

# A RAND NOTE

AD-A149 763

FILE COPY

TWO-YEAR COLLEGES AND VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS AS SOURCES OF MILITARY MANPOWER

20000804087

Richard J. Shavelaco, Sus W. Haggstrom, Thomas J. Blaschke

October 1984

N-2193-'.IL

85

The Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense/ Manpower, Installations and Logistics

## **Reproduced From Best Available Copy**

This document has been approved for public release and sale; in distribution is unlimited.

JAN 3 1 1255

005

an Paris



Prepared for



The research described in this report was sponsored by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense/Manpower, Installations and Logistics under Contract MDA903-83-C-0047.

The Rand Publications Series: The Report is the principal publication documenting and transmitting Rand's major research findings and final research results. The Rand Note reports other outputs of sponsored research for general distribution. Publications of The Rand Corporation do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the sponsors of Rand research.

# **REPRODUCTION QUALITY NOTICE**

This document is the best quality available. The copy furnished to DTIC contained pages that may have the following quality problems:

- Pages smaller or larger than normal.
- Pages with background color or light colored printing.
- Pages with small type or poor printing; and or
- Pages with continuous tone material or color photographs.

Due to various output media available these conditions may or may not cause poor legibility in the microfiche or hardcopy output you receive.

If this block is checked, the copy furnished to DTIC contained pages with color printing, that when reproduced in Black and White, may change detail of the original copy.

	UNCLASSIFIED		·	
•	DEDODT DOCINENTATION D	ACE	READ INSTRUCT	SNC
	I. REPORT NUMBER	GOVT ACCESSION NO.	BEFORE COMPLETIN	G FORM
	N-2193-MIL	12-11-21:2		
	4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIO	D COVERE
,	Two-Year Colleges and Vocational S as Sources of Military Manpower	Schools	Interim	
			. PERFORMING ORG. REPOR	NURDER
	7. AUTHOR(a)		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUM	BER(+)
	Richard J. Shavelson, Gus W. Hagg: Thomas J. Blaschke	strom,	MDA903-83-C-0047	
	. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJ	ECT. TASK
	The Rand Corporation			
	1700 Main Street	· .	• •	
	IL CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
	Assistant Secretary of Defense	·	October 1984	
	Manpower, Installations and Logist	tics	12. NUNGER OF PAGES	
	Washington, D.C. 20301	un Controlling Office)	14. SECURITY CLASS. (of the r	sport)
			Unclassified	
	•	·	The DECLASSIFICATION/DOWN	GRAGIR :
	Approved for Public Release, Distr	ributior. Unlimi	ted	
	14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen) Approved for Public Release, Distr 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element entered to a	ributior. Unlimi	ted Aquat)	
	<ul> <li>14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen)</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the choiced entired in a No Restrictions</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted Angent)	
	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Distribution statement (of the element entered in a No Restrictions</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted Areast)	
	<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen)         Approved for Public Release, Distri         Proved for Public Release, Distribution Statement (of the abarent and a district in the abarent and a district in the statement of the</li></ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted Angust)	
	<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen)         Approved for Public Release, Distrin.     </li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element ended to a No Restrictions         No Restrictions     </li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted August)	
	<ul> <li>Istribution statement (of the Aspent)         Approved for Public Release, Distribution statement (of the abarent entered is a No Restrictions     </li> <li>Supplementary motes</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted Report	
	<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen)         Approved for Public Release, Distrib.     </li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed and the second to second</li></ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted August)	
	<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of Mis Aspen) Approved for Public Release, Distrin.</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element and a single statement and a single statement of the element of the statement of the s</li></ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted #****	
	<ul> <li>Is CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen) Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the chorest entired in a No Restrictions</li> <li>Supplementary notes</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Contents or reverse of the H measuremy and M Recruiting Recruits</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted • <b>August</b> )	
	<ul> <li>Istribution statement (of the Aspen)         Approved for Public Release, Distribution statement (of the element ended to a No Restrictions     </li> <li>Istribution statement (of the element ended to a No Restrictions</li> <li>Supplementany motes</li> <li>Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted Report	
	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element entered is a No Restrictions</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Common on reverse of all measurements Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted	
	<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen) Approved for Public Release, Distri 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element endered is a No Restrictions</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Common on reverse olds if measuring and id Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Common on reverse olds if measuring and ide</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi North 30, 11 efficient from untility by block complexy	ted	
	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the descent entired to a No Restrictions</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES</li> <li>RECRUITING Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>AMETRACT (Commune or reverse side // reversery and ide See reverse side</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi North 20, 17 different (roo ministy for black combury)	ted #****	
	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Custmention statement (of the element entered is a No Restrictions</li> <li>Supplementary notes</li> <li>Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>Adstract (Common on reverse and if rescarse and id See reverse side</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi Block 30, 17 ablances (non mark/y by block compton)	ted	
	<ul> <li>I. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen) Approved for Public Release, Distription Statement (of the element ended by a No Restrictions</li> <li>I. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the element ended by a No Restrictions</li> <li>Supplementary motes</li> <li>Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>ADSTRACT (Centers or reverse side // rescalery and (de See reverse side</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted August)	
	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Distribution statement (of the descent entired to a No Restrictions</li> <li>Supplementany motes</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Content or reverse of a H measure) and M Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>Adstract (Content or reverse of a H measure) and M See reverse side</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted	
	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Costmoution statement (of the element entered is 2 No Restrictions</li> <li>Supplementary notes</li> <li>Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>Adstract (Continue of control and if measurery and id See reverse side</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted	
	<ul> <li>I. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Aspen) Approved for Public Release, Distri Distribution Statement (of the descent entered to a No Restrictions</li> <li>I. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES</li> <li>Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>AMSTRACT (Content of records of a 11 mercenty and 14 See reverse side</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted	
D	<ul> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>Approved for Public Release, Distr</li> <li>OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the charact entired to a No Restrictions</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Recruiting Recruits Schools</li> <li>AOSTRACT (Centers or reverse side // restorery and id See reverse side</li> <li>D : 10010 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 66 18 GEOLETE</li> </ul>	ributior. Unlimi	ted UNCLASSIFIED	

DCLASSIFIED

SECURISY

2

... ASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

This study examines the recruiting potential of two-year colleges and postseconiary vocational schools as a means for meeting the gilitary's needs for nonprior service high school graduates in the next lecade. The authors found that these institutions contain surficiently large numbers of men or enlistment age to make them an attractive recruiting market, and that the majority of their students are potentially "nigh-quality" enlistees. Their finities also indicated, however, that in systematic attempts to recruit from these institutions, the military has not substantially increased enlistment rates; that there us not appear to be "hot spots" across the nation in which recruiting from these institutions has been particularly successful; and that special (and, potentially more costly) incentives and recruiting tactics may be needed to increase enlistment rates. The authors conclude that these institutions are far \*on important as sources of accessions to re ignored, and recommend collecting adittional information to determine whether the quality of enlistees from postsecondary educational institutions warrants the comparatively higher costs of recruiting them. I year The laster stranger and don't a

1.1.

S. Hereit

UNCLASSIFIED



# A RAND NOTE

TWO-YEAR COLLEGES AND VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS AS SOURCES OF MILITARY MANPOWER

Richard J. Shavelson, Gus W. Haggstrom, Thomas J. Blaschke

October 1984

N-2193-MIL

The Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense/ Manpower, Installations and Logistics





APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

#### PREFACE

- iii ·

This Note is a progress report on the findings of the Rand research project, "Exploratory Studies of the Recruiting Market in Two-Year Colleges and Postsecondary Vocational Schools." It builds on work presented in an earlier Note: Shavelson et al., Potential for Military Recruiting from Two-Year Colleges and Postsecondary Vocational Schools, The Rand Corporation, N-1946-MRAL, January 1983.

Over the next eight years, the military services' requirements for "high-quality" recruits are projected to increase, while the size of the manpower pool continues to shrink. The two-year colleges and postsecondary vocational schools may provide a recruiting market that can be tapped to fill the military's manpower requirements. This study examines the recruiting potential of these institutions and assesses the military's current recruiting programs for attracting enlistees with one or more years of postsecondary education.

TOT CUSSION OTIC Cop 10:1/ Codes

REVIOUS PAGE

0

Ð

#### SUMMARY

Recruiting shortfalls might well be expected in the next eight years because, as the military's requirements for high-quality personnel increase, the number of high school graduates will decline. This study examines the recruiting potential of two-year colleges and postsecondary vocational schools as a means for meeting the military's needs for nonprior service (NPS) high school graduates in the next decade. The purposes of the study are to ascertain whether there are sufficient numbers of potential high-quality enlistees in these institutions to warrant special recruiting efforts and to assess the military's current recruitment programs for attracting recruits having a year or more of postsecondary education.

We find that these institutions contain sufficiently large numbers of men of enlistment age--roughly 800,000 to 1,000,000 in any given year--tc constitute an attractive recruiting market. However, a large number of these students are prior servicemen--perhaps as many as 300,000.

With respect to the quality of students, virtually all two-year college freshmen are high school graduates, single, and within the prime recruiting age range (18-2) years). More than half report that they are above average in scholastic performance, and available test data indicate that the majority are above average in ability.

To date, the two-year colleges and postsecondary vocational schools have not supplied large numbers of NPS accessions. Contrary to popular belief, the services actively recruit from these institutions, and they have designed four programs specifically tailored to penetrate this market. Current recruiting consists of direct contacts with students by recruiters whose assigned areas include these institutions and contacts by (other) recruiters when students return home for holidays and vacations. The results of special programs by the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps to attract recruits from the two-year colleges and vocational schools have not produced large numbers of enlistees; these attempts highlight the difficulties associated with recruiting in this market and provide lessons for designing new programs.

PREVIOUS PAGE

To identify recruiting tactics and incentives that are effective in recruiting from postsecondary collegiate institutions, we sought recruiting "hot spots" across the nation. This search proved futile. College enlistment rates appear to be uniformly low across the nation. However, an examination of college enlistment rates using regression techniques shows come variation across areas associated with economic and demographic factors. Interestingly, areas with high proportions of students enrolled in two-year colleges have significantly higher college enlistment rates. After accounting for economic and demographic factors known or suspected to be associated with enlistment rates, we could isolate no areas that exhibited extraordinary numbers of enlistments with some college, given the sizes of the college population in those areas.

As a final attempt to identify recruiting tactics and incentives that might prove successful in penetrating the market, "successful" Army and Air Force recruiters--recruiters who had recruited seven or more individuals with one to three years of college--were interviewed along with regular recruiters. Successful and regular recruiters did not differ markedly either in personal characteristics or in recruiting tactics used with postsecondary students. Their characterization of the similaritie: and differences between the high school and college markets and their recommendations for increasing accessions from two-year colleges and vocational schools may prove helpful in formulating future recruiting programs.

Our findings suggest that recruiting in the two-year colleges and vocational schools may be considerably more costly than recruiting in high schools. Special enlistment incentives may be required, as well as special procedures for selecting and training recruiters. Also, it appears possible that the offectiveness of high school recruiting could be diminished by diverting outstanding recruiters from high schools into colleges and vocational schools. As yet, no systematic attempt has been made to determine whether enlistees from these postsecondary institutions perform better in the military than do high school graduates, but we have found that enlistees with one or more years of postsecondary education have lower attrition rates than those with less education. The question remains, "Are two-year college and vocational school students worth the extra effort and cost?" In deciding whether to develop a recruiting program specifically designed for the target market, these issues deserve serious consideration.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- íx -

We are indebted to Steve Sellman of Accession Policy, Zahava Doering and Sue bridges of the Defense Manpower Data Center, and Richard Fernandez of The Rand Corporation for ''eir careful and constructive reviews of this Note. However, we lone are responsible for any shortcomings.

EVIOUS PAGE

#### PREFACE 'iii SUMMARY v ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ix. FIGURES .... xiii TABLES Section I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1 II. CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO-YEAR COLLEGES AND POSTSECONDARY VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS 4 The Institutions 4 The Two-Year Jolleges 6 Summary ..... 11 III. RECRUITING PROGRAMS ..... 12 Current Recruiting Policy ..... 14 Recruiting Programs Designed for the Market ..... 14 Summary and Implications for Recruiting ..... 21 IV. THE SEARCH FOR RECRUITING HOT SPOTS ..... 25 V. RECRUITERS' VIEWS OF THE TARGET MARKET AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PENETRATING IT 53 Identification of Successful Recruiters 54 Procedures for Conducting the Interviews ..... 57 Findings from Interviews with Successful and Regular Recruiters ..... 58 Summary and Implications for Recruiting 68 VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 72 Monitor the Postsecondary Market 72 Conduct Additional Research on the Postsecondary Market 73 Appendix 👘 COLLEGE RECRUITING PERFORMANCE MEASURES BY STATE AND LARGE METROPOLITAN AREA 77 REFERENCES 89

IS BLANK

CONTENTS

# - xiii -

# FIGURES

2.1	. Aptitude of males by postsecondary school plans: High School and Beyond aptitude test battery	8
2.2	. Percentage of FY82 NPS male enlistments in mental categories I-IIIA by educational attainment	9
2.3	. First-term attrition as of September 1981: males in the FY78 cohort	10
3.1	. Targets of recruiting opportunity in two-year colleges and vocational schools	13
4.1	. Accessions with some college per 1000 in college population base, by state	31
4.2	. College population base	38
4.3	. Full-time enrollment in two-year colleges	39
4.4	. Number of accessions with some college, FY82	4 <b></b> 2
4.5	. Accessions with some college per 1000 in college population base, by Military Enlistment Processing Station	41
5.1	. MEPS with "successful" recruiters	56



# TABLES

- xv -

' 1	2.1.	Characteristics of Freshmen in Two-Year Colleges	7
3	3.1.	Recruiter Assignments to the Army College Recruiting Program	15
. 3	3.2. <sup>-</sup>	Army College kecruiting Program's Strategies for Recruiting	17
3	3.3.	NPS Accessions into Marine Corps CCEP	18
, -	.1.	College Recruiting Performance Measures by State, Fiscal Year 1982	28
4	•.2.	Recruiting Performance Measures by Location, Fiscal Year 1982	34
	.3.	Logistic Regression Equations Relating College Enlistment Rates to Factors Affecting Recruiting (SMSAS, NECMAS, and Nonmetropolitan Areas for Each State)	, 46
· .	• <b>•</b> ••	Logistic Regression Equations Relating College Enlistment Rates to Factors Affecting Recruiting (Large Metropolitan Areas and Their Relative Complements Within States)	48
4	.5.	Logistic Regression Equations Relating College Enlistment Rates to Factors Affecting Recruiting (50 States and D.C., and MEPS Areas)	50
5	5.1.	Districtions of Accessions with Some College per	,
		Recruiter	55
5	5.2.	Recruiters' Perceptions of High Schools and Postsecondary Institutions	59
5	5.3.	Recruiters' Perceptions of Students	b 1
. 5	j. ú.	Recruiters' Recommendations for Recruiting from the Postsecondary Markey	50
•	•		

PREVIOUS PAGE

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1 -

Although accession goals are currently being achieved, recruiting shortfalls might well arise in the near future unless military recruiting markets are expanded and recruiting and compensation strategies changed (Korb, 1982; Weinberger, 1982). Concern about potential near-term recruiting shortfalls comes, in part, from projections that the number of male enlistees with high school diplomas, as a percentage of the number of male high school graduates, will have to rise from 15.2 percent in 1981 to 18.8 percent in 1990 in order to meet currently projected recruiting requirements (Shavelson, Haggstrom, and Winkler, 1983). Military recruiting, then, will have to increase by one-fourth its "take" of nonprior service (NPS) male high school graduates aged 17-21 years.

To prepare for increasing, or at least maintaining, the number of male NPS high school graduate enlistees, this study examines an alternative recruiting market--the two-year colleges and postsecondary vocational schools. There are several reasons for doing so. The reasoning goes as follows. Most students in these institutions are high school graduates and are above average in ability. Unlike their peers who enter four-year colleges or take jobs in the civilian labor force, they might be seeking job skills or financial support for additional education--opportunities that military service can provide. Since large numbers of students attend these institutions, the market is large enough to be considered a secondary recruiting market, with the added attraction that students are concentrated in easily identified locations. For these and other reasons, two-year colleges and vocational schools appear to be a potentially favorable recruiting market.

The purposes of this study, in broad terms, are to examine data on this postsecondary education market--the institutions and their students--to ascertain whether (a) there are sufficient numbers of potential enlistees in these institutions for recruiting to prove fruitful, (b) most of the students in the market meet enlistment

IS BLANK

standards, (c) the market can be penetrated, and (d) enlistees from the market perform well as military personnel. Farticular attention is paid to factors affecting market penetrability.

In Section II, we briefly review and update the findings on the institutions and their students (see Shavelson et al., 1983). Our findings, by and large, support accession policymakers' sense that these institutions contain sufficiently large numbers of men of enlistment age to warrant consideration as a secondary recruiting market. Virtually all freshman are high school graduates, single, and within the prime recruiting age range (18-21 years). More than half report that they are above average in academic ability.

In Section III, we describe recent attempts by the Army, Navy, and Marine Corps to penetrate the market. These branches have made several attempts to recruit from these postsecondary institutions. To date, they have not produced large numbers of enlistees.

Section IV examines college enlistment rates by states and other geographical areas. This examination was undertaken to isolate economic and demographic factors related to college recruiting and to pinpoint locations that provide unusually large numbers of enlistees with college education. The search for recruiting "hot spots" was motivated by the expectation that, if unusually effective recruiting areas could be found recruiting strategies that were effective in those areas might be transported to other recruiting 'areas. This search, however, proved futiles. Once economic and demographic factors are accounted for, pendirations areas vary little across the nation.

The fifth section presents the findings from interviews of recruiters who were identified as unusually successful in recruiting from the target market. These "successful" recruiters were surprised to find that they had been singled out as being unusually successful. They did not differ mirkedly from regular recruiters either in personal obsiduents for in the recruiting fiction that they employed. However, the recruiters' characterizations of the differences between high school and postsecondary students and their suggestions for increasing the number of enlistees from the postsecondary schools may prove helpful in formaliting a recruiting program for the market.

- 2' -

Section VI presents our *tentative* recommendations. They focus on additional information needed to evaluate the recruiting potential of the market for two reasons. First, this study, to date, has documented the difficulty encountered by past recruitment efforts and the inherent uncertainty of the market. Second, this Note is a progress report; substantial work remains to be completed before recruiting recommendations can be made with some confidence.

### II. CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO-YEAR COLLEGES AND POSTSECONDARY VOCATIONAL SCHOOLS

This section briefly characterizes two-year colleges and postsecondary vocational schools, and the students attending these institutions. Portions of this section are drawn from our earlier work (Shavelson et al., 1983), which provides a more comprehensive treatment.

#### THE INSTITUTIONS

The institutions of interest in this study are the postsecondary educational institutions that offer degrees and certificates below the bachelor's degree. These institutions may be either collegiate or noncollegiate, public or private.

### Characteristics of Noncollegiate Institutions

Noncollegiate institutions include publicly supported institutions such as adult education centers and area vocational schools, private nonprofit occupational institutes, and specialized proprietary institutions such as cosmetology schools, business/office colleges, and flight training schools. All emphasize occupational training. In 1980, there were 7,e25 such institutions (812 public and 0,813 private) enrolling roughly 1:5 million students. The mean enrollment in the public institutions was 550 students while the mean enrollment in the private schools was 153 students (Broyles and Davis, 1982; Pepin and Wells, 1981).

#### **Characteristics of Collegiate Institutions**

Within collegiate institutions, we distinguish two- and four-year institutions and focus on the former. A two-year college is accredited to award an associate degree as its highest degree. This definition encompasses junior colleges, characterized as academic; community colleges, characterized by their broad curricula covering àdademic, vocational, and remedial education; and technical institutions, characterized by their vocational curricula. Compared to noncollegiate institutions, two-year colleges are fewer in number but have much larger student bodies. The National Center for Education Statistics (NCLS; Broyles and Davis, 1982) estimated that, in 1980, there were 1,289 twoyear colleges (949 public and 340 private) enrolling roughly 4.5 million students (4,009,402 in public and 180,505 in private colleges).<sup>1</sup> The greatest market density for potential enlistees exists in public twoyear colleges with a mean enrollment of 4,395 students as compared to po? in private two-year colleges.

5 -

Whether public or private, large or small, two-year colleges are widely dispersed and found in every state and several territories. Yet, the two-year colleges are mainly concentrated in 10 populous states: California, Florida, Illinois, Michigan, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Washington. These 10 states account for roughly 50 percent of all two-year colleges and almost 70 percent of all students enrolled in them (Shavelson et al., 1983).

Over the past ten years, the major source of financial support for public, two-year colleges has shifted from the community to the state. Today, state aid provides 60 percent of the income for these colleges.

in this era of fiscal retrenchment and deficit spending by states, student enrollments take on particular significance to these institutions since their revenues depend primarily on attendance. For this reason, military recruiting is viewed by these institutions as a potential competitor for their students, their financial source of survival (personal communication, D. Parnell, Président, American Association of Community and Junior Colleges, May 1983). If military recruiting threatens to reduce their enrollments, either indirectly by reducing the size of their share of the high school graduate market or, more importantly, by recruiting their students, these institutions may resist military recruiting on their campuses. However, to the extent that the military provides funding for their students and jobs for their graduates, these institutions will probably prove to be cooperative and will help facilitate recruiting.

<sup>1</sup>Data on private-college enrollments are from 1978; a total of 4,425,637 two-year college enrollments was reported by Dearman and Plisko (1981).

#### THE TWO-YEAR COLLEGES

From their characteristics and overall enrollments, two-year colleges appear to provide a potentially important becondary recruiting market, especially if recruiting can be carried out in a manner perceived by these institutions as cooperative. However, a closer look at the students themselves may reveal limitations. Many might not meet enlistment standards, and those that do may be unwilling to consider military service as an occupational alternative. We consider, here, the extent to which these colleges have high quality men and women that the military seeks to recruit.

#### Numbers of Students

Unfortunately, estimates of the number of males and females falling in the 18 to 21 year age range vary from one data base to another. NCES estimated opening fall 1980 enrollment in two-year colleges at about 4.5 million; the Bureau of the Census estimated about 3.1 million. The former is probably an overestimate because many students enroll in the fall but fail to complete a single term; the latter is probably an underestimate because of the difficulty of adequately counting students in a survey, particularly the transient, older, and part-time students.

For sex and age breakdowns of two-year enrollments, the best data available are provided by the Bureau of the Gensus (1980). Of the estimated 3.1 million students eurolled in 1980, 42 percent (1.3 million) were males and 55 percent of these men (721,000) were between 18 and 21. Seventy-nine percent of the 18 to 21 year old men (570,000) were full-time students. Of the 1.8 million women, 45 percent fell within the prime age range and of these roughly 800,000 students, 75 percent were full-time (500,000).

### Profile of Two-Year College Students

Intuition suggests that most two-year college freshmen are single high school graduates who are above average in ability. Data on the twoyear college freshman classes of 1981 and 1982 (Astin et al., 1981, 1982) give credence to this intuition (see Table 2.1).

Tabl	le	2	•	1

CHARACTERISTICS OF FRESHMEN IN TWO-YEAR COLLEGES '

	Percent of Two-Year College Freshmen[a]				
	Ма	les.	Females		
Manpower Needs	1981	1982	1981	1982	
High school					
Graduates	98.0	98.4	97.9	98.2	
GED	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.3	
Above average					
···B average in high school	47.5	48.4	65.1	65.7	
In top $\rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ of class	44.8	44.3	52.6	51.6	
Single	99.1	98.3	98.3	98.0	
Prime age range (18-21 years)	94.8	96.4	92.8	94.2	
No disability	93.5	93.3	93.7	94.0	

[a] Full-time freshmen with no prior college experience. From Astin et al. (1981, 1982).

The ability data for the freshman classes, however, are based on self=reports, not aptitude or achievement tests. To validate these self= reports, we examined aptitude test scores for 1980 high school seniors planning to enter two-year colleges and other postsecondary sectors (tour-year colleges, the civilian labor force, or military service; Shavelson et al., 1983). Data on high school seniors planning to enter these various postsecondary sectors were used because the only other représentative aptitude data were over 10 years ald (Kanouse et al., 1980). Nevertheless, analyses of these older aptitude data based on seniors planning to enter the four sectors in the spring of 1972, and those seniors who actually entered those sectors in the fall of 1972, revealed virtually identical aptitude profiles (Shavelson et al., 1983). For this reason, the aptitude profile of 1980 seniors planning to enterone or another of the four sectors was interpreted as a reasonably accurate ability profile of the freshman class of 1980, and is presented . in Fig. 2.1. (In this tigure, general aptitude is a composite of



SOURCE: Shavelson et al., 1983.

Fig. 2.1 -- Aptitude of males by postsecondary school plans: High School and Beyond aptitude test battery

verbal, quantitative, and spatial aptitudes; the scores on each aptitude measure are standard scores with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10.) These data confirm the students' verbal reports and accession policymakers' intuition. On average, students planning to enter twoyear colleges have aptitude test scores that fall at or close to the population mean; they tend to score lower than students planning to enter four-year colleges but higher than students planning to enter military service or the civilian labor force.

We also checked whether the aptitude profile of the FY82 accessions with one or two years of college reflected the higher ability profile of the two-year college students. To this end, we compared mean Armed Forces Qualification Test (AFQT) scores across educational attainment categories of 1982 nonprior service (NPS) enlistees (Fig. 2.2).<sup>2</sup> The AFQT data in Fig 2.2 reflect the higher quality that was observed in the college populations presented in Fig. 2.1. Enlistees from two-year colleges, then, might be expected, on average, to have higher AFQT scores than enlistees without a college background.

As a final step in determining the "quality' of the students in the target market, we sought data on the "performance" of enlistees from the high school and college markets (Shavelson et al., 1983). In this



SOURCE: Shavelson et al., 1983.

Fig. 2.2 -- Percentage of FY82 NPS male enlistments in mental categories I-IIIA by educational attainment

<sup>2</sup>Unfortunately, NPS accession data at the DoD level do not permit us to determine whether an enlistee with some college came from a twoor four-year college. Moreover, the data set does not permit us to identify those enlistees who left college to enter military service before they completed a full year of their postsecondary education.

9 -

analysis, we examined first-term attrition and reasons for leaving the service. The data came from the cohort of NPS enlistees who entered military service in FY78 and served through September of 1981. We were especially interested in attrition, reasoning that military life might represent a bigger adjustment for enlistees with some college, leading them to separate before their first term was completed. This clearly was not the case (Fig. 2.3). Enlistees with some college had lower cttrition than other enlistees, and the former were less likely to separate because of a failure to meet behavior or performance criteria.



SOURCE: Shavelson et al., 1983.

Fig. 2.3 -- First-term attrition as of September 1981: males 1: the FY78 cohort

- 10 -

#### SUMMARY

The two-year colleges and postsecondary vocational schools are small in number and enrollments compared to high schools, but sufficiently large to be considered a secondary recruiting market. Of the public and private, collegiate and noncollegiate institutions, public two-year colleges have, by far, the greatest enrollments. Moreover, roughly 50 percent of these institutions and 70 percent of the students can be found in just 10 populous states, further increasing market density. Although attractive, these institutions may view military recruiting as a potential competitor for high school graduates and their students.

- 11 -

Freshman entering these institutions meet intuitive expectations. Virtually all are high school graduates, fall within the prime recruiting age range, are single, and most are above average in ability. Moreover, NPS accessions with some college background reflect the ability distribution of students in two- and four-year colleges.

### III. RECRUITING PROGRAMS

- 12 -

Contrary to popular belief, the military currently recruits twoyear college and postsecondary vocational school students and has, over the past three years, launched three programs and designed another specifically to increase the number of accessions from these schools. These students are currently recruited in their postsecondary institutions or at their homes. If a postsecondary institution falls within a recruiting area, the recruiter is expected to make his or her presence known to administrators, faculty, and students. Since hometown recruiters normally follow up high school graduates for two years after graduation, postsecondary students are also contacted, especially during holidays and vacation, by their local recruiters. (For details, see Section V.)

To help locate the four programs specifically designed for twoyear colleges and vocational schools in relation to other postsecondary sectors, a schematic of the market is presented in Fig. 3.1. Students graduating from high school enter the two-year colleges, vocational schools, or other postsecondary-school sectors. For those students entering the two-year colleges and vocational schools, the military has three targets of recruiting opportunity: (1) when students enter these institutions, (2) when they stop out of them,<sup>1</sup> and (3) when they graduate.

Special incentives for Target 1, the *entry* phase, might include scholarships in academic or occupational programs with commitments to enlist in critical occupational specialties after graduation. However, since students and their parents have traditionally financed their education in two-year colleges and vocational schools, the services have avoided this alternative. The cost of scholarships (etc.) might outweigh the return on such investments, and therefore less risky incentives are sought such as those that might be identified at Targets

<sup>1</sup>The term, "stop out," is used to describe students instead of "drop out" because stop outs are likely to return to school the next semester or academic year whereas drop outs do not.

ومروم والمواد فالمفاد فالمواد فالمعاد فا



Fig. 3.1 -- Targets of recruiting opportunity in two-year colleges and vocational schools

2 and 3. Hence, to date, special incentives for attracting students at entry to two-year colleges have not been pursued. Nevertheless, this is one target area where two-year colleges would be economically motivated to cooperate with military recruiting.

Target 2 reflects a characteristic of the market, namely, the high turnover of students. Many students "stop out" of school for academic, financial, or personal reasons, and the provision of postservice educational benefits, enlistment bonuses, and job skill training may be particularly attractive to these students by enabling them to continue their education after military service. Although a temptation to military recruiters, these students represent a recruiting target that potentially conflicts with the goals and economic necessities of the institutions. Recruiting these students would directly conflict with the institutions' attempts to bring the students back into the "fold."

- 13 -

Perhaps this greater potential for conflict than cooperation with the postsecondary educational institutions explains why only one of the four programs designed to penetrate the market has focused on stop outs.

The third target--the graduates--might be addressed by providing jobs at advanced pay grades (based on college units completed), or additional education (e.g., ROTC, educational benefits, certain skill training, bonuses). The institutions are quite likely to cooperate with recruiting objectives geared to their graduates. For this reason, and because graduates are easier to identify than stop outs, each of the iour programs has focused on recruiting graduates.

#### CURRENT RECRUITING POLICY

Each of the four services has a policy that directs recruiters to follow up high school seniors for two years after they graduate. This means that recruiters periodically contact postsecondary students, especially at their homes during vacation times. In addition, recruiters are required to make routine contact with these institutions and to make their presence known to students, faculty, and administrative staff. Thus, two-year college students are not being ignored by recruiters at present.

A major difference between the high school market and the twoyear college market is that, although most students live with their parents within a 50 mile radius of the college, they are dispersed across many recruiting areas, whereas most high school students live within the same recruiter's recruiting area. Hence, a recruiter working the two-year college market may lose a potential recruit to the home recruiter, and quotas are not met by numerous "assists." Given this uncertainty, recruiters may be reluctant to work the colleges extensively; the high school market is more certain and, by virtue of its size, promises a bigger and more direct payoff.

### RECRUITING PROGRAMS DESIGNED FOR THE MARKET

In the past three years, the Army's College Recruiting Program, the Marine Corps' Community College Enlistment Program, and the Navy's Lateral Entry Accession Program and Vocational and Technical Skills Training Project have been designed specifically to increase enlistees

- 14 -

from the market, and the first three have been implemented. To date, none of those implemented have been overwhelmingly successful but all provide important insights for improving recruitment strategies. For this reason, each is reviewed briefly here.

#### Army College Recruiting Program

The purpose of the Army's College Recruiting Program (CRP) was to "increase accessions in mental categories (MC; I-HII from the nation's colleges and universities for the Army, and to support officer procurement programs" (USAREC Regulation No. 601-75, p. 1. February 2, 1982). Early in FY80, U.S. Army Recruiting Command (USAREC) received Congressional authority to add 255 active Army recruiters in an attempt to penetrate two- and four-year colleges. College-designated CRP recruiters were required to fill a minimum of two active Army contracts per month with NPS high school graduates in categories I-HII. Category IV and prior service contracts were credited only as ove.production.

In order to comply with USAREC Regulation No. 601-75, District Recruiting Commands (DRCs) absorbed the cost of the CRP until the U.S. Army Recruiting Command formally authorized the CRP recruiters. Although most DRCs tried to implement the program and, in many cases, assigned proven successful recruiters to the CRP, initial difficulties launching the program foreshadowed additional problems. CRP recruiters never reached full strength in terms of the number actually authorized by the U.S. Army Recruiting Command (190) or assigned (Table 3.1). Indeed, data on CRP assignments for the 1981 calendar year reflect the

#### Table 3.1

#### RECRUITER ASSIGNMENTS TO THE ARMY COLLEGE RECRUITING PROGRAM

College Recruiters	February 1981	July 1981	January 5 1982	
Authorized[a]	• 5 ·	150	190	
Assigned	147	102	110 .	

[a] Goal=255 college recruiters.

- 15 -

'DRCs' initial enthusiasm for the program, the lag and shortfall in recruiter authorizations, and the subsequent loss of enthusiasm as CRP assignments fell below authorizations (Table 3.1).

Potential conflict with the colleges over competition for their stadents became a concern. USAKEC issued guidelines to recruiting personnel to "reassure college officials that the Army wants students to stay in school and not seek to recruit students out of the classroom into the Active Army" (USAREC Regulation No. 601-75, p. B-1). Moreover, recognizing that recruiting for the Army Reserves presented much less of a threat to the colleges than for the Active Army, the Recruiting Command modified the CRP early in FY82 to include recruiting for the Army Reserve Components.

Nevertheless, even with these modifications, accession goals for the CKP were not realized. For example, average recruiter production per month for the first quarter of FY82 was 0.84 active contracts and 0.89 reserve contracts, totaling 1.73 contracts altogether (Memorandum from Lt. Col. D. L. Benchoff, Director, Program Analysis and Evaluation, USAKEC, March 10, 1982).

In addition to shortfalls in staffing and contracts, and potential conflicts with colleges, the dual recruiter scheme--high school recruiters and college recruiters--proved devisive. High school recruiters were required to turn over to college recruiters any potential enlistee who had some college in his or her background.

It became apparent to Lt. Col. Benchoff and many others that "the college program has enjoyed less than overwhelming success across the command" (Memorandum, March 10, 1982). By early FY85, the CRP was phased into the existing management system. All reduiters now recruit in both the high schools and colleges. Nevertheless, the experience with the CRP gave rise to useful recommendations for recruiting in the market (USAKEC Keg. No. 601-75), the most germaine of which are summarized in Table 3.2. These recommendations might enter into the design of new programs.

### Table 3.2

- 17 -

#### ARMY COLLEGE RECRUITING PROGRAM'S STRATEGIES FOR RECRUITING IN MARKET[a]

#### Links with Institutions .

- Establish institutional links through college president.
- Assure officials that students will not be recruited out of their classrooms.
- Establish a point of contact and build a "stop out" list using such sources as:
  - + Director of Student Affairs.
  - Placement officers.
  - Registrar.
  - Department chairmen.
  - Student government officers.
  - Voteran Administration representatives.
  - Students in the delayed entry program.
- Coordinate recruiting activities with ROTC program on campus.

#### Recruiter Strategies

- Assure college officials that students will not be recruited out of their classrooms.
- Emphasize to "stop outs" the possibility of continuing their education after military service.
- Be thoroughly knowledgeable about all Army programs, opportunities, and incentives.
- Use local advertising outlets.

#### Recruiter Selection

- Select recruiters who:
  - \_ += Understand college market.
  - Understand academic and political sensitivities of college communities.
  - Understand demographics of colleges.
  - Present outstanding appearance in uniform.
  - Manage budgets effectively.
  - Have a proven sales record.

[a] USAREC Reg. No. 601-75.

#### Marine Corps' Community College Enlistment Program

On August 6, 1981, the Commandant of the Marine Corps authorized the nationwide implementation of the Community College Enlistment Program (CCEP), effective October 1981. The program was open to men and women who met the usual enlistment standards and were second-year students, graduates with associate degrees, or students who had completed special college courses in areas such as welding, drafting, or electical construction. CCEP's goal was to obtain, using regular Marine Corps recruiters, 600 high-quality accessions annually who would fill any one of over 150 technical or administrative occupational specialties. Initially, enlistment incentives included a guaranteed occupational specialty, guaranteed four-year assignment in that specialty, appointment to private first class upon enlistment (E-2), consideration for promotion to corporal (E-4) upon completion of 13 months active duty (normally about 25 months), consideration for promotion to sergeant (E-5) after 25 months (normally 40 months), and bonuses for certain occupations. In FY82, guaranteed consideration for promotion to sergeant after 25 months of service was dropped.

Data on the extent to which CCEP met its goal of 600 NPS accessions are presented in Table 3.3. Over time, CCEP's enlistments have increased but the program has not yet met its goal. Apparently recruiters have experienced some success in enlisting community college students. Increases in enlistments, in large part, were attributed to "walk-ins" based on advertising, referrals from the officer procurement program in four-year colleges and universities, and unemployment (Lt.

#### Table 3.3

#### NPS ACCESSIONS INTO MARINE CORPS CCEP

Status	FY80	`FY81 '	FY82	FY83	FY84 to 4/24
Enlistments	6	36	312	447	442
Accessions	· 1,	29	196	376	420

- 18 -

Col. J. Murphy, Marine Corps Recruiting, CCEP, personal communication, April 1982).

19

#### Navy's Lateral Entry Accession Program

The Navy's Lateral Entry Accession Program (LEAP) was a small, experimental protram that sought civilians whose skills could be used almost immediately to fill shortfalls in certain petty officer ratings. LEAP provided lateral entry into 13 critical ratings out of 76 general ratings at pay grades ranging from E-4 to E-6. Its goal was 250 lateral entry accessions per year.

In addition to the usual enlistment criteria, LEAP established the following requirements, depending upon pay grade: one to three years of vocational training, two to six years of job experience, and one-half to one year of supervisory experience. Perhaps LEAP's most unique feature was the requirement that the candidate pass a job-knowledge test in the critical rating for which the candidate applied.

The program was first implemented in Ohio and Michigan, two of the states hardest hit by the recession and unemployment, for the period August to December 1982. The program consisted of an extensive media (newspaper and radio) campaign aimed at those men in the civilian labor force and in two-year colleges and vocational schools most likely to qualify. In addition, Navy recruiters, with the assistance of educational specialists, were encouraged to establish links with twoyear colleges and vocational schools in an effort to enlist college students into a delayed entry program (DEP). By enlisting students into the DEP, they could complete their educational programs, and LEAP did not openly compete with colleges for their students.

In the three and a half months, LEAP accounted for only 14 NPS lateral entry accessions. This number was attributed, in part, to the fact that the job-knowledge test proved to be extremely difficult to pass, not only for the enlistment candidates but also for men performing the critical jobs in the Navy (M. Baker, NPRDC, personal communication, May 1983). LEAP wis implemented four months later in Arkansas, Oklahoma, and North Central Texas. By June 1983, it became apparent that the market would not support an adequate number of lateral entry accessions. As a consequence, LEAP was replaced by a new program.

#### Navy's Vocational and Technical Skills Training Project

The Navy's current plan to penetrate the market--the Vocational and Technical Skills Training Project (VOTECH)--is an outgrowth of LEAP. It too focuses on lateral entry for NPS accessions into critical petty officer ratings. Unlike LEAP, however, VOTECH attempts to establish a reciprocal relationship with two-year colleges and vocational schools. The educational institutions, at their own expense, are expected to modify their existing curricula in order to establish degree or certificate programs that provide the knowledge and skills specified by the Navy as required for the ratings. In return, the Navy guarantees lateral entry to the graduates of these programs if they pass the Navy's job-knowledge test for the rating, in addition to meeting the usual NPS enlistment standards. The Navy stands to gain high-quality NPS accessions in critical skills while the colleges and vocational schools are able to attract talented students by offering a guaranteed job upon graduation.

VOTECH is in a formative stage. The concept has been presented to two-year colleges and vocational schools in California with a positive r sponse. For example, approximately 585 schools received letters that briefly described VOTECH and were asked if they were interested and would like additional information. Over 400 schools responded; only two indicated a lack of interest. Nevertheless, problems that arise in establishing reciprocity between potential competitors will emerge as VOTECH is implemented. For example, what will happen if, as in LEAP, very few graduates pass the Navy's job-knowledge test? Furthermore, the payoff, NPS lateral entry accessions into critical ratings, will not be known for several years.

- 20 -

### SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS FOR RECRUITING

Military recruiting is currently conducted in the two-year colleges and vocational schools. This recruiting comes about in two ways. First, recruiters typically follow up students for a year or two after they graduate from high school. Those students pursuing higher education will be contacted by recruiters, especially during holidays and vacations. Second, recruiters are expected to treat two-year colleges and vocational schools in their recruiting areas in much the same manner as high schools, making contact with administrators and students.

The Army, Marine Corps, and Navy have launched recruiting programs specifically designed to increase enlistments from two-year colleges and vocational schools. The Army assigned college designated recruiters to the market; the Marine Corps offered rapid advancement through its ranks; and the Navy offered lateral entry into critical petty officer ratings upon passing a job-knowledge test. None of these programs met its recruiting goal, but each attests to the difficulties of recruiting postsecondary students.

Each of these programs provides important lessons for designing new programs. To be successful, recruiting programs probably should encompass the fratures discussed below.

#### Cooperation Between the Postsecondary Institutions and Military Recruiters

Cooperation seems most likely to occur through the military's providing financial support for students (e.g., scholarships, for entering students, with a service requirement); by providing jobs to graduates in occupational specialities for which they have been trained, perhaps with lateral entry; or by expanding programs of financial support for talented students to complete a bachelor's degree (e.g. ROTC).

Conflict may arise when military recruiting focuses on stop outs-those students who enter and leave two-year colleges each semester or academic year. The institutions are motivated to bring them back into the fold. These students might be more likely to be attracted by financial, educational, and job-skill benefits than students who remain in school and graduate.

For military recruiting to be successful in this market, especially as enlistment incentives are presently conceived. a compromise needs to be found that will enable the military to recruit stop outs and yet not conflict with the educational goals or financial well-being of the institutions. For example, in return for lists of stop outs from the schools, the services might offer lists of separatees planning to return to the same area. These lists might include separatees' academic credentials based on prior education and academic credits gained during military service. Whether such arrangements would be sufficient to induce institutions to cooperate with military recruiters remains to be determined.

With the present fiscal constraints and uncertainty surrounding twoyear colleges, the time is right for military recruiting to forge links with them. Indeed, the two-year colleges have attempted to forge links with the Department of Defense. Once established, there is a good chance that these links will endure when the target institutions are in stronger financial shape.

#### Modification of the Incentive Structure for Recruiters

Experience with CRP, CCEP, and LEAP suggests that, without a revision in the present recruiter incentive system, recruiters will not be motivated to recruit from two-year colleges and vocational schools. The risk of shortfalls in meeting monthly goals is the major disincentive.

A change in incentive structure might be accomplished in a variety of ways. Recruiters might be assigned monthly goals for a certain number of high-quality enlistments from the market. But adding goals might create a morale problem if there are no changes in incentive structures (see Section V). For example, greater credit toward monthly quotas might be given for enlistments from the postsecondary institutions than for high school seniors or graduates.

A second disincentive for working these institutions arises from the territorial structure of military recruiting. As observed in the CRP, recruiters may be reluctant to recruit college students because, in
the end, they may not receive credit for their work. A collegedesignated recruiter might get the credit as in the CRP or, as discussed in Section V, the student might enlist in his or her home recruiting area during vacation. Some provision might have to be made for crediting "assists."

#### Selection and Training of Recruiters

Because of its college-designated recruiters, only the CRP dealt (indirectly) with recruiter selection. The CRP's recommendations for selection (Table 3.2) suggest selection criteria and topics for training. A caveat, however, is in order. There are two kinds of costs associated with this recommendation: (1) costs of selection and training, and (2) costs of taking outstanding recruiters out of the high school market.

#### Use of College-Designated Recruiters

The use of college-designated recruiters (cf. the CRP) might reduce the selection and training costs associated with penetrating the market. However, without some modification of the current incentive system, the CRP has shown that benefits accrued by the use of college recruiters may be countered by the disincentives and morale problems introduced into the regular recruiter force. If college recruiters are to be used, changes in the overall recruiting incentive system should be made to counteract negative spinoffs.

#### Use of Targeted Media Campaigns

LEAP's experience with media campaigns targeted on civilians with skills that fit critical petty officer ratings were expensive and did not lead to a substantial number of lateral entry accessions. However, this experience does not lead to a recommendation to eliminate advertising as a means for penetrating the postsecondary institutions for three reasons. First, the media campaign used by LEAP cast a considerably broader net than a campaign focused on these institutions. Second, LEAP was a short-term program and the full effects of media campaigns are realized well after they are implemented. Third, some of the shortfalls in lateral entry goals were associated with other aspects of the program, such as the difficulty of the job-knowledge test. (See Section V for additional discussion of media.)

- 24 -

- 25 -

Enlistees with college training have not constituted a large percentage of the total number of NPS accessions in the armed forces. In FY32, for example, only one of every 12 enlistees had one or more years of college. Of the 25,500 recruits in this category, approximately one-fourth were college graduates, most of whom were slated for officers' training. This section focuses on the other 19,200 enlistees with some college, who represent only a tiny proportion of the military-eligible population in this educational attainment category. The magnitude of the latter is evidenced by the fact that, in 1980, there were 5.0 million persons of age 24 or less in the civilian labor force with one to three years of college. Also, in the fall of 1981, there were 6.4 million full-time undergraduate students enrolled in the nation's colleges and universities, of whom 1.8 million were in twoyear colleges. Taken together, these statistics indicate that the 19,200 enlistees with some college amount to less than 0.2 percent of the civilian population of age 24 or below in the same educational category.

Nevertheless, the percentage might be much higher in certain parts of the country where some recruiters have developed highly successful tactics for attracting college students. If so, their successes deserve to be singled out and studied for application to the rest of the nation.

This section provides an analysis of recruiting performances across states and other areas to determine economic and demographic factors that affect college recruiting and to pinpoint recruiting "hot spots" that provide unusually large numbers of enlistees with some college. Our analysis builds on the assumption that, with the great variety of recruiters and recruiting tactics in existence across the four services and across the nation, there must be some eminently successful recruiting environments and/or tactics that can be exploited in framing successful recruitment policies for the rest of the country. Since economic conditions, attitudes toward military service, and college recruiting practices vary widely across recruiting districts, measures of recruiting performance for geographical areas can be treated as observations from a natural experiment in which factors that affect recruiting are varied. Using the areas as units of analysis, one can employ regression techniques to examine how recruiting success depends on the observable factors associated with the individual areas.

As will be seen later in this section, college recruiting performance is not very well explained by the demographic and economic characteristics that are usually incorporated in analyses of this type. Given that college incruiting has been a peripheral activity of military recruiters and the fact that so little is known about the extent or nature of this activity, we had anticipated this result from the outset. Our plan was to use the "residuals" from the regression analysis to pinpoint those areas of the country which appear to perform unusually well after allowing for observable local conditions, such as demographic and economic factors, that might enhance or impede military recluiting. Carrying out this plan requires answering a number of questions:

- What measure(s) of recruiting performance should be used?
- What geographical areas should constitute the units of analysis?
- What factors should be considered in comparing recruiting performances across areas?
- What methods should be used in predicting recruiting performance as a function of explanatory factors?
- How can one test for the existence of hot spots?
- How can the hot spots be identified?

The first question seems easy to answer at first blush: Use the number of enlistees from two-year colleges and vocational schools as the measure of recruiting performance. However, the available data on enlistments from the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) do not permit distinguishing the enlistees who were recruited while attending college, let alone those who were recruited from the target institutions. The best information that we have for identifying these students are the enlistees' educational attainment classifications at the time of entrance into service. This allows us to group enlistees into the following postsecondary educational categories: (1) one year of college, (2) two years of college, (3) three to four years of college, and (4) college graduate.

Given the impossibility of getting counts of two-year college and vocationa' students among the enlistees with one to two years of college, we decided to focus on the number of enlistees with "some college," i.e., the number with one or more years of college who are not college graduates. It should be noted that this number may exclude large numbers of recruits who entered college but dropped out early and were classified as having less than a year of postsecondary education at service entry.

Table 4.1 shows how the states compared in terms of numbers of recruits with some college during FY82. As might be expected, California and New York, the states with the largest populations, were the states of residence for the largest numbers of recruits with some college. To eliminate the dependence of the measure of recruiting performance on population size, we propose a college enlistment rate that is the ratio of the number of recruits with some college to the college population base, which is the sum of the full-time undergraduate enrollment in that state and the number of individuals of age 24 or less in the labor force with one to three years of college. These enlistment rates for states are given in the last column of Table 4.1A. The sources of the data for calculating the college population base will be given later in this section.

The uniform smallness of the college enlistment rates would seem to suggest that no state qualified as a college recruiting hot spot in 1982. Since the overall college enlistment rate for the entire United States was a paltry 1.6 enlistments per thousand persons in the college population base, it is clear that the military attracts only a tiny proportion of this population. Among individual states, Florida and Scuth Carolina topped the list with college enlistment rates of approximately 7.7 per thousand. At the bottom of the list are Kansas and Utah, with enlistment rates of less than 0.9 per thousand.

These enlistment rates can be challenged on several grounds. For one thing, the college population base contains large numbers of individuals who are ineligible for military service and substantial

- 27 •

## Table 4.1

- 28 -

## COLLEGE RECRUITING PERFORMANCE MEASURES BY STATE, FISCAL YEAR 1982

# A. BOTH SEXES

	E	nlistme	nts, FY	82	Labor Force	e Ful	l-time		Accs. with
State			Some	Coll.	with Some	Underg	raduates	Pop.	Some Coll.
·	Total	HSG	Coll.	Grad.	Col.sge	2-year	-vear	Base	per 1000
	= 405				(				
	2070	~31/	4/3	157	69701	29092	82272	181065	2.62
Alaska	295	- 252	15	1	6753	2752	4135	13640	1.10
Arizona	39/3	2994	233	76	68524	27107	56727	152358	1.53
Arkansas	3157	2492	221	57	32984	7784	45161	85929.	2.37
California	23036	17715	1540	463	646397	308890	369907	1325194	1.16
Colorado	3752	2689	240	99	75515	16795	78475	170785	1.41
Connecticut	3731	2824	- 266	73	72519	14189	ó1841	148549	1.79
Delsware	883	713	44	16	13274	4360	16245	33879	1.30
District of Columbia	796	639	55	19	16456	0	35273	51729	1.00
Florida	15348	11316	1067	370	188739	, 89874	115019	393652.	2.71
Jeorgia	8661	6765	545	192	95337	25470	90729	211536	2.58
Hawaii ,	1079	879	93	23	25613	9880	19151	54644	1.70
Idaho	1359	1023	97	26	21000	8038	19841	48879	1 98
Illinois	12932	10171	742	246	265364	99883	202269	567516	1 31
Indiana	8779	7261	42 <del>6</del>	130	98928	20197	132685	251810	1 69
lowa	4247	3402	334	73	70837	27128	71158	169123	1 97
Nansas	2300	1768	117	39	60866	15773	60370	136050	0.85
Sentucky	-890	3769	200	89	59596	1716/	-10-9	1/8709	2 01
Louisiana	3775	2882	240	76	79517		101374	140/20	1 20
faine i	2257	1905	116	53	20491	1.10	21504	10/020	1.20
aryland -		5154	386	120	01115	20015		101/07	2.32 -
lassichusetts		5300	386	145	1-0575	49913	1950	171493	2.02
hobigan	157.1	17514	300	202	1003/3	41001	103944	265130	0.99
linnesot a	5.0041	14014 1640	· ) <u>-</u>	102	210010	/0020	18+385	→, /801	1.57
ticcucationi	2110		2010	124	140030	2300/	103816	248119	1.32
11331331901	2010	2000	207		4/289	31380	++980	123861	2.65
	1150	3400	397	110	1008/9	20838	113143	234860	1.09
ioncana Coheneka	2120	943 141 - 1	1.4	1.4	10000	10/1	24006	42,337	1.75
international and a second sec	1120	1040	1+0	40	43768	8809		96121	1.54
		819	62	21	10009	. 2501	1753	28863	.2.15
ew numpshire	1.18	1289		. 60	20356		, 0431	53247	1.54
WW Jetsey	3_30	0000	389	105	151716	40074	103722	305512	+ 1.27
Vew Mexico	2059	1078	98	25	24513	÷÷ 3'5	29691	58659	1.67
ew York	22058	10875	1205	415	397569	173714	402001	973944	1.24
orth Garolina	3399	6434	785	270	109034	+2025	124484	296143	2.65
forth Dakota	p28	467	79	15	22086 -	0079	21382	50147	1.58
)h10	18091	15109	734	201	-208834	58219	220200	493313	. 1.49
)kluhoma	2342	1721	167	38	65181.	17912	o9815	152908	1.09
regon .	-293	3214	284	86	57007	29868	53132	140007	- 2.03
'ennsylvania	12838	12847	846	361	211095	03786	247908	522789	1.62
hode Island	1180	. 887	63	24	24821	5500	33047	05374	0.96
South Carolina	5013	3887	403	178	01158	28338	60229	149725	2 69
outh Dakota	:058	773	90	2'6	18414	587	24683	43684	2.06
ennessee	290	4543	301	173	85280	25286	100304	210870	1 71
exas	14818	10791	972	314	311045	100183	267289	679117	1.43
't ah	1063	775	91	. 43	43631	9718	207007	104548	0.47
+rmont '	818	000	. <u>.</u>	23	11525	1877	18914	104040	v.o.' 1'⊐≟
irginia	7108	554A	524	198	99479	ales. Saate	1110.7	251201	1.1.30
ashington	5912	4394	509	117	98075	-10030 -10030	113041		
est Virginia	2007	2055	101	57	76973 76807	(30) (30)	10011	207518	
isconsin	6180	1410	201	101-	20074		42552	/4437	1. 36
woming '	101	- 74-	371	104	111378	241.0	125114	273002	1.42.
CALCULATE STATE		100		/	11205	<u>⇒nn2</u>	/203	23670	<u>}_</u>
0 States and D.C.	296388	230090	18393	<b>5118</b>	4975895	1701337	4075063	11417295	

# Table 4,1

- 29

## COLLEGE RECRUITING PERFORMANCE MEASURES BY STATE, FISCAL YEAR 1982

Β.	MALES
<i>•</i> ••	

	Er	listmen	its, FY	82	Labor Force	Full	-Lime	1	Accs. wit
State			Some	Coll.	with Some	Undergr	aduates	Pop.	Some Coll
	Total	HSG	<u>Coll.</u>	Grad.	College	2-year	-vear	Base	per 1000
Alabama	5095	3859	370	130	23721	17807	.00.02	94120	
Alaska	269	2027	13	. 1	3100	1350	40094	60150	•.33
Arizona	3528	2626	179		31999	2227	2073		1.77
Lrkancac	2201	2211	170	07	15200	24230	31230	50670	م م م م م م
Californía	21002	15597	1/1	301	212:20	12227			• ) <b>?</b>
Colorado	3305	1772-	1-1-1-	271	22,00	1330	10/40/	233264	<b>.</b> 3→
	37	2007	1/0	0.0	37470	- 200	→1:05	8/809	لا د م
Delaware	2211	_ 407 _ 19		. 17		0,90 ••••	200	03403	
Delaware District of Columbus	- 22	515	دد م	. 12	2040	1.33	2030	14/39	د.2
Slorida	12500	242	4.)	12	0210	ະ ເມ	1050.2	23612	
	13309	9031	523	301	37209		63023	193500	·
Jeorgia Jarra ( i	, 05	39//	+37	2.444 3.0		11.0+	43311	101620	•.20
Idwall . Idaha	1200	00,		18	120+0	30/3	9137	26256	3.01
liano Illinois	1209	917-	10		103+3	2342	11057	25143	3.00
	2010	91/0	010		12300-		104849	282454	2.10
	2772	2021	33/	. 111	÷8÷0U	12103	07505	128431	2.35
i une se	2045	5021	-00	22	20110	2-59	36/12	53/50	3.20
Kantucku	2000	12/*	, סיגי ריגי	5 <u>27</u> 27	30115	. 999	31+31	09518	1.38
louiciana	225.	2214		103 11	24217			10137	<u>ل</u> ر ز
Marne	1984	1-71	101	24	02230	1313		42385	1.15
anie Arviand		10/1	201	◆1 0:	2913 2001			. <u>1</u> 4484	3.30
lary rind lace achieve		· · · · ·	2.74	• • • •			50 1	35397	
lichaar	13-11	11000	- 20	1.39	4.7-047	13.31	*_9+3	1.193	
Innes(1)	11011	1100-	271	100	- 10 - 44 - 11 - 12 - 11 - 17	10-10	14333	200250	
Timesora Luciccioni	0 <b>1.4</b>		271	100	3/00+		21049		· · · · ·
11551551991		_030	200	00				>52/>	
fissouri font in a	1001		509	43	4// <u>2</u> 4	172.2 <b>4.5</b>	2.990	116002	1.00
ioneuna iohraeka	1470	1.55	120		3071	<b>د</b> ه د :	129 0	21500	
Verraska Verrada	39.			)	iiii+0 'ar⊧s				
	1.17	1.1.0		· 49	0.0	 	3	10149	2. <b>-</b>
You Torkay	- 10	14.14 14.14	· · · · ·	120	7143 Tonac			->>>	- · · · · ·
Sau Merson	••2•	2040	100	120	1111-		2 2 <b>4</b> - 1		
New York	10523		0 m 1	- <u>1</u>	144377	1911 1912 - 1913	10000	- 9-4-15	-
Sorth Carolina		5001	20-	200	1003	1420Y	100002		<b>_</b> 7
North Dakota		-10			101-0	2.3404	10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	135/ 9	<b>40 40</b>
hio		11111			101547	20.00	44°47		
)k Lahoma		1533	118	31	10111	2000 - 20	1440 a.J. 1640 a.J.	2012 - 2012 2013 - 2012	
regon	3198	2810	1.20		273.00	1 10	1000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Pennsylvania	1-097	1138		144	106016				
hode Island	1	60.1	37	1.1.	11210		**************************************		
South Carolina		3	319	1.0	*****		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
outh Dakota	31.0	<b>n</b> *0		1.1	- · · · · · ·	• • • • •		1	
ennesse		- C - 1	180		C = 0.1	• • • • •	••• •• •••		•
Texas		9504		144	155724		1		
tah	375		. ) · 	. 19	4.2.3×≪4.7 "∃iticia	· · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	400 Di	343 - 20 11	<b>→ →</b>
ermont	• •	· • •	3_	۰, ۱ ۵		2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	······································		1 <b>4</b> 2
1721114		بە⊷ر 0.08	, 10				7711	1 • · i i	
iashington '		90.71 7212	• • • •	1.74			146 C 146	110 50	
narna a agus cara		- 1970 - 1010			9799J9	د محمر د د محمد د	214 ) <b>2</b>	11893•	
11 - 14 - 14 4 5 4 4 4 5 18 14 - 15 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			* ) <del>•</del>	•	ن <b>ين (</b> من ا	1.1.1.1		10045	•
		·	2081		. 23 <b>0</b> 37 • • • • • •	14.75	n jnu i	137725	
1 N 1710 1 11 2	•>*	· ()	37	,	3454		-119		•

numbers of individuals whose propensities to enlist are essentially zero. Since few college women consider military service as a career option, the male college enlistment rates given in Table 4.1B might be more meaningful. South Carolina and Mississippi top the list with 4.47 and 4.39 enlistments per thousand; Kansas and Utah are lowest with approximately 1.4 per thousand.

Even if one multiplies these rates by factors of from two to four to allow for the fact that the college population base contains several cohorts of high school graduates, the overall male enlistment rates would still be less than two percent in all states. Also, it is noteworthy that all eight states with college enlistment rates above 2.5 per thousand are in the South, where military recruiting has traditionally been stronger and military pay scales compare more favorably with civilian wage rates. Figure 4.1 shows how the college enlistment rates vary across states.

With the thought that there may be areas within states that qualify as recruiting hot spots, we also calculated the college inlistment rates for the 305 metropolitan areas that result from combining the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs) with their counterparts in New England, the New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs). Table n,1in the appendix lists the college enlistment rates for the 39 metropolitan areas with populations exceeding a million in 1980, as well as for the regions of the states that do not lie in large metropolitan areas.

Among the large metropolitan areas, the two with the highest college enlistment rates in 1982 were San Antonio, Texas (2.97), and Indianapolis, Indiana (2.92). Since San Antonio is the location of several large military installations and Indianapolis has Ft. Benjamin Harrison nearby, the relatively high performances of these areas may be attributable in part to the large military presence in these areas. Also, the headquarters for the Air Force Recruiting Service is at Randolph Air Force Base, San Antonio.

Even though San Antonio topped the list for large metropolitan areas, its college enlistment rate was only ten percent above the rate for the entire state of Florida. This would seem to suggest that the

- 30 -



- 31 -

Fig. 4.1 -- Accessions with some college per 1000 in college population base, by state

college recruiting hot spots, if they exist, are in smaller metropolitan areas and in nonmetropolitan areas. As Table A.1 shows, the very large metropolitan areas tend to have low college enlistment rates. For example, Florida is the bellwether state for college recruiting with a rate of 2.71 per thousand, but the rate for Miami--1.60 per thousand-is almost the same as that for the entire United States, and the rate for the part of Florida outside its three large metropolitan areas is 3.02.

Among all SMSAs, the one containing Pascagoula and Moss Point, Mississippi, has the highest college enlistment rate at 15.36 per thousand, but this is based on only 28 enlistments and a college population base of 1,823. Among the SMSAs that have college population bases exceeding 5,000, the SMSAs with the highest college enlistment rates are Fayetteville, North Carolina (6.95), and Pensacola, Florida (6.81). Both SMSAs are in states that have relatively high enlistment rates, and both are close to large military installations-- Fort Bragg, North Carolina, and Pensacola Naval Air Station.

The SMSAs that have the lowest college enlistment rates have much in common. They are Lawrence, Kansas (0.09); Bloomington-Normal, Illinois (0.12); State College, Pennsylvania (0.31); Columbia, Missouri (0.32); Ft. Collins, Colorado (0.32); Champaign-Urbana-Rantoul, Illinois (0.36); and Bloomington, Indiana (0.38). All are relatively small SMSAs that contain large state universities, and none has a two-year college. Thus, their college population bases contain disproportionate numbers of four-year college students. While the extremely low college enlistment rates in these SMSAs suggest that undergraduates at four-year college have very low enlistment propensities, another explanation is that the college enlistment rates are distorted for small SMSAs that have relatively large numbers of student "immigrants," i.e., students whose home of record lies outside the SMSA that contains the college. The reason is that the numerator of the enlistment rate is based on the number of enlistments from that SMSA (derived using county codes for the enlistees' home of record), and the denominator includes full-time undergraduate enrollments for all colleges in the SMSA, whether or not those students' homes of record are in the SMSA. We suspect that, in the SMSAs with extremely low college enlistment rates, a student's home of record is more likely to be outside the SMSA that contains the college. If so, areas with large numbers of student immigrants (e.g., Lawrence, Kansas) will have artificially low enlistment rates, and those areas with more student emigrants than immigrants (e.g., nonmetropolitan Florida) will have inflated rates.

Since the available data on enlistments with some college do not permit us to ascertain where the enlistees attended college, our more detailed analysis of the factors that affect college recruiting will use aggregate data for states and "MEPS areas," i.e., areas served by the Military Entrance Processing Stations. Since the boundaries of the MEPS areas ordinarily coincide with boundaries of the individual services' recruiting districts, the MEPS areas provide more suitable units of analysis for examining variability in recruiting performance. Table 4.2 provides the enlistment data and college enlistment rates for MEPS areas analogous to those reported earlier for states. Figure 4.2 shows the boundaries of the MEPS and indicates the sizes of their college population bases. The full-time undergraduates in the twoand four-year colleges constitute 15 and 41 percent of the college population base on average. The two-year portion of the college population base is inordinately concentrated in California, as Figure 4.3 indicates. Of the full-time two-year students in the United States, one in six attends school in California, whereas California accounts for only 8 percent of the four-year college students. Figure 4.4 shows how the MEPS areas compared in terms of overall numbers of recruits with some college in FY82.

Figure 4.5 shows how enlistment rates vary across MEPS areas. The five MEPS that had the highest college enlistment rates in FY82 were Beckley, West Virginia (3.64); Raleigh, North Carolina (3.25); Boise, Idaho (3.19); Montgomery, Alabama (3.12); and Fort Jackson, South Carolina (2.85). The bottom five were San Diego, California (0.75); Salt Lake City, Utah (0.80); Amarillo, Texas (0.87); Anchorage, Alaska (0.89); and Houston, Texas (0.96). Since the top five performers had college enlistment rates that were three to five times higher than those in the bottom five, the wide disparities in the enlistment rates call for investigation. If the differences are entirely due to differences in demographic and economics factors or to inherent randomness in the enlistment counts, that would support the hypothesis that variation in recruiting tactics is not important. On the other hand, if the observed differences are primarily attributable to recruiting practices, this would suggest that the college recruiting performances of the lowest performing MEPS can be improved by a factor of two or three by adopting the practices of the top performing MEPS.

To examine how college recruiting varies from location to location, we have gathered information on enlistments and factors related to recruiting at four levels of aggregation: (1) county, (2) metropolation area, (3) MEPS area, and (4) state. Insofar as possible, for each of these levels we obtained the following data:

- 33 -

# Table 4.2

34

# RECRUITING PERFORMANCE MEASURES BY LOCATION, FISCAL YEAR 1982

# A. BOTH SEXES

E

· .	En	listmer	nts, FY	82	Labor Force	Full	-time		Accs. with
Name			Some	Coll.	with Some	Undergr	aduates	Pop.	Some Coll.
	Total	HSG	Coll.	Grad.	College	2-year	4-year	Base	per 1000
Albany NV	2820	2179	187	69	42742	25685	49773	118200	1 58
Raltimore MD	9525	7593	627	209	134270	39884	115162	289316	2 17
Backley KV	1905	1461	152	32	18855	5781	17056	41772	3 64
Boston MA	6896	5300	375	168	159686	38639	180508	379933	0.99
Buffalo NV	/ 935	373/	275	70	7/2/8	31616	75429	191/02	1 52
Cincinnati OV	4855	7,20	213	95	54022	159//	13023 404/7	13291/	1.54
Claughand ON	8/80	7140	280	109	100740	13744	00047	216810	1 20
Columbuć OH	4777	2003	200	200	57800	1823/	77775	153800	1.23
Corumbus, On .	3737	3903	174	74	37500	10234	55022	101109	1.70
narrisburg, FA	5257	2010	270	70	53010	10226	57025	101190	1.74
Louisville, Ki	4472	3402	112	/ <u>/</u>	32304	19230	3/933	1294/3	2.09
lanchester, NA	2117	1043	113	125	23703	2005	30007	00201	1.71
Vewark, NJ	0347	3200	200	132	124408	38085	02212	24/800	- 1.10
Vew Haven, Ci	2303	1/32	10/	40	43391	65/3	32507	844/1	2.21
Philadelphia, PA	/042	2220	330	149	122/1/	38823	116584	2/8124	1.28
Pittsburgh, PA	6353	5199	331	142	79456	25975	92319	197750	_ 1.67
Portland, ME	2556	2109	137	/1	27992	5102	33973	67067	2.04
Aichmond, VA	5108	4020	363	142	70824	23140	106280	200244	1.81
Springfield, MA	2647	2027	148	56	54838	14144	69817	138799	1.07
Syracuse, NY	3758	2959	182	62	51430	20083	73317	131430	1.20
ilkes-Barre, PA	2865	2337	172	57	32654	13444	44054	90152	1.91
Fort Hamilton, NY	10516	7875	565	195	224597	82661	207753	515011	1.10
Atlanta, GA	6620	5090	444	158	77985	20778	74700	. 173463	2.56
Charlotte, NC	4076	3100	331	132	61001	32151	60270	153422	2.16
Coral Gables, FL	6254	4510	371	126	79597	35231	22119	126947	2.71
Fort Jackson, SC	5820	4532	459	200	65839	27272	67792	160903	2.85
Jackson, MS	1896	1454	212	51	33750	20737	36402	90889	2.33
Jacksonville, FL	8315	6404	563	202	104240	49998	93771	248,009	2.27
Knoxville, TN	. 2877	2226	115	73	38583	13916	45625	98224	1.17
lemphis, TN	3287	2595	236	62	42487	15672	44031	106190	2.22
fontgomery, AL	6228	4712	542	165	69362	31539	72902	173803	3.12
Nashville, TN	3113	2313	254	94	43231	12013	56134	111378	2.28
Raleigh, NC	4557	3505	492	149	52101	31453	67781	151335	3.25
Albuquerque, NM	1537	1283	62	25	17321	3268	16504	37093	1.67
Amarillo, TX	759	561	49	10	22673	6344	27516	56533	0.87
Dallas, TX	4501	3158	337	106	106939	-39208	71885	218032	1.55
El Paso, TX	1672	1273	118	24	21193	6719	20907	48819	2.42
louston, TX	4146	3044	193	67	96591	16785	87875	201251	0.96
Little Rock, AR	. 2571	2010	179	46	28348	6555	38891	73794	2.43
New Orleans, LA	2904	2138	195	58	61790	7434	71055	140279	1.39
Oklahoma City, OK	2280	1661	163	- 41	63703	17360	69003	150066	1.09
San Antonio, TX	3863	2894	283	124	70160	28713	75589	174462	1.62
Shreveport, LA	2134	1668	:49	34	26244	5620	28435	60299	2.47
Chicago, IL	10710	8532	532	201	241845	82323	173107	497275	1.07
Denver, CO	4514	3250	299	113	89603	23154	86934	199691	1.50
Des Moines. IA	3992	3212	291	65	62335	24039	70076	156450	1,86
Detroit, MI	14682	11996	670	185	206547	71923	168873	447341	1 50

# Table 4.2A--continued

	Eı	nlistmer	nts, FY	82	Labor Force	e Ful	l-time		Accs. with
Name			Some	Coll.	with Some	Underg	raduates	Pop.	Some Coll.
	Total	HSG	Coll.	Grad.	College	2-year	4-year	Base	per 1000
Fargo, ND	1148	888	126	19	33493	8369	35370	77232	1.63
Indianapolis, IN	6298	5158	329	112	68324	13356	102554	184234	1.79
Kansas City, KS	5065	3882	244	84	100271	21293	116070	237634	1.03
Milwaukee, WI	5668	4350	386	89	96981	34246	102775	234002	1.65
Minneapolis, MN	6229	4895	345	137	126045	27884	121535	275464	1.25
Omaha, NE	2374	1823	191	50	45663	9768	43430	98861	1.93
Sioux Falls, SD	1504	1125	131	34	26113	3281	29133	58527	2.24
St. Louis, MO	7128	5529	479	-124	103740	33945	109525	247210	1.94
Boise, ID	1116	842	74	20	11038	2136	9419	23193	3.19
Butte, MT	1110	878	72	20	16505	1671	24006	42182	1.71
Salt Lake City, UT	1166	841	98	47	54161	15216	53768	123145	0.80
Fresno, CA	2162	1631	126	19	37875	19834	16599	74308	1.70
Los Angeles, CA	10787	8154	672	180	243839	106167	147575	497581	1.35
Oakland, CA	8751	6536	577	211	221124	107918	137132	466174	1.24
Phoenix, AZ	4595	3466	257	95	77470	28527	61336	167333	1.54
Portland, OR	4640	3483	288	83	60163	33966	51800	145929	1.97
Seattle, WA	382ó	2802	348	.91	68562	42834	44684	156080	2.23
Spokane, WA	1893	1460	156	25	29705	18799	39472	87976	1.77
Anchorage, AK	265	229	12	1	6573	2752	4135	13460	0.89
Honolulu, HI'	1157	948	100	22	25611	9880	19151	54642	1.83
San Diego, CA	2581	1867	226	48	150599	75927	73745	300271	0.75
50 States and D.C.	296721	230307	18439	6127	4975713	1761337	4675063	11412113	1.62
Outlying areas:		,							
San Juan, PR	289 <b>8</b>	1899	676	223	-	25615	80355	105970	-
Guam	306	251	42	2	•	1860	1592	3452	-
Atlantic Zone	-	' <b>-</b>	. •		•	. 0	632	632	-
Pacific Zone	261	248	9	0	•	886	Ó	886	-
· ·	r			•			,		•

# Table 4.2

# RECRUITING PERFORMANCE MEASURES BY LOCATION, FISCAL YEAR 1982

# B. MALES

ī

	En	listmen	ts, FY	82	Labor Force	Full	-time		Accs. with
Name			Some	Coll.	with Some	Undergr	aduates	Pop.	Some Coll.
	Total	HSG	Coll.	Grad.	College	2-year	4-year	Base	per 1000
Albany, NY	2472	1892	153	55	19586	13896	23202	56684	2.70
Baltimore, MD	8454	6698	500	169	59506	18956	54207	132669	3.77
Becklev, WV	1755	1344	128	26	9051	2690	8870	20611	6.21
Boston, MA	6216	4779	289	132	69710	14265	91431	175406	1.65
Buffalo, NY	4284	3302	209	62	34150	14759	39049	87958	2.38
Cincinnati, OH	4548	3758	175	70	26948	7055	31187	65190	2 68
Cleveland, OH	7586.	6354	220	90	48870	11287	46583	106740	2.06
Columbus, OH	4258	3469	195	69	28650	9002	42657	80309	2.00
Harrisburg, PA	2832	2266	145	58	16405	4514	29704	50623	2.86
Louisville, KY	3954	3018	208	52	24319	9429	28625	62373	3 33
Manchester NH	1850	1413	93	58	10375	2969	18251	31595	2 64
Nevark NI	5923	4658	237	116	59157	18076	41782	119015	1 00
New Haven CT	2036	1530	150	33	19350	4512	15304	39166	3 83
Philadelphia PA	6292	4961	1 290	120	58446	1773/	57447	122627	2.05
Pittshurch PA	5722	4675	263	117	37206	14756	46202	9766/	2.1/
Portland 'MF	2236	1841	106	56	12994	2795	16739	37578	2.09
Eichmond VA		3479	266	114	30801	10701	50424	92126	2.20
Springfield MA	2316	1755	116	50	23750	5845	33194	52120	2.07
Suracuea XV	3301	2579	1/10	0	23730	12741	37804	7/221	1.65
L'iliae-Harra DA	2562	2001	133	•••	15/27	8757	37606	/4441	1.90
Fort Hamilton NV	9351	6868	450	144	104544	34577	100756	7/3000	2.71
Atlanta GA	5017	4518	361	116	264.41	1200	38/37	243077	1.00
Charlotte NC	3712		201	105	277/4	7721	20427	21055	4.20
Contal Cables F	5402	2750	200	103	2/740	15211	20098	71055	3.03
Fort Lickson SC	5100	3095	263	77	206.19	12031	22124	76010	4.55
Jockson MS	3109	1200	303	121	29001	132.49	33134	/6313	4.70
Jackson, 13	10/4	1200	100	41	155/5	9501	1/29/	423/3	3.92
VackSonville, FL	/ 283	2015	439	10/	49040	24038	51582	125260	3.50
Nnoxville, in Momphia TN	2030	2015	170	04	18818	00/1	23031	48418	1.84
Mentanan AT	5100	1203	1/9	40	19340	0005	21537	49/65	3.60
Nontgomery, AL	2490	4137	411	139	32219	13860	33009	81/08	5.03
Pulotob NC	2797	2005	200	10	2110/	0,20	2/848	34303	3.81
Albuguageus MM	3927	1107	3/2	105	23315	141/9	33/4/	/1241	5.22
Albequerque, Al	470	1107	· · ·	ii A	8008	1887	8511	18406	2.23
Amarillo, IX	. 079	499		3	12208	3215	14/51	3017,4	1.39
	-U_8	2,92	270	89	52509	20964	35424	108897	112.48
Li Paso, IA	· 1+68.	1135	53	19	10728	2992.	11096	24816	3.34
nouscon, IX	3/32	2090	154	59	49223	8252	4/304	104779	1.47
Little Rock, AR	2284	1,82	139	38,	13783	3166	19651	36600	3.80
New Urleans, LA	2235	, 1802	140	38	29983	3684	35499	69166	2.11
UKIANOMA CITY, UK	2058 -	1483	133	36	33618	9578	36329	79525	1.67
San Antonio, TX	3389	2519	227	103	34186	1+586	38133	86905	2.61
Shreveport, LA	1891	1484	107	26	12496	2543	14841	29880	3.58
Unicago, IL	9735	7705	,435	177	116347	40655	87817	244819	1.78
Denver, CO	3989	2828	230	92	44772	12254	45837	102863	2.24
Des Moines, IA	3529	2837	228	50	29201	11867	37264	78332	2.91
Detroit, MI	13072	10579	- 528	157	98629	34185	84923	217737	2.42

- 36 -

# Table 4.2B--continued

.

	En En	Enlistments, FY82			Labor Force Full-time			Accs. with		
Name			Some	Coll.	with Some	Undergr	aduates	Pop.	Some Coll.	
<u></u>	Total	HSG	Coll.	Grad.	College	2-year	4-year	Base	per 1000	
Fargo, ND	1005	78.1	95	17	16285	4783	17630	38698	2.45	
Indianapolis, IN	5686	4619	280	94 -	33580	7660	52757	93997	2.98	
Kansas City, KS	4527	3440	191	69	48542	10811	59542	118895	1.61	
Milwaukee, WI	4910	3729	305	68	47356	17076	54629	119061	2.56	
Minneapolis, MN	5609	4400	234	116	59561	14238	59932	133731	2.12	
Omaha, NE	2123	1623	161	39	22138	5463	22462	50063	3.22	
Sioux Falls, SD	1312	983	100	27	12055	1800	14730	28585	3.50	
St. Louis, MO	6400	4937	392	102	49859	17060	58557	125476	3.12	
Boise, ID	991	738	59	19	5984	1083	4787	11854	4.98	
Butte, MT	972	760	55	17	8018	745	12970	21733	2.53	
Salt Lake City, UT	1071	774	84	41 -	27364	7740	29753	64857	1.30	
Fresno, CA	1969	1464	109	16	19075	9996	8041	37112	2.94	
Los Angeles, CA	9638	7223	533	159	119217	53697	75822	248736	2.14	
Oakland, CA	7730	5702	445	171	105578	55358	69195	230131	1.93	
Phoenix, AZ	4064	3027	198	85	39718	15024	34070	88812	2.23	
Portland, OR	4118	3055	228	70	29148	18133	27466	74747	3.05	
Seattle, WA	3331	2398	276	77	32047	21996	21924	75967	3.63	
Spokane, WA	1683	1293	119	23	14496	9831	21539	45866	2.59	
Anchorage, AK	244	212	9	1	3109	1359	2078	6546	1.37	
Honolulu, HI	1031	844	85	17	12044	5073	9137	26254	3.24	
San Diego, CA	2284	1629	186	40	72786	39148	37185	149119	1.25	
50 States and D.C.	263800	203305	14570	4991	2368591	866209	2368826	5603626	2.60	
Outlying areas:										
San Juan, PR	2665	1760	614	191	-	9854	31502	41356	-	
Guam	276	232	31	2		865	706	1571	• •	
Atlantic Zone		-	-		-	0	175	175	-	
Pacific Zone	254	246	4	. 0		419	Ō	419	-	











41



- Counts of enlistments by educational attainment. The Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) provided counts of eniments (accessions) for FY82 by county and state of the reluit's home of record as well as by the MEPS at which the recruit was processed. These counts were disaggregated by service, sex, and educational attainment categorized as follows: nongraduates, high school graduates, one to two years of college, three to four years of college, and college graduates.
  Population. 1980 total population and population of ages 18-24 by sex were extracted from the 1980 census age-population tape.
  College enrollments. Enrollment figures for Fall 1981 by sex, enrollment status (full-time/part-time), level (undergraduate of graduate), and type of in titution (four-year or two-year)
- were obtained from the 1981 Opening Fall Enrollment Survey.

• *Civilian labor force*. Counts of persons of ages 16-24 in the civilian labor force by educational attainment were extracted from the 1980 Census/Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Special File.

- 42 -

- Unemployment and earnings. Data on unemployment and on hourly earnings of production workers in manufacturing for states and selected metropolitan areas as of April 1982 were taken from Employment and Earnings, July 1982, pp. 129-133.
- Population characteristics. County level data for 1980 on per capita money income, median family income, percent white, percent black, and percent Hispanic were taken from the 1980 Census STF3C file. Other state-level data were taken from the State and Metropolitan Area Data Book, 1982.
- Military presence. State-level data on the percentages of military personnel and DoD personnel relative to the total population were obtained from Selected Manpower Statistics, Fiscal Year 1981.
- Weather factors. Mean annual temperature and average total snow and ice pellets in inches for selected locations came from the Statistical Abstract of the United States, 1982-83, pp. 211, 218.

Our focus is on the recruitment of individuals with "some college," i.e., those identified as having one or more years of college training but who are not college graduates. The closest we can come to the target population for college recruiting within a particular region is the undergraduate students in that region plus the military-eligible population at that educational level. Our measure of the size of the college population base is the sum of the full-time undergraduate college enroliment at that location and the number in the civilian labor force of ages 16-24 with one to three years of college. The latter group consists primarily of individuals of age 19 or above, because few persons of age 16 or less have a year of college. The dependent variable of interest for the regression analysis is the college enlistment rate

- 43 -

P = A/N

where A is the number of accessions with some college during FY82 and N is the size of the college population base. To examine the variability in college enlistment rates across locations, we shall use logit analysis, a multiple regression technique especially tailored for analyzing proportions. Let  $P_i$  denote the college enlistment rate in the i-th location. Then the key assumption in logit analysis is that, for a typical location, the expected value  $p_i$  of the college enlistment rate  $P_i$  is related to a vector of characteristics  $X_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{ki})$  of the location through a logistic regression function

$$p_{i} = 1/[1 + \exp(-X_{i}\beta)]$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_k)'$  is a k-dimensional column vector of parameters to be estimated from the data points  $(P_i, X_i)$ , i = 1, 2, ...,n for the n locations. To include a constant term in this regression, we shall assume that the first component  $x_{1i}$  of  $X_i$  is equal to one for all locations.

In this formulation, the logit of p defined by

logit(p) = log[p/(1 - p)]

is a linear function of the components of X, i.e.,

 $logit(p_i) = \Sigma \beta_j x_{ji}$ 

where the summation is over the variable indices j. We note in passing that, for the extremely small proportions p treated in this analysis, the factor 1 - p is very close to one, so that logit(p) differs little from log(p). Hence, in this application, the logistic regression model is essentially the same as a loglinear model. A related observation is that, if the college population bases for the units of observation are uniformly over- or underestimated by a constant factor (so that the enlistment rates require rescaling), the factor becomes an additive term in the loglinear model that will be reflected in the constant term in the regression equation.

The standard method for fitting a logistic regression equation from grouped data is to estimate the parameters using the minimum logit chi square estimates, i.e., those values of the parameters that minimize

$$\chi^{2}(logit) = \Sigma N_{i}P_{i}(1 - P_{i})[logit(P_{i}) - X_{i}\beta]^{2}$$

where  $N_i$  is the college population base in the i-th location. The rationale for this procedure stems from the fact that, if the number of accessions  $A_i$  can be treated as having a binomial distribution with parameters  $p_i$  and  $N_i$ , then  $logit(P_i)$  is asymptotically normally distributed with mean  $logit(p_i)$  and variance  $1/N_i p_i (1 - p_i)$ . Hence, minimizing the  $\chi^2(logit)$  criterion amounts to estimating the parameters using weighted least squares, where the appropriate weights  $N_i p_i (1 - p_i)$ are estimated from the data. We also note for later reference that the quantity

$$\mathbf{p}_{i} = [\mathbf{N}_{i}\mathbf{p}_{i}(1 + \mathbf{p}_{i})]^{1/2} [[\operatorname{logit}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) - \mathbf{X}_{i}\beta]]$$

is asymptotically normally distributed with mean 0 and variance 1, provided the model fits. If we replace  $\beta$  by the fitted coefficients b and replace the values  $p_i$  by  $P_i$ , then the resulting "standardized residuals" provide measures for each location indicating how far they deviate from the pattern of the others after allowing for the explanatory variables and inherent randomness. Assuming that the residuals will reflect the existence of extraordinary recruiting activity, we can use the standardized residuals to test for the existence of recruiting hot spots.

As was seen in Figure 4.5, there is considerable variability in the college enlistment rates across regions, with the highest rates occurring in the South. There is also an appreciable amount of variability in the rates within states, as can be seen by comparing the

- 44 -

enlistment rates for the large metropolitan areas in California and Texas. (See Table A.1, appendix.)

Some of this variability results from a clear dependence of the college enlistment rates on population density. This can be seen from the table below, which shows the aggregate college enlistment rates for metropolitan areas grouped by size:

- 45 -

Size of Metropolitan Area Number Enlistment Rate-

Nonmetropolitan area	· · ·	2.13
Less than 250,000	152	1.55
250,000 - 500,000	<b>70</b> .	1.75
500,000 - 1,000,000	43	1.47
1,000,000 - 2,000,000	23	1.52
2,000,000 - 4,000,000.	. 11	1 45
Abeve 4,000,000	5	1.18

This dependence of the college enlistment rates on population density becomes evident in the logistic regression results presented in Table 4.3. Here, the units of analysis are the SMSAs, NECMAs, and the units that result from combining the nonmetropolitan areas in each state. In the first equation, separate indicator variables were used for seven population groupings. The regression coefficients for these indicator variables suggest that the pattern of dependence on size of metropolitan area can be captured by incorporating the logarithm of the metropolitar area population as an explanatory variable, as was done in Equation 2. The appropriateness of this specification can be seen from the fact that the value of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  remained about the same as it was for Equation 1, although Equation 2 contains five fewer independent variables.

It can also be seen from Table 4.3 that local economic factors, as measured by unemployment rates and average hourly wage rates in manufacturing, are significant predictors of college enlistment rates at this level of aggregation.

## Table 4.3

## LOGISTIC REGRESSION EQUATIONS RELATING COLLEGE ENLISTMENT RATES TO FACTORS AFFECTING RECRUITING, UNITS OF ANALYSIS: SMSAs, NEUMAR AND NONMETROPOLITAN AREAS FOR EACH STATE

Independent	Equat	ion 1		Equat	Equation 2		
Variable	b	t		b	t .		
			<u>_</u>				
Constant	-7.451	-21.1		-6.808	-18.6		
·							
Economic factors							
Log(unemployment rate)	.388	5.5		.360	5.2		
Log(wage rate)	420	-2.8	,	363	-2.5		
College population base							
Percent of students in	,						
two-year colleges	.619	5.7		.590	5.5		
Percent in civilian	,		•				
labor force	2.407	9.3		2.456	9.5		
				•			
Population characteristics							
Percent black	.004	1.4	÷	.004	1.3		
Percent Hispanic	007	-3.1		<del>-</del> .007	-3.2		
Percent in military service	.058	1.3		.053	1.2		
			•				
Metropolitan area population				•			
Less than 250,000	081	-1,3					
250,000 - 500,000	089	-1.4		• .			
500,000 - 1,000,000	310	-4.7					
1,000,000 - 2,000,000	339	-4.8					
2,000,000 - 4,000,000	431	-5.2					
Above 4,000,000	678	-7.3					
ropulation density adjustments							
Nonmetropolitan indicator			• •	722	-5.2		
(Metropolitan indicator) x			· · ·	• . •	۰.		
log(population/1000)	· · ·			153	-7.0		
	· ·						
Region							
North Central (omitted)							
Northeast	.074	1.2	· ·	.090	1.5		
South	.229	3.2		268	4.0		
Werst.	036	-0.8		020	-0.3		
	~	<b>-</b> ,					
n North Charles and Charles an	3	54 4 0		3	54		
un square	19	6U • 0		19	94		
N = 5 QU 41 (*	0.	28	•	0	58		
ł ,	29	• <b>D</b>			.8		

-----

The two variables that reflect the composition of the college population base have highly significant regression coefficients. The first measure is the percentage of full-time undergraduate students in two-year colleges, which is positively related to college enlistment rates. The second measure--the percentage of the college population base in the civilian labor force--is the most significant predictor (as measured by the t statistics) among the independent variables. In interpreting this regression coefficient, one should recall that this measure would be considerably lower than average in small SMSAs that contain large state universities, such as Lawrence, Kansas. Hence, the aependence of the college enlistment rates on this factor may be spurious because nonresident students add to an SMSA's population base, but the enlistments among these students will show up as increments to the accession counts for other areas.

To eliminate some of the problems associated with interpreting college enlistment rates for small areas, larger units of analysis are needed. One choice involves restricting attention to large metropolitan areas and grouping the smaller metropolitan areas with the nonmetropolitan areas in the same state.

Table 4.4 reports the logistic regression results when the units of analysis consist of the metropolitan areas with populations exceeding one million and the relative complements of these areas in each of the 50 states. With 39 metropolitan areas exceeding a million in population and 50 states, there are 89 units at this level of aggregation.

Interestingly, while most of the regression coefficients remain the same order of magnitude as their analogues for smaller metropolitan areas, the coefficient for "Percent in civilian labor force" is greatly reduced, and it is no longer statistically significant at the 5 percent level. On the other hand, the coefficients pertaining to the percentage of students in two-year colleges remain about the same, adding further evidence that two-year college campuses may be more fruitful locales for military recruiting when compared to four-year colleges and universities. Both regression equations in Table 4.4 again confirm that college enlistment rates are substantially lower in the extremely large

## Table 4.4

## LOGISTIC REGRESSION EQUATIONS RELATING COLLEGE ENLISTMENT RATES TO FACTORS AFFECTING RECRUITING, UNITS OF ANALYSIS: LARGE METROPOLITAN AREAS AND THEIR RELATIVE COMPLEMENTS WITHIN STATES

E

Independent	Equat	ion 1	Equati	on 2
Variable	' b	t	b	t
			: .	· .
Constant	-6.142	-10.3	-4.968	-6.2
Economic factors			· · · ·	
Log(unemployment rate)	.397	3.0	. 349	2.8
Log(wage rate)	737	-3.1	673	-3.1
			•	
College population base			,	
Percent of students in				•
two-year colleges	.614	2.2	. 588	2.2
Percent in civilian			· · ·	
labor force	.725	1.1	.710	1.1
Population characteristics				
Percent black	<del>-</del> .003	-0.6	001	-0.3
Percent Hispanic	014	-3.6	013	-3.6
Percent in military service	.034	0.5	.030	0.5
Metropolitan area population				
1,000,000 - 2,000,000	<b>-</b> .033	-0.3		
2,000,000 - 4,000,000	049	-0.4	•	
Above 4,060,000	<del>-</del> .231	-1.7	• •	
·		e		
Population density adjustments				
Indicator of complements of		•		
large metropolitan areas			-1.208	-2.2
(Large MA indicator) <b>x</b>				
log(population/1000)			168	-2.3
, <b>1</b>	· · ·		•	1
Region	· ·	•		
North Central (omitted)				
Northeast	063	-0.7	045	-0.5
South	.256	2.5	.251	2.ó
West	002	0.0	.016	0.1
				<u> </u>
N		89		39
Chi square	7	94	. 71	71
R-sauare	. 0.	62	0.6	53
F	9	.4	10	9
- · ·				

metropolitan areas after allowing for differences in economic and demographic characteristics of the population.

Since the college enlistment rates in large cities are so highly dependent on population size, if one changes the units of analysis from metropolitan/nonmetropolitan areas to states and MEPS areas, then controlling for the concentration of population in large cities becomes more difficult. One approach would be to use the population density (population per square mile) in conjunction with other measures. After trying this choice and others, we were led by the regression results for the metropolitan area analyses to use two measures: (1) the proportion of the population in nonmetropolitan areas, and (2) the proportion in metropolitan areas times the logarithm of the metropolitan population in thousands. The rationale for these choices rests in part on the fact that these variables would coincide with the measures in the large metropolitan area analysis for those locations that are entirely metropolitan or nonmetropolitan. Moreover, they seem to provide better fits in analyzing the enlistment rates at the state and MEPS levels.

The results of the analyses using states and MEPS areas as units of analysis are given in Table 4.5. For the most part, the regression coefficients for the two levels of aggregation are in line with one another and the results from the metropolitan area analysis. Of special interest to this study is the fact that the regression coefficients for the two-year college percentages are statistically significant (or nearly so) for all units of analysis.

Some of the anomalies that appear in this and earlier tables are attributable to imperfections in our data and lack of fit in the models. Since the percentage of military personnel in the population is only available at the state level, that measure is misspecified at other levels of aggregation. One of the problems in fitting regression models of this type is that regional variation in the enlistment rates cannot be suitably captured using a few indicator variables, and alternative specifications of location (say, using longitude and latitude) are less readily interpretable. Besides, the use of longitude and latitude requires adding an interaction term or other correction terms to allow for the high enlistment rates in the southeastern part of the United

- 49 -

## Table 4.5

50

## LOGISTIC REGRESSION EQUATIONS RELATING COLLEGE ENLISTMENT RATES TO FACTORS AFFECTING RECRUITING, UNITS OF ANALYSIS: 50 STATES AND D.C. (EQUATION 1) AND MEPS AREAS (EQUATION 2)

Independent		Equat	ion 1	Equation 2		
Variable		b	t	b	t	
Constant	· · ·	-6.567	-6.8	-7.010	-9.3	
Economic factors			,		·	
Log(unemployment ra	te)	.436	-2.4	.450	2.7	
Log(wage rate)		617	-2.0	361	-1.2	
College population ba Percent of students	ise in			•		
two-year college Borcont in civilian	S	1.009	2.5	. 624	1.6	
labor force	і, 	. 688	0.7	.760	0.9	
Population characteri	stics	•		: <u>.</u>		
Percent black		~.007	-1.4	.006	1.2	
Percent Hispanic	an a	009	-1.3	003	6	
Percent in military	service	027	0:4	.040	0.5	
Population density ad	justments					
Proportion of popul	ltion in					
nonmetropolitan	areas	.237	0.8	.018	0.0	
<pre>(Proportion_metropo log(population/1</pre>	000) x	076	-1.0	242	-2.4	
· · · · ·				,		
Region North Control (omit	1				•	
Northeast		. 001	0.0	- 025	-0.2	
· South		301	0.0. 1 8	172	-0.2	
West	• •	059	-0.5	064	-0.5	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g or delay line of which diagonal assessments which is an		. 1		· · ·	
N			51	é	57 ·	
Chi squa	re		51	7e	57	
K-square	н. Алан ал	0.	/2	0.0	5.	
r		, δ	•	8.	2	

States. The extremely large values of the chi square statistics in Tables 4.2-4.5 point to a substantial lack of fit that we were not able to eliminate through the inclusion of additional independent variables or respecifications of the model. Thus, the analysis reported here falls short of a full explanation of college enlistment patterns across the nation.

The apparent dependence of the enlistment rates for metropolitan areas on the percentage of Hispanic persons in the population may be attributable to the inclusion of regional indicators rather than other measures of location. Within their regions, Texas and California both have very low college enlistment rates and large Hispanic populations. When longitude and latitude are used in lieu of regional indicators in analyzing college enlistment rates at the MEPS level, neither percentage black nor percentage Hispanic are close to being statistically significant, percentage military is significant (b = 0.174, t = 2.5), and so is percentage of two-year college students (b = 0.954, t = 2.6). Several regression runs at the state level using latitude and longitude instead of regional indicators also point to the dependence of the college enlistment rates on the size of the military population in the state.

Having provided regression equations at the various levels of aggregation, we can look at the residuals at each level for outliers that might represent recruiting hot spots. Unfortunately, purely statistical tests based on the standardized residuals break down because of the lack of fit apparent in the models, but the standardized residuals can still be use to determine the top performers.

At the SMSA level, the three largest standardized residuals are for El Paso, Texas (7.9): rayetteville, N.C. (7.8); and San Antonio, Texas (7.1), all of which are near large military installations. At the large metropolitan level, the top performers are San Antonio (6.7), nonmetropolitan Florida (6.0), and St. Louis (5.7). Among the MEPS areas, the top three are New Haven, Connecticut (5.6); Baltimore, Maryland (5.5); and Beckley, West Virginia (4.6). The state leaders are Georgia (4.9), Florida (4.8), and Connecticut (4.7). For the most part, the distributions of standardized residuals appear to be close to normal

- 51 -

with no marked outliers. The only level that admits a clear winner is the large metropolitan level, where San Antonio stands out, but that may be attributable to the fact that San Antonio is ringed by large military installations, and our measure of the proportion of military personnel for San Antonio is the figure for the state of Texas.

Except perhaps for San Antonio, our search for recruiting hot spots led to identifying areas that are warmer than others, but no area that clearly merits the label of a recruiting hot spot. To he contrary, given the uniformly low level of college enlistment rates across the United States, one might justifiably say that only cool and cold spots are evident.

A positive note pertaining to the two-year colleges and vocational schools is our finding that, in FY82, areas in which the proportion of college students attending two-year colleges was high also had higher than average college enlistment rates after allowing for other factors that affect recruiting. This provides indirect evidence that students attending two-year colleges are more likely to enlist than those in fouryear colleges, which in turn supports our belief that the two-year colleges would provide more fruitful locales for future recruiting efforts than would the four-year colleges. However, our inability to pinpoint areas that exhibit marked success in recruiting students with some college casts doubt about the feasibility of significantly improving college recruiting using existing recruiting strategies and incentives.

- 52 -

### V. RECRUITERS' VIEWS OF THE TARGET MARKET AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PENETRATING IT

- 53

This study sought to identify recruiting strategies and incentives that might be particularly effective in penetrating the two-year college and vocational school market. To this end, we planned to interview recruiters in areas (e.g., MEPS) that were unusually successful in recruiting college students and to contrast their strategies and recommendations with recruiters in other areas.

In general, the search for recruiting "hot spots" proved unsuccessful (see Section IV). Regardless of aggregation level (e.g., state, MEPS, metropolitan area), differences in the numbers of accessions with some college could be explained just as well by economic, social, and geographic factors as by recruiting strategies and incentives.

Rather than first identifying hot spots and then recruiters in them, we sought individual recruiters who had recruited large numbers of college students, wherever they might be. We fully recognized that economic, social, and geographical factors might explain their success just as well as or better than the recruiting strategies and incentives they employed. Nevertheless, these recruiters, by virtue of the numbers of their accessions, would have had sufficient exposure to and experience with the market to make their views and recommendations instructive, especially when contrasted with those of regular recruiters.

This part of our study, then, attempted to answer a set of questions regarding recruiting strategies and incentives based on interviews with "successful" and "regular" recruiters. More specifically, we asked if successful and regular recruiters differ in their:

- demographic characteristics
- characterization of the target market

- strategies for recruiting in the target market
- uses of enlistment incentives
- recommendations for increasing enlistments from the market

Before presenting the methodological details and findings of the interviews, four caveats are in order. First, the data collected from interviews provide information about recruiters' perceptions and "theories" about recruiting in the target market, not about their actual behavior. Observations of recruiters in day-to-day recruiting activities were beyond the scope of this study. Second, some recruiters, being salesmen, may try to "sell" the interviewer with somewhat exaggerated descriptions of their tactics. Although interviewing techniques help to avoid such responses, uncertainty about the validity of the data will inevitably remain. Third, there are certain recommendations for increasing accessions from the market that recruiters are unlikely to think of or to mention. Recruiters are unlikely to think of recommending for themselves training focused on recruiting college students; this recommendation was not mentioned in the interviews. Moreover, recruiters are unlikely to mention quotas or "goaling" for the postsecondary market since such a recommendation would increase their workloads and perhaps result in shortfalls, at least in the near term. As expected, the recruiters did not recommend goaling. Fourth, we make no claim that the successful and regular recruiters are representative of their respective populations; selection strategies and small sample sizes preclude representativeness. However, our objective in these interviews was not to estimate differences between successful and regular populations. Rather, we sought creative, feasible ideas for penetrating the two-year college and vocational school market.

#### IDENTIFICATION OF SUCCESSFUL RECRUITERS

The Defense Manpower Data Center's accessions file for FY82 provided information on every FY82 NPS enlistee with one or more years of college, and the identification number of the recruiter credited with the enlistment. Using this file, we listed the identification numbers of all recruiters, by service, who had accounted for one or more accessions with some college. Of 25,518 such accessions, the Air Force accounted for 8,206 (32 percent), the Army for 10,215 (40 percent), the Marine Corps for 1,593 (6 percent), and the Navy for 5,504 (22 percent). More importantly for this study, only the Air Force and the Army had a substantial number of recruiters who accounted for even five or more accessions with some college (Table 5.1). For this reason, the search for "successful" recruiters was restricted to the Air Force and the Army.

#### Table 5.1

## DISTRIBUTIONS OF ACCESSIONS WITH SOME COLLEGE PER RECRUITER

÷

	Number (Percent) of Recruiters by Service							
Number of Accessions	Army	Navy	Air Force	Marines				
31-40	1 (.02)	0 (0)	3 (.11)	0 (0)				
21-30	12 (.26)	1 (.03)	7 (.26)	0 (0)	•			
11-20	32 (.69)	6 (.19)	67 (2.51)	0 (0)				
6-10	175 (3.79)	5 (1.41)	313 (11.73)	5 (.46)				
5	174 (3.76)	9 (1.85)	173 (6.48)	12 (1.10)				
4	296 (6.40)	5 (4.46)	209 (7.83)	21 (1.93)				
3	563 (12.18)	301 (9.42)	338 (12.66)	71 (6.51)				
2	1110 (24.00)	685 (21.44)	465 (17.42)	222 (20.37)	•			
1	2261 (48.90)	1953 (61.13)	1094 (40.99)	759 (69.63)				

- 55 -

Initially, we arbitrarily defined Air Force and Army recruiters as "successful" if they had recruited 10 or more NPS accessions in FY82 with one or more years of college. (Recall that the Army's CRP set a quota of two college accessions per month or 24 per year--see Section III.) Using this definition, we identified those MEPS with high concentrations of successful recruiters. (We focused on MEPS in order to carry out the interviews efficiently and economically.) As is clear from Figure 5.1, and predictable from the hot spot analysis in Section IV, those MEPS with the most successful recruiters were found almost exclusively in the South and, overwhelmingly, in the Puerto Rico MEPS. These areas, for example, have lower per capita incomes than other parts of the country and traditionally have been more productive for military recruiting.

To improve the geographical spread of interviews across the country (MEPS), especially where there are large concentrations of two-year colleges and students, we adjusted our definition of successful recruiters downward to seven or more accessions with one or more years of college. Even with this definition, the geographical distribution was restricted. In the end, we chose five MEPS in which to conduct the interviews: Jacksonville, Florida; Los Angeles, California; Milwaukee, Wisconsin; Raleigh, North Carolina; and Seattle, Washington. The Los

MEPS	Recruiters with 10 to 19 accessions	Recruiters with 20 or more accessions
- San Juan, Puerto Rico	20	AIIIIIII 18.IIIIIIII
- Raleigh, North Carolina	7	
- Montgomery, Alabama	5 01	
- Fort Jackson, South Carolina	4	•
- Beckley, West Virginia	281	
- Seattle, Washington	3	

F.g. 5.1 -- MEPS with "successful" recruiters

- 56 -

Angeles MEPS served as a site for pilot work. The data presented here were based on interviews with 18 successful and 26 regular recruiters in the remaining four MEPS.

- 57 -

#### PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING THE INTERVIEWS

With the cooperation of the Air Force and Army recruiting commands, representatives of both services at each of the MEPS were contacted and asked to locate those recruiters we had identified (by identification number) as successful, and to arrange for interviews with them. The contact person was asked to: (a) identify successful recruiters from their ID numbers, (b) arrange for interviews with the group of them that would last approximately 1.5 hours, (c) identify regular recruiters, and (d) arrange for the regular recruiters to join the successful recruiters; the joint meeting was expected to last about an hour.

In practice, this interview plan was only approximated at best. In some cases, successful recruiters were no longer at their FY82 recruiting station, others were on leave, and still others were involved in processing an enlistee and were unavailable. In all but one instance, all recruiters, successful and regular, were present for the meeting and remained for the entire interview period. This modification in plans was tolerated since the alternative of d staggered meeting meant that a number of recruiters would be lost because they had to return to the field.

The interviews were carried out during the third week of August 1983. The interviewer first met with the district recruiting commander or has designee. The purpose of this meeting was to explain the study and to gather the district commander's views regarding the postsecondary market. This meeting typically lasted about 2.5 hours.

During the interviews, the interviewer briefly explained the purpose of the study and then began the interview. The interviewer was guided by the following set of topics even though the coarse of the interviews varied as the discussion progressed: (a) demographic characteristics of the rescuiters, (b) demographic characteristics of recruiters' recruiting dreas, (c) links with institutions in the market, (d) comparison of the market with the high school market, (e) comparison of recruiting in two-year colleges with recruiting in postsecondary vocational schools, (f) recruiting strategies used with colleges, (g) enlistment incentives used in the market, and (h) recommendations for increasing the penetration of the market.

- 58

# FINDINGS FROM INTERVIEWS WITH SUCCESSFUL AND REGULAR RECRUITERS

Ferhaps the most striking finding from the interviews was that successful and regular recruiters were quite similar both in their background characteristics and in the recruiting factics and incentives that they used. Indeed, the successful recruiters were surprised to be so identified. They did not systematically work the market in any selfconscious way.

#### Background Characteristics

The 18 successful and 26 regular recruiters were virtually indistinguishable in their background characteristics. Seventy-six percent of the successful recruiters and 74 percent of the regular recruiters had taken courses in two- or four-year colleges, all but one successful and two regular recruiters had the postsecondary institutions in their recruiting areas, all but one successful and two regular recruiters had one to four years of recruiting experience, two-thirds of the successful and the regular recruiters had recruited in one to three recruiting areas, and none of the recruiters had specialized in recruiting college students.

#### Recruiters' Perceptions of the High School and Target Markets

We define a recruiting market as the institutions in the market and their students. Recruiters' characterizations of high schools and twoveir colleges, and the students in them, overlapped greatly, regardless of whether they were identified as successful recruiters. For this reason, we report findings aggregated over successful and regular recruiters.

Recruiters' Ferceptions of High School and the Fostsecondary Institutions. Recruiters characterized high schools is the primary recruiting market and the postsecondary institutions as a secondary
market. They did this on the basis of *market size--there* are overwhelmingly more high schools and high school students. Moreover, the substantial number of prior servicemen found in the postsecondary institutions--370,000 in two-year colleges and 120,000 in vocational schools in FY81 (Shavelson et al., 1983)--entered into recruiters' perceptions of the size of the market for NPS accessions.

The two types of institutions were also characterized by recruiters differently with respect to *access* to eligible students (see Table 5.2). In contrast to the postsecondary institutions, students attend high school on a fixed schedule, most are on high school campuses at the same time, and most high schools have well-established policies with regard to military recruiting.

The institutions in the two markets, however, were perceived by recruiters as similar in their attitudes toward the military. In general, these institutions were viewed as not having favorable attitudes toward military recruiting since their primary focus is

#### Table 5.2

Characteristic	High Schools	Postsecondary Schools
Size of market	Large	Small
Students with prior military service	None	Many
Attendince	Mandatory	By choice
Hours of attendance	Fixed	Variable
Location of students	Clustered	Gu-and-off campus
Access to students	Consistent points of entry	Variable points of entry
Attitudes toward military	Variable, tending to unfavorable	Variable, tending to unfavorable

### RECRUITERS' PERCEPTIONS OF HIGH SCHOOLS AND POSTSECONDARY INSTITUTIONS

- 59 -

academic--to enable students to continue on to postsecondary education from high school or to continue their postsecondary education. Nevertheless, recruiters recognized that these attitudes varied from school to school, especially among high schools.

. .- 60 -

Recruiters' Perceptions of Students in High Schools and the Postsecondary Institutions. Recruiters perceived college students as more mature than high school students. They attributed this maturity to postsecondary students' encounter with the reality of earning a living, to attending a school where attendance is not mandatory, to available alternatives (e.g., civilian labor force, military service), and to earning high or even minimally adequate grades. More than one recruiter, half jokingly, characterized high school students as impulsive, interested more in having cash to buy a car and a stereo than in considering alternatives that build toward a future career (see Table 5.3).

Postsecondary students were also characterized by the rec uiters as more concerned about the quality of life in the military. They were concerned about pay and "shopped around" the different services to determine the maximum pay and benefits they could receive. But concerns about pay and benefits were tempered with other requirements such as job satis.action, acquisition of job skills that provided experience required by civilian jobs, characteristics of coworkers, and the quality of living conditions (e.g., housing, working hours). According to the recruiters, then, college students, more than high school students, viewed the military as an occupational alternative, at least for the near term. Their decisions reflected a deliberate weighing of occupational and educational alternatives.

## Recruiters' Perceptions of Students in Two-year Colleges and Vocational Schools

Recruiters' viewed students in two-year colleges and vocational schools as similar in level of maturity and quality of life factors that influenced their decisions to enlist. Students in the two types of postsecondary institutions, however, differed in several important respects. If anything, students in vocational schools were more career

# Table 5.3

# RECRUITERS' PERCEPTIONS OF STUDENTS

Characteristic	High School	Postsecondary Schools
Reason for attending school	Mandated by law	Expected by student or parent
Goals for military service	Not well formulated	Well formulated
Decisionmaking	Impressionistic	Deliberate
Decision influencers:[a]		
(a) for education	Parents, peers, and educators	Parents
(b) for enlistment	Parents and peers	Independent
Concerns influencing enlistment decision	Immediate needs such as a car	Quality of life such as: income, job satisfaction, skill acquisition, living conditions

[a] A report published by the Orkand Corporation, Parents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Youths' Enlistment Decisions, concluded that "The overwhelming evidence.. suggests that parents do not perceive themselves as having a major role in their children's enlistment decisions. Few parents report ever attempting to influence their children's enlistment decisions" (1983, p. ii).

oriented than two-year college students, initially seeking jobs in narrow skill areas corresponding to their training. Vocational school students were more likely to have tried to find civilian employment before considering military service. And vocational school students were perceived by recruiters as less likely to meet NPS accession standards because they tended to score lower on the Armed Forces Qualification Test, and to have had prior military service.

The recruiters also reported an important difference between twoyear college institutions and vocational schools. The former were more likely to be accredited than the latter. This meant that a student with 45 units from an accredited two-year college qualified for a higher pay grade (E-3) than did a student with the equivalent units from a nonaccredited vocational school. Moreover, even if the vocational school were accredited, some of the courses offered were not considered by the military to be academic courses and, consequently, these units were not counted in the determination of pay grade. Both these conditions associated more with vocational schools than two-year colleges meant that recruiters had less to offer students from the former and therefore experienced less success with vocational school students.

### Tactics for Recruiting in Two-Year Colleges and Vocational Schools

By recruiting tactics, we refer to the ways recruiters gain access to the institutions, deal with administrators' and faculty's concerns about competition for students, make their presence known, and "sell" military service to the students. Here, especially, we expected to find differences between successful and regular recruiters. Perhaps successful recruiters had established cooperative, enduring links with the postsecondary institutions. Perhaps they had overcome what some recruiting commanders perceived as "fear" of this unfamiliar market. Or perhaps the successful recruiters had creative methods for "selling" students from these institutions.

These expectations were not borne out by the interviews. Regardless of whether recruiters were successful in recruiting from the market, they reported following regulations in seeking permission from administrators to visit the campus. The degree of cooperation attained depended, in many cases, on whether someone in administration or on the faculty was a prior serviceman; if so, the recruiter felt more comfortable and tended to experience success in establishing links. As for maintaining a presence on campus, there seemed to be a consensus that a continual physical presence was unnecessary, uncomfortable, and unlikely to be condoned by the institutions, and unwise given recruiting goals.

A physical presence was unnecessary because most students tended to ignore recruiters. Brochures and other recruiting material, if permitted by the institution, provided a reminder of military recruiting to the students. Also, if the recruiter had located a cooperative

- 62 -

individual or individuals in the institution, most often prior servicemen, he would often get referrals. A few recruiters indicated that a physical presence was uncomfortable because some students were less than friendly and more than one recruiter reported confrontations. The recruiters agreed that most institutions that supported recruiting did so with some trepidation--regardless of the assurances from the recruiters that the military was not in competition with the school's goals, the recruiter's continual presence rekindled concerns about competition. Finally, the recruiters unanimously agreed that a continued physical presence would be unwise because, just in terms of numbers, the high school market was much more likely to produce the numbers of monthly accessions needed to meet recruiting goals.

To gain the cooperation of the postsecondary institutions, the importance of assuring administrators, faculty, and students that the military shares the same goal--keeping students in school until they complete their education--was emphasized by the recruiters. Delayed entry programs provided one example of how cooperation could be achieved, ROTC programs linked to two-year colleges were another, "stripes for education" was still another, as were lateral entry programs and the reserves. Even so, recruiters reported that many institutions were unwilling to cooperate.

Successful and regular recruiters also unanimously voiced the same strategy for recruiting students in the market. This is the strategy they used with high school students and the one, apparently, that they were taught in recruiter training. Each student was treated individually. The recruiter attempted to identify the student's educational and occupational goals, and educational and job'-related background. Then the recruiter matched background with enlistment incentives for which the individual was eligible. By showing the student how military service fit with his or her goals and the special benefits that he or she would receive, the recruiter attempted to increase the attractiveness of military service.

Recruiters reported that they tended to contact students at their parents' homes during vacations and holidays. That is, much of the recruiting of postsecondary students was based on follow-up procedures for high school graduates. Typically, these were high school graduates

- 63 -

who had been out a year or two and were still on the recruiter's list of graduates. These contact periods were most propitious since students had just completed examinations and were about to or had received their grades. Students struggling with academics were perceived by the recruiters as being more likely to consider the military as a welcome alternative to school, at least for the enlistment period. They could continue their education while serving and/or return to school afterwards with money to pay for tuition and housing.

The recruiters, then, recruited within the institutions, assuming administrators of cooperation and pointing out enlistment programs that would keep students in school until they had graduated. They also recruited the potential "stop out"--the student struggling with school, finances, or both--at home as part of their regular follow-up of high school graduates.

The market, when defined as institutions and their students, exists in two places--at school and at home. Although the majority of students attending two-year colleges live at home and within a 50 mile radius of the college (Shavelson et al., 1983), often the college and the home fall in different recruiting areas. This means that a recruiter who works with a student extensively at the local college is likely to lose the student to his or her home recruiter. This partitioning of the market acts as a disincentive to recruiters for working postsecondary institutions. A recruiter, then, who is credited with many "assists" might achieve a local reputation as a dedicated recruiter, but is also liable to experience shortfalls in recruiting goals and the sanctions that ensue. The Army's experience with college-designated recruiters suggests that having recruiters whose sole responsiblity is to recruit from postsecondary institutions is probably not a viable solution to this problem if other changes in the recruiting incentive structure are not made, because it may create a disincentive for recruiters to recruit high school graduates who continue their education. Perhaps some kind of partial credit toward enlistment goals is a workable compromise that might be explored.

The recruiters reported several differences in working with students from the postsecondary market as compared with students from the high school market. First, since postsecondary students might be eligible for more or different enlistment incentives, it was imperative that the recruiter be fully familiar with the "product" they had to offer. This was especially important since college students were likely to shop around for the best incentive package offered by one or another service. Second, since college students acquired a vocabulary adapted to the college environment--a language referring to courses, units earned, buildings and other locations on and off campus, etc.--the recruiters said that some minimal knowledge of this vocabulary was necessary. Finally, recruiters said that college students' enlistment decisions were made more deliberately than high school students' decisions, and were less influenced by parents, peers, and recruiters.

#### Enlistment Incentives for Recruiting in Postsecondary Institutions

Recruiters were asked if they had found any enlistment incentives particularly useful in recruiting from the market. We expected to find educational benefits, enlistment bonuses, stripes for education, loan forgiveness, tuition assistance programs, and the reserves particularly attractive to postsecondary students. In general, we were not surprised. Army recruiters found educational benefits useful because they could offer more in benefits than the other services. Air Force recruiters found loan forgiveness and tuition assistance programs particularly helpful since they "sold" the Air Force as conducive to continuing college education while serving. Neither the Army nor Air Force recruiters found the reserves particularly attractive, and Army recruiters did not find enlistment bonuses particularly attractive to college students.<sup>1</sup>

According to the recruiters, an incentive that proved very attractive to postsecondary students was the opportunity to enter a military occupational specialty in which the student had been trained, or for which the student wanted to acquire skills and experience. This was especially the case when a student was eligible for a lateral entry

<sup>1</sup>Data from recruiting experiments on educational benefits and enlistment bonuses are available and could be analyzed to determine whether recruiters' perceptions fit with actual enlistment behavior of individuals with some college in their backgrounds. Such an analysis was beyond the scope of this study.

- 65 -

program. Unfortunately, the job specialities sought by college students were also the ones that were generally attractive; often they were not available to be offered.

### Recruiters' Recommendations for Increasing Market Penetration

At the close of the interviews, recruiters were asked to make recommendations for increasing penetration of the market. Although none had thought systematically about this before, a number of their suggestions regarding students and institutions might bear further consideration (see Table 5.4). Not unexpectedly, they did not mention special training or quotas for students; nevertheless we have included them in Table 5.4.

# Table 5.4

Focus of Recommendation	Recommendation
Students	Focus media campaigns on two-year college and
•	Give college students priority for attractive job specialities.
• •	Provide additional lateral entry options.
	Increase the number of prior-service accessions.
Institutions	Develop ASVAB testing in market.
	Provide links to administrators and faculty with prior military service.
	Educate the educators about the benefits offered by military service.
	Provide an adequate, realistic lead time to develop market.
Recruiters	Provide training focused on recruiting from target market.
	Establish recruiting goals for accessions from target market.

#### RECRUITERS' RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RECRUITING FROM THE POSTSECONDARY MARKET

Students. Among the recommendations for recruiting students, the only one that has not been touched upon so far is directing media campaigns to the market. This recommendation grew out of the recruiters' finding that unless college students were considering military service and shopped around, they were not aware of the financial, educational, and job benefits currently offered by military service. In the recruiters' estimation, existing media campaigns were too broad or directed more to the high school market than to the postsecondary market.

Institutions. Links with prior servicemen on the faculty or in the administration of postsecondary institutions was touched upon above. Prior servicemen were found by the recruiters to be the most understanding of and willing to support recruiters. Developing and maintaining these links would, in some recruiters' opinions, facilitate recruiting, especially as recruiters rotate throughout the recruiting area.

A few recruiters (and an education specialist) recommended an Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) testing program similar to the one currently instituted in many high schools. Such a program would provide links with the institutions, identify students, and inform recruiters of their AFQT classification so that enlistment incentives could be planned. Administrators in the institutions, however, would immediately raise the question, "What's in it for them?" At present, ASVAB testing is not geared to college academic or vocational counseling. Furthermore, even if an ASVAB program were implemented with validation studies to determine appropriate uses of the test for college counseling, the number of collegiate institutions that might be attracted to the program is unknown at present. Unless some quid pro quo was offered the institutions, such as military jobs for graduates, the program would probably be viewed as competitive with the educational goals of the institutions, if not a redundant service for students since academic and vocational counseling are centerpieces of these institutions (Shavelson et al., 1983).

- 67 -

The recommendations for "educating the educators" stemmed from the recruiters finding that many educators' views of military service have not progressed beyond the tumultuous Vietnam era. They are unaware of educational and other benefits offered by the Armed Forces, the opportunities for continuing higher education while serving, and the jobskill training provided. Whether this "education," in whatever form, would change the attitudes of those educators who do not support recruiting remains to be seen. At least it would have the virtue of updating educators' knowledge of the Armed Forces and what they have to offer youth.

In addition to the recruiters' recommendations, two additional mechanisms that might increase accessions from the market are readily available--training and quotas (goals). While the payoff associated with training is uncertain at this time, providing recruiters with some knowledge of the postsecondary institutions and the students is probably worthwhile. The quota mechanism is more problematic. When mentioned by the interviewer, the recruiters said they already had enough goals. If the decision were made to establish goals, alternative ways in which this may be accomplished, perhaps including those briefly discussed above, should be carefully evaluated before implementation. Implementation of a policy for setting goals for two-year college and vocational school students should be monitored closely with the possibility of modifying the policy or its method of implementation.

### SUMMARY AND IMPLICATIONS FOR RECRUITING

Eighteen recruiters with seven or more FY82 college NPS accessions were interviewed to determine: (a) their demographic characteristics, (b) perceived differences between the high school and postsecondary recruiting markets, (c) recruiting tactics for the postsecondary market, and (d) recommendations for increasing the penetration of this market.

The responses of the "successful" recruiters were contrasted with those of "regular" recruiters, i.e., those with fewer than seven FY82 NPS college accessions. The objective of these interviews was to identify characteristics of successful recruiters and their recruiting strategies and incentives that distinguished them from the regular

- 68

recruiters. Such differences might signal characteristics on which to select recruiters in order to increase enlistments from two-year colleges and vocational schools, or recruiting tactics that might be implemented across the recruiting commands to do so, or topics on which to train regular recruiters to increase accessions from these institutions.

We found that successful and regular recruiters were similar in demographic characteristics, in their recruiting strategies, and in the enlistment incentives they used. Most of the recruiters had taken college-level coursework, most had postsecondary educational institutions in their recruiting areas, most had been recruiting for one to four years, and most had recruited in one to three recruiting areas. None specialized in recruiting from these institutions.

The recruiters distinguished the postsecondary market from the high school market by: (a) size--the high school market is substantially larger, and (b) access--access is easier in high schools due to mandatory attendance and the structure of the high school day. They characterized college students as considerably more mature due to economic and educational realities experienced in college. College students were also perceived as less impulsive and more deliberate in enlistment decisionmaking than high school students. The former were more concerned about the quality of military life in terms of pay, benefits, skill acquisition, housing, and working hours.

The recruiting strategies used in the colleges were the same ones used in the high school market. Educational benefits, enlistment bonuses, and other incentives were geared to individual student's goals and qualifications. Extensive physical presence on two-year college campuses was not part of their recruiting strategies nor was it recommended.

Recruiting incentives perceived by the recruiters as particularly effective included the Army College Fund, loan forgiveness and tuition assistance programs, and the availability of certain popular occupational specialities. Enlistment bonuses (Army) and the reserves (as a means for helping to finance education) were not perceived as particularly effective.

- 69 -

To increase market penetration, the recruiters suggested focused advertising, priority for college students in popular occupational specialties, lateral entry in greater numbers of occupations, and increased numbers of prior servicemen. A few recruiters also suggested developing the high school ASVAB testing program for two-year colleges and vocational schools, providing links to prior servicemen in these institutions, and informing administrators and faculty about what the Armed Forces hud to offer in terms of financial aid for education and job skill training. The recruiters did not suggest, but we added, quotas and training specifically focused on students in these postsecondary institutions.

From the interview findings, we have drawn the following implications for recruiting in the target market.

## Access to the Market

Access to the colleges, in any systematic and enduring way, requires the establishment of cooperative linkages between military recruiting and the institutions. Most have a natural link with military recruiting that might initially facilitate access--prior servicemen who are now administrators or faculty members in the institutions. But initial links cannot be expected to evolve into a lasting relation in the absence of cooperation. And cooperation includes more than assurances that students will not be recruited out of the classrooms and that there are enlistment programs (e.g., delayed entry, the reserves) that support these assurances. Cooperation will probably mean that the institutions get something like priority for job placements or special financial support for their students in return for access (see Section III). In the end, cooperation will probably increase the cost of accessions from the market relative to high school graduates. An important question that remains to be answered is whether or not they are worth the added cost.

- 70 -

### Enlistment Incentives for the Market

The recruiters had some creative suggestions regarding incentives for recruiting college students. Some--such as focused advertising campaigns--require little, if any, changes in current recruiting policy. However, even these recommendations must be evaluated to determine whother they are cost effective and whether they might be perceived as direct competition with the institutions for students.

- 71 -

Other recommendations are costly and problematic, such as giving college students priority for attractive jobs, providing additional lateral entry options, or increasing the number of prior service accessions. These options must also be evaluated, for example, by using data to compare the performances of prior and nonprior servicemen drawn from the postsecondary schools, and to assess their effects on the morale of those servicemen not eligible for priority consideration.

Still other recommendations, such as ASVAB testing, are of doubtful merit when the costs of adequately implementing such a program are balanced against their potential payoff and other alternatives.

#### **Recruiter Selection and Training**

Since successful and regular recruiters were similar in background and . scruiting experience, we cannot base selection and training recommendations on differences. However, both successful and regular recruiters pointed to the importance of knowing the "product" and knowing the college population. This suggests that recruiters should be especially selected or trained so that they have good knowledge of the college population and packages of enlistment incentives for which college students are eligible and to which the students are particularly attracted. However, this recommendation is costly both in terms of implementing it and in terms of the costs of high school graduate shortfalls because the top recruiters have been removed from this market.

# VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 72 -

The two-year colleges and vocational schools present a dilemma to military recruiting. The students in these institutions provide attractive potential sources of high-quality enlistees. Many entering freshmen are single, above average in aptitude and achievement, and in good physical condition; enlisted men with one or more years of college have higher AFQT scores and complete their first term of enlistment at higher rates than do other high school graduates. However, the number of potentially recruitable men in these institutions is small compared to the number of potentially recruitable high school graduates, college enlistment rates are uniformly low across the country, and past attempts to systematically recruit these students have not been successful.

Therefore, the feasibility of directing large-scale recruiting efforts in the two-year colleges and vocational schools is uncertain. Instead of drawing conclusions and making recommendations on strategies to improve recruiting in these institutions, we recommend collecting additional information to reduce this uncertainty.

## MONITOR THE POSTSECONDARY MARKET

The postsecondary market--two-' and four-year colleges, vocational and technical schools, and the civilian labor force--is potentially far too important to be neglected by military recruiting. Yet, in comparison to the high school recruiting market, little is known about the number and quality of nonprior servicemen who might be enlisted from each of these postsecondary sectors, or about the costs and benefits of recruiting from them using feasible recruiting tactics and incentives.

We recommend that DoD monitor enlistments from the postsecondary market more closely than has been done in the past. Implementation of this recommendation would require little additional data collection. Instead of just recording how many years of college each enlistee has completed, we recommend recording: (a) whether an enlistee had attended college but completed less than one year, as well as one, two (etc.) years of college, (b) the type of college or postsecondary school he iast attended, (c) the date he last attended college or postsecondary school, and (d) the enlistee's main activity immediately preceding enlistment (e.g., unemployed, attending a two-year college, working in construction).

This additional information would permit policy analysts to pinpoint the various postsecondary sectors more precisely than is currently possible. For example, in this study we could only identify enlistees "with some college" in their backgrounds and not enlistees from two- and four-year colleges, from vocational/technical schools, or from the civilian labor force. Moreover, we suspect that a substantial number of men had attended college but had dropped out before completing a full year of college. Hence, estimates of the number of enlistments from the postsecondary education market may be low and may give a false impression of the recruiting potential of certain types of postsecondary institutions.

# CONDUCT ADDITIONAL RESEARCH ON THE POSTSECONDARY MARKET

Little research has been conducted on the postsecondary recruiting market. Our study of two-year colleges and vocational schools has begun to address questions of market size, quality, and penetrability, but substantial work remains to be done on penetrability, performance during service, and recruiting costs. Other sectors of the postsecondary market have not been systematically studied (but see Becerra, 1983).

Instead of concentrating on particular types of institutions, we recommend conducting additional research on the entire postsecondary recruiting market, which may provide two-thirds of all military enlistments. This research would capitalize on existing data bases and might include the following: (1) an analysis of DNDC accession files to determine the extent to which enlistees with some college fill critical occupational specialties in the services, progress through the ranks, separate early, reenlist, and so on; (2) an analysis of the Educational Benefits Experiment (Fernandez, 1982; Polich, Fernandez, and Orvis, 1982) to identify enlistments with some college and compare their enlistment rates with those of high school greduates under alternative incentive conditions; and (3) an analysis of High School and Reyond (HS&B) to determine the flow of high school seniors into higher

- 73 -

education, the civilian labor force, and military service, and subsequent changes within those sectors over time.

## , DMDC Accession Data: Performance during Service

Given currently low enlistment rates from and probable added costs of penetrating the postsecondary market, the question remains, "Are they worth it?" An attempt should be made to track the military careers of men with some college and compare their careers with those of non-high school graduates and high school graduates in terms of their filling critical occupational specialties, rate of progress through the ranks, assignment to leadership roles, rates of separation and retention, and so on. Data bearing on military careers are available from DMDC and, with the appropriate analysis, may help reduce the uncertainty about the contributions of enlistees with some college in their backgrounds.

### **Education Benefits Test**

Recruiters consider education benefits to be attractive recruitment incentives to two-year college students. The question remains, "To what extent do education benefits influence enlistment behavior of men from the postsecondary market?" To answer it requires objective evidence. Data from the Educational Assistance Test Program, a national experiment, provide one such source of evidence. The test was conducted from December 1, 1980, through September 30, 1981, and comprised the following programs:

- Control Program: The basic Veterans Education Assistance
   Program (VEAP) was available in all services, and in the Army,
   "kickers" of up to \$6,000 were made available to qualifying
   enlistees
- Ultra-VEAP Kicker Program: This program contained the same package as the Control Program but the Army "kickers" were raised to a maximum of \$12,000.
- Noncontributory VEAP Program: DoD paid the VEAP contribution (\$2,700) for qualifying enlistees in all services; the Army offered "kickers" of up to \$6,000.

- 74 -

Tuition/Stipend Program: For qualifying enlistees in all services, DoD paid for tuition assistance (\$1,200/year) plus a subsistance allowance (\$300/month), for up to four academic years; the benefits were indexed to inflation; the enlistee could exercise the option to transfer these benefits to dependents or to cash them out upon reenlistment; and no extra benefits were offered to Army enlistees.

Each program was offered in geographically dispersed areas of the country to individuals with I to IIIA AFQT scores. By comparing enlistees with some college in their backgrounds across the four test conditions, uncertainty regarding the effectiveness of education benefits in the postsecondary market can be reduced.

## High School and Beyond: Senior Class of 1980

The study of the flow of high school seniors into the postsecondary market grows out of a concern for obtaining good estimates of the number of students who leave two-year colleges and other postsecondary sectors to enter military service. To date, DoD data only permit identification of enlistees who have completed one or more years of college, wherever' they came from. DoD data do not permit us to distinguish enlistees who enrolled in but did not complete their first year of college, and college dropouts might have high propensities for military service.

An analysis of the base year survey (1980) and first two followups (1982, 1984) from HS&B would permit estimation of the proportion of the 1980 senior class that entered military service within two and four years of graduation, and how many of these enlistees came from twoyear colleges and other postsecondary sectors. Such an analysis would facilitate characterizing enlistments from high schools, two- and fouryear colleges, other postsecondary institutions, and the civilian labor force. It would provide firmer estimates of the numbers of enlistments from each sector, data on the quality of enlistments, and new data on factors influencing the enlistment decision. As a consequence, DoD would have better information for deciding whether and on what postsecondary sector to target recruiting.



Table A.1

COLLECE RECRUITING FERFORMANCE MEASURES BY STATE AND METROPOLITAN ARLA, FISCAL YEAR 1982

.

-									
State/Metropolitan Area	Total	HSG	Some	Coll. Grad.	With Some Coffege	Undergra	aduates 4-year	Pop. Base	Some Coll.
Alabama	5,695	4,317	475	151	107 69	200,05	82.272	181,065	2.62
Anniston, AL	234	1/1	23	9	240.5	с ,	4, 800	6,642	3.36
Birmingham, AL	1, 163	9/8	81	÷.	17,210	6,464	12,647	36, 321	2.40
florence, AL	188	2	₹:	= (	2,600	-0-	4,1/9	6,179	2.07
Gadsden, AL	100	140	11	N 0	6,211	- 66.6 . 7	0 2 610	100.4	4. 15 
Mobile At	668	507		È	8.236	1.93		17.363	3- 86
Montgomery. AL	555	414	5	55.	5.572	1,387	1.619	14,638	3.48
luscaloosa, AL	159	118	16	1	4,033	1,964	13, 295	262 61	0.83
Nonmetropolitan areas	1, 539	1,513	141	60	21, 381	13, 3/4	24,888	59,643	. 7.36
Alaska	295	- 252	15	-	6, 753	5,11,2	4.135	13,640	1.10
Arizona	3,973	2,994	233	92	68,524	27, 107	121 95	152,358	1.53
Phoenix, AZ	2,079	1,489	124	5:	41,372	14,985	28,520	84,871	1,46
Pucson, AZ	372 1 0 1 0	6/5		50	16,13/	5,604 6.518	19, 322 R RR5	41,063 26 h18	1.07
NUMMET LODO I LAIL ALEAS	040.1	660	6	2		.01710	600,0	016101	04.5
Arkansas	3, 157	2,492	221	15.	32,984	7, 784	45, 161	85,929	2.51
Fayetteville-Springdale, AR	246	189	17	<del>-</del> -	4,219		12,054	16.273	1.01
Fort Smith, AK-OK	242	161	2		2,042	2, 130	0 2 2		5. 50 5 5 5
LITTE KUCK+NU, LITTIE KUCK, AN Dina Aluff Ar	991	100	Ja	2-	1.315		005		95.2
Nonmetropolitan areas	1,856	1.496	123	111	16, 778	4,250	24, 326	45,354	2.11
California	23,636	11, 725	1.540	163	646, 391	308,890	369, 907	1; 325, 194	1.16
Anaheim-Santa Ana, CA	1,508	1,093	8/	12	7 550	0/ h / 15	24,948	121, 540	27.0
Chico. CA	186	152	<u>,</u>	. =	5,495	2,627	11, 354	19,476	0.46
Fresno, CA	522	351	36	ŝ	14,514	6,545	11,650	32,109	1.10
Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA	106.9	4,930 200	410 81	24	202,231	81,129	116,415	C17,804	20.1
oxnard-Simi Valley-Ventura. CA	655	162	<u>.</u>	<u>;</u> =	- 11,898	1.512	1, 334	20, 784	2.41
Redding, CA	. 211	169	17	= <u>}</u>	2,235	2,922	0	191.9	3.30
Riverside-San Bernadino, CA	1,901	1,110	26	02	31,062	15, 149 16, 600	111, 317 26, 866	20, 278	
sacramentu, uv Sarinas-Spaside-Monterev CA	180	223	5	-	5.254	3.6/3	- /01	9.034	1.66
San Diego. CA	1.829	1,369	163	11	52,631	27, 393	38,480	118,510	1.38
San Francisco-Oakland, CA	2,888	2, 104	212	81	92,598	41,337	52,133	186,068	1. 14
San Jose, CA	1, 155	804	5	, 55 , 5	13,357	20, 969		81,969	- 0.85
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria, CA	290.		07	, , ,	11,009	3,116	192 9	31,093 -15 /37	0.07
Santa Rusa. CA	336	241	16	12	6,859	4,895	3, 145	14,899	1.07
Stockton, CA	394	295	12	•	7, 756	2, 7.9	3,268	13, /83	1.52
Vattejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA	544	111	29	80	7,072	3,900	2,206	13, 1/8	2.20
Visalia-Tulare-Porterville, CA	249	181	22 2 2 2	2	4,400	3, 592 5 580	•	8,04/	
Nonmetropolitan areas	1,511	1,264	86	50	26, 358	12,399	19,093	57,850	64.1

- 79 -

O

PREVIOUS PAGE IS BLANK

.

.

• • • •	-	Enlistmen	ts, FY82	1.  -	Labor Force	LINI	-time	0.00	Accs. with
State/Metropolitan Area	Total	HSG	Some Coll.	Corr. Grad.	College	2-year	4-year	Base	per 1000
							,		
Cotorado	3.752	2,689	240	66	15,515	16, 795	78, 1, 75	170, 785	1.41
Colorado Springs, CO	646	1169	55	16	6,937	3,858	4,564	15,359	5.08
Denver-Roulder, CO	1,824	1,228 -	201	00	106,14	+01 (0	31, 101 111 1118	21, 795	0.32
Fort Collins, CO	141	108	-0	C	4,300	1,408	8,401	14, 109	0.64
Duchlo CO	222	111	10	ŝ	2,491	110	4,033	7,264	1.38
Nonmetropolitan areas	117	558	56	6	12,443	ц, 025	9,872	26, 340	2.13
	117 5	A ROL	266	57	72.519	14.189	61.841	148,549	1.79
Contecticut Rridgenort-Stamford CI	.151.	569	117	18	17,374	2,295	10, 726	30, 395	1.55
Hartförd-New Britain-Bristol, CI	1,339	1,035	80	2	21,457	5,990	29,634	63,081 20,240	1.27
New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CI	937	696 251	28 7	ŧ,	18, 270	2,001	1.639	8.063	3.35
New Longon-Norwich, Li Nonmetropolitan areas	374	273	29	=	4,690	134	2,346	1,110	3./3
Belavare	883	.713	hh	16	13,274	4,360	16,245	33,879	1.30
Withington, DE-NJ-MD	695	334	34	<u>~</u> ^	12,108	3, 828	14,005 2,240	29,941 6,555	1.14
Nonmetropulitan areas	7		3	-					
District of Columbia	262 261 H	639	55 307	19	16,456 76.339	0 20,687	35,273 70,102	51,729	1.06
washington, bo-no-ya					100 350		116 010	203 662	17 6
Florida	15, 348	11,316	1,001	0/5 -	156,739	2.516	0	4,356	3.44
Bradenton, IL Bavenua Roach El	417	363	<u>.</u> 5	Ē	5,803	3,694	3,505	13,002	3.08
fort landerdale-Hollywood, fL	1,161	80.9	12	53	18,819	6,173	1,562	28,504	2.60
fort Myers-Cape Coral, fl.	331	2112	16		27277	202 1		3.343	8.67
fort Walton Beach, FL Cainnevilla Fl	122	241	<u>.</u>	5	9.366	4,194	22,807	36, 367	1.15
Jacksonville, fl.	1,351	1,050	5	21	12, 572	5,722	6,016	24,310	3.41
Laketand-Winter Haven, fl	589	461	27	22	5,858	2,042 4,759	3,070	13,696	4.02
Mercourne-Filesvirie-cocor, 's	1,651	1, 184	113	38	37,895	15, 893	16,823	70,611	1.60
Ocata, fl.	270	224	203	m į	15,621	5,964	9, 750	31,335	2.20
Urtando, tl. Pasama Citv fl	253	6/1	<b>.</b>	, <del>1</del>	1, 742	1,859	0	3,601	9.16
Pensacola, fl.	738	531	78	£	6,138	3,219	2,100 500	11,448	6.81 3.16
Sarasato, fl Isilahacene fl	240	1/3	0 1 8 1	- 5	8, 346	2,1/6	18,737	29,261	1.64
fampa-St. Petersburg, Ft.	2,582	1,847	171	22	28,622	14, 171	16,817	59,610	2,87
<ul> <li>West Paim Beach-Beca Raton, fl., Normetropolitan areas</li> </ul>	594	1,610	115	, 88	13, 100	6,451	6, 153	26, 304	. 4.37
	1 Y Y Y	6 165	545	192	95.337	25,470	90,729	211,536	2.58
Albany, GA	261	199	15	6	2, 145	1, 150	1,618	4,913	3.05 0.52
Athens, GA	156	103	101	9	5,698 ht 278	0,015	311,739	90.032	2.12
Atianta, GA Augusta, GA-SC	503	110	25	52	5,023	1,032	4,796	10,851	2.30
Columbus, GA-AL	472	360	50	16	3,693	1,433	2, 740.	7,528	6,36 L 65
Macon, GA	000 845	151	55	2 4	3,367	547	3.798	7,762	4.38
Savannan, uA Nonmetropolitan areas	3,681	2,946	191	. 63	26,542	12, 109	24,679	63,330	3.11

· · ·

.

- 80 -

÷

		Enlistmen	ts, FY82		Labor Force	Full	-time	000	Accs. with
State/Metropolitan Area	Total	HSG	Coll.	Grad.	College	2-year	4-year	Base	per 1000
				1				·	
i i ava i i	1,079	879	93	23	25,613	9,880	19, 151	54,644	1.70
Honolulu, HI Nonmetropolitan area;	250	216	51	<b>م</b> ر	20, 981 4, 632	8,039 -	2,672	46,099	1.76
	. 1 760	1 028	07	26	21 000	8 0 18	10 8/11	118 870	1 0.8
Boise City, ID	309	215	22	6	5,648	0	6,385	12,033	1.83
Nonmetropolitan areas	1,050	813	75	20	15, 352	8,038	13,456	36,846	2:04
I i no i s	12,932	10, 171	742	246	265, 364	99,883	202,269	567,516	1.31
Bloomington-Normal, IL	128	96	~ <del>;</del>	æ <u>;</u>	7,352	0 7 0 0	17, 798	25,150	0.12
Champaign-Urbana-Kantou!, it chineed 11	180	051 5	315	011-	161 581	5,020	78 8111	59,435 207,671	1.16
becatur. It	234	161	91	Ĩ	2,223	850	1,502	4,575	3.50
Kankakee, HL	162	133	8		1,945	126	1,741	4,619	1.73
Peoria, it	510	391	34	æ i	7,691	4,082	4,893	16,666	2.04
Rockford, H	616 0 10	524	77	<u>ה</u>	5, 128	2,374	00/	8,17,3	1.12
Nonmetropolitan areas	2,919	2,406	204	36	48,059	20,933	60,560	129,552	1.57
	A 779	1 261	1126	130	98.928	20.197	132.685	251_810	1.69
Anderson - M	287	233	19	=	1,882	0	1.730	3.612	5.26
Bloomington, IN	100	11 .	<u>.</u>	m	6,174	0	22,438	28,612	0.38
Etkhart, IN	-130	108	٥	:	1,681	0.00	1,095	2,776	3.24
Evansville, IN-KY	. 411 551	321	<u>.</u>	x 3	7 326	2,079	5 103 5 103	14,042	10.1
Garves mond-fast Chicago. HN	1.192	1.020	9	1	11, 113	1,265	8,403	20, 781	2.21
Indie Jolis, IN	1,847	1,480	- 16	34	19,043	3, 325	10, 874	33,242	2.92
Kokomu, N	208	691	2:		1,315	782	668 25 557	2,765	5.06
Lafayette-Mest Lafayette, IN Muncie IN	261	156	2:	h e	u, 730	1 326	111.325	20,212	0.540
South Bend, M	332	211	11	• ~:	5,941	1,245	11,054	18,240	0.93
Jerre Haute, IN Mommatricities areas	259	214	113-	8 8 8	4,839 10,205	840 5.947	10,516	16, 195 37 914	0.99
			2	3 1			21.1.2		
<u>0V8</u>	4,247	3,402	334	73	70,837	27,128	71,158	169, 123	1.97
begar maptus, iA Davennort-Rock island, iA-11	705	546	38	;=	8,542	3,962	3,856	16, 360	2.32
Des Moines, 1A	064	381	28	13	9,074	4,766	6,030	19,870	1.41
Dubuque, tA	112	126	-	0~	2,342	00	2,925	5,267	0.76
TOWA CILY, TA	188	116	1 1	n ()	2,487	1.476	1,998	5.961	2.18
Watertoo-Cedar Falts, 1A	287	236	25	ı د	4,681	1,699	8, 199	14,579	1.71
Nonmetropolitan areas	2,316	1,865	195	10	35,958	12,950	31,819	80, 727	2.42
Sesue	2,300	1, 768	117	39	60,866	15, 723	60, 370	136,959	0.85
Lawrence, KS	51	47	~ ~	N N . 2	5,9/2 3,841	068	2 762	22,976	0.09
HOPEKA, KA Michita KS	390	5962	- 1 -	- -	10.624	831	7.775	19.230	0.57
Nonmetropolitan areas	1, 135	874	73	23	30,598	9,822	31,989	72,409	1.01

- 81 -

ţ

		•	
,			
/			
ł			

		Enlistmen	ts, FY82		Labor Force	Lul	l-time		Accs with
State/Metropolitan Area	Total	HSG	Some Coll.	Coll. Crad.	vith Some College	Undergi 2 -year	adua tes 4-year	Pop. Base	Some Coll. per 1000
•									
Kentucky	4,890	3,769	299	89	59,596	17,164	71,968	148,728	2.01
touisvitie KY-IN	1 556	300	38 105	÷.	10,/32	1,812	18,430	30,974	1.23
Ovenshoro, KY	134	108			1 203	1.750	12,304	31,482	2.80
Nonmetropolitan areas	2,335	1,837	128	36	24,971	6, 393	36,619	67, 583	1.88
touisiana	3,775	2.882	240	76	79 517	6 929	101 271	187 820	, ac t
Alexandria, LA	173	1111	11	=	1,985	807	561	101,020	1.07
Baton Rouge, LA	366	258	3 <u>0</u>		13,630	0	27,523	41,153	0.73
lake Charles, LA	911	122	יז כ		5,407	00	10,652	16,059	0.56
Monroe, LA	199	148	20		3.587		1,666	11 253	0.64 1 78
New Orleans, LA	1.091	661	69	26	24, 762	4,612	22, 185	51,559	1.34
Nonmetropolitan areas	1,-196	505 970	592	22	6,547 20,197	919 591	2,824 25,338	10,290	3.60
								0.1	07.1
Raine MF	162,5	1,905	116	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	20,491	4,419	24,504	49,414	2.35
tewiston-Anburn, ME	203	163 163	101	=~	4,228	188	9,419	14,435	1.59
Portland, Mf	126	352	212	• •	5.441	1.528	- 6, 515	3, 204	2 83
Nonmetropolitan areas	1,045	898	54	22	7,099	1,564	6, 309	14,972	3.61
Marytand	6,374	5, 154	386	120	91,116	219.915	70.462	191,493	2 02
Baltimore, MD Fimberiand Mo-UV	3,068	2,476	180	41	43, 539	13,981	31,645	89, 165	2.02
Hagerstown, MD	155	123	29	سرن	2,002 1.387	1.941	2,824 0	6,827	2.49
Nonmetropolitan areas	885	120	112	16	6,869	1.861	7,886	16,616	2.53
Massachusetts	6,884	5,300	386	165	160,575	41.661	185.944	388,180	60 U
Boston-Lovell-Brockton, MA	3,900	3,019	204	8/1	107, 128	25,035	119, 784	251,947	0.81
Pittsfiełd MA	205	191	12	<u>~</u> ~	8,657 1 70.8	2,056	8,095	18,808	1.44
Springfield-Chicopee-Hulyoke, MA	146	713	57	51 51	20.434	6,137	35,869	9,090 62 440	0 01
Worcester-fitchburg, MA Nonmetrupotitan areas	876 356	669 271	57	33	16,452 4,156	1,627	16,685	37,764	
Michigan	15.341	12.514	752	202	216 816	16 620	181 165	102 L L	
Ann Arbor, Mi	317	244	26	6	15, 199	2,665	31, 323	49, 187	0.53
BALLIC CROCK, MI Bav Citv. Mi	320	- 11/2 -	000		3, 193	1,520	1,842	6,555	1.53
Benton Harbor, Mt	245	203	۰ <u>۲</u>		- 3, 509	066 5	1 614	6,331 6,170	1.42
Betroit, MI	6,983	5,646	300	6,6	90,521	34,022	35, 203	159, 746	1.88
LIGE, MI Crand Bacide MI	, ,11, ,	933	37		. 9,241	4, 348	4,764	18, 353	2.02
Jackson, MI	209	181	13	~ =	18,088	6, 446 2, 034	13, 127	37,661	0.98
Kalama700-Portage, MI	644	349	31	12	11,089	2,040	15,864	28,993	1.07
Eansing-East Lansing, Mi Muskenon-Norton Shores Mi	500	11/11	58	81-	21,127	5,216	. 31, 456 -	661,13	0.71
Saginav, MI	148	E C	2.5	• ~	0115 0116	<, 013	0 0	6,088 6,111	3.29
Nonmetropolitan areas	3,093	2,558	187	31	30,019	9,717	46,381	86, 177	2.17

- 82 -

		<u>Enlistmei</u>	nts, FY82 Some	Coll	Labor Force With Some	Full Underar	-time aduates	Pop.	Accs. with Some Coll.
State/metropolitan Area	Tota	I HSG	Co 11.	Grad.	College	2-year	- 4-year	Base	per 1000
			•						
Minnesota	5,68	4,468	327	124	120,636	23,667	103,816	248,119	1.32
Duluth-Superior, MN	45	6 325 325	32	2,0	1,428	1,414	8,844	000 / 1	
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN	12 <b>1</b> 2		20	<u> </u>	3.506	1,984	873	6,363	1.57
St. Cloud, MN	26	219	17	8	6,649	0	12,967	19,616	0.87
Nonmetropolitan areas	2,05	4 1,681	136	242	33,580	4,9,98	30, 541	621 (69	16.1
Mississippi	3, 110	0 2,338	- 328	74	47,289	31,586	44,986	123,861	2.65
Biloxi-Gulfport, MS	37	6 269	. 36	υĵ	3,238	4,909 5,007	U B OK1	0107 25 050	 
Jackson, MS - Decreantla-Moce Point MS		8 149	28 28	2	1,823	0	0	1,823	15.36
Nonmetropolitan areas	2,06	3 1, 596	209	49	31, 363	20,770	36,023	88,156	2.37
Nissouri	7,04	8 5,400	397	116	100,879	20,838	113, 143	234,860	1.69
Columbia, MO	2	6 82 1.:r	90	- <	7,689	0	20, 135	28,424	0.32
Joplin, NO	11,86	C01 C	72	34	28,033	8.406	13,869	50, 308	1.43
St. Joseph. MO	16	121 121	1	~	2,054	0	2,889	4,943	1.42
St. Louis, MO-IL	3,48	0 2,686	221	26	50,480	17,461	27,197	95,138	2.32
Springfield, MO Moumetropolitan areas	2.52	8 1.959 7 - 1.959	145	38	24,566	3,829	39,249	67,644	2.14
				•.					
Montana	1,15	9 923	74	12	16,660	1,671	24,006	42,337	27 . L
UIIIIOS, MI	- C		- 0	ي د	1,215	0	427	1,642	5.48
Nonmetropolitan areas		0 687	58	12	12,857	1,671	20,526	35,054	1.65
Noh rocka	2.12	0 1.646	148	116	43,768	8,809	43,544	96,121	. 1.54
Lincoln, NE	22	1 163	5	£	11, 398	1,269	19,025	31,692	0.47
Omaha, NE-1A Nonmetropolitan areas	. 58 1,06	1 859	88 88	191	12,003	5, 756	12,617	37,017	2.38
			ç	5	16 200	2 E.0.1	0 763	SA BC	2 15
Nevada i a vona vv	1, 13	1 809 1 505	33.62	51	8,946	1,420	4, 609	14,975	2.20
Reno, NV Normetrabolitan areas	28	1 162	20	ma	5,604 2,059	9/9 9/9	5, 144 0	11,424	2.84
	1.71	8 1.289	98	60	20,356	11,460	28,431	53,247	1.84
Manchester-Nashua, NH	05	355	31	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5,793	2,031	7,000	14,824	2,09
Portsmouth-Dover-Nocnescer, Mi Nonmetropolitan areas	13	1 516	36	26	7,474	1, 746 -	11,962	21, 182	1.70
- Tasiar Naw	8,25	0 6,506	389	165	151,716	1 187	108,722	306,512 A 780	1.27
ALIANLIC CILY, NJ Jersev Citv, NJ	56	1100	22	ي م	10,243	1,638	8,317	20, 198	62.0
Long Branch-Asbury Park, NJ	73	4 591	5 <b>3</b>	16	8,625	3, 508 5, 014	21,607	14,055	2,00 0,45 0,45
New Brunswick-retuin Aminut. No Newark, NJ	2,03	5 1,609	109	51	41,973	13,516	34,994	90,483	1.20
Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ Traton Mi	300	6 378 7 229	17	, L	9, 2U2 8, 742	3,090	13,910	27,742	0.66
Vinetand-Milville-Bridgeton, N.		1 157	15	'n	1,597	1,073	0	2,670	5.62

- 83 -

	•		n i stmen	ts, 1782		Lapor rorce		- c i me	no.	
State/Metropolitan Arca		Total	HSG	Some Co I I .	Grad.	VILN SOME College	<u>2-Year</u>	4-year	Base	per 1000
Normetropolitan areas		867	664	140	13	8,270	2,579	958	11,807	3.39
P. Mexico	. 1	2,059	1,678	98	25	24,513	4,455	29,691	58,659	1.67
Albuquerque, NM		2112	627	34	2	11,090	00	13,018	24,108	1.4.1
Las Cruces, NM Noumetropolitan areas		1, 128	939	r 64	n 60	10, 117	4,455	7, 395	21,967	2.23
el Vork		22.058	16.875	1,205	415	397,569	173,714	402,661	973,944	1.24
Albany-Schenectady-Froy, NY		1,141	8/1	87	36	21, 115	9,592	25,895	56,602	1.54
Binghamton, NY-PA		121	326	17	ې	1,273	3,652	7,843	18, /68	0.91
Buffalo, NY		2,084	1,619	130		34,105	221,01	32, 34U	2,906	5.85
Elmira, NY Ciao faire Ny		122	181		- ~	1,714	1.457	0	3,171	4.10
uren tarra, ni Nassau-Suffork, NY		2,767	2, 181	175	57	66, 770	28, 749	38, 323	133,842	1.31
Nev York, NY-NJ	•	8,573	6,346	435	156	185, 193	62,155	179,837	427,185	1.02
Hewburgh-Middletown, NY	ı	111	334	91	2,	4,051	2,502	7/3 5.078	1, 320.	2.18
Poughkeepsie, NY		300 1 1 1 1	1 254		0 <u>2</u>	0, 300	7.023	2010,02	63. 27	1.14
Rochester, NY Svrames, NV	.'	1 089		209	502	17.425	9,226	24,503	51,154	1.17
ayracuat, wr Beirg-Rome NY		630	463	29	13	6,663	5,857	4,661	17, 181	1.69
Nonmetropolitan areas	•	3,403	2,762	172	59	39, 383	27,677	60,881	127,941	1:34
		A 100	6 115th	785	270	109 634	62.025	124.484	296.143	2.65
ASHEVIJE NC		239	151	53		3,277	2,241	3, 132	8,650	1.62
Bertington, NC		111	127	15	5	1,864	826	2,651	5,443	2.76
Charlotte-Gastonia, NC		748	535	00 0	53	14,430	6,4/) 3 600	11,860 2 802	32, 101 9 638	1.03 6.95
iayottoville, NC		120	100	208	41 10	16, 169	6.375	21,162	44.006	2.02
Greensuore-WHISTON-Salem, No Hickory NC		146	101	<u>}</u> -	~	2,118	1,219	161,1	4,528	1.55
Jacksonville, NC		1/1	126	25	=	1,182	1,469	0	2,651	9.43
Rate igh-Durham, NC		642	166	51	- ~	3 100	1000	1 012	6,129	2.45
Salisbury-Concord, NC		208	071	59	<b>.</b>	2,761	0.01	4,082	7,993	3.63
Nonmetropolitan areas		4,155	3, 294	191	131	40,560	32,105	33, 209	105,274	3.69
orth Dakota	-	628	467	- 61	15	22,086	6,619	21, 382	50, 147	1.58
bi suarck, ND		92	63	5:	1	2,995	1,475	801	12,21	2.8.5
Faryo-Moorhead, ND-MN		211	8 8 8 8 0	55	~ ~	0,001	885	7.617	12,849	0.93
trang torks, HU-HN Normetropolitan areas		344	259	45	- 🖓	10,562	5,204	5,565	21, 331	2.11
C.	1	18,091	15, 109	734	261	208,834	58,219	226,260	493, 313	1.49
Akron, OH		616	829	39	1/	15,694	0,00	27,441	43,135	06.9
Canton, Olt	•	699	575	23	22	266,6	2,005	25,887	62,755	11.74
Sincimati, ON-KV-IN		21212	2201	6	25	36.223	10.867	19,365	66,455	1.37
Cotumbus Off		1,662	1,294	106	24	31, 369	4,399	48, 791	84,559	1.25
Dayton, OH		1,850	1,551	92	39	17,210	6,784	20,681	c/9, pp	87.4 •
- Hamiiton-Middletown, OH		485	1100	 	<b>۰</b> ۰	3,066	2.875	1,415	7.356	2.99
Lima, UN Arsia_fivria ON	·	598	529	18	0	4,691	2,463	2,667	9,821	1.83
Mansfield, OH		274	239	14	<b>~</b> 7	1,671	878	945	3,494	4.01
Newark, OH	•	255 122	215	æ 5	æ =	1,882	2/0	2, 914 2 761	0,400 6,940	04.1
Springfield, 0H Stathanvills-Wairton, 0H-WV		931 931	288	22	<del>ر</del> ، ا	2,248	841	1,511	4,600	2.39
			•			•				

- 84 -

• • •

-	. •		nlistment	s FY82		Labor Force	Full	-time		Accs. wit
State/Metropoiitan Area		Total	HSG	Some	Coll. Grad.	vith Some College	Undergr 2-year	aduates 4-year	Pop. Base	Some Coll per 1000
Tatedo, OII-NI		1.25/	1,089	39	હ	19.017	3.549	25.416	47.982	0.81
Youngstown-Warren, OH		872	739	53	14	10.246	1,352	9,800	21 497	1 07
Nonmetropolitan areas		3,670	3, 125	138	48	26,673	11,281	25,834	63, /88	2.16
Qk tahona		2 242	1 721 -	167	A S	65 181	17 012	60 015	162 008	1 00
Enid. OK		53	01	2	·	0110		01,010 570	1517	×0.1
Lavton, OK		161	126	16		1.746		210.2	11 658	1.12
Okiahoma City, OK		634	137	111	13	23,512	5.201	25,792	54,585	0.81
Tuisa, OK		547	604	38	12	14,457	5,228	6, 197	25,882	1.47
Nonmetropolitan areas		623	634	64	Ξ	23,817	6,851	34, 344	65,012	0.98
Oreaon		4.293	1,214	284	. AG	57 007	BAR DC	61 122	110.007	50.0
Eugene-Springfield, OR	•	442	350	11	~	8,156	1, 82h	12 147	95, 197	6.03 74 1
Medford, OR		249	182	=		2.264		313	57.5.77	101
Portland, OR-WA		1.742	1.269	119	39	28,532	16.301	14, 121	58, 954	20.2
Salem, OR		437	312	32	01	5.132	3.512	3.816	12,460	2.57
Nonmetropolitan areas		1,756	- 1, 354	001	31	16,059	8,386	19, 735	44, 180	2.26
Pennsvivania		15.838	12.847	846	161	211 095	747 7AK	24.7 008	500 780	63 1
Attentown-Rethiehem PA-NJ	•	831	682		11	10 721	800 6	16 051	20, 700	202
Altoona, PA		250	220	0	-	1.540	-2.010		3.580	0.51
Erie, PA		504	383	22	12	5,355	011	5, 755	11,250	2.22
Harrisburg, PA		262	- 605 -	91	20	7,857	3,088	9,088	20,033	2.30
Johnstovn, PA	•	8101 611	290	₹÷	~ .	2,911	419	3, 493	6,823	3.52
trucastri, ra Marthoset Domevivenie PA		104	- 017	= [	° ?	221 6		8,331	14,053	00.
Philadetchia PA-Mi		5 513	000 H	010	126	01 /16	106,00	13,070	31,139	<u> </u>
Pitzburgh, PA		2,898	2.110	173	112	41.874	1/0 91	11 120	01 010	
Reading PA		621	329	50	· ~	4.518	1.322	6.024	11,864	69
Sharon, PA		183	156	6		2,195	553	3, 139	5,887	1.53
State College, PA		144	115	11	*	7,036	c	28,099	35, 135	0.31
Kittiamsport, PA		2.2	1/1	5	€ }	2,119	2,896	1, 131	6,146	2.44
VOFK, FA				3.5		044,440	861	4,177	9,478	3.59
			- hh6 2	201	<del>1</del> 0	206,02	4,193	40,850	CHC , H/	1./8
Rhode tstand		1, 180	887	63	112	24,821	5,506	35,047	65,374	0.96
Providence-Warvick-Pavtucket.	<b>1</b>	1.0.1	808	80 i	50	23, 167	5,5116	33,817	62,490	0.93
MEMMETODOISEAN ACOS		604	. 6/	<b>n</b> -	<b>.</b>	1,654	c	1,230	2,884	1.73
South Caretine	•	5,013	3,887	103	8/1 .	61,158	28,338	60,229	149, 125	2.69
Ander son, SC	. 1	951	621	21	-	2,29/	3, 151	0	5,448	2.20
- Charleston-North Charleston, S		- 20 <b>9</b>	604	2	00	8, 792	3, 369	8,171	20, 332	3.44
Editmenta, SC . Elerencia CC		817	520	0		0/C'SI	3,995	17,823	35, 394	1.92
Greenviile-Saartanburg SC		242	5.5		<u>v</u> <u>c</u>	5,4/0 12 178	- 224 224	15 205	200,00	E
Hock Hitt. SC		164	123	12	-	2.513	1.580	1.691	7 784	
Normetroputitan areas		2,063	1.681	151	11	17,865	1, 6'.8	12, 168	37,691	4.06
South Dakota		1,058	773	06	26	- 18, 414	587	24.683	43.684	2.06
Stoux tatts, SD	•	215	144	1	9	3,803	16	2,215	6,112	2.11
Nonmetropoittan areas		841	-628	11	50	14,611	493	22,408	37,512	2.05

85 -

Accs. With Some Cold.

4

1.36 0.77 1.92 22.52 22.52 22.52 22.52 23.55 25.55 2.43 679,117 679,117 679,117 679,118 679,110,176,533 66,878 66,878 66,130,177 70,265 66,130 77,265 77,265 77,265 77,275 77,275 78,130 77,17 77,177 210,8/0 20,965 6,194 17,835 34,330 34,330 42,152 42,152 49,794 32, 273 15, 568 16, 705 104,648 38,023 49,463 17,162 751,384 15,531 3,777 10,990 19,211 34,604 6,038 Base Pop. 115,047 10,781 6,247 6,247 8,630 15,688 15,688 Full-time Undergraduates 100, 304 8, 707 3, 127 9, 173 20, 030 15, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 75, 561 79 261,889 5,151 4,181 4,181 48,833 8,322 8,322 8,322 8,322 8,322 1,285 20, 822 21, 106 22, 106 22, 106 22, 106 23, 106 23, 106 24, 106 24, 106 26, 49.299 21.149 21.404 21.404 18, 916 9, 191 9, 119 25, 286 2, 926 617 617 840 2, 468 5, 948 6, 948 6, 618 9, 718 3, 672 3, 278 2, 768 3,494 19,223 3,583 1,135 1,135 2,827 2,208 2,208 12,621 1,212 3,478 6,020 1,219 2,112 17, 159 1,812 1,072 810 00 36,658 932 1,348 1,348 1,180 2,661 4,724 542 542 0 0 100, 183 3,580 Labor Force with Some Coilege 311.045 22.162 22.162 23.152 2 45,631 13,202 24,781 7,648 85,280 9,332 2,450 6,822 11,832 20,611 18,974 19,682 11,525 4,749 6,776 99,679 3,818 1,694 1,694 3,563 7,920 114,192 Coll. G ad. 22028888 Zeerseerserserengeererenge zzae aze Fulistments FY82 some 28282323 2928223 2928223 52 51 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 20255 1,000,1 10, 791 51.05 525 510101028 510101028 510101028 lotal\_ 5.890 2671 2688 2613 2613 2613 2619 2619 2722 2722 STER. 818 018 199 005 ź Chattanooga, TN Chattanooga, TN Ctarksvilte-Hopkinsville, TN-KY Johnson City-Kingsport, TN-VA Anovville, TN Anovville, TN Mashville-Davidson, TN Monmetropolitan areas Abilene, 1X Amaritto, 1X Amaritto, 1X Austin, 1X Beaumont-Put Arthur-Orange, 1X Brownsvitte-Hartingen, 1X Bryan-Cottege Station, 1X Cotpus Christi, 1X Dathas-fort Worth, 1X tynchburg, VA Newport News-Hampton, VA Nortott-Vrrginia Brach, VA-AC Petersburg-Cotoniat Heights, VA ž. turgview-Marshall, [X Lubbock, FX McAllen-Plair-Edinburg, ] Midland, [X Buessa, FX Sai Anterie, IX Sherman-Denison, [X Sherman-Denison, [X Ster, PX ¥ ah Frovie-Orem, Hf Satt take City-Ogden, Uf Normetropolitan areas State/Metropotitan Area Waco, 1% Michita falls, 1% Nonmetropolitan areas Numetropolitan areas Galveston-ievas City, \$ Kitleen-tempte, fX Chailettesvitte, Victoria, 1X Danvitte, VA Nouston, 1X Laredu. 1X lennes see rginia **FROM** Sex at

- 86 -

Acce with Some Coll. per 1000 - 755 1.19 2.12 0.83 33.49 33.49 1.92 1.92 1.88 .55 81 27 27 27 27 3.05 1.75 2.37 16.2 .64 275,682 17,980 16,354 8,804 8,804 8,804 8,332 5,2,333 5,2,333 5,2,333 5,2,333 6,803 6,803 6,803 6,032 7,0320 239,818 13,326 4,472 6,016 5,107 101,322 101,221,180 29,321 29,321 21,180 44,272 44,272 23,070 3,413 19,657 74, 137 7, 039 12, 118 5, 896 7, 445 46, 371 31,971 8,997 77,452 Pop. Base 27,560 9,776 5,465 125, 114 9, 431 9, 431 1, 416 1, 416 3, 978 8, 400 26, 259 26, 259 26, 259 76 76 76 76 22,411 42,852 2,756 6,237 1,287 2,862 30,140 34, 114 2,646 13,967 1,956 44,801 76,871 9,013 7,203 7.203 <u>Undergraduates</u> 2-year 4-year Full-time 64,872 2,262 765 765 765 9,485 6,629 2,007 2,007 2,007 10,101 4, 391 397 813 1, 882 1, 672 1, 168 1,832 6,789 39, 170 22, 266 1, 084 1, 372 2, 236 2, 430 9, 286 9, 286 9, 286 4,662 1,397 3,265 4, 161 3, 152 3, 152 7, 931 Labor Force with Some College 13,843 3,889 24,720 98,075 3,754 2,210 2,210 2,605 2,605 2,605 104 10,060 9,086 9,086 116,019 1,556 2,226 27,960 11,205 2,016 9,189 26, 894 3, 886 5, 068 2, 727 2, 911 2, 911 11, 398 พจาตวีอยู่สอ Coll. Grad, 23 50 52 26 26 101 ~ 0: m 3.52.2.5 117 Enlistments, <u>ry82</u> Some HSG Coll, 222222 110 191 253 101 101 101 936-35 1.152 103 507 248 248 11, 354 128 11, 354 128 128 599 186 937 937 2,055 259 346 4,852 298 1298 180 280 280 1.198 4, 394 95 3 HSG 210 5-921 921 627 811 811 203 lotal 670 340 2,171 5,912 125 267 202 ,662 348 460 285 296 289 2, 317 2, 317 185 ŝ 431 2 thintington-Ashiand, WV-KY-OH Parkersburg-Marietta, WV-OH Olympia, WA Richland-Kennewick-Pasco, Saattle-fverett, WA Spokane, WA State/Metropolitan Area Roanoke, VA Nonmetropolitan areas Nonmetropolitan areas Normetropolitan Freas Σ Nonmetropolitan areas Numetropulitan arras Āppiētun-Oshkosh, WI Izu Claire, WI anesville-Beloit, Wheeting, WV-OH West Virginia Charleston, WV Washington Bellingham, WA Bremerton, WA GLOCH BAY, WI Nilvaukee, W Richmond, VA Roanoke, VA Sheboygan. W (enosha, WI Tadison, V ž Racine, W Waitsau, W Casper, WY acoma, WA aCrosse, Yakima. scons in **Buimork** 

- 87 -

50 States and D.C

296,388 230,090 18,393 6,118 4,975,895 1,761,337 4,675,063

1.61

11,412,295

#### REFERENCES

- Austin, A. W., M. K. Hemond, and G. T. Richardson, The American Freshman: National Norms for Fall 1982, American Council on Education, University of California at Los Angeles, 1982.
- Austin, A. W., M. R. King, and G. T. Richardson, The American Freshman: National Norms for Fall 1981, American Council on Education, University of California at Los Angeles, 1981.
- Becerra, R. M., An Assessment of the College Market Spring-Summer 1980, Institute for Social Sciences Research, University of California at Los Angeles, May 1983.

Broyles, S. G., and G. C. Davis, *Education Directory: Colleges and Universities 1981-82*, National Center for Education Statistics, Washington, D.C., 1982.

Dearman, N. B., and V. W. Plisko, The Condition of Education, 1981 Edition, National Center for Education Statistics, Washington, D.C., 1981.

Fernandez, R. L., Enlistment Effects and Policy Implications of the Educational Assistance Test Program, The Rand Corporation, R-2935-MRAL, September 1982.

Kanouse, D., et al., Effects of Postsecondary Experiences on Aspirations, Attitudes, and Self-Conceptions, The Rand Corporation, R-2616-HEW, April 1980.

Korb, L. J., "Making the Volunteer Force Work," Defense, 1982, pp. 2-11.

Parents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Youths' Enlistment Decisions, Final Report, Orkand Corporation, Contract MDA 903-81-C+0629, March 1983.

Pepin, A. J., and A. Q. Wells, Associate Degrees and Other Formal Awards Below the Baccalaureate 1978-1979, National Center for Education Statistics, Washington, D.C., February 1981.

Polich, M. J., R. L. Fernandez, and B. R. Orvis, Enlistment Effects of Military Educational Benefits, The Rand Corporation, N-1783-MRAL, February 1982.

**)** 

Shavelson, R. J., G. W. Haggstrom, and J. D. Winkler, Potential for Military Recruiting from Two-Year Colleges and Postsecondary Vocational Schools, The Rand Corporation, N-1946-MRAL, January 1983.



- 89 -

Weinberger, C. W., Military Manpower Task Force: A Report to the President on the Status and Prospects of the All-Volunteer Force, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., October 1982.

- 90 -