ł

į

Best	Job No	Sheet_18 et_23
abject		Date 2-3-81
	Ck4	Rev
	Qe2 = Ge1 :	r 8 <u>1 − 81</u> 2 9
·· ·· · · ·	9e2 = 2776	55 (c.g)
	From Formul	1a (13)
	0=0e2+0*	
	- 0 ≈ 28765 -	(C+3)
	► = 13 (†	• N
R E A C H ( 9 ) CALCULATIONS	From Formul	le /II /
	A ≈ 3265 -	ί <b>∔ +</b> β
Test flood discharge	Pesidual A	ræa.
©† = 1000 (⊂+s)	62 = 6 - A:	1
a = 3.2 (des)) 9 = 9135	<b>9</b> 2 = 3002	€ <b>+ †</b> 10
n =		
	사건 두 연근 후 1	-
From Formula (I),	<u> </u>   2 = 90030   -	85 (zub-tt)
Prefailure heisht,	Vave = 2 W;	1 + 92 > 2 2
h1 = 3.8 (ft)	Vaug = 933	3207 (cub-++)
From Formula (II) /		
A1 = 262 (ca +t )	0e1 = 0e1 /	¥ ( 1 − Vave /
	002 = 1785	51 (dfe)
0 = 0e1 + 0t	From Formul	13 (K.I.).
From Formula (I). Total Hayabt.	Q = Qp2 + (	Ē <b>t</b>
h = 13.9 (+t)	h2 = 13.5	( <b>+†</b> )
From Formula (II). Tatal Area		
•⊍tar nrea) A = 3431 (sa−+t)	REBULTS	
Residual Area,		
42 = 7218 (sa-ft)	1 0 Pre+al) 14+4	lare Helen. =
Residual Volume	2 ) Postial	ilure welevr a
91 = L * A2		
V1 = 965529 (cab-ft)	-∃ ) Breach 1011£3)	Uischerte = 1
D-:	24 . 1 · Feach 1	Length = 700

**'**ø

ŝ

÷

**.** .

MAIN

•

Clie	nt			Sheet 19 of 23_
Subj	jet			<u></u>
~ _	•	· · · · · ·	Ct.e	Rev
			0e2 = 0e1 ≭	6 1 - W1 2 WV
		· • · · · · · · ·	Qe2 = 25399	5 (c+s)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		From Formul	s (I)/
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0≈0 <b>⊳2+0</b> t	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	/	0 = 26395	(cfs)
•		and the second sec		
ţ		÷	h = 13 (ft)	)
		· ••• •• •• •• •	From Formula	a (II).
	C 2 P C P C IO ) CHECCER	I IUNS	9 = 3421 <-	ft)
			Residual Are	Fa.
	Test flood discharse: Dt = 1000 (cfs)		A2 = A - A1	
	s ≈ 3,22 (dee,)		A2 = 3127 ·	(ft)
	L = 300 (ft)		V2 = 82 * L	
			V2 = 938268	3 (cub-+t)
	From Formula (I),		Vava = C V1	+ 92 5 2 2
	Prefailure height,		Vava = 9776	TR (cohest)
	351 <b>≈ 4</b> (+t)			
	From Formula (II) /		Qp2 = 0p1 *	( 1 - Wawa / W )
	91 = 293 (sq.ft.)		Qp2 = 25481	L (cfs)
	Q = QP1 + Q†		From Formula	a (I))
	From Formula (1). Total Heisht		$\int \mathcal{O} = Q_{\mathbf{P}2} + Q_{1}$	r •
	h = 14.3 (ft)		h2 = 13.8	(tt)
	From Formula (11),		PERHITE :	•
	(9731 Hres) A = 3657 (sa-ft)		<ul> <li>It is an interface for the set of the set</li></ul>	
	Residual Areas		n de la Propio de Carton	
	82 = 8 - 81 82 = 3363 (sa-ft)			478 Heldur = 4 (*
			2.7 MOSTHAL) (ft)	lure Helaht = 13.
	Residual Volume	•	3.) Breach D	Discharge = 25481
	M1 = L * 82	<i>e</i>	r (cts) A	
	V1 = 1009075 (cub-fr)	D-25	(14 ) Reach Le	ensth = 300 (ft)
	· · ··································	ang	3-01	~ '
		in a start from	ς	174 
			3	

۰.

C	ent	Job Ho	Sheet 20 ef 23
Sel	bject	Þ	Date <u>2-3-81</u>
_		Ct.4	Rev
		0e2 = 0e1 :	( <u>1 - 11 × 10)</u>
		Qp2 = 23262	· CtEX
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	From Formula	$0, \mathbf{I}[0]_{\mathcal{B}}$
	T T	Q=Qp2+Qt	
		_ 0 = 24262 (	cts)
		h = 12 (++)	
	P E A C H ( 11 ) CALCULATIONS	From Formula	- KIID)
		A = 3403 (+	t)
	Test thood discharge.	Residual Are	3
	31 = 1000 (cts)	A2 = A - A1	
	a = 2,55 (dea.)	A2 = 3092 /	4 <b>+</b> )
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
	L = 300 (t)	V2 = A2 * L	
		V2 = 927781	(cub-ft)
	From Formula (I).	$U_{\Delta \cup \Delta} = -\zeta_{-} \cup 1$	+ U7 Y 2 7
	Frefailure height,	Uaua - 9574	77 (minute)
	51 = 3.7 (ft)		
	From Formula (II) /	0e2 = 0e1 *	( 1 - Vave / V )
	A1 = 311 (za ft.)	Qe2 = 23339	(cfg) ·
	0 = Qpi + Qt	From Formula	VID.
	From Formula (I).	0 = 0=2 + 0+	
	h = 12.7 (+t)	h2 = 12.3 (	ŧτ»
	From Formula (II),		۰ ۱۰۰ ۱۰۰
	retal mrea. A = 3634 (section	RESULTS	
	Pesidual Area/		
	H2 = 9 - 61 92 = 3323 (sa+ft)	-j1) Pretailu - (ft)	re Height = 3.7
		) 2 ) Postfail	ure Height = 12 3
	Residual Volume,	\$ C <b>\$ * \$</b>	• •
	11 = L # 82	7.) Breach D	ischar⊖e = 23339
	11 = 997083 (cub-+t)	ong naturation oli∎	

.

1

•



Sheet 21 of 23 Client Data 2-3-81 Bv • Ché Rev. 9e2 = 0e1 \* K 1 - M1 QF2 = 21403 (cfs) From Formula (I), 0=0p2+0+ -1 22403 (cfs) G = some on A 5 = 11 (++) From Formula (II). P E A C H ( 12 ) CALCULATIONS 3272 / ft> A = Regidual Break Test \*lood discharte St = 1000 (cfs) A2 = A -1A1 2.35 (dee ) н, і. = 92 = 2954 (f+) 31 07 Ξ .-= 300 01113 Ξ V2 = A2 # E V2 = 836399 (dub-+t) ( From Formula (I), . Vave = ( 01 + 02 ) / 2 Pretailure height, - 917878 (cub-ft) Vave = h1 = 3.6 (ft) From Formula (II) > 0e2 = 0e1 # ( 1 - Vava / V ) 91 = 317 (galft.) 9e2 = 21467 (d+g) 0 = 0e1 + 0t From Formula (I), From Formula (I)) 0 = 0e2 + 0t Total Heisht. 5 = 11.9 (tt) h2 = -11.6 (+t)From Formula (II)/ Total Areas RESULTS A = 3482 (ga-+t) Residual Area: A2 = A - A1 A2 = -3164 + sameth1 ) (++) ) Prefailure Height = 3.6 2 / Postfailure Height = 11 5 Pesidual Wolumes 1 + + 3 C 11) = <u>1</u> x AB 7 - Sreach Bischarge = 21467 , रंडरेडरे ---111 = 949355 - tub-++-1 Reach Length = 300 (++)

مدينيونيسين ميها د بيريسيزيه سيد در ورد د در در در در در ورد ------



ject	<u> </u>	
	By	Date <u>2-3-3(</u>
	UR(,UR(,	Rev
	 Def = 1907	n si fing san
•	Francisco	
		13 N 199
	i k = 20801. ∰	(c+s)
· • •	- ▲	1.9
· · ·	From Formul	.s (II).
P E A C H ( 13 ) CALCULATIONS	: <del>4</del> = 3095 v	. <b>+ +</b> x
	- Pesidual Ar	·
Test flood discheree	82 = A - A·	
947 - 1988 (CHE)	$A^{2} = 2777$	<pre>/</pre>
- 3 프 12,355 (연승명)) - 19 프 10월2		
- 1997 - 1997	12 = A2 * L	
	V2 = 83325	3 Veub-Fry
From Formula (1).		
Pretailure height.	Vava = ( 01	+ 42 ) / 2
	Mawa = 866	880 ( <b>cub-+</b> t)/
From Formula (11)	0=2 = 0e1 *	0 1 - Mawa - 0
	0e2 = 1985	2 (cfg)
	1	
0 = 0e1 + 0+	From Formul	a €1%.
From Formula (I).	0 = 0⊳2 + 0	t
	h2 = 11.2 ·	C++
From Formula (II),	PESHITS	
10731 Hrea. 9 = 3279 (sqoft)		
Pesidual Area,	1	
#2 = # + #1 #2 = 2961 (sq++•)	1.0.*** 1.0.***	("e Helan' = 3.;
	(2) / Post+al)	.ure melant = []
Residual Volume,	· · · ·	
71 = 1 1 A2	310 Breach D Acts	lizibarge = 1935
71 = 888508 (dub-++) ₽-	28 <sup>4</sup> 4 - Reach Le	nath a 200



\_ Sheet 23 ef 23 Job He \_ Date 2-3-81 ft. Ckd. \_ Rev. \_\_\_ 083 = 081 # 0 1 - 01 / 05  $QP2 = -18404 \ (cfg)$ From Formula (I), . . . --- , -----Q≈Qe2+Q+ . -19464 (cfs) Q = • 5 10 (++) h = From Formula (II): 9 = 2938 (ft) Peridual Area. Test flood discharge-37 = 1000 (cfs) 82 = 8 - A1 2.35 (deg ) 92 = 2628 (+1)31 37 .-5 2 300 (++) V2 = A2 \* L V2 = 786094 (cub-ft) ( From Formula (I), Mawa = ( W1 + W2 ) / 2 Prefailure height, Veve = 819541 (cub-ft) 11 = -3.6 (ft)From Formula (II) . 9F2 = 0F1 # ( 1 - Vave / V ) ••• A1 = 317 (salttl) 9=2=-18447 (cfg) 0 = Gpi + O\* From Formula (I), From Formula (1). 0 = 0e2 + 0t Total Heisht, h = 11.2 (ft) h2 = 10.9 (+t)From Formula (II), Total Area, P = - 2101 (sq-ft) RESULTS -Residual Brea, -21=141- A1 -21= 2783 (ga+ft) 1 > Prefailure Height = -(++) 3 5 ----2. Fostrailure Height = 18 3 Fericusi Welume. 11 = L # AC 7 ) Sreach Discharge = 118447 1945) 91 = 334988 (dub-+t) 4 . Reach Lenath = 700 (At) Þ -29 -----

APPENDIX E

NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

14 Mar 1







\* 1 er 14



. . .

;

,5





B-5





TP L. Abi	itmat 6 sta. 22+10, 31 ft, die.		TP-8 L AD	UCHAR 120" U.S 100 E Sta. 18+90		18-15 E SU	n. 24-50 in Streen Valley
0 - 1.5 1.5 - 10	Tone 11. Silts gravel. Sandy gravel. About St fines, 40% m.	(34) (52)	0 = 1 5 1.5 = 5.5	Tops.11 Sandy gravel. Yrilow brown: About 17% fines, 0% f. to c. sand, 55% f. to	(CH) (CW)	0 = 4 4 = 10+	Peat and Much, such or, info matter OWT at surface. <u>Interlayered silty cavelly and</u>
	coblex up to of, Particles are a sublex up to of, Particles are a sixture of bird and soft, semi-conded. Hist to ', wt at 5' - Leost, Non-	54. sH		c. Fraval, C. cobeles, 65" maximum). Damu, Loose, Slightly plastic, Mod- Frate elistency. Very permeable. Cut-			About 51 fines, 502 f. to m. sand f. to gravel, 102 cobles, 52 deta. (1' mar.). wet. Loose. Tr.
	plastic, Verspermentle, Outsich, Hole stopped it 10% due to coving of sides, sample 1-1, 5%-2%, 5%.		s,s <b>- 9,</b> 0	unsh. <u>Lingvey provvi</u> , Grev, About 37% fines, 30% t. to c. send, 30% f. to c. gravel, 10% probles, ten asx.b. wet. Hard,	(66)		(1") stitu layers are moderately tic. Vary permeable. Dutwash: 15-1, 4"-6", SM and P.
<u>11-1 L.A</u>	surment E St., 22+(#) 3 - It, d.s.			Moderate plasticity No dilatency, Low- permeasility, Till, GMT at 5.5'.	(6.1.)	11-16 6 St	44+15 in Streen
e = 1.5 1,5 = 11	Topodi, a http://www. <u>stately_sum</u> . Gres. Cout 2 times, 45 t. tob. vol. 42 f. t. v. pravel, 17 cobies, of east firthles visiture	käH+ Ka⊨J SCaritti	9, - 13•	Silty sandy gravi, Yellow brown. About Distinct, officient and south of the second control of the second boulders up to 1.1, our, Hird, Neutrain, lastic- ter suit of the second boulders up to suit of the second bouecarely.		· - 2 · - 5	Peat and ruch, GHT at surface. Gravelly sand or samly gravel. brown, About % fines, 4% f. to sand, 40% f, to c gravel, 1% co
	of Der entersind in off Headlife parts metst, Loose, Nens Listic Nourlinens Construction (Nerson The		TE-7 L. A.	utacht of u.s. cash da <u>, lotw</u>		1.11•	(5" max.), met. Luore. Slightly tic Radio dilationey. Vice perm urgently sandy s. 11. Slive, re.
	in the order of the standard o		1	<u>1_pso11</u> .	(GH)		About 50% fines, 2% fine sand, 2 gravel, Holst, Vern Land, Mode
<b>11</b> + 41.1	class, carel Grea-Storm, About of Lines, 20, 7, to , sind, 63, for to strong 1, 105 cobries in boulders ap	1.4.1	( - •, •	<u>Grivell, and</u> , Grey, About 107 fina 1976 e. E. C. sand, 157 f. E. E. J. Javel. Dang, Loose, Slichtly plastic, Dut-	(		eability, Outwash? Sample 10-1, ML.
	3. F. Mofst, very hord. Molerately Easts. No. 1 tenev. Lo. permeable		9,0 - 1	Wash, GWT it of, Gravily clay, Yellow Grown, About	((L)	11-17 E St	is, 13+60 (Left bank of Stream)
	<pre>its, Till, strendl. Areads.ing. ole conduction of an it Hard to reconstraint. Network conduct 2-2, 11.75 (1.55, oc.)</pre>			(i) times, 27, 1, to a, sand, 25, 1, to c, gravit, 5, cob iss up to h <sup>2</sup> . Biological states of the sand s	, ši = \$M	· - 2	<u>Prat and Muck</u> , G-T at surface. <u>Sandy gravel</u> Grev. About 52 fi 45% f. to m sand, 45% f. to c. g 5% cobbles. Wet. Loose. Sight ulastic. Rapid dilat.ncy. Very
<u>II-</u> 1 1	y any Esty, 2+30, 2 th, use			test them of 9", 128 lbs, per cubic foot at 17" "isture.	((1)	- 17+	eatle Outwash. Silty clay, Grev. About 90% fin
1 - 1 1,0 - 1,0	Topsoil, silty prival. <u>pindy stavel</u> . Yillow promy, About of fines, Gf. m. to c. s. m.f. Sf. f. to the start start of the start start.	(GM) (Gw)	1,,	Moist, Hard, Hoderately plastic, No dilatercy, Low permeability, Till, Sample 9-2, 10'-11', blue clay.	{c1.}		102 sand and gravel. Moist, Ver and stiff, Moderately Justic, slow dilatency. Very low perseat
	<ul> <li>cravely by comparison maximum account of the second second</li></ul>		TP-10 1	Abutment 130' d.s. from 6 Sta. 19+50			Sample 17-1, 6'-8', HL.
11 - J.)	<u>Advestigated</u> . Yorle broom, Abras	(2C)	9 <b>- 1</b>	Topsoil	(6¥) (6=)	<u>11-18 </u> 2 >!	Feat and Nuck. UNT at surface.
	<pre>context (n= none), Meist, Vers r = Melerate plothety. So difference, powers estity, Till, Certiced cob- context of the error till. Melert, context of the rest.</pre>		1 - 13	<u>anguy grav</u> ], Veloo brown, About 56 fines, 20% on, to c. sand, 50% f. to c. gravel, 25% coholes, (a" mar.). Dev. Louse, Non-lastic, Verv mermeable. Out ash, Verv limited l'layer of Gs at 7%. The G becomes a gravelly sand	0.47	1 - 5	Sandy <u>reavel</u> . Grev. Alout 102 f 40% f, to c, sand, 40% f, to c, r 10% cobbles, (6% maz.). Vet. Me Sightly plastic. Rari Silatenc permeable. Gutwach, Saw le 18-1
i de la case	Travelle State of Selection	(GR)		at d.S. Ne GaT.		• • •	Gravelly sand. Yellow-brown, At 107 flows 607 f. to a gravel. 5
	the y r vel Yello on About A	(C, c)	<u>il-ll</u> ke. • - 1	Abutment 120' J.S. (ton & Sta. 21-70 Topsoli	(64)		<ul> <li>a. sand. Wet. Loose. Non-plasti Rapid dilatoncy. Wery permeable.</li> </ul>
- 1.	<pre>prover, configuration interaction performance in a set of an interaction (set on a performance in the set of an ender set shows in the set interaction of a set of a set of the set of the set of the set.</pre>	tur t	1 - 15	<u>Sandy gryvel</u> Grev-brown, About 52 fines, b72 m, to c. sand, 405 f, to c. gravel, 55 (ch b) and boulders, (15.' max,), Damp, Louve, Nan-plastic, Vec, oremeable (c) + 2 (c), 'laver	(GF)	a ∎ 11	wash, <u>Clayer prevely sand</u> . Yellow-bro About 30% fines, 30% f. to s. ea f. to c. sravel, 10% complex, to Mist, Hord, Moderaraly lasti-
	- unit for more ). In the re bard			of (C at 5', Several (') 2' bouiders.			Sample Loss, 9'-10', Cont.
	valege en le griege van de Briten (en de seiner) and for de le Marcheliker en van de seiner en		<u>17-42</u> Le	Topsoll	(G¥)	<u>11-19 E.</u>	Abutmint 751 U.S. from & Sta. 25+7
Der Li			1.5 - 5.0	Sindy gravel. Yellow brown. About 55 fines, 25% m. to c. sand, 40% f. Bo c gravel, 40% cubites and boulders, (.)	(G <b>P</b> )	- 1.5 1.5 11•	Field comes. Topsoll. Clayey _ravelly same. lellow to
- 1 1 - 7.	<u>Lipsil</u> , white gravel with rests. <u>IN Lativity</u> Yellew brown. About the stars, Star the cond, With the the proof of the basis in Boulders, there are the sum Non-Latific.	(4,) (4,)	5 - 11,0+	mag.), heny, Loove, Non-plastic, Very permeable, Outwach, <u>Clayey bouldery gravel</u> , Oilve grey, Asunt 2072, fines, 2072 f. to c. sand, 255 f. to c. privel, 135 cohiles in '	(66)		About the time, would be and full of cravely of costing and the second second second second second Hoderately plastic. Second Streng permeability. Till, Second second sectors.
·, -	<ul> <li>(a) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b</li></ul>	(.P)		boulders, (.' max.), Hoist, Hard. Moderately plastic. No dilatency.		14-11-1	Abutment 230 L.s. fr-m 5 Sta. 25*
	<pre>int The second cond, Sit to the term provel, the second particles are called in constrained bar, Loose,</pre>		TP-13 i.	Abutment 100 <sup>4</sup> u.s. from <b>6</b> Sta. 21+50			Topsoil Grandly this same fellow pro-
· • 1 .	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	(GC)	0 - 1.0 1.0 - 9.5	<u>Topsoll</u> <u>Sandy course gravel</u> . Grey brown, About 102 fines, 30% w. to c. sand, 50% f. to c. gravel, 10% cobbles, (or max.). Dama.	(~) (G_)		About 10% (10%, 50% 1, tox, va f. to, grivel, 10% cobules, (o Moi t. Hard, Sliphiv plastic, dilatency, very primeable. Out
	, roch, E. Boles, C. Bax.). Da . ver and, Moder to plesticity. N. District Co. presentativ. 1.11			GWT at 7' Loose, Non-plastic, Raild dilatency, Very permeable. Outwash.	(1.5.)		G (T at 5".
1.1100	then startly sand - some 15 5.5 to	(.p)	9.5 - 10+	Clayer gravel. Tellow brown. About 30% fines, 30% f. to c. sanc. 30% f. to c.	(60)	می م	Topacil
il) •	C <u>Lopes style</u> , sime as 9.5 to 10.5 cove, dale stopped in till, G⊌T st 1,5.	(62)		Rinver, Los Cobles, to man, , that. Hard, Hoderately plastic. No dilatency. Low permeability, Till, (Striated cobbies,).		1 - 7	Bravelly sand, Yellow brown, A fines, 50% m, sand, 40% t, to c. 5% cobbles, 10 <sup>m</sup> max ). Moist
Ir-a ha	Abuto nt E 210. 18+00		TP-14 E	S <u>te. 25+90 in Stream Velley</u>		7 . 13	permentale, Ourwash, Bouldery sandw gravel About 57
- 1	<u>T.usoil</u> , silt.gravel. S <u>tavelly wind</u> . Yellos brown. About	(GM) (G~)	0 - 2	Peat and Muck. With cobbles. GWT at	(Pt )		20% m. sand, WE ', to s. gravel cobbles and houlder: up to 2'.
	J.C. fiber, 5" f. to t. sind, 30% f. ev.c. pravel, 16" mix.), 10% cobbles. Weist, Very Hard, Moderate plastic-	GP-GH	2 - 11	Surrace, Lope and torce, <u>Silty gravely sand</u> , with cobbies. Grey, About 15% fines, 40% f. to c. mand, 25% f. to c. gray 10% f. to c. cobbies.	(5%)	AL 13	Loose, Non-plattir, Radid dily Very permeable, Outwash, GWT - Bedrock, Greyish limey shale,
9. 1 + 11.	<pre>(r), 36 Slitercy, Los permetbility, Sumple 5.1, 1-7.5, S <u>Clean mattum sand</u>, Grev, About 51</pre>	(SP)		occasional houlders (1' max.). Thin (1"- 2") layers of silt (ML). Wet. Locas. Moderately planter Ranke dilationers		<u>15-22</u> B.	Abutment 220' d.s. from 6 Sta. 26
	finis, about BCE m, sind, 15% f. to c. gravel, 14° max.). Hoist to vet. Loose. Non-placify. Very permanin, Sutvash.		11 - 12	Very permeable. Outwash. The percent of sand and gravel vary 15-20%. Clayey gravelly sand Grav. About 30%	(GC-SC)	n - 2 2 - 9	Topsoil and slope wash. Silty sandy graval. Yellow brow About 152 fines, 252 f. to c. so
<b>*6</b> ? *	GT it 11,5".		•• • ••	fines, 40% f. to c. mand, 15% f. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles, (6" max.). Vet.			50% f. to c. gravel, 10% cobble Muist. Hard. Moderately plant Mularate dilatance. Mularately
0 . J	Topsoil. Silty gravel.	(GH)		Very hard. Moderately plastic. No dil- stancy. Slow permeability. Till.		a _ 17 44	sable, Outwach, GWT at 8', Clausey gravel. Grav-brown. Ab
1 - 5	Sandy returel. Yellow orown. About 51 fines, 15 f. to c. sand, 551 f. to c. .ravel, 51 cobles. for anx., Damp. Looke. Mon-plastic. Very promable.	(Gw )				¥ = 12.3*	fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 40% f gravel, 5% cobles, occasional to 2°, Wet. Mard. Modera:elv Slow dilatency. Slow permeabil
	that ash.	(S¥ )					T:11.

į

ſ

•

. . •

#### van Valley

such or this matter. (Pt) b. bitty crevelly sand and crevelly sand Grey. a, 575 f. to m. sand, 3001-et, 1.47 cobles, 35 boul-et, 1.47 cobles, 35 boul-et, 1.47 cobles, 35 boul-th, wet, Lucas. Thin were are moderately plas-methic. Unush. -ample H and P. 159452 ise) C 6 4 20 det at ourface. i. i-T at urface. <u>I or Landy gravel</u>. Grey-15 fines, 607 f. to m. t. c. gravel, 15 cobiles, ier. Lunes. Slightly Alas-tilatoncy. Very permeable. <u>Uy s.11</u>, "Hive-grey. new, 27 fine sond, 207 m. new, 27 fine sond, 207 m. t. Uvr. hard. moderately Mill tilatency. Slow perme-ntwishT Sample 10-1, 6'-b', (Pt) (CP) (10) -11 t bank of Stream) 2. Corf at surface. J. Corf at surface. L. Grey. About 5% fines. L. Grey. About 5% fines. (44. Loose. Slightly high dilatincy. Very here-ores. About 90% fines. .cravel. Moiat. Very hard "dofentely plastic. Very icv. Very lastic. Very. b)-8%. ML. (Pt) (Gii) ((Lor 90.) [CL-ML] cs. GHT at surface. I. Grev. About 10% fines, . sand, 44° f. to c. gravel, . (6° max.). Wet. Hard. astic. Rapid dilatency. Very Botwash. Samile 18-1, 4', (Pz) (GW) [5C-SH] 01 [GC-CH] nd. Yellow-brown, About 5-1407 f. to a. gravel, 507 f. to Vet. Looke. Mon-plastic. tancy. Yery permeable. Out-(54) velly sand. Yellow-brown, Eines, 30% f. to c. sand, 30% rovel, 10% cobbles, (6" max.), no moderately plastic. No low permeshility, Till. ., 4'-10', SC-SC. (cc-sc) ford ..... from & Sca. 25+70 cr.iv camp: Yellow brown, flows, 40% f. th c. sand, 9%-pixel, 3% cobbies and boulders, 0, wet below 4%. Very bard, splastic, No Slitency, Low ty, Fill, GeT (c.4), Sample C, (HE.) (SC) j Mj

## ..... IT & C Sta. 26-90

(ME.) (541,514)

(HL) (SR)

1541

4 81

(ML) (54)

160.1

## 2" --- LEDE & Sta. 26+00

0" 3.6. from \$ Sta. 26-10 nd singe unsh, dy <u>stavel</u>. Te low brown, finer, 23 f. tr i, sani, c. gravel, 17% cobbles arc. Moderately plastic, dilatency, Modrately perm-virush, GVT at A<sup>+</sup>. <u>arg</u>, Grave-brown. About 25% f. to r. sand, 40% f. to c. S cobbles, recentional bouiders at. Marth. Moderately lastic. trncy. Sine permability.

J	ł.,	
ic <b>u i</b>		

(ML) (GN)

Topacii. Sandy gravel or gravely gand. Greyish-brown. About 10% fines, 40% m. sand, 40% f. to c. gravel, 10% cobbles, 6% max.). Basp. Loose. Non-plastic. Raitd dilatency. Very permemble. Out-wash. GWT at 10°. Sample 23-1, 5'-0°, SP. (SP) [shì (GC)

# Topsoil Clayer gandy gravel. Vellow brown. About 35: fines, 251 f. to sand, 351 f. to t. gravel. 51 cobbies, (1' max.). Damo. Mard. Hoderately plastic. No dilatoncy. Slow perme-eability. Till. <u>Bedrock</u>. Brittle shale. Breeks into sharp angular pieces up to 6". 1583

## IP-15 8. Abutment 125" d.s. from 6 Sta. 26460

TE-23 1. Abutment ford, 25+50 Edge of Boad

TP-24 R. Abutment 10' d.s. from & Sta. 26+90

0 - I 1 - 13\*

0 - 1 1 - 7

At 7

Tuppoll-Sondy argarel. Yellow brown, About 13% fines, 40% f. to m. sand, 45% f. to c. gravel. Dasp. Josse. Silghily plastic. Moderate dilatency. Moderate-ly permaable. Outwash. Clavy sani gravel. Vellow brown, About 33% fines, 20% f. to c. sand, 40% f. to c. gravel, 3% cobbles, occasional 1' boulders. Daep. Marc. Moderate plastic. No diatoncy. Low permeability. Till. Medicock. Brittle thale. 0 - 1 1 - 3 3 At B TP-101 Em, Spry, - Back side of pond on hill level

# <u>Topsoll.</u> <u>Gravelly sand</u>. About 5-10% fines, 50% f. to m. sand, 35% f. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles. Damp. Loopse, Rapid dilatency, N.n-plastic. Vary permeable. 0 - 1 1 - 10,5\*

### TP-102 In back field along Durepo Road

0 -	2	Dry peat.
2 -	4	Silty sandy gravel. GWT at 4',
4 -	8	Sandy gravel, Loose, 10% fines, 30%
		f. to c. sand, 60% f. to c. gravel.
8 ~	10+	Clayey gravel. 40% fines, 60% gravel.



#### LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJECT FLOOD - WATER RETARDING DAM NO 2 NOYES BROOK LIMESTONE, MAINE TEST PITS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE Aversticaten n eninakes nurt L GERRY ... .... In star as ME- 503 - P 1 . . . . . 18

,

B-7

SCS 313 (9-64)





#### TR-20

Finisherman up to 1.5". Eiitz exerci. Volker brown, Abest 155 Finne, 305 f. to c. cand, A55 f. to c. graves, 105 cobles. Busy. Noderskely here, Sightly plastic, Till. Gierre staught, Fallow berm. Abest 255 finne, 352 f. to c. and, 355 f. to c. gravel, 35 cobles, occasional buildary to 1.5 mat. Met. Rode, Roderskaly pla-tic, Wary olow dilatenty. Sile param-builty. Till. GWT at 7'. 0 - 1 1 - 4 (GM) (00) 4 - 10

#### 5 Bta. 4+10 12-20

- $\begin{array}{c}
   0 1 \\
   1 3
   \end{array}$ (CH) (CH-BL) أندعا
- a. Entr. 5. Sta. 4/10 Tonenti. Silt cargent. Yolice brown. About 131 fines. 402 f. to c. eased. 402 f. to e. growni. 53 cobbins to 4". Buy. Hedersteip bard. Siighty plastis. Hedersteip persenble. Xill. Sample 202-1, 2'-3', 404. Claury housing, 202 f. to c. ease, 231 f. to c. growing. 202 f. to c. ease, 231 f. to c. growing. 202 f. to c. ease, 231 boulders up to 1.3'. Busp. Battamnly hard. Holenstely plastic. Holesteeny, Siou permachility. Till (striated subbles). Sample 202-1, 4'-3', 66. Sumple 202-2, 4'-3', 66. Sumple 202-2, 4'-3', 66. Sumple 202-2, 4'-3', 66. Sumple 202-3, 4'-3', 66. Sumple 202-3, 4'-3', 67. Sumple 202-3, 4'-3', 67. 3 - 7 (**ac**.) 7 - 9.5 (62)
- (ex)

#### Seer. 5 Sta. 5+90 78-203 Ba.

- 41. 0 - 1 (CHC) (CHC) Innex1. <u>Rity samp royal</u>. Tellow bream. Aber 105 fines, 405 f. to c. anni, 405 f. to c. growsl, 105 sobbles, essational build to 1'. Damy. Lesse. Blightly plastic, Moderately permashie. Outwach. Sample 205-1; 2'-3; 004. (ind 3 - 6 (12)
- feel 6 - 10 (20)
- fec.en]
- 10 124 (82)

#### 11-205 5 Bec. 8120

- Immedia-fility mandy praymal. Yollow become. About fility mandy praymal. Yollow become. About 155 finnes, 355 f. to c. sands, 465 f. to c. provel, 103 cobbies, occasional bundlers up to 1'. Dump. Hederately methods. Till. Sample 200-1, 4's GH. In place densety teact talem of 4's, 126 the per twite foot at 127 moleture. <u>Claver artural</u>. Volue become. About 235 finnes, 308 f. to c. sands, 358 f. to c. gravel, 106 mobies and bundlers up to 2' mar. Moiet, Hard. Huderstely plastic. Low paramethilisty. Till. GHT at 7'.  $\begin{array}{r}
   0 - 1 \\
   1 - 8
   \end{array}$ (GN) (GN) (inj
- 8 13 (OC)

#### TF-205 in in around air, 195' H. Bo, Bo .....

(68)

- 0 5
- <u>Filty analysi</u>, Yallew beyon. About 105 fines, 405 f. to c. aand, 405 f. to ... graval, 105 mobiles, excadional boulders to 1.3'. Bry. Loose. Filghely plastic. Rescatoly presentie. Gaturds, <u>Silty anguit.</u> Revenyery. About 205 fines, 105 exchange contactional boulders to 1.3'. Namp, Huderately herd. Meter-ately plastic. Mederate permeable. Till, 5 - 20



COMPACTION CURVE FIELD SAMPLE NO. 202.3 LABORATORY CLASSIFICATION -, GM



COMPACTION CURVE












































