



> MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A



NRL Memorandum Report 5536

# Newton's Method as a Dynamical System: Global Convergence and Predictability

R. G. HOLT\* AND I. B. SCHWARTZ

Electro-optical Technology Branch Optical Sciences Division

\*University of Mississippi Department of Physics and Astronomy Oxford, MS 38677

May 13, 1985





#### NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

.\* 5

<u>ب</u>ح

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

R

ſ

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						
13 REPORT SECURITY CLASS FICATION		'b RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS			
UNCLASSIFIED						
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY O	FREPORT		
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
2 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUMBER	R(S)	
NRL Memorandum Report 5536						
54 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	60 OFFICE SYMBOL	78 NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
March Descendent als sectores	(If applicable)	Office of Ne	val Dacaarch			
Naval Research Laboratory	0520		val Research	Cordel		
6C ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		76. ADDRESS (City, State, and Zir Code)				
Washington, DC 20375-5000		Arlington, VA 22217				
Ba NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING	8b OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
Office of Naval Research	(in oppiration)					
3c ADDRESS (City, State, and 2!P Code)	<u></u>	10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS				
		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT	
Arlington, VA 22217			61153N		DN780-122	
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)						
Newton's Mathed as a Dynamical System: Global Convergence and Predictability						
Newton's Method as a Dynamical System: Global Convergence and Fredictaolity						
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Holt* R.G. and Schwartz, I.B.						
'3a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15 PAGE COUNT   FROM 9/15/83 to 9/15/84 1985 May 13 17					ie count 17	
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
*University of Mississippi, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Oxford, MS 38677						
17 COSATI CODES 18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number			lock number)			
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Newton's method, Fractal					
	NOI es	nunear dynam				
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)						
Newton's method as an iterative scheme to compute both unstable and stable fixed points of a discrete dynamical system is considered. It is shown for Newton iterations that the basins of attraction are intertwined in a complicated manner. This complex structure appears to be fractal, and its dimension is estimated. Consequences of predictability for the final state are given in terms of imprecision in the initial data.						
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				CATION		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL					

I.B. Schwartz DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR (202) 767-3799 6520

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

.

### CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
THE MAP T	2
NEWTON'S METHOD	3
RESULTS	3
CONCLUSIONS	4
REFERENCES	13



14

Ĭ.

ŕ



• .

## NEWTON'S METHOD AS A DYNAMICAL SYSTEM: GLOBAL CONVERGENCE AND PREDICTABILITY

#### **INTRODUCTION**

When studying physical problems that exhibit chaotic behavior, it is known that unstable periodic orbits play a significant role in the analysis of the attractor (e.g., [1,2,3]). Physical examples of the importance of the invariant unstable manifolds arising from unstable periodic orbits can be found in [4]. However, computing the location of unstable periodic orbits for either differential equations or maps cannot be successful without constructing a contracting iterative scheme due to the unstable nature of the orbit. Furthermore, it is even more difficult to use such a contracting scheme to prove rigorously the existence of an unstable periodic orbit.

One particular scheme that has been successful in computing unstable periodic orbits is that of Newton's Method (NM). See, for example, References [5,6]. Moreover, perturbations of NM have been used to give computer-assisted proofs of the Feigenbaum conjectures [7], the existence of periodic orbits of the Lorenz Equations [8,9,10], and the existence of unstable periodic orbits arising from saddle-node bifurcations in forced oscillators [6]. Newton's Method, whether applied to a differential equation in the form of finding fixed points of a Poincare map, or computing fixed points of a given discrete map, is itself an iterative procedure. Convergence properties of NM are almost always given in terms of the proximity of the iterates to the fixed point. That is, if one starts close enough to the fixed point, then under suitable hypotheses, the Newton iterates converge to the fixed point, where the number of significant digits doubles with every successive iterate.

Recently, the global behavior of Newton iterations has come under investigation in terms of dynamical systems and chaos [11,12,13]. In this paper, we consider how NM globally changes the structure of the basins of attraction for a map, T, which is bistable; i.e., the map has two stable fixed points, and the basins of attraction are intertwined in a complicated manner. Intuitively, it is expected that NM destroys the complicated basin structure due to the contracting nature of the iterates. It is not intuitively obvious that the Newton map will have its own unique and complex basin structure. Newton iterates for initial conditions close to a given fixed point are observed to converge to a completely different fixed point. In fact, initial conditions chosen arbitrarily close to each other are observed to converge to different fixed points. We show that the structure of the basins of attraction for a Newton

Manuscript approved January 2, 1985.

map are very complicated. The complex structure of the basins leads to a definition of the predictability (following [14]) of the final state of Newton iterates as a function of precision in initial conditions. Predictability is given as a scaling law, from which the capacity [15] is estimated.

#### THE MAP T

We consider the two-dimensional iterates given by  $y_{n+1} = T(y_n)$ , where y = (0, x), and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta + .4 \sin 2\theta - B \sin 4\theta x \sin 4\theta \\ -J_0 \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

The map T is periodic in  $\theta$  with period  $2\pi$ , and symmetric with respect to reflection about the line  $\theta = \pi$ .

The map T has five fixed points (i.e., those values  $y^* = T(y^*)$ ) in the interval  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ , whose stability characteristics are given in Table 1. The stability of each fixed point is determined by evaluating the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of T at the fixed point under consideration. The fixed point is stable if all of the eigenvalues have modulus less than unity, and unstable if at least one eigenvalue has modulus greater than unity.

Fixed Point	Eigenvalues of
$(\theta, x)$	$T'(\theta, x)$
$(0, -J_0)$	$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{+}=0.98\\ \lambda_{-}=0 \end{array}$
$(\theta, J_0)$	$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{+}=0.98\\ \lambda_{-}=0 \end{array}$
(0/2,0)	$\lambda_{\perp} = -5.14 \times 10^{-2}$ $\lambda_{-} = -5.828$
(3.089,0.2996)	$\lambda_{+} = 1.0399$ $\lambda_{-} = 8.0134 \times 10^{-4}$
(0.0527,-0.2996)	$\lambda_{+} = 1.0399$ $\lambda_{-} = 8.0134 - \times 10^{-4}$

Table 1 – Fixed Points of Map T: Parameter Values:  $A = 1.64, J_0 = 0.3 B = 0.9$ 

Figure 1 shows the basins of attraction for the two stable fixed points of T. The black regions consist of those initial conditions which, under iterations of T, converge to  $(\pi, J_0)$ . The white are initial conditions which have converged to  $(0, -J_0)$ . In [14], this map was examined to determine what the consequences for prediction are due to the complicated intertwining of the basins of attraction. The question the authors of [14] asked was, given an initial condition  $(\theta_0, x_0)$ , do the orbits of  $(\theta_0, x_0 + \epsilon)$  or  $(\theta_0, x_0, \epsilon)$  also converge to the same fixed point as  $(\theta_0, x_0)$ , for some uncertainty  $\epsilon$ ? They found that the fraction of uncertain phase space volume was proportional to  $\epsilon^{0+2}$ , and concluded the system was very sensitive to small perturbations of the basin boundary to be  $d \approx 1.8$ , and concluded that extraordinarily high accuracy of initial conditions may be necessary for reliable prediction of the eventual final state. We ask about the effect of NM on the map T in regards to final state sensitivity.

#### **NEWTON'S METHOD**

In order to solve to solve numerically for the unstable fixed points of T, it is necessary to employ a contracting map such as NM. Let g(y) = y - T(y). Then the zeros of g are the fixed points (stable and unstable) of T. Newton's Method is used to find the zeros of g, hence the fixed points of T.

Let N denote the Newton map, defined by

$$N(y) = y - (g'(y))^{-1}g(y)$$

where g'(y) denotes the 2 × 2 matrix of partial derivatives evaluated at y. It is well known [5] that if an initial point  $y_0$  is sufficiently close to a zero of g, then the iterates given by  $y_{n+1} = N(y_n)$  will converge to the that zero, which corresponds to a fixed point of T. This convergence now holds for both stable and unstable fixed points of T.

Here we determine how sensitive NM is to the basin boundary shown in Fig. 1. By observing the asymptotic behavior of iterates of N for a large set of initial conditions, we determine where the iterates of initial points not "sufficiently close" to a fixed point converge.

#### RESULTS

Figure 2 plots the basin of attraction of the fixed point  $(\pi, J_0)$  for the map N. The black dots correspond to initial points whose Newton iterates converge to  $(\pi, J_0)$ . Comparison with Fig. 1 reveals that NM not only destroys the original basin structure (which is to be expected, since unstable

fixed points of T correspond to stable fixed points of .V), but has its own basin structure. The situation for NM is more complicated in that we are dealing with more than two stable fixed points for .V. Indeed, a small but significant number of initial points get mapped to fixed points outside the current region of interest,  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ .

To examine the structure of the Newton basin in detail, we look at the enlarged region (Figs. 3-5) enclosed by the rectangle with sides bordering on the unstable fixed point (3.089..., 0.29958...) and the stable fixed point ( $\pi$ , 0.3) in the upper right-hand corner of Fig. 2. In Figs. 3-5, the black dots correspond to the Newton basins of attraction for the fixed points (3.089..., 0.2996...), ( $\pi/2$ , 0), and (3.19..., 0.2996...) respectively. Approximately all the remaining initial conditions in the rectangle comprise the basin for ( $\pi$ , 0.3).

Following [14], the sensitivity of the Newton map to some random  $\epsilon$  imprecision in the initial condition was determined. Newton iterates for the initial points  $(\theta_0, x_0)$ ,  $(\theta_0 + \epsilon, x_0)$ , and  $(\theta_0 - \epsilon, x_0)$  were computed, where  $(\theta_0, x_0)$  is an initial point chosen at random. If Newton iterates for at least one of the perturbed initial points converged to a different fixed point than the unperturbed initial point, then  $(\theta_0, x_0)$  was said to be uncertain. One million random initial conditions were chosen, and the fraction, f, of uncertain initial points was computed. The value of  $\epsilon$  was varied and the procedure repeated.

Figure 6 illustrates the scaling of f with  $\epsilon$  on a log-log plot. Using a linear least squares fit, we see that the fraction of uncertain points is proportional to  $\epsilon^{0.5.8}$ . Thus, the capacity [15] of the Newton basin boundary is fractal with dimension  $d \approx 1.42$ . The fractal nature of the basin boundary can easily be seen in Fig. 7, which is an enlargement of the small rectangle at the top of Fig. 5.

#### CONCLUSIONS

We considered the result of applying a Newton map to a problem which exhibits complicated basin boundary structure. It was seen that although much of the original basin boundary is destroyed, the basin boundary structure for the Newton map possesses its own complex structure. The dimension (capacity) of the Newton basin was estimated to be approximately 1.42, revealing a fracted — like basin structure. Therefore, although the Newton map has only stable fixed points, global convergence is affected by the scaling law,  $f \propto \epsilon^a$ , where a < 1.

In the simple case of iterative mapping,  $\epsilon$  has a lower bound dependent only upon machine precision and roundoff error. But, for maps constructed numerically from differential equations,  $\epsilon$  will be bounded by the global truncation error of the particular numerical solver used. In the latter case, there could exist a significant number of uncertain initial conditions, adversely affecting the outcome of computer-assisted proofs using Newton iterates.

ī

.

)



.













#### REFERENCES

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12

13.

14.

15.

C



# FILMED

7-85

DTIC