Report DAAK40-78-C-0272

# METHODOLOGY FOR UV CURED CONFORMAL COATING

John R. Fay and Edward A. Anderson Hughes Aircraft Company El Segundo, CA 90245

**JUNE 1980** 

Final Report for Period 15 September 1978 - 15 June 1980



• Prepared for U.S. ARMY MISSILE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

ADVANCED SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE, DRDMI-EAA Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809 This document has been approved for public telease and sale; its distribution is unlimited

85

03

12

056

Best Available Copy

	IPAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
. TITLE (and Sublitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Methodology For UV Cured Confe	ormal Coating	Final Report, 16 Septemb 1978 to 15 June 1980
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
AUTHOR(3)	•	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)
John R. Fay		DAAK40-78-C-0272
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRES Hughes Aircraft Company El Segundo, CA 90245	5	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12 REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Missile Research and Command,	i Development	Jure 1980 13 NUMBER OF PAGES
Redatone Arsenal, AL 35809		1_ T
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADORESSIL dillere	· · · · ·	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Guidance and Control Directorate DRDMI-EAT	2	UNCLASSIFIED
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
, 7 DIS™RIBUTION STATEMENT fal INA ROSTRACT ANTARS	1.n Black 20, 11 Cilioroni fra	m Repart)
, 7 DIS™RIBUTION STATEMENT fot the postrect enters	t .n Wack 20, 11 dilleroni fra	m Report)
7 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT fot the experience enters 8 SURPLEMENTARY HOTES	t .n Block 20, 11 cilierent fro	æ Report)
5 SUF FLEWEN * +FY NO *ES	nd (dentify by bloce number), insulating comp	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES CEY TOROS Continue on reverse side "Increasery of Printed wiring board assemblies, violet curing, UV sensitive mates ass=mac" Continue on reverse side if no researce	nd (dentify by block number), insulating comp rial, application of nd (dentify by block number)	bound-electrical, ultra- and curing processes.
E SUPPLEMENT NEY NOTES CEY TOROS Compute on reverse side Marcesser Printed wiring board assemblies, violet curing, UV sensitive mates	nd (dentify by bloce number) , insulating comp rial, application of nd -dentify by block number coated with a new requirements of	oound-electrical, ultra- and curing processes.
Printed wiring board assemblies Printed wiring board assemblies violet curing, UV sensitive mater Printed wiring board assemblies Printed wiring board assemblies material have met the applicable	nd (dentify or sloce number), insulating comp rial, application nd (dentify by black number) coated with a new requirements of cal MIL -I-40055.	oound-electrical, ultra- and curing processes. wly developed UV sensitive the Military Specification
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Printed wiring board assemblies, violet curing, UV sensitive mate: Printed wiring board assemblies material have met the applicable for insulating Compound, Electric	nd (dentify by block number) , insulating comp rial, application of requirements of cated with a new requirements of cal MIL-I-40058. c development of	oound-electrical, ultra- and curing processes. wly developed UV sensitive the Military Specification this new material.

.

( «

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE/When Dete Entered:

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

A cost/value analysis has been made and documented.

A high rate production facility has been specified and detailed and the supporting work flow diagram has been produced.

Production samples have been processed and delivered.

At the conclusion of the program, a debriefing session was held at Hughes, El Segundo. The data and results obtained in this program were passed on tr the attendees and included all the information in this final report.

Story and on some hand the second strategy

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE "ANT DATE Entered

### PREFACE

This final report documents the results obtained during the Manufacturing Methods and Technology (MM&T) Program entitled "Methodology for UV Cured Conformal Coating."

This report was prepared by the Hughes Aircraft Company, El Segundo, California, under contract DAAK40-78-C-0272.

The effort was sponsored by U.S. Army Missile Research and Development Command (MIRADCOM).

The principal Hughes contributors were John Fay, Program Manager; Ed Anderson, Principal Investigator; J. Tull; R. Rawls; L. Long; R. Dunaetz; and H. Schwartz.

r

The work covered by this report was performed between 15 September 1978 and 15 June 1980.

fatte an fil.



## CONTENTS

Ć.

		Page
SUMMARY		Ţ
Sum	mary of Phase I Tasks mary of Phase II Tasks mary of Results and Conclusions	2 3 4
SECTION 1 -	- Background and Objectives	1-1
1. 2.	The Need for New PWB Conformal Coating Techniques Objectives of the Manufacturing Methods and	1-2
	Technology Program	1-4
SECTION 2	- Phase I	2-1
Task	1. Materials Assessment and Selection of	
Tael	Candidate Material < 2. Process Evaluation and Optimization	2-2 2-14
	C2. Projected Cost Value Analysis	2-20
SECTION 3	- Phase II	3-1
Tasi	<ol> <li>Production Facility Specified and Documented</li> <li>Delivery of Printed Wiring Boards</li> <li>Industry Debriefing Session Held</li> </ol>	3-2 3-6 3-7
SECTION 4	- Conclusions and Recommendations	4-1
APPENDICE	S	
Α.	MIL-I-46058C Test Report - Hughes Technology	
_	Support Division	A-1
В.	Fungus Resistance Test Report - Truesdail Laboratories	B-1
С.		
0	Delsen Laboratories	C-1
D.	120 Day Hydrolytic Stability Test Results - Specimen Photograph	D-1
Ε.	Thermal-Humidity Aging Report No. 2 -	E-1
F.	Delsen Laboratories Existing Conformal Coating Process -	5-1
••	Hughes Tucson	F-1
G.	Existing Conformal Coating Process - Hughes SCG	G-1
н.	Detailed UV Conformal Coating Process - Hughes SCG	H-1

# SUMMARY

Summary of Phase I Tasks Summary of Phase II Tasks Summary of Results and Conclusions

R

•

3

•

۰.

C

Q

\_

ي .

.

•

•

¢

### SUMMARY OF PHASE I TASKS

J

7

ſ

•

٠.•

Ĩ

-

R

Ò

1

ŧ

ŧ

• Materials assessment and selection of candidate materials

-Industry survey

-Material procurement

-Material selection and preliminary testing

• Process evaluation and optimization

-Qualification testing of materials

-Documentation of processes

• Projected cost value analysis

-Material costs

-Comparison with existing conformal coating processes

A literature review of technical journals and a survey of 16 suppliers resulted in 35 candidate materials for initial testing. From the results of the initial tests, three material candidates were selected for qualification testing.

The Hughes Space and Communications Group (SCG) developed process for application and curing of the materials was documented. An attempt was made to qualify each material by testing a minimum of four sample specimens to the requirements of MIL-I-46058. Of the three material candidates, only one passed.

Data was obtained relative to the cost of coating printed wiring boards (PWBs), using the material that passed and the developed process for application and curing.

### SUMMARY OF PHASE II TASKS

Å .

( . .

7

5

(

1

P

ŧ

- Production facility was specified and documented.
- Production type PWBs were processed and delivered.
- Industry debriefing sessions were held.

. . . . . . . .

A production line capable of producing 750 PWBs in an 8 hour shift was specified and documented for the coating of PWBs, using the technology developed under this contract. Included was a process flow chart, production equipment specifications, and an assembly floor layout. Ten typical production-type PWBs were coated and cured in the Hughes SCG facility and delivered to MIRADCOM for their evaluation.

At the conclusion of the contract, two 1-day industry/government debriefing sessions were held at Hughes SCG in El Segundo, California. The attendees were briefed on the test data, results, and methodology established by this program.

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

٩

5

( \*

- Material selection resulted in only one material, Hughson RD 3650-21, that met the objectives of a one part system, and passed the applicable MIL-I-46058C test requirements.
- The application process developed used spray coating and UV curing.
- The conclusions arrived at from this evaluation were:
  - UV cured conformal coating can be successfully utilized in production.
  - UV cured conformal coating has the potential to save production cost if the material used:
    - Is a single component ready-to-apply system
    - Does not require the addition of solvents.
    - Cures in seconds, including obscured areas
  - There is no tangible cost reduction in materials and labor of the Hughson material versus the present conformal coating.

## SECTION I

# BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

- 1. The Need for New PWB Conformal Coating Techniques
- 2. Objectives of the Manufacturing Methods and Technology Program

4

### 1. THE NEED FOR NEW PWB CONFORMAL COATING TECHNIQUES

ſ

( . .

11

 $\bigcirc$ 

.

The methodologies presently used in industry for conformal coating of PWB assemblies need improvements or changes in order to reduce the possibility of mixing errors and accelerate the curing cycle to make it more cost effective. The application of ultraviolet (UV) cured conformal coatings on PWB assemblies can meet this requirement.

• • • • • •

MIL-I-46058 is the specification for Insulating Compound, Electrical (For Coating PWB Assemblies). Use of existing qualified coating systems on PWB assemblies involves several disadvantages that UV cured conformal coating are expected to overcome. These disadvantages are:

- 1) Requires slow curing which takes hours of oven cure (or parylene batch deposition) cycles.
  - a) There is a strong possibility of contaminating coating during the curing operation
  - b) A program schedule delay is built in for the original coating and for any repair or rework operations that are needed
  - Allows coating to sag, providing minimal or no coverage over sharp contours on the PWB assembly (i.e., trimmed component leads, flatpack lead edges, and capacitor edges)
  - d) requires expensive parylene batch deposition
- 2) Usually requires mixing of two (or more) part formulated coating systems.
  - Adds another manufacturing technician labor increment to the coating process
  - b) Offers the possibility of a mixing error
- Usually requires solvent systems (thinners) to lower the viscosity of the resin system for ease of application and to allow tailoring of the formulation.
  - a) Normally requires explosion-proof exhaust systems. Also, local ordinances may limit the amount of solvent vapors which can be exhausted into the surrounding plant environment. This could:
    - (1) Curtail the volume of hardware coated per hour
    - (2) Necessitate a change in type of solvent system used

UV cured coatings as specified for this study required no mixing of components or catalysts by the user, UV cured in seconds, and were usually solvent-free systems. The potential elimination of mixing steps and rapid curing make the UV cured coating process more desirable than conventional MIL-I-46058 coatings due to potential decrease of rework and scrap, and enhanced possibility of using high speed, conveyorized, and semilutomated processing. This Manufacturing Methods and Technology (MM&T) Program was conducted to

- Evaluate and qualify UV conformal coating material candidates
- Optimize conformal coating processing of PWBs

ś

1

• Define a production line capable of coating and curing 750 PWBs in an 8-hour work day.

# 2. OBJECTIVE OF THE MANUFACTURING METHODS AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

The program was devoted to the evaluation, selection and qualification of materials suitable for coating (PWBs) using UV curing. The resultant PWBs coated with these materials must meet the requirements of MIL-I-46058 to be considered as qualified.

Hughes SCG was awarded a contract for an MM&T Program on UV Cured Conformal Coating of Printed Wiring Boards. The contract ran from September 1978 to June 1980 and consisted of two major phases.

#### Phase I

- Material screening and selection
- Process evaluation and development
- Cost/value analysis

#### Phase II

- Production line specification
- Two-day industry/government demonstration
- Delivery of ten typical PWB assemblies

Phase I (20 months) was a material and process evaluation effort with the goal of selecting and qualifying materials suitable for the conformal coating of PWBs using UV curing. The PWBs coated by these prototype processes were to result in an acceptable end-product (defined as meeting requirements of MIL-I-46058). The UV conformal coating process was to have reliability, good producibility, and be competitive in cost with normal conformal coating processes. The technology also was to be readily adaptable to current PWB production systems building U.S. Army hardware, with minimal conversion costs.

In Phase II (6 months), the objective was to specify a production line using the optimized process developed in Phase I. Finally, a government/industry debriefing cession was to be held wherein the results of this program would be disseminated to government and industry representatives at two 1-day sesions.

# SECTION 2

# PHASE I

Task I.	Materials Assessment and Selection of Candidate Materials
Task 2.	Process Evaluation and Optimization

Task 3. Projected Cost/Value Analysis

#### TASK 1. MATERIALS ASSESSMENT AND SELECTION OF CANDIDATE MATERIALS

UV coating suppliers were contacted to obtain coating samples already formulated and ready for direct application (see Table I). These suppliers either submitted materials for testing (Figure 1) or declined for various reasons.

Screening of candidate materials continued through June, 1979. Approximately 30 candidate materials were screened during this period. The preliminary tests were chosen to weed out unsatisfactory candidates with a minimum of testing expenditures.

5

The initial testing resulted in the identification of two coating materials which were fast curing, had few processing problems, and had the potential of meeting MIL-I-46058C requirements (see Table II). The first was W. R. Grace's NB XRCP-10526-51-1, and the second was De Soto's DeSolite 2353-7 with about 5 percent benzoyl peroxide (BP) catalyst (Lucidol's Luperco ATC) mixed into it just before coating application.

Some processing problems were encountered with these two materials. The Grace material had too high an initial viscosity (the cured coating thickness was about 0.016 inch). After about 2 months, it started gelling in its metal container. The De Soto coating required that BP be added just before applying it to the PWB. De Soto did not send their 2353-7 with the BP in it because of the possibility it might greatly shorten the shelf life of the material (i.e., it would cure in its shipping container before it could be evaluated). The De Soto material with BP in it cured more slowly than the Grace material, requiring twice the UV and thermal exposure time. Neither of these cured coating exhibited the required ultraviolet fluorescence.

During the selection period, five materials and modifications of two additional materials were chosen for additional screening. Table III summarizes the results of this screening. Applications of the coating by spraying and by dipping were attempted. From these tests, three coatings, applied by spray and by dipping, were deemed satisfactory to be subjected to MIL-I-46058 qualification testing. These were De Soto 2353-7 (+BP), Grace 9332 (A&B) and Hughson RD 3650-21.

The decision was made to submit samples of each of the three materials, applied by spraying, for MIL-I-46058 qualification testing. Table IV summarizes the characteristics of the materials chosen.

In October 1979, all the tests required under MIL-I-46058 were completed for three candidate materials (Hughson RD 3650-21, Grace 9332 (A&B), and De Soto 2353-7). A summary of the results is shown in Table V. The Grace 9332 (A&B) and De Soto 2353-7 materials were considered failures, since neither passed the thermal humidity aging test (Appendix A) or the fungus tests (Appendix B). As a result of these failures, the 6 month shelf life tests for these two candidates were cancelled. The results of the Q resonance tests are displayed with no pass-fail judgement added. This is because the candidate materials, which fall into either the acrylated polyurethane (De Soto and Hughson), or polyene-thiol (Grace) class, do not fall in any of the existing classes of materials noted in MIL-I-46058 (acrylic resin, epoxy resin, silicone resin, polyurethane resin or paraxylylene).

Company Name	Candidates Supplied	Contact	Telephone No.
W.R. Grace	11	Charles Morgan Dick Bush	(301) 531-5711 (301) 531-4000
De Soto	6	John Krajewski Wally Brown	(312) 391-9441 (312) 391-9203
Hughson	4	Jim Fonda	(814) 868-3611
Du Pont	3	John Wood	(215) 896-2358
Conchemco	2	Jim Fischer	(213) 222-5111
Dynachem	2	Larry Ernster	(714) 551-6101
3M	1	Jack Deviny	(612) 733-6995
Celanese	1 1	Ken Zeliznak	(201) 273-6600
Lilly Industries	1	Bill Richardsor.	(317) 634-8512
Mac Dermid	1 1	Bill Adams	(203) 754-6161
Thiokol	1 1	Betsy Peterson	(609) 396-4001
B. F. Goodrich	0	Gary Koch	(213) 386-7436
ICI America	Ó	Joe Lombardo	(302) 575-8422
Initiont	l õ	Bernie Howard	(513) 841-6100
JVEXS	Ó	Allan Puder	(408) 737-2760

# TABLE I. UV COATING MATERIAL SUPPLIER CONTACT LIST



FIGURE 1. COATING SAMPLES (PHOTO 79-80643)

2-3

TABLE II. UV CURED COATING MATERIALS CONDENSED TEST SUMMARY CHART (U.S. ARMY CONTRACT)

			Coating Type		
Activity	Grace NBXRCP-10526-51-1	De Soto 2353-7 (+BP)	Grace NBXRCP-10526-51-2	Cela nese Formula 25605-30C	Thiokol ZM894
Cure Under resistors Surface	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No Yes	No Yes
Initial electrical insulation resistance, ohms	* >20 × 10 <sup>1</sup> 2	>20 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	2.0 × 10 <sup>1</sup> 2	2.8 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	Not cun
Thickness, in.	0.016	0.006	0.013	0.005	0.032
Cure method	Horizontal; 1 scan + 5 min in 200°F oven	Horizontal; 2 scans + 10 min in 200 <sup>0</sup> F oven	Horizontal; 1 scan + 5 min in 200 <sup>0</sup> F oven	Horizontal; 1 scan + 5 min in 200°F oven	Vertical; 1 scan + 5 min in 200°F oven
Application method	Dip	Dip	Dip	Dip	Dip
Appearance	Clear, uneven (wavy), no black light response	Clear, some pin holing, no black light response	Clear, wavy, no black light response	Bubbles in cured coating, clear, no black light response	Bubbles in cured coating, clear, no black light response
Comments	Gelled in can (prob- ably due to unfilt- ered light exposure); initial viscosity too high; subsequently installed room light filters	BP added at time of use. Requires 5/100 BP to cure it. Slower curing than Grace system. Shelf life tests to be run with BP in it	Initial viscosity too high, cannot spray properly, excessive bubbles trapped in cured coating	Ingredients mixed at time of use; excessive bubbles trapped in mix	About 2 years old sample; high initial viscosity

2-4

TABLE II. UV CURED COATING MATERIALS CONDENSED TEST SUMMARY CHART (U.S. ARMY CONTRACT) (Continued)

			Coating Type		
Activity	Conchenco 817-C:301	Conchenica 817-C-301	Dyachem/Thiok of Báse 3/Senstlizer 1	Dynachem/Thiokol RD 7/Sensitizer 1	Grace RCC 150
Cure	Ĩ	2	A o	Ň	QN
Under resistors	2	NO T			
Surface	Yes	20	Yes	Yes	Y 65
hritial electrical resistivity, ohms	Not run	Not run	Notenn	Not run	Not run
Thickness, in.	0.002	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.007
Cure method	Vertical; 1 scan + 5 min in 2000F oven	Horizontal; 1 scan + 5 min in 200 <sup>0</sup> F oven	Horizontal; 1 scan + 5 min in 200 <sup>0</sup> F oven	Horizontal; 1 scan + 5 min in 200°F oven	Horizontal; 1 scau + 5 min in 200°F oven
Application method	Dip	Dip	Dip	Dip	Dip
Appearance	Clear, no black light response	Clear, no black light response	Ciear, no black light response	Cured coating cracked, amber, no black light response	Bubbles on back of board, clear, nu black light respunse
Comments	l	 I	Ingredients mixed at time of use; 20/1 (Base 3 to sensitizer 1)	Ingredients mixed at time of use; 20/1 (RD 7 to senatizer 1)	Alıout 2 years old sample; high initial viscosity

2-5

1

TABLE III. UV CURED COATING SYSTEMS TESTED; JANUARY -- JUNE 1979

١

Horizoutal; 2 scans + 15 min in 200°F 100 ml resin + 40 ml Has no black light De Soto 2353-7 (+BP) No cracks at bend anthracene; slight >20 x 10<sup>12</sup> Not run acetate + 0.2% Not run Spray 0.001 Yes Yes surface tack response oven Horizontal; 2 scans + 15 min in 200<sup>0</sup>F 100 ml resin + 40 ml butyl acetate + 0.2% [racer-Tec 704/80, De Sata 2353-7 (+BP) No cracks at bend >20 x 10<sup>12</sup> slight surface tack Not run Not run Has black light 0.001 Spray Yes Yes response OVCN Horizontal; 2 scans + 50 ml resin + 35 ml toluene + 0.2% Tracer-Tec 704/80 (125 cps viscosity) slight surface track No cracks at bend De Sata 2353-7 (+BP) **Coating Type** 15 min in 200°F >20 x 10<sup>12</sup> **5.001** Not run Not run Has black light Spray Yes Yes response oven Horizontal; 2 scans + **Cracked across bend** No thinner needed to spray apply Orange peel, bubbles; no black AD 3420-73 15 min in 200°F 3.6 x 10<sup>12</sup> Hughson Not run Not run 0.003 light response Spray Yes Ŝ OVEN Horizontal; 2 scans + 15 min in 200°F Initial viscosity too high to spray after thermal cure; **Coating yellowed** Not run Not run Not run Racco 01109-1 Not run no black light 0.005 Yes Dip °N N response oven insulation resistance, ohms Application method Activity 24 hr after cycle\* 1 hr after cycle\* Exposed surface **Under resistors** Thickness, in: **Cure method** Appearance Comments Flex test Initial Cure

\* femperature-humidity tests run per MIL-STD 202 Method 106, 10-day cycle. Exceptiou: insulation resistance readings taken before (initial), 1 hour, and 24 hours after test.

<u>⊢</u> 2-6

.

TABLE III. UV CURED COATING SYSTEMS TESTED: JANUARY - JUNE 1979 (Continued)

(

1

(

1

ŧ

		Coating Type		
	Activity	Grace 0332A	Grace 9332 (A+B) (Old Cure)	Grace 9332 (A+B) (Old Cure)
	Application method	Spiray	Dip	Spray
	Cute			
-	Under resistors	Natrun	Yes	Yes
	E x posed surface	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Insulation resistance, alains			
	l ustial	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	>1 × 10 <sup>16</sup>
	1 hs after cycla.	Not tan	1.6 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	3.5 × 10 <sup>12</sup>
	24 is after cycle*	Natrun	2 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	1 × 10 <sup>15</sup>
2-7	Thackness, in.	0.002	0.007	0.002
	Cuse methad	Havizantal; 1 scan + 200°F aven for 10 min	Horizontal; 1 scan + 200ºF oven for 10 min	Horizw
	Appearance	Lower boiling temperature solvents blistered off metal surfaces; fillers gave milk white coluration	Smooth, clear	Smooth, clear
	flex test	Cracked across bend	No cracks at bend	No cracks at bend
	Consucrts	Thinning with reactive dituents or using 20% by weight tale or Hyduit 719 resulted in faiting flex test; undituted 9332A viscosity approximately 24,000 CPS, no shelf life stability	Has black light ' response; three part system**	Has black light response; three part system **
	and the second of the second second second second second and the second se	1		. badaaa diatati

\*Temperature-humidity tests run per Mill. STD 202 Method 106, 10-day cycle. Exception: multation resistance readings taken before (initial), 1 hour, and 24 bours after test.
\*\*Three parts - Blend B is dissolved in acetone, then added to Blend A.

TABLE IV. UV CURED COATING SYSTEMS SELECTED FOR MIL-1-46058 QUALIFICATION; ONLY SPRAY SAMPLES BEING TESTED

C

 $\int$ 

(1

			Coatin	Coating Type		
Activity	Hughson RD 3650-21	Hughson RD 3650-21	Grace 9332 (A+B) (New Cure)	Grace 9332 (A+B) (New Cure)	De Sata 2353-7 (+BP)	De Sata 2353-7 (+BP)
Application method	Uip	Spray	Díp	Spray	Dip	Spray
Gute Under resistars	ž	2	ž	ž		>
Exposed surface	X as	Xes X	Yes	×.	Y es	
lnsulation resistance. Olimi						
lnítíal	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	>1 x 10 <sup>15</sup>	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>	>1 x 10 <sup>16</sup>
1 In altar cycle*	1.3 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	1.4 × 1015	7.8 × 1014	7.3 × 1014	1.5 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	>4 × 10 <sup>15</sup>
24 he after cyclo*	1.6 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	3.0 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	2.4 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	1.9 × 10 <sup>15</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>15</sup>	2 × 10 <sup>15</sup>
Thickness, in.	<b>0</b> .002	000	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002
Cure method	Horizontal; 2 scan + 2000F oven for 15 min	Horizental; 2 scan + 2000F even for 15 min	Horizontal; 2 scan + 2000F oven for 15 thin	Horizontal; 2 scan + 2000F oven for 15 min	Horizontal; 2 scan + 2000F oven for 20 min	Horizontal; 2 scan + 200°F oven for 20 min
Appearance	Wavy; few smail csaters; clear	Very stight orange peel on on back; clear	Sittaoth, clear	Smooth, clear	Wavy, clear	Smooth, clear
flex test	No crecks at bend	No crecks at bend	No cracks at bend	No cracks at bend	No cracks at bend	No cracks at bend
Countients	Has black fight response; one past system	Has black light response; one part system	Has black light response; three part system **	Has black light response; three part system **	Has black fight response; two part system (add 5% BP to resin)	Has black fight response; two part system (add 5% RP to resin)
"Tennerature-humidity tests (un net Mit	lasts run wes Mil-STD	STO 202 Method 106 10 day cycle. Excention: insulation resistance readings taken hefure (initial)	dav evela. Excentio	u: insulation resistan	ce readinos taken he	fore (initial)

2-8

(mmm) 2 I competations-fournating tests from per MAL-34 IJ 202 Method 10b 10-day cycle. Exception: 1 hour, and 24 hours after test.
\*\*Three parts - Bland B is dissolved in acctone, then added to Bland A.

TABLE V. MIL 140050 TEST RESULT SUMMARY FOR UV CURED COATING CANDIDATES

Ľ

.

		<b>Coating Specimen Test Results</b>	115
Examination of Test	Huchson RD 3650-21	Grace 0332 A/B	De Sata 2353-7 (+BP)
		-	
Curo timo burd temporaturo	Interpress Scan Series 720-1 69 inch/minute, followed by	Interpress Scan Series 720-1 200 watt/inch mercery arc lamp, low intensity, 169 inch/minute, followed by 25 minute dwell in a 200 <sup>0</sup> F air circulating oven	Interpress Scan Series 720-1 200 watt/inch mercury arc lamp, low intensity, scan speed 69 inch/minute, followed by 25 minute dwell in a 2000F air circulating oven
Appledance	Passed	Passed	Passed
Coating thick nass	Pussed (0.001 in.)	Passed (0.001 in.)	Passed (0.001 in.)
Fungus resistance	Pussed	Failed (growth noted after 28 days)	Failed (growth noted after 21 days)
GROUP II			
Shalf life			
Appentance	Passed	Not tested.	Not tested.
treaslestion resistance	Passed (1.4 x 1015 otun)	Not tested.	Not tested *
Distantia withstanding voltage	Passed (0.77 µA)	Not tested.	Not tested.
GROUP III			
(acumunsal) ()			
Purcent change in O hetore and after conting			
1 MHz	10.1	26.7	55.6
50 MHz 100 MHz	18.4 9.1	27.3	4.1 8.1
Percent change in O hefore and after 24 hs interestors in			
wates			
8 haitz	16,3	40.8	31.7
50 MHz 100 MHz	e e e	3.0	35.5
flictual shock			
Virtuation	Passed	Passed	Passed
Chadarteir widtesandhen and ann	Presend (D.56 u.A.)	Passed (0.51 uA)	Passed (0.49 uA)

"Will not be tested since samples failted fungus resistance and thermal humidity aging, hydrolytic stability."

TABLE V. MIL. 146058 TEST RESULT SUMMARY FOR UV CURED COATING CANDIDATES (Continued)

C

C

Ĉ

		Coating Specimen Test Results	lts
Examination of Test	Hughson RD 3650-21	Grace 9332 A/B	De Soto 2353-7 (+BP)
GROUP IV			
Approx anca	Passed	Passed	Passed
Insulation resistance	Pussed (3.2 x 1015 chm)	Pussed (3.8 x 10 <sup>15</sup> )	Passed (3.5 x 10 <sup>15</sup> )
Moisture resistance	,		
Appeartment	Passed	Passed	Passed
Insulation resistance (at 10 cycles)	2.6 × 1010 uvg	1.8 × 10 <sup>9</sup> µvg	7.6 x 10 <sup>9</sup> avg
Avec ages and mismicritan terrest values that ing cycles, when s	1,6 × 10 <sup>10</sup> min	6.6 × 10 <sup>8</sup> min	5.9 × 10 <sup>9</sup> min
roi tag	Pussed (0.50 µA)	Passed (0.79 µA)	Pussed (0.76 µA)
GROUP V			
Yauthanal 3	Passers	Passed	Passed
GROUP VI			
Therman humblery agains	Passed (slight shade change, resistor markings legible, no corrosion)	Failed (after 56 days: complete reversion, resistor alpha numeric nurkings illegibte and missing, color change, severe metal corrosion)	Fatted (after 56 days: coating fractured, resistor uppa-mmeric markings itlegible and missing, metai corresion, stight shade change)
GROUP VII	•		
P lumma resultance	E <sup>2</sup> 445.5023	Passed	Pussed

2-11

After the thermal humidity aging test, Hughson RD 3630-21 on the test boards appeared to have a light orange shade. It was agreed at the time of the oral report at MIRADCOM in October 1979 that it would be desirable to determine whether the coating or the board had changed shade by rerunning the thermal humidity aging test.

ļ

۰. ا

Ĩ

1

( •

While preparing for this additional testing, three new candidate materials, Grace XRCP 432C, De Soto 2633-115-1 (+BP), and 3M L-4637 (a cationic epoxy system), were received, evaluated, and passed initial testing (see Table VI).

The second thermal humidity aging test was conducted from January through May 1980 with an uncoated board used as a control. In addition to the uncoated board, and boards coated with the previously tested Hughson RD 3650-21 material, samples coated with three new candidate materials were included in the test. Boards coated with Hughes SCG material (HP 16-170, Type I) an adaptation of Uralane 5750 (M&T Chemicals Co.) conformal coating, was added as a control. This coating is routinely used at Hughes to coat spacecraft production boards. Each type of coating specimen (three), plus a bare laminate, were placed in their own separate container to minimize the chance of contamination from the degradation of another coating. In the initial thermal humidity aging test, all samples were run in a single dessicator which could possibly have caused cross-contamination of test samples.

The results of this test indicated that shade changes during the test period occur in the coating, since no shade changes were observed in the bare laminates (see Appendix A). No reversion, softening, chalking, blistering, cracking, tackiness, or loss of adhesion or liquefaction of either the Hughson coating or two of the three new candidate materials was observed.

The 3M material samples showed evidence of liquefaction as exhibited by flow patterns (a nonuniform sag of the coating gaused by gravity) across the specimen. The coatings were also slightly tacky to the touch (see Appendix C).

TABLE VI. UV CURED COATING CONDENSED TEST SUMMARY CHART (U.S. ARMY CONTRACT)

{ 7

C

	ling in a statement and a state and an	and and manufacture of the second state of the	Cuating Type		
Activity	Grace X HCP 432C	De Sate 2633-115-1 (+8P)	Nughsan RD 3658-21	3M L 4637	HP16-170 Typo I (Modified Uralane 5750)
	ζa	Y es	Yei	Yes	Conventional coat-
Suttace	Yas	Yes	Y #5	Yes	ing not applicable
linnad electrical resistarity. adom	1.0 x 1015 Bucckenann L. C	1.0 × 1015 Beckman 1-8	1.0 x 10 <sup>15</sup> Beckinan L-B	1.0 x 1015 Beckinan L-B	1.0 x 1015 Reckman L-8
f haukanuss, im.	0.0015	0.0088	0.0010	0.0015	0.0110
Case multique	Hmizontal; 5 scan/ sade plus 4 in tu 2000F aven	Hauzantal: 1 scan/ sule plas 25 min in 20045 oven	Haurantat; 1 scan/ side phis 25 mun m 200ªF aven	Havizantai; 5 scan/ side µlus 4 hr in 2000F aven	4 hr 130°F fust coat; overnight ambient + 200°F 4 hr secund cuat
Application mathud	Spray	Spiey	Spray	Spray	Spray first coat; pour second coat
Approactice	Occasional clusters al sineal bubbles; yeary cleas conting	Occasional clusters of small bubbles; shury clear coat	Stight crange peel eftect	Some small bubbles	Smath craters
fixe (and	Pars	Pars [-2 material failed)	Pass	Pass	Pass
Cossaturats	3 part system leat pour 2 to 130°F; pour 10 to 130°F; pour 10 to 401 1 theo disodve no 1, 1, 1 trachin with IPA. 5004/bTC/3 IPA. Seak beto to 12A; an tilast diy writh guis. 30 min an diy beckne UV core:	2 yeat system; edd busyl accetate 7 parts to 100 years resin - add 1% fracer Tech 704/60 5 phw BP (1 ucidal's Luperco ATC).	1 part system, add 27 g but;1 acctate 10 100 g resm.	<ul> <li>4 part system. Ref. letter 12/3/79 E.J. Deviny. 10 µbw L4637, 10 µbw base resin, 1 µbw itexibilizing resun, 0.6 µhw L4638, 2 µbw MIBK.</li> </ul>	2 part kit. First coat 50 g 57508. 9 g 5750A, 17 drops 1% Themudie 12. Second cuat, hand mix all ingredients.

2-13

#### TASK 2. PROCESS EVALUATION AND OPTIMIZATION

wight group of a sign sign of the street of

A complete process for application, curing and testing PWBs, using UV conformal coating, has been developed (see Appendix A).

هيروا ويركبه ويرجعون والمرجوع والمرجوع والمرجوع

#### Application

Dipping was the first method used to apply the coating to the PWBs. The evaluation was successfully redirected toward the use of spray application for the following reasons:

- Dip application usually requires more equipment (holding racks, holding fixtures, tanks, conveyors, circulation devices), results in more coating material waste (coating holding fixtures, as well as PWB assemblies, and run or dripoff losses), and requires more cleanup after coating, than does spray application.
- 2) Since the UV coating material would be inside a container during spraying operations, instead of in an open tank as during dipping operations, there should be the ability to spray under existing incandescent or fluorescent lighting (UV spectrum emission filtering in production areas may not be required).
- Implementation of the spray process for UV would entail only minor changes to the evaluated existing Hughes facility for coating PWBs.

After thinning each material to approximately 175 centipoise, material was applied with a Binks model 26 spray gun with a 785 nozzle. With the air pressure between 30 and 40 psi, the gun was held about 6 inches from the board and the box coat applied (see Figure 2).

#### Curing

#### Equipment

The UV curing equipment used for this Program was Interpress Corp., Model BP 720-1 SPL scan series (see Figure 3). This equipment was used because it was already in existence at Hughes SCG, and has built-in features to protect electronic hurdware to prevent component overtemperature, electrostatic discharge, and scanning lamp interference. This curing equipment is set up in the Hughes SCG Digital Electronics Production Area near the exisiting PWB conformal coating and UV cured marking ink process areas. UV curing parameters for the oven were selected based on previous experience with UV cured ink marking presently used to identify electronic assembly hardware at Hughes SCG.

#### Tooling

Horizontal and verticle holding fixtures were fabricated. The horizontal holding fixture (HOFX) (see Figure 4), was selected so that the coated board surface could be placed in the focal plane of the lamp. Although some cure occurs with a vertical HOFX, a coating slump to the lower edge of the board occurs and the local plane is compromised, resulting in lack of uniform cure.



a) SPRAYING AREA (PHOTO 80-85010)



b) SPRAYING TECHNIQUE (PHOTO 80-85011)

FIGURE 2. UV COAT SPRAY OPERATION





K.

b) DOOR OPEN







ļ

ł

ſ

6

ſ

÷

1

¢

FIGURE 4. HORIZONTAL HOLDING FIXTURE

### Testing

### Cure Test Boards

The cure test boards (see Figure 5) were 2 by 3 inch pieces cut from Circuit-Stik GP C8102 boards with two groups of three, side-by-side, 0.25 inch long by 0.09 inch diameter, carbon resistors soldered to the board sections. The lower surface of the resistors were mounted to stand about 0.05 inch off the board. This area was hand filled with candidate material to simulate a maximum filleting condition under the components. To check the cure of coating in shadowed areas, the leads on one side of one group of resistors were cut after UV exposure and the resistors peeled back to see if there was uncured coating material under them. This was determined by probing in the fillet, and by finger touch. After the secondary thermal exposure, the leads of the second set of resistors were cut and the resistors peeled back. The completeness of coating surface cure was checked by rubbing with a dry Q-tip and by touch.

#### Insulation Resistance

Y pattern test boards, with about 2 inch long standard electrical insulated wires soldered to them (similar to the boards called out in MIL-I-46058), were isopropyl alcohol scrubbed, Ajax scrubbed, deionized water rinsed, and oven dried for 2 hours at  $200^{\circ}$ F. They were then electrically tested at room temperature with a Model 1863 General Radio Megohmeter. All the boards produced resistance readings greater than  $20 \times 10^{12}$  ohms. This was a preliminary screening test, since the meter's next-to-last division is at  $20 \times 10^{12}$  ohms, and the last division is infinity. The boards were then coated and UV cured and the insulation resistance was measured again.

#### Coating Thickness Measurements

Cured coating thickness measurements were taken using a micrometer on both the cure test boards and the insulation resistance test boards.

#### Appearance Observations

Visual observations were made, usually without magnification, for such items as bubbles, transparency, color, and cracking using fluorescent lamp room lighting.

The coating was checked to see if fluorescence was exhibited when viewed under UV illumination using a Black-Ray Model B-100A (Ultra-Violet Products Inc., San Gabriel, CA) longwave UV lamp unit.



• • •

FIGURE 5. CURE TEST BOARDS (PHOTOS 80-88122 AND 80-88567)

### TASK 3. PROJECTED COST/VALUE ANALYSIS

An analysis of the material costs to conformally coat a typical PWB using the spray application method was performed. The Hughson material was the only material that passed all the applicable MIL-I-46058 tests, and was, therefore, the only material priced. A comparison was made between the coating costs using a CONAP Conathane kit applied per Hughes specification HP 16-119 (see Appendix D) versus the Hughson Chemical UV curing material. No cost advantage was determined for either system. Results and calculations are shown in Table VII.

It is noted, however, that nonquantifiable advantages may be gained from sprayable UV cured coatings qualified subsequent to this Program, such as:

- No component mixing (excess material can be reused)
- Usually solvent free

• Initial cure in seconds, including obscured areas

The savings that accrue are from the elimination of mixing and ease of handling.

M	laterial	Cost/Gelion, dollar	No. PWBs Coated	Cost/Board, dollar
	hane Kit ical RD 3650-21 85 = \$36.30	43.10*	<b>130</b>	0.33
Butyl acetate 30% at \$27.	73 = \$ 8.31			
Total cost	\$44.61	44.81**	137	0.33

#### TABLE VII. MATERIAL COST ANALYSIS

Source: \*Hughes Tucson pricing

••Vendor verbal quote

(Telscon)

SECTION 3 PHASE II

Task 1. Production Facility Specified and Documented

Task 2. Delivery of Printed Wiring Boards

R

Task 3. Industry Debriefing Session Held

.

### TASK 1. PRODUCTION FACILITY SPECIFIED AND DOCUMENTED

A production facility capable of producing 750 typical PWB assemblies per 8 hour work day was specified. A survey was made of two of the principal Hughes groups manufacturing military electronics systems containing PWBs. These were Hughes Missile Systems (Tucson) and Hughes Electro Optical and Data Systems (El Segundo).

Personnel contacted at Hughes Missile Systems were Tom Sutherland and Bob Knorr. Contacted at Hughes Electro Optical and Data Systems (EODS) were Earl Holst and Bill C. De Baca. These persons are knowledgeable and actively involved in conformal coating processing of PWBs. The specified facility contains a series of batch-run automated and semiautomated processing machines. This concept, rather than a fully automated system, was chosen because of high initial implementation cost requirements, and to minimize production losses due to individual machine outage.

Table VIII lists the materials and equipment required. Figure 6 is the work flow diagram and Figure 7 shows the facility layout. Figures 8 through 11 are photographs showing the individual equipment.

Material/Equipment	No. Req'd	Cost \$K	Model	Solvent
Automatic cleaner	1	40	Electro Kleen System: 2004 In-Line Solvent Cleaner; EKS-503 Auxiliary Solvent Cleaner; 7.5AF Insta Chill, with stands, variable height load mod- ule, DIGI-LOK controller, and automatic refill system	Trichloroethane
Zicon automatic coater	1	40	Hughson Chemical Part No. 3650-21	Butyl acetate or equivalent
UV cure system	1	20	Screen Printing Enterprises UV Reactor Model 3PI-303 (modified) or equivalent	·
Bake out oven	1	19	Spectra IR Model or equivalent	
On-line inspection	1	5	Conveyor-Black Light	
Furne scrubber and wonting	1	10		
Total cost		134		

#### TABLE VIII. PROPOSED UV PWB COATING MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS



. . . . .

ł

(

(

ŧ

ł

FIGURE 6. PROPOSED UV PWB COATING WORK FLOW DIAGRAM



FIGURE 7. PROPOSED UV PWB COATING FACILITY LAYOUT



FIGURE 8. AUTOMATIC CLEANER (HUGHES EODS)

K



FIGURE 9. AUTOMATIC COATER (HUGHES TUCSON)


FIGURE 11. BAKE OUT OVEN (HUGHES TUCSON)

## TASK 2. DELIVERY OF PRINTED WIRING BOARDS

Ten production type PWBs, Hughes Part No. 867218, were processed with UV conformal coating (Hughson) and delivered to the customer (see Figure 12).







3-6

# TASK 3. INDUSTRY DEBRIEFING SESSION HELD

·· ·· ·

J

1

í

ſ

•

.

4

As required by the contract, two 1-day sessions were held on 23 and 24 June, 1980 at Hughes Aircraft Company in El Segundo. Attendees from outside Hughes were:

Name	Organization	Location	Phone
James Blust	Litton Guidance and Controls	Woodland Hills, CA	213-887-4801
Louis Boccia	Lockheed Missiles and Space	Sunnyvale, CA	408-742-3984
Jim Brewer	Interpress	Duarte, CA	213-357-5061
Robert Brown	USA MICOM	Huntsville, AL	205-876-5742
Wally Brown	De Soto	Des Plaines, IL	312-391-9203
Dick Bush	W R Grace	Columbia, MD	301-531-4566
Napoleon Celestine	Boeing Military Aircraft	Wichita, KA	316-687-2653
James Cherry	Vought - •	Dallas, TX	214-265-2486
Bill Ciark	Magnavox Electronics	Ft. Wayne, IN	219-462-4411
Tom Clark	Ford Aerospace	Newport Beach, CA	714-759-6671
Tom Clawson	Ford Aerospace	Newport Beach, CA	714-759-5096
irven Coppenger	Vought	Dallas, TX	219-256-7355
Jari Drlik	Lockheed Missiles and Space	Sunnyvale, CA	403-742-1336
James Ewell	Lockheed Missiles and Space	Sunnyvale, CA	408-742-9839
J. Flinchbaugh	Lilly	Los Angeles, CA	213-722-7511
James Fonda	Hughson	Erie, PA	319-363-3611
Alan Gershman	General Dynamics	Pomona, C.A	714-620-6511
F. Mc Daniei	Boeing Military Aircraft	Wichita, KA	31 <del>6-63</del> 7-2633
Dick Moe	TRW	Redondo Beach, CA	213-536-2136

Ben Nieswiadomy	Vought	Dallas, TX	214-266-3748
Dan O'Halloran	Ford Aerospace	Newport Beach, CA	714-759-6351
George Phelps	Ford Aerospace	Newport Beach, CA	714-759-5674
Allen Puder	UVEXS	Sunnyvale, CA	408-737-2760
B. Rocha	TRW	Redondo Beach, CA	213-535-2493
Richard Schecter	Litton Guidance and Controls	Woodland Hills, CA	213-887-2160
David Selvis	Raytheon	Lowell, MA	617-230-0601
Maria Villa	E. W. Dom	Gardena, CA	213-532-0300

C

( i .:

(1

Ò

# SECTION 4

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4-1

- 1. Conclusions Regarding Materials and Processes
- 2. Recommendation for Future MM&T Effort

° °

## 1. CONCLUSIONS REGARDING MATERIALS AND PROCESSES

The conclusions arrived at from this evaluation were:

- 1) UV cured conformal coating of PWBs can be performed successfully.
- 2) One material, Hughson RD 3650-21, met the objectives of one-part system (no mixing), and passed all applicable MIL-I-46058 test requirements.
- 3) Minor shade changes during hydrolytic stability testing occurs within the coating, but no detrimental effect has been noted, since the lettering on components under the coating is clearly visible.
- 4) The material can be spray applied, UV cured, and oven post-cured (25 minutes at 200°F) using a Hughes SCG developed process.
- 5) Two late arriving candidates, Grace XRCP 432C and De Soto 2633-115-1 (+BP), have passed all the tests run to date (cure, hydrolytic stability, flex, insulation resistance, and appearance) and are potential candidates for later full qualification testing.

1

## 2. RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE MM&T EFFORT

It has been demonstrated that PWBs can be conformally coated with a UV sensitive material and UV cured in a matter of seconds. An additional cure (conventional) is required to ensure complete curing of the shadowed areas (areas beneath or adjacent to components that are shielded from the UV source).

### Recommendations:

- Solicit and test new material candidates to meet the requirements of MIL-I-46058. This should result in material cost reductions due to vendor competition.
- Develop combination UV/thermal one-step cure equipment. This would result in less physical handling of production hardware, which would reduce costs and reduce chances of damage due to dropping, etc.
- Develop rework and repair techniques for cured coating, including: removal and replacing of components; patching uncoated areas; fixing flaws, inclusions, bubbles; etc.
- Evaluate effect of stresses on coated glass body components. If no sleeving prior to coating is required, the assembly price would be lowered.
- Develop aerosol spray can coating application method to be used for repair, etc.
- Develop optimized methods for covering sharp projections on PWBs.
- Evaluate benign reactive diluents as replacement for the resin thinners used on this program to eliminate the need for solvent vapor exhaust systems.

## **APPENDICES**

MIL-I-46058C Test Report - Hughes Technology Support Division Fungus Resistance Test Report - Truesdail Laboratories Α.

Β.

C. D.

Thermal Humidity Aging Report No. 1 - Delsen Laboratories 120 Day Hydrolytic Stability Test Results - Specimen Photograph Thermal-Humidity Aging Report No. 2 - Delsen Laboratories Existing Conformal Coating Process - Hughes Tuscon Existing Conformal Coating Process - Hughes SCG

E. F. G.

Ć

н. Detailed UV Conformal Coating Process - Hughes SCG

# APPENDIX A. MIL-I-46058C TEST REPORT - HUGHES TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT DIVISION

HUGHES

### TECHNICAL INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE

то: <b>Ј.</b> В	. <b>Fay</b>	oate:	12 November	1979
45-3	0	Ref :	7611.33/213	
SUBJËCT:	Final Report - Evaluation of Ultraviolet (UV)	from:	L. E. Long 76-11-33	
	Curable Conformal Coatings	BLDG.	316 NAIL STA.	R129
	(Div. 45 Frime Program)	Ext.	CC	5750 (AP)

#### ABSTRACT:

Qualification tests in accordance with MIL-I-46058 were performed on three different ultraviolet radiation curable conformal coatings. The three coating materials were 9332 A + B from W. R. Grace Co., 2353-7 plus benzoyl peroxide (BP) from Desoto, Inc., and RD-3650-21 from Hughson Chemical Co. The W. R. Grace and Desoto coatings are two component materials and the Hughson coating is a single component material. The W. R. Grace and Desoto materials did not meet the MIL-I-6058 requirements for fungus resistance and hydrolytic stability. The Hughson material met all requirements of MIL-I-6058 with the exception of Q (resonance). The Hughson coating, however, does not fit into the five coating types specified in MIL-I-6058. New Q (resonance) requirement values for this coating, or for U.V. cured coatings in general, should be established in order for this type coating to be qualified to MIL-I-6058.

### INTRODUCTION

S&CG received a U.S. Army Contract, DAAK 40-78-C-0272, to test and evaluate ultraviolet radiation curable conformal coatings in accordance with the requirements of MIL-I-M6058. S&CG personnel conducted a survey of various coating manufacturers and procured sample coatings. After an initial screening process, the three most promising candidate coatings were selected for qualification testing to MIL-I-M6058. The three candidate coatings were submitted by W. R. Grace Co., Desoto, Inc., and Bughson Chemical Co.

### PROCEDURE

TSD personnel propared all test specimens, conducted the tests, except for fungus resistance and hydrolytic stability, and evaluated the results. The fungus resistance test was performed by Truesdail Laboratories, Inc., Los Angeles, and the hydrolytic stability test was performed by Delsen Testing Laboratories, Inc., Glendale. SACG personnel applied all contings and performed the U.V. cure procedure. Test descriptions and conditions are shown in Table I. The test specimens were marked with the following designations to identify the three different coatings: DS (Desoto), GS (W. R. Grace), and HS (Rughson). The letter "S" in each case stands for spray application. The letter designation "R", "RR", or "RRR" on test specimens refers to lat repeat, End repeat and 3rd repeat respectively. The specimens were divided as specified in Table I for Groups I to VII inclusive and subjected, in the order shown, to the inspections for their particular group.

C

{

4

Z

Ó

TABLE I. Qualification Inspection.

Examination or Test	Test Mechod
Group I	
Curing time and temperature Appearance	Visual-10 Power Magnification
Group II	
Shelf life Appearance	Visual-10 Power Magnification MIL-STD-202, Method 302, Cond. B MIL-STD-202, Method 301
Group III	-
Q(resonance) Thermal shock Appearance Dielectric withstanding voltage-	ASTM D150 & Para. 4.8.8 MIL-STD-202, Method 107, Cond. B-2 See I & II See II
Group IV	
Appearance	See II
Group V	
Meribility	FED-STD-141, Method 6221, 1/8 Inch Mandrel
Group VI	
Hydrolytic stability	120 Days at 85 21 °C and 95 24% Relative Humidity
Group VI.	
Flame resistance	FED-STD-406, Method 2021

......

... . .

....

## T RESULTS

ſ

(

. ....

The results of the qualification tests listed in Table I are shown in Tables III through XVIII. A summary of all test results is shown in Table II. Table XIX. Lists the dry film thicknesses of all specimens which were subjected to the Group III test schedule.

MIL-I-46058 Test	Reference	U.V. Cured Costing			
	Table	Desoto	W. R. Grace	Hughson	
Group I					
Curing Time and Temperature	ш	Passed	Passed	Pessed	
Appearance	III	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Coating Thickness	IA	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Fungus Resistance	Δ	Failed	Failed	Passed	
Group II					
Shelf Life	TA	Not Tested	Not Tested	Passed	
Appearance	IV	Not Tested	Not Tested	Pessed	
Insulation Resistance	AI	Not Tested	Not Tested	Pessed	
Dielectric Withstanding Voltage	· IV	Not Tested	Not Tested	Passed	
Group III					
Q(Resonance)	VII, VIII, IX, X	ī/	1/	1/	
Thermal Shock	Σ	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Appearance	I	Passed	Passed	?assed	
Dielectric Withstanding Voltage	I	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Group I7					
Appearance	II, III, I7	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Insulation Resistance	III, III, II7	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Moisture Resistance	II, III, I7	2/	2/	Passed	
Appearance	XII, XIII, XI7	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Insulation Resistance	· XI, III, IV	2/	2/	Passed	
Dielectric Withstanding Voltage	XY	Passed	Passed	Passed	
Group 7					
Feriditity	XTI	Pessed	Passed	Passed	

TABLE II. Summary Table of Results.

MIL-I-46058 Test	Reference	U.V. Cured Costing			
	Table	Desoto	W. R. Grace	Hughson	
Group VI					
Eydrolytic Stability	IIVI	Failed	Failed	Passed	
Group VII					
Flame Resistance	XVIII	Passed	Passed	Passed	

TABLE II (Continued).

- 1/ The requirement values for this test are different for each generic coating type specified in MIL-I-46058. See discussion section of report.
- 2/ This test was run three times. The Desoto and W. R. Grace coatings "passed" once (2nd set) and "failed" twice (1st and 3rd sets). The requirement values are for MIL-I-46058 types AR(acrylic), SR(silicone), UR(polyurethane), and XY(parylene). The Desoto and W. R. Grace coatings "passed" and "failed" by a small margin in each case.

Specimen	Curing Time and	1 Temperature	Appearance		
Designation	Test Result	Requirement	Test Result	Requirement	
IDS 1-4	U.V. Cured Plus	Time and Temperature	Passed	MIL-I-16058, Para. 3.5	
IGS 1-4	25 Minutes In 200 <sup>OF</sup> Air Circulating Oven	Recommended By The Supplier	Passed		
IHS 1-4	<u>1/</u>		Pessed		

TABLE III. Cure and Appearance.

1/ The U.V. cure apparatus is an Interpress Corp. Scan Series 720-1. The light source is a 200 watt/inch, low intensity mercury vapor lamp. The parts or specimens are cured in the focal plane at a scan speed of 69 inches/minute. . . . . . . . . . . .

.

- ----

•

Desoto	IDS 1	IDS 2	IDS 3	IDS 4
	0.0015	0.002	0.001	0.001
W. R. Grace	IGS 1	IGS 2	IGS 3	IGS 4
	0.001	0.0015	0.001	0.001
Jughson	IHS 1	IES 2	IHS 3	IZS 4
	0.001	0.0015	0.0015	0.001
Requirement	0.002 ±0.001			

TABLE IV. Dry Film Coating Thickness (Inches).

TABLE V. Fungus Resistance 1/

Specimen	Rating 2/						
	After 7 Days	After 14 Days	After 21 Days	After 28 Days	Requirement		
Controls (Filter Paper)	4	4	4	4			
	0	0	• 1	1	٥		
IGS 1-4	0	٥	0	1	0		
IIIS 1-4	0	Ö	0	Q	0		

1/ See attached test report from Truesdail Laboratories, Inc.

2/ Bating: 0 = 20 growth, 1 = traces of growth, 2 = light growth, 3 = moderate growth, 4 = heavy growth.

TABLE	177	Shel:	***	<b>1</b> /
a state and a	***	the birms of	و موجود ا	. <b></b> .

Specizez	Appes	Appearance Insulation Resistance		Appearance Insulation Resistance		n Resistance		estric Ing Voltage
•	Observation	Requirement	Test Result (Chms)	Requirement (Chms Minimum)	Test Result (Microsuperes)	Requirements (Microssperss)		
	Passed Passed Passed Passed	MII-1-46058 Para. 3.5	1 x 10 <sup>14</sup> 5 x 10 <sup>15</sup> 4 x 10 <sup>14</sup> 3 x 10 <sup>14</sup>	1.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	3.74 0.82 0.74 5.76	So disruptive discharge. Leakage rate shall sot exceed 10		
ATETARE 2			1.4 x 1015	2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>		Dicroesperes		

The Eugason conting was the only interial tested for typical shelf life characteristics.

The average of the insulation resistance of the coated specimens shall be a minimum of  $2.5 \times 10^{12}$  come. The insulation resistance for each coated specimen shall be not less than  $1.5 \times 10^{12}$  come.

A-7

• •

ſ

( x\* ;

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

## Page 6

INDIE VII. <u>V (RESONANCE) VALUES 1</u> /							
Specimen Condition	Measurement Frequency (MHZ)			Q	Value		
DESCTO		IIIDS 1R	IIIDS 2R	IIIDS 3R	IIIDS 4R	Average	Requirement (Minimum)
	l	43.402	22.901	35.976	43.171	36.362	50
Uncosteà Specimens	50	73.504	73.097	71.972	. 71.573	72.536	70
	100	77.329	83.678	89.467	68.897	79.843	70
	1	54.396	57.623	57.623	56.701	56.586	
Coated Specimens	50	79.197	75.171	73.126	76.782	76.069	-
	100	83.449	80.465	79.362	81.935	81.303	· ·
Coated Spe- cimens (Af-	1	42.306	35.694	38.244	38.244	38.622	_
ter 24 Er.	50 ·	52.016	46.707	47.656	49.774	49.038	
Immersion In Water)	100	81.273	79.676	79.454	81.133	80.384	
W. R. GRACE		IIIGS 1R	IIIGS 2R	IIIGS 3R	IIIGS 4R	Average	Requirement (Minimum)
	1	46.768	43.171	46.758	37.214	43.480	50
Uncoated Specimens	50	67.688	72.930	74.653	T1.972	71.810	70
	100.	64.705	70.496	67.941	67.941	67.771	70
	1	55.318	55, 318	53.474	56.240	55.087	
Coated Specimens	50	80.185	74.170	79.094	75.737	77.297	-
	100	86.173	84.593	89.792	84.593	86.288	
Coated Spe- cimens (Af-	1	38.244	27.008	27.008	38.244	32.625	-
ter 24 Er.	50	48.T15	47.722	48.T15	49.734	48.721	
Immersion ( In Water)	100	82.632	80,960	88.331	79.676	82.900	
EUGESON		IIIES 13	11145 2R	11115 1A	IIIHS 4A	Average	Requirement (Minimum)
	1	34,352	28.473	28. bT3	7.195	24.623	50
Uncoated Specimens	50	74.653	72.697	73.097	81.561	75.502	70
	100	67.941	66.554	T3.390	68,880	69.191	70
	1	53.013	56.240	55.318	36,878	50,362	4494a
Coated Specimens	50	80,870.	60,870	79.094	102.483	85.829	
	100	84.593	84.593	90.308	141.879	100.343	
Coated Spe- cimens (Af-	1	38.244	31.690	31.690	41.665	35.822	
ter 24 Er.	50	51.980	52.362	54.010	49.329	51.670	
Immersion In Watel)	100	83.772	80.775	80.775	139.984	96.327	

TABLE VII. Q (Resonance) Values 1/

1/ Q(Resonance) testing at 100 MHz has been eliminated from MIL-I-6058 per Amenément 5, 3 April 1979.

•

Specimen	Measurement			Q "alue			
Condition	Frequency (MHz)	IIIRS LAR	IIIIS 2RA	IIIES 3RR	IIIRS 4RR	Average	Requirement (Minimum)
	l	68.330	68.330	74.024	74.024	71.177	50
Uncoated Specimens	50	89.824	86.805	88.808	90,144	88.895	70
opentation	100	83.169	76.442	76.988	81.802	79.600	70
	1	56.271	86.753	58.325	57.503	64.713	-
Coated Specimens	50	70.369	67.550	68.784	T1.368	69.517	-
Shermans	100	78.359	75.583	76.125	74.253	76.077	<b></b> ,
Coated Spe-	. 1	55.423	53.575	52.651	42.375	51.006	-
cimens (Af- ter 24 Hr.	50	67.702	68.658	75.542	73.967	T1.466	-
Innersion In_Water	. 100	63,881	68.881	70.306	67.931	68.999	-

 TABLE VIII.
 Q(Resonance)
 Values - Additional

 Bughson Specimens (3rd Set)
 1/

1/ See Table VII, footnote 1.

Specimen	Measurement			Q Value			
Condition	Frequency (MF=)	ITTES LARS	ITIES 2999	TITES GRAR	IIIES ARRA	Average	Requirement
	1	93.685	<b>89.863</b>	âð.363	81.974	38.346	50
Cacated	50	78.036	76.397	76.897	74.763	76.648	70
Specimens	100	80.414	31.869	19.231	72.349	79. <del>8</del> 90	70
	1	79.107	30.006	76.360	78.208	78.545	
Coated	50	61.208	58.039	67.060	64.123	65.108	
Specimens	100	67.563	71.536	68.386	67.985	63.467	
Coated Spe-	h	88.150	â5.595	88.150	88.250	37.51	·
cirens (Af- ter 24 Er.	50	63.503	72.939	69.649	72.+18	70.877	
ter 24 mr. Immersion In Vater)	130	-66.274	69.942	76. BLC	68. <del>1</del> 97	884, CT	

TABLE IX. Q(Resonance) Values - Additional Bughson Specimens (4th Set) 1/

1/ See Table VII, footnote 1.

E

{

. G. 1

E.

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

Specimen	Measurement			Percent	Change In Q		······································
Condition	Frequency (MHz)	IIIDS 1-4R	IIIGS 1-4R	IIIES 1-4R	IIIHS 1-4RR	IIIIS 1-4RRR	Requirement ( <u>Merimus</u> ) <u>2</u> /
7-4	1	55.6	26.7	104.5	9.1	11.1	9
Before And After	50	4.9	7.6	13.7	21.8	15.0	19
Costing	100	1.8	27.3	45.0	4.4	13.8	9
Serore And	1	31.7	40.8	28.9	21.2	1.4	9
Imersion	· · · ·	35.5	37.0	39.8	2.8	8.9	5
In Water	100	1.1	3.9	4.0	9.3	2.4	7

TABLE X. Percent Change In Q(Resonance) 1/

1/ See Table VII, footnote 1.

2/ These requirement values are for acrylic (Type AR) coatings only (See MIL-I-66058, Para, 3.11). These values are shown for reference only and should not be binding upon acceptance or rejection of these coatings to the Q(Hesonance) test. A new classification, U.V., should be established and maximum Q(Resonance) parameters designated.

**C**7(

 $\cap$ 

à:

. 0

•

•

. .

Specimen	Appea	rance	Dielectric Withs	tanding Voltage
	Observation	Requirement	Test Result (Microamperes)	Requirement
DESOTO				
IIIDS 1R	Passed	1	0.48	•
IIIDS 2P	Passed	]	0.50	]
IIIDS 3R	Passed		0.50	] [
IIIDS 4R	Passed		0.48	]
W. R. GRACE		]		]
IIICS 1R	Passed		0.52	]
IIIGS 2R	Passed		0.52	] . [
IIIGS 3R	Passed		0.48	
IIIGS 4R	Passed		0.50	No Disruptive
HUGHSON (2nd Set)		MIL-I-46058, Para 3.5		Discharge. Leakage Rate
TITES 13	Passed		0.50	Shall Not Exceed 10
LITES 2R	Passed		0.52	Microamperes
ILIES 3R	Passed		0.52	]
IIIIS 4R	Passed		0.50	
HUGESON (3rd Set)				
IIIES 129 ·	Passed		0.48	
IIIIS 293	Passed		0.50	]
TILES 3RR	Passed		0.50	]
TTIRS ARR	Passed	1	0.50	1

TABLE XI. Thermal Shock 1/

1/ Thermal Shock Test was not run on specimens IIIES 1-FRE.

:

A-11

aller all a second and a second of the

•	TABLE XII.	Insulation and Moisture Resistance (1st Bet)	nd Molsture					IC 7 r
		Insul	Insulation Resist	Resistance (Ohms)				611
Initial Value	lat Cycle (24 Hrs.)	hth Cycle (96 Hrs.)	Tth Cycle (168 Hrs.)	10th Cycle (240 Hrs.)	24 Hrs. After Test	Requirement, (Ohms Minimum)	nt, <u>2/</u> <u>3/</u> mum)	.33/2
						Initial Value	During And After Test	213
1.7 × 10 <sup>12</sup>	6.5 x 109	5.3 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	4.8 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	4.3 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	2,0 x 10 <sup>12</sup>		-	
3.0 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	$1.1 \times 10^{10}$	9.3	6.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	$6.5 \times 10^{12}$	1.5 × 1012	5.0 × 107	
$1.6 \times 10^{13}$	8.7	7.3	5.4 x 109	4.6 x 109	4.2 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	1.0 × 10 <sup>10</sup>	
1.6 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	2.3 x 1010	7.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	3.7 × 109	2'.8 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	4.3 x 1012	1.5 1 10 <sup>12</sup>	5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	_
5.2 x 1012	1.1 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	7.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	5.0 x 109	3.6 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	5.6 x 1012	-	+	
$1.1 \times 10^{13}$	1.2 × 10 <sup>10</sup>	7.2 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	4.3 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	3.2 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	4.9 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	Pa
								ge .
$2.8 \times 10^{13}$	3.3 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	$1.5 \times 10^{11}$	9.0 × 10 <sup>10</sup>	5.8 × 1010	4.6 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	1.5 2 1012	5.0 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	10
$1.5 \times 10^{13}$	4.4	أستنبس	2.4 × 1010	1.9 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	6.4 x 10 <sup>12</sup>			
$2.1 \times 10^{13}$	1.9		5.7	3.8	2.6 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	1.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1
Specimens IVDS 1, IV 3 and 4 numbered ape (see Table XIV).	Bpecimens IVDS 1, IVDS 2, IVOS 1 3 and 4 numbered specimens shown (see Table XIV).	•	-	13 2 vere err ese specimen	VHS 2 vere erroneously separated These specimens were tested at s	arated from the d at a later da	the • date	
ecimens a ure to th	All test specimens satisfied the after exposure to the Moisture R		appearance requirement of MIL-46058, Paragraph 3.5, before and sistance Test.	of MIL-1-460	58, Paragrap	h 3.5, befo	e and	·····
This requirement is XY(parylene).	for ML-1-4	This requirement is for MIL-I-46058 types AR(acrylic), BR(silicone), UR(polyurethane), and XY(parylene).	Kacrylic), B	R(silicone),	UR(polyuret	hane)', <b>a</b> nd		<u>12 Nov</u>
see Table	a VI, footnol lon applies t	Bee Table VI, footnote $\frac{2}{2}$ for average and individual requirements. explanation applies to values 1.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup> ohus and 5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> ohus.	rage and ind ) x 1010 ohma	lividual required to 5.0 x 1		The same		vember
							·	19

A-12

TIC 7611.33/213

÷.

Page 10

12 November 1979

Page 11

12 November 1979

						weathrand ( the ber).			-
Specimen			Insule	Insulation Resistance (Ohms)	rice (Ohma)				
	Initial Value	let Cycle (24 Hrs.)	hth Cycle (96 Mrs.)	7th Cycle (168 Hrs.)	10th Cycle (240 Hra )	24 Hrs.	Requirement, 1/	t, <u>1</u> ,2/	1
DESOTO							Initial	During And	
							· Value	After Test	
	2.0 x 10 <sup>14</sup>	0701 × 3070	5.8 × 10 <sup>10</sup>	5.2 × 1010	5.0 x 1010	1.9 x 10 <sup>14</sup>		-	T
IVDB 2R	1.5 x 1014	4.7 x 1010	2.4 × 1010	1.9 x 1610	$1.1 \times 10^{10}$	3.0 x 1014		-	
HE SUVI	2.0 x 1014	5.8 x 1010	4.1 x 1010	4.3 × 1010	4.1 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	Ħ	1.5 × 1046	5.0 x 10.2	_
IYDES 4R	1.8 × 1014	2.3 × 1010	1.8 x 1010	$1.6 \times 10^{10}$	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	, <b>X</b>		ka	
AVEYARE	1.8 x 1014	4.8 × 1010	3.5 × 1010	3.2 × 1010	×	×	SLOL 2 2 2		Ţ
W. R. GRACE						4		<b>⊀</b> ∦	-
IVGB IR	1.2 x 1014	4.8 × 1010	$3.8 \times 10^{10}$	4.8 × 1010	4.6 x 1010	1.8 × 1014			-
IVCS 2H	1.8 x 1014	6.2 × 2010	Ħ	×	<b>X</b>	1.0 x 10 <sup>14</sup>		<b></b>	age
IVG3 38	1.8 x 1014	4.8 x 1010	4.2 x 1010	4.8 × 1010	×	$1.0 \times 10^{14}$	1.5 x 10 <sup>42</sup>	5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	. 11
I VGS 4.R	2.0 x 1014	6,3 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	5,3 × 1010	×	×	$1.8 \times 101^{4}$			
Average	1.7 × 1014	5.5 x 1010	4.7 × 10 10	>.	×	۲ ×	2 5 V 1012		π
Incensor								× 2	-
IMIS IR	2.0 x 10 <sup>14</sup>	4.0 x 1010	3.6 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	2.8 x 1010	2.4 x 1010	4.5 x 10 <sup>14</sup>			
IVIIS 2R	2.0 x 1014	1.5 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1.2 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1.5 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	1.4 x 10 <sup>10</sup>		<u> </u>	<b></b>	
IVIIS 3R	3.0 × 1014	1.3 × 1010	1.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	8.0 × 109	7.1 × 109	101	1.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	5.0 x 10 <sup>y</sup> 	
IVIIS 4R	0.0 x 1014	3,5 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	1.4 x 1011	1.8 x 1011	1.0 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	×			Å
Average	3.8 × 1014	1.0 x 1011	5.0 x 1010	5.8 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	3.6 x 1010	× 1014	2.5 x 10 <sup>12</sup>	$1.0 \times 1010$	<u>2 N</u>
1/ See Table	See Table XII, footnote 2.	ote 2.							over
2/ See Table	See Table XII, footnote 3 and a	ote 3 and ope	pecial note.						nher

TARLE XIII. Insulation and Mclature Resistance (2nd Bet).

A-13

-	
100	
( top Pat )	
and Molature Resistance	
Molature	
end	
Insulation and	
XIV.	
TABLE XIV.	

ù. U

K.

æ C

During And After Teat	During And After Test	During And After Test 5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	During And After Teat 5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	. čulan	During And After Test 5.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup> 1.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	ng And r Teat 10 <sup>9</sup> r 10 <sup>10</sup>	ng And r Teat 10 <sup>9</sup>	ng And Test 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup>	ng And r Teat 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup>	ng And Test 109 109	ng And Test 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup>	ng And Teat 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup>	ng And Test 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup>	ng And Test 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup> 10 <sup>10</sup>	ng And Teat 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup>	
Initial Duri Value After		2	alue alue x 1012	Alue Alue X 1012 X 1012	ritial alue x 1012 x 1012	Alue (alue x 1012 x 1012	Alue (alue x 1012 x 1012 x 1012	Alue 1111a1 Alue x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012	x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012	x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012	x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012	Value Value × 1012 × 1012 × 1012 × 1012	value x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012 x 1012	Value Value × 1012 × 1012 × 1012 × 1012 × 1012 × 1012	Value Value X 1012 X 1012 X 1012 X 1012 X 1012 X 1012 X 1012	x 1012 x
	1.2 ×	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>12</sup>	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup>	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup>	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>13</sup>	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 8.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup>	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 8.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 7.8 × 10 <sup>12</sup>	1.2 × 1013 1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 8.0 × 1012 7.8 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 8.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup>	1.2 × 1013 1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 8.0 × 1012 8.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 1.1 × 1012 6.0 × 1012	1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 8.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 3.4 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012	1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 8.0 × 1012 8.0 × 1012 8.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012	1.2 × 1013 1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 8.0 × 1012 8.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013	1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 7.8 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1013	1.2 × 1013 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 1.2 × 1013 1.5 × 1013 1.1 × 1013 8.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1012 6.0 × 1013 2.0 × 1012 2.0 × 1002 2.0 × 1002	1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 1.2 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.5 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.1 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 8.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 6.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 2.0 × 10 <sup>12</sup> 2.0 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 2.0 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.3 × 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.3 × 10 <sup>13</sup>
	5.9 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109	5.9 × 109 7.8 × 109 7.2 × 109 9.5 × 109 7.6 × 109	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 7.6 x 109	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 3.4 x 109 3.4 x 109 8.0 x 108	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 7.6 x 109 3.4 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 3.4 x 109 8.0 x 108 8.0 x 108 5.0 x 108 5.0 x 108	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 3.4 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 2.5 x 109 5.0 x 109 5.0 x 109	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 3.4 x 109 8.0 x 108 8.0 x 108 8.0 x 108 5.0 x 108 7.6 x 109 7.6 x 109 7.6 x 109 7.0 x 108	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 5.0 x 108 5.0 x 108 7.6 x 1010	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 2.5 x 109 5.0 x 109 5.0 x 109 5.0 x 109 5.0 x 109 7.6 x 1010	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 5.0 x 109 7.6 x 109 7.6 x 109 7.6 x 1010 7.6 x 1010 7.8 x 1010 7.8 x 1010	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 5.0 x 109 5.0 x 109 7.6 x 1010 7.6 x 1010 7.8 x 1010 7.8 x 1010 7.8 x 1010 7.8 x 1010 7.8 x 1010	5.9 x 109 7.8 x 109 7.2 x 109 9.5 x 109 7.6 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 2.5 x 109 7.6 x 1010 3.3 x 1010 3.3 x 1010 2.6 x 1010 2.6 x 1010 2.6 x 1010
	6.5 x 1.0 x	6.5 1.0 8.5	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 1.1 x	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 1.1 x 9.0 x	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 1.1 x 9.0 x	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 1.1 x 9.0 x	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 9.0 x 9.0 x 1.3 x	6.5 x 1.0 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.3 x 1.3 x	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.3 x 1.3 x b.3 x 5.7 x	6.5 × 1.0 × 0.5 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 2.6 × 2.6 ×	6.5 x 1.0 x 8.5 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.3 x 1.3 x 1.3 x 1.3 x 2.6 x 2.6 x	6.5 × 109 1.0 × 1010 8.5 × 109 1.1 × 1010 9.0 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109	6.5 × 109 1.0 × 1010 8.5 × 109 1.1 × 1010 9.0 × 109 4.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 5.7 × 108 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 1010 1.8 × 1010	6.5 × 109 1.0 × 109 8.5 × 109 1.1 × 1010 9.0 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 4.4 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 3.4 × 1010 1.8 × 1010	6.5 × 109 1.0 × 1010 8.5 × 109 1.1 × 1010 9.0 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 3.4 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 1.8 × 1010	6.5 × 109 1.0 × 1010 8.5 × 109 1.1 × 1010 9.0 × 109 4.3 × 109 1.3 × 109 5.7 × 108 2.6 × 109 2.6 × 109 1.8 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 1.1 × 1010 4.4 × 1010 1.3.5 × 1010
	8.5 x 1.3 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.2 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x	8.5 x 8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.2 x 7.0 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 7.0 x 2.8 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 1.2 x 2.8 x 5.7 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 1.2 x 2.8 x 5.7 x 6.5 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 7.0 x 7.0 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 6.5 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.2 x 7.0 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 7.0 x 2.8 x 5.7 x 6.5 x 6.5 x 1.8 x	8.5 x 1.3 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 1.4 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 1.8 x 1.8 x 1.8 x 3.5 x	8.5 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 7.0 x 7.0 x 7.0 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 1.8 x 1.8 x 3.5 x 6.6 x	8.5 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.1 × 1.2 × 2.8 × 5.7 × 6.5 × 4.0 × 1.8 × 1.8 × 5.8 × 5.	8.5 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.1 x 1.4 x 5.7 x 5.7 x 5.6 x 1.8 x 5.6 x 1.4 x 1.4 x
	1.5		1.5 2.0 1.8 2.2	1.5 2.0 1.8 2.2 2.2 1.9	1.5 2.0 1.8 2.2 2.2 1.9	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.8 2.2 2.2 1.9 7.0	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.9 5.0	1.5 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 1.9 7.0 5.0 8.0	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.8 1.9 2.2 2.2 1.1	1.5 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 7.0 5.0 8.0 8.0 1.1	1.5 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 7.0 7.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 1.1	1.5 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 5.3 2.4 5.4	2.0 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 5.3 1.1 1.1 2.3	1.5 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 5.3 1.9 1.1 1.1 2.0 2.0 2.0	1.5 x 1010 2.0 x 1010 2.2 x 1010 2.2 x 1010 1.9 x 1010 1.9 x 109 8.0 x 109 8.0 x 109 1.1 x 109 5.3 x 1010 5.4 x 1010 2.0 x 1010 2.0 x 1010 2.0 x 1010	1.5 × 1010 2.0 × 1010 1.8 × 1010 2.2 × 1010 1.9 × 1010 7.0 × 109 5.0 × 109 8.0 × 109 1.1 × 109 5.3 × 1010 5.4 × 1010 5.4 × 1010 2.0 × 1010 2.0 × 1010 2.0 × 1010 2.0 × 1010
3 0 - 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.5 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.5 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.5 x 1015 3.5 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.5 x 1015 14.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 1.0 x 1015 3.5 x 1015 1.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	<pre>x 1015 x 1015</pre>	3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.5 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 4.0 x 1015 3.0 x 1015 3.2 x 1015 3.2 x 1015
		1 2 5	3 5 1	1 3 1 9 1	1 2 2 3 1 4 8 4 GRACE			1 2 2 2 2 8 6 8 6 1 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	RACE	OM RACE	1 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1				IVUS 1 IVUS 2 IIIIG 3 IIIIG 4 Average V. R. GRACF V. R. GRACF IVCS 1 IVCS 1 IVCS 2 IIICS 4 Average IIUCISON IVUS 2 IIICS 4 Average IIUCISON IVUS 1 IVUS 2 IIICS 4 Average
	2.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup> 1.3 x 10 <sup>10</sup> 1.0 x 10 <sup>10</sup> 7.9 x 10 <sup>9</sup> 6.0 x 10 <sup>13</sup> 1.2 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	2.0 x 1010       1.0 x 1010       1.0 x 1010       7.0 x 1010       7.0 x 1010       1.0 x 1000       1.0 x 1000 <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block">\frac{4.0 \times 10^{15}}{1.0 \times 10^{15}} = 2.0 \times 10^{10} = 1.3 \times 10^{10} = 1.0 \times 10^{10} = 1.2 \times 10^{10} = 1.5 \times 10^{</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} u_{1,0} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{1} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{1} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{1} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{1} + 0 \\ z_{1} \\ z_{</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td>	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{4.0 \times 10^{15}}{1.0 \times 10^{15}} = 2.0 \times 10^{10} = 1.3 \times 10^{10} = 1.0 \times 10^{10} = 1.2 \times 10^{10} = 1.5 \times 10^{$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} u_{1,0} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{1} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{1} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{1} \times 10^{1} 5 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{2} \times 10^{1} 0 \\ z_{1} + 0 \\ z_{1} \\ z_{$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

A-14

12 November 1979

See Table XII, footnote 3 and special note.

See Table XII, footnote 2.

ا<del>بر</del> اور

•

- and - and - and -

1

1

\$

- <u></u>	Leakage Current (Microamperes)										
2nd Se	t	3rd S	et	Requirement							
Specimen	Test Result	Specimen	Test. Result								
DESOTO				•							
IVDS 13	1.057	IVDS 1	0.48								
IVDS 23	0.867	IVES 2	0.96`								
IVDS 3R	0.957	IIIIS 3	1.08								
IVES. 48	0.804	IIIIS 4	0.50								
W. R. GRACE											
IVGS 1R	1,082	IVGS 1	0.48	No Disruptive							
IVOS 2R	0.910	IVCS 2	0.46	Discharge. Leskage Rate							
IVGS 3R	0.697	IIICS 3	1.08	Shall Not Exceed 10							
IVGS 42	0.741	IIIGS 4	i.12	Microamperes							
TUCESON	·										
IVES 12	1.017	IVES 1	0.52								
IVAS 2R	0.839	IVES 2	0.58								
IVES 3R	1.158	IIIES 3	0.54								
RA SEAL	0.763	IIIIS 4	1.06								

TABLE XV. Dielectric Withstanding Voltage 1/

1/ The Dielectric Withstanding Voltage was not run on 1st set of specimens due to inadequate number of specimens available.

( Ç.,

Specimen	Coservation	Requirement
DESOTO		
VDS 1	Passed	
VDS 2	Passed	
VDS 3	Passed	
VDS 4	Passed	
W. R. GRACE		
VGS 1	Passed	-
VGS 2	Passed	No Cracking
VGS 3 ·	Passed	or Crazing
VCS 4	Passed	
HUGHSON		
VES 1	Passed	
VHS 2	Passed	
VES 3 1/	Failed, 1 3/16 Inch Crack	
VES 4	Passed	
VES LE	Passed	

TABLE XVI. Flexibility Test. .

1/ This test specimen had a coating thickness of 0.005 inches (5 mils) at the edge of the specimen where the failure occurred. The coating thickness for types AR(acrylic), ER(epoxy), and UR(polyurethane) shall be 0.002 20.001 inches. For type XY(parylene), the coating thickness shall be 0.0006 ±0.0001 inches. For type SR(silicome), the coating thickness shall be 0.005 ±0.003 inches.

5

ŧ

6

VIES 3

VIES 4

Page 15

Marhings Legible 3/

Control

		TABLE XVII. Hydrol	ytic Stability 1	1	
Specimen		Appearan	ce/Observation	÷.	
DESOTO	After 28 Days	After 56 Days	After 84 Days	After 120 Days	Requirement
VIDS 1 VIDS 2 VIDS 3	Slight Discoloration	Slight Corrosion, Tackiness, and Loss of Adhesion	Slightly Worse Corrosion, Tackiness, and Loss of Adhe- sion	Coating Frac- tures, Cor- rosion, MIL-R-55182 Resistor Mark- ings Illegi- ble <u>3</u> /	
7:05 4	Control	Control	Control	Control	1
W. R. GRACE					
VIGS 1 VIGS 2 VIGS 3	Slight Discoloration	Extreme Corrosion, Tackiness, and Liquefaction of Coating	Severe Corrosion, Tackiness, and Liquefaction of Coating	Complete Rever- sion, Corrosion, MIL-R-55182 Resistor Mark- ings Illegible 3/	
7IGS 4	Control	Control	Control	Control 2/	
HUCHSON					
VIIS 1 VIIS 2	¢ Slight Darkening	Additional Dervening	No Additional Degradation	Slight Darkening - All Resistor	

1/ See attached test report from Delsen Testing Laboratories, Inc.

Control

Control

2/ This control specimen was slightly tacky to the touch after 120 days at 25 °C and 50 percent relative humidity.

Control

3/ There are two resistors on each test specimen. The MIL\_R-39008 resistor is color coded and the MIL-R-55182 resistor is sumerically coded.

TIC '	7611.	33/	/213
-------	-------	-----	------

Ì

Ľ

6

Specimen	Observation	Requirement
DESOTO		
VIIDS 1.		
VIIDS 2		
VIIDS 3	Self-Extinguishing	
VIIDS 4		
W. R. GRACE		
VIIGS 1	ł	
VIIGS 2		Self-Extinguishing
VIIGS 3	Self-Extinguishing	or Non-Burning After 2 Ignitions
VIICS 4		
HUGESON		
VITES 1	. 4	
VIIHS 2		
VIIES 3	Self-Erringuishing	
VIIHS L		

# TABLE XVIII. Flame Resistance.

.

C

Specimen	Uncoated Specimen Thickness	Total Thickness (Both Sides Coated)	Total Coating Thickness	Approximate Coating Thickness Per Side 1/
DESOTO				
IIIDS 1R	0.059	0.060	0.001	0.0005
IIIDS 2R	0.061	0.0625	0.0015	0.0007
IIIDS 3R	0.063	0.064	. 0.001	0.0005
TITES 4R	0,060	0.061	0.001	0.0005
W. R. GRACE				
IIIGS 1R	0.058	0.059	0.001	0.0005
IIIGS 2R	0.060	0.062 .	0.002	0.001
IIICS 3R	0,,059	0.060	0.001	0.0005
TIIGS 4R	0,062	0.063	0.001	0.0005
HUGHSCN				
TITES 13	0.059	0.060	0.001	0.0005
IIIES 2R	0.062	0.063	0.001	0.0005
IIIIS 3R	0.060	0.0615	0.0015	0.0007
IIIIS 4R	0.062	0.063	0.001	0.0005
IIIES LRE	0.058	0.060	0.002	0.001
IIIIS 238	0.059	0.0615	0.0025	0.0012
IIIIIS JRR	0.059	0.061	0.002	0.001
IITES ARR	0.061	0.063	0.002	0.001
IIIIS LREA	0.061	0,0645	0.0035	0.0017
IIIIS 2RER	0.061	0.064	0.003	0.0015
FIRE SELL	0.062	0.0645	0.0025	0.0012
IIIIS 4299	0.062	0.0645	0.0025	0,3012

TABLE XIX. Dry Film Thickness (Inches).

1/ The dry film thickness of the costing on each side of the specimen was not measured separately. The total costing thickness of both sides was measured and divided by two. Thus, the costing thickmeas per side is only an approximation.

### DISCUSSION ·

1

5

( É

The Desoto and W. R. Grace U.V. cured coatings failed the Fungus Resistance, and Hydrolytic Stability Tests. These two coatings, therefore, are not acceptable for inclusion into MIL-I-46058. The Hughson coating met all requirements of MIL-I-46058 with the exception of Q(Resonance).

The U.V. cured coatings do not fit into any of the five coating types specified in MIL-I-46058. The "percent change in Q" values for these coating types vary considerably from one to another. New Q(Resonance) requirement values for the U.V. curable generic type of coating should be established for qualification purposes. The Hughson and Desoto coatings are based on a urethaneacrylate resin system and the W. R. Grace coating is based on a polyene-polythicl resin system. The Q(Resonance) values for the Desoto, W. R. Grace, and Hughson uncoated specimens in Table VII did not meet the minimum Q requirement at 1 MHz for the MIL-P-13949, Type GF laminate material which was used to make the test specimens. The "percent change in Q" values (see Table VIII), before and after coating, for the IIIDS 1-4R, IIIGS 1-4R, and IIIHS 1-4R specimens are, therefore, not significant at 1 MHz.

The Desoto and W. R. Grace coatings were not retested for Q(Resonance) nor tested for shelf life characteristics because they failed the hydrolytic stability test. Two additional sets of Hughson Group III specimens, IIIES 1-4RR and IIIES 1-4RRR, were prepared and subjected to the Q(Reschance) test. The "Percent change in Q" values for the IIIES 1-4RR set of specimens at 1 MHz, before and after coating, and before and after immersion in water should be corrected because of an inconsistent Q value for one of the four specimens in that group. The Q value for coated specimen IIIES 2RP at 1 MHz is much higher than the Q value for the other three specimens at 1 MEz (see Table VIII). This Q value, 86.753, should be deleted and the other three Q values averaged. The corrected average Q value will then be 57.366 instead of 64.713. The corrected "percent change in Q" value for the IIIHS 1-4RR set of specimens at 1 MHz before and after coating vill be 19.4 percent instead of 9.1 percent. The corrected "percent change in Q" value for the IIIHS 1-4RR set of specimens at 1 MHz before and after immersion in vater will be 11.1 percent instead of 21.2 percent. These corrections reflect more accurate values for this set of Hughson specimens. It is not uncommon to get large variations in Q values due to contamination or moisture effects. It is recommended that at least two sets of four specimens each be used for future Q(Resonance) testing to compensate for specimens with "inordinate" Q values relevant to most of the other Q values within the group. Q(Resonance) testing at 100 MHz has been eliminated from MIL-I-6058 per Amendment 5, 3 April 1979, and should no longer be included for any future vory.

It has been stated that the Hughson U.V. coating passed the hydrolytic stability test even ghough the coating darkened (turned amber) after the 120 day test (see photo). MIL-I-M058, paragraph 3.15.2, states that "conditioning shall not cause coating discoloration greater than any discoloration of the control panel". The Project Manager, J. R. Fay, showed the exposed hydrolytic stability test specimens to the cognizant U.S. Army personnel. The U.S. Army position was that the exposed Hughson coating exhibited a "shade change" and not a color change. This shade change or darkening of the coating was considered acceptable to U.S. Army reviewing personnel.

### FUTURE WORK

ſ

Ę

The U.S. Army requires at least two approved sources of U.V. cured conformal coatings qualified to MIL-I-46058. Future work will include the evaluation of an additional U.V. cured conformal coating submitted by W. R. Grace. The Hughson coating may also be retested for hydrolytic stability. The foregoing activities are predicated on a time/cost extension of the existing program contract.

L.E. L. E. Long Approved: R. A. Dunaetz, Head Adhesives & Finishes Section

LEL:dlh

# APPENDIX B. FUNGUS RESISTANCE TEST REPORT - TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

UHEMISTS - MICROBIOLOGISTS - ENGINEERS RESEARCH - DEVELOPMENT - TESTING

Hughes Aircraft Company CLIENT Centingla and Teale Culver City, California 90230 ATIN: Mr. George Acosta Bldg 17, M/SJ132, Lynn Lovs, Bldg 316, M/S R 129 Three sets of coated glass (four specimens.each)

INVESTIGATION Fungus Resistance Testing (ASTM G-21-70).

Contract No. DAAR40-79-C-0272 P. J. No. 54-403253-FEM

4101 N. FIGUEROA STREE LOS ANGELES 9006 AREA CODE 213 + 223+154 CABLE: TRUELAB

 DATE
 July 16, 1979

 RECEIVED
 May 30, 1979

 LABORATORY NO.
 26840

RESULTS

Fifteen day cultures of the following pure culture fungi were harvested, washed and their spore counts adjusted to 1,000,000 (+ 200,000) per ml.

Organism	ATCC Number_
Aspergillus niger	9642
Penicillium funiculosum	9644
Chaetanium globosum	6205
Trichoderna sp	9645
Pullularia pullulans	9348

The spore suspensions were combined and sprayed onto the samples and controls which were placed on sterile nutrient calts agar. The samples were incubated at 30°C for 28 days and examined weekly. The results are given below:

		Obs	ervations (	Sating	
Sample Designation	7 davs	14 davs	<u>21 davs</u>	29 davs	
1. Bughson Glass Panels, THS 1-4	0	0	0	0	
2. DeSoto Glass-Panels, IDS 1-4	0	0	1	1	
3. Grace Glass Panols, - IGS 1-4	0	0	0	1	
4. Controls (filter paper)	4	4	4	4	

8-)

The senare provide and a contract of the contract of an experiment of an approximation determined in the pressure of approximation of approximation of the provided and approximation of the provided and the second of the second

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

\*Rating: 0 = no growth, 1 = traces of growth, 2 = light growth, 3 = moderate growth, 4 = heavy growth

ید.

The samples are being returned by separate cover.

Respectfully submitted,

TRUESDAIL LABORATORIES, INC.

Car. W. Shill

Karl W. Schiller, M. S. Chief Microbiologist



*\**\*

APPENDIX C. THERMAL HUMIDITY AGING REPORT NO. 1 - DELSEN LABORATORIES

ĩ

ſ

ŧ

. .

.

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

1031 FLOWER STREET . GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201





TEST REPORT

	a account with	Qate	1			
WICHES STOCALD	HUGHES AIRCRAFT	10/15/79	Page	1 *	<sup>'</sup> 4	Pages
	Centinela and Teale Culver City, CA 90230	W.C.M. T 16459	P.Q. No	54-40325	4FEM	
		As noted	Succer	27273		

### THERMAL-HUMIDITY AGING

DESCRIPTION : Twelve (12) specimens, identified below, were submitted for Thermal-humidity aging per Mil-I-46058C, Amendment 4,. paragraphs 3.15 and 4.8.12.

GROUP				SERI	AL 1	NUMBE.	RS		
I II III	VI	DS1,	VI	DS2,	VI	GS3, DS3, ES3,	and	VI	DS4

Specimen 4 of each group was maintained at 25°C and 50 percent relative humidity as a control.

- TEST METHOD : Mil-I-46058C, Amendment 4, paragraphs 3.15 and 4.8.12; Fed-Std-141a, Method 4061.1.
- REQUIREMENTS: 3.15 Thermal-humidity aging. When tested as specified in 4.8.12, the coating materials shall meet the following requirements:

3.15.1 <u>Hydrolytic stability</u>. There shall be no evidence of reversion as indicated by softening, chalking, blistering, cracking, tackiness, loss of adhesion, or liquefaction.

3.15.2 Discoloration. The examination shall determine legibility and distinguishability of identification markings and color codes used to identify parts. Conditioning shall not cause coating discoloration greater than any discoloration of the control panel.

As a sevent represented to climate, the police and Climperanus, the report is substitud for the exclusive and the stant is report additional for the stant of the stant of additional. This report addition of additional, this report addition of the stant of additional to additional. This report addition of additional to additional, this report addition of additional to additional. This report addition of additional to additional to additional to additional to additional the stant additional additional additional to additional the additional additi Additional addititional additional additional addititional additional addititiona

C-3

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .

TESTING

DELSEN FORM 102

DELEEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 031 FLOWER STREET • GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



W.O. No.					
T 16459	Page	2	of	4	Pages
ing in the same suggester a surface of the	the second second	A 19 944 1 19 1	A		

(213) 247-4106 (213) 245-8517

PROCEDURE:

 4.8.12 Thermal-humidity aging (see 3.15). One panel shall be maintained as a control at 25°C and 50 percent relative humidity. Three panels shall be subjected to 120 days at 85° + 1°C and 95 + 4 percent relative humidity, and examined as follows (using normal or corrected 20/20 vision):

- (a) After 28, 56 and 84 days of exposure, the panels shall be returned to 25°C and 50 percent relative humidity and held for 2 hours. The panels shall be examined following each exposure and then returned to the chamber for continuation of conditioning.
- (b) After the 120-day aging period, the panels shall be returned to 25°C and 50 percent relative humidity and held for 7 days. The panels shall be examined and compared with the control panel. The panels shall also be tested for tackiness in accordance with method 4061 (Dry-through for varnish, lacquers and enamels) of Fed-Std-141.

RESULTS :

Ę,

EXPOSURE PERIOD (Days)

28

36

All nine specimens met the requirements of paragraphs 3.15, 3.15.1, and 3.15.2, except all were slightly darker than the control specimens.

RESULTS

(1) Specimens GS1, GS2, and GS3 showed extreme corrosion, tackiness, and liquefaction. The resistor color codes and identifications were readable.

(2) Specimens DS1, DS2, and DS3, showed slight corrosion, tackiness, and less of adhesion. The resistor color codes and identifications were readable.

As a manual providence to charact, the public and Davion Concordinant this report a bulenemed for the position was of the divert to obtain 6 a addressed. This report spectra price is the spectral tracked and a new noticities and concord the public of apparently under an advectable. Use of this report universe is the spectra of any static or respond connected there with, in any assumption or publicity matter, where there within automic advect type Davis Consults of provided and a respond connected there with, in any assumption or publicity matter, where there within automic to advect type Davis Consults of provided and

C-4

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

2.314 4044 .3

DELSEN TEST	ENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201			
÷	W.Q. No. T 16459 Page 3 of 4 Pages			
RESULTS (continued) EXPOSURE PERIOD (Days)	RESULTS			
56	<ul> <li>(3) Specimens HS1, HS2, and HS3, met all the requirements of paragraphs 3.15, 3.15.1, and 3.15.2, except for additional darkening of the coating.</li> <li>All nine specimens exhibited measling of the base material.</li> </ul>			
84	<ul> <li>(1) Degredation (including corrosion) of specimens DS1, DS2, and DS3 was slightly worse than that noted after 56 days.</li> <li>(2) Specimens GS1, GS2, and GS3 were severely corroded and showed severe liquefaction and tackiness.</li> <li>(3) Specimens HS1, HS2, and HS3, showed no additional degredation since the 56 day level; except for additional blistering of the base material.</li> </ul>			
120	All nine specimens showed additional degredation from that noted after 84 days.			
120 days plus 7 days at 25°C and 50% R.H.	(1) Specimens HS1, HS2, and HS3 met all the requirements of paragraphs 3.15, 3.15.1, and 3;15.2, except for the slight darkening of the coating, after the tackiness test of Fed-Std-141a, Method 4061.1.			

s 0 وسک ہ idents of a on the of the .... in n ----e er in part fritil ann pararan a pranächtet. -----m Carrier C-5

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

14

·. .

-----

C

.

G

K

ſ



120 days plus 7 days at 25°C and 50% R.H.

(2) Specimens DS1, DS2, and DS3 met the requirements of the tackiness test. Fractures, not associated with the tackiness test, were also noted on all three specimens. The markings of the Mil-R $\sim$ 55182 resistor were totally illegible. Also, the control sample, DS4, was slightly tacky to the touch.

(3) Examination of specimens GS1, GS2, and GS3 at 40X magnification showed the coating had reverted and dripped from the surface; only a thin, discontinuous, tacky film remained.

Photographs of one specimen from each group were submitted to Ed Anderson, Hughes Aircraft Co., after 68. 84, and 120 days exposure.

All twelve (12) specimens were returned to client for further evaluation.

Respectfully submitted,

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

As a munical protection to clarate the public and Dasson Carporation. The regard a submitted for the salaries and the clarat is when it is addicated. The report applies only no the sampless index and a new suppliarity indexprise of the public of apparents unnice or abording dataset. Use of the reson interfair is where or is part or of any salat or itseptic cannotled therewish is day advantance or public to other written addition address from Dolaris Corporation is prohibited.

C-6

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

21.51-2-2-2
APPENDIX D. 120 DAY HYDROLYTIC STABILITY TEST RESULTS - SPECIMEN PHOTOGRAPH

D-1



# HUGHSON CHEMICALS RD-3650-21



# DESOTO, INC. 2353-7 PLUS BP

4.5

ſ



# W. R. GRACE CO. 9332 A+B

120 DAY HYDROLYTIC STABILITY TEST RESULTS

• •

# APPENDIX E. THERMAL-HUMIDITY AGING REPORT NO. 2 - DELSEN LABORATORIES

E-1

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 1031 FLOWER STREET . GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



213/245-8517 213/247-4106

# TEST REPORT

IN ACCURI MIN HUGHES AIRCRAFT	Gale 6/9/80	Page 1 of 7 Pages
Centinela and Teale Culver City, CA 90230	НО. Na Т 17157	28-716201LBN
	Identification	Shapper
an a	As noted	None

#### THERMAL-HUMIDITY AGING

DESCRIPTION:

Twenty-five (25) specimens, identified below, were submitted for Thermal-humidity aging per MIL-I-46058C, Amendment 4, paragraph 3.15 and 4.8.12.

GROUP

SERIAL	NUMBER

I	MS-1, MS-2, MS-3, MS-4, MS-5
II	GST-1, GST-2, GST-3, GST-4, GST-5
III	HSR-1, HSR-2, HSR-3, HSR-4, H9R-5
IV	DR-1, DR-2, DR-3, DR-4, DR-5
v	HP16-170-1, HP15-170-2, HP16-170-3,
	HP16-170-4, HP16-170-5

Specimen 4 of each group was maintained at 25°C and 50 percent relative humidity as a control.

Specimen 5 of each group was an uncoated control exposed to the Thermal-Humidity Aging along with specimens 1, 2 and 3.

Three specimes of each coated type plus one each uncoated specimen(the control for that particular coating group) were placed in an individual dessicator using a different dessicator for each of the five different coated material specimens. A total of five dessicators were used. Except for the Teflon specimen holder, no other materials were in the dessicators.

As a motual production in climity, the public and Oction Carporation, this report is submitted for the exclusion use of the filed t addrassed This report applies any to the complejej rested and is not notestarily indication of the qualifier of opportunity converse or identical products. Uso of this report whether is whele or is part, or of any soals or insigning connected therewith, is any apportising or publicity ten muthurisation feum Dotson Carparetian is pradiation

E-3

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

TESTING

SELLEN / DAM 102

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 1031 FLOWER STREET . GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



		WON0 T 17157	Page 2 of 7 Pages
IEST METHOD :	MIL-I-46058C, Amendmen FED-STD-141A, Method 4		and 4.8.12;
REQUIREMENTS:	3.15 Thermal-humidity 4.8.12, the coating married requirements:	aging. When tested as terials shall meet the	s specified in e following
	3.15.1 <u>Hydrolytic stab</u> reversion as indicated cracking, tackiness, 10	by softening, chalking	ng, blistering,
	3.15.2 Discoloration. legibility and disting and color codes used to not cause coating disc of the control panel.	uishability of identi: o identify parts. Cor	fication markings
PROCEDURE :	4.8.12 Thermal-humidity be maintained as a con- humidity. Three panel: 85° + 1°C and 95 + 4 per as follows (using normal	trol at 25°C and 50 pe s shall be subjected t rcent relative humidit	ercent relative to 120 days at ty, and examined
	shall be re humidity and be examined	and 84 days of exposu turned to 25°C and 50 d held for 2 hours. 7 following each exposu the chamber for conti g.	percent relative The panels shall The and then
	be returned humidity and be examined The panels accordance	20-day aging period, t to 25°C and 50 percer d held for 7 days. Th and compared with the shall also be tested f with Method 4061 (Dry- cquers and enamels) of	nt relative ne panels shall control panel. for tackiness in -through for

As a mutual protection to elemital the public and Detern Tetting Laboratories, Inc., this report is submitted for the elevitive use of the client to whom is is appretted. This report applies only to the cample is teted and is not necessarily indicative of the qualities of apparently similar or identical products. Lite of this report appending the mose of in part, or of any seals or insegnate connected therewish, in any advertising or publicity matter without prior written authoritation from Desen Tetring Laboratories, inc. is prohibited.

> E-4 TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

> > . **.**

DELSEN FORM 103

C

Ĩ

5

(1

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 1031 FLOWER STREET • GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



213/245-8517 213/247-4106

W O No	•				
T 17157	Poge	3	ai	7	Poges
	_			-	

**RESULTS:** 

#### A. Visual examination after 28 days exposure

Group I:

MS-1, MS-2, MS-3: Light yellow-brown streaks were noted along the length of the specimens on both sides. These streaks appear to be where the coating is thicker. Otherwise, the specimens have discolored only slightly. All other requirements were met.

Group II:

GST-1, GST-2, GST-3: Only slightly discolored. Meets all \_ requirements.

Group III:

HSR-1, HSR-2, HSR-3: Only slightly discolored. Meets all requirements.

Group IV.

DR-1, DR-2, DR-3: Slightly darker (brown) than HSR and GST specimens.

Group V:

HP16-170-1, HP16-170-2, HP16-170-3: Moderate brown with slight orange tint. Meets all other requirements.

NOTE:

All five bare laminates showed a very slight darkening in color (darker green).

As a mutual protection to clients, the public and Disten Testing Laboratories, inc., this report is submitted for the exclusive of the client to whom it is appressed. This report appressing to the sampleris tested and is not necessarily inclusion of the public disposently similar or identical products. Use of this report, whether is whole or in part, or of any seaso or integrist connected therewild, in any appendixing or public by mether without prior written publication from Desen Testing Laboratories, the, is prohibited.

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

GELSEN FORM 103

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 1031 FLOWER STREET • GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



213/245-8517 213/247-4106

W.O No.				
	Page 4	of	7	Poges

# 3. Visual Examination after 56 days exposure.

#### Group I:

MS-1, MS-2, MS-3: All three coated specimens showed additional darkening of the yellow-brown streaks previously noted at the 28 day exposure level. MS-1 and MS-3 showed a slight loss of legibility of the MIL-R-55182 resistors. The MIL-R-55182 resistor of MS-2 was completely faded away. There does not appear to be any change in the coating in the area of the resistors which would be attributed to an interaction between the marking ink and the coating (when examined at normal (corrected) vision). There was no loss of legibility of the MIL-R-39008 resistors. All other requirements were met, except for a slight amount of corrosion along all conductors

Group II:

GST-1, GST-2, GST-3: Only slightly discolored. The markings of all three MIL-R-55182 resistors were completely faded away. Meets all other requirements, except for a slight amount of corrosion along the conductors of GST-3.

Group III:

HSR-1, HSR-2, HSR-3: Only slightly discolored. The markings of all three MIL-R-55182 resistors were completely faded away. Meets all other requirements, except for a slight amount of corresion along the conductors of HSR-3

Group IV:

DR-1, DR-2, DR-3: All three samples continue to be slightly darker than the HSR and GST specimens. All markings of the MIL-R-55182 resistors are completely faded away. Meets all other requirements.

Group V:

HP16-170-1, HP16-170-2, HP-16-170-3: All markings on all resistors are completely legible. The coatings are somewhat darker. The "Y" pattern seems to be darkened, also. Meets all other requirements.

NCTE: All five bare laminates showed a very slight darkening in color. (shift almost imperceptable).

As a mutual protection to clients, the oublic and Datten Testing Laboratories, this report is submitted for the executive use of the client to whom it is appressed. This report appressing to the sample to testod and is not necessarily indicative of the public of apprential similar or pentical products. Use of this report, whether in whole or in part, or plans or integrise connected sherewith, in any absentions or public in matter, without phor written authorization from Desen Tasting Laboratories, inc. is prohibited.

E-6

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

DEUSEN FORM 103



WO No					
Т 17157	Page	5	at	7	Pages
	-				

### C. Visual Examination after 89 days exposure.

## Group I:

MS-1, MS-2, MS-3: All three coated specimens showed additional darkening. The markings on the MIL-R-55182 resistors on MS-1 and MS-1 were only slightly legible and completely gone on MS-2. The markings of the MIL-R-39 resistors were totally legible, except for the gold band. MS-2 showed considerable corrosion on one lead and terminal of the MIL-R-55182 resistor There was additional corrosion of the "Y" pattern conductors. All other requirements were met.

Group II:

GST-1, GST-2, GST-3: All three ccated specimens showed a slight amount of corrosion along the "Y" conductors. The gold band was partially gone on all three specimens. Otherwise, there was no apparent change or difference from the 56 day level.

Group III:

HSR-1, HSR-2, HSR-3: <u>Slight</u> corrosion of the MIL-R-39008 resistor leads was noted on HSR-1 and HSR-2. A moderate amount of corrosion was noted on the MIL-R-39008 resistor leads on HSR-3. The gold band was partially gone on all three specimens. Otherwise, there was no apparent change from the 56 day level.

Group IV:

DR-1, DR-2, DR-3: Slight corrosion of the MIL-R-J9003 resistor leads was noted on all three specimens. Also, all three specimens were slightly darker in color. Only the orange and yellow bands were legible on the three specimens.

Group V:

HP16-170-1, HP16-170-2, HP-16-170-3: Slight corrosion of the MIL-R-39008 resistor leads was noted on all three specimens. All three specimens were significantly darker, making all markings essentially unreadable.

NOTE: All five bare laminates showed only slight additional darkening.

As a multual protection to Eligent. The public and Denten Tething Laboratorics (inc. the report is submitted for the eligent was of the direct to whom it is addressed. This report applies only to the sample to report and is not necessarily indicative of the Sub-theory simulation dentice products. Use of this report, whether is what is to part, or of an table of indicative of the Sub-theories of application from Union by matter without precised integers watche cases from Deten Testing. Inc. is prohibited,

£.7

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

• . • . · .

. . .

DELSEN FORM 103

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 1031 FLOWER STREET . GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



213/245-8517 213/247-4106

WO No.						
	r 17157	Page	6	of	7	Pages

#### D. Visual examination after 120 days exposure.

Group I:

(

MS-1, MS-2, MS-3: All three coated specimens showed evidence of liquefaction as exhibited by flow patterns ( A non-uniform sag of the coating caused by gravity) across the specimens. All MIL-R-39008 markings were totally illegible; all MIL-R-39008 markings apparently were not degraded further. Additional corrosion of all conductors ("Y" pattern and resistor leads) was noted. The coatings were slightly tacky to the touch.

Group II:

GST-1, GST-2, GST-3: No apparent change from the 89 day level.

Group III:

HSR-1, HSR-2, HSR-3: The MIL-R-39008 resistor lead of HSR-3 show additional corrosion. There was no other apparent change from the 89 day level.

Group IV:

DR-1, DR-2, DR-3: The MIL-R-39008 resistor leads on all three specimens showed additional corrosion. There was no other significant change from the 89 day level.

Group V:

HP16-170-1, HP16-170-2, HP16-170-3: Additional corrosion of all resistor leads was noted. There was no evidence of corrosion on the "7" pattern. All three specimens were notably darker than the 89 day level colors. There were apparently no other changes.

NOTE: All five bare laminates showed little or no additional darkening.

h a movel processes to clear the public and Delice Corporation, the report is unknowed for the ordering one of the dura to inhum it a addrased This report applies and to the comparity letted and is not necessarily under the gradient of apparently under to dente a product. Use of the upper whether an analysis or in part of an all and necessarily contents, in any advantating or publicity matter, which provides and the addrased grade team Delice Corporation a providence.

E-S

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

DENSEN FORM 103

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC. 1031 FLOWER STREET • GLENDALE, CALIFORNIA 91201



213/245-8517 213/247-4106

WONO T 17157 Page 7 of Page

# E. Tackiness test after 120 days Thermal-Humidity aging plus 7 days at 23°C and 50% relative humidity.

METHOD:

MIL-STD-141, Mehtod 4061

**RESULTS:** 

Following the tackiness test, there was no additional degredation of any of the materials (specimens) when compared to the 120 day results. All five coatings were hard to the touch with no evidence of chalking, Elisteri tackiness, loss of adhesion, or liquefaction.

Respectfully submitted,

DELSEN TESTING LABORATORIES, INC.

As a minufer procession to stainer, the public and Dolson Corporation, this report is submeted for the osciulus use of the diverties of an operation. The report approx physic tensor is and a net necessarily indicative of the grant opportunity sensor or identees products. Use of the report whether is advertained the works or advertising or publicity matter without proc without and a net necessarily indicative of the grant of apparently sensor or identees products. Use of the report whether is advertising or publicity matter without proc without and a net necessarily indicative of the grant of apparently sensor or identees products. Use of the report whether is advertising or publicity matter without proc without an advertising or publicity matter without proc without an advertising or publicity matter without proc without a sensor of the report of the report.

E-9

TESTING . RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

DELSEN FORM 103

APPENDIX F. EXISTING CONFORMAL COATING PROCESS - HUGHES 10CSON

F-1

	STANDARD		•		
					•
			•		
	•				
1. SCOPE					
1.1 <u>Scope</u> . polyurethane coat -65 to 212 °F (-9	This Specifica tings to electro 54 to 100 <sup>O</sup> C) (2	onic assemblies	operating in		
1.2 Classi	fication				1
1.2.1 Types ing to the type of			f the followi	ng types as sp	ecified accord-
Туре	I	none		, Type I, one- mpound. Solve ure cured.	
Туре	II			, Type II, two mpound. Solve	
Туре	III	Туре		3, Type III (MI mponent, nonela at resistant.	
Туре	IV			, Type UR, two bund. Not solv	-component, vent resistant.
Туре	<b>v</b> .	none	elastomeric co	3, Type UR, one ompound, solver re independent.	nt resistant.
1.2.2 Grad as specified acc	<u>es</u> . Type III a ording to the e	nd Type V coat: nvironmental p	ings shall be rotection requ	one of the fol mired:	llowing grades
Grad	e A		i protection a sture.	against condens	sing
Grad	е В		iling protect: ironmental pro	ion, with minim ptection.	nai

1.3 <u>Superseding data</u>. When existing drawingscall out Type III and do not specify grade, Type III, Grade A, shall be used.

F-3

. .

. :

.

à

COATING, CONFORMAL, POLYURETHANE TYPE, REVERSION RECISTANT, FOR ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES, APPLICATION OF	PAGE 1 of 14	HP 16-119	REVISION
		•••	

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	
2.1 <u>Government documents</u> . The common section se	he following documents of the latest issue in effec to the extent specified herein:
SPECIFICATIONS	
Federal	
0-A-51	Acetone, Technical
<b>TT-I-</b> 735	Isopropyl Alcohol
TT-M-261	Methyl Ethyl Ketone, Technical
<b>TT-N-95</b>	Baphtha, Aliphatic
<b>TT-X-916</b>	Xylene (For Use in Organic Coatings)
Military	
MIL-E-7125	Ethylene Glycol Monoethyl Ether Acetate
MIL-I-46058	Insulating Compound, Electrical (For Coating Printed Circuit Assemblies)
MIL-C-81302	Cleaning Compound, Solvent, Trichlorotrifluoroethane
STANDARDS	
Military	
MIL-STD-105	Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes
2.2 Other publications. The orm a part of this Specification t	following documents of the latest issue in effect to the extent specified herein:
Hughes Aircraft Company	
HMS 16-1533	Coating Compound, Polyurethane Conformal Electrically Insualting, Reversion Resistant
HMS-20-1707	Tracer Material, Fluorescent, Conformal Coating
EMS 16-1963	Epoxy Adhesive, Temperature Curing, Flexible
HP 9-2L	Solder Flux Removal, Rosin Base
	PAGE
	F-4 2 HP 16-119 F

C

Y.

-): HUGHES : STANDARD

3. REQUIREMENTS

.3.1 End product requirements

3.1.1 Workmanship

3.1.1.1 <u>Applicable to all coated areas</u>. Coating shall not exhibit gross film de-Wests, such as frothy areas (massive bubbles), blisters, or peeling. Normal pull back from sharp edges and points shall be permitted.

3.1.1.2 Applicable to coatings over underlying circuitry. The coating shall exhibit no pinholes, cracks, broken (unfilled) bubbles, craters, or voids which expose underlying circuitry. There shall be no bubbles (broken or unbroken) larger than approximately 0.03 inch(0.76 millimeter (mm)) in diameter bridging more than 50 percent of the distance between circuitry. The coating shall be free of foreign particles.

3.1.2 <u>Cured coating thickness</u>. The cured coating thickness shall be as follows.

3.1.2.1 Type I, Type II, Type III Grade A, and Type IV and Type V Grade A. The cured coating thickness shall be 0.003 to 0.006 inch (0.076 to 0.15 mm).

3.1.2.2 Type III Grade B and Type V Grade B. The cured coating thickness shall be 0.001 to 0.003 inch (0.025 to 0.076 mm).

3.1.3 <u>Surface condition</u>. Types I, II, III, and V coatings shall be dry to touch and shall exhibit no softness or tackiness. Type IV coating shall be dry and resilient with a rubbery feel.

3.2 Facilities and equipment (Not Applicable)

3.3 <u>Material</u>. The materials used for coating in accordance with this Specification shall be as specified in Table I.

• ·	F-5	PAGE		REVI
		3	HF 16-119	R
114C C3 34 F 35				DENT NO. 32

STANDAR

******	ومنعنات

TABLE I. MATERIALS

Material	Source	
Primer (JR228-1)	HMS 16-1963, Class 1 or 2	
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	TT-M-261	
Coating:		
Туре I	HMS 16-1533, Type I	
Type II	EMS 16-1533, Type II	
Type III	HMS 16-1533, Type III and MIL-I-46058, Type UR (Consthane CE 1155)	
Type IV	MIL-I-16058, Type UR (Uralane 5750)	
Thinner		
Butyl cellosolve acetate. (polyurethane grade)	Union Carbide Corp. New York, New York	
or		
Cellosolve acetate (polyurethane grade)	MIL-E-7125	
Туре V	MIL-I-46058, Type UR (Humiseal Type 1A33)	
Thinner		
Xylene .	TT-X-916, Grade A (Humiseal thinner #33)	
Ultraviolet tracer	EMS 20-1707	

## 3. - Procedure

C

3.4.1 <u>Surface preparation</u>. The surfaces shall be cleaned of foreign matter in a manner that will make them receptive to conformal coating in accordance with this Specification. Areas intended to be free of coating shall be masked by any convenient method that will leave no residual products.

3.4.1.1 <u>Circuit boards</u>. Circuit boards shall be cleaned in accordance with HF 9-24, Grade B, except that the requirements for insulation resistance shall be celeted. Boards shall be sufficiently dry (cleaning solvents and moisture evaporated) to prevent coating imperfections during application and cure. An oven dry cycle may be employed, but the drying schedule shall not exceed the cure schedule.

F	-6	
۰.		

PAGE

			REVISION
1	!P	16-110	R

-----

l

P.

3.4.1.2 Other assemblies. Assemblies other than circuit boards shall be cleaned with one of the following solvents: a combination of 50 ±1 parts of isopropyl alconol conforming to TT-I-735 (grade optional) and 50 ±1 parts of naphtha conforming to TT-N-95, Type I, fluorocarbon conforming to MIL-C-81302 (type and class optional) "PC", "TE" or "TF" (manufactured by E. I. du Pont de Nemours Co, Wilmington, Delaware) or vapor degreased in Freon "PC", "TE" or "TF". If these solvents are not compatible with the assemblies of the surfaces to be coated, only isopropyl alcohol shall be used After solvent cleaning, the assemblies may be dried in a forced air oven, but part temperature shall not exceed 160 °F (71 °C) (344 K).

3.4.1.3 <u>Handling cleaned surfaces</u>. The cleaned boards or assemblies shall be handled and stored prior to further processing in a manner that will prevent contamination or absorption of excess moisture.

3.4.2 <u>Primer preparation</u>. Application of primer shall be optional as approved by the cognizant Process Engineering activity. Primer specified in Table I shall be mixed as specified in Table II.

HMS 1963 Class	<pre>Material</pre>	Concentration, Parts by Weight
1	JR 228-1 Resin	10
	JR 228-1 Hardener	12
	Methyl ethyl ketone	20 to 60
2	JR 228-1 Frozen premix	10
	Methyl ethyl ketone	5 to 8

TABLE II. MIXING RATIOS FOR PRIMER

3.4.2.1 <u>Primer cure</u>. The primer shall be air dried for not less than 31 minutes at room temperature before conformal coating is applied.

F-7

PAGE

ŝ

CODE IDENT NO ES

HP 16-119

REVISION

R

C

Ó

•

۰.

3.4.3 <u>Coating material preparation</u>. Coating materials specified in Table I shall be mixed as specified in Table III.

HP 16-119 Type	Material	Concentration
I	HMS 16-1533, Type I (PC 18 Std or PC 18 M (fluorescent))	100 parts by weight (pbw)
	Thinner Ultraviolet tracer dye <u>l</u> /	As required 12 drops or 0.6 cc per 100 grams of coating
II (See Table I)	HMS 16-1533, Type II (PC 15 Std)	2 parts of Component A to 1 part of Component B by weight
	Thinner	As required
III	MIL-I-46058, Type UR (Consthane CE 1155)	100 parts of Component A to 70 parts of Component B by weight
	Thinner	As required
IV	MIL-I-46058, Type UR (Uralane 5750)	100 parts of Component B 18 parts of Component A by weight
	Thinner	As required
V	MIL-I-46058, Type UR (Humiseal type 1A33)	100 parts by weight
	Trinner	As required

TABLE III. MIXING RATIOS FOR COATINGS

1/ When Hysol PC 18 Std is used, the ultraviolet tracer dye shall be added. However, no tracer dye addition is necessary for PC 18 M (fluorescent).

F-8
-----

PAGE

6

HT \_6-1 3 9 R

REVISION

----

ſ

1

C

C

# HUGHES STANDARD

ç

З,

3.4.4 <u>Coating application</u>. The coating shall be applied to achieve the coating thickness range specified in 3.1.2. To achieve the thickness range specified, it may be necessary to apply the coating in more than one application (see 6.2). The primer specified in 3.4.2 may be used as an initial coating application over difficult-to-wet areas. When so used, the primer shall be applied sparingly, so as to avoid excessive fillets.

3.4.4.1 <u>Drving time (Types I, II, III, IV)</u>. All coatings shall be air dried for not less than 10 minutes at room temperature before being placed in an oven, whether the coating application is between coats or as a final coat. Between applications, the coating shall be dried for a period conforming to Figure 1, Curve A, but not to exceed Curve B. Type V coating material shall be dried for a period conforming to Figure 2, Curve A, but not to exceed Curve B.

At elevated temperatures, the coating shall be dried in a ventilated circulating air oven or ventilated infrared oven. Infrared heating, when used, shall provide for uniform heating of the various coated surfaces and shall be controlled to produce the required surface temperature.

F-9	PAGE		REVISION
	ī	Hr 16-119	R

COUL DEAL NO ET.





. . . . . .

-----

3.4.5 <u>Curing</u>

C

5

Ş

۲

.'

3:4.5.1 Types I, II, III and IV. Curring shall be accomplished in accordance with Figure 1, Curve C.

3.4.5.2 <u>Type V</u>. Curing shall be accomplished in accordance with Figure 2, Curve C.

NOTE: Cure may be interrupted at any point after Curve B (minimum cure time for handling), and final cure may be completed at room temperature concurrent with inspection or continued processing. For Type I, the room temperature curing time shall be doubled if the relative humidity is less than 50 percent. For Type I, an open container of water (plain tap water is satisfactory) shall be maintained inside the oven during elevated temperature curing.

3.4.6 <u>Touch-up</u>. Small uncoated areas or structually impaired coating shall be touched up or "spotted" by brush application of the material. Drying and curing shall be as specified in 3.4.4.1 and 3.4.5.

3.4.7 <u>Cleanup</u>. Excess material shall be removed in a manner that vill not damage or detrimentally affect the coating, circuit board or assembly. Uncured excess material shall be wiped off with a solvent such as rethyl ethyl ketone (MEK) conforming to TT-M-261, acctone conforming to 0-A-51, or butyl cellosolve acetate (see 3.3). Cured material filling terminal board holes, in which soldered connections are to be made, shall be removed by touching the eyelets with a hot soldering iron tip, caution being taken to avoid overheating and lifting of the film adjacent to the heated area. Coatings that have aged longer than 4 days will be more difficult to remove. All four types of coating can be removed using a hot knife. Cured Type IV coating is readily softened by solvents (see 6.1).

3.4.8 <u>Storage</u>. Thinned Type I material remaining after the spraying operation may be stored in tightly scaled jars or cans for periods from 1 to 2 weeks preferably at 40 to 50 °F (4 to 10 °C) (277 to 283 K). All other activated materials (coating Types II, III, IV and primer) shall be discarded after 4 hours from the time of mix, except scaled bottled material (for touch-up purposes) which shall be discarded at the end of 6 hours. Type V material shall be discarded when its viscosity exceeds 250,000 mentipoises, or after 6 months from time of first usage.

F-12

PACE		REVISION
10	HF 16-119	D,

COOL IDEN' NO 1757

And a state of the state of the

L. CUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS

4.1 <u>Quality conformance inspection</u>. Quality conformance inspection comprises all of the tests performed on individual lots which have been submitted for acceptance. The tests are described in the following subparagraphs.

4.1.1 <u>Sampling for quality conformance</u>. All parts shall be inspected 100 percent The cognizant Quality activity may, in lieu of 100 percent inspection, select a sampling plan in accordance with MTL-STD-105. Where applicable, sampling of lots processed on a line flow basis to achieve the required sampling level may be accomplianed by selecting at random the required number of parts or specimens during the lot processing as the lot accumulates at the end of the line.

4.1.2 <u>let formation</u>. A lot shall consist of all parts of similar configuration coated at one time by the same process, by the same processing activity using the came batch of coating material in accordance with this Specification and submitted at one time. The determination of similarity shall be the responsibility of the cognitant Process Engineering activity. Parts processed on a line flow basis using automated equipment shall be considered processed at one time provided the coating material used is from the same batch and the coating operation does not extend beyond one shift and the operation is not interrupted for equipment maintenance or significant adjustments.

4.1.3 <u>Inspection</u>. Inspection of the sample specified in 4.1.1 to determine compliance with the characteristics specified in Table IV shall be in accordance with the corresponding test and inspection paragraphs.

Characteristic	Requirement Paragraph	Test and Inspection Paragraph
Workmanship	3.1.1	4.2.2.1
Coating thickness	3.1.2	4.2.2.2
Surface conditions	3.2.3	4.2.2.3
Packaging and packing	5.1	2.2.1

TABLE IV. QUALITY CONFORMANCE INSPECTION

F-13

PA	IGE		REVISION
:		HF 16-119	R

111.25 63 31 + 24

CODE IDENT NO. 32577

-----

### 4.2 Test methods and procedures

4.2.1 <u>Test specimen preparation</u>. Coating thickness shall be determined on specimens that are representative of the work being inspected and shall be prepared and coated concurrently with the representative units. For "flow line operations", one specimen shall be prepared at the beginning, one at the middle, and one at the end of the run. For "batch type operations", no less than one specimen shall be prepared for each batch.

## 4.2.2 Tests

÷.

671

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

4.2.2.1 <u>Visual inspection</u>. The coating shall be visually inspected, without magnification, for conformance to the workmanship requirements in 3.1.1 and for conformance to the packaging and packing requirements in 5.1.

4.2.2.2 <u>Coating thickness</u>. Coating thickness shall be measured using a micrometer caliper or equivalent measuring device to establish conformance to the requirements of 3.1.2. The coated part or test specimen shall be measured directly in flat areas at least 0.25 inch (0.35 and from component bodies, conductor paths and board edges.

4.2.2.3 <u>Surface condition</u>. The coating shall be subjected to the scratching or abrading action of heavy fingernail pressure or similar pressure applied by an untreated orangestick to establish conformance to the applicable requirement of 3.1.3.

#### 5. FREPARATION FOR DELIVERY

5.1 <u>Packaging and packing</u>. The costed and finished assemblies shall be placed in polyethylene bags or other suitable containers as approved by the cognizant Process Engineering activity to prevent damage or contamination, if the finished assemblies are stored or shipped prior to usage.

F-14

PAĽÍ

12

RF 16-119

RLYD: ON

 $\mathbb{R}$ 

**.** .

·····

1

Ĉ

ŧ

- 6

1

1

# HUGHES : STANDARD

-----

## 6. NOTES

6.1 <u>Intended use</u>. The procedures described herein are intended to provide a physical and environmental protective barrier against abrasion and contamination of electronic assemblies. This process will not always provide a continuous coating for covering sharp points, corners and edges. The coating may form a fillet with component bodies at circuit board interfaces, depending on the proximity of the component body to board surface.

NOTE: These coatings should not be used on printed wiring boards where moisture can condense or for the protection of high impedance circuits in a moist atmosphere.

Type I coating requires extended periods of time for curing where the humidity of the environmental air is below 50 percent. Types II, III, IV and V coatings may be cured where the humidity is below that level.

Type IV coating is preferred where modules may undergo prolonged thermal cycling or where minimum stress to the component body or soldered joint is of paramount importance. However, Type IV coating should not be used where resistance to strong solvents is required.

NOTE: Solvents, such as, 1, 1, 1-trichloroethane, trichloroethylene, toluene, and methyl ethyl ketone vill soften and swell the Type IV coating after a few minutes at room temperature. Hot Freon TF or Freon TE, naphtha, and alcohols have little effect and such effect is normally reversible upon drying.

6.2 <u>Coating uniformity</u>. Coating uniformity within the specified thickness range (see 3.1.2; can often be improved by the application of thin multiple coats rather than a thicker single coat.

6.3 <u>Filleting 'For reference only</u>). When using Types 1, 11, 111 or V coatings, it is recommended that filleting between glass-bodied axial lead components 'i.e., dipdes, capacitors) and the printed wiring board or adjacent components be limited to to at resulting from nominal thickness coating and minimal runoff from the component. Filleting in excess of this amount may be removed by use of a solvent-moistened brush to reduce the amount of filleting.

E-15	PAGE		HL+3-2*
	11	87 16-119	

\*+ # 2 1 3 1 4 m m

COM DENTINE ERTT

HUGHES 1

C

1

4

ES STANDARD

فتعتده الدامنا واعتبا PELEASE AND REVISION RECORD Release Rev Authority Description Datio Approvat \_\_\_ Initial release as HP 16-119. 3/8/65 \_\_\_ A thru Records of changes are contained in the docu-K ment history file. i. CMER 51154 P1: Revise Para. 1.2, and adde 1.2.1, 1.2.2. and 1.3 to add Grades A and B. F3: Revise Pris. 3.1.2, add 3.1.2.1 and 3.1.2.2. P6: Deleted Pars. 3.4.4.1, Figure 1, renumber 3.4.4.2. Sile . ..... P10: Add Para. 6.3. 5-31-77 G. Sypher М P8 and P9: Section 4 completely revised for CMER 51160 clarification and to address the ANG JE differences between batch processing 9-12-77 Y. Moriwaki and time flow processing. N CMER 18092 Pl: Moved Type listing from 1.3 to 1.2.1. F3: Revised para 3.1.1.2. P8: Revised para 4.1.1.2, added 4.1.1.3. WARD! P9: Revised para 4.2.1. 12-14-77 Y. Morivaki Minc- editorial changes throughout. F CMER 21418 Pl: Revised scope by correcting temperature range and deleting last setence. **F2**: 1.2. Bu Added MIL-STD-105 to document section 10-03-78 C. Russell PlO: Added note to 6.1 t. Equal F CNER 22538 Specification has been revised to add Type V coating process and primer cure (3.4.2.1), to T. P. Andersen 3/1/79 revise 1.2.2 (Grader) and 4.1.1 (Sampling plan. PASE F-16 R 14 HB 16-119

# APPENDIX G. EXISTING CONFORMAL COATING PROCESS - HUGHES SCG

C

(1

(

#### RESTRICTED USAGE - APPROVED PROGRAMS

THE PROCESS DESCRIBED HEREIN SHALL BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROGRAMS LISTED BELOW. PROCESSING TO THIS DOCUMENT FOR ANY OTHER USAGE IS PROHIBITED EXCEPT WITH THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE COMPONENTS AND MATERIALS LABOPATORIES.

APPROVED PROGRAMS: SPACE AND COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAMS

1. SCOPE

1.1 This specification covers a process for the application of an elactomeric, potentiane, conformal coating system to electronic assemblies. This process is arraying informatications at temperatures from -65 to  $200^{-94}$  (-54 to  $93^{-9}$ C) (219 to  $300^{-97}$ ).

#### 1.2 Classification

1.2.1 Types. The process shall be one of the following types specified in accordance with the use of coating system.

Type I	Two step conformal coating system providing good coverage
Type II	One step conformal coating system providing adequate coverage

1.3 <u>Superseding lata</u>. When no type is specified on an existing drawing, Type I shall be used.

2. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

2.1 <u>Government documents</u>. The following documents of the latest issue in effect form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein:

SPECIFICATIONS

Federal

C

TT-T-51.9	Toluene, Technical	
<b>TT-X-9</b> 16	Xylene (For Use in Organic Coatings)	
Hilitary		
MI1-E-7125	Ethylene Glycol Mondethyl Ether Adetate	
37 1-1-1 N.	Insulating Compound, Electrical (For Coating Printed Circuit Assemblies,	
111-2-17120	Silicone Dioxide, Microfine	

	PAGE	1	1745 ( IN)
			1
FIF ELECTRICIC ACCEMBLIES, APPLICATION OF	pr	-=:	
G-3		ł	

TIDE DENTING 11"

-----

2.2 <u>Other publications</u>. The following documents of the latest issue in effect form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein:

Hughes Aircraft Company

HMS 16-2105	Coating Formulations for Flectronic Assembly
HMS 20-1802	Talc, Powdered
HMS 20-1966	Accelerators for Resin Systems
HP 9-47	Cleaning of Electronic Assemblies

- 3. REQUIREMENTS
- 3.1 End product requirements
- 3.1.1 Workmanship

1.1

- 3.1.1.1 Appearance. The cured coating shall have the following appearance:
  - a. The etched circuitry and components of the electronic assembly shall be visible after coating.
  - b. A fillet shall be required around each component.
  - c. The coating shall have no blisters, cracking, or peeling. No pinhole, foreign particle, or bubble (broken or unbroken), or combination of these, shall bridge between different circuits. No pinhole or broken bubble shall expose conductors. There shall be no bubbles (broken or unbroken), larger than 0.045 inch (1.11 millimeters (mm)) in diameter bridging more than 50 percent of the distance between circuitry. Bubbles bridging more than 50 percent of the distance between circuitry are permitted if they are filled.
  - d. The costing that is in contact with conductors shall be free of foreign particles.
  - e. Bubbles shall be permitted in the fillet area adjacent to components provided that they occupy not more than 30 percent of the fillet perimeter.

3.1.1.2 <u>Continuity</u>. Assemblies shall be completely coated except as noted in 3.1.1.1 and with the following exceptions:

- a. Areas under flat-bottomed components which are mounted flush with the wiring board need not be coated.
- b. Areas surrounding a mounting stud may remain uncoated providing there is at least 0.010 inch (0.25- nm) of acceptable coating insulating any circuitry from the uncoated areas.

3.1.1.3 <u>Completeness of cleanup</u>. Masking material and adhesive residue shall be scruletely removed. All flass material shall be triamed to the required contour.

G-4

PAGE

Z

COSE ISEN" NO. 1751

HP 16-175

REVISION

5

-----

. 

l

,

ł

7

.

۰.

¢

ŧ

t

t

3.1.2 Coating thickness. The total coating thickness shall be 0.007 20.002 inch. (C.178 ±0.102 mm) per side when measured on the flat areas of the board.

3.2 Facilities and equipment

3.2.1 Processing areas. Silicone mold release agent or silicone grease shall not be allowed in the immediate processing area where coatings are applied (see 6.2).

3.3 Materials. The materials used in accordance with this Specification shall be as specified in Table 1.

Materials	Material Description	Source
Polyurethane resin and curing agent	Uralane 5750 3/A	MIL-I-46058, Type JR
Accelerator	Dibutyltin dilaurate	HMS 20-1966, Type I
Fillers	Talc	EMS 20-18C2
	Colloidal silica	MIL-5-47129
Thinners	Celloscive acetate (ethylene glycol mono- ethyl ether acetate), urethane grade	MIL-E-7125
	Toluene	TT-T-548
Protes pretix	Complete formulation (see Table II'	ans 16-2109 <u>1</u>
Pro-component kit	Jomplete formulation (see Table II)	ans 14-2119 <u>1</u>

TABLE I. MATERIALS

1/ HMS 16-2105 types and formulations correspond to those contained herein.

PAGE REVES NF 16-170

3

LODE GENTING ED.

·

C

•

Ò

### 3.- Procedure

3.4.1 <u>Handling</u>. All cleaned boards shall be handled only with clean noncontam.nated gloves or handling aids.

3.4.2 <u>Assembly preparation</u>. Prior to coating, surfaces shall be cleaned in accordance with HP 9-47. Dry for not less than 2 hours at 150 to 210 °F (66 to 99 °C' (339 to 372 K).

3.4.3 <u>Marking</u>. Components, contact areas, jacks, switches, etc, specified on the applicable drawing as requiring no protective coating, shall be suitably masked with tape or masking covers. The masking material shall be in close contact with the surface in order to prevent the coating from seeping under the masking material during application.

3.4.4 <u>Coating with Type I material</u>. Type I conformal coating system shall consist of not less than one spray coat (Formulation I) followed by not less than one dip or pour coat (Formulation II).

		PAGE		AEVG.BX
	G-6	-	17 14-171	
······································			23 H 3	EN NG. 1757.

-----

3.4.5 <u>Coating formulations</u>. The polyurethane coatings shall be formulated in accordance with Table II:

	Coatings (Parts by Weight) $\frac{4}{5}$			5/	
Material		Type I			
	Formulation I Formulation II F Spray Dip or Pour		Formulation III Touchup		
Uralane B	100 ±1.0	100 ±1.0	100 ±1.0	100 ±1.0	
Uralane A	18 ±0.2	18 ±0.2	18 ±0.2	18 ±0.2	
Accelerator, 1 per- $1/$ cent solution	0.7 ±0.1	0.7 ±0.1	0.7 ±0.1	0.7 ±0.1	
Talc filler 2/	47 ±1.0	-			
Colloidal silica 2/ filler	-		0 to 8	1 to 2	
Solvent blend of 50 percent toluene and 50 percent cellosolve acetate, by volume	45 ±1.0 <u>3</u> /	0 to 28		0 to 20	

TABLE II. COATING FORMULATIONS

1/ Prepare 1 percent solution by adding 4 drops of accelerator to each 10 grame of toluene conforming to TT-T-548 or xylene conforming to TT-X-916, Grade A.

2/ Dry filler in air circulating oven for not less than 1 hour at 150 °F (66 °C)(339 X) to 200 °F (93 °C) (366 X) prior to mixing.

3/ Initial value (see 3.4.6.1, Formulation I (3)).

All components may be premixed, except Uralane A, and stored at room temperature for up to six months. Mixture must be degassed prior to storing.

2/ All these coating types and formulations are available as frozen premixes (-40 °F (-40 °C) (233 %) or as two-component kits (see 3MS 16-2105).

RE / G. J

----

hister the sait comment

ł

(\*

Č

1.12 23 184 10

.................

3.4.6 <u>Mixing</u>. The materials specified in 3.4.5 shall be thoroughly mixed until the mixture is smooth and free of lumps. Type I, Formulation I coating shall be mixed using a high speed mechanical stirrer (such as Lightnin Mixer Model F, from Mixing Equipment Company, Rochester, New York). The other formulations may be machine-mixed or hand-mixed.

#### 3.4.6.1 Detailed mixing instructions

- a. Type I, Formulation I
  - (1) Weigh out all components except the accelerator and bleni to a homogeneous mixture.
  - (2) Add the accelerator to the mixture and thoroughly blend in.
  - (3) Add additional solvent blend if needed to facilitate spray application. (Typical spray viscosity is 175 centipoises (0.175 pascal-seconds)).
- b. Type I, Formulations II and III, and Type II
  - (1) Weigh out all components, except the accelerator, and blend to a homogeneous mixture.
  - (2) Add the accelerator to the mixture and thoroughly blend in.
  - (3) Mixture shall be degassed to eliminate entrapped air. Degassing shall not be prolonged; it shall be sufficient only to cause first foaming to collapse.

3.4.7 <u>Work life</u>. The mixed polyurethane coatings shall be applied within 1 hour after mixing.

3.4.8 <u>Spray application</u>. The Type I, Formulation I coating shall be sprayed on each side of the assembly as described below and shall cover all visible unmasked external surfaces. Additional solvent (toluene-cellosolve acetate blend) may be added then using frozen premix to obtain a sprayable mixture. (Typical spray viscosity is 11; centipoises (0.175 pascal-seconds)).

- a. Spray the coating onto the assembly using clean, dry air at a pressure sufficient to provide good atomization.
- b. Spray one pass across the entire surface of the assembly.
- c. Rotate the assembly 90 degrees and repeat b.
- d. Rotate the assembly 90 degrees more and repeat b.
- e. Botate the assembly 90 degrees more and repeat b., so that one pass has been applied from four directions.
- Repeat procedures a. through e, as necessary to cover incompletely coated areas. Pay particular attention to surfaces such as leads, lead tips, and other conductor areas.
- g. Cure the coated assembly in accordance with 3.4.10.
- NOTE: Where a surface is inaccessible for Adequate spray application, brush application of Type 1, Formulation 1 may be applied.

$\sim$	•
6-	3

PAGE

4

CODE IDENT NO ENTIT

HP 16-175

REVES

·····

ľ ľ

### HUGHES STANDARD

-----

3.4.9 Dip or pour application. Unless otherwise specified on the engineering drawing, the entire assembly shall be dip coated or pour coated as follows:

Dip the entire assembly into a container filled with Type I, Formulation II, or Type II coatings and remove the assembly at an extration rate of 2.0 ±0.5 inches (51 ±12 mm) per minute or pour the coatings onto the surface area to be coated, or pour and brush where drainage cannot be performed well. If necessary, spread the coatings over the surface by using a soft bristle brush to obtain complete coverage and generous fillets. Allow the coated assembly to drain for at least 3 minutes or until the coating stops running. Reposition the assembly several times during the drain cycle to maintain uniform coating buildup and generous fillets.

NOTE: Additional solvent (toluent-cellosolve acetate blend) may be added when using the frozen premix to obtain a dippable or pourable mixture. (Typical pour viscosity is 505 centipoises (0.525 pascal-seconds)).

3.4.10 <u>Curing</u>. A drying cycle is recommended prior to curing which will belp reduce the amount of bubbles which can occur in the cured coating. The following irying methods may be used:

Method I

•	Place the assembly in a vacuum oven at 150 to 180 GF (66 to 82 GC) (319 to 355 K) and 5 inches of mercury absolute (15.8 kilopascals) or lower pressure for
	4 to 6 minutes.
**	the description according to the set incom

Method II

Air dry the coated assembly for not less than 4 hours.

The coated assemblies shall be cured in accordance with Figure 1, using a ventilated heat source. Coated assemblies may proceed to next processing stage, as required, after minimum cure. However, full cure is required prior to any environmental testing.

3.4.11 <u>Toucnuz</u>. Examine the cured coating visually and under altravialet lisht. to determine coverage. Touch up as required over leads, terminals, and hart-to-otat areas using a soft bristle brush and Type I, Formulations II and III or Type II rosting.

3.4.11.1 Touchur procedure. The touchup procedure shell be as follows:

- a. Remove the tops of bubbles using a fiberglass bruck, orange stick, or other appropriate tool. Removal may be assisted by the local application of a small amount of toluene applied under the supervision of the cognitant Process Engineering activity.
- b. Fill croken buckles by costing the area with Type I. Formulations II. III. or Type II costing applied with a soft bristle boost.
- t. Tire the costing in accordance with Breald.

7262		ALVELIA
-		101
	مراجع میں میں اور میں اور اور میں میں اور اور میں میں اور میں میں میں میں میں اور اور میں میں میں میں میں میں م	<u> </u>



2

1

٠.

6

(7

Ĉ

Ó

â

2

ø

. . .

CODE OF THE CLERE

-----

r

3.4.12 <u>Replacing coating</u>. When a component must be replaced, the coating shall be removed and the area touched up as follows:

- a. Soften the coating under and around the component by prevaring the assembly at 150 to 210 °F (66 to 99 °C) (339 to 372 K) for at least 10 minutes. Using an orange stick, remove the coating before it rehardens. The coating removal may be assisted by the local application of a small amount of toluene or 1,1,1-trichloroethane applied under the supervision of the cognizant Process Engineering activity. As an alternate procedure, the coating may be removed carefully using a hot knife, with or without prevarming of the assembly.
- b. Repeat step a. as necessary and remove the component.
- c. Clean assembly in accordance with 3.4.2 after the component has been replaced.
- d. Coat the uncoated area and component by brush application of Type I, Formulations II, III, or Type II coatings.
- e. Cure the coating in accordance with 3.4.10.

3.4.13 <u>Maskant removal and cleanum</u>. After the costing has been cured, masking material and adhesive residue shall be completely removed. All flash material shall be trimmed off to the required contour and sharp or ragged edges removed. Care shall be taken to avoid cutting or damaging the assembly or peeling the edges of the coating.

**4. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS** 

4.1 <u>Quality conformance inspection</u>. Quality conformance inspection comprises all of the tests performed on individual lots which have been submitted for acceptance. The tests are described in the following subparagraphs:

w.1.1 Sampling for quality conformance. All parts shall be 100 percent inspected.

4.1.2 <u>Inspection</u>. Inspection to determine compliance with the characteristics specified in Table II shall be conducted in accordance with the corresponding test and inspection paragraphs.

Characteristics	Requirement Source	Test and Inspection Paragraph
Formanship	3.1.1	4.2.1
Coating thickness	3.1.2	4.2.2
Packaging		a.2.1

TABLE III. WALTY CONFORMANCE INSPECTION

G-11

LIN SEN NO ES

## 4.2 Test methods and procedures

4.2.1 <u>Visual examination and inspection</u>. The assemblies shall be visually inspected for conformance to the workmanship requirements in 3.1.1 and backaging requirement specified in 5.1. In addition, inspection for completeness of coating shall be performed by examining the assembly under ultraviolet light is a dark area. Coated areas will have a blue glow. Areas to be inspected shall include component leads, circuitry, and solder tips and joints.

4.2.2 <u>Coating thickness</u>. The coating thickness shall be me sured using a calibrated tool or instrument (such as a micrometer valiper or a light section micro-scope) to establish conformance to the requirements of 3.1.2.

5. PREPARATION FOR DELIVERY

5.1 Packaging. The coated and finished assemblies shall be placed in a plastic box or other suitable container to prevent damage or contamination.

6. NOTES

6.1 Intended use. This process is intended to provide a finished and cured coating that will provide flexibility with good adhesion and insulation characteristics. The coating lends itself to repair with hot knife techniques and may be removed sufficiently from solderable surfaces for subsequent soldering by prior heating of the joint. The coating may be applied directly onto glass bodied components without the need for sleeving the component. Cured coating is not resistant to solvents such as acetone, methyl ethyl ketone, toluene, l,l,l-trichloroethane and trichloroethylene. Immersion for 2 minutes will cause coating to swell and lose adhesion.

Type I. Formulation I is for general application by spray to secure overall uniform roverage over flat surfaces as well as over protruding surfaces such as leads and component bodies. It does not form a fillet around component bodies. This coating serves as an undercoat for Formulation II.

Type I, Formulation II is for general application by dipping or pouring. It gives good coverage over flat surfaces and forms an excellent fillet around component bodies. It is not cover protruding surfaces well. This coating serves as an overcoat over formulation 1.

Type I. Formulation III is for general application by brush for touchup and component replacement.

Type II is a one step coating process used for general application to secure uniform coverage over flat and protruding surfaces. Type II does not give as good a coverage as the Type I coating system over component leads and bodies.

5.2 Silicope materials, including RTV's, can contaminate direct contact areas a: .ell as adjacent Areas. Use of these materials as processing aids should be avoided.

G-12

212

::

1001 DEN' NO 1717

¥2 [{\_17]

REVER

\* 78 58 58 \*1

	HUGHES	
	110011-0	•

د. م 

# STANDARD

S. A. A.

· · · · · · · · ·

-----

RELEASE AND REVISION RECORD Release Rev Authority Description Date Approvisi Records of changes are contained in the document A thru history file. В が. みん CMER 17547 Extensively revised to incorporate Type II С 7-27-78 D. Guritz coating system. Para 2.2, added EMS 16-2105. Revised 3.1.1.1 CMER 17806 P2: 2 P3: Table I, added frozen premix, two-component kit, and note 1/. Renumbered following rgs P5: Table II, added note 5/. Revised footnote 2/ P6: Para 3.4.8, added provision to add solvent. . w. N. Revised 3.4.6.1. A A. Corras P. McGuiness P7: Revised 3.4.9 and added note. P9: Para 3.4.12 a, added "or trichloroethane". 4-25-79 REVISION PAGE G-13 HP 16-170 11

a san a san a san a san a san a sa

4.0.4.0.4.0.1

# APPENDIX H. DETAILED UV CONFORMAL COATING PROCESS - HUGHES SCG

K

	JGHES	A.	UV CUR	ING ENC	SION 45 SINEERING INSTRUCTION ORMAL COATING OF NIC ASSEMBLIES	MELNO. <u>1.16.39</u> PAGE <u>1 of 9</u> DATE <u>6/6/80</u>
PREPAR	ED BY: J	.A. T	an and a state of the second	¥		
APPROV	ALS: F		and the second	low		
E.A. A	nderson	<u> </u>	1. auduson			
J.R. 1	ي المراجع المراجع المراجع		Jan			
W.M. K	Cendzior	ek//				
ISSUE	DATE		APPROVALS		PARAGRAPHS ARE	
			<b></b>			
				+	· ·	
				<u> </u>		<u></u>
				ļ		
			<del></del>	1		
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

í

ľ

(

# MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING INTRUCTION -

199**1 - 1**99

HUGHES

**-**. •. · ·

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

. .

GENERAL 4 1.0 1.1 Scope 4 1.2 End Use 4 2.0 PROCEDURE 5 2.1 Assembly Preparation 5 5 2.2 Coating Preparation 6 2.3 Spray Application Curing of Coated PWB Assembly 6 2.4 7 2.5 Component Replacement REQUIREMENTS 3.0 8 3.1 General 8 Equipment 8 3.2 3.2 8 Froduce Materials 3.4 Process Materials 8 9 4.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS 4.1 MEL Specifications 9

1				
	H-5	PAGE 3	1.15.39	REV
	119118 SC MAR 77			

,		ENICINEEDING	INSTRUCTION -
	MANUFACTURING	ENGINEEDING	

HUGHES

- 1.0 GENERAL
- 1.1 <u>Scope</u>
- 1.1.1 This MEI describes the process for the UV cured conformal coating of exposed circuitry of electronic assemblies. The application method described is spraying.
- 1.2 End Use

1.2.1

1 This MEI is not for use on production hardware. Only Manufacturing Engineering Laboratory personnel are authorized to perform this process. The coating described here is in the development stage and is not approved for use on space hardware.

REV

----

HUGHES

ł

MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING INSTRUCTION -

4 T. T.Y. T.Y.

t

1

ļ

ł

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

2.0 PROCEDURE

#### 2.1 Assembly Preparation

2.1.1 If required, place the printed wiring board (PWB) assembly in holding fixture.

2.1.2 Degrease the assembly per MEI 1.9.1. Do not touch areas to be coated. When handling clean parts, wear clean gloves or clean finger cots, use clean handling aids, or pick up the parts by their rigidizing fixtures or areas to be masked.

- 2.1.3 Place the clean assembly on a clean Kaydry tissue and cover with a second Kaydry tissue to avoid airborn contaminants.
- 2.1.4 Using the following materials, mask areas not to be coated as specified on the applicable assembly drawing: frame, masking cover, tube caps, tape or PC Flex Mask.
- 2.1.5 If the PWB assembly is in a rigidizing frame, adjust the frame to mask the edge areas that are not to be coated.
- 2.1.6 Irregular areas or terminals may be masked with PC Flex Mask. Apply and cure as specified in Table 1.

Table 1. Cure Time for PC Flex Mask

Temperature	Cure Time
Ambient 160 <u>+</u> 10 <sup>°</sup> F	60 minutes minimum 30 minutes minimum
200 <u>+</u> 10 <sup>°</sup> F	15 minutes minimum

2.2 Coating Preparation

2.2.1 NOTE: All mixing must be done in spray booth. Use a metal or plastic stir rod, or tongue depressor to blend material. Do not degas.

Formulate the coating using the mix ratios listed in Table 2.

	H-7	PAGE	1	REV
		5	MEI 1.16.39	1
L				

# MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING INSTRUCTION



Ć

1 . . .

· ·

(.

. .

Ĩ

. •

Ĉ

.

.

. . . . . . .

Table 2. Coating Formulations

Material	Amount Formulated
Hughson RD3650-21	100 pbw
Butyl Acetate	27 pbw
	Using 27 pbw as the starting point, add additional butyl acetate to facilitate spraying.
	······································
Spray Application	
	ves, protective smocks, and eye ying must be done in spray booth.
CAUTION: Put on rubber glow protection. Spray Set air pressure to 30 ±10 p light fan. Hold the assembly	•••

2.3

- 2.3.1
- 2.3.2 until all four sides have been coated.
- 2.3.3 Spray the PWB from vertical position.
- 2.3.4 Visually examine the coated assembly and spray is necessary to completely coat required areas.
- 2.3.4.1 Air dry 15 +2 minutes.
- 2.3.1 Cure rear of assembly in accordance with 2.4 minimum handling cure.
- Coat the front side of assembly. Repeat 2.3.1 through 2.3.4. 2.3.6
- 2.3.7 Cure front of assembly in accordance with 2.4 minimum handling cure. Full cure per 2.4.
- 2.4 Curing of Coated PWB Assembly
- Cure for minimum handling by using oven scan setting 5 (low 2.4.1 intensity). Repeat for second side.
- To achieve full cure of shadowed areas cure in air circulating oven for 30 minutes minimum at 200  $\pm 10^{\circ}$  F. 2.4.2
- 2.4.3 After full cure, remove all masking materials with tweeters.

H-8	

PAGE 6

MEL

1.16.39

REV

# MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING INSTRUCTION -

۳.,

٧.

=

.

...

۱ ۲.

•

. ( .

. 1

.

(

(

HUGHES

2.5	Component Replacement
2.5.1	Cut component leads close to body of component.
2.5.2	Remove the 'illet of conformal coating from around component body with a hot knife. Scrape off residual coating with orange stick.
2.5.3	Remove component from PWB.
2.5.4	Insure that solder pads are free of coating material. Remove per 2.5.2.
2.5.5	Install the new component onto the assembly.
2.5.6	Clean the assembly per MEI 1.9.1.
2.5.7	Dilute small amount of UV conformal coating material per 2.2.1 and Table 2.
2.5.8	Brush on small amount of material and cure per 2.4.

	 				í.
	AGE			REV	
i	 7	MEI	1.16.39		•

- 119118 SC MAR 17

MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING INSTI-JCTION -

HUGHES

í

3.0 REQUIREMENTS

3.1 General

- 3.1.1 When measured on the flat areas of the board, the thickness of the coating shall be 0.0015 +0.001 inch.
- 3.1.2 The coating shall have no blisters, peeling, or bubbles.
- 3.1.3 Continuity and coverage may be determined with the use of any ultraviolet light. Required areas shall be coated.
- 3.1.4 Areas under flat bottomed components which are mounted flush with the circuit board need not be coated.
- 3.2 Equipment

Oven, air circulating

Oven, Ultra Violet, Model BP720-1SPL

3.3 Product Materials

UV conformal coating, Hughson 3650-21

3.4 Process Materials

Brush #2, camel hair

Butyl acetate

Cotton smock

Hot knife

Orange stick

PC Flex Mask, #2 heavy

Rubber gloves

Stirring rod

Tape, Teflon

Tongue depressor

. •

Blue M Electric Co.

Blue Island, IL

Interpress Corp.

Duarte, CA

Hughson Chemical Co.

. . . . . . .

MRO N70-0404

MCB BX1750

Commercial

Commercial

MRO N60-2714

Contronic Services Westmister, CA

MRO N60-1260

Commercial

Commercial

MRO N60-1010

H-10

PAGE

1.16.39

REV

19113 SC WAR 77

UGHES	MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING INSTRUCT	10N
4.0	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	
4.1	MEL Specifications	
	MEI 1.9.1	Cleaning of Electronic Parts and Assemblies
	MEI 2.1.28	Operation of Ultraviolet Curing Oven

1

.

	Н-П	PAGE	Ī		REV	
		<u>Ş</u>	MEI	1.16.39		
•		ì	<u>.</u>		L	
	119118 SC MAR 17					

1 •

•

2 ļ

.

二月 たんしん たんしょ

•