



ANALY NEW REPORTED FOR ANTING THE AND A DEPARTMENT OF AND

T L

7

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1964 A MRC Technical Summary Report #2750

A NEW METHOD OF APPROXIMATING NORMAL AND t-TAIL AREAS

Andrew P. Soms



Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin—Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53705

September 1984

(Received July 20, 1984)

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

0



G

85



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

A NEW METHOD OF APPROXIMATING NORMAL AND t-TAIL AREAS

Andrew P. Soms*

Technical Summary Report #2750 September 1984

ABSTRACT

The bounds of Boyd (1959) and Soms (1980, 1984) for the tail areas of the normal and t-distributions are used to obtain a new method of approximating

the tail areas. The absolute and relative errors are given. \sim

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 62005

Key Words: absolute error, normal percentile, normal tail area, relative error, t-percentile, t-tail area

Work Unit Number 4 (Statistics and Probability)



*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041 and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Ĭ

{

A reasonably accurate and simple method of evaluating normal and t-tail areas and percentiles is described. This approach controls both absolute and relative errors, unlike the usual methods which only control the absolute error. The control of both errors is of importance in calculating Bonferroni percentiles and Bonferroni descriptive probability levels, which are useful in simultaneous inference. For example, a nonspecialist in statistics, unaware of the limitations of standard routines, might report a Bonferroni descriptive probability level that had a relative error of 100% or more.

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.



A NEW METHOD OF APPROXIMATING NORMAL AND t-TAIL AREAS

Andrew P. Soms*

1. THE METHOD

We begin by introducing notation and stating the main results of Boyd (1959) and Soms (1980, 1984). Boyd (1959) showed that if

$$h(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2), \quad \bar{F}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) dt,$$

and $R_x = \overline{F}(x)/\hbar(x)$, x > 0, then

$$p(x, \gamma_{min}) < R < p(x, \gamma_{max})$$
,

where $p(x, \gamma) = (\gamma + 1)/[(x^2 + (2/\pi)(\gamma + 1)^2)^{1/2} + \gamma x]$,

 $\gamma_{max} = 2/(\pi - 2), \ \gamma_{min} = \pi - 1$, and the bounds are the best possible in the class $\{p(x,\gamma), \gamma > -1\}$. This is also discussed in Johnson and Kotz (1970, Ch. 33). Soms (1980), 1984) extended the above results and showed that if for arbitrary real k > 0 and x > 0,

$$f_{k}(t) = c_{k}(1 + t^{2}/k)^{-(k+1)/2}, \quad c_{k} = \frac{\Gamma((k+1)/2)}{\Gamma(k/2)(\pi k)^{1/2}},$$

$$\overline{F}_{k}(x) = 1 - F_{k}(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} f_{k}(t)dt ,$$

$$R_{k}(x) = \overline{F}_{k}(x)/[(1 + x^{2}/k)f_{k}(x)] ,$$
for $k > 2$, $\gamma_{max} = 4c_{k}^{2}/(1 - 4c_{k}^{2})$ and $\gamma_{min} = \frac{k}{2(k+2)c_{k}^{2}} - 1$,

and for k < 2, γ_{min} and γ_{max} are interchanged, and

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041 and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.

$$p(x, y) = \frac{1 + y}{(x^2 + 4c_k^2(1 + y)^2)^{1/2} + yx},$$

then

$$p(x, \gamma_{\min}) < R_k(x) < p(x, \gamma_{\max})$$

or equivalently,

$$(1 + \frac{x^2}{k})f_k(x)P(x, \gamma_{\min}) < \bar{F}_k(x) < (1 + \frac{x^2}{k})f_k(x)P(x, \gamma_{\max})$$
,

and the bounds again are best in the same sense as for the normal. It was also shown there that if k = 2, $\gamma_{max} = \gamma_{min} = \gamma_2$ and $R_k(x) = p(x, \gamma_2)$.

The numerical properties of these bounds are discussed in the above references. The important fact to be noted here is that the bounds control both absolute and relative error. Using the bounds as a starting point we now develop a simple method of evaluating normal and t-tail areas that controls both absolute and relative error, as opposed to the usual methods, which generally only control absolute error.

We consider estimates of the tail area of the form

$$\left(\frac{a+bx}{c+dx}\right)_{p}(x,\gamma_{\min})^{A}(x) + \left(1 - \frac{a+bx}{c+dx}\right)_{p}(x,\gamma_{\max})^{A}(x) \quad (1.1)$$

for the tail area of the normal and

$$\left(\frac{a+bx}{c+dx}\right)p(x,\gamma_{\min})g_{k}(x) + \left(1-\frac{a+bx}{c+dx}\right)p(x,\gamma_{\max})g_{k}(x) \quad (1.2)$$

for the tail area of the t, where $g_k(x) = (1 + x^2/k)f_k(x)$. We want the estimates to lie between the upper and lower bounds for the tail area and be strictly decreasing functions of x and therefore impose the added restrictions that

bc > ad

-2-

and

$$0 < \frac{a + bx}{c + dx} < 1 ,$$

all $x \ge 0$. Since f(0) = a/c, we may, without loss of generality, assume that c = 1 and so our weight functions f are of the type

$$f(x) = \frac{a + bx}{1 + dx}$$
, (1.3)

where $0 \le a \le 1$, $d \ge 0$, $b \ge ad$ and $b/d \le 1$. We then seek that particular choice of f which minimizes the absolute error. A direct computer search led to

$$f(x) = \frac{.71x}{1 + .71x}$$
(1.4)

for the normal and

$$f(x) = \frac{b_k x}{1 + b_k x}, \qquad (1.5)$$

$$b_{\rm k} = .71 + 1.8/k - .19/k^2$$
, (1.6)

for the t, where, as noted before, k is the degrees of freedom. (1.6) was obtained by finding the optimal constants for k = 25, 10, 5, 3, 1.5, 1, .5 and fitting a constrained (constant equal to .71) regression line. However, in the interests of simplicity, for $k \le 2$, we did not interchange

 γ_{min} and γ_{max} and so (1.5) and (1.6) are understood to apply for all k with γ_{min} and γ_{max} defined as for k > 2. Numerical evidence indicates that, at least for k = 1, the above optimal estimate is still a decreasing function of x.

The maximum absolute and relative errors of the optimal estimates are remarkably constant over the range $1 \le k \le \infty$ and hence we only give the normal figures. For (1.4), the maximum absolute error is $.66 \times 10^{-4}$ and the maximum relative error is $.97 \times 10^{-3}$. We emphasize once more, that, unlike the usual methods, which generally control only absolute error and give misleading results for large x or small tail areas, the above

- 3-

controls both absolute and relative error and hence can be used to calculate ordinary and Bonferroni descriptive levels and ordinary and Bonferroni percentiles.

As a check, we calculated the standard textbook table of the normal, given, e.g., in Brown and Hollander (1977) and found at most a difference of 1 in the fourth decimal place. We also compared the small normal percentiles given in Abramowitz and Steque (1965, p. 977) to the ones obtained from (1.4) and after rounding both to three decimal places found that there was at most a difference of 1 in the third decimal place. Similar results apply to the t.

2. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have given a method of calculating normal and t-tail areas and percentiles which controls both absolute and relative errors and is simple to implement even on small computers. Four short FORTRAN programs that do this are given in the Appendix. The programs are completely self-contained, using a short FORTRAN subroutine to calculate c_k based on Stirling's formula for large k and an approximation to the gamma function given in Abramowitz and Stegun (1965, p. 257) for small k.

APPENDIX

```
The listings of the four programs referred to in the paper
are given below. If k < 2 and \alpha is small, the t-percentile
program may be slow.
      SUBROUTINE NORT(X.TP)
C NORMAL TAIL AREA FROM X TO INFINITY, X NON-NEGATIVE
     DATA PIE/3.1415927/
     PHI(X) = 1./SORT(2*PIE)*EXP(-X**2/2.)
     P(X,G) = (1.+G)/((X^{*}2+2./PIE^{*}(1.+G)^{*}2)^{*}.5+G^{*}X)
     PAMIN(X) = .71 \times X / (1. + .71 \times X)
     TPL(X,GL,GU) = (PAMIN(X)*P(X,GL)+(1,-PAMIN(X))*P(X,GU))*PHI(X)
     GMIN=PIE-1.
     GMAX \approx 2./(PIE-2.)
     TP=TPL(X,GMIN,GMAX)
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE NORTI(ALPHA, XALP)
C UPPER NORMAL PERCENTILE XALP CORRESPONDING TO TAIL
C PROBABILITY ALPHA, ALPHA BETWEEN 0 AND .5
     DATA FPS/.000005/
     DATA PIE/3.1415927/
     PHI(X)=1./SOPT(2*PIE)*EXP(-X**2/2.)
     P(X,G) = (1,+C)/((X^*2+2,/PIE^*(1,+G)^*2)^*,5+G^*X)
     PAMIN(X) = .71*X/*1.+.71*X
     TPL(X,GL,GU) = (PAMIN(X) * P(X,GL) + (1, -PAMIN(X)) * P(X,GU)) * PHI(X)
     GMIN=PIE-1.
     GMAX=2./(PIE-2.)
     X=1.
102 TP=TPL(X,GMIN,GMAX)
     IF (TP.LT. ALPHA) GO TO 103
     X=X+1
     GO TO 102
103 BI-X-1.
     EU≃X
104 X=(BL+BU)/2.
     TP=TPL(X,GMIN,GMAX)
     IF (TP.LT. ALPHA) BU=X
     IF (TP.GE. ALPHA) BL=X
     IF (ABS(BU-BL).LE. EPS) GO TO 105
     GO TO 104
105 XALP≈(BL+BU)/2.
     RETURN
```

END

```
SUBROUTINE TT(DF,X,TP)
C T TAIL AREA FROM X TO INFINITY, X NON-NEGATIVE
     P(X,G,D) = (1.+C) / ((X^{*}2+4.*CK(D)^{*}2*(1.+G)^{*}2)^{*}.5+G^{*}X)
     FKX(X,D) = CK(D) * (1.+X**2/D) * * ((-D+1.)/2.)
     GMAX(X) = 4.*CK(X)**2/(1.-4.*CK(X)**2)
     GMIN(X) = X/(2 \cdot (X+2 \cdot) CK(X) \cdot 2) - 1.
     TCON(DF) = .71 + 1.8/DF + 1.9/DF * 2
     PAMIN(DF, X) = TCON(DF) * X / (1 + TCON(DF) * X)
     TPL(DF,X,GL,GU)=(PAMIN(DF,X)*P(X,GL,DF)+(1.- PAMIN(DF,X))*
    1P(X,GU,DF))*FKX(X,DF)
     TP=TPL(DF,X,GMIN(DF),GMAX(DF))
     RETURN
     END
     SUBROUTINE TTI(DF, ALPHA, XALP)
C UPPER T PERCENTILE XALP CORRESPONDING TO TAIL PROBABILITY ALPHA,
C ALPHA BETWEEN 0 AND .5
     P(X,G,D) = (1.+G)/((X^{*2}+4.*CK(D)^{*2}(1.+G)^{*2})^{**}.5+G^{*}X)
     FKX(X, D) = CK(D) * (1 + X * 2/D) * ((-D+1)/2)
     GMAX(X) = 4.*CK(X)**2/(1.-4.*CK(X)**2)
     GMIN(X) = X/(2 \cdot (X+2 \cdot) * CK(X) * * 2) - 1.
     TCON(DF)=.71+1.8/DF+1.9/DF**2
     PAMIN(DF,X) =TCON(DF)*X/(1.+TCON(DF)*X)
     TPL(DF,X,GL,GU)=(PAMIN(DF,X)*P(X,GL,DF)+(1.- PAMIN(DF,X))*
    1P(X,GU,DF))*FKX(X,DF)
     DATA EPS/.000005/
     x=1.
102 TP=TPL(DF,X,GMIN(DF),GMAX(DF))
     IF (TP.LT. ALPHA) GO TO 103
     x = x + 1.
     GO TO 102
103 EL=X-1.
     BU=X
104 = X = (BL+BU)/2.
     TP-TPL(DF,X,GMIN(DF),GMAX(DF))
     IF (TP.LT. ALPHA) BU=X
     IF (TP.GE. ALPHA) BL=X
     IF(AES(BU-BL).LE. EPS) GO TO 105
     GO TO 104
105 XALP=(BL+BU)/2.
     RETURN
     END
     FUNCTION CK(X)
     ALNG(X) = (X - .5) * ALOG(X) - X + 1/(12.*X) - 1/(360.*X**3)
     GAMMA(T)=1./T-.57719165+.98820589*T-.89705694*T**2+.91820686*
    1T**3-.75670408*T**4+.48219939*T**5-.19352782*T**6+.03586834*
    2T**7
     PATA PIE/3.1415927/
     Z1 = (X+1)/2.
     Z2=X/2.
```

```
- ( , -
```

IF (Z2 .GT. 10.) GO TO 11 TEMP1=1.

- 201 IF (Z1 .LE. 1.) GO TO 202 TEMP1=TEMP1*(Z1-1.) Z1=Z1-1. GO TO 201
- 202 TEMP1=TEMP1*GAMMA(Z1) TEMP2=1.

Ĩ

í

- 203 IF (Z2 .LE. 1.) GO TO 204 TEMP2=TEMP2*(Z2-1.) Z2=Z2-1. GO TO 203
- 204 TEMP2=TEMP2*GAMMA(Z2) CK=TEMP1/TEMP2/SQRT(PIE*X) RETURN
- 11 CK=EXP(ALNG((X+1.)/2.)-ALNG(X/2.)-.5*ALOG(PIE*X))
 RETURN
 END

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. (1965), <u>Hanc</u> of <u>Mathematical</u> <u>Functions</u>, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C.
- Brown, W. and Hollander, M. (1977), <u>Statistics A Biomedical</u> Introduction, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Johnson, N. L., and Kotz, S. (1970), <u>Continuous Univariate</u> <u>Distributions-2</u>, Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston.
- Boyd, A. V. (1959), "Inequalities for Mills' Ratio," <u>Reports of</u> <u>Statistical Applications Research, Japanese Union of Scientists</u> <u>and Engineers</u>, 6, 44-6.
- Soms, A. P. (1980), "Rational Bounds for the t-Tail Area," <u>Journal</u> of the American Statistical Association, 75, 438-40.
- Soms, A. P. (1984), "A Note on an Extension of Rational Bounds for the t-Tail Area to Arbitrary Degrees of Freedom," Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, 13, 887-891.

APS/ed

1. REPORT NUMBER	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
2750	AN ALAGHE 1	
A. TITLE (and Subtitie)	1121111111	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		Summary Report - no specific
A NEW METHOD OF APPROXIMATING NORMAL AND		reporting period
t-TAIL AREAS		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AII THOR/2)		A CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(A)
Andrew P. Soms		DAAG29-80-C-0041
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Mathematics Research Center, University of		Work Unit Number A
610 Walnut Street Wisconsin		Work Unit Number 4 -
Madison, Wisconsin 53706		Statistics and Probability
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
		September 1984
$r. \cup, \text{ BOX } 12211$	Onumber 07700	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
(esearch triangle Park, North Monitoring agency name a appression	different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece absolute error, normal percer t-percentile, t-tail area 	eeery and identify by block number) ntile, normal tail are	ea, relative error,
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if neces absolute error, normal percer t-percentile, t-tail area ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if neces The bounds of Boyd (1959) 	esery and identify by block number) ntile, normal tail are eary and identify by block number) 2) and Soms (1980, 198	ea, relative error,
 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece) absolute error, normal percer t-percentile, t-tail area 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece) The bounds of Boyd (1959) normal and t-distributions au 	eeery and identify by block number) ntile, normal tail are eety and identify by block number) 2) and Soms (1980, 198 re used to obtain a ne	ea, relative error, 64) for the tail preas of the ew method of approximating
 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if nece absolute error, normal percer t-percentile, t-tail area 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if nece The bounds of Boyd (1959 normal and t-distributions an the tail areas. The physiciety 	every and identify by block number) ntile, normal tail are every and identify by block number) 9) and Soms (1980, 198 re used to obtain a ne	ea, relative error, 34) for the tail Preas of the ew method of approximating are given
 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nece absolute error, normal percer t-percentile, t-tail area 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if nece The bounds of Boyd (1959 normal and t-distributions an the tail areas. The absolute 	every and identify by block number) ntile, normal tail are every and identify by block number) 9) and Soms (1980, 198 re used to obtain a ne e and relative errors	ea, relative error, 34) for the tail ¬reas of the ew method of approximating are given.

ſ

C

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

END

2-85

DTIC