

AD-A155 187

NULL SYNTHESIS WITH PHASE AND AMPLITUDE CONTROLS AT THE
SUBARRAY(U) ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER GRIFFISS AFB NY
R L HAUPT SEP 84 RADC-TR-84-197

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 9/5

NL

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

END
FORM
218



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A155 187

②
ETM

RADC-TR-84-197
In-House Report
September 1984



NULL SYNTHESIS WITH PHASE AND AMPLITUDE CONTROLS AT THE SUBARRAY OUTPUTS

Randy L. Haupt, Captain, USAF

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DTIC
SELECTE
JUN 12 1985
S D
G

DTIC FILE COPY

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER
Air Force Systems Command
Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441

85 F 17 04 8

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Public Affairs Office (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-84-197 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED: *Philipp Blacksmith*
PHILIPP BLACKSMITH
Chief, EM Techniques Branch
Electromagnetic Sciences Division



| | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS GRA&I | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Unannounced | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Justification | |
| By _____ | |
| Distribution/ | |
| Availability Codes | |
| Dist | Avail and/or Special |
| <i>A/1</i> | |

APPROVED: *Allan C. Schell*
ALLAN C. SCHELL
Chief, Electromagnetic Sciences Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

John A. Ritz

JOHN A. RITZ
Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (EECS) Hanscom AFB MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | | 1d. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS N/A | | |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY N/A | | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited. | | |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A | | | | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) RADC/TR-84-197 | | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) | | |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Rome Air Development Center | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) EEC | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Rome Air Development Center (EEC) | | |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731 | | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731 | | |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Rome Air Development Center | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) EEC | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N/A | | |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731 | | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS | | |
| | | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61002F | PROJECT NO. 2305 | TASK NO. J3 |
| | | | | WORK UNIT NO. 04 |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Null Synthesis With Phase and Amplitude Controls at the Subarray Outputs | | | | |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Randy L. Haupt, Capt, USAF | | | | |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT In-House | 13b. TIME COVERED FROM 3/1/84 TO 5/14/84 | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) 1984 September | 15. PAGE COUNT 24 | |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION N/A | | | | |
| 17. COSATI CODES | | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) | | |
| FIELD 09 | GROUP 05 | Subarray nulling; Partially adaptive nulling, ... | | |
| | SUB GR 06 | Phased array Null synthesis | | |
| | | Adaptive nulling | | |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) | | | | |
| <p>This report investigates the generation of nulls in an antenna pattern by controlling the phase and amplitude of the subarray output signals. Although the nulls may be formed with any number of subarrays, the subarray configuration and desired null location have a major impact on the distortion in the far-field pattern that results. The distortion problem resulting from subarray nulling is well known, but little theoretical analysis has been done to explain the cause of the distortion. This report offers a theoretical and graphical explanation of why the distortion occurs.</p> | | | | |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS <input type="checkbox"/> | | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | | |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Randy L. Haupt | | 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (617) 861-2059 | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL EECS | |

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR

EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2. NULL SYNTHESIS | 2 |
| 3. CAUSES OF FAR-FIELD PATTERN DISTORTION | 14 |
| 4. CONCLUSIONS | 20 |

Illustrations

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Linear Array Divided Into Subarrays | 3 |
| 2. Quiescent Far-Field Pattern of a Twenty-Four Element Uniform Array | 5 |
| 3. Placing a Null at 8° With Twenty-Four Subarrays | 6 |
| 4. Placing a Null at 8° With Eight Subarrays | 7 |
| 5. Placing a Null at 8° With Four Subarrays | 8 |
| 6. Far-Field Pattern of an Isotropic Element Superimposed on the Quiescent Pattern | 9 |
| 7. Far-Field Pattern of a Three-Element Subarray Superimposed on the Quiescent Pattern | 10 |
| 8. Far-Field Pattern of a Six-Element Subarray Superimposed on the Quiescent Pattern | 10 |
| 9. Placing a Null at 38° With Twenty-Four Subarrays | 11 |

Illustrations

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 10. | Placing a Null at 38° With Eight Subarrays | 12 |
| 11. | Placing a Null at 38° With Four Subarrays | 13 |
| 12. | Array Factor for a Three-Element Array With a Spacing of 1.5λ | 15 |
| 13. | Array Factor for a Six-Element Array With a Spacing of 3.0λ | 15 |
| 14. | Placing a Null at 21° With Eight Subarrays | 17 |
| 15. | Placing a Null at 21° With Four Subarrays | 18 |

Tables

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Comparison of the Relative Power Level of the Cancellation Patterns and Subarray Patterns | 19 |
|----|---|----|

Null Synthesis With Phase and Amplitude Controls at the Subarray Outputs

I. INTRODUCTION

As the microwave spectrum becomes more and more crowded with users, interference rejection techniques become increasingly necessary. One way to reduce the interference is to generate a null in the antenna pattern sidelobes in the direction of the interference. It is possible to form these nulls for a phased array antenna by adjusting the phase and amplitude of the signals received at each element. Large arrays require expensive hardware or a lot of time to form the nulls. In contrast, the nulls can be formed quickly if there are receivers or correlators at every element. Normally, this equipment is not part of the antenna and must be added at considerable cost. On the other hand, forming nulls by "searching" for the best phase and amplitude settings avoids the expensive equipment, but takes considerable time to form the nulls.

One way to reduce either the amount of extra hardware or the time to form the null is to control the signal characteristics at the subarray output rather than at the individual element outputs.^{1,2} Often a large array is divided into subarrays

(Received for publication 18 September 1984)

1. Chapman, D. J. (1976) Partial adaptivity for the large array, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., AP-24:685-696.
2. Morgan, D. R. (1978) Partially adaptive array techniques, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. AP-26:823-833.

in order to place time delay units at the subarray outputs. Arrays sometimes need time delay units to receive wide bandwidth signals properly. Time delay units are very expensive and bulky so they are usually not placed at every element in the array. Thus, only the subarray outputs receive a true time delay. Subarray outputs also offer convenient locations to put controls that modify the signals to generate nulls in the far-field pattern. Fewer signals to control implies either less hardware or less time needed to form nulls. These advantages make subarray nulling very attractive.

The problem of antenna pattern distortion due to subarray nulling is well known. Reducing the number of signal controls in the array in turn reduces the amount control over the far-field pattern. This report illustrates why that distortion occurs.

2. NULL SYNTHESIS

This section explores the limitations to forming nulls with phase and amplitude controls at the subarray outputs. Figure 1 is a linear array divided into M contiguous subarrays of N elements per subarray. Each array element has a beam-steering phase shifter. For the purpose of this analysis, the phase shifters are assumed to be set for maximum gain at boresite. The amplitude weights correspond to an amplitude taper, such as a Taylor distribution. In addition, a phase shifter and time delay unit appear at the output of each subarray. Since the main beam is assumed to be at boresite, then all the time delay units are set at zero and can be ignored in the analysis.

Equation (1) gives the far-field pattern of a linear array of M subarrays and N elements per subarray.

$$F(u) = \sum_{m=1}^M (1 + \alpha_m + j\beta_m) \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u) \quad (1)$$

where

- $1 + \alpha_m + j\beta_m$ = adjustable complex weight at subarray m
- a_{mn} = amplitude weight at element n of subarray m
- k = wave number = $2\pi/\lambda$
- λ = wavelength
- d_{mn} = distance in λ from center of array to element n in subarray m
- u = $\sin \theta$
- θ = direction from boresite




Figure 1. Linear Array Divided Into Subarrays

Ideally, the antenna forms a null in the direction of interference. In other words, $F(u_q) = 0$ when u_q is in the direction of an interference source and $q = 1, 2, \dots, Q$. The nulls appear in the desired direction when the complex weights at the subarray outputs (written here in real and imaginary form) are set at the proper values.

If nulls form in Q desired directions then³

$$\sum_{m=1}^M (1 + \alpha_m + j\beta_m) \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_q) = 0; q = 1, 2, \dots, Q \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_q) + \sum_{m=1}^M (\alpha_m + j\beta_m) \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_q) = 0 \quad (3)$$

3. Haupt, R. L. (1983) Nulling With Limited Degrees of Freedom, RADC-TR-83-114, AD A132276.

$$\sum_{m=1}^M (\alpha_m + j\beta_m) \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_q) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_q). \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) represents a set of equations with M unknowns. Consequently, the equation may be written in the matrix form $\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{X}} = \underline{\underline{B}}$ where

$$\underline{\underline{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{n=1}^N a_{1n} \exp(jk d_{1n} u_1) & \cdots & \sum_{n=1}^N a_{Mn} \exp(jk d_{Mn} u_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sum_{n=1}^N a_{1n} \exp(jk d_{1n} u_Q) & & \sum_{n=1}^N a_{Mn} \exp(jk d_{Mn} u_Q) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 + j\beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m + j\beta_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} - \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_1) \\ \vdots \\ - \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} \exp(jk d_{mn} u_Q) \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underline{\underline{X}}$ has a unique solution when $Q = M$. Usually, though, $Q < M$ and several different values of $\underline{\underline{X}}$ satisfy the equation $\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{X}} = \underline{\underline{B}}$. Small values for the complex weights will disturb the far-field pattern less than larger values. Thus, of the many possible values for $\underline{\underline{X}}$, the best results occur from minimizing $\Sigma(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)$. Solving $\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{X}} = \underline{\underline{B}}$ while minimizing the complex weights gives⁴

$$\underline{\underline{X}} = \underline{\underline{A}}^\dagger (\underline{\underline{A}}\underline{\underline{A}}^\dagger)^{-1} \underline{\underline{B}} \quad (5)$$

4. Shore, R. A., and Steyskal, Hans (1982) Nulling in Linear Array Patterns With Minimization of Weight Perturbations, RADC-TR-82-32, AD A118695.

where \underline{A}^\dagger is the transpose conjugate of matrix \underline{A} . Solving Eq. (4) in matrix form gives the following values for the elements in the complex matrix \underline{X} :

$$\alpha_m = \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} [Y_q \cos(k d_{mn} u_q) + Z_q \sin(k d_{mn} u_q)] \quad (6)$$

$$\beta_m = \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=1}^N a_{mn} [Z_q \cos(k d_{mn} u_q) - Y_q \sin(k d_{mn} u_q)] . \quad (7)$$

The variables Y_q and Z_q are elements of a complex array \underline{W} given by

$$\underline{W} = (\underline{A}\underline{A}^\dagger)^{-1} \underline{B}. \quad (8)$$

Figure 2 shows the quiescent far-field pattern of a 24-element array of isotropic sources spaced 0.5λ apart and having a uniform amplitude distribution. Figures 3a, 4a, and 5a show the results of nulling with an interference source at 8° and with 24, 8, and 4 subarrays, respectively. As the number of subarrays decrease, the distortion to the antenna pattern increases. The increase in distortion is not significant, though.





Figure 2. Quiescent Far-Field Pattern of a Twenty-Four Element Uniform Array



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
 DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN





Figure 3. Placing a Null at 8° With Twenty-Four Subarrays.
 (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed
 on Quiescent Pattern

Problems with pattern distortion occur when the null is formed outside of the subarray mainbeam pattern. A subarray pattern is the far-field pattern of an individual subarray with its peak centered at 0°. Although the amplitude tapers for each



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
DASHED LINE IS SUBARRAY PATTERN





Figure 4. Placing a Null at 8° With Eight Subarrays. (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed on Quiescent Pattern

subarray are different, their beamwidths are about equal because they are all the same size. The null-to-null beamwidth BW of a uniformly illuminated array with N elements spaced 0.5λ apart is given by



*MISSION
of
Rome Air Development Center*

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence (C³I) activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, solid state sciences, electromagnetics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.

At $\pm \theta_{\text{NULL}}$ the peak of the cancellation beam is the same height as one of the first grating lobes. Beyond this angle the grating lobe gain becomes larger than that of the mainbeam. Figure 14b is an example where the cancellation beam main lobe and first grating lobe gains are nearly equal. They would be the same level at $\theta_{\text{NULL}} = 20.9^\circ$.

One final point worth mentioning is that the distortion would be greater in the neighborhood of a null in the subarray pattern. The amount of distortion would improve when the null was placed in the same location as the peak of a subarray pattern sidelobe. For instance, a null placed at 38° with eight subarrays produces considerably more distortion than a null placed at 60° . The null at 38° is close to the null in the subarray pattern while 60° is almost at the peak of the subarray pattern sidelobe.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This report illustrates theoretically the pattern distortion problem associated with subarray nulling. The amount of distortion to the far-field antenna pattern is inversely proportional to the gain of the subarray far-field pattern. Thus, subarray nulling near the mainbeam produces little distortion. On the other hand, the distortion increases dramatically when the null is placed further from the mainbeam.

The relative levels of the subarray pattern at the array factor mainbeam location and some grating lobe locations are given in Table 1. Also the relative levels of the cancellation beam at these locations are given. The subarray pattern and the cancellation beam have the same relative difference between them at the locations listed. This proportional difference results from the product of the array factor and the subarray pattern that leads to the cancellation beam.

Table 1. Comparison of the Relative Power Level of the Cancellation Patterns and Subarray Patterns


| Null Location | Number of Subarrays | Mainbeam and Grating Lobe Locations | Relative Levels* of Cancellation Pattern | Relative Levels* of Subarray Pattern | Associated Figures |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 8° | 8 | 8° | -15.6 dB | -18.6 dB | 7, 4b |
| | | 33.8° | -28.4 | -31.4 | |
| 21° | 8 | 21° | -24 | -22.2 | 7, 10b |
| | | 20.8° | -23.9 | -22.1 | |
| 38° | 8 | 38° | -26.5 | -38.4 | 7, 14b |
| | | -3.8° | -46.3 | -18.2 | |
| 8° | 4 | 8° | -15.6 | -14.6 | 8, 5b |
| | | -11.5° | -18.8 | -17.8 | |
| | | -33.8° | -27.6 | -26.6 | |
| 21° | 4 | 21° | -24 | -34.8 | 8, 11b |
| | | 1.5° | -1.3 | -12.1 | |
| | | -20.8° | -25.1 | -35.9 | |
| 38° | 4 | 38° | -26.5 | -32.6 | 8, 15b |
| | | 18.5° | -31.5 | -37.6 | |
| | | -3.8° | -6.5 | -12.6 | |

* in dB relative to the peak gain of a uniform array of 24 elements.

The relative levels of the subarray pattern and cancellation pattern at the array factor mainbeam and grating lobe locations gives an idea of how much the antenna pattern will be distorted due to nulling. Look at the figures associated with the entries in Table 1 and see how the far-field pattern distortion relates to the subarray pattern. The lower the level of the subarray pattern in the direction of the desired null, the greater the pattern distortion becomes when the null is formed.

A conservative estimate of the angular limit of subarray nulling is given in Eq. (11)

$$\theta_{\text{NULL}} = \pm 0.5 \sin^{-1} [1/(Nd)] . \quad (11)$$



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN





Figure 15. Placing a Null at 21° With Four Subarrays.
(a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed
on Quiescent Pattern



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
 DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN




Figure 14. Placing a Null at 21° With Eight Subarrays.
 (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed
 on Quiescent Pattern

The problem of pattern distortion associated with subarray nulling is due to the grating lobes in the array factor. As the array factor's mainbeam moves away from boresite, the product of the array factor's mainbeam and subarray pattern decreases. In addition, the first grating lobe begins to move inside the subarray pattern's mainbeam. Consequently, the peak of the cancellation beam reduces in size while the grating lobe becomes larger in size. Adding the cancellation pattern to the quiescent pattern creates a null in the direction of the cancellation pattern's main beam, but causes distortion to the pattern in the direction of the grating lobe.

Consider what happens when a null is placed in the antenna pattern with eight subarrays. The three-element subarray pattern is shown in Figure 7. From the above discussion, one would expect little distortion to the antenna pattern when nulling at the peak of a sidelobe between 9° and 15° , because the cancellation pattern's grating lobe is less than the cancellation pattern's main beam. Between 15° and 30° , the cancellation pattern's first grating lobe grows and gradually becomes larger than the cancellation pattern's main lobe. From 30° to 40° , the cancellation pattern's grating lobe is significantly higher than its mainbeam.

Figures 4a and 4b show the results of placing a null at 8° , Figures 14a and 14b result from a null at 21° , and Figures 10a and 10b result from a null at 38° . Note how the cancellation pattern's mainbeam grows smaller as its grating lobe grows larger when the null moves further away from boresite. This increase in the cancellation pattern's grating lobe corresponds to the increase in distortion to the nulled pattern.

A similar analysis is possible with the four subarray example, except the amount and location of the distortion changes. Since the subarray pattern (Figure 7) has a much narrower main beam, distortion becomes more of a problem as the null moves away from boresite. This fact is evident in Figures 5a, 15a, and 11a. The corresponding (b) parts of these figures show the change in the cancellation beam's main lobe and grating lobe.

The amount of distortion to the antenna pattern may be estimated from the location of the grating lobes, the subarray pattern, and the height of the quiescent sidelobe before nulling. Below is a list of the grating lobe locations for the 8-element array factor and 4-element array factor. These were calculated from Eq. (10) and can be seen in Figures 12 and 13.

8 elements - $\pm 41.8^\circ$

4 elements - $\pm 19.5^\circ, \pm 41.8^\circ, \pm 90^\circ$.




Figure 12. Array Factor for a Three-Element Array With a Spacing of 1.5λ




Figure 13. Array Factor for a Six-Element Array With a Spacing of 3.0λ

3. CAUSES OF FAR FIELD PATTERN DISTORTION

Far field pattern distortion caused by subarray nulling limits its use. The limitations are fundamental and do not depend on the technique that generates the nulls. This limitation is best illustrated by examining the cancellation beam that adds to the quiescent pattern to form the null. Equation (3) is written in the form

$$\text{QUIESCENT PATTERN} + \text{CANCELLATION PATTERN} = 0$$

at the desired null location. The nulling technique forms a cancellation pattern that has the same amplitude, but is 180° out of phase with the quiescent pattern at the interference location. Adding the two patterns together produces a null in the direction of the interference.

The cancellation beams that produce the adapted patterns in part (a) of Figures 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, and 11 are shown in part (b) of those same figures. When the array is fully adaptive (24 subarrays), the cancellation beam has one peak and no grating lobes. Grating lobes do not enter real space in the array factor because the elements are spaced 0.5λ apart. Since the element pattern is isotropic, the cancellation pattern and array factor are identical.


When the array is divided into subarrays, it may be considered as an array of M elements spaced $N\lambda/2$ apart. Each array element, or subarray, now consists of N isotropic antennas. The subarray patterns appear in Figures 7 and 8. Adjusting the phase and amplitude of the signals at the subarray outputs does not change the subarray patterns; however, controlling the subarray output signals can modify the array factor. Figures 12 and 13 show the quiescent array factors for $M = 8$ and 4, respectively. The array factors have grating lobes because of the large element (subarray) spacing. Grating lobes appear at the angles

$$\theta = \pm \sin^{-1} [x/Nd] \quad (10)$$

where

$$x = 1, 2, 3$$

$$d = \text{element spacing} .$$



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
 DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN





Figure 11. Placing a Null at 38° With Four Subarrays.
 (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed
 on Quiescent Pattern



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
 DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN





Figure 10. Placing a Null at 38° With Eight Subarrays.
 (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed
 on Quiescent Pattern



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
 DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN




Figure 9. Placing a Null at 38° With Twenty-Four Subarrays. (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed on Quiescent Pattern




Figure 7. Far-Field Pattern of a Three-Element Subarray Superimposed on the Quiescent Pattern




Figure 8. Far-Field Pattern of a Six-Element Subarray Superimposed on the Quiescent Pattern

equally in all directions. Figures 6, 7, and 8 show the subarray patterns superimposed on the quiescent pattern for $N = 1, 3,$ and 6 elements. If our initial premise of nulling inside the subarray pattern produces less distortion than nulling outside the subarray pattern is true, then an interference source at 38° should produce more distortion than one at 8° . The distortion should not change for the 24 subarray case because the subarray pattern is isotropic (see Figure 6). However, the 8 and 4 subarrays cases should show a marked degradation.





Figure 6. Far-Field Pattern of an Isotropic Element Superimposed on the Quiescent Pattern

Figures 9a, 10a, and 11a are the resulting patterns after placing a null at 38° . As predicted, when there were 24 subarrays, the amount of distortion did not change when the interference location moved. Because the interference location moved outside or nearly outside the subarray pattern, the amount of distortion to the far-field pattern increased substantially. The next section offers an explanation of this distortion phenomenon.



SOLID LINE IS QUIESCENT PATTERN
DASHED LINE IS CANCELLATION PATTERN




Figure 5. Placing a Null at 8° With Four Subarrays. (a) Far-Field Pattern, (b) Cancellation Beam Superimposed on Quiescent Pattern

$$BW = 2 \sin^{-1}(2/N) . \quad (9)$$

The null-to-null beamwidths for three and six elements are 84° and 39° respectively. An isotropic element has no null-to-null beamwidth because it radiates

END

FILMED

7-85

DTIC