



.

ſ

G.

2

Q

. •

· · ·

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION LEST CHART

REPORT DOCUMENTION	*AGE	I READ INSTRUCTIONS I B. FORF COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2 CONTRECTOREN	S HET P. NT SCATALOU NUMBER
• T 11/U1/18 • 0.5×201 1 • T11U1 (and Subjitie) 1		5 TYPL OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Positioning Of A Robotic Manipulator Through The		HESTS, UVSSUUVERVON
USE OF VISUAL FEEdback		· PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(+)		B CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
Maurice Thomas O'Donnell		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PRUJECT, TASK
AFI1 STUDENT AT: University of New	Hampshire	AREA A "GRA UNI" NUNDERS
1 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
AFTI/NR NPAFB OH 45433		May 1985 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
4 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & AUDRESS/IL different	from Controlling Office)	113 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
		UNCLASS
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
		4
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	BUTION UNLIMITED	
OPPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	BUTION UNLIMITED	m Report)
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	BUTION UNLIMITED	
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	R 190-7	EYNN E. WOLAVER Statt
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	R 190-7	EYNN E. WOLAVER Affection Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	BUTION UNLIMITED Micc. 26, Holliterent for R 190-3 The Micc. 1. Stock number)	EYNN E. WOLAVER Affection Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	BUTION UNLIMITED S HILLS 26, It different for R 190-3 Ide affic to stack number)	EYAN E. WOLAVER ATALY LYAN E. WOLAVER ATALY Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	R 190-7 The attic to stack numbers	EYNN E. WOLAVER JALL LYNN E. WOLAVER JALL Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB DTIC MAN J 7 1905
ANALYSE TO ALL AND AN ANALYSE SIDE THE STATEMENT OF THE STATEMENT OF THE ALL AND ANALYSE STATEMENT (OF THE ADSTRACT ONTO ADSTRACT ON THE ADSTRACT ON THE STATEMENT OF THE STATE AND ADSTRACT ON THE STATEMENT OF T	BUTION UNLIMITED Marco 20, 11 Mitterent for R 190-3 Tak Mit Constant Marco 20, 11 Mitterent for R 190-3	EYNN E. WOLAVER JALL LYNN E. WOLAVER JALL Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	SUTION UNLIMITED 5 March 20, 11 Mitterent for R 190-3 The strict to strick number)	E NAME AND
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	SUTION UNLIMITED 5 Inc 20, 11 different for R 190-3 Tak (fix to stock number)	EXAMPLE WOLAVER JALI LYNN E. WOLAVER JALI Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB	SUTION UNLIMITED 5 mars 26, 11 distorent for R 190-5 rate strive for stress numbers)	EXAMPLE: WOLAVER AFALLS LYNN E. WOLAVER AFALLS Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB NAME 7 1985 E
AND THE TION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIB METHODITION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered if METHODITION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered if ADDITION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter	SUTION UNLIMITED 5 mar 26, 11 distorent for R 190-5 rate strive for stress numbers)	EXAMPLE WOLAVER JALL LYNN E. WOLAVER JALL Dean for Research and Professional Development AFIT, Wright-Patterson AFB
ANALY CONTINUE OF THE ALTER AND	SUTION UNLIMITED 5 mar 26, 11 distorent for R 190-5 rate strive for streak number)	INCLASS

E

Ê

G

and the second second

and the second second

2LT MAURICE O'DONNELL USAF 1985 113 pages M.S. ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

1

1

ſ

.



POSITIONING OF A ROBOTIC MANIPULATOR THROUGH THE USE OF VISUAL FEEDBACK

Ú

1

Вy

Maurice Thomas O'Donnell B.S., University of New Hampshire, 1983

A THESIS

Submitted to the University of New Hampshire in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

in

Electrical Engineering

May, 1985

This thesis has been examined and approved.

ſ

4

C

Film H. Hann

Thesis director, Filson H. Glanz Associate Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering

12 1 Tel

W. Thomas Miller, Associate Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering

- . Gordon Kraft, Associate Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering

12-20-84

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1

ę

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Professor Filson H. Glanz who generously gave much time and thought to helping me complete this thesis research. I would also like to express my gratitude to the United States Air Force for providing the means by which I could afford to receive both my undergraduate and graduate degrees and still provide for the needs of my family. Above all I would like to give special thanks to my family; Linda, Michael, Kerilyn, and Jennifer who put up with an absent father and husband on many important occasions during the last four and one-half years with few complaints. In addition I would like to thank them for some very happy memories and giving me a place I enjoyed calling 'home'.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7

5

•

C

(

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER II IMAGE ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING	7
Computer Vision Systems	7
Static Scene Analysis	16
Dynamic Scene Analysis	20
Equipment Used in the Study	22
Processing Technique Employed	24
CHAPTER III ROBOTIC MANIPULATOR KINEMATICS	31
Forward Kinematics	32
Forward Kinematics for P-5 Robot	47
Inverse Kinematics	51
Inverse Kinematics for P-5 Robot	53
Calibration of Camera to Robot	57
Components of the System	5 9
The Estimation Used in the Demonstration Task	62
CHAPTER IV EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	67
Experiments and Results	67
Model for Experimental Results	75
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH	82
Suggestion for Future Research	83

REFERENCES -		89
APPENDIX A:	LEAST SQUARES CALIBRATION METHOD AND SOFTWARE LISTING-	9 2
APPENDIX B:	COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING	100

۷

ļ

1

ł

LIST OF TABLES

C1

٠,

•

Ø

_•

TABLE		PAGE
3.1	P-5 Robot Joint Parameters	48
4.1	Image Processing Error Data	70
4.2	Overall System Error Test Data	74
4.3	General Discrete Kalman Filter	77

LIST OF FIGURES

I

) k

i

1

ļ

Ì

I.

1

I

FIGURE		PAGE
1.1	System Block Diagram	4
2.1	Image Orthicon Camera	9
2.2	Image Dissector Camera	10
2.3	The Vidicon Camera	11
2.4	CCD Array (Line Transfer)	12
2.5	CID Array	12
2.6	Mechanical "Drum" Scanning Mechanism	13
2.7	Dual Moving Mirror Image Plane Scanner	14
2.8	Examples of Compass Gradient Masks	19
2.9	Image Technology, Inc. Hardware	22
2.10	512x512 Frame Buffer	25
2.11	512x512 Frame Buffer with Three Images	26
2.12	Turtle Movement	29
2.13	Cross Hairs Method	30
3.1	Two Types of Robotic Joints	31
3.2	Body Attached Coordinate Frame and Reference Frame	33
3.3	Rotation of the Body Attached Frame	34
3.4	Orientation Vectors Associated with Manipulator	37
3.5	Euler Angles	39
3.6	Roll, Pitch, and Yaw Coordinates for a Manipulator	40
3.7	Cylindrical Polar Coordinates	41
3.8	Spherical Polar Coordinates	41
3.9	Pure Rotation and Translation Matrices	43

vii

FIGURE		PAGE
3.10	Joint Variables and Quantities	46
3.11	Five Link Robot Similar to the P-5 Process Robot	48
3.12	P-5 Robot, Vidicon Camera, Laser	57
3.13	Block Diagram of System	59
3.14	Estimation Technique	64
4.1	Variance of Error in Estimation as a Function of	
	Prediction Time	76
4.2	Kalman Filter Estimates and Experimental Observations	
	for Circular Motion	80
4.3	Circular Motion Prediction Using Linear Predictive	
	Algorithm	81
5.1	Averaging Technique for Noise Problem	35
5.2	Image Field at Two Different Heights	87

Ĺ

ABSTRACT

POSITIONING OF A ROBOTIC MANIPULATOR THROUGH THE USE OF VISUAL FEEDBACK

by

MAURICE THOMAS O'DONNELL University of New Hampshire, May, 1985

A system for positioning a general purpose industrial robot by means of information extracted by a computer vision system is described. The specific example implemented was the interception of a moving object by a robotic manipulator based on three sequential images of the object. The techniques used and constraints imposed are discussed. The robot used is an industrial G.E. P-5 robot with five degrees of freedom. Several experiments used to evaluate the various components of system error were run. The results of these experiments were compared to the behavior of a linear predictive Kalman filter model of the system. A list of conclusions is presented along with a discussion of particular areas where improvements on this system can be made. A listing of the Fortran programs used and an outline of the camera-robot coordinate system calibration process are included in the appendices.

iх

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The underlying objective of this project is to direct the movement of a robotic manipulator using information acquired through an image acquisition system. As an example of such a movement, a robotic manipulator is driven to intercept a moving object. The prediction of the object's position at a fixed future time is based on spacial information extracted from three sequential images of the moving object.

For the most part in today's industrial workplace robots are performing what seems to be rather trivial tasks. That is, tasks consisting of maneuvers that can be played back over and over again. Possibly this could be the primary reason why industry has been the ground breaking area for use of robotic manipulators. This is because a majority of industrial tasks are clearly repetitive and can be expressed as a sequence of fixed motions [Horn and Ikeuchi, 1984]. Tasks that have been considered tedious, boring, or even hazardous, such as spray painting, welding, and part manipulation have been the perfect opportunity to runtume robot technology. The particular maneuvers could be taught to the robot by moving the robot through the sequence of motions while the robot control facilities would store the sequence in some type of memory. Then merely by running the stored information through a loop the robot would be able to proceed with little human intervention.

The drawback with these maneuvers is that when the environment changes in some way the system breaks down rather quickly. For example,

canipulate the data in a specific way to yield some additional information on to better order or clarify the information that is already stored.

Finally, the output device presents this new data in some form to be used by the operator. It may consist on a monitor which simply displays the digitized image. Furthermore, if the output is information about an image the signal may be given to any one of a large group of devices, such as a controller, a robot, a burglar alarm, a communications channel and so on.

When discussing the image signal in monitors or television screens, there are some standard features. The image or scene on a television screen is due to the presence of an electron beam striking a phosphorous coating. That is, the gray level of the particular point in the scene depends on the intensity of the electron beam at that point. It is fuirly standard for the electron beam to be moved from left to right and top to bottom. The term 'field' has been applied to the image produced by a sequence of 262% horizontal scans lines at a rate of 60 times per second [Nanin, 1983]. By interlacing two such fields into a single image, a single frame is generated. The frame rate is therefore approximately 30 trames per second. This rate prevents the appearance of flicker in the scene because the human visual system is able to sustain the image between trames.

Obviously, the ability for the monitor or television receiver to reproduce the image acquired by the camera depend in large part on whether the scanning systems of the camera and receiver are in 'sync'. This synchronization is performed by the generation of synthetic video signals by the camera at particular timing spots. These timing spots



Figure 2.7 Dual Moving Mirror Image Plane Scanner [Castleman, 1979]

The current technology of digitizers, as was stated before, will take an analog signal and quantize it through an analog-to-digital converter and then store the information in some type of semiconductor memory. For the reader interested in the A/D and quantization information Hoeschele's text [Hoeschele, 1968] presents a complete presentation on the subject. For those concerned with use and types of semiconductor memory Muroga's text [Muroga, 1982] presents an adequate discussion in this area.

At this point in the process there is available to the user a twodimensional representation of the image or scene located in a semiconductor memory which can now be processed by the computer. It is obvious that the main task of the computer in the vision system is to Some popular mechanical scanning devices are described below. Mechanical Drum: With this device the image is wrapped around a cylindrical drum. The image is then rotated past a stationary aperture. The aperture is moved by way of a lead-screw. After an entire line is digitized the lead screw is repositioned. This process is repeated until the entire image is scanned [Figure 2.6].



Figure 2.6 Mechanical "Drum" Scanning Mechanism [Castleman, 1979]

Flat bed scanner: This device is similar to the drum system, however the image is placed on a flat bed. In this structure either the bed or the image is repositioned in the digitizing process.

Laser scanner: A source of light (laser) and a mirror configuration are used to obtain a planar image. The mirrors are connected physically to galvanometers, which are driven by external sources, to provide deflection in the x or y direction [Figure 2.7].



Figure 2.4 CCD Acray (Line Transfer) [Ballard and Brown, 1982]



Figure 2.5 CID Array [Ballard and Brown, 1982]



F

4

G

Figure 2.3 The Vidicon Camera [Kunt, 1980]

Solid state arrays: As a result of present technology there are a number of solid state devices used for image formation [Figures 2.4 and 2.5].

Charged coupled devices (CCD) are specially manufactured semiconductor transistors which are photosensitive. When placed in an array they resemble what amounts to be a "bucket brigade" of charge in a shift register. Through a series of clocking pulses the charges of a specific depletion area are presented to the output.

Charge injection devices (CID) are similar to a CCD array, however a charge is not transferred to the output. The specific transistor must be addressed in order for the data to be read.

current at the rear of the tube is the difference between the scanning current and the locally absorbed current.

ľ

Image dissector tube: Here light from an object is focused on a photocathode which converts photon energy into electron flow. Only those electrons emitted from a specific area of the cathode are deflected into an aperture and reach a photo multiplier. The spectral range of the image dissector is from ultraviolet to infrared (Figure 2.2).



Figure 2.2 Image Dissector Camera [Kunt, 1980]

The vidicon: This device converts the energy of incoming photons to an electron flow through a photoconductive target. The target is coated with an emulsion whose resistance decreases when illuminated. An electron beam is scanned on the back side of this target. Due to the capacitance the charges are held by the target. The result is a capacitive current which is used as the video signal [Figure 2.3].

ation of these two. It allows for the object to be illuminated by a moving spot and sampled through a moving aperture. Such a system is obviously complex and so has had limited use [Castleman, 1979].

Some of the popular electronic scanning devices are described below. Image orthicon camera: This system (Figure 2.1) has several sections. Light from the scene is focused onto a photocathode. The

E

P

E

 \mathbf{C}



Figure 2.1 Image Orthicon Camera [Kunt,1980]

photocathode in turn emits a number of electrons proportional to the light intensity toward a positively charged target. This second target, which consists of a thin glass disk with a wire grid facing the photocathode, will produce a secondary emission when struck by the electrons. As a result, a positive charge begins to build up on the photocathode side of the second target. The back side of the disk is continuously scanned by a moving electron beam. The disk absorbs these electrons in a neutralizing process. The areas of high intensity will use a large number of electrons to neutralize this part of the target. The output

3. An output device that displays either an output image or the results of the computer processing.

C

From the description of the first device it is apparent that its purpose is to take an analog real world signal and hold it in a recognizable form to be processed by a computer. This initial device is known as an image digitizer. There are several key elements in the digitizer. First, it must possess some type of transducer elements which are sensitive to the energy given off by the object or scene. Secondly, it must be able to quantize the incoming information into a form capable of being processed. Thirdly, it must have the ability to isolate the energy at particular positions of the scene to facilitate investigations on individual picture elements known as pixels.

It is through certain characteristics that one is able to catagorize a particular digitizer. In Ballard and Browns' text [Ballard and Brown, 1982] three such characteristics are presented:

- Size of the sampling aperture the basic spatial resolution of one pixel.
- Gray Level resolution normally expressed as the number of quantization levels between black and white.
- 3) Scanning technique the particular technique for collecting the light energy. There are basically three catagories of scanning techniques. One is the "scan-out" method where the entire object is illuminated continuously and the sampling aperture is positioned so that the energy from only one pixel is received by the sensor. The "scan-in" method, where only one area of the object is illuminated and all the energy is collected by the sensor. Finally a third method is a combin-

CHAPTER II

IMAGE ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

Digital image processing is an area of study that cannot be placed under any one scientific or engineering discipline. The definitions range from simply "...the manipulation of images by computer..." [Castleman, 1979] to more informative statements such as "...the construction of explicit, meaningful descriptions of physical objects from images...." [Ballard and Brown, 1982]. It is obvious that within this second quote lies the reason for most of the activity in this fairly new field. Computer vision, for the purposes of this thesis, is a term used synonymously with digital image processing. It has proved to be a very useful tool for learning additional information about the world in which we live. The early applications of this field which included space exploration and x-ray technology have opened doors to other fields including robotics, pattern recognition, image graphics and so on. This chapter presents certain facts describing techniques and equipment used today in the field of computer vision. After this presentation the techniques used in the study will be described.

Computer Vision Systems

C

There are basically three fundamental parts of any computer vision system:

- 1. A device which will take and store an image.
- 2. The digital computer which performs some type of processing.

In Chapter II of this thesis a brief introduction to specific aspects of computer vision is presented. The elements of a general image acquisition system are discussed. The specific hardware of the system used in this research is briefly described. Finally, the image processing techniques peculiar to this system are explained.

In Chapter III a discussion of robot configurations and kinematics is presented. A description is given of some specific details of the general system. The transformation from the attached camera coordinates to the manipulator coordinates is derived. This chapter ends with a discussion of the specific estimation technique used in the example.

ſ

e

 \bigcirc

In Chapter IV the system performance is evaluated by means of three experiments which were designed to quantify imaging error, positioning error and total system error. Also introduced is a simple model using a Kalman filter that will serve as a basis for examining the experimental data of the system.

In Chapter V is a presentation of the conclusions of this research. Finally, a discussion of specific improvements on the system is presented along with an indication of future research areas that are possible with this system.

graphical look at the major components of the system. The four components are:

E

ſ

1) The Supervisory Computer receives data from both the image acquisition system and the robotic front end processor and controller. Through the use of a high level language the supervisory computer can examine the information concerning the existing environment and then direct a movement of the robotic manipulator in response. The response is delivered to the robotic front end processor and controller by means of a serial communication line.

2) The Front End Processor and Controller performs two main functions. The first is a command function which interprets the information on the serial line as either data or instructions to be accomplished. The second function is that of control whereby the actual robotic manipulator's trajectory and velocity are specified.

3) The Image Acquisition System provides digital images of the area directly below the end-effector of the robot. These images basically can act as a window on this area which when examined in sequence provide dynamic information about this particular scene.

4) The Robotic Manipulator is the structure that is being directed by the system.

The example used to test the robotic system developed in this thesis is a simple linear tracker. The change in the environment that is being observed is a moving object. The system monitors this object and makes an estimate about the object's position at some point in the future. Once the future position is calculated the system derives the necessary information to direct the robotic manipulator to intercept this object at the future point in time.

With the increased demand for intelligent robots the applications will most certainly bring them out of the industrial area into new expanding fields such as space exploration and deep sea mining. Possibly any area that might present a hazard to man while necessitating a decision being made will offer new areas of use for the intelligent robot.

It turns out that "computer vision, the collection of techniques... for obtaining measurements and inferences from images, appears to offer the richest source of sensory information for intelligent robotic manipulation in the greatest number of environments" [Hall et al, 1982]. The need for vision-equipped robots is seen when estimations are presented that a quarter of all industrial robots will be equipped with some form of vision system [Miller, 1984].

In this research an attempt at establishing an intelligent robot system is carried out. The block diagram seen in Figure 1.1 reveals a





These reasons, and additionally the fact that numerous other tasks could benefit, have led to the continuing development of intelligent robots. Research in the areas of transportation, bin-picking, assembly, and quality control has been on-going for the last few years. The problems involved with transporting items from place to place in a "blind" workplace have been stated already. The ability of an intelligent robot to overcome these problems could help to avoid production loss due to down time, damage to equipment and most importantly, injuries to human operators.

<u>Bin-Picking</u> is a term that is applied in robotics to a situation where a number of objects are in close proximity and the system is able to delineate a particular object and then to maneuver the manipulator in such a way as to grasp and transport the object.

Assembly is a term applied to a technique whereby a robot can grasp several objects in a specific order and then orient them with reference to each other in some predetermined fashion. For example, putting a nut onto the end of a bolt or a peg into a hole. These common tasks should not be belittled because of the complexities involved in directing such manipulations. A simple assembly task may involve several very difficult subtasks, such as, pattern recognition, bin-picking, and properly aligning the individual objects.

(

Finally, <u>Quality Control</u>, which is a repetitive examination of manufactured parts seems to be an excellent application for an intelligent robot equipped with a vision capability, thus freeing the operator for a more responsible position with much more satisfaction. This task may run the gamut from inspection of integrated circuit boards to paint jobs on the body of a new automobile.

if an obstacle is a construction the conductermined path of the manipulator, there is no way to serve the problem on to avoid the collision. With these "non-intelligent" robots the orientation and initial position of the manipulated object, the path of movement and the final position must be accomplished to very close tolerances.

It is obvious that a large number of tasks exist that are particularly suited to application of so-called "intelligent" robots. These are robots equipped with transducers so that changes in the environment can be detected that may cause the system to falter. These robots should be equipped to compensate for these contingencies.

Some authors are proposing that future industries will strive to improve the organization of the workplace. This movement is working to relieve any possibility that changes in the environment detrimental to system performance occur. This trend is in response to the fact that for non-intelligent robots all positions and orientations must be repetitive. Simple tasks such as moving objects from place to place presuppose the ability of the robot to grasp the object properly each time. Of course this ability is dependent on the position and orientation of the object. The proponents of this trend are advocating that storage structures for objects be carriers and pallets rather than bins. At the present time much research is devoted to designing devices that will perform the positioning and orientation tasks on objects of all sizes. There are a number of drawbacks that are evident in such a trend. First there is a manufacturing and design cost for these new devices. Second, if an item is modified in any way the orientation device must also be modified. Third, to provide orientation and position of parts may not be the most economical way to store them. Finally, it seems an impossible task to provide for all possible contingencies in any environment.

occur at the end of each horizontal scan when the camera's scanning system must be moved back from right to left. At this time, a horizontal blanking signal is generated by the camera so that no information is acquired during this retrace. In addition a horizontal sync pulse is generated at these times. basically, the presence of the synthetic signals provide the timing information for the monitor to lock-in on the active video signal. There is also a vertical blanking signal that marks the time for the scanning system to reset itself to the top-left position. Because of the time involved with this vertical retrace only about 480 out of 525 horizontal scan lines provide information to the monitor.

Static Scene Analysis

At this point we are concerned with the data as it exists in the acquisition system. It can be viewed as an array of discrete picture elements, whose numerical value is an indication of its shading or gray level. The array of pixels, therefore, taken as a whole is a discrete approximation of the initial scene or object. Through the use of hardware and software algorithms the computer vision system "...extracts pertinent information from the image data. This module in essence performs the task of isolating 'interesting' areas for further analysis" [Computer, Vol. 13, 1980].

It is this idea that leads to the next area of discussion. What types of information processing can be performed on these distinct arrays? It is this extraction of data from the frame of pixels that is given the title of scene analysis. The additional feature of temporal information extraction is placed under the title of dynamic scene

analysis. There are a number of papers that give a good idea of this lively field of investigation which are listed in the reference area at the end of this thesis.

The authors of several articles use different terminology to delineate the methods of data extraction. However, the methods themselves are common to a majority of the authors.

ſ

The first method of interest is concerned with improvement of image clarity. This area of study is known as image enhancement. Histogram transformation is a popular approach to image enhancement. As the name implies the technique involves manipulating the gray level histogram of an image [Hummel, 1975]. A gray level <u>histogram</u> is simply a graphical representation displaying the frequency of occurrence of the individual gray-levels within a particular image. There are several ways to use the histogram for enhancement. The most popular technique is through thresholding the grey-level histogram by making a subjective decision about the threshold value which will highlight particular objects. For example, a common approach is to form a binary image. This is done by changing all gray levels below a specific threshold to black and those above to white.

The second technique is known as histogram equalization. This method tries to stretch the initial histogram consisting of n gray levels into a new histogram of p gray levels (p>n). The result of this technique improves contrast and therefore facilitates individual object recognition [Hummel, 1975].

The third technique used to manipulate the histogram is in histogram hyperbolization. This method tries to transform the histogram of displayed brightness levels by producing a uniform distribution of perceived

brightness levels. "All pictures processed in this way have been consistently considered of superior intelligibility than their histogram equalized counterparts." [Frei, 1977].

E

Along with enhancement there are a number of types of image processing techniques available to extract spacial properties from a scene. The first method here is called <u>template matching</u> where a pixelby-pixel comparison is performed on one (usually "live") image with another (stored) image to be used as a reference. At times an operator known as a "template" is used to extract or detect a particular subimage. The template is placed at several offsets on the initial image and a correlation is performed. The point of maximum match is determined to be the sub-image under investigation [Computer, 1980].

Several spatial properties can be extracted by the second method of segmenting the image into meaningful entities. This is usually performed by the approach known as <u>edge detection</u>. An edge is defined as an area in an image where local gray levels are changing rapidly. Through the use of an edge operator the presence of this edge can be detected. "The unifying feature of ... edge operators is that they compute a direction ... of maximum gray-level change and a magnitude describing the severity of this change" [Ballard and Brown, 1983]. Figure 2.8 is retrieved from Robinson's paper on gradient masks [Robinson, 1971] and presents several common edge operators and their directional sensitivity. The major problem with these simple operators is that they also respond to noise areas within the initial image. As a result a technique called <u>edge</u> <u>relaxation</u> is employed to improve the edge operator measurement by basing some measurements on the existence of neighboring edges. For example, the existence of two strong edges within the vicinity of a

Direction of Edge	Prewitt Masks	Kirsch Masks	Three-level Simple Masks	Five-level Simple Masks
North	<u>ז</u> ו ו	5 5 5	, דור,	-1 2 Î
	1 -2 1	-3 0 -3	0 0 0	0 0 0
	<u>-</u> 1 -1 - <u>1</u>	<u>-</u> 3 -3 - <u>3</u>	<u>-1 -1 -1</u>	<u>-1</u> -2 - <u>1</u>
Northwest		5 5 -3	1 1 0	2 1 0
	1 -2 -1	5 0 -3	1 0 -1	1 0 -1
	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> 3 -3 - <u>3</u>	_0 -1 -1	_0 -1 -2
West	<u> </u>	5 -3 -3	1 0 -1	1 0 -1
	1 -2 -1	5 0 -3	1 0 -1	2 0 -2
	1 1 -1	_5 -3 - <u>3</u>	1 0 -1	<u>1</u> 0 - <u>1</u>
Southwest	-1 -1 -1	-3 -3 -3	0 -1 -1	0 -1 -2
	1 -2 -1	5 0 -3	1 0 -1	1 0 -1
	<u>1 1 1</u>	_5 5 -3	1 1 0	_2 1 0
South	-1 -1 -1	-3 -2 -3	-1 -1 -1	-1 -2 -1
	1 -2 1	-3 0 -3	0 0 0	0 0 0
	<u> 1 1 1</u>	_5 5 <u>5</u>	<u>1 1 1</u>	<u>1 2 1</u>
Southeast	, - 1 -1 ī,	-3 -3 -3	-1 -1 0	-2 -1 0
	-1 -2 1	-3 0 5	-1 0 1	-1 0 1
	<u>1 1 1</u>	<u>-</u> 3 5 <u>5</u>	_0 1 1	0 1 2
East	-1 1 1	-3 -3 5	-1 0 1	-1 0 1
	-1 -2 1	-3 0 5	-1 0 1	-2 0 2
	<u>-</u> 1 <u>1</u>	-3 -3 5	<u>-1 0 1</u>	<u>-</u> 1 0 <u>1</u>
Northeast		-3 5 5		
	-1 -2 1	-3 0 5	-1 0 1	-1 0 1
	<u>-</u> 1 -1 <u>1</u>	<u>-</u> 3 -3 - <u>3</u>	-1 -1 0	<u>-</u> 2 -1 <u>0</u>

C

Â

•

a

Ō

Figure 2.8 Examples of Compass Gradient Masks

relatively weak edge may give more credence to the existence of this weak edge rather then to the possibility that it is just a noise pattern in that area. The next step in this technique would be to somehow group these edges into logical objects. This technique therefore assumes that there is enough background information to specify what object a group of edges represents.

المسابعة والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

Another technique for segmentation of an image tries to overcome this problem. The method is known as <u>region growing</u>. It initially divides the image into basic areas either by grouping identical pixel values or simply by dividing the image into small regions. These distinct groupings or regions are then merged together on the basis of "similarity", where this criterion would be different for each system. There are several problems encountered with this technique. "Problems can arise in the selection of initial regions, and in selecting the merging criteria" [Ohlander et al., 1978].

All the methods mentioned thus far have been concerned with manipulating static frames in order to derive specific data with which to identify the existence of objects, to find their dimensions, and possibly to classify them based on some criterion. By adding the dimension of time we enter the field of dynamic scene analysis which obviously yields additional information. This new information holds the possibility for a number of interesting applications.

Dynamic Scene Analysis

ſ

In looking at the dynamic case it is easy to concur with Martin and Aggarwal in recognizing that "...a 'dynamic image' is a sequence of static images ... with a given or assumed time function relating the order

20

and elapsed interval between elements of the sequence" [Aggarwal and Martin, 1978]. Obviously when the time factor is introduced we can view groups of images which have similarities as well as differences. The authors proceed to demonstrate the idea that "... a dynamic image analysis system must be able to separate the constancies from the changes, and be able to separate the interesting changes from the noisy ones" [Aggarwal and Martin, 1978].

Within the area of dynamic scene analysis the idea of motion detection is one of the most researched. As the name implies this study deals with the ability to recognize and specify spacial changes by studying objects in motion. In a number of techniques motion detection is achieved, but the ability to gain information about specific features is lost. The earliest research in this area dealt with the detection and measurement of cloud motion from satellite photographs [Leese et al, 1970]. One of the approaches in these studies is to divide an initial image into sections and then to correlate these sections with related areas in the following image. The maximum cross-correlation coefficient is interpreted as a match for that section. As a result, the centers of the two sections are connected with a motion vector. The second technique that seems to be popular is the binary thresholding technique. With this method an image is divided into two gray levels. The dividing point is chosen so that the boundary of cloud formation is evident. The next step is to match each cloud formation to a formation in the following image. Obviously the drawbacks to these two techniques are that they assign a motion vector to a section, not to any feature within the section.

đ

Another technique that is popular for an indication of change between two images is a simple subtraction technique. If two images have been aligned and one image is subtracted, pixel by pixel, from the other, a resulting image will yield gray levels in areas where there are changes. Again this technique, though straightforward, has some inherent problems. The features within the area of change are still not specified, and the presence of uncorrelated noise between images may appear as meaningful changes. When using any of the methods described one must remain aware of the limitations of the individual techniques and try to compensate for them in the particular applications.

Equipment Used in the Study

Г

1

E

G

 \bigcirc

In performing the image processing, Image Technology Inc.'s digital picture acquisition system was used in conjunction with a PDP 11/60



Figure 2.9 Image Technology, Inc. Hardware

digital computer. Figure 2.9 shows a graphical representation of how the system is constructed. The system as depicted has the ability of processing information from two cameras. The multiplexer merely selects the appropriate channel. The equipment digitizes the video signal in real time. This real time capability is due to the use of TRW TDC100TJ flash analog-to-digital converters.

1

G

The pixel's digitized value, which ranges from 0 to 255, acts as a pointer to a particular input look-up table (LUT). In the address of the LUT will be stored a value (from 0 to 255) which represents a specific gray level between black (0) and white (255). This gray level value is then stored as the picture element in the image frame buffer memory.

The image frame buffer memory consists of 256K bytes of dynamic ram constructed as a 512 x 512 array. The FB-512 board, as the manufacturer calls it, is capable of driving 3 analog signals (R (red), B (blue), G (green)). From the figure the output value from the frame buffer again acts as a pointer to an output look-up table. The particular address of this LUT contains a value from 0 to 255 which represents the gray level for the output representation. The final step then is to convert the digital value of gray level to an analog signal. The equipment here is the TRW TDC1016J-8 highspeed digital-to-analog converter. The signal is then processed by additional circuitry to form a composite video signal suitable for a standard TV monitor.

The control of this hardware is performed through a number of MACRO subroutines and functions. The ability to call these subroutines and functions from high-level languages makes the hardware functions almost invisible to the user. There is issued a short pamphlet entitled <u>IMAGING</u> - <u>Basic Driver Programmer's Manual</u> which explains the use of the different subroutines and functions.
Processing Techniques Employed

ſ

P

By the appropriate use of the system hardware and software it was possible to avoid many of the problems presented in the references. Since this research was not primarily concerned with image processing or motion detection, it was decided to simplify all aspects of object recognition. As a result, the number of objects in the field is limited to one. Also the object of interest is a symmetrical shape (circle). These two restrictions are used so that estimation of the object's center could be facilitated. It was intended to establish motion detection by specifying a change of position for the center of the object. Furthermore, by appropriately setting the input LUTs to produce a binary image, a high contrast between object and background is assured.

The purpose behind using the image acquisition system is to extract information about the movement of an object. The information should provide enough data to make an estimate of the object's position at some advanced point in time.

Image Technology's system allows for a rather intricate use of the frame buffer. The technique is called "zooming." Zooming enables a user to select an active video window within the frame buffer where image acquisition can be held to a local region. The user has the option to "zoom" in either the x or y direction or in both. The result is that the dimension of the applicable direction is reduced by one-half. The location of the active video window is chosen by panning the upper left corner of the window to a specific x location and then scrolling of this point to a specific y location [Figure 2.10]. The active video window may therefore take on any one of four two dimensional sizes.

a)	512 x 512	NO ZOOMING
b)	256 x 512	ZOOM ONLY IN X-DIRECTION
c)	512 x 256	ZOOM ONLY IN Y-DIRECTION
d)	256 x 256	ZOOM IN X- AND Y-DIRECTIONS

с.

P

j

Þ

j

)

)

)

)



Figure 2.10 512 x 512 Frame Buffer

. . .

The method incorporated in this study is to zoom in both the x-and y-directions. This technique allows for the storage of several images in the frame buffer simultaneously. This technique allows for the processing of images to be carried out at the same time. Three images are taken at .25 seconds apart. Therefore, by examining the location of the center of the objects in each image an estimate of both velocity and direction can be extracted [Figure 2.11].



Figure 2.11 512 x 512 Frame Buffer with Three Images Juring the initial stages of research two techniques were investigated to determine the objects' centers. The first of the techniques employed is known as the turtle boundary detector/follower [Duda, 1973].

The second method is a very simple approach that can be called the crosshairs approach.

Both methods require finding an object's boundary point as a starting location. To avoid searching each entire section (256 x 256), a method was devised to conduct the search in a grid-like approach. That is the search was conducted by examining every fifth line of the y direction starting from the top of each section and working down.

The "turtle" method was derived to examine the boundary of an object located in a binary image. By keeping track of the x coordinates of the boundary pixels, y coordinates of the boundary pixels, and the total number of pixels in the boundary, the centroid of the object may be found [Dubois, 1984]. The turtle method consists of moving a "turtle" around the boundary of an image in the direction determined by whether the current pixel is an object pixel or background pixel. If the turtle is located on an object pixel, it will advance by making a left-turn as referenced to its last movement. If it is located on a background pixel, it will advance by making a right-turn as referenced to its last movement [Figure 2.12]. By referring to the figure, it is obvious that several pixels may be entered more then once. Software must insure that duplicate information is avoided. The object's centroid specified by an x and y coordinate is derived through two simple equations.

$$x_{center} = \frac{\frac{i}{i} x_{i}}{\frac{i}{i}}$$

$$y_{center} = \frac{\frac{i}{i} y_{i}}{\frac{i}{i}}$$
where $\frac{i}{i} = number of boundary}{\frac{i}{i}}$
pixels.

The most striking problem in using the "turtle" is its sensitivity to noise. In using this technique the investigation examined a black object on a white background. The noise was visible in both the background and the object images. Several techniques were tried in order to reduce this sensitivity. The first attempt consisted of subjectively determining a threshold value that would reduce the background noise to a tolerable level. This attempt merely reaffirmed the fact that the turtle method, as presented, was unable to cope with any background noise. The second attempt was based on an assumption that the large number of white background pixels might be causing saturation in the automatic gain control of the vidicon camera. This attempt consisted of using the imaging system to produce a negative image of the scene. That is, pixels that were white would be black and those that were black would be white. This technique also did not reduce the noise as expected. The third attempt used a white object on a black background to test if the noise was contributed by the system hardware. Again the results revealed that the noise was present at an unacceptable level. At the same time these tests were being performed, the second method was used and performing well in the noisy environment. As a result this second method was chosen for continued tests.

The cross-hairs method was basically designed for use with symmetrical objects. The technique examines the horizontal chord of the object at the boundary point. Because of the symmetry of the object, the center of the chord is chosen as the initial x center for the object. The next step is to examine the diameter of the object in the y-direction passing through the x center location. Due to symmetry the middle of the diameter is chosen as the initial y center for the object.

Due to noise and inaccuracies in the system it was decided to extract additional information in determining the center coordinates. This was accomplished by examining points along the cross-hairs of the





object. After the initial center coordinates were located the next step was to move $\pm 1/4$ diameter in the x direction. At this point two chords were examined to find their midpoint in the y-direction. Finally an average of the three values was taken and used as the final y center co-ordinates. A similar procedure was used to determine the x center coordinate [Figure 2.13].

This technique works in a noisy environment by simply setting a limit on the minimum size object. That is, if the initial chord or diameter was less than four pixels long, the object was discarded as a noise pattern and the search continued.



• •

Figure 3.9 Pure Rotation and Translation Matrices

The conclusion is that the position and orientation of the manipulator can be expressed as the product of a translation matrix and an orientation matrix.

In the kinematics used for this thesis the positioning matrix was the Cartesian coordinate translation matrix and the orientation matrix specified by the \hat{a} , \hat{o} and \hat{n} unit vectors.

The general form of this transformation matrix is given by:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} n_{x} & o_{x} & a_{x} & p_{x} \\ n_{y} & o_{y} & a_{y} & p_{y} \\ n_{z} & o_{z} & a_{z} & p_{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 3.9 shows five specific examples of the transformation matrix for various positions of a body-attached coordinate system in a reference frame. A particular column of the transformation matrix expresses the orientation of the corresponding body-attached coordinate axis in terms of the reference coordinate axes in the form $(\hat{i}_x, \hat{i}_y, \hat{i}_z, 0)^t$. That is, if we look at the first column of each matrix in the figure, the orientation of axis OU in the reference coordinate system OXYZ can easily be seen. Likewise, the axes OV's and OW's orientation can be determined by observing the 2nd and 3rd column vector of the T matrix. The 4th column matrix locates the origin of the revolving coordinate system in the reference coordinate system in the form $(\hat{i}_x, \hat{i}_y, \hat{i}_z, 1)^t$. Similar information about the orientation and location of the fixed reference system in terms of the rotating coordinate system can be obtained by merely taking the inverse of the T matrix:

about the z axis and finally a translation z along the z axis. Therefore the matrix representing such a position is given by:

$$Cyl(z, x, r) = Trans(0, 0, z) Rot(z, x) Trans(r, 0, 0)$$



Figure 3.7 Cylindrical Polar Coordinates [Paul, 1981] In Figure 3.8 the specification through spherical coordinates, r, ., and corresponds to a translation r along the z axis, followed by a rotation about the y axis and finally a rotation c about the z axis. In this case the position can be described as:

Sph (.,.,r) = Rot (z,.) Rot (y,.) Trans (0,0,r)



Figure 3.8 Spherical Polar Coordinates [Paul, 1981]

Euler $(:, :, :) = \operatorname{Rot}(z, :) \operatorname{Rot}(y, \cdot) \operatorname{Rot}(z, :)$

The third method for expressing the orientation of the end-effector is given in terms of the roll, pitch, and yaw angles, terms commonly used in speaking about ships. Figure 3.6 depicts the situation corres-



Figure 3.6 Roll, Pitch, and Yaw Coordinates for a Manipulator ponding to a rotation : about the z axis (roll), then a rotation \pm about the y axis (pitch) and a rotation \pm about the x axis (yaw). The general rotation matrix is given as:

 $RPY(z, y, \varphi) = Rot(z, z) Rot(y, y) Rot(x, \varphi)$

In addition to specifying the orientation of the coordinate frame of the end-effector, a position for the origin of this frame must be established. In the first method a vector p was introduced to specify this position in the base coordinates. This position can also be described in both cylindrical coordinates and spherical coordinates. In Figure 3.7 the specification through cylindrical coordinates r, α , and z corresponds to a translation r along the x axis, followed by a rotation α





Figure 3.5 Euler Angles

The orientation and position of this hand can be described by an attached coordinate frame whose origin is located at the midpoint between the two fingers. This origin is also described by a vector p whose coordinates are expressed in the defining reference frame. There are three unit vectors which describe the orientation of the hand. The <u>approach</u> vector, \hat{a} , is defined as the direction from which the hand would approach an object. The <u>orientation</u> vector, \hat{o} , is in the direction specifying the orientation of the hand, from fingertip to fingertip. The <u>normal</u> vector, \hat{n} , will complete the right handed coordinate system and is specified by crossing the \hat{o} vector into the a vector [Paul, 1981].

There are a number of ways of specifying the orientation of a manipulator or in this case the end-effector. It is obvious that within the general matrix there are only a few values that afford any information. For example the bottom row will be three zeros and a one depending on whether scaling and perspective become involved.

DI

The first method presented in the text [Paul, 1981] is by specifying the three vectors \hat{a} , \hat{o} and \hat{n} discussed before. These three vectors specify the orientation of a coordinate frame whose position can be defined in a number of ways to be discussed later. The constraints on this method are simply that the \hat{a} , \hat{o} and \hat{n} vectors are of unit magnitude, and the p vector describes a location that can be reached by the manipulator.

The first method can be viewed as a Cartesian approach because orientation is expressed as distances along these three axes. The second and third methods are expressed as a set of rotations. The second method, using a set of Euler angles, can describe any orientation in terms of a rotation ; about the z axis, then a rotation \oplus about the new y axis y', and finally a rotation \oplus about the new z axis z" [Figure 3.5]. In this case the general rotation matrix car be expressed as:

$$T_{tran} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & dx \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & dy \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & dz \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

r

In deriving kinematics equations for any robot the main consideration is that of orienting and positioning the end-effector of the robot. For the purposes of this thesis the end-effector is the fifth link of the robotic manipulator. Therefore in specifying the individual elements of the general transformation matrix ${}^{O}T_{n}$ much more information is established than merely transforming the coordinates of a vector expressed in the final link's coordinate system into the base coordinates.

One method of interpreting the general matrix is by specifying three vectors \hat{n} , \hat{o} , and \hat{a} as shown in Figure 3.4, which represents the end effector of a robot.



Figure 3.4 Orientation Vectors Associated with Manipulator [Paul, 1981]

37



The homogeneous transformation matrix takes the form:

 \mathbf{C}

(🦿

Therefore the homogeneous transformation matrices for pure rotation are:

	1 0	С) 0 ₁
т "	j0 co	S ← S	in 0
×,. =	0 si	n، c	os. 0
	<u>0</u> 0	С	1
	- cos	0 si	n: Ō
т _	0	1 C) 0
у . -	-sin	0 cc	os O
	_ 0	0 0) <u>1</u>
	cos.	-sin	0 0
	sin.	COS.	0 0
τ _{z,-} =	. O	0	1 0
	0	0	0 1

The homogeneous matrix for pure translation transforms the origin of the body attached coordinate system which has been translated (no rotation) into the reference coordinate system. The basic homogeneous translation matrix takes the form:

an angle $x = 90^{\circ}$. This transformation can be expressed as:

$$P_{xyz} = R_{x,\alpha} P_{uvw}$$

Therefore this rotational matrix can be expressed as:

$$R_{x,a} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i}_{x} \cdot \hat{i}_{u} & \hat{i}_{x} \cdot \hat{j}_{v} & \hat{i}_{x} \cdot \hat{k}_{w} \\ \hat{j}_{y} \cdot \hat{i}_{u} & \hat{j}_{y} \cdot \hat{j}_{v} & \hat{j}_{y} \cdot \hat{k}_{w} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \hat{k}_{z} \cdot \hat{i}_{w} & \hat{k}_{z} \cdot \hat{j}_{v} & \hat{k}_{z} \cdot \hat{k}_{w} \end{vmatrix}$$

Similarly for rotations about the axes OY and OZ we can find rotation matrices $R_{y,0}$ and $R_{z,0}$ respectively. These can be expressed as

$$R_{y,y} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{c} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \qquad R_{z,y} = \begin{vmatrix} \overline{c} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & \overline{0} \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{vmatrix}$$

By knowing these 3x3 matrices we can decompose complex rotations into their basic rotation matrices and derive the complex rotational matrix R.

$$\mathsf{R} = \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{x},\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{y},\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{z},\mathfrak{v}}$$

By adding an additional coordinate to the position vector

$$p_{xyz} = (wp_x, wp_y, wp_z, w)^t$$

the position of the point is expressed in <u>homogeneous coordinates</u>. As a result, the 4x4 homogeneous transformation matrix now has the capability of expressing coordinate system rotation, translation, scaling, and perspective. The homogeneous matrix is composed of the original rotation matrix in addition to 3 new components:

- 3x1 position vector
- 1x3 perspective vector
- 1xl scaling factor





$$p_{x} = \hat{i}_{x} \cdot p_{uvw} = \hat{i}_{x} \cdot \hat{i}_{u}p_{u} + \hat{i}_{x} \cdot \hat{j}_{v}p_{v} + \hat{i}_{x} \cdot \hat{k}_{w}p_{w}$$

$$p_{y} = \hat{i}_{y} \cdot p_{uvw} = \hat{j}_{y} \cdot \hat{i}_{u}p_{u} + \hat{j}_{y} \cdot \hat{j}_{v}p_{v} + \hat{j}_{y} \cdot \hat{k}_{w}p_{w}$$

$$p_{z} = \hat{i}_{z} \cdot p_{uvw} = \hat{k}_{z} \cdot \hat{i}_{u}p_{u} + \hat{k}_{z} \cdot \hat{j}_{v}p_{v} + \hat{k}_{z} \cdot \hat{k}_{w}p_{w}$$

These three equations can be expressed in matrix form:

124

G

Ò

P _x		i _x ·i _u	î _x ∙ĵ _v	î _x .k _w	pu
р _у	=	ĵ _y •î _u	ĵ _y •ĵ _v	ĵ _y • ĥ _v	р _{./}
^p z		ĺz∙îu	[^] k _z •ĵ _v	ĥ _z ·ĥ	p _w

and so the transformation matrix A that relates the body attached coordinate system to the reference coordinate system has been determined. Likewise there exist a 3x3 matrix B that transforms a vector in the OXYZ coordinate system into the OUVW coordinate system:

$$p_{uvw} = B p_{xyz}$$

Since any complex rotation can be divided into three component rotations, the next step in developing the forward kinematics is to derive the basic rotation matrices for rotation about the three axes of the reference frame. Figure 3.3 shows a rotation about the OX axis by



Figure 3.2 Body Attached Coordinate Frame and Reference Frame Obviously point p can be specified in either of the coordinate systems, that is:

$$p_{uvw} = (p_u, p_v, p_w)^{t}$$
$$p_{xyz} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)^{t}$$

-

ę

Ō

If the block is rotated by some arbitrary angle, the point p, which is fixed to the block, is also rotated [Figure 3.3]. That is, the vector $(p_x,p_y,p_z)^t$ has changed while the vector $(p_u,p_v,p_w)^t$ has remained constant.

A rotation matrix (3x3) can be constructed that maps the coordinates of a position vector in a rotated coordinate system (OUVW) into a reference coordinate system (OXYZ) [Lee, 1982]. Therefore the vector $p_{uvw} = p_u \hat{i}_u + p_v \hat{i}_v + p_w \hat{i}_w$, when projected onto the coordinate frame OXYZ axes, will yield:

In order to perform even the smallest movement of a robotic arm (manipulator), there must be specific changes in the angles or displacements that exist between the set of links and joints. The manipulator's position and orientation in space may be specified by examining the position, orientation, and dimensions of each link.

The kinematics problem is generally divided into two parts:

- forward kinematics is concerned with the position and orientation of the manipulator given a set of joint angles.
- inverse kinematics is concerned with deriving a legitimate set of joint angles given a position and orientation of the end-effector of a robot in some reference coordinate system.

Forward Kinematics

In viewing the forward kinematics problem it is necessary to investigate the relationship between a stationary or reference frame and a coordinate system that is able to revolve and/or translate. Lee [Lee, 1982] discusses this topic using a rigid body example, where there is a body-attached coordinate frame on a block located in a reference coordinate system [Figure 3.2].

In this figure we have two right-handed rectangular coordinate systems. OUVW is a body-attached coordinate system that will change position and orientation as the rigid-body does so. OXYZ, on the other hand, is a fixed reference frame. The purpose here is to develop a given transformation matrix from the OUVW coordinate system to the OXYZ coordinate system.

CHAPTER III

ROBOTIC MANIPULATOR KINEMATICS

ſ

Æ

C

In this chapter robot configurations and kinematics are reviewed and a description is given of the specific robot manipulator used in this study and of the computer network providing control for that manipulator. Then a description of the system equations used in the particular estimation problem which illustrates the integration of the vision acquisition system with the mechanical manipulator arm is presented.

Kinematics is basically a description of the geometries associated with a mechanical system. Many industrial robots of today consist of N+1 rigid bodies called links and N connections known as joints. There are two types of joints, translational and revolute, whose names indicate the types of motions the joints perform [Figure 3.1].



Figure 3.1 Two Types of Robotic Joints



C

E

Figure 2.13 Cross Hairs Method

.

· · .

$$T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{n}_{x} & n_{y} & n_{z} & -n \cdot \overline{p} \\ 0_{x} & 0_{y} & 0_{z} & -0 \cdot \overline{p} \\ a_{x} & a_{y} & a_{z} & -a \cdot \overline{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -n \cdot \overline{p} \\ R_{3x3}^{t} & -0 \cdot \overline{p} \\ -a \cdot \overline{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

To further understand the derivation of the forward kinematics problem the idea of coordinate system transformation is applied to a robotic arm. Because the links of the robot can rotate and/or translate with respect to a reference coordinate frame, a body-attached coordinate system is established at the joint for each link [Lee, 1982].

ſ

A

G

The establishment of these body-attached coordinate frames were initially described by J. Denavit and R.S. Hartenberg [Denavit and Hartenberg, 1955]. Their method is outlined in [Paul, 1981] and [Lee, 1982]. The general principles consist of three rules (i refers to the ith link, x,y,z are coordinate directions):

- the z_i axis lies along the axis of motion of the (i+1)th joint.
- 2) the x_i axis is along the axis resulting from the cross-product of the z_{i-1} and z_i axes,

 $x_{i} = + (z_{i-1} X z_{i}) / (z_{i-1} X z_{i})$

or along the common normal between the z_i and z_{i-1} axes. The y_i axis is chosen to complete the right-handed coordinate system.

3) The origin of the ith coordinate frame lies either at the intersection of the z_{i-1} and z_i axes or at the intersection of their common normal with the z_i axis.

Denavit and Hartenberg also present four additional quantities that completely describe any revolute or translational joint. It is important to recognize that the links maintain a fixed relationship between the joints [Paul, 1981]. The four parameters are:

i - the joint angle from the x_{i-1} axis to the x_i axis about the z_{i-1} axis

$$d_i$$
 - the distance from the origin of the (i-1)th
coordinate frame to the intersection of z_{i-1}
axis with the x, axis along the z_i , axis.

- a_i the offset distance from the intersection of the z_{i-1} axis with the x_i axis to the origin of the ith system along the x_i axis (or the shortest distance between the z_{i-1} and z_i axes).
- x_i the offset angle from the z_{i-1} axis to the z_i axis about the x_i axis (using the right-hand rule) [Lee, 1982].

6

Depending on the type of joint some of the quantities are constants. That is, for a revolute joint the values of d_i , a_i and a_i remain constant. Since a_i changes, it is given the term "joint variable." While with a translational joint the values of a_i , a_i and a_i are constant and d_i becomes the joint variable [Figure 3.10].

Once the coordinate system for each link has been established, a homogeneous transformation matrix relating the coordinate system of link i to that of link (i-1) can be found. That is, the orientation of the ith coordinate system in the (i-1)th system can be reduced to four basic transformations:



Figure 3.10 Joint Variables and Quantities

- 1) rotation about z_{i-1} axis an angle z_i to align the x_{i-1} axis with x_i axis.
- 2) translation along the z_{i-1} axis a distance d_i so that x_{i-1} and x_i become coincident.
- translation along the x_i axis a distance a_i to bring the origin of both coordinate systems into coincidence.
- 4) rotation about the x_i axis an angle z_i so that z_{i-1} axis and z_i axis are aligned.

As a result the homogeneous transformation matrix relating any ith coordinate system to the (i-1)th coordinate system would be the matrix product of these four basic transformations:

$$A_{i-1}^{1} = T_{z,v} T_{z,d} T_{x,a} T_{x,v}$$

For revolute joints, the resulting matrix takes the form

cosei	-cosa _i sin ^g i	sina _i sina _i	a _i cos i
sin0;	cos≈icos∂i	-sin⊥ _i cos0;	a _i sin i
0	sin _{'i}	cosai	d _i
_0	0	0	1

and for translational joints, it becomes

costi	-cosa _i sina _i	sin _{'i} sin _{'i}	0
singi	cos∝icos∂i	-sina _i cosv _i	0
0	sin ₁	cosai	ďi
0	0	0	_١

Forward Kinematic for P-5 Robot

6

E

2

ſ

The robot used in this thesis research was the General Electric P-5 process robot. A graphical depiction of the robot can be found in Figure 3.1. Using the Denavit and Hartenburg method for establishing coordinate frames, and both Paul and Lee's descriptions on how the homogeneous matrix between joints and coordinate frames can be established, the following description of the forward kinematics of the P-5 was arrived at.



Figure 3.11 Five Link Robot Similar to the P-5 Process Robot In Table 3.1 the necessary link parameters of the P-5 process robot are tabulated.

Table 3.1 P-5 Robot Joint Parameters

	Joint	Home	Con	stant para	ameters
Link	variable	÷; =	a	x	d
1	ار.	0°	0	90°	65cm
2	^ن 2	90°	60cm	0°	0
3	[ੁ] 3	-90°	80cm	0°	0
4	4	90°	0	90°	0
5	⁹ 5	0°	0	0°	10cm

In order to establish the position of a point in coordinate system i referred to the base coordinate system we must develop the homogeneous transformation matrix ${}^{0}T_{i}$. This is given by the product of the individual transformation matrices $A_{0}^{i} A_{1}^{2} \cdots A_{i-1}^{i}$.

The matrix A_0^1 as was stated in the previous section is a specific application of the general matrix A_i^{i-1} whose form was given previously. For the P-5 robot with the notation $\cos_i = C_i$ and $\sin_i = S_i$, the individual A matrices take the form:

Now the coordinates of any link relative to some reference coordinate frame can be expressed as an appropriate product of these matrices.

For example, if one wishes to express some point in the coordinate system attached to link 5 in terms of the reference coordinate system of link 4 we merely perform the matrix multiplication expressed by:

.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{C}_{4}C_{5} & -C_{4}S_{5} & S_{4} & d_{5}S_{4} \\ S_{4}C_{5} & -S_{4}S_{5} & -C_{4} & -d_{5}C_{4} \\ S_{5} & C_{5} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now suppose one wished to express a point in the coordinate system attached to link 5 in the base coordinate system. This can be expressed as:

$$p_0 = {}^{0}T_5 p_5 = A_0^1 A_1^2 A_2^3 A_3^4 A_4^5 p_5$$

where

ł

$$^{O}T_{5} \stackrel{!}{=} A_{0}^{1} A_{1}^{2} A_{2}^{3} A_{3}^{4} A_{4}^{5}$$

The general transformation matrix takes the form

Therefore with the general matrix transformation ${}^{O}T_{5}$ any position of the end effector expressed in the fifth coordinate system can easily be transformed to base coordinates.

Inverse Kinematics

The inverse kinematic's problem may be the more important problem in this discussion. The reason for this is simply that most robotic arms or manipulators are positioned and oriented by a combination of joint angles. This gives importance to the ability to transform real world coordinates into this particular set of joint angles. "Obtaining a solution for the joint coordinates requires intuition and is the most difficult problem we will encounter ..." [Paul, 1981].

Paul presents a general approach to the solution along with some problems that may be avoided. He also suggests that since the end effector of an n-link manipulator has a general transformation matrix

 ${}^{o}T_{n} = A_{0}^{1} A_{1}^{2} \cdots A_{n-2}^{n-1} A_{n-1}^{n}$

by simply recursively premultiplying the matrix by the inverse of a link transformation the specifications for the necessary joint angles can be obtained. To facilitate understanding of the technique it will help to introduce Paul's discussion of Euler angles and their solution [Paul, 1981].

Euler angles can describe any possible orientation of the end effector in terms of a rotation z about the z axis, then a rotation zabout the new y axis y' and finally a rotation z about the new z axis z". As previously discussed, the orientation of the end effector can be expressed as a product of 3 rotation matrices which becomes:

cos:sind	Ō
sin:sing	0
COS∂	0
0	1

Since this should yield the homogeneous transformation matrix that was presented in the previous discussion, it must be true that:

Euler
$$(z, y, y)$$
 = $\begin{bmatrix} \overline{n}_x & o_x & a_x & p_x \end{bmatrix}$
 $n_y & o_y & a_y & p_y \end{bmatrix}$
 $n_z & o_z & a_z & p_z \end{bmatrix}$
 $0 & 0 & 0 & 1$

As a result, the unknown Euler angles should be determinable from this matrix equation. The obvious solutions from these equalities are:

$$\Rightarrow = \cos^{-1}(a_z)$$

$$\Rightarrow = \cos^{-1}(ax/\sin\theta)$$

$$\Rightarrow = \cos^{-1}(-n_z/\sin\theta)$$

As Paul points out there are several problems with this approach.

- 1) In using the arc cosine function:
 - a) the sign is undefined
 - b) the accuracy in determining the angle itself is dependent on the angle

- 2) In solving for : and \downarrow :
 - a) problems with the arc cosine as discussed above

"我是你是你的,你们的你,我们都是你们的你,你们们们们的你?""你们,你们你们不能是你们的你?""你们,你们不是你?"

- b) use of sine leads to inaccuracy whenever $\sin \frac{1}{\sqrt{20}}$
- c) values undefined when $\theta = 0$ or $+ 180^{\circ}$

Paul suggests looking for arctangent functions in doing his iterative approach and goes on to justify his choice of arctangents with the following arguments.

- a) angles are returned in range $-\frac{1}{2}$ by taking into account the signs of the ordinate and abscissa
- ability exists to detect zero ordinate or abscissa and return proper result
- accuracy for arctangent is uniform over full range of definition.

Inverse Kinematics for the P5 Robot

For the application of this technique to the P-5 robot the ${}^{\mathrm{O}}\mathrm{T}_5$ matrix can be expressed as:

$${}^{O}T_{5} = A_{0}^{1} A_{1}^{2} A_{2}^{3} A_{3}^{4} A_{4}^{5}$$

The first step in the application of this technique to the P-5 robot is then to premultiply the ${}^{0}T_{5}$ matrix by $(A_{0}^{1})^{-1}$.

$$(A_{0}^{1})^{-1} {}^{0}T_{5} = {}^{1}T_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{C}_{1}n_{x}^{+}S_{1}n_{y} & C_{1}o_{x}^{+}S_{1}o_{y} & C_{1}a_{x}^{+}S_{1}a_{y} & C_{1}p_{x}^{+}S_{1}p_{y}^{-} \\ n_{2} & o_{2} & a_{2} & p_{2}^{-} d_{1} \\ S_{1}n_{x}^{-}C_{1}n_{y} & S_{1}o_{x}^{-}C_{1}o_{y} & S_{1}a_{x}^{-}C_{1}a_{y} & S_{1}p_{x}^{-}C_{1}p_{y} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which should also be equivalent to:

53

i

$${}^{1}T_{5} = \begin{cases} {}^{C}_{234}{}^{C}_{5} & {}^{-C}_{234}{}^{S}_{5} & {}^{S}_{234} & {}^{d}_{5}{}^{S}_{234}{}^{+a}_{3}{}^{C}_{23}{}^{+a}_{2}{}^{C}_{2} \\ {}^{S}_{234}{}^{C}_{5} & {}^{-S}_{234}{}^{S}_{5} & {}^{-C}_{234} & {}^{-d}_{5}{}^{C}_{234}{}^{+a}_{3}{}^{S}_{23}{}^{+a}_{2}{}^{S}_{3} \\ {}^{S}_{5} & {}^{C}_{5} & {}^{0} & {}^{0} \\ {}^{0} & {}^{0} & {}^{0} & {}^{1} \\ \end{cases}$$

To be equivalent each term of the matrix must be equal to the corresponding term. By equating the third elements of columm four, z_1 can be found:

$$S_{1}p_{x} - C_{1}p_{y} = 0$$
$$\tan \theta_{1} = \frac{p_{y}}{p_{x}}$$
$$\theta_{1} = \tan^{-1}\frac{p_{y}}{p_{x}}$$

The next angle of interest is v_5 . By equating the third elements of the lst and 2nd columns v_5 can be found:

$$S_5 = S_1 n_x - C_1 n_y$$

$$C_5 = S_1 o_x - C_1 o_y$$

 $v_5 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{S_1 n_x - C_1 n_y}{S_1 o_x - C_1 o_y} \right)$. Next v_{234} can be determined by equating the 1st and 2nd elements of the third columns.

$$S_{234} = C_1 a_x + S_1 a_y$$

-C_{234} = a_z
-2_{34} = tan^{-1} \left(\frac{(-C_1 a_x - S_1 a_y)}{a_z} \right)

The solutions for z_2, z_3, z_4 can be found using a trigonometric technique. After equating appropriate terms the identities of interest are:

$$C_1 P_x + S_1 P_y = S_{234} d_5 + C_{23} d_3 + C_2 d_2$$

 $P_z - d_1 = -C_{234} d_5 + C_{23} d_3 + S_2 d_2$

By manipulating the equations and defining two intermediate values it can be shown that:

$$q = C_{1}p_{x} + S_{1}p_{y} - S_{234}d_{5} = C_{23}a_{3} + C_{2}a_{2}$$

$$r = p_{z}-d_{1} + C_{234}d_{5} = S_{23}a_{3} + S_{2}a_{2}$$

$$q^{2} = C_{23}^{2}a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{2}C_{23}a_{2}a_{3} + C_{2}^{2}a_{2}^{2}$$

$$r^{2} = S_{23}^{2}a_{3}^{2} + 2S_{2}S_{23}a_{2}a_{3} + S_{2}^{2}a_{2}^{2}$$

$$q^{2} + r^{2} = (C_{23}^{2} + S_{23}^{2})a_{3}^{2} + 2a_{2}a_{3}(C_{2}C_{23} + S_{2}S_{23}) + a_{2}^{2}(C_{2}^{2} + S_{2}^{2})$$

$$= a_{3}^{2} + 2a_{2}a_{3}(C_{2}C_{23} + S_{2}S_{23}) + a_{2}^{2}$$

Now by examining the middle term, it can be shown that:

$$C_{2}C_{23} + S_{2}S_{23} = \cos(\psi_{23} - \psi_{2}) = \cos \theta_{3} = C_{3}$$

$$q^{2} + r^{2} = a_{3}^{2} + a_{2}^{2} + 2a_{2}a_{3}C_{3}$$

$$C_{3} = \frac{q^{2} + r^{2} - a_{3}^{2} - a_{2}^{2}}{2a_{2}a_{3}}$$

$$S_{3} = \pm r 1 - C_{3}^{2} \qquad + \text{ yields 2 values}$$

$$\psi_{3} = \tan^{-1}(S_{3}/C_{3})$$

$$q = C_{23}a_{3} + C_{2}a_{2} = C_{2}C_{3}a_{3} - S_{2}S_{3}a_{3} + C_{2}a_{2}$$

$$r = S_{23}a_{3} + S_{2}A_{2} = S_{2}C_{3}a_{3} + C_{2}S_{3}a_{3} + S_{2}a_{2}$$

$$q = S_{2}(-S_{3}a_{3}) + C_{2}(C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2})$$

$$r = S_{2}(C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2}) + C_{2}(S_{3}a_{3})$$

Cramer's rule is then exployed in finding solutions for $\rm S_2$ and $\rm C_2$:

$$S_{2} = \begin{cases} -S_{3}a_{3} & C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2} \\ C_{3}a_{3}^{+}a_{2} & S_{3}a_{3} \\ = -S_{3}^{2}a_{3}^{2} - [C_{3}^{2}a_{3}^{2} + 2a_{3}C_{3}a_{2} + a_{2}^{2}] \\ = -a_{3}^{2} - 2a_{2}a_{3}C_{3} - a_{2}^{2} \\ = -(a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}) \\ S_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} q \\ -C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2} \\ -C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2} \\ -C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2} \end{bmatrix} \\ = qS_{3}a_{3} - r(C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2}) \\ -(a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}) \\ S_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{2} \\ -C_{2} \\ -C_{3}a_{3}^{+} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2} \\ -(a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}) \\ -(a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}) \\ C_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -C_{2} \\ -C_{2} \\ -C_{2} \\ -C_{3}a_{3}^{+} + q(C_{3}a_{3} + a_{2}) \\ -(a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}) \\ -(a_{3}^{2} + 2C_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + a_{2}^{2}) \\ c_{3}a_{3}^{+} + a_{2}^{-} \\ c_{3}a_{3}^{+} + a_{3}^{-} \\ c_{3}a_{3}^{+} + a_{2}^{-} \\ c_{3}a_{3}^{+} + a_{2}^{-} \\ c_{3}a_{3}^{+} + a_{3}^{-} \\ c_{3}^{+} + a_{3}^{+} \\ c_{3}^{+} + a_$$

the vector of joint angles is thus determined.

Within the working system there are two added constraints that have an effect on the specific form of the $^{\rm O}T_5$ matrix. The first is the task

of maintaining the orientation of the fifth link parallel to the floor. It can be accomplished by properly selecting the vectors \hat{a} , \hat{o} , and \hat{n} , which were discussed previously. The set of equations that specify this task is:

$$\frac{p_x}{p_x} = n_x = o_y$$

$$\frac{p_y}{p_1} = n_y = -o_x$$

$$0.0 = n_z = o_z$$

$$a = n \times o$$

The second constraint is that the manipulator is maintained at a fixed height when not in motion. This constraint is realized by passing a constant value for the z parameter to the controller.

Calibration of Camera to Robot

In this project an additional transformation is due to the introduction of the camera into the system. The camera's image field acts as another coordinate frame. As a result of the restrictions in link 5 and the fact that the camera is mounted to a fixed frame [Figure 3.12], the camera lens is maintained parallel to the floor. Therefore, this transformation is only necessary in two dimensions.



Figure 3.12 P-5 Robot, Vidicon Camera, Laser

deviation are relatively of the same size in the range .5 - 1.5 for the x and y coordinate and also the radial distance.

The next significant source of error was that due to the repeatability of robot motion. That is, what is the standard deviation of the error between a desired position and the actual position, when the robot is repeatedly asked to go to the desired position. In this test the robot was started at the home position. The image of a stationary object was used to direct a motion of the robot. When the move was completed, a new image of the object was taken, and the coordinates of its center were determined. The results of 100 such trials were:

$$\frac{1}{x} = 1.98$$

 $\frac{1}{y} = 6.12$
 $\frac{1}{x} = 3.59$

In each case an average value was determined. Then, using this value as the mean, a variance and standard deviation were calculated. In this experiment it is obvious that the repeatability of a move is different in the x and y direction. Because these standard deviations are expressed in pixels the true deviation of the repeated position is rather small. However these pixel values provide a basis for evaluating the overall system error. Without any estimation taking place these values give an indication of how accurately the robot can be placed over a stationary object. It is obvious that in the overall system the fact that a moving object is used and an estimation is attempted should yield higher expected standard deviations.

The time constraint on the minimum estimation time is influenced by two specific times. One was the time used to calculate the object's estimated position, and the second was the time period between the be-

TABLE 4.1 Image Processing Error Data

1A) $\bar{x} = 99.725001$ $z_{\odot} = 1.161368$ 1B) $\bar{x} = 99.690002$ $z_{\odot} = 1.111713$ $\bar{y} = 84.803001$ $z_v = .471371$ $\bar{y} = 84.830002$ $z_v = .501097$ $\bar{R} = 130.918182$ $z_R = .818020$ $\bar{R} = 130.901230$ $c_R = .769482$ 2A) $\bar{x} = 29.895$:_x = .877766 $z_{\rm c}$ = .876342 2B) \bar{x} = 29.976999 $\bar{y} = 33.395$ $\sigma_y = .575304$ $\bar{y} = 33.471001$ $\sigma_y = .571981$ \bar{R} = 44.830269 r_R = .531333 \bar{R} = 44.941757 σ_R = .517673 3A) $\bar{x} = 223.826004$ $z_x = 1.010803$ 3B) $\bar{x} = 223.617996$ $\sigma_x = .966475$ $\bar{y} = 186.552994$ $z_y = .670218$ $\bar{y} = 186.472000$ $z_y = .575512$ \vec{R} = 291.377655 σ_R = .805518 \vec{R} = 291.166077 σ_R = .754707 4A) $\bar{x} = 57.59$ $\therefore = .813569$ 4B) $\bar{x} = 57.681999$ $\sigma_{v} = .908227$ ȳ = 187.162994 σ_v = .546288 $\bar{y} = 187.220001$ $z_v = .677937$ $\bar{R} = 195.878860$ $T_R = .697025$ **R** = 195.851807 _{0R} = .581503 5A) $\bar{x} = 218.020996$ $\sigma_x = .728394$ 5B) $\bar{x} = 218.056000$ $\sigma_x = .904914$ $\bar{y} = 25.496000$ $\sigma_v = .624487$ $\bar{y} = 25.608999$ $\sigma_v = .588320$ \bar{R} = 219.506973 σ_R = .706355 \bar{R} = 219.554825 σ_R = .873478

$$\frac{3}{x} = \frac{10}{10} = .94$$

 $\frac{5}{y} = \frac{5.802515}{10} = .58$
 $\frac{7}{R} = \frac{7.0550913}{10} = .71$
deviation from this average value was derived. The data derived in the experiment is presented in Table 4.1. The data in this table represents experiments performed at five locations within a zoomed image field. These five locations, labelled 1 through 5 in the table, include the four corners and the center of the zoomed image field in order to detect any variations over the field. Each position is represented by two experiments (A and B). Each block of experimental results consist of the average object center x coordinate and y coordinate. The average radial distance from the image field origin, and the corresponding standard deviations. In addition, the table includes a list of the average standard deviations over all data.

The data in the table reveals some interesting features about the image acquisition system for the static case. The most obvious result is that the standard deviation in the y direction is consistently lower then that of the x direction. At this time there is no obvious reason for this type of bias. Another interesting result that is evident is that the standard deviations of the x and y coordinates and radial distance are on the average less than 1.0 pixel. The data in this experiment typifies the actual data received in the working system. That is the zooming feature of the imaging system has been incorporated so that results of data acquisition within the tracking experiment would be consistent with the data provided here. This simply means that even with the mean would be less then a pixel in the static case. The third interesting feature revealed by this experiment is that no area of the camera field reveals any true deviation from value of other areas. That is the standard

The dynamics of the P-5 robot seem to be the major source of error. When the robot is directed to proceed to a position, although there is a form of velocity control, it is obvious that overshoot occurs and vibration is present at the conclusion of the move. This vibration may cause slight snifting of the camera or mispositioning of the robot. Both of these problems would result in errors for the image processing application. Another problem introduced by the P-5 robot that may cause inaccuracies in the calculations or even in the actual positioning is the repeatability of the robot. That is, given a position command how accurately can the robot repeat this position?

There are additional considerations which must be taken into account. For example, throughout the research the presence of noise in the image field has been observed. This source of error influenced the choice of the center finding technique as discussed previously. Furthermore, computational and mechanical movement times put limits on the minimum prediction time.

The first source of error of interest is that introduced by the image aquisition system. As was stated previously, the main task of this system is to determine the value for the center of the object that is being tracked. This does not have to be the true centroid, however the value that is determined should be repeatable to some degree of accuracy even in a "noisy" environment. The test to discover the error in this measurement was a static test. Although the motor power to the robot was on, there was no directed movement during the test. The process consisted of placing an object at several locations in the image field and making 1000 measurements at each position. For each position an average x,y, and $(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$ value was determined and a standard

CHAPTER IV

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this chapter a number of experiments and their results used to evaluate the performance of the "intelligent robot" system are presented. At the conclusion of the chapter a Kalman filter model of a linear estimator is given in order to have a basis for evaluating the experimental results obtained.

In order to facilitate the analysis of the data recorded in this research, it will be important to understand in general what the specific sources of errors are. To this end an attempt was made to examine all the contributions to the overall error in terms of camera pixels.

Experiments and Results

The system as described in the previous chapter contains a number of devices that may cause the introduction of errors into the system. Although the software has tried to compensate for image acquisition errors there are a number of possibilities that may arise that could raise the probability of inaccuracies in the image gathering technique. For example, if the robot is vibrating or moving in any way while the images are being taken each image will not be taken at the exact same location. Even in the static case the software may not derive the same center of the object each time due to noise or slight rotation of the object. The improper illumination of the object field may also cause the center of the object to shift.

In addition to this estimation routine for the moving object there is a process in the software that attempts to avoid possible indications of false velocity. If the object is stationary an error in the center finding routine may indicate a change of position and therefore a velocity that is not truly present. As a result, depending on the estimation period, the robot may be directed to an erroneous position. To avoid this problem the software observes very small changes in positions as such, and directs the robot to remain at the present position for a specific sampling period. By storing the robot's previous position in this process a "catch up" maneuver can be made when the object has definitely traversed a specific distance. As a result there may be several sampling periods when the object is moving slowly enough to warrant the robotic manipulator to remain in place. However, even with very slow movement, over a period of time a large enough distance will have been traveled so that the manipulation must be moved just to catch up to the object.

one having x_1 as the initial position, the other having x_2 as the initial position. In a similar manner the estimated position \hat{y}_3 is found. The equations are:

$$\hat{x}_{3} = \frac{1}{2} (x_{1} + 2\dot{x}_{1} \triangle T + x_{2} + \dot{x}_{1} \triangle T)$$

$$y_{3} = \frac{1}{2} (y_{1} + 2\dot{y}_{1} \triangle T + y_{2} + \dot{y}_{1} \triangle T)$$

Then error terms in x and y are formed using the actual x_3 and y_3 values obtained from the third image:

$$x_{err} = x_3 - x_3$$

 $y_{err} = y_3 - \hat{y}_3$

Finally, the estimates \hat{x}_4 and \hat{y}_4 are found as a combination of the average estimates resulting from using the coordinate positions of the three images as separate initial conditions and appropriate velocities and times plus a contribution proportional to the error term. The necessary equations are

$$\hat{x}_{4} = \frac{1}{3} (x_{1} + (\dot{x}_{1} + \dot{x}_{2}) \pm T + \dot{x}_{avg}T + x_{2} + \dot{x}_{2} \pm T + \dot{x}_{avg}T + x_{3} + \dot{x}_{avg}T)$$

$$+ x_{err}T/\pm T$$

$$\hat{y}_{4} = \frac{1}{3} (y_{1} + (\dot{y}_{1} + \dot{y}_{2}) \pm T + \hat{y}_{avg}T + y_{2} + \dot{y}_{2} \pm T + \dot{y}_{avg}T + y_{3} + \dot{y}_{avg}T)$$

$$+ y_{err}T/\pm T.$$

The predicted position x_4 at T seconds after acquisition of image three is the sum of four terms. The first term is the \hat{x}_4 coordinate estimate using x_1 as the initial position and using velocities \dot{x}_1 and \dot{x}_2 and their respective $\pm T$'s and velocity $\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2/2 = \dot{x}_{avg}$ and time T. The second and third terms are similar to the first but with initial positions x_2 and x_3 and using velocities \dot{x}_2 and \dot{x}_{avg} . These three terms are averaged and the fourth term represents an error that is linear with time. The y equation follows in a similar manner.



Ē

Figure 3.14 Estimation Technique

of this data, velocities \dot{x}_1 and \dot{y}_1 are then calculated. Using this information, an estimate of the objects position in image three is made. The estimated position \dot{x}_3 is found from the average of two predictions,

several object images whose temporal relationships are know. The information gained through this sequence of images allows estimation of object position at a future time. The limits on the specific estimation time period are governed by two considerations. First, the time required for image acquisition, image processing, estimate calculation, coordinate transformations, and response time of the actual manipulator movement determine the minimum estimation time. Secondly, the accuracy of the estimated position, which decreases as the estimation period increases, and the size of the camera image field, which limits the maximum position error allowed, determine the maximum estimation time that will insure that the object is in the field of view.

The demonstration example which is used to illustrate the ideas of this thesis consists of estimating the position of a moving object based on information from a sequence of three images. Figure 3.14 shows the space and time relationships in the estimation technique used. This is also performed as a software function. That is, as the program proceeds, the encoder counters are compared to the desired values. This set of differences is used to determine the velocities of the corresponding links. The method sets a joint's velocity at a fraction of the maximum velocity equal to the ratio of its encoder count difference to the maximum count difference over all five joints. This approach is applied repetitively as the move progresses, thereby providing closedloop control.

The equations used attempt to take into account all information at each step for the purpose of minimizing large errors in any one measurement. The technique initially takes three images $\pm T$ apart in time and finds their centers $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$, and (x_3, y_3) . On the basis

two control loops. The first is a tachometer-controlled loop which produces a so-called "desired" motor current. This signal is compared then to the actual motor current. The error signal in this loop will determine the pulse width of a 20 KHz pulse train used to drive the individual motors of the robot. The purpose of using two control loops is to account for the nonlinearities of the robot's motors.

The P-5 process robot is electrically driven and is capable of performing a wide variety of industrial tasks. It is a five joint, five link robot which was initially designed for teaching playback type of operation.

The Estimation Used in Demonstration Task

ſ.

(

Recall that in this thesis the main objective is to move the robotic manipulator in response to some visual information obtained from an image acquisition system. This visual information may be related to an object which is either fixed or moving. The ability to extract information such as object position, shape, size, speed, direction of movement, or even acceleration, makes possible the handling of a number of industrially related tasks. Typical tasks include object removal from or placement on a moving conveyor belt; painting, welding or other operations on a stationary or moving object; separation of objects based on the results of a visual inspection performed by the image acquisition and processing system. Since a number of industrial tasks require some interaction with moving objects, the ability to track or estimate positions of these objects is an important element in the operating features of an intelligent robot. These considerations have led to the selection of moving object interception as the demonstration example used to illustrate the ideas investigated in this thesis. This interception problem requires the use of

robot angles, it converts these to desired counter values and drives the appropriate robot motors in order to reduce the difference between the desired and actual counter values. As the difference becomes close to zero proper robot link orientation will result so that the robot end effector is in the desired position.

ſ

E.

C

(

The assembler language program running on the CAMAC performs two basic functions. The first is a command function that interprets the information from the serial line as data and commands to be accomplished. For example, maximum velocity can be changed, the tolerance that specifies the completion of a move can be changed, zeroing the values of specific encoder counters can be accomplished and so on. The second function is that of control. In this loop the angles specified in the new "move" command are converted to encoder counts.

The velocity of the individual motors on the robot can be updated within each loop. This allows for motion of the manipulator that seems to imitate human motion. This is also performed as a software function. That is, as the program proceeds, the encoder counters are compared to the desired values. This set of differences is used to determine the velocities of the corresponding links. The method sets a joint's velocity at a fraction of the maximum velocity equal to the ratio of its encoder count difference to the maximum count difference over all five joints. This approach is applied repetitively as the move progresses thereby providing closed-loop control. This assures that each link arrives at its designated position at approximately the same time.

The output of the CAMAC is an analog signal that has been generated by the CAMAC's Digital-to-Analog converters. This voltage is applied to the control box of the P-5 robot. The voltage is amplified and fed into

The system is driven by means of a high-level Fortran program run on a PDP 11/60 digital computer. The program directs the flow of control from image acquisition, through image processing, to data delivery to a CAMAC computer.

C

Ę

Following the CAMAC computer an interface has been built to work with the control box of a P-5 process robot. The interface and control consist of several pieces of hardware that provide the signals to operate the motors of each of the links on the robot.

Image acquisition is accomplished through an imaging system developed by Imaging Technology, Inc. The system, which was disucssed in greater detail in Chapter II, allows for the acquisition or construction of 2-D images. The system provides the ability to drive the imaging hardware by use of high-level languages, such as Fortran and Basic. There are a number of useful subroutines that allow for a wide variety of processing applications.

The PDP 11/60 is a digital computer that acts as the main controller in the overall system. The PDP 11/60 processes the acquired data and presents the necessary information over a serial line to the CAMAC computer.

The CAMAC computer is basically a digital computer that acts as an interface between the digital world of the PDP 11/60 and the analog world of the P-5 robot's control box.

The CAMAC operation monitors the values held by a group of up-down counters which record the number of encoder pulses from the corresponding joint angle encoder of the robot. The values of these counters are a measure of the appropriate joint angles of the robot and are zero at the robots "home" position. When the CAMAC computer receives a set of desired

the position of the laser. Step four consisted of moving the robot to several known positions and determining the location of the object in camera coordinates. After each step the vector that expresses the position of the end effector in the coordinates of the fifth link is derived using the equation:

$$p_5 = (T_5^0)^{-1} p_0$$

As a result a relationship between the camera coordinates and the coordinates of the fifth link can be found. Finally, using a least squares approach, the A_{cam}^5 matrix transformation is determined [see Appendix A].

Components of the System

ſ

(

A description of the specific hardware and software system under consideration in this thesis is now given. The system block diagram is presented in Figure 3.13.



Figure 3.13 Block Diagram of System

The system observes the location of an object in the image field or camera coordinates p_{cam} . A transformation is necessary to express the object's position in link 5 coordinates (A_{cam}^5) . Obviously, then the position expressed in base coordinates can be given as:

$$p_0 = T_5^0 A_{cam}^5 p_{cam}$$

The transformation matrix A_{cam}^5 simply represents a set of equations that take the form of:

$$x_5 = ax_{cam} + by_{cam} + x_o$$
$$y_5 = cx_{cam} + dy_{cam} + y_o$$

These equations can be expressed in a homogeneous matrix form as:

×5		a	b	0	×o	×cam
У ₅	=	c	d	0	У _О	У _{сат}
z ₅		0	0	1	0	^z cam
		0	0	0	1	1

The system used in this research did not have a permanently attached camera. As a result, a calibration of the camera referred to link 5 coordinates had to be accomplished whenever the camera was remounted. The basic purpose of the calibration was to derive the six unknown constants of the A_{cam}^5 matrix.

The logical design of the calibration routine was relatively straight forward. Step one consisted of positioning the robot in a 'home' orientation. Next, a laser which is mounted along with the camera was used to produce a light image in the camera field. Because the laser's position is fixed in reference to the camera's position, the location of the light image in the camera field is also fixed. Step three was to move the robot to a known position and place an object under ginning of a move and the completion of the move. These two values were examined by using a system routine that was able to specify the time increments to within 1/60 second. The necessary parts of the program were repeated and timed as was the actual movement of the robot. The experiment was conducted using a wide range of robot motion. After running through the procedures 1000 times the values obtained were:

AVERAGE TIME FOR CALCULATION = .291 seconds

AVERAGE TIME FOR ROBOT MOVE = .854 seconds

The data in this section is provided to indicate to the user the average amount of time needed to process the data in software, derive an estimate of the object's position, and to actually move the robotic manipulator. The total of these two values will yield the minimum estimation time that the system can work with. Using a smaller estimation time would result in the robot's inability to beat the object to the position even if all error was removed trom the system.

In the following section an evaluation of the overall system accuracy expressed in pixels is given. The experiment for obtaining data to examine the general system consisted of running the system with a minor software modification. This modification was the addition of a timing loop that timed out the estimation time using the system clock. At this point an image was taken and the object's position was examined

The experiment consisted of running this modified program through a loop 100 times. In the loop the robot started from the home position each time. At this location the acquisition of three images of a moving object was accomplished. Using these images, an estimate of the object's position at a specific time later was determined. The manipulator was directed to move to this estimated position where it waited for the system timer to indicate that the estimation period had elapsed. An

image was taken and evaluated to determine the object's new position compared to the location of the laser in the image field during calibration. It is assumed that the laser position can be considered the system pointer because the initial calibration of the system was performed using the laser image location as a reference point. An error, expressed in pixels, in both the x and y directions was calculated and stored. Finally, the robot was directed back to its home position and the process began again.

After the sequence of loops the error information was used to determine an average error and a standard deviation. The experiment examined both linear and circular motion using estimation times of three, five, seven and nine seconds.

The different types of motion were achieved by using a small DC motor. Linear motion was established by connecting the object to the driveshaft of the motor by means of a piece of thread. The driveshaft was driven at a constant rpm and as a result the thread was reeled in at a constant rate. The system was oriented so the object would move in a purely x direction or a purely y direction. The velocity of the object was measured at 36 pixels/sec. Circular motion was provided by placing a piece of cardboard with the object attached onto the driveshaft of the motor. The object was located a distance of 4 inches from the shaft and had an angular velocity of 1.5 rpm. In Table 4.2 the data for this experiment is listed.

The information in Table 4.2 consists of two sets of data associated with linear and circular object motion. The data consists of four values, the average error in both the x and y direction along with the appropriate standard deviations. The error value were derived by ex-

a) Linear Motion

ľ

l

G

¢

		Estimation Time (sec.)	×e	ӯ _е	ĩx	³ y
	pure y direction	3	1.84	13.89	1.5474	4.5098
	н	5	1.83	23.41	1.2966	4.5455
	u	7	2.49	31.41	2.1748	6.6485
	н	9	3.74	55.92	2.4067	10.6035
	pure x direction	3	15.54	4,27	2.3934	3.2276
	11	5	24.73	4.99	2.8770	3.4569
	н	7	28.96	6.17	4.8988	4.1954
	n	9	40.88	10.60	6.1307	7.6766
b)	Circular Motion					
		3	53.8	62.34	28.4109	31.1414
		5	79.82	91.13	38.7372	45.4492
		7	103.27	117.55	47.6411	55.3914
		9	153.78	160.68	70.8511	80.1495

74

amining the position of the object at the estimation point in reference to the laser's position in the image field. It is interesting to note the shift in the magnitude of error depending on whether the linear motion is in the x or y direction. The inactive direction has a smaller value of error compared to the active direction. This small error can come about from inaccuracies in determining the object's centers and may grow with the time of estimation if consecutive errors imply a velocity which is in fact not present. However, as the data shows, for reasonable prediction times these errors can be considered negligible. As a result, important information can only be discerned with the active direction data.

Model for Experimental Results

-

(

A framework for interpreting the experimental data presented in the previous section is developed in the following paragraphs. Figure 4.1 graphically shows how the error variance of a linear predictive motion model changes with prediction time. The simulation is performed using a discrete linear Kalman filter expressed by the equations given in Table 4.3. This model was used because the Kalman filter is considered the best (minimum error variance) linear filter for either state observation or estimation [Sage and Melsa, 1971]. As a result, by comparing how the error variance would change with time in the experiment compared to the values given in the Kalman filter, an indication of relative accuracy for the overall system is obtained.

Figure 4.1 shows how the error variance increases with increasing estimation time. This graph was determined by examining an equation that yields the change of error variance through a linear system [Sage and Melsa, 1971]. The equation is:



ſ

E



Message model	x(j+1) = :(j+1,j)x(j) + ::(j)w(j) + B(j)u(j)						
Observation model	z(j) = H(j)x(j) + v(j) + y(j)						
Prior statistics	$E_{\{v(j)\}} = u_{w}(j) \qquad E_{\{v(j)\}} = u_{v}(j) \qquad E_{\{x(0)\}} = u_{x}(0)$						
	$cov_w(j),w(k) = V_w(j)S_K(j - k)$						
	$cov(v(j),v(k)) = V_v(j)\delta_k(j - k)$						
	cov{w(f),v(k)} = V _{wv} (j)∂ _K (j - k)						
	$var(x(0)) = V_{x}(0)$						
One-state-	x(j+1 j) = (j+1,j)x(j) + c(j) w(j) + B(j)u(j)						
algorithm	+ $K_{p}(j)[z(j) - \omega_{v}(j) - y(j) - H(j)\hat{x}(j)]$						
Filter algorithm	$\hat{x}(j+1) = \hat{x}(j+1 \ j) + K(j+1)[z(j+1) - u_v(j+1) - y(j+1)]$						
	- $H(j+1)\hat{x}(j+1 j)$]						
One-state- prediction gain algorithm	$K_{p}(j) = \tau(j)V_{wv}(j)V_{v}^{-1}(j)$						
Filter gain algorithm	$K(j+1) = V_{\tilde{x}}(j+1 j)H^{T}(j+1)[H(j+1)V_{\tilde{x}}(j+1 j)H^{T}(j+1) + V_{v}(j+1)]^{-1}$						
A priori variance	$V_{x}(j+1 j) = [j(j+1,j) - K_{p}(j)H(j)]V_{x}(j)$						
algorithm	$x[p(j+1,j) - K_{p}(j)H(j)]^{T} + T(j)V_{w}(j)T(j)$						
	- K _p (j)V _v (j)K _p ^T (j)						
A posteriori variance algorithm	$V_{X}^{-}(j+1) = [I - K(j+1)H(j+1)]V_{X}^{-}(j+1 j)$						
Initial	$\hat{x}(0) = \hat{x}(0 0) = u_{x}(0) = \delta\{x(0)\}$						
conditions	$V_{\tilde{x}}(0) = V_{\tilde{x}}(0 0) = var(x(0)) = V_{x}(0)$						

Table 4.3 General Discrete Kalman Filter

ľ

ž

77

$$V_{x}(k+1) = (k+1) V_{x}(k) \ddagger^{T}(k+1) + T(k) V_{w}(k) T(k)$$

where $V_{\chi}(k)$ is state error variance, z(k) is state transition matrix at time k, z(k) is a weighting matrix and $V_{W}(k)$ is the variance of the noise. The second term of this sum is concerned with how the noise on the state measurement changes with time. Since in the estimation technique the prediction of an object's position involves no measurements this term is zero. Therefore the equation reduces to:

$$V_{x}(k+1) = :(k+1) V_{x}(k);^{T}(k+1)$$

This equation reveals that the change of variance with time in the estimation application is dependent on the state transition matrix and the previous variance.

In evaluating the system data in reference to the Kalman filter, Figure 4.1 shows that system error variance in the prediction range from five seconds to eight seconds is very close to that of the Kalman filter. For both cases the values of error variance for estimation time above eight seconds are too large for practical prediction. It is important to recognize that for the range of estimate times from three to five seconds the error variance of the system is relatively constant and then begins to increase in the same way as the filter error variance.

The results here seem to suggest that with regard to linear motion estimation the variance changes of the demonstration system are very similar to those of the Kalman filter.

The circular motion experiment was conducted to examine how the linear models of the system and Kalman filter could handle such a trajectory. There would definitely be a difference between the Kalman filters and the system in merely tracking the object without estimation. Recall that there is a constraint of minimum prediction time due to

calculation and mechanical movement of the robotic system. Therefore this particular "intelligent" robotic system is limited to using a prediction algorithm. That is, a need for predicting ahead at least the necessary mechanical time is required. On the other hand, the software simulation does not have this limitation. Figure 4.2 shows that the Kalman filter was able to lock on to the actual trajectory after only a few iterations. This trajectory was provided by actual data of circular object motion obtained by visually sampling the object at .25 second intervals.

Both the system and filter faltered when attempt at prediction was taken. The data for the system reveals an average error of more then the diameter of an object even with a 3 second prediction time. Figure 4.3 reveals the inherent problem of using a linear model to predict circular motion. The model uses the velocity of the last data point and predicts ahead based on this trajectory. It is obvious that immediately the observations diverge from the estimations. Notice that at a specific point the errors start to decrease because the circular motion is in the same direction as the linear prediction.



Figure 4.2 Kalman Filter Estimates and Experimental Observations for Circular Motion

......



Figure 4.3 Circular Motion Prediction Using Linear Predictive Algorithm

81

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

In this thesis a system that can direct the motion of an industrial robot in response to visual information acquired through an image acquisition system was investigated. In this chapter conclusions of both a general and specific nature are given and suggestions are made for future research related to this system.

The principal conclusion from this research is that an industrial robot can be directed by information acquired from a video source. The system was used to implement the software discussed in this thesis. As evidenced by the data presented in Chapter IV, the robot was able to respond very well to the movement of an object in the camera's image field. Along these lines there are a number of constraints on the system that effect how it can be used. As mentioned before, the time it takes to calculate the position of an object in the image field and transform this data into robot coordinates takes approximately 0.2 seconds. In addition the average time the robot takes to complete a movement is approximately 1.0 second. Therefore, even if the system was designed to have an accurate object following routine, it would be necessary to make an estimate of the object's position at least 1.2 seconds in advance. If the intent was to have the system follow a moving object with no attempt made to compensate for this time lag, system inaccuracy would increase with object velocity. Another interesting consideration is the basic limitation on object velocity. The velocity of the object must be limited to that of the robot in any tracking operation. Also since the data for

linear prediction in Chapter 4 represented objects moving rather slowly (36 pixel/sec) the error values indicate that prediction times of more than 7 seconds cannot be justified.

The robotic system as it is set up now is unable to respond accurately to movement of the object while the robot arm is in motion. This is a very complicated problem for both the image processing technique and the robotic controller. The acquisition of the image while the robotic arm is in motion may cause blurring which can introduce an inaccuracy into the system that the stationary system does not have. In addition the inability to know exactly where the robot was at the time when the image was taken yields the fundamental weakness of this dynamic system for very accurate tracking and prediction attempts.

The robot system controller as it now operates allows for a large amount of overshoot and settling time at the end of each movement. This could be a problem if image acquisition were to take place during this settling time. The effect of vibration could enter a larger amount of inaccuracy into any images taken during the period. In addition the system assumes that the camera is parallel to the working plane and remains at a constant height. Any deviation from these constraints will also introduce inaccuracies into the system.

Suggestion for Future Research

The system as it now stands, and as it was initially drawn out, is an introduction to this lively field of robotic vision. At this point there are a number of areas where improvements are both necessary and possible.

The first area is that of the image processing. The fact that noise is introduced by the robot's motors eliminated the use of the turtle routine as mentioned in Chapter two. With the incorporation of a turtle routine the ability to find the true centroid of an object even a non-symmetrical object would be possible. There are a number of methods by which the noise problem could be approached. Through simple software techniques the system could incorporate a low pass filter or average over a specified window within the image field. The effect can be seen in Figure 5.1. The window would pass over the 2-D image contained in the frame buffer and examine a number of pixels under the window [3x3, 5x5, 7x7, etc]. The algorithm would average all pixels and replace the center pixel of the window with this average. Of course, if a binary image was being used some threshold average would be decided on and if the average was below the threshold the center pixel would be replaced with a black pixel, otherwise a pure white pixel would take its place. The obvious effects would be random noise pixels should be eliminated, the time needed to process the image would be increased and the image of the object would suffer a slight distortion. One must not overlook the possibility of using a hardware filter to eliminate this high frequency noise. Maybe by investigating the source of this sensitivity to noise an appropriate shielding technique can be used to avoid the two previous techniques. In either case the tradeoffs would need to be examined and weighed.

The striking effect of eliminating the noise pixels and then the incorporation of the turt'e boundary/follower routine would be the entrance into the area of pattern recognition and the applications this arena offer.

				•	1	-		
	0	0	0	σ	0	0	0	
NOISE	0	_ 250	0	0	0	0	0	
PIXEL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	250	250	250	ORIGINAL IMAGE
	0	0	0	0	250	250	250	
	0	0	0	0	250	250	250	
					1			OBJECT
		L	L	1	L			l

.

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	27.5	27.5	0	0	0	0	
0	27.5	27.5	27.5	55.0	82.5		
0	0	55.0	110.0	165,0	165.0		
0	0	0	82.5	165.0	250	250	
0	0	0	82.5	165.0	250	250	

FILTERED IMAGE

	-				-		.
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	* 250	* 250	FILTEDED 8
0	0	0	* 250	250	250	250	THRESHOLDED
0	0	0	* 250	250	250	250	IMAGE
0	0	0	* 250	250	250	250	threshold = 80
		<u></u>					
		ł	I	L	1		* blurring

Figure 5.1 Averaging Technique for Noise Problem

85

1





.

`**.**•

í

By delineating between shapes [Dubois, 1984] the ability to approach the bin-picking problem is facilitated. At this point the system moves from the periphery of the robotic vision research into areas of current research.

Also in the area of image processing the ability to move beyond the area of two dimensional information into the 3-D arena is within the grasp of the system as it now stands. The structure of the laser source mounted with the camera had a two-fold purpose. First, the laser provided a reference point in the calibration of camera to robot coordinates. Secondly it offers the possibility of gauging depth between the camera height and working level. At the present time the system incorporates a flat top table as the working surface. However, by incorporating knowledge about the position of the laser in the image field, the ability of maintaining a fixed height above a variable depth surface is not far out of reach. In Figure 5.2 the effect of driving the robot so that the laser image remains fixed in the image field results in this fixed depth application. The result here may be seen as the ability to apply equal layers of paint on a non-flat surface or to inspect equal size areas of such a surface. The true results of such an ability may only be reaped when the algorithm is incorporated.

In the area of kinematics the present movement is obviously awkard. That is, the robot is directed to a position and the system then waits for the movement to be complete. At which point the necessary information is available to give the next direction. The system does have the capability of receiving a second movement directive during the actual initial move and responding to it. However, by incorporating the mounted camera's visual information a reference is needed to know when and where



1



d - NORMAL WORKING HEIGHT

P - LASER IMAGE POSITION AT HEIGHT d

P'- LASER'S POSITION AT NEW HEIGHT

Figure 5.2 Image Field at Two Different Heights

L

the visual information was obtained. That is the ${}^{O}T_{5}$ matrix must constantly be available in order to have the proper information for an updated movement. The most obvious result of this improvement would be a more efficient use of the time during which the robot is moving. There is a possibility that with the acquisition of images during the movement of the manipulator a blurring effect may be introduced and as a result additional picture processing may be called for. The field of Image Enhancement and techniques for deblurring of images are some of the current topics in image processing.

The ability to improve upon the technique for estimation in the system's tracker application is definitely within reach. The inability of this system to give effective estimates for circular motion could obviously be improved by basing the estimate on a curvilinear model or increasing the order of the estimation equation. Furthermore by incorporating a type of adaptive model, there is a possibility of enhancing the system's per-formance with both linear and circular motion.

This adaptive solution may examine the error values for both types of model in an iterative fashion. Depending on which model yielded the smallest error an estimation would be made. The ability to improve upon either estimation technique would result in a tracking system that could be used in a range of expectant trajectories.

Ō

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, J.K. and Martin, W.N., "Dynamic Scene Analysis A Survey," Computer Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 7, 356-374, 1978.
- Agin, Gerald J., "Computer Vision Systems for Industrial Inspection and Assembly," Computer, Vol. 13, No. 5, 11-20, 1980.
- Ballard, Dana H., and Brown, Christopher M., Computer Vision, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1982.
- Bolc, Leonard and Zenon, Kulpa, Digital Imaging Processing Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- Castleman, Kenneth R., <u>Digital Image Processing</u>, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1979.
- Davis, Larry S., "A Survey of Edge Detection Techniques," <u>Computer</u> Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 4, 248-269, 1975.
- Denavit, J. and Hartenberg, R.S., "A Kinematic Notation for Lower-Pair Mechanisms Based on Matrices", <u>Journal of Applied Mechanics</u>, 215-221, 1955.
- Dubois, Susan Rae, The Classification of Objects by the Use of Autoregressive Models of Their Digital Images, University of New Hampshire, Master's Thesis, 1984.
- Duda, R.O. and Hart, P.E., <u>Pattern Classification and Scene Analysis</u>, Wiley, New York, 1973.
- Frei, Werner, "Image Enhancement by Histogram Hyperbolization," <u>Compu-</u> ter Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 6, 286-294, 1977.

- Hall, E.L., Tio, J.B.K., McPherson, C.A. and Sadjadi, F.A., "Measuring Curves Surfaces for Robotic Vision", <u>Computer</u>, Vol. 15, No. 12, 42-53, 1982.
- Hanson, Allen R., and Riseman, Edward M., Computer Vision Systems, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- Hoeschele, David F. Jr., <u>Analog-to-Digital/Digital-to-Analog Conver</u>sions Techniques, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- Horn, B.K.P. and Ikevchi, K., "The Mechanical Manipulation of Randomly Oriented Parts", Scientific American, Vol. 251, No. 2, 100-111, 1984.
- Hummel, Robert A., "Histogram Modification", Computer Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 4, 209-225, 1975.

Image Technology Inc. Imaging-Basic Driver Programmer's Manual, 1984.

- Kunt, M., "Acquisition and Visualization", Fundamentals in Computer Vision, edited by O.D. Faugeras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- Lanczos, Cornelius, <u>Applied Analysis</u>, Prentice, Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 1956.
- Lee, C.S.G., "Robot Arm Kinematics, Dynamics, and Control", Computer, Vol. 15, No. 12, 62-79, 1982.
- Leese, J.A., Novak, C.S., and Taylor, J.R., "The Determination of Cloud Pattern Motion From Geosynchronous Satellite Image Data", <u>Pattern</u> <u>Recognition</u>, Vol. 2, 279-292, 1970.
- Miller, R.K., <u>Machine Vision Fundamentals</u>, Vol. 1, Technical Insights, Fort Lee, N.J., 1984.
- Muroga, Saburo, VLSI System Design, Wiley, New York, 1982.

8

- Nahin, P.J., <u>Image Processing by Computer</u>, University of New Hampshire, Durham, 1983.
- Ohlander, Ron, Price, Keith, and Reddy, Ray D., "Picture Segmentation Using a Recursive Region Splitting Method," <u>Computer Graphics</u> and Image Processing, Vol. 8, 313-333, 1978.
- Paul, R.P., <u>Robot Manipulators Mathematics</u>, <u>Programming</u>, <u>and Control</u>, The MIT Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- Robinson, Guner S., "Edge Detection by Compass Gradient Masks", Computer Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 6, 492-501, 1971.
- Sage, Andrew P., and Melsa, James L., <u>Estimation Theory With Applications</u> to Communications and Control, McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1971.

APPENDICES

ſ

5

E

APPENDIX A: LEAST SQUARES CALIBRATION METHOD AND SOFTWARE LISTING

The least square calibration of the camera to robotic link 5 (end effector) coordinate transformation is obtained as follows:

$$X = (A^{T}A)^{-1}A^{T}B$$

where

constants.

(<

O

X = the vector of least squares coordinate transformation

A = the matrix of observed camera coordinates with 12 rows of values (x_{cam}, y_{cam}, 1).

B = the matrix of the x and y position values of the object expressed in fifth link coordinates with 12 rows of values (x_5, y_5) .

Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_5 \\ y_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{cam} y_{cam} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \\ x_{\circ} & y_{\circ} \end{bmatrix}$$

i i	FILE LSISDS.FTN	REVISED 12 JUNE 1984
C		AUTHOR MARK FURBER
ι		
Ċ	THIS FILE CONTAINS	FORTRAN SUBROUTINES:
L C		SUS
ι (.	SNV	EKI
C		
۲,		
i.		
С	JOME SUBROUTINES US	EN BY LETERS AND INVERT ARE CONTAINED IN MATH
ť.		
C####	*****************	**************
C***	******************	************
r	SDIUES & MANY # YIN	21 + = 8(MX1)
0		
	SUBROUTINE LST	SQS(A,X,B,AT,ATA,ATA1,ATA1AT,ASYM,M,N,F,IER)
	INTFOER MINIF	
	REAL H(MIN) / X(N	,Ε), Β(Μ,Ε)
	REAL AT(N,M), AT	A(N,N), ATAL(N,N), AIAIAT(N,M), ASYM(N*(N+1)/
	INTEGER IER	
	ERROR CODE RETURNED	BY IVNERSION ROUTINE
(
	CALL MATTRN(AT,	A≠ N≠ M)
	CALI MATMUL(ATA,	Ai, A, N, M, N)
	CALL SNVERT (ATA1	, ATA, ASYM, N, IER)
	IF(IEK .NE, 0) GUIU	Y Y Y
100	CALL MATHUL (ATA1)	AT, ATA1, AT, N, N, M)
	CALL NATMUL (X+ A	TA1A1+ 8+ N+ M+ F)
999	RETURN	
	END	

7

5

ſ

ţc

C
ii*** C**≭ ₽	**************************************	* * * *
L	STAREIVIC ARTKIN INVENSION SUBNOUTINE	
	SURROUTINE SNUERT(AL, A, ASYM, N, IER)	
	INTEGER N	
	REAL = A(N+N) + A1(N+N)	
	REAL ASYM(N*(N+1)/2)	
	INTEGER IER	
	REAL 01. 02	
	INTEGER (+3	
ί	1FK - 2	
, T	PERTE THENTITY MATERN IN AL	
C	DO 100 BOLIN	
	$\mu 0$ 110 J=L/N	
	At(I, j) = 0.0	
110	CONTINUE	
100	CONTINUE	
	10 120 I=1+N	
	A1(1, I) = 1.0	
120	CONTINUE	
120	CONTINUE	
120	CONTINUE Call Matsym(asym,a.n, Lall Lertif(asym, N, N, A1, N, 8, 01, 02, IER)	
120	CONTINUE Call Matsym(asym,a.n, Lall Leqtif(asym, n, n, ai, n, 8, 01, 02, ier) Return	

ľ

(

ŧ

4

ſ

4

¢

(

	••								
	!								
	τ.	THIS F	ILE CONTA	142 146	RUBOTOCA	MERA CA	LIBRATICS	I FROGRAM	
	f								
	1.								
		*******	********		*******	*******	********	*********	******
		********	********	*******			********	********	******
0001		REAL	+ ++1)ME .	++ ENT	4 × 4 ×				
	C.	SIANDA	F.D. F.(111	INS FOR	60 F 91				
				-					
0002		NEAL	• PILE+ + 4	• * * • • • • • • • • •)H)S(A),	F08 J0 (4)		
	L	11 1 1 1 X	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1	N 5 1 1 1 1	H UF HUB	GREE COU	RUINATES		
0003		NEAL F	LASECIAL						
	÷	FOSITI	ON OF LAS	EF IN FA	MERA COO	RDINATE	S (FIXED)		
0004		REAL	FICFIOL	4+12+					
	Û.	CALIER	ATION FAT	TEEN					
0005	,·	IN LEDE NUMBER	NE SUBSI	ES LOUNT	WY CENE	,			
	C C	NUMBER	UP RUREL	ES FOUNT	, EI 2505	•			
0000		RFAL	FFICO(4) . THE TA	57				
0007		REAL	105(4+4	> FICTO	5(4+4)+	FICT50(4.4). UBJ	105(4+4)	
3008		REAL	A(12+3)	•X(3+2)+	8(12+2)				
0009	_	REAL	AT(3+12),ATA(3,	3) + ATAI (3,3),AT	AIAT(3+12) ATASYN(5)
	С	FOR LE	AST-SQUAR	E S					
0010		REAL	AXHAT(1	2+2)+MSE	RRX MSER	RY+ITST	(3.3)		
	C	FOR CA	LCULATING	MEAN-SO	AURE ERF	ORS AND	MATRIX I	NVERSION	
0011		INTEGE	R STAT						
	C	STATUS	WORD RET	URNED BY	CAHAC				
		INTECE							
0011	c	EPEOL	N 16N Note detu	ONED BY	1 6 7 6 0 6				
	C	CREAK			CJ 1303				
0013		INTEGE	R I,II,J,	10+110					
	C	100F C	OUNTERS						
0014		REAL	NWAIT						
	1								
	9								
0015		DATA	FHOME0/	90.0. 0.	0, 60.0,	1.0/			
0019		PATA	FICETO/	0.0,	-12.0,	0.0.	1.0,		
		L L		12:01	12.04	0.0.	1.0-		
		1		-11.0.	0.0,	0.0,	1.0,		
		1		7,79,	-4.5,	0.0,	1.0,		

l

1

1

95

i D

7.79. 4.5, 0.0, 1.0, 1 -7.79. 1 4.5. 0.0, 1.0, - 7.79, -4.5. 0.0, 1.0+ 1 -5.20, 1 3.0, 0.0, 1.0, 3.0, 5.20, 0.0, 1.0, ۱ -3.0, 5.20, 0.0, 1.0, 1 -3.0, -5.20, 0.0+ 1.0/ 1 0017 WRITE(5+4) 0018 FORMAT(// CALIBRATION ROUTINE /) 4 0019 WRITE(5,5) FORMAT(// ENTER X-Y COORDINATES OF OBJECT (IN CM):'/ 00.00 5 X = '\$, 1 READ(5+*)F08J0(1) 0021 WRITE(5+6) 0022 FURMATC Y = '\$) 0023 ٥ 0024 READ(5+*)FOBJO(2) 0025 FORJO(3) = 50.0FOBJO(4) = 1.00026 0027 WRITE(5+7) 0028 7 FORMAT(1 / NUMBER OF SECONDS TO WAIT = (\$) READ(5,*)NWAIT 0029 MOVE TO HOME POSITION Ú. 0030 CALL MOVE(FHOMEO, TOS, THETA, STAT) ĉ FIND LOCATION OF LASER WRITE(5+8) 0031 FORMAT(' FINDING LASER'/) 0032 8 0033 LALL SBUB(PBUBL, NBUB, 3) 0034 IF (NBUB .NE. 1) GOTO 999 MATMOV(FLASRC, FBURC(1,1), 4, 1) 0035 CALE GET PARTIAL DATA IF ANY Ĉ <u> - с</u> 0030 WRITE(5,9) 0037 FORMAT(' ANY FARTIAL DATA IN CALDAT.DAT FILE (1=YES;-1=NO)? (\$) 0038 Ŷ 039 REAU(5+*)11 0040 IF(II .NE. 1) GOTO 20 WRITE(5,29) FORMAT(' FREVIOUS DATA '/) 0041 0042 29 0043 CALL ASSIGN(3+'SY:CALDAT.DAT'+13) C READ IN DATA UNTIL EDF READ(3,99,END-35)A(J+1,1),ACJ+1,2),A(J+1,3),B(J+1,1),B(J+1+2) 30 WRITE(3,91)A(J1111),A(J1112)+A(J11.8)+B(J11.1)+A(J+1.2) 2045 0040 J = J + 16010 30 0047 CLOSE(UNIT=3) 0048 35

96

•

0049	20	1 + L = 0L
1050		CALL ASSIGN(3, SYICALDAT.DAT.,13)
	Ċ	FLACE OBJECT
1051		CALL MOVE (FORJO+ORJTO5+THETA+STAT)
1052		WRITE(5,SO) 10
0053	50	FORMAT(' '+ JO - +[4/' PLACE WHITE DOT THEN ENTER ''1''[CR]: \$)
0054 0055		κερμίοτατη τη ΤΕ(ΤΙ .ΝΕ. Ε) δητή 999
-055		IF(30),6E, 12) 6010 400
1057		$(0 \ 400 \ J= 10.1)$
		HOUS TO SUSTION AT MUTCH TO TAKE STOTUDE
0059	· .	WRITE(5.37))
0057	3.7	F(R)F(A) = +14/2
0.00		CALL VCADD4(FFIC0, FORJ0, FICFTO(1,J))
ംറപ		CALL MOVE (FFICO, FICTOS, THETA, STAT)
0052		
-063	47	CALL SRUB(FRURC, NRUB, 3)
0054		IF(NBUR .E(4, 2) GOTO 55
0055		WRITE(5,57)
0050	57	FORMATC' TRYING AGAIN (/)
00 10 7		6010 49
	1	DISTINGUISH RETWEEN LASER AND OBJECT
10.58	55	IF (VECEQU(FLASRC+FRURC(1+1)+4+4+0))
		1 CALL MATMOV(FORJC+FRURC(1+2)+4+1)
5 ÷ ÷		IF(VECEQU(FLASRL+FFURC(1+2)+4+4+0))
		1 (ALL MATMOV(FORJC+FRURC(1+1)+4+1)
		WFITE(5+56)FOBUC(1++FOBUC(2)+FOBUC(3)+FOBUC(4+
7-71	5.6	FORMATCZZ FOSITION OF OBJECT IN CAMERA COORDINATES = 4F5.07
	4	TRANSFORM TO COORDINATE SYSTEM 3
-0.22		CALL INVIOS(FICTOS, FICTOS)
2623		CALL NATMUL(POBJ5, FICT50, FOBJ0, 4, 4, 1)
	1	AUD THIS FOSITION TO DATA ARRAYS
: G 14		A(J+1)=FOBJC(1)
12.15		A(J,2)=F(ABJC(2)
		((),3)=FOFJC(4)
		H(J+1) = FOFJS(1)
: ' 9		8(J+2)=POBJ5(2)

0017		FERARS DELTAYORETRY AND CARE DELTAY CUT - 100000 500
0018		(-0, 0)
		FIND THE VELOCITY OF VRJECT IN IMAGE TWO
0015		VELXU=DELXO, TIMO UELXO=DELXO, TIMO
. / 2 0		
	C NOW	ESTIMATE WHERE THE THIRD OBJECT SHOULD BE
021		ESTX13=FBUB(1+L)+VELXC*(C*TIME)
2021		FSTX23=FBUB(1+2)+VELX2*TIME
1023		£STY13=FBUB(2+1)+VELY2*(2*TIME)
0024		ESTY23=PBUB(2+2)+VELY2*FIME
0025		EAV(1)=(EST×13+EST×23)/2
0026		EAV(2)=(ESTY13+ESTY23)/2
1027		ERRX3=RBUB(1,3)-EAV(1)
0026		ERRY3=FBUB(2+3)-EAV(2)
	Ο μενε	LOFE ESTIMATION INFO FOR FOURTH OBJECT
0029		IHAG-(ERRX3*(TIME2/TIME))**2+(ERRY3*(TIME2/TIME))**2
0030		VEC3=SOFT(FLOAT(IMAG))
0031		IF(EKRX3.EW.0)GOTO 100
032		ANG2=ATAN(FLOAT(ERRY3/ERRX3))
0033		GOTU 116
0.734	100	HNG2=3.14/2
	ů.	FIND THE VELOCITY OF ORJECT IN IMAGE THREE
0035	110	UELXX=DELX3/TIME
1035		VELY3=DELY3/TIME
	L,	NOW ESTIMATE WHERE THE OBJECT WILL BE
0.3.7		ESTX14=FBUB(1+1)+(UFLX2+UFLX3)#TIME+(UFLX2+UFLX3)/2#TIME2
0036		ESTX24=FBUB(1+2)+VELX3#TIME+(VELX2+VELX3)/2#TIME2
039		ESTX34=FBUB(1+3)+(VELX2+VELX3)/C#TIMED
0046		FSTY10=PBUB(2+1)+(VELY0+VELY3)*TIME+(VELY2+VELY3)/2*TIME2
0041		ESTY24=PBUB(2+2)+VELY3#TIME+(VELY2+VELY3)/2#TIME2
0042		FSTY34=FBUB(2,3)+(VELY2+VFLY3)/2#TIME?
1043		+AU(3)=(ESTX14+ESTX24+ES1X34)/3+VEC3*COS(ANG2)
0044		EAV(4)=(ESTY14+ESTY24+ESTY34)/3+VEC3*SIN(ANG2)
0045		6010 500
0045	500	10 630 I=1.4
0047		FCAM(I)=FBUB(I+3)
0045	630	CONTINUE
0049		トCAM(1)+(FCAM(1)*2)、258
0050		FCAM(2)=(FCAM(2)#2) 240
0051		_ALL + OKP(FCAM+FOR)5+FCUR+F05)

	TILE RETURT. FTU - REFISED 18 SEFTEMBER 1784
	C THIS FILE CONTAINS THE SUBROUTINE
	C KELDALLEIN C
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-001	SUBROUTINE RETNAT(TIME, LIME2, PRUB, P. PREV, PCUB, 105, FCAM, FOB 15, C)
• • •	C THIS SUBROUTINE WILL FROUTLE AN ESTIMATE OF THE EDSTITIN
	. JE THE UNJECT AT SOME SPECIFIC FOINT IN TIME
	UNELX2-VELY2 - VELOCITIES BETWEEN IMAGES 1 AND 1
	C ESTX13+ESTY13 - ESTIMATE OF UBJECT IN IMAGE 3 USING
	FOSITION IN IMAGE 1 AS INITIAL POSITION
	C ESTX23/ESTY23 - ESTIMATE OF URJECT IN IMAGE 3 USING
	FOSITION IN IMAGE 2 AS INITIAL FOSITION
0.002	INTEGER VELK2+VELY2+ESTX13+ESTX23+ESTY13+ESTY23
	C EAV(1) - ESTIMATE FOR OBJECT AT POSITION 3
	C ERRX3, ERRY3 - ERROR HETWEEN ESTIMATE AND OBSERVATION FOR
	C FOSITION 3
	NTERED CANLAN LERVI FRANT
1017.2	INTEGER ENVERTIERRATE DE FORTITION A DETNO DIFEREDENT
	INITIAL POSITIONS A USING DIFFERENT
0004	
11 - 14 7	UPLX3.UPLX3. UPLX3. UPLCTXY UNINES RETURN IMAGES 2 AND 3
	Vertisitely verticely verticely hereef involg 2 map of
1001	INTEGER VELX3+VELY3
	C CHESE ARE THE FOSITION CHANGES BETWEEN THE FRAMES
2005	INTEGER DELX2,DELX3,DELY2,DELY3,DELTAX,DELTAY
1207	INTEGER C
100 9	REAL [05(4+4)+FREV(4)+FCUR(4)+FCAM(4)+F0RJ5(4)
<u>.</u>	REAL PRUR(4+4)+F(4)+TIME;TIME:
	C INITIALIZE CONSTANTS
2016	C = 1 + 9
	C FIND THE POSITION CHANGES BETWEEN IMAGES 1 AND 2+ C AND ALSO BETWEEN IMAGES 2 AND 3
211	DELX2=FBUB(1+2)-FBUB(1+1)
011	DELY2=FBUB(2,2)-FBUB(2,1)
0.013	UELX3=FRUR(1+3)-FRUR(1+2)
2014	DELY3=FBUB(2+3+-PBUB(2+2+
0015	0ELTAX=FRUB(1,5)-FRUB(1,1)
0010	DELTAY=FRUB(2+3)-FRUB(2+1)

DELETTLE CHANGE TREAT AS NO CHANGE

Saffarara afarata arata

.

.

0038	253	LID 40 I=ICENT-COUNTY FCENT+COUNTY
0039		IZ2=RFIXEL(XCENT+XLTH/4+I)
0040		IF(IZ2,EQ.0)GUTO 40
0041		COUNT2=COUNT2+1
0042		LAST2=I
0043	40	CONTINUE
0044		IF(COUNT2+L1+3)G010 254
0045		YCENT2=LAST2-(COUNT2/2)
0948	254	TCENT=(YCENT+TCENT1+YCENT2)/3
0047		RETURN
0048		END

	C **** C ****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	Ċ C	THIS ROUTINE IS USED TO PROVIDE A MORE Accurate estimation of the object's center
0001		SUBROUTINE ACENT(XCENT,YCENT,COUNTY,XLTH)
0002 0003 000 4		INTEGER XCENT,YCENT,XLTH,COUNTY INTEGER RFIXEL,XCENT1,XCENT3,YCENT1,YCENT2 INTEGER COUNT1,COUNT2,LAST1,LAST2
	C INIT	IALIZATION
0003 00 06		C
0007 0008 0009 0010		XCENT1 = XCENT XCENT2 = XCENT YCENT1 = YCENT YCENT2 = YCENT
0011 0012 0013 0014 0015		<pre>D0 10 I=XCENT-XLTH,XCENT+XLTH IZ1=RFIXEL(I,YCENT-COUNTY/4) IF(IZ1,E0.0)GOTU 10 COUNT1=COUNT1+1 LAST1=L</pre>
0015 001 001 0018	10	CONTINUE IF(COUNTI.LI.3)GOTO 251 XCENTI=LASTI-(COUNTI/2)
0019 0020 0021 0022 0023	251	DO PO I=XCENT-XLTH+XCENT+XLTH IZ2=RFIXEL(I+YCENT+CDUNTY/4) IF(IZ2+E0+0)GDTO 20 COUNT2=COUNT2+1 LAST2=1
0025 0025 0026	20	IF(COUNT2.LT.3)GOTO 252 ACENT2=LAST2-(COUNT2/2)
0027	252	XCENT=(XCENT+XCENT1+XCENT2)/3
0028 0029		COUNT1=0 COUNT2=0
0030 0031 0032 0033 0034		<pre>DO 30 I=+CENT-COUNTY,YCENT+COUNTY I/1=RFIXEL(XCENT-XLTH/4+I) IF(IZ1.E0.0)60TD 30 COUNT1=COUNT1+1 LAST1=I</pre>
0035 0036 0037	30	CONTINUE 1F(COUNT1.L1.3)GDTD 253 YCENT1=LAST1-(COUNT1/2)

0055	PBUB(1+2)=(FBUB(1+2)-256
0050	FBUB(2+3)=(FBUB(2+3)-240
0057	RETURN
0058	END

C

6

ł

C

	C	EACH SECTION(256X240 PIXELS)
0012	300	DU 210 Y=02*240,239+02*240,10
0013		DO 220 X=D1#256+255+D1#256+5
0014		Z=RFIXEL(X+Y)
0015		IF(Z.NE.0)60 10 215
001:		GU TO 220
0017	215	T E MF'X = X
0018		TEMFY ≈ τ
0019		DO 230 X1= (EMFX+ TEMFX+40
0020		Z1=RFIXEL(X1,TEMPY)
0021		IF(Z1.NE.0)GD TD 230
0022		IF(X1.LT.TEMFX+2)GO TO 220
0023		XLTH=X1-TEMPX
0024		XCENT=XLTH/2+TEMPX
0025		GO TO 240
0026	230	CONTINUE
0027	240	DO 250 Y1=TEMPY-(XLTH/2)-15,TEMPY+(XLTH/2)+15
0028		Z2=RFIXEL(XCENT,Y1)
0029		IF(Z2,EQ.0)GO TO 250
0030		COUNTY = COUNTY + 1
0031		
0032	250	CONTINUE
0033		IF(COUNTY.L1.2)G0 T0 251
0034		TLENT=LASTT-(LUUNTT/2)
0035		CALL ACENT(XCENT, YCENT, COUNTY, XLTH)
0036		DR(IND)=XCENT
0037		DR(IND+1)=YCENT
0038		
0039		NBUB=NBUB+1
0040		
0041		IF (NBUB, EQ. 1) GOTO 102
0042		IF (NRUR, EQ. 2) GOTO 100
0043	251	1F(NBUB.EQ.3)6010 450
0044	201	COUNTY=0
0045	220	CONTINUE
0046	210	CONTINUE
004/	102	CONTINUE
0048	100	CUNIINUE
	C BUILD	FBUB MATRIX
0049	450	IO 830 I=1,NEUR
0050		<pre>FBUB(1,1)=FLOAT(DR(2*1-2))</pre>

.

•

0050	FBUB(1)I)=FLOAT(DR(2*I-2))
0051	FBUB(2+I)=FLOAT(DR(2*I-1))
0052	FBUB(3,I)=0.0
0053	FBUB(4+I)=1.0

0054 830 CONTINUE

· · ·.

C

•

. .

C

0

E.

•

O

•

ţ

Ŧ

	,	
	i.	FILE F3R.FIN REVISED 20 AUGUST 1984
	ι. U ζ	THIS FILE CONTAINS THE SUBROUTINE F3B.FTN
	: : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	*****
	ίΪ Γ	FILE F3B.FTN KEVISED 20 AUGUST 1984
	С С С	THIS FILE CONTAINS THE SUBROUTINE F3B.FTN
0001	: C****** C****** C THIS	######################################
	C WITHI C THE T C ROUTI C CENTE C CENTE C DINAT	N THE FRAME BUFFER AND REFERS ALL POSITIONS TO OF LEFT QUADRANT . THIS NE WAS UPDATED TO BE MORE ACCURATE WITH THE R FINDING TECHNIQUE BY USING THE SUBROUTINE T' WHICH FINDS SEVERAL VALUES FOR CENTER LOOR- ES AND AVERAGES THEM TO DERIVE THE FINAL VALUE.
0002		INTEGER K.Y.RFIXEL,Z.I
	C C	TEMPX,TEMPY - INITIAL BOUNDARY COORDINATES Alth - Length of Initial Chord Measured on object
0003		INTEGER FEMFX, TEMFY, 21, 22, XLTH
	С С С	COUNTY - LENGTHH OF INITIAL CHORD IN Y DIRECTION LASTY - LOCATION OF LAST OBJECT FIXEL ON INITIAL CHORD XCENT,YCENT - ESTIMATES OF CENTER COORDINATES
0004		INTEGER XCENT, YCENT, COUNTY, LASTI
	С С С	DR - ARRAY CONTAINING CENTER COORDINATES Ind: - Index to specific values in Dr Array NRUB - Number of objects found
0005 00 06		INTEGER DR(0:10),IND,NBUB INTEGER (1:X1,D1,D2
	Ċ	FRUB - REAL ARRAY CONTAINING OBJECT VECTORS IN CAMERA COOR.
0007	C	REAL FBUR(4,4) INITIALIZE CONSTANTS
0008	Ĉ	IND=0 START PROCESSING THE FRAME BUFFER
0010 0011		$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 100 & 102=0 \\ 100 & 102 & 11=0 \\ 100 & 102 & 11=0 \\ 1 & 100 & 100 \\ 1$

Ú

Ć

0001			SUBR	OUTINE	UELA	Y(N)					
	3	SUBRI	JUTINI	DELAT	WILL	PROVIDE	A	DELAY	0F	N	SECS.
0002			INTE	GER L.	м						
0003			REAL	N							
0004			rt≃ 6 0)*N							
0005			10 5	5 L=1+H	1						
0006			ιA	LL DVF	I I						
0007		5	CONT	INUE							
0008			RETU	IRN							
0005			END								

ſ

C SINGLE FRAME BUFFER. 3001 3UBROUTINE ISNAPS(TIME,TIME2,FBUB,NBUB 0001 INTEGER NBUE 3003 REAL TIME,TIME2,FBUE C INITIIALIZE CONSTANTS C TAKE THREE FICTURES 'TIME' SECS, AFART AND S' C IN FRAME RUFFER. 3004 CALL FAN(0) 0005 CALL SCROLL(0) 2004 CALL SCROLL(0)) TORE
3001 3UBROUTINE ISNAPS(TIME,TIME2,FBUB,NBUB 0001 INTEGER NBUE 3003 REAL TIME,TIME2,FBUB C INITIALIZE CONSTANTS C TAKE THREE FICTURES 'TIME' SECS, APART AND S' C IN FRAME BUFFEK. 0004 CALL FAN(0) 0005 CALL SCROLL(0)) FORE
JOO1 JUBROUTINE ISNAPS(TIME,TIME2,FBUB,NBUB DOOL INTEGER NBUH JOO3 REAL IIME,TIME2,FBUB C INITIIALIZE CONSTANTS U TAKE THREE FICTURES 'TIME' SECS, AFART AND S' C IN FRAME BUFFEK. JOO4 UALL FAN(O) DOO5 CALL SCROLU(O)) TORE
0001 INTEGER NBUH 0001 INTEGER NBUH 0003 REAL (IME,TIME2,FBUB C INITIIALIZE CONSTANTS C TAKE THREE FICTURES "TIME" SECS, APART AND S" C IN FRAME BUFFER. 0004 CALL FAN(0) 0005 CALL SCROLL(0) 0005 CALL SCROLL(0)	TORE
3003 REAL FIME, TIME, FUBUR C INITITALIZE CONSTANTS C TAKE THREE FICTURES "TIME" SECS. AFART AND S" C IN FRAME RUFFER. 3004 CALL FAN(0) 0005 CALL SCROLL(0) 2004 CALL SCROLL(0)	TORE
C INITIIALIZE CONSTANTS C TAKE THREE FICTURES "TIME" SECS, AFART AND S" C IN FRAME RUFFEK. 0004 CALL FAN(0) CALL SCROLL(0) CALL SCROLL(0)	TORE
C TAKE THREE FICTURES "TIME" SECS. APART AND S" C IN FRAME BUFFER. 0004 CALL MAN(0) 0003 CALL SCROLL(0) CALL SCROLL(0)	TORE
C TAKE THREE FICTURES 'TIME' SECS, AFART AND S' C IN FRAME BUFFEK. COO4 CALL FAN(O) COO3 CALL SCROLL(O)	TORE
C IN FRAME BUFFER.	
0004 CALL PAN(0) 0003 CALL SCROLL(0)	
0003 CALL FAN(0)	
UUU. LALL SNAF	
0009 CALL PAN(256)	
2005 CALL VIEW	
JOID LALL VELAY (TIME)	
0011 CALL SNAF	
UUIS CHEC SKHP	
0017 CALL PAN(0)	
0018 CALL SCROLL(0)	
0019 50 CALL F3B(FBUB,NBUB)	
0020 RETURN	

C

۰i

2038		LALL ISNAFS(TIME, TIME2, FRUR, NBUR)
0035		CALL RETLATSTIME, TIME2, PRUR, P, PREV, PCUR, TOS, PCAM, POBJS, C
>040		F(C,ER,0,0)60T0 180
0041		NU 175 J=1+4
0042		+O(J)=FREV(J)
0045	1 75	CONTINUE
>044		JOTD 190
0045	180	(ALL FORF(F+F5+F0+T05)
	<u>.</u>	UPDATE FREV VALUES
30 4 m		F & E U (1) = F O (1)
1047		£REV(2)=FO(2)
0048	196	CALL HOVE(FO+TO5+THETA+STAT)
049		CALL WAIT(TIME)
0050	200	CONTINUE
0051		stof [.]
0051		ENU

ę

C

Ó

С. PACKAGED BOFTWARE(IMAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.) CALL SELGRE(1) 0000 0007 CALL SYNC(0) CALL FRINIT 3000 0009 UALL AFINIT 0016 CALL LUINIT)011 CALL VIDCHN(0) INITIALIZE A BINARY LUT(S'THRES'=0+BLACK) .: 0012 WRITE(5,999) Format(1x, Flease Input Three For Zero Intensity() 799 0014 READ(3+998) THRES **798** 0015 CORMAT(13) 0010 CALL SELLUT(0,0) 0017 UO 1 1-0+THRES CALL SETLUT(I+0) 0018 0017 ÷ CONTINUE 0020 10 2 1-THRES+1+255 0021 CALL SETLUT(1,255) 0022 2 CONTINUE С SELECT OUTFUT CHANNEL AND VIEW 0023 CALL SELLUT(1,0) CALL VIEW 0024 C BUILD INITIAL TOS MATRIX 0025 CALL MOVE (PHOME, (05, THETA, STAT) С INFUT TIME BETWEEN INITIAL SNAFSHOTS 2026 WRITE(5,99) FORMAT(1X, 'INPUT FIME(IN SECS.) BETWEEN IMAGES. () 0027 99 0028 READ(5+10)TIME 3029 10 FORMAT(F10.4) INFUT TIME ESTIMATION FOINT f. 0030 WRITE(5,98) 0031 98 FORMAT(1x, 'INPUT FIME(IN SECS.) FOR FINAL POSITION.') 0032 READ(5+10)TIME2 INITIALIZE ROBOT FOSITION FUR CATCH UP MODE C 0033 PREV(1)=90.0 1'REV(2)=0.0 0034 0035 FREV(3)=60.0 0035 FREV(4)=1.0 START TO TRACK OBJECT ٢,

.

3037 UD 200 I=1+100

٠.

 \cap

1. 2

0

APPENDIX B: COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING

ļ

> • . 1 . 1

٦

4

ſ

1

C

	0 L C L	THIS PROGRAM CONTROLS THE IMAGE PROCESSING AND RORDITC MOVEMENT FOR A SIMPLE TRACKING APPLI- CATION OF THE PS INDUSTRIAL ROROT, THE SYSTEM IMPLEMENTS A TYPE OF VISUAL CONTROL BY EXTRACTING
	ċ	FOSITIONAL WATA FHROUGH THE USE OF AN IMAGE
	C	ACQUISITION SYSTEM.
	4	LAST REVISED ON DECEMBER 05,1984
	C	MOURICL F. O'DONNELL
		HECESSARY VARIABLES
	í.	STAL FLAG TO SIGNAL PROPER COMPLETION OF MOVE
	•	JEUE JALUE OF NUMBER OF OBJECTS FOUND
	(.	THRES - THRESHOLN VALUE FOR BINARY IMAGE
	·	C - FLAG DENDTING CATCH UP ROUTINE IN EFFECT
0001		INTEGER STAT.NBUB.THRES.C
	÷.	IIME - TIME FERIOD BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE IMAGES
	C	(LNC? - ESTIMATION TIME PERIOD
	ς.	PHOME - ARRAY CONTAINING THE 'HOME' VECTOR FOR P5
	C	105(4+4) - GENERAL TRANFORMATION MATRIX FROM LINK 5
	C C	COORDINATES TO BASE (0) COORDINATES
	C i	TCU(4,4) - GENERAL TRANSFORMATION MATRIX FROM CAMERA
	C	COORDINATES TO LINK 5 COORDINATES
0002		REAL TIME, TIME2, FHQNE(4), T05(4,4), TC5(4,4)
	C	THETA(S) - HERAY CONTAINING THE FIVE ANGLES WHICH DISCRIBE
	C	THE ORIENTATION AND POSITION OF THE P3
	C	AREV - ARRAY OF VALUES THAT HOLD THE PREVIOUS POSITION OF
	L L	THE ROBOL IN BASE COORDINATES. THESE VALUES
	с Г	ARE USED WHEN THE ORJECT IS MOVING VERY SLOW
	C C	PLIK - ARRAT USED BY SUBRUUTINE TO STORE CORRENT PUSITION
	C C	UCAN ADDAY USED BY OURDOUTING TO USED ADDA THACE COORDINATES
		FLAM - ARRAY COAR TOURCONTRES INTO LINES COORDINATES
	С. г	FURJS - ARRAT FUAR TRANSFURMENTIAN DE LINK S COURTANTES
	с -	FU - THIS HERAT CONTAINS THE ESTIMATED FUSITION OF THE
	Ú A	DEJECT AT THE ESTIMATION TIME IN PASE COUR-
	ί.	UINAIES
3003		REAL THETA(5),FCUR(4),FORJ5(4),FCAM(4),FREV(4),FO(4)
	C,	FBUB(4,4) - ARRAY CONTAINING THE CAMERA COORDINATES OF
	C	THE MOVING OBJECT
	C	P3(4) - ARRAY CONTAINING THE ESTIMATED POSITION OF THE
	C	ADVING OBJECT IN LINK 5 COORDINATES
	C	F(4) - ARRAY CONTAINING THE ESTIMATED FOSITION OF THE
	Ĵ	HOVING OBJECT IN CAMERA COORDINATES
0004		REAL FRUE(4+4/+F3(4)+F(4/
	÷	NITIALIZE CONSTANTS
0005		HAIA FHOME/90.0+0.0+60.0+1.0/
	J	INITIALIZE IMAGING EQUIPMENT USING

0108		WRITE(S)	19601					
0109	760	FORMAT(· / *	ATA	* A	TAI	· /)	
0110		CALL.	FRIM	AT (I	tst.	3+	3,	51

0111	799	CLOSE(UNIT=3)
0112		STOP		
0113		END		

0079	C	FRINT OUT UFDATED DATA DO 90 JJ=1+12 WRITE(5+91)A(JJ+1)+A(JJ+2)+A(JJ+3)+B(JJ+1)+B(JJ+2) FORMAT(1)(1)=510 5+74-7510 5+
0082	7 0	CONTINUE
0083	C	SAVE IN FARTIAL RESULTS FILE WRITE(3,99)A(J,1)+A(J,2)+A(J,3)+B(J,1)+B(J,2)
0084	99	FORMAT(' ', 5F15,8)
0085	400	CONTINUE
0080		WRITE(5,17)
0087	17	FORMAT(7/)
0058		CALL MOVE (PHOMEO, 105, THETA, STAT)
0089	L.	SOLVE FOR CUEFFICIENTS Call LSTSQS(a,x,8,AT,ATA,ATA1,ATA1AT,ATASYM,12,3,2,IER
	J	OUTFUT CDEFFICIENTS TO TTY AND CAMCON.DAT FILE
0090		WRITE(5,200)X(1,1),X(2,1),X(3,1),X(1,2),X(2,2),X(3,2)
0091	200	FORMAT(/ T5C(1+1) = /+F15+8/
		$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}$
		1 (T5C(2+1) = (+F15+8/
		1 75C(2+2) = (+F15+8)
		1 + 150(2,4) = (+15.87)
0092		CALL ASSIGN(2, 'SY:CAMCON,DAT',13)
0093		WRITE(2,210)X(1,1),X(2,1),X(3,1),X(1,2),X(2,2),X(3,2)
0094	210	FURMAT(6(F15,8/)) CLOSE(UNIT=2)
	C	CALCULATE MEAN-SQUARE ERROR
0096		CALL MATMUL(AXHAT+A+X+12+3+2)
0097		MSERRX=0.0
0078		NO 500 11=1.17
0100		MSERRY=MSERRY+(R(II+1)-AXHAT(II+1))##2
0101		MSERRY=MSERRY+(B(11+2)-AXHAT(11+2))**2
0102	500	CONTINUE
0103		MSERRX=MSERRX/12.0
0104		MSERRY=MSERRY/12.0
0105	- -	WRITE(5,550)MSERRX, MSERRY
0106	550	FURMAT(' MEAN-SQUARE ERROR IN X = '+F15.5/ } MEAN-SQUARE ERROR IN Y = '+F15.5)
107	C	CHECK OUT INVERSION OF ATA MATKIX
0107		CHLC CHINDLAIDIN HIMA MINIA DI DI DI DI

C

1

Ē

C

C COMPARE PREVIOUS POSITION WITH CURRENT POSITION

0052		IF((ABS(FCUR(1)-FREV(1)).LT.2.0).ANU.
	ā.	(ABS(FCUR(2)-FREV(2)).LT.2.0))GOTO 583
0053		FREV(1)=FCUR(1)
0054		+REV(2)=FCUR(2)
0055	583	F(1)=FREV(1)
0056		F(2)=FREV(2)
0057		F(3) = 60.0
0058		r'(4) = 1.0
0059		WRITE(5,26)
0060	26	FORMAT(1X, CATCH UP MODE()
0061		GDTU 610
	C FORM	THE P VECTOR
0062	600	H(1)=(FL0AT(EAU(3))#2)~256
0063		F(2)=(FLOAT(EAV(4))*2)-240
0064		F(3)≠0.0
0065		F(4) = 1.0
0060	610	RETURN
0067		END

l

 \mathbf{C}

E

C

	C.	THIS FOUTINE FORMS THE NECESSARY "F" VECTOR TO MOVE ROBOT
0001		SUBROUTINE FORF(F+FS+F0+T05)
0002		REAL F(4)+105(4+4)+F5(4)
	1	ASSIGN LUN 2 TU CAMCON.NAT
1003		CALL ASSIGN(2+ SY:CAMCON.DAT(+13)
	C	GET CAMPTO FOR CONSTANTS
0004 0005		$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathcal{E}} + \partial $
005.5		
0006		F5(1)≈A#F(1)+B#F(2)+X0
0007		F5(2)=C*F(1)+D*F(2)+Y6
0008		₽5(3)≈0.0
0007		₽5(4)≈1.0
010		LALL MATMUL(F0+T05+F5+4+4+1)
0011		RETURN
0012		END

ſ

e

O

END

(M

O

O

FILMED

4-85

DTIC