

AD-A155 333

NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
MCLEAN RESERVOIR (MA. (U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM MA
NEW ENGLAND DIV MAR 79


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

(2)

AD-A155 333

CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN
HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS

McLEAN RESERVOIR
MA 00539

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

Copy available to DTIC does not
permit fully legible reproduction.

DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 20 1985
S D
PX G



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC

JULY

MARCH 1979

85 5 28 041

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER MA 00539	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) McLean Reservoir NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT	
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE March 1979	
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 53	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
		16a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River Basin Holyoke, Massachusetts McLean Reservoir			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The dam is comprised of a 700 ft. long, 35 ft. high earthfill embankment and a 920 ft. long, 15 ft. high earthfill dike. The dam is generally in fair condition. It has a size classification of intermediate and a hazard classification of low. Remedial measures consist of removal of all brush and trees from the downstream slope of the main dam and spillway channel and repair of spalled and deteriorated concrete on the emergency spillway.			

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF
NEDED

MAY 2 1979

Honorable Edward J. King
Governor of the Commonwealth of
Massachusetts
State House
Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:


I am forwarding to you a copy of the McLean Reservoir Phase I Inspection Report, which was prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is presented for your use and is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. A brief assessment is included at the beginning of the report. I have approved the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is a vitally important part of this program.

A copy of this report has been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, the cooperating agency for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. In addition, a copy of the report has also been furnished the owner, City of Holyoke, Board of Water Commissioners, Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040.

Copies of this report will be made available to the public, upon request, by this office under the Freedom of Information Act. In the case of this report the release date will be thirty days from the date of this letter.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in carrying out this program.

Sincerely yours,


JOHN P. CHANDLER
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Division Engineer

Incl
As stated

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
PHASE I INVESTIGATION REPORT

Identification No: MA 00539
Name of Dam: McLean Reservoir
City: Holyoke
County and State: Hampden County, Massachusetts
Stream: McLean Reservoir
Date of Inspection: December 6, 1978


The dam is comprised of a 700± foot, 35 foot high earthfill embankment dam and a 920± foot long, 15± foot high earthfill dike, a gatehouse with outlet controls and a 10 foot wide concrete arch emergency spillway. The reservoir is fed by a 20 inch line from the Tighe Carmody Reservoir and the drainage area. Discharge through the gatehouse enters the Holyoke Water Department Supply System. Construction of the dam was completed in 1903. The dam's purpose has always been water supply. The facility has always been owned, operated and maintained by the Holyoke Water Department.

Visual inspection indicated that the dam is in generally fair condition.

The dam has a size classification of intermediate and a hazard classification of low. According to Corps guidelines, the test flood would be the 100 year storm. The inflow would be 375 cfs. With the water level assumed to spillway crest at time of test flood, spillway discharge of about 30 cfs would occur. The reservoir would be surcharged to elevation 433, four feet above the spillway crest and two feet below the dam crest. The dam will not be

overtopped. There were no indepth engineering data available and therefore, the adequacy of the dam was evaluated based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history, and engineering judgement.

The dam is generally in fair condition. Remedial measures consist of removal of all brush and trees from the downstream slope of the main dam and spillway channel and repair of spalled and deteriorated concrete on the emergency spillway. It is further recommended that the owner engage a qualified engineer to investigate the seepage conditions at the downstream toe. These recommendations and remedial measures should be implemented by the owner within one year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.



Ronald H. Cheney

Ronald H. Cheney, P.E.
Associate

Hayden, Harding & Buchanan, Inc.
Boston, Massachusetts

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A/1	

This Phase I Inspection Report on McLean Reservoir has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgment and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

Joseph A. McElroy

JOSEPH A. MCELROY, MEMBER
Foundation & Materials Branch
Engineering Division

Carney M. Terzian

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER
Design Branch
Engineering Division

Joseph W. Finegan, Jr.

JOSEPH W. FINEGAN, JR., CHAIRMAN
Chief, Reservoir Control Center
Water Control Branch
Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

Joe B. Fryar

JOE B. FRYAR
Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Inspections. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation: however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal	
Brief Assessment	
Review Board Page	
Preface	i
Table of Contents	iii-v
Overview Photo	vi
Location Map	vii

REPORT

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General	1
a. Authority	1
b. Purpose of Inspection	2
1.2 Description of Project	2
a. Location	2
b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	2
c. Size Classification	4
d. Hazard Classification	4
e. Ownership	4
f. Operator	4
g. Purpose of Dam	4
h. Design and Construction History	5
i. Normal Operating Procedures	5
1.3 Pertinent Data	5

2. ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design Data	10
2.2 Construction Data	10
2.3 Operation Data	10
2.4 Evaluation of Data	10


<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3. VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1 Findings	12
a. General	12
b. Dam	12
c. Appurtenant Structures	14
d. Reservoir Area	15
e. Downstream Channel	15
3.2 Evaluation	15
4. OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1 Procedures	17
4.2 Maintenance of Dam	17
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	17
4.4 Description of any Warning System in Effect	17
4.5 Evaluation	17
5. HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
5.1 Evaluation of Features	19
a. General	19
b. Design Data	19
c. Experience Data	19
d. Visual Observation	19
e. Overtopping Potential	20
f. Dam Failure Analysis	20
6. STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	22
a. Visual Observation	22
b. Design and Construction Data	22
c. Operating Records	23
d. Post-Construction Changes	23
e. Seismic Stability	23

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
7. ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1 Dam Assessment	24
a. Condition	24
b. Adequacy of Information	24
c. Urgency	24
d. Need for Additional Investigation	24
7.2 Recommendations	24
7.3 Remedial Measures	25
a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures	25
7.4 Alternatives	26

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1
APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	E-1





PHASE I
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
NAME OF DAM: McLEAN RESERVOIR

SECTION 1
PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Hayden, Harding & Buchanan, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued Hayden, Harding & Buchanan, Inc. under a letter of 28 November 1978 from Max B. Scheider, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-79-C-0012 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose

(1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.

(2) Encourage and assist the States to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.

(3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location

The dam, McLean Reservoir is located in the City of Holyoke, in Hampden County, Massachusetts. The reservoir is formed by the drainage from the north central portion of East Mountain. The dam is located along the southeastern shore of the reservoir. McLean Reservoir is shown on the Mount Tom Quadrangle, Massachusetts and has the approximate coordinates of North 42° 10' 30" West 72° 40' 12"

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The dam is comprised of an earthfill embankment, a gatehouse with outlet controls, an earthfill dike, and an emergency spillway. The embankment has a maximum fill height of 35 feet, a plan length of 700 feet and an average crest width of about 24 feet. The central portion of the embankment contains a mortared masonry core wall founded on ledge. The upstream embankment face is partially

ripraped and sloped at 2½ horizontal to 1 vertical. The downstream face has a 3:1 slope and is lined with turf, rockfill, brush and trees. The dike has a plan length of about 920 feet, a maximum fill height of about 15 feet and also contains a mortared masonry core wall founded on ledge. The typical crest width is 12 feet. A gravel access road traverses both the dam and dike. The dikes upstream face is stone paved and sloped at 2 horizontal to 1 vertical. The downstream area in front of the dike is filled in with spoil material removed from the basin of the reservoir. The emergency spill way is located near the dam and dike interface and is a 3.75 foot high by 10 foot wide concrete arch culvert. The base of the spillway is founded 6 feet below the crest of the dam and is lined with unmortared stone. The fieldstone masonry gatehouse is located at the center of the earth embankment. It contains the manual controls for a 30 inch diameter outlet pipe and a 20 inch diameter inlet pipe. The intake structure for the 30 inch outlet pipe is located about 100 feet upstream of the gatehouse and the outlet pipe feeds to a chlorinating station at Ashley and eventually into the Holyoke Water System. The 20 inch inlet pipe feeds water to the reservoir from the upstream Tighe Carmody Dam. This line is controlled at the gatehouse and has its outlet located at the toe of the embankment approximately 50 feet upstream and about 50 feet to the east of the gatehouse. Prior to 1963 these 2 lines were both used as outlets for the McLean Reservoir.

However, due to the reservoir's low recharge capability, this original system could easily drain the pond.

The present system of operation is with the inlet pipe normally open and the outlet pipe feeding between 3 and 5 million gallons a day into the Holyoke Water System.

c. Size Classification

The dam is classified as intermediate according to its impoundment capacity of 1240 acre feet and height of 35 feet.

d. Hazard Classification

McLean Reservoir is classified as low hazard. Land below the dam is owned by the City of Holyoke for use by the Water Department. The land is wooded and undeveloped and it is unlikely to be developed. Ashley Pond (part of the water supply system) is about 2000 feet downstream.

e. Ownership

The dam is owned by the City of Holyoke Board of Water Commissioners and has always been part of their water system.

f. Operator

The designated caretaker of the dam is Mr. Edward Welsh, Superintendent of the Holyoke Water Department, 20 Commercial Street, Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040. Telephone (413) 536-0442

g. Purpose of Dam

The purpose of the dam has always been water supply.

h. Design and Construction History

The original design plans were prepared by J.L. Tighe and dated 1899 through 1903. The dam was constructed in 1903. Subsequent repairs were performed on the corewall of the dike in 1939, when seepage through several corewall cracks were sealed. The original outlet pipes system consisting of two 20-inch lines was modified to one 30-inch line in 1963.

i. Normal Operational Procedures

There is nominal operational procedure for this dam. The level of the reservoir and quantity of outlet water is dependant on the demand of the system. The reservoir has additional water feed to it via a 20-inch inlet from the Tighe Carmody Reservoir. This 20-inch line is controlled at the gatehouse and is normally left open. There is a 30-inch outlet line which is controlled at the gatehouse and normally drains 3 to 5 million gallons of water per day into the Holyoke Water System.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area

The drainage area (301 acres - 0.47 s.m.) is comprised of wooded hills sloping towards the reservoir, and flat to hilly land immediately around and to the north of the impoundment. Runoff drains directly into the reservoir or from a swampy area to the north.

Development within the drainage area is limited to the U.S. Route 202 crossing, and several improved and unimproved roads throughout the area.

No residential buildings are located between the outlet for the emergency spillway and Ashley Pond, which would receive its discharge. A culvert under Westfield Road and utility lines are the only structures located below the dam.

The dam has always been used for water supply. The water level is typically well below the spillway level. The small drainage area does not provide adequate runoff into the dam to be useful for water supply. As such, a 20-inch pipe brings water from the Tighe Carmody Reservoir to maintain the reservoir's water level. Daily flows normally vary between 3 to 5 million gallons.

b. Discharge at Dam Site

This dam has a 30-inch CI pipe located near the central portion of the earth embankment, which is used as a water supply intake. Flow through the pipe is controlled manually at a fieldstone masonry gatehouse with the intake structure located about 100 feet upstream of the house. The intake pipe invert is at elevation 411.0±.

The spillway is comprised of a 3.75' x 10' arched culvert. A 3' high overflow weir was installed in the outlet channel approximately 15 feet downstream of the arch. This weir reduces any flow through the culvert. With water at the top of dam, flow through the culvert would be about 36 cfs.

Specific information pertaining to maximum flood discharges at this site is not available. According to personnel

of the Holyoke Water Department, the dam was not overtopped by the 1955 flood and the emergency spillway has only been used once in the last 10 years. The crest elevation of the emergency spillway is 429.

For the 100 year flood the emergency spillway outflow is 30 cfs at elevation 433.0, with the water level at elevation 429 just prior to the storm.

c. Elevation (ft. above MSL)

- (1) Streambed at centerline of dam-----400±
- (2) Maximum tailwater-----spillway discharges to steep channel D.S.; backwater minimal
- (3) Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel-----none
- (4) Recreation pool----- (Water Supply Reservoir) --N/A
- (5) Full flood control pool-- (Water Supply Reservoir) --N/A
- (6) Spillway crest-(weir elevation 432.)----- (ungated) 429
- (7) Design surcharge (Original Design)-----unknown
- (8) Top Dam -----435
- (9) Test flood design surcharge----- 433.0 (100 yr.)
(assuming 429 for a base elevation)

d. Reservoir

- (1) Length of maximum pool----- 2000' (100 yr.)
- (2) Length of recreation pool-----none (water supply)
normal pool: 2000'
- (3) Length of flood control pool----- N/A (water supply)

e. Storage (acre feet)

- (1) Recreation pool----- (water supply) N/A
- (2) Flood control pool----- (water supply) N/A
- (3) Spillway crest pool----- (elevation 429)-----960±
- (4) Test flood pool-----: 1200
- (5) Top of dam-----1240

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

- (1) Flood control pool-----N/A
- (2) Recreation pool---none (water supply) normal pool 43±
- (3) Spillway crest-----46±
- (4) Test flood pool-----59±
- (5) Top Dam-----72±

g. Dam

- (1) Type-----Gravity, earth embankment and dike
- (2) Length----- 700'±(dam section) 920'± (dike section)
- (3) Height----- 35'(dam) 15' (dike)
- (4) Top Width-----24' (dam section) 12' (dike section)
- (5) Side Slopes-----2½:1 riprap U.S. 3:1 turf D.S.
- (6) Zoning-----none
- (7) Impervious Core-mortared masonry wall founded on ledge
- (8) Cutoff----- core wall 3' into ledge
- (9) Grout curtain-----none

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel-----none

i. Spillway

- (1) Type----- concrete arch culvert with overflow weir
(see photos 2,3 and Appendix B plans)
- (2) Length of weir-----10'
- (3) Crest elevation-----culvert 429, weir 432
- (4) Gates ----- none
- (5) U/S Channel-----10' wide rock lined with concrete
side walls
- (6) D/S Channel-----10' wide rock lined with concrete
side walls, weir
- (7) General-----weir and arch restrict flow through
outlet channel

j. Regulating Outlets

The regulating outlets for this dam consist of a 30" C.I. outlet pipe for water supply (City of Holyoke Water Department) and the 10' wide concrete arch culvert emergency spillway. The outlet pipe is operated manually from controls located within a fieldstone-masonry gatehouse at the center of the earth embankment. Its intake structure is located approximately 100' upstream of the gatehouse and has an invert elevation of 411±. The 30" pipe feeds to a chlorination facility and eventually to the Holyoke Water Supply system.

As previously described, the emergency spillway (shown by photos 2,3 & 4) is lined with unmortared stone and has concrete sidewalls. The crest invert of the culvert is at elevation 429. The arched culvert is 3 to 3.75 feet high. The crest of the overflow weir is at elevation 432. The effective outlet is very small, having a low discharge rate.

SECTION 2
ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

The dam was designed by J.L. Tighe in 1899 to 1903. Design plans dated 1899 through 1903 were located at the Holyoke Water Department. Design plans outlining corewall leakage in 1939 were also located. No indepth engineering design calculations were located.

2.2 Construction

The dam was built in 1903. Plans were found at Holyoke Water Department dated 1903, outlining cross sections used for construction estimates and cement tests. No other construction data was located.

2.3 Operation

No engineering operational data was located.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability

The original plans and 1939 plans were made available at the Holyoke Water Department, Holyoke, Massachusetts. State Inspection Reports from 1974 and 1977 along with some correspondence were made available at the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, Division of Waterways, Boston office.

b. Adequacy

The lack of indepth engineering data does not allow for a definitive review. Therefore the adequacy of this dam structurally and hydraulically, can not be assessed from the standpoint of review of design calculation, but must be based primarily on the visual inspection, past performance history, and sound engineering judgement.

c. Validity

The visual inspection of this facility showed no reason to question the validity of the information supplied.

SECTION 3
VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General

McLean Reservoir Dam was inspected on December 6, 1978. At that time there was no water flowing over the spillway and the reservoir was frozen just upstream of the embankment. The outlet gate was open during the inspection.

b. Dam

The dam consists of a main embankment section with a maximum height of about 35 feet. The main embankment is about 700 feet long. An embankment dike extends beyond the right abutment of the main dam. This dike is approximately 920 feet long and has a maximum height of about 15 feet. Photo 1 shows the crest and upstream slope of the main dam in the foreground and the dike in the background.

A spillway structure is on the right abutment passing through the embankment and forms the boundary between the main embankment section and the dike.

Upstream Slope

The upstream face of the dam is on a slope of 2.5:1. The upper 10± feet of the upstream slope was above the reservoir and available for inspection. The riprap slope protection extended from the water surface to within about 4 feet of the dam crest, photo 1. Above the riprap the upstream surface is covered with grass.

The riprap is in good condition and no slumping or slides were observed above the reservoir level.

Crest

The crest of the dam is about 24 feet wide and grass covered. No evidence of cracking or misalignment of the crest was observed.

Downstream Slope

The downstream face of the dam is on a slope of 3:1. The downstream slope is overgrown with grass, bushes, brambles, and small trees. The overgrown condition of the slope is shown in photos 4 and 12. This dense growth makes inspection of the downstream face very difficult.

There are numerous bedrock outcrops at the toe of the downstream slope as shown in photos 7 and 9. The observation is consistent with early drawings of the dam which indicate it is founded on bedrock.

Photo 7 shows the numerous small trees which have grown along the downstream toe of the dam

Standing water was observed at the toe of the slope between the spillway on the right abutment and a point opposite the gatehouse. At the time of inspection the water surface was covered with leaves, but in some places the water was 4 inches deep. Photo 8 shows a wet area about 30 feet from the spillway. At this point the ground is so soft and wet that a stick could be inserted easily below the water surface a distance of about 18 inches.

Seepage at the downstream toe has been noted during previous inspections, and during an inspection performed on January 24, 1974, it was noted that visible seepage was exiting from a rock fill at the toe of the dam. This previously noted seepage was not observed during this inspection but water was exiting along rock joints above the toe of the dam near the right abutment, as shown in photo 9. A rock fill has been placed at the downstream toe in about the central one third of the dam. The slope of this fill is shown in photo 11. Previous inspection reports indicate that this rock fill was placed because of excessive seepage in this area. Details of when the rock fill was placed and the type of materials used in the fill were not available.

c. Appurtenant Structures

The spillway, which is located in the right abutment area, is 10 feet wide. The approach channel to the control weir passes through the embankment. This approach section is shown in photo 2. The floor of the channel is paved with boulders. The left training wall of the spillway, which retains the embankment, is in poor condition. Photo 3 shows the control weir and the concrete lined discharge channel immediately downstream. The concrete is placed on bedrock, and

there are numerous bedrock outcrops along the discharge channel, as indicated by photo 6. The fieldstone masonry gatehouse is in good condition with no signs of needed repairs. The gate feeding the water system was open during the inspection. The outlet from the gatehouse feeds water into the Holyoke Water System through a 30-inch pipe. A 20-inch diameter pipe feeds water to this reservoir from the Tighe Carmody reservoir. The gate for this pipe was also open during the inspection. Both pipes are located beneath the surface and could not be observed during the inspection. The emergency spillway according the City personnel has only received water once in the last 10 years.

d. Reservoir Area

The reservoir area slopes are relatively steep and contain no houses. A more detailed description of the drainage area is included in Section 1.3.a of this report. The amount of siltation within the reservoir is unknown.

e. Downstream Channel

The spillway discharges into a poorly defined channel at the base of the right abutment. Bedrock outcrops immediately opposite the discharge channel form a wall about 6 feet high. There are numerous trees growing in and adjacent to the channel.

3.2 Evaluation

Visual inspection indicates that the dam is in fair condition. Seepage was observed over a large portion of the downstream toe. A rock fill berm has been placed after

initial construction presumably to arrest damage to the embankment due to excessive seepage. The details of the construction of this berm were not available.

Excessive growth of grass, bushes, and trees on the downstream slope prevent a thorough examination of this slope.

The spillway was observed to be in poor condition with excessive spalling and deterioration.

SECTION 4
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

The major purpose of the McLean Reservoir Dam is for water supply for the City of Holyoke. The normal operating procedure is for the 30-inch outlet line to be left open to feed water to the Ashley Chlorinating Station and then into the City water system. The controls for the outlet line are located in the gatehouse and are regulated according to demand by the Holyoke Water Department. The 20-inch inlet line normally feeds water to the reservoir from the Tighe Carmody Reservoir. A further description of these lines is given in Section 1.2.b.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

The dam is maintained by the Holyoke Water Department. They are responsible for reviewing the State Inspection Reports and instituting the necessary repairs. Heavy brush was found on the downstream face during this inspection.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The gate valves which operate the intake and supply pipes are operated regularly by the City.

4.4 Description of Warning Systems

There are no warning systems in effect at this facility.

4.5 Evaluation

Since the gates are operated on a regular basis no formal operating procedure appears to be necessary. With the exception of the poor condition of the emergency spillway,

McLean Reservoir

the heavy growth on the downstream face and the possible toe seepage discussed in Section 3.1, the dam appears to be in good condition. However, due to the aforementioned conditions the overall condition of the dam is considered to be fair. This dam should be inspected yearly by qualified personnel who can identify any areas of concern which could in time lead to serious deficiencies.

SECTION 5
Hydraulic/Hydrologic

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. General

The dam was designed and is used as a water supply reservoir. It is a 35 foot high earth fill structure with a storage capacity of 1240 a-f. The surrounding drainage area (300 acres) is undeveloped wooded land. Below the dam there is no development except for Westfield Road. East of Westfield Road are Ashley Pond, Wright Pond and Connor Reservoir. All are part of the Holyoke Water Supply System.

b. Design Data

The dam was designed from 1899 to 1903. Construction was completed in 1903. No design calculations were located. The dam has always been used for water supply and is maintained by the City of Holyoke.

c. Experience Data

Discussions with Water Works employees indicated that the dam has never been overtopped. During the August 19, 1955 hurricane, the Holyoke area received about 18 to 19 inches of rainfall. The water level is usually several feet below the spillway elevation.

d. Visual Observations

The dam shows no evidence of having been overtopped. There is no defined outlet channel below the spillway. Visual observations of the drainage area and general vicinity

show it to be generally as indicated on the U.S.G.S. map. This is described in Section 1.3 of this report.

e. Overtopping Potential

Due to the dam's size and hazard potential, the test flood chosen was the 100 year storm. The peak inflow is 375 cfs from the 300 acre drainage area. The water level is normally well below the spillway elevation of 429. The storage capacity is large enough to retain the runoff without water reaching elevation 429, spillway crest, except after periods of very prolonged rainfall conditions.


The outlet culvert has an arched top and an overflow weir (see photos 2 and 3 and Appendix B plans). The top of the weir is 0.7± feet below the arch. The actual flow channel is very small and restricted.

If the water level were assumed at elevation 429, the pond could retain the 100 year inflow of 375 cfs and be surcharged to elevation 433. The outflow through the small arch opening would be about 30 cfs. With the water level below elevation 429 there will be no outflow as the storage capacity exceeds the volume of runoff.

f. Dam Failure Analysis

Assuming the dam failed, about 60,000 cfs of water would be released. There are no homes or developed areas below the dam. Sections of Westfield Road, a gravel

road serving only the reservoir, could be washed out or blocked by floodwater. The released waters would flow overland into the lower ponds. At Westfield Road, the flood stage at elevation 327± would cover the road with about 7 feet of water.



SECTION 6
STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observation

The visual observation did not disclose any immediate stability problems. However, the left training wall of the spillway approach channel which also acts as a retaining wall for the embankment is badly deteriorated. Failure of this wall would expose the embankment to spillway flows.

Significant seepage was found at the downstream toe of the main dam, and the exit points of this seepage could not be delineated at the time of the inspection.

b. Design and Construction Data

According to drawings dated 1903, the main dam and the dike have a mortared masonry core wall. The drawings indicate that the main dam was built on bedrock. The most impervious fill available during construction was placed upstream of the core wall and "rolled in 4 inch layers." Debris taken from the reservoir cleaning operation was placed on the gravel downstream slope.

The dike was constructed with gravel upstream and downstream of its central core wall. The downstream surface of the dike was used as a disposal area for waste material removed from clearing of the reservoir.

A 24 inch diameter intake pipe leading to a 30 inch diameter feed pipe downstream of the gatehouse passes through the main dam above the bedrock foundation. A 20 inch diameter pipe now used as a feed to this reservoir from the Tighe Carmody

reservoir is located in a trench excavated in the bedrock. Details of the construction of these pipes were not available. Since they are located beneath the surface, they could not be observed during this inspection.

c. Operating Records

No operating manual was available for this dam.

d. Post-Construction Changes

At an undetermined time after initial construction, a rock fill berm was placed over a portion of the downstream toe. The available information did not indicate why the rock fill had been placed, but it is located in the area of seepage along the toe and may have been placed because of excess seepage in the area. In 1939, repairs were made to the core wall of the dike to correct observed seepage.

In 1963, the inlet-outlet system was modified so that a 20 inch inlet line from the upstream Tighe Carmody reservoir feeds this reservoir. The gate on this line is normally left open. The 30 inch outlet line drains 3 to 5 million gallons per day into the City water system. Prior to 1963, both lines were used as outlets.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2, and according to the USCE guidelines, it is assumed that there is no hazard from earthquake loading.

SECTION 7

ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition

The visual examination indicates the dam is in generally fair condition. There were observed indications of seepage on the downstream face of the dam embankment and the spillway was observed to be in poor condition with excessive spalling and deterioration.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information made available, along with the visual inspection, are adequate for a Phase I investigation.

c. Urgency

The recommendations made in Section 7.2 and the remedial measures suggested in Section 7.3 should be implemented within one year after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report by the owner.

d. Need for Additional Investigation

No additional investigation is needed to complete the Phase I inspection.

7.2 Recommendations

1. It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified engineer to investigate the seepage conditions at the downstream toe and design a seepage collection and monitoring system.

2. Analysis of the test flood (100 year) under normal conditions, indicated the reservoir to have sufficient storage so that no discharge would occur at the spillway. However, the spillway has an extremely low discharge capacity and its wier configuration results in an unusually high potential for blockage. Under periods of extreme prolonged rainfall, or if the reservoir had to be operated at an unusually high storage level, the spillway would be incapable of passing more than minimal additional outflow and the dam would be vulnerable to overtopping. Considering the aforementioned poor condition of the spillway and its low flow capacity, it is recommended that the owner engage a qualified engineer to further investigate this structure in order to design a new structure or repair and/or modify the existing structure.

7.3 Remedial Measures

a. Operating and Maintenance Procedures

1. The owner should remove all brush debris and trees from the downstream slope of the main dam and spillway discharge channel.
2. If in accordance with recommendation 7.2.2 of this report, the existing spillway is to remain in place, the owner should repair all spalled and deteriorated concrete and masonry in the spillway walls and arch culvert.
3. The dam should be inspected yearly by qualified personnel who can identify any areas of concern which could in time lead to serious deficinecies.

7.4 Alternatives

Not applicable to this dam.

APPENDIX A
INSPECTION CHECKLIST

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT McLean Reservoir

DATE Dec. 6, 1978

TIME 10:30 a.m.

WEATHER Clear 45

W.S. ELEV. 420+ U.S. _____ DN.S. _____

PARTY:

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. <u>Ronald H. Cheney - HH&B</u> | 6. _____ |
| 2. <u>David B. Vine. - HH&B</u> | 7. _____ |
| 3. <u>Daniel P. LaGatta - GEI</u> | 8. _____ |
| 4. <u>Henry Seidel - Holyoke Water Dept.</u> | 9. _____ |
| 5. <u>(Part Time)</u> | 10. _____ |

PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY	REMARKS
1. <u>Embankment Dam & Dike</u>	<u>Daniel L. LaGatta</u>	_____
2. <u>Gatehouse</u>	<u>Ronald H. Cheney</u>	_____
3. <u>Spillway</u>	<u>Ronald H. Cheney</u>	_____
4. _____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir DATE Dec. 6, 1978
 PROJECT FEATURE Embankment Dam NAME D. P. LaGatta
 DISCIPLINE Geotechnical engineers NAME R. H. Cheney
Structural Engineer

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
<u>DAM EMBANKMENT</u>	
Crest Elevation	435±
Current Pool Elevation	420 +
Maximum Impoundment to Date	Unknown
Surface Cracks	None observed.
Pavement Condition	No pavement.
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None observed.
Lateral Movement	None observed.
Vertical Alignment	No misalignment observed.
Horizontal Alignment	
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Conditions at abutment good. Spillway training wall badly deteriorated.
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None observed.
Trespassing on Slopes	None observed.
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	None observed.
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	Riprap in good condition.
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or Near Toe	No movement observed.
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	Area at toe of dam between spillway on right abutment and gatehouse very wet and swampy.
Piping or Boils	No piping or boils observed.
Foundation Drainage Features	None.
Toe Drains	None.
Instrumentation System	None.
Vegetation	Downstream face and toe overgrown.

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir DATE Dec. 6, 1978
 PROJECT FEATURE Embankment Dam NAME D. P. LaGatta
 DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer NAME Ron H. Cheney
Structural Engineer

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
<u>DIKE EMBANKMENT</u>	
Crest Elevation	Dike is extention of main dam along right abutment shoreline beyond spillway.
Current Pool Elevation	Unknown
Maximum Impoundment to Date	None observed.
Surface Cracks	None.
Pavement Condition	None observed.
Movement or Settlement of Crest	No misalignment observed.
Lateral Movement	
Vertical Alignment	
Horizontal Alignment	
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Good.
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None observed.
Trespassing on Slopes	None observed.
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	None observed.
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	None.
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or Near Toes	None.
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	None observed.
Piping or Boils	None observed.
Foundation Drainage Features	None.
Toe Drains	None.
Instrumentation System	None.
Vegetation	Area downstream of dike is heavily wooded.

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir

DATE Dec. 6, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Intake Structure

NAME D. P. LaGatta

DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer
Structural Engineer

NAME R. H. Cheney

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<p><u>OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE</u></p> <p>a. Approach Channel</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Slope Conditions Bottom Conditions Rock Slides or Falls Log Boom Debris Condition of Concrete Lining Drains or Weep Holes <p>b. Intake Structure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Condition of Concrete Stop Logs and Slots 	<p>There is no Approach Channel for this facility.</p> <p>The Intake Structure is located approximately 100 feet upstream of the Gatehouse. It is a 24 inch diameter supply pipe with manual controls located in the Gatehouse. The structure could not be visually inspected as it was under water.</p>

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir
 PROJECT FEATURE Gatehouse & Controls
 DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer
 Structural Engineer

DATE December 6, 1978
 NAME D. P. LaGatta
 NAME R. H. Cheney

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<p><u>OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER</u></p> <p>a. Concrete and Structural</p> <p> General Condition</p> <p> Condition of Joints</p> <p> Spalling</p> <p> Visible Reinforcing</p> <p> Rusting or Staining of Concrete</p> <p> Any Seepage or Efflorescence</p> <p> Joint Alignment</p> <p> Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber</p> <p> Cracks</p> <p> Rusting or Corrosion of Steel</p> <p>b. Mechanical and Electrical</p> <p> Air Vents</p> <p> Float Wells</p> <p> Crane Hoist</p> <p> Elevator</p> <p> Hydraulic System</p> <p> Service Gates</p> <p> Emergency Gates</p> <p> Lightning Protection System</p> <p> Emergency Power System</p> <p> Wiring and Lighting System in Gate Chamber</p>	<p>There is no control tower for this facility, however, there is a gatehouse located at the center of the embankment. The Gatehouse is of fieldstone masonry, having a wood roof and concrete floor. The structure is in good condition with no apparent defects.</p> <p>The control for the intake-outlet works is located in the Gatehouse. The control is operated manually. According to City personnel the controls are operated regularly and are in working condition.</p>

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir

DATE Dec. 6. 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Transition and Conduit

NAME D. P. LaGatta

DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer
Structural Engineer

NAME R. H. Cheney

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<p>OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT</p> <p>General Condition of Concrete</p> <p>Rust or Staining on Concrete</p> <p>Spalling</p> <p>Erosion or Cavitation</p> <p>Cracking</p> <p>Alignment of Monoliths</p> <p>Alignment of Joints</p> <p>Numbering of Monoliths</p>	<p>There is a 30 inch outlet pipe which runs from the Gatehouse to the Ashley Chlorinating Station to the water system. This line is underground and could not be visually inspected.</p>

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir
 PROJECT FEATURE Embankment Dam
 DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer
Structural Engineer

DATE Dec. 6. 1978
 NAME D. P. LaGatta
 NAME R. H. Cheney

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<p><u>OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS</u></p> <p>a. Approach Channel</p> <p> General Condition</p> <p> Loose Rock Overhanging Channel</p> <p> Trees Overhanging Channel</p> <p> Floor of Approach Channel</p> <p>b. Weir and Training Walls</p> <p> General Condition of Concrete</p> <p> Rust or Staining</p> <p> Spalling</p> <p> Any Visible Reinforcing</p> <p> Any seepage or Efflorescence</p> <p> Drain Holes</p> <p>c. Discharge Channel</p> <p> General Condition</p> <p> Loose Rock Overhanging Channel</p> <p> Trees Overhanging Channel</p> <p> Floor of Channel</p> <p> Other Obstructions</p>	<p>Good.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Paved with boulders - good condition.</p> <p>The spillway is a concrete arch culvert. The spillway & training walls are highly weathered & in extremely poor condition. The floor is of unmortared scattered stone</p> <p>Poor - excessive deterioration.</p> <p>Some stains.</p> <p>Considerable</p> <p>None observed.</p> <p>None observed.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>The concrete is in poor condition with some spalling & deterioration.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>6-8 trees 6-in. diameter</p> <p>Numerous rock outcrops.</p> <p>Very narrow channel with some vegetation.</p>

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir

DATE Dec. 6. 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Outlet Structure

NAME D. P. LaGatta

DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer

NAME R. H. Cheney

Structural Engineer.

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<p><u>OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL</u></p> <p>General Condition of Concrete</p> <p>Rust or Staining</p> <p>Spalling</p> <p>Erosion or Cavitation</p> <p>Visible Reinforcing</p> <p>Any Seepage or Efflorescence</p> <p>Condition at Joints</p> <p>Drain Holes</p> <p>Channel</p> <p>Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel</p> <p>Condition of Discharge Channel</p>	<p>The inlet-outlet structure is the 24 inch supply line running through the embankment under the gatehouse. This line is eventually converted to a 30 inch line feeding the water system.</p> <p>There is no definitive outlet channel for this facility.</p>

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT McLean Reservoir

DATE December 6, 1978

PROJECT FEATURE Service Bridge

NAME D. P. LaGatta

DISCIPLINE Geotechnical Engineer

NAME R. H. Cheney

Structural Engineer

AREA EVALUATED

CONDITIONS

OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE

a. Super Structure

Bearings

Anchor Bolts

Bridge Seat

Longitudinal Members

Under Side of Deck

Secondary Bracing

Deck

Drainage System

Railings

Expansion Joints

Paint

b. Abutment and Piers

General Condition of Concrete

Alignment of Abutment

Approach to Bridge

Condition of Seat and Backwall

There is no service bridge for this facility.

APPENDIX B
ENGINEERING DATA

LIST OF ENGINEERING DATA

1. Plans dated 1899 to 1903 outlining original construction
2. Plans dated 1939 outlining corewall repairs to the dike

Location: Holyoke Water Department
20 Commercial Street
Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040

No design calculations were located



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENGR.
DIVISION OF WATERWAYS

100 Nashua Street, Boston 02111

City of Holyoke
Board of Water Commissioners
20 Commercial Street
Holyoke, Ma.
ATTN: Charles Moran

February 15, 1977

Re: Inspection Dam #2-7-137-7
McLean Reservoir Dam
Holyoke, Ma.

Dear Sir:

On April 23, 1976, an Engineer from the Massachusetts Department of Public Works made a visual inspection of the above dam. Our records indicate the owner to be Board of Water Commissioners - City of Holyoke. If this information is incorrect, will you please notify this office.

The inspection was made in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 253 of the Massachusetts General Laws as amended (Dams Safety Act). Chapter 706 of the Acts of 1975 transferred the jurisdiction of the so-called "Dams Safety Program" to the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering.

The results of the inspection indicate that this dam is ~~undamaged~~^{F&R} safe. The following conditions were noted that require attention:


The spillway structure has deteriorated slightly more, with cracks in side walls more pronounced - this should be corrected.

Brush growth on downstream slope should be removed.

Dam appears safe, routine maintenance necessary.

We call these conditions to your attention before they become serious and more expensive to correct. With any correspondence please include the number of the dam as indicated above.

Very truly yours,


John W. Hannon, P.E.
Chief Engineer

At: Mailed 2-16-77
JWE Dist 2
DOZ "

INSPECTION REPORT - DAMS AND RESERVOIRS

LOCATION:

City/Town Holyoke . County Hampden . Dam No. 2-7-137-7

Name of Dam McLean Reservoir Dam

Mass. Rect.

Topo Sheet No. 12A . Coordinates: N 430,200 , E 282,300

Inspected by: Harold T. Shumway , On April 23, 1976 . Date Last Inspection 1-24-74

OWNER/S: As of April 23, 1976

per: Assessors _____, Reg. of Deeds _____, Prev. Insp. X , Per. Contact X

- City of Holyoke
- 1. Board of Water Commissioners, 20 Commercial Street, Holyoke, Mass.

Name	St. & No.	City/Town	State	Tel. No.
- 2. _____

Name	St. & No.	City/Town	State	Tel. No.
- 3. _____

Name	St. & No.	City/Town	State	Tel. No.

CARETAKER: (if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.

Mr. Charles Moran
Supt. Holyoke Water Dept., 20 Commercial Street, Holyoke, Mass.

Name	St. & No.	City/Town	State	Tel. No.

DATA:

No. of Pictures Taken None . Sketches See description of Dam.
Plans, Where None located

DEGREE OF HAZARD: (if dam should fail completely)*

- 1. Minor _____
- 2. Moderate X _____
- 3. Severe _____
- 4. Disastrous _____

Comments: Most of impoundment should be accomodated in Ashley Pond just below.

*This rating may change as land use changes (future development).

6. OUTLETS: OUTLET CONTROLS AND DRAWDOWN

Southerly end of main dam-concrete and ledge chute spillway-
No. 1 Location and Type: 8' to 10' W.-chute carried through embankment by 10'X4'H.
concrete arch culvert-invert 6' below top of embankment.
Controls Yes, TYPE: A concrete weir or baffle 2.7'H across chute 15' downstream
of arch culvert.
Automatic . Manual . Operative Yes , No .

Comments: Minor spalling and cracks in side walls.

Through gate house near center of dam-20" C.I. pipe water into
No. 2 Location and Type: leading to Holyoke water system and to Ashley Reservoir.

Controls Yes, Type: Valve gates.

Automatic . Manual X. Operative Yes X, No .

Comments:

No. 3 Location and Type:

Controls , Type:

Automatic . Manual . Operative Yes , No .

Comments:

Drawdown present Yes X, No . Operative Yes X, No .

Comments: See No. 2 above

7. DAM UPSTREAM FACE: Slope 3:1, Depth Water at Dam 30' to 35'

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees . Rock fill . Masonry . Wood .

Other Top 4' of slope turf-remaining surface rock paving.

Condition: 1. Good . 3. Major Repairs .

2. Minor Repairs X. 4. Urgent Repairs .

Comments: Minor erosion from vehicular traffic along top of dam.

8. DAM DOWNSTREAM FACE: Slope 3:1.

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees . Rock Fill X. Masonry . Wood .

Other Rock toe fill near center of dam.

Condition: 1. Good . 3. Major Repairs .

2. Minor Repairs X. 4. Urgent Repairs .

Comments: Erosion from bike trails on slope-gully 8" to 10" deep and 2' to 3' wide
extends from top to toe of slope on down stream side.

9. EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: Available Yes. Needed No.

Present chute spillway has been adequate for many years.

Height Above Normal Water: 4½ Ft.

Width 10 Ft. Height 4.5 Ft. Material concrete and ledge.

Condition: 1. Good _____ . 3. Major Repairs _____ .
2. Minor Repairs X _____ . 4. Urgent Repairs _____ .

Comments: Concrete side walls badly cracked and spalled.

10. WATER LEVEL AT TIME OF INSPECTION: 7½ Ft. Above _____ . Below X _____ .

Top Dam X _____ F.L. Principal Spillway _____ .

Other _____ .
Normal Freeboard 7 _____ Ft. From invert of emergency spillway to top of embankment.

11. SUMMARY OF DEFICIENCIES NOTED:

Growth (Trees and Brush) on Embankment Yes-moderate growth of brush on downstream slope

Animal Burrows and Washouts None found.

Damage to Slopes or Top of Dam Yes-see item #8 comments, also item #7 comments.

Cracked or Damaged Masonry Yes-side walls of spillway cracked and settled.

Evidence of Seepage Seepage flow noted from rock fill at toe of slope.

Evidence of Piping None found.

Leaks None found.

Erosion See item #7 and #8.

Trash and/or Debris Impeding Flow None found.

Clogged or Blocked Spillway None found.

Other _____

OVERALL CONDITION:

1. Safe _____
2. Minor repairs needed X
3. Conditionally safe - major repairs needed _____
4. Unsafe _____
5. Reservoir impoundment no longer exists (explain)
Recommend removal from inspection list _____

REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: (Fully Explain)

Mr. Charles Moran, Supt. of Holyoke Water Dept., and Mr. Philip Sheridan, Chief Eng for Tigue and Bond Div. of S.C.I., were both present during this inspection. Conditions at the dam were found to be much the same as at last inspection of 1-24-74. The spillway structure has deteriorated slightly more with cracks in side walls more pronounced. Brush growth on down stream slope is evident. The seepage flow at toe of slope appeared to be the same as at last inspection and appears normal for this type of dam.

Dam appears safe with mostly routine maintenance repairs needed.

HTS/at

INSPECTION REPORT - DAMS AND RESERVOIRS

1. LOCATION:

City/Town ~~ALORX~~ Holyoke County Hampden Dam No. 2-7-137-7

Name of Dam McLean Reservoir Dam

Mass. Sect.

Topo Sheet No. 12A Coordinates: N 430,200 E 282,300

Inspected by: R.C. Salls, P.E. On Jan. 24, 1974 Date Last Inspection 1969

2. OWNER/S: As of Jan. 24, 1974

per: Assessors Reg. of Deeds Prev. Insp. Per. Contact X

City of Holyoke

1. Board of Water Commissioners - Room 8, City Hall, Holyoke, Mass.
Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.

2. Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.

3. Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.

3. CARETAKER: (if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.

Mr. Anthony Canon

Supt. of Water Dept., 20 Commercial Street, Holyoke, Mass.

Name St. & No. City/Town State Tel. No.

4. DATA:

No. of Pictures Taken None Sketches See description of Dam.
Plans, Where No comprehensive plans found

5. DEGREE OF HAZARD: (if dam should fail completely)*

- 1. Minor _____
- 2. Moderate X _____
- 3. Severe _____
- 4. Disastrous _____

Comments: Most of runoff should be accommodated in Ashley Pond just below

*This rating may change as land use changes (future development).

OUTLETS: OUTLET CONTROLS AND DRAWDOWN

No. 1 Location and Type: Southerly end main dam concrete and ledge chute spillway - 8' to 10' wide - chute carried through embankment by 10' wide 4' high concrete arch culvert - flow line 6' below top of embankment.
Controls Yes, TYPE: A concrete weir or baffle 2.7 ft. high across chute 15' downstream of culvert.
Automatic . Manual . Operative Yes , No .

Comments: Some spalling and deterioration of concrete spillway side walls - also some cracks.

No. 2 Location and Type: Through gate house near center of dam water intake - 20' CI pipe to Holyoke water distribution system and to Ashley Reservoir.
Controls Yes, Type: Gates

Automatic . Manual X. Operative Yes X, No . Per Water Dept. personnel

Comments:

No. 3 Location and Type:

Controls , Type:

Automatic . Manual . Operative Yes , No .

Comments:

Drawdown present Yes X, No . Operative Yes , No .

Comments: See No. 2 above

DAM UPSTREAM FACE: Slope 3:1, Depth Water at Dam 20 ft. plus.

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees . Rock ~~Fill~~ Paving X. Masonry . Wood .

Other Top 4 ft. turf - remaining surface rock paving or fill

Condition: 1. Good X. 3. Major Repairs .

2. Minor Repairs . 4. Urgent Repairs .

Comments: Stump of some small trees visible on slope. Cut since County inspection in 1969

DAM DOWNSTREAM FACE: Slope 3:1.

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees X. Rock Fill X. Masonry . Wood .

Other Miscellaneous brush and trees growing on slope - a rock toe fill near center of main dam apparently placed since construction. Has seepage.

Condition: 1. Good . 3. Major Repairs .

2. Minor Repairs X. 4. Urgent Repairs .

Comments: Seepage should be watched. District recommends that the owner install a seepage weir or other means of measuring amount of seepage.

9. EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: Available Yes . Needed No . Present chute spillway has been adequate for many years.
 Height Above Normal Water 4.7 Ft. at time of inspection.
 Width 10 Ft. Height 4.5 Ft. Material Concrete and ledge .
 Condition: 1. Good _____ . 3. Major Repairs _____ .
 2. Minor Repairs X . 4. Urgent Repairs _____ .
 Comments: Concrete sidewalls spalled and cracked.

10. WATER LEVEL AT TIME OF INSPECTION: 10 Ft. Above _____ . Below X _____ .
 Top Dam X _____ F.L. Principal Spillway _____ .
 Other _____
 Normal Freeboard 7 Ft. From flow line emergency spillway to top of embankment.

11. SUMMARY OF DEFICIENCIES NOTED:
 Yes - See Item 8 above. Also some overgrowth
 Growth (Trees and Brush) on Embankment ornamental bushes on crest
 Animal Burrows and Washouts None found
 Damage to Slopes or Top of Dam None found
 Cracked or Damaged Masonry Spalling and cracking overflow spillway masonry
 Evidence of Seepage Visible seepage from rock fill at toe of main dam
 Evidence of Piping None noted
 Leaks None noted
 Erosion None found
 Trash and/or Debris Impeding Flow None
 Clogged or Blocked Spillway _____
 Other _____

12.

OVERALL CONDITION:

1. Safe _____.
2. Minor repairs needed X _____.
3. Conditionally safe - major repairs needed _____.
4. Unsafe _____.
5. Reservoir impoundment no longer exists (explain)
Recommend removal from inspection list _____.

13.

REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: (Fully Explain)

This reservoir was established around 1900 to provide water to the area west of Beech Street and we were told by various Water Department employees that some of the water impounded in the reservoir is pumped up from the Ashley Reservoir or from the Manhan Reservoir in Southampton. The information on the construction and internal structure of the embankment was indefinite but there was some indication that the embankment is built over and around a rather massive concrete wall with a sheet piling water stop in the base. Also, the exact nature of the pipes through the embankment and the controls in the gate house was not clear.

At the time of the inspection the embankments, both the main dam and the dike at the southerly end, were satisfactory as to alignment and grade. The roadway along the top showed no evidence of settlement and both slopes showed no signs of slumping or sliding. Brush on the upstream slope had been cut as recommended by the County Engineer but the stumps were still there. On the downstream slope brush and brambles are still growing and should be cleared and the slope mowed regularly. The stone paving or fill on the upstream slope was in satisfactory condition.

There is a rock fill at the downstream toe which in places appears to have been placed since the dam was constructed. Considerable seepage flow was visible here. This seepage appears to be of sufficient quantity so that a close watch should be kept on it and perhaps a seepage collection ditch and weir or some other means of measuring the amount of seepage should be installed.

The masonry sidewalls of the chute overflow spillway were badly spalled and cracked in places. Repairs should be made to prevent more serious deterioration.

Submitted by R. C. Salls, P.E. Dam No. 2-7-137-7

Date January 24, 1974 City ~~XXX~~ Holyoke

Name of Dam McLean Reservoir Dam

1. Location: Topo Sheet No. 12A Mass. Rect. Coordinates N 430,200 E 282,300

Provide $8\frac{1}{2}$ " x 11" in clear copy of topo map with location of Dam clearly indicated.

On Paucatuck Brook - access via a private road southerly from Rte. 202 along shore of Reservoir - road about 1 mile westerly from intersection of Rte. 202 and Homestead Avenue.

2. Date on Gate house Year built 1903 Year/s of subsequent repairs Unknown

3. Purpose of Dam: Water Supply Recreational _____
 Flood Control _____ Irrigation _____ Other _____

4. Drainage Area: 0.47 sq. mi. _____ acres.
 Type: City, Bus. & Ind. _____ Dense Res. _____ Suburban _____ Rural, Farm _____
 Wood & Scrub Land Slope: Steep Med. _____ Slight _____
 Reservoir also receives water from Ashley and Manhan Reservoirs.

5. Normal Ponding Area: 64 Acres; Ave. Depth Say 14 to 15 ft.
 Impoundment: 330 million gals.; 950 acre ft.
 Silted in: Yes _____ No Approx. Amount Storage Area _____

6. No. and type of dwellings located adjacent to pond or reservoir None
 i.e. summer homes etc. _____

7. Dimensions of Dam: Length 1620' Max. Height 35'
 Freeboard 4.6 ft. to flow line overflow spillw.
 Slopes: Upstream Face 3:1 - stone paved
 Downstream Face 3:1 - turf - rock fill at toe in places
 Width across top 24 except for widening at gate house

8.

Classification of Dam by Material:

Earth X Conc. Masonry _____ Stone ~~REMOVED~~ X ^{Fill}
 Timber _____ Rockfill _____ Other _____

8a.

Dam Type: Gravity X Straight X Curved, Arched _____ Other _____
 Overflow _____ Non-overflow X
 Main dam straight - dike curves with contours.

9.

A. Description of present land usage downstream of dam:

100 % rural; _____ % urban

B. Is there a storage area or flood plain downstream of dam which could accommodate the impoundment in the event of a complete dam failure? Yes X No _____

C. Character Downstream Valley: Narrow _____ Wide X Developed _____
 Rural 100* Urban _____

Ashley Pond below.

10.

Risk to life and property in event of complete failure.

No. of people None

No. of homes None

No. of businesses None

No. of industries None Type _____

No. of utilities 2 Type Holyoke Water Supply - Telephone trunk line

Railroads None

Other dams Ashley Reservoir Dam No. 2-7-137-9

Other Above evaluation of risk assumes that released water is held in Ashley Reservoir.

11.

Attach Sketch of dam to this form showing section and plan on 8 1/2" x 11" sheet.

RCS/vk
 Attachments
 Locus Plan
 Sketches



MCLEARN RESERVOIR

EDGE WATER

EDGE WATER


EDGE WATER

EDGE WATER

EDGE WATER

EDGE WATER

EDGE WATER




APPROX. LOCATION OF INTAKE PIPE. MARKS ONLY FOR FLECKER PIPE

PLAN NOT TO SCALE


DAM NO. 2-7-137-7




12A






TYPICAL X SECTION MAIN DAM "AA"




X SECTION "BB" THROUGH CHUTE OVERFLOW SPILLWAY AT S'LY END MAIN DAM



SLOPE 3:4:2:1



SECTION THROUGH OVERFLOW SPILLWAY



SECTION THROUGH OVERFLOW SPILLWAY

JAYDEN, HARRING & BUCHANAN, INC. U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT NEW ENGLAND
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS


McLEAN RESERVOIR

HOLYOKE

MASSACHUSETTS


SCALE NOT TO SCALE
DATE FEBRUARY 1974

PROFILE



TAKEN FROM JULY 1969 THROUGH 1963 DESIGN PLANS AND STATE 1974 INSPECTION REPORT SKETCHES

APPENDIX C
PHOTOGRAPHS



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC. U.S. ARMY ENGINEER OFFICE NEW ENGLAND
 CIVIL ENGINEERS
 WALTHAM, MASS.

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS

LOCATION OF PHOTOGRAPHS
 McLEAN RESERVOIR

HOLYDRE	MASSACHUSETTS
SCALE NOT TO SCALE	DATE FEBRUARY, 1978



PHOTO NO. 1 - Crest and upstream face of dam.



PHOTO NO. 2 - Approach channel of spillway weir.



PHOTO NO. 3 - Spillway weir and outlet channel.



PHOTO NO. 4 - Downstream face of embankment viewed from spillway channel toward left abutment.



PHOTO NO. 5 - Outlet channel viewed from crest of weir.



PHOTO NO. 6 - Outlet channel
viewed from bottom of channel
looking up to top of dam.



PHOTO NO. 7 - Toe of embankment viewed from bottom of spillway channel toward left abutment.



PHOTO NO. 8 - Wet area at toe of dam about 30 feet from spillway channel toward left abutment.



PHOTO NO. 9 - Bedrock out-
crop at toe of dam above
wet area shown in PHOTO 8.
Water is leaking from
joints.



PHOTO NO. 10 - Downstream face of dam from top of "berm"
above toe of dam.



PHOTO NO. 11 - Toe of berm at a point 100 feet right of gatehouse. Wet, swampy area in lower right hand corner of photograph.



PHOTO NO. 12 - Downstream face of dam below gatehouse.




PHOTO NO. 13 - View of crest and upstream face of dam
taken from spillway



PHOTO NO. 14 - Left training wall of spillway.



PHOTO NO. 15 - View of McLean Reservoir.



McLean Reservoir Dam

Hydraulic Data from COE Inventory of Dams in the US
& State Inspection

Crest length = 1630' ✓
Spillway width = 10' ✓
Impoundment Cap (Estimated)
Normal = 950 ac-ft ✓
max = 1240 ac-ft

Drainage area = 0.47 sq mi

Structure Height = 42'
Hydraulic H_{max} = 35' ✓

Hydraulic Data from field investigation

Spillway width = 10'
Impoundment Cap
max = 365 mg = 48793200 cu ft = 1120 ac-ft

SIZE CLASSIFICATION

Size Category
Height = 35' → Small
Max Imp. Cap = 1240 ac-ft → Intermediate

Use Intermediate size Classification ✓

HAZARD POTENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Long-term Low - none caps or pro cap downstream dams hold
Flood - minimal - no. must be considered.

73247

12-1-7-

F-

MA 12/26



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
BOSTON MASSACHUSETTS

SHEET NO. 2

JOB D. Safety Ins.

SUBJECT Melrose Res. Dam

CLIENT CGF

Determine Test Flood

For Low Hazard & Intermediate Sites:

Range 100yr to 1/2 PMF

Test flood = 100yr storm ✓
No development down stream, water dept. low, and very small drainage area. Flow controlled by water dept in-out by water mains & demand, normal level 427 - some times much lower.

Area delineate on 1:24000 scale USGS quad sheet (Mt. Tom) & planimetered from that sheet.

$$R_1 = 3.44 \quad R_2 = 3.47 \quad R_3 = 3.48 \quad R_{ave} = 3.46 \text{ m}^2$$

$$A = \frac{10000}{43700} \times 3.46 \text{ ac} = 317.1 \text{ sq. ft.} = 0.496 \text{ sq. m.} \quad (\text{check Mass. Inspector data: } A = 0.47 \text{ sq. mi.})$$

Say 300 ACRES

Peak Flow:

$$\text{Its area} < 2 \text{ sq. mi. use PMF} = 3000 \text{ cfs/sq. mi.}$$

$$\text{PMF for area} = 3000 \frac{\text{cfs}}{\text{sq. mi.}} \times 0.5 \text{ sq. mi.} = 1500 \text{ cfs.}$$

$$\text{Test flood} = \left(\frac{1}{4} \times 1500\right) = 375 \text{ cfs}$$

$$100\text{yr} = 375 \text{ cfs.}$$


Outlets

#1 - 24" C.I.P. Inv In elev = 411.0 - Water Supply Pipe
(from plan section thru Gate House)
Assume inlet gate closed during Flood, i.e. ignore pipe

#2 - Spillway - 10' wide; control at arch culvert


From Plans & Field Recon Sketch:

Arch Culvert:




Weir: approx 13.5' downstream of culvert

width = 10' $\xrightarrow{3'4"}$



crest elev = 432 \pm below dam crest

Spillway:



Effect of weir on flow through arch culvert significant (see pg 12)


Discharge controlled by weir

JOB NO. 78149
 DATE 3/22/78
 BY ML
 CH'D BY



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON MASSACHUSETTS


SHEET NO. 4
 JOB W-10
 SUBJECT Melrose
 CLIENT CIPIS




Flow over weir $Q = CLH^{3/2}$

<u>H</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>Q</u>
432.25	3.10	10	3.9	
43.3	3.20	10	5.2	
43.5	3.30	10	11.7	
43.75	3.38	10	22	
44.0	3.38	10	34	
44.5	"	"	62	

Outlet Flow




Outlet Flow
 (from nomograph)



Weir

JOB NO. 79200-1
 DATE 2/12
 BY HH&B
 HD BY HH&B



Flow Area = $\frac{1}{2} (2)(10) = 15' \text{ @ } D = 3'$

$V = \frac{1.486}{1.485} (10^{2/3}) \left(\frac{1.3}{1.48}\right)^{1/2}$

$Q = VA$
 $V = \frac{Q}{A}$

$12^{2/3} = \frac{V}{12.1} = \frac{Q}{12.1 \times A}$

$Q = 12^{2/3} \times 12.1 \times A$

$\frac{L}{H}$	$\frac{A}{H^2}$	$\frac{W}{H}$	$\frac{R^{2/3}}{H}$	$\frac{Q}{H^3}$	
3	15	14	1.04	189	will not interfere
2	8	10	0.86	83	n/ flow from weir
1	3.4	6	0.67	28	
1/2	1	3.5	0.43	5.2	

JOB NO. 7E-2441
 DATE 3/25/78
 BY MC
 CH'D BY LL



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON MASSACHUSETTS

SHEET NO. 6
 JOB Dam
 SUBJECT McLean
 CLIENT Comp

$$Q_{P1} = 375 \quad E_{I1} = 435.35 \quad S_{T11} = 1245 - 1090 = 155 \text{ or } 6.2''$$

6.2" > 4.75" = 19/4 for 100 yr storm

$$\text{Let } S_{T11} = \frac{155+0}{2} = 78 \text{ or } 3.12''$$

$$Q_{P2} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{3.12}{4.75}\right) = 130 \text{ cfs} \quad E_{I2} = 435.1$$

$$S_{T2} = 1245 - 1090 = 155 \quad S_{T2d} = \frac{155+78}{2} = 116.5 \text{ or } 4.66''$$

$$Q_{P3} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.66}{4.75}\right) = 7.1 \text{ cfs} \quad E_{I3} = 432.35$$

$$S_{T3} = 1185 - 1090 = 95 \quad S_{T3d} = \frac{116.5+95}{2} = 105.75 \text{ or } 4.23''$$

$$Q_{P4} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.23}{4.75}\right) = 41 \text{ cfs} \quad E_{I4} = 433.6$$

$$S_{T4} = 1210 - 1090 = 120 \quad S_{T4d} = \frac{120+105.75}{2} = 112.9 \text{ or } 4.52''$$

$$Q_{P5} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.52}{4.75}\right) = 18.6 \text{ cfs} \quad E_{I5} = 432.65$$

$$S_{T5} = 97 \quad S_{T5d} = \frac{97+112.9}{2} = 105 \text{ or } 4.2$$

$$Q_{P6} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.2}{4.75}\right) = 43.6 \quad E_{I6} = 433.8$$

$$S_{T6} = 1215 - 1090 = 125 \quad S_{T6d} = 115 \text{ or } 4.6''$$

$$Q_{P7} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.6}{4.75}\right) = 11.8 \quad E_{I7} = 432.5$$

$$S_{T7} = 1190 - 1090 = 100 \quad S_{T7d} = \frac{100+115}{2} = 107.5 \text{ or } 4.3''$$

$$Q_{P8} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.3}{4.75}\right) = 35.5 \quad E_{I8} = 433.3 \quad S_{T8} = 115 \quad \text{ave } 111.25 \quad 4.45''$$

$$Q_{P9} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.45}{4.75}\right) = 23.7 \text{ cfs} \quad E_{I9} = 432.75 \quad S_{T9} = 105 \quad \text{ave } 108.25 \quad 4.33$$

$$Q_{P10} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.33}{4.75}\right) = 33 \quad E_{I10} = 433.3 \quad S_{T10} = 115 \quad \text{ave } 112 \quad \text{or } 4.46$$

$$Q_{P11} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.46}{4.75}\right) = 23 \quad E_{I11} = 432.8 \quad S_{T11} = 105 \quad S_{T11d} = 109 \quad 4.34$$

$$Q_{P12} = 375 \left(1 - \frac{4.34}{4.75}\right) = 32. \quad E_{I12} = 433.2$$

Let $E_{I10} = 433 \quad Q_{out} = 30 \text{ cfs}$

73764
 12/12/50
 BY MA 12/26



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

SHEET NO. 7
 JOB Low Salinity Inlet
 SUBJECT McLean Res. Dam
 CLIENT COE

Determine Weir Flow over top of dam:


Assume flow only on "dam crest" - not on dike
 Length $L = 650'$ width $w = 10'$

Assume have broad crested weir

Use weir formula: $Q = CLH^{3/2}$

$L = 650'$, H - varies, C - varies with H
 C - values obtained from King's "Handbook of Hydraulics"

EL	H, ft	C	L, ft	$H^{3/2}$	Q cfs	Q Act.
435.0						0
435.2	0.2	2.49	650	0.089	144.9 - 145 ✓	208
435.4	0.4	2.52	"	0.253	421.0 - 420	485
435.6	0.6	2.70	"	0.465	815.6 - 815	873
435.8	0.8	2.61	"	0.716	1251.1 - 1250 ✓	
436.0	1.0	2.48	"	1.0	1742 - 1740	1810



$Q \times 100$ cfs
 - Discharge -
 combined flow over
 dam
 For flow under 150 cfs
 see pg 4 chart

Assume Dam Fails

Peak storage = 1240 ac-ft

Height at failure = 35± ft

width @ mid-height = 430' (measured from plans)

Peak Failure outflow = $Q_p = 8/27 W_b \sqrt{g} Y_o^{3/2}$

with $W_b = .4(L) = .4 \times 430 = 172'$


$Y_o = 35'$

$Q_p = 8/27 \times 172 \times \sqrt{\frac{5.674}{32.2}} \times (35)^{3/2} = 57,890 \pm \text{cfs.}$

1st reach Determine Storage Capacity in reach

300' Downstream

X Sect L



use $n = 0.025$
 $S = \frac{416 - 370}{570} = 0.15$

@ $H = 9'$ $A = 1/2 (30 + 90) \times 10 = 600 \text{ sf}$
 $P = 300 + 90.5 = 122.2 \text{ ft}$
 $R = 4.91$

$Q = \frac{1.49}{0.025} \times (4.91)^{4/3} \times (.15)^{1/2} \times 600 = 40,500 \pm \text{cfs.} < 57,890$

@ $H = 15'$ $A = 1/2 (50 + 150) \times 15 = 1500 \text{ sf.}$
 $P = (50.7 + 150.7) = 200.7$
 $R = 7.39$

JOB NO. 79.244
 DATE 12/18/79
 BY FDD
 CH'D BY MH



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON MASSACHUSETTS

SHEET NO. 9
 JOB Dam Safety Insp
 SUBJECT Winocon Res D
 CLIENT COF

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{1.49} \times (7.32)^{2/3} (1.0)^{1/2} \times 1500 = 131,365 \text{ cfs} > 59,900 \text{ cfs}$$

@ H = 11' A = $\frac{1}{2}(367 + 110) \times 11 = 806.9 \sim 807 \text{ sf}$
 P = $343 + 110.5 = 1489$
 R = 5.423

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{1.075} \times (5.423)^{2/3} \times 0.15^{1/4} \times 807 = 57,670 \text{ cfs}$$

Flow height @ 300' down stream dam - just over 11 ft.

Reach Storage Capacity: Area x Reach Length

$$V_1 = \frac{807 \times 300}{12.25} = 5.6 \text{ ac-ft}$$


$$\text{Total } Q \text{ to reach 2} = Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S}\right) =$$

$$= 59,900 \left(1 - \frac{5.6 \times 10^6}{1240}\right)$$

use $Q_{p2} = Q_{p1}$

2nd Reach: 1200' downstream

X-Section:



use $n = 0.030$

$$S = \frac{50}{700} = 0.07$$

@ H = 10' A = $\frac{1}{2}(300 + 100) \times 10 = 500 \text{ sf}$
 P = 1000 ft.
 R = 5.0

NO. 73-47
 DATE 12/15/75
 BY H.A.

HH & B HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

SHEET NO. 10
 JOB Dam Site
 SUBJECT McLean Res. Dam
 CLIENT C&F


$$Q = \frac{1.49}{1.03} \times (500)^{2.66} \times (1.07)^{2.65} \times 5000 = 192,116 \text{ cfs} > 59,980$$

@ H = 5' A = 1/2 x 5 x 500 = 1250 sf.
 P ≈ 500'
 R = 2.5

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{1.03} \times (2.5)^{2.66} \times (1.07)^{2.65} \times 1250 = 30,256 \text{ cfs} < 59,980$$

@ H = 7' A = 1/2 x 7 x 700 = 2450
 P ≈ 700'
 R = 3.5

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{1.03} \times (3.5)^{2.66} \times (1.07)^{2.65} \times 2450 = 74,215 \text{ cfs} > 59,980$$




Flood Height @ 1700' downstream dam 6.6' ±

Determining open culvert size under road, and elevation
 of road, may use top Westfield Road

OR NO. 100
 DATE 3/22/70
 BY W/L
 D'D BY F.L.D.

HH & B HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON MASSACHUSETTS

SHEET NO. 11
 JOB Dams
 SUBJECT McLellan
 CLIENT Comp 13



JOB NO 7-1-1
 DATE 1-1-58
 BY J.S.
 CH'D BY H.L.



HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC.
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS


SHEET NO. 12
 JOB Dam Safety Report
 SUBJECT McLean Res. Dam
 CLIENT COE


Storage Capacity of McLean Reservoir

- e. Elev = 427 (normal pool) } Volume = 950 ac-ft
- c. Elev = 430 } Volume = 1130 ac-ft
- @ Elev = 435 (max. height) } Volume = 1240 ac-ft

Potential Spillway Capacity if Weir Removed

Elev.	Height in Discharge cts.			
30	1.0	30		
31	2.0	80		
32	3.0	145		
32.5	3.5	190	34	5 280
32.6	3.6	190	35	6 310
32.9	3.9	215	36	7 340
<u>33</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>270</u>		






HAYDEN, HARDING & BUCHANAN, INC CONSULTING ENGINEERS BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS	U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV. NEW ENGLAND CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS.
--	---

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS

MCLEAN RESERVOIR

HOLYOKE MASSACHUSETTS

SCALE: 1" = 2000'
DATE: FEBRUARY, 1979.





INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES

STATE	DIVISION	CONGR. DIST.	STATE	COUNTY	DIST.	NAME	LATITUDE (NORTH)	LONGITUDE (WEST)	REPORT DATE
MA	01	01				MCLEAN RESERVOIR DAM	42°10.5	72°40.2	23 FEB 79

POPULAR NAME	NAME OF IMPOUNDMENT
(b) (4)	
REGION/BASIN	NEAREST DOWNSTREAM CITY-TOWN-VILLAGE
01	HOLYOKE
RIVER OR STREAM	DIST. FROM DAM (MI.)
20 IN OUTLET TO ASHLEY POND	0
	POPULATION
	50112

TYPE OF DAM	YEAR COMPLETED	PURPOSES	HYDRAUL. HEAD (FT.)	IMPOUNDING CAPACITIES (ACRES-FT.)	DIST. OWN	PRV/PFD	SCS A	VER/DATE
REFLECT	1903	S	39	1240	N	N	N	07MAR79

REMARKS

DIS. HAS LENGTH	SPILLWAY TYPE	MAXIMUM DISCHARGE (FT.)	VOLUME OF DAM (CV)	POWER CAPACITY		NAVIGATION LOCKS	
				INSTALLED	PROPOSED	NO.	LENGTH (FT.)
3	1620	310	10				

OWNER	ENGINEERING BY
CITY OF HOLYOKE BOARD OF	J.L. TIGHE
	CONSTRUCTION BY

REGULATORY AGENCY	
DESIGN	OPERATION
NONE	NOTE
MAINTENANCE	

INSPECTION BY	INSPECTION DATE
MAYDEN HARDING & RUCHANAN, INC	06 DEC 78
	AUTHORITY FOR INSPECTION
	PUBLIC LAW 92-567

REMARKS

APPENDIX D
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

APPENDIX E
INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE
NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

END

FILMED

8-85

DTIC